



HAL
open science

Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient *rml1* seedlings

Isabel Aller, Nicolas Rouhier, Andreas J. Meyer

► To cite this version:

Isabel Aller, Nicolas Rouhier, Andreas J. Meyer. Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient *rml1* seedlings. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 2013, 4, 10.3389/fpls.2013.00506 . hal-01268531

HAL Id: hal-01268531

<https://hal.science/hal-01268531>

Submitted on 29 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient *rm1* seedlings

Isabel Aller¹, Nicolas Rouhier² and Andreas J. Meyer^{1*}

¹ INRES-Chemical Signalling, University of Bonn, Bonn, Germany

² Interactions Arbres Microorganismes, IFR 110 EFABA, Faculté des sciences, Université de Lorraine, UMR 1136 Université de Lorraine/INRA, Vandoeuvre, lès-Nancy, France

Edited by:

Alex Costa, University of Milan, Italy

Reviewed by:

Mirko Zaffagnini, University of Bologna, Italy

Frank E. Schleifenbaum, University of Tuebingen, Germany

Taras P. Pasternak,

Albert-Ludwigs-University of Freiburg, Germany

*Correspondence:

Andreas J. Meyer, INRES-Chemical Signalling, University of Bonn, Friedrich-Ebert-Allee 144, 53113 Bonn, Germany
e-mail: andreas.meyer@uni-bonn.de

Glutathione is important for detoxification, as a cofactor in biochemical reactions and as a thiol-redox buffer. The cytosolic glutathione buffer is normally highly reduced with glutathione redox potentials (E_{GSH}) of more negative than -310 mV. Maintenance of such negative redox potential is achieved through continuous reduction of glutathione disulfide by glutathione reductase (GR). Deviations from steady state glutathione redox homeostasis have been discussed as a possible mean to alter the activity of redox-sensitive proteins through switching of critical thiol residues. To better understand such signaling mechanisms it is essential to be able to measure E_{GSH} over a wide range from highly negative redox potentials down to potentials found in mutants that show already severe phenotypes. With the advent of redox-sensitive GFPs (roGFPs), understanding the *in vivo* dynamics of the thiol-based redox buffer system became within reach. The original roGFP versions, roGFP1 and roGFP2, however, have midpoint potentials between -280 and -290 mV rendering them fully oxidized in the ER and almost fully reduced in the cytosol, plastids, mitochondria, and peroxisomes. To extend the range of suitable probes we have engineered a roGFP2 derivative, roGFP2-iL, with a midpoint potential of about -238 mV. This value is within the range of redox potentials reported for homologous roGFP1-iX probes, albeit with different excitation properties. To allow rapid and specific equilibration with the glutathione pool, fusion constructs with human glutaredoxin 1 (GRX1) were generated and characterized *in vitro*. GRX1-roGFP2-iL proved to be suitable for *in vivo* redox potential measurements and extends the range of E_{GSH} values that can be measured *in vivo* with roGFP2-based probes from about -320 mV for GRX1-roGFP2 down to about -210 mV for GRX1-roGFP2-iL. Using both probes in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient *rm1* seedlings revealed an E_{GSH} of about -260 mV in this mutant.

Keywords: glutathione, glutathione redox potential, GRX1-roGFP2, *rm1*, redox imaging

INTRODUCTION

Thiol redox biochemistry is considered to play a fundamental role in cellular processes including signaling and cell fate decisions. The ability to dynamically and quantitatively measure such cellular processes *in vivo* is key to understand the underlying principles and the coordination of redox processes in the context of intact cells. Redox-sensitive GFP (roGFP) allows a direct read-out of the glutathione redox potential (E_{GSH}) particularly in reducing compartments (Meyer and Dick, 2010). However, current variants of roGFPs are largely inadequate in mutants with very low glutathione levels, generally oxidizing conditions like in the ER, or oxidizing conditions triggered by pathological processes. These limitations demand the development of further roGFP variants with less negative midpoint potentials.

The tripeptide glutathione (γ -L-glutamyl-L-cysteinylglycine) constitutes the major low molecular weight thiol in most prokaryotic and virtually all eukaryotic organisms. Glutathione

is synthesized in two sequential steps that are catalyzed by two enzymes, glutamate-cysteine ligase (GSH1) and glutathione synthase (GSH2). In plants, glutathione fulfills a broad range of essential functions including detoxification of heavy metals and xenobiotics and serving as an electron donating cofactor in biochemical reactions (Cobbett and Goldsbrough, 2002; Noctor et al., 2011). Moreover glutathione constitutes one of the most important redox buffer systems in the cell. The capacity to act as a redox buffer relies on the reversible convertibility of glutathione between the reduced form of glutathione (GSH) and the oxidized form glutathione disulfide (GSSG). While the glutathione pool in the cytosol, mitochondria, plastids, and peroxisomes is maintained in a highly reduced state by NADPH and glutathione reductase (GR) (Schwarzländer et al., 2008; Marty et al., 2009), the glutathione redox buffer in the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is highly oxidized (Hwang et al., 1992; Brach et al., 2009). E_{GSH} depends on the absolute glutathione concentration and the ratio

of [GSH]:[GSSG] (Meyer and Hell, 2005). The local E_{GSH} is assumed to affect the glutathionylation status of proteins which is an important means of regulating protein activity (Michelet et al., 2005; Noctor et al., 2011; Zaffagnini et al., 2012).

Conditions of environmental challenge are frequently considered to cause the generation of oxidants in the form of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Apel and Hirt, 2004; Torres et al., 2006). Apart from potential toxic effects of ROS, the oxidants are increasingly recognized as vital messengers in cellular signaling (Finkel, 2011). Oxidant-dependent signaling may occur either directly by specific recognition of oxidants (Forman et al., 2010) or indirectly through detoxification of oxidants and resulting changes in the cellular redox buffer system providing an opportunity for read-out of these changes. Stress-dependent alterations of E_{GSH} caused by a transient draw of electrons from the glutathione redox buffer for oxidant detoxification and coupling of E_{GSH} to target proteins thus may result in altered protein function and hence pronounced metabolic and developmental consequences for individual cells and the whole organism.

Depletion of total GSH also directly impacts on E_{GSH} and thus mutants with defects in GSH biosynthesis may cause constitutive activation or inactivation of respective signaling pathways. Indeed, *Arabidopsis rax1* mutants carrying a mutation in the GSH1 enzyme leading to only 20–50% of wild-type GSH are affected in stress signaling and have induced defense pathways (Ball et al., 2004). Another partially GSH-deficient mutant, *pad2*, is not capable of activating appropriate defense mechanisms against biotic stressors (Parisy et al., 2007; Schlaeppi et al., 2008). The most severe, yet viable, mutant affected in GSH1 is *rml1*, which contains only 2–10% of wild-type glutathione (Vernoux et al., 2000; Cairns et al., 2006). Homozygous *rml1* mutant seedlings show impaired root development, which is assumed to be caused by redox-dependent inhibition of cell cycle components (Vernoux et al., 2000).

Better understanding of redox-regulatory processes mediated by glutathione demands experimental approaches enabling quantitative monitoring of E_{GSH} . The development of roGFPs enables ratiometric thiol redox imaging at subcellular level (Dooley et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 2004). The two excitation maxima of the parental GFP arise from the protonation state of the chromophore and excited state proton transfer that converts the neutral form of the chromophore into the green emitting anionic form (Brejc et al., 1997; Palm et al., 1997). Engineering of two surface-exposed cysteines into the GFP barrel on the two adjacent β -strands 7 and 10 in positions allowing reversible disulfide formation exploits a structure-dependent shift in the protonation status of the chromophore for ratiometric measurements (Dooley et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 2004). The degree of chromophore protonation is also dependent on the type of chromophore: while roGFP1 derived from the wild-type chromophore with the amino acid S65 in the chromophore displays a dominant excitation peak in the UV range, the roGFP2 variant derived from EGFP containing the S65T mutation has a main excitation peak at 490 nm (Hanson et al., 2004). The chromophore type also slightly affects the redox potential of the engineered disulfide with roGFP1 being slightly more negative than roGFP2. It has been shown that glutaredoxin (GRX) catalyzes the oxidation and reduction of roGFP in the

presence of glutathione (Meyer et al., 2007; Gutscher et al., 2008). Fusion of GRX to roGFP overcomes kinetic limitations of the GRX/roGFP interaction and possible limitations caused by the absence of appropriate GRXs in some organisms or subcellular compartments (Gutscher et al., 2008; Meyer and Dick, 2010). In addition to kinetic properties, the thermodynamic properties of roGFP probes are similarly important for dynamic measurements and ideally redox sensors with redox potentials adapted to the desired measuring range should be selected. It has been shown that the redox potentials of roGFP variants, roGFP3 and roGFP4, which both contain the engineered disulfide C149/C202, are more negative than the redox potentials of their respective counterparts roGFP1 and roGFP2, which both contain the disulfide C147/C204 (Hanson et al., 2004). The redox potential can also be shifted to less negative values by engineering basic amino acids next to the disulfide-forming cysteines. As a consequence, such probes show an increased pI and hence a significantly enhanced response rate for reductive processes (Cannon and Remington, 2006). In the oxidizing ER lumen and under highly severe stress conditions, however, these probes would still be fully oxidized. To overcome this limitation, Lohman and Remington (2008) further engineered roGFP1 by replacing the chromophore-interacting H148 by serine and inserting an additional amino acid between the disulfide forming C147 and S148. Depending on the amino acid inserted behind C147 the respective members of the so-called roGFP1-iX family had less negative midpoint potentials between -229 and -246 mV (Lohman and Remington, 2008). However, even these less reducing probes appear almost fully oxidized in the ER at steady state of HeLa cells (Birk et al., 2013).

While under highly reducing conditions roGFP1 may have some advantages, roGFP2 has advantages in less reducing conditions as they occur in partially glutathione-deficient mutants (Meyer et al., 2007; Schwarzländer et al., 2008). Specifically, roGFP2 has a larger dynamic range than roGFP1 and it avoids potential illumination-dependent photoisomerization artifacts associated with roGFP1 (Schwarzländer et al., 2008). Because roGFP2 also is less reducing than roGFP1 we asked whether roGFP2-iX probes can be engineered and whether such probes would have an even less negative redox potential than their respective roGFP1-iX counterparts to enable redox imaging in compartments with a less negative E_{GSH} . Inhibition of GSH biosynthesis in wild-type roots by BSO leads to severe oxidation in the cytosol (Meyer et al., 2007). Similarly, E_{GSH} in *rml1* roots has been shown to be far less negative than in wild-type plants (Meyer and Dick, 2010), but the exact value of cytosolic E_{GSH} in this mutant has not been determined because the redox potential is close to the edge or even beyond the usable measuring range of roGFP2. After detailed characterization the novel roGFP2-iL probe was thus used to determine E_{GSH} in the cytosol of *rml1* seedlings in order to define the lower limit of glutathione redox potentials under which viability can be maintained.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

GENE CONSTRUCTION, PROTEIN EXPRESSION AND PURIFICATION

roGFP2 (C48S/S65T/Q80R/F99S/S147C/Q204C, (Dooley et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 2004) was used as a template to generate roGFP2-iL (C48S/S65T/Q80R/F99S/S147CL/H148S/Q204C)

in which a leucine was added after C147. For site-specific insertion of C147CL and substitution of H148S into the roGFP2 sequence the primers 5'-AACTACAAGTGCCTGAGCAACGTCTATATCATGGCC-3' and 5'-GCTCAGGCA GTTGTAGTTGTACTCCAGCTTGTG-3' were used. N-terminal fusion of human GRX1 and roGFP2-iL was done by PCR using gene-specific primers 5'-TCAGGAGGAGTGAGCAAGGGCGA-3' and 5'-TCGCCCTTGCTCACTCCTCTGA-3'. Amplification of full-length product was done with the primer pair 5'-ACCATGATGGCTCAAGAGTTTGTGAA-3' and 5'-TCTAGACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCATG-3' generating GRX1-TS(GGSGG)₆-roGFP2-iL (GRX1-roGFP2-iL). Both PCR products were cloned into pCAP^s vector (Roche, www.roche-applied-science.com) for sequence confirmation.

The sequence of free roGFP2-iL and GRX1-roGFP2-iL were cloned into the BamHI and NcoI restriction sites of the protein expression vector pQE-30 (Qiagen, www.qiagen.com) for the production of a recombinant protein containing an N-terminal His₆-tag. The restriction sites were introduced by PCR using the primer pairs 5'-TGGATCCGCTCAAGAGTTTGTGAACTG-3' and 5'-CTAAGCTTTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCC-3' for GRX1-roGFP2iL and 5'-AAGGATCCGTGAGCAAGGGCGAGGAGC-3' and 5'-CTAAGCTTTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCC-3' for roGFP2-iL. Recombinant roGFP1 was produced as described earlier (Schwarzländer et al., 2008). pQE-30 plasmids for expression of roGFP1-iL and roGFP1-iE were kindly provided by Dr. J. Remington (Univ. Oregon) and Dr. C. Appenzeller-Herzog (Univ. Basel), respectively.

For stable expression in plants, a modified version of pBinAR vector (Höfgen and Willmitzer, 1990) containing UBQ10 promoter instead of 35S promoter was used. The coding sequence of GRX1-roGFP2iL was amplified by 5'-AGGTACCATGGCTCAAGAGTTTGTGAAC-3' and 5'-TATGTCCGACTTACTTGTACAGCTCGTCCAT-3' to add KpnI and Sall restriction sites used for cloning.

ISOLATION OF RECOMBINANT PROTEINS

After transformation of the *E. coli* strain Origami (DE3) (Novagen, www.merckmillipore.de), a pre-culture of 10 ml was grown over night at 37°C. Five mL of the pre-culture were added to 450 ml LB medium and grown at room temperature to an OD₆₀₀ of 0.5–0.8. Expression of the different roGFP variants was induced by addition of isopropyl-β-D-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) to a final concentration of 1 mM. Protein expression was performed at room temperature for 24 h. The cells were harvested and resuspended in protein extraction buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 250 mM NaCl). The cells were sonicated for 10 min. Cell lysate was centrifuged and the soluble roGFP proteins purified via a Ni²⁺ loaded HiTrapTM Chelating HP Column (GE Healthcare, www.gelifesciences.com).

SPECTROSCOPY

Fluorescence excitation spectra were collected using a LS55 fluorescence spectrophotometer (Perkin Elmer Life Sciences, http://www.perkinelmer.com). Five hundred microliter protein solution was placed in a 1 ml quartz cuvette. Samples contained 0.2 μM protein in reaction buffer (100 mM K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄

pH 7.4, 1 mM EDTA) and 10 mM total dithiothreitol (DTT) for full reduction or 10 mM total H₂O₂ for full oxidation of the sensor, respectively. The spectra were collected from 350 to 520 nm with a bandwidth of 10 nm and a scan speed of 500 nm min⁻¹. Fluorescence was detected at 540 nm.

The fluorescence quantum yield (QY) of roGFPs was determined by comparison to Rhodamine 6G (Sigma-Aldrich, www.sigmaaldrich.com) which has a QY of 0.9 when dissolved in water (Magde et al., 2002). Six different dilutions of the respective proteins with absorbances between 0.01 and 0.1 were prepared in aqueous solution. Both, standard and roGFP samples were excited at 488 nm with a bandwidth of 5 nm. Total emission was collected from 505 to 590 nm. Fluorescence quantum yields for roGFPs were calculated from the integrated fluorescence intensities of the spectra after correction for wavelength-dependent photomultiplier sensitivity.

IN VITRO CHARACTERIZATION OF roGFP VARIANTS

In vitro characterization of roGFP2-iL and GRX1-roGFP2-iL fusions by ratiometric time-course measurements with isolated proteins was performed on a fluorescence plate reader (POLARstar Omega; BMG Labtech, www.bmglabtech.com) with filter-based excitation at 390 and 480 nm and detection of emitted light at 520 nm. Phosphate buffer (100 mM K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.4) with 1 μM of the respective roGFP according to the information given in the text were pipetted into the wells of a 96-well plate with a clear bottom (NUNCTM 96, www.thermoscientific.com). Reduced glutathione (in 100 mM K₂HPO₄/KH₂PO₄ buffer, pH 7.0) was automatically injected to the indicated final concentration using the built-in injectors. For maximum achievable reduction of the glutathione buffer, 0.1 μM recombinant *Arabidopsis thaliana* GR1 (*AtGR1*) and 100 μM NADPH were added to each well. To allow comparable reduction kinetics, the proteins were pre-oxidized with 10 mM H₂O₂ for 30 min. The remaining H₂O₂ was removed by desalting spin columns according to the manufacturer's manual (ZebaTM Spin Desalting Columns, www.thermoscientific.com). H₂O₂ and DTT to a final concentration of 10 mM were separately used to define maximum oxidation and maximum reduction of the sensors. In the comparative reduction assays with free roGFP1, roGFP1-iL, roGFP2, and roGFP2iL, purified *AtGRX2* to a final concentration of 2 μM was included in the reaction mix.

DETERMINATION OF MIDPOINT POTENTIALS OF roGFP VARIANTS

Both roGFP2-iL and GRX-roGFP2-iL (1 μM final concentration) were allowed to equilibrate (2–3 h) with lipoic acid buffers [reduced form dihydrolipoic acid (DHLA); oxidized form lipoic acid (LA)] (Equation 1). DHLA/LA was used at a total concentration of 2.5 mM in degassed HEPES buffer (100 mM HEPES, 300 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, pH 7.0). The appropriate concentrations of DHLA and LA to set distinct redox potentials were calculated from the Nernst equation based on the standard reduction potential of lipoic acid (E'_{LA}) of -290 mV at pH 7.0 (Lees and Whitesides, 1993).



The redox potential for each of the two redox pairs is defined by the Nernst equation using the respective midpoint potential and ratios (Q) of oxidized to reduced roGFP and LA to DHLA, respectively (Equation 2).

$$E = E^{\circ'} - \frac{RT}{nF} \ln Q \quad (2)$$

In this equation, R is the gas constant ($8.314 \text{ J K}^{-1} \text{ mol}^{-1}$), T is the temperature (298.15 K), n is the number of transferred electrons, and F is Faraday's constant ($96,485 \text{ C mol}^{-1}$). After redox equilibration of both redox couples it is possible to equate the two redox potentials (Equation 3):

$$E_{LA} = E_{LA}^{\circ'} - \frac{0.0592V}{2} \log \frac{[DHLA]}{[LA]} = E_{roGFP}^{\circ'} - \frac{0.0592V}{2} \log \frac{[roGFP_{red}]}{[roGFP_{ox}]} = E_{roGFP} \quad (3)$$

Because $E_{LA}^{\circ'}$ is known this leaves two unknowns, $E_{roGFP}^{\circ'}$ and $[roGFP_{red}]/[roGFP_{ox}]$. The redox potential of roGFP is dependent on the degree of oxidation (OxD_{roGFP}) of the redox pair $roGFP_{red}/roGFP_{ox}$ according to Equations 4 and 5:

$$OxD_{roGFP} = \frac{[roGFP_{ox}]}{[roGFP_{ox}] + [roGFP_{red}]} \quad (4)$$

$$\frac{[roGFP_{red}]}{[roGFP_{ox}]} = \frac{1 - OxD_{roGFP}}{OxD_{roGFP}} \quad (5)$$

Substitution of Equation 5 into Equation 3 allows transformation of Equation 3 into Equation 6:

$$E_{LA} = E_{LA}^{\circ'} - \frac{0.0592V}{2} \log \frac{[DHLA]}{[LA]} = E_{roGFP}^{\circ'} - \frac{0.0592V}{2} \log \frac{1 - OxD_{roGFP}}{OxD_{roGFP}} = E_{roGFP} \quad (6)$$

OxD_{roGFP} can be measured *in vitro* by monitoring the fluorescence emission intensities (I) at 520 nm for excitation at 390 and 480 nm. After equilibration in an environment of a defined redox potential, the respective values were used to determine OxD_{roGFP} according to Equation 7:

$$OxD_{roGFP} = \frac{R - R_{red}}{\left(\frac{I_{480ox}}{I_{480red}}\right)(R_{ox} - R) + (R - R_{red})} \quad (7)$$

Here, R denotes the ratio of the fluorescence intensities measured at 390 and 480 nm. R_{red} and R_{ox} represent the fluorescence ratios of fully reduced and fully oxidized roGFP, respectively. The raw values of I were always corrected by subtracting the respective blank values.

For determination of the midpoint potentials $E^{\circ'}$ of roGFP variants OxD_{roGFP} or, alternatively, the degree of reduction $ReD_{roGFP} = 1 - OxD_{roGFP}$ was plotted against the calculated

redox potentials of the respective lipoic acid redox buffers and all data points were fitted to a sigmoidal dose-response curve using GraphPadPrism5 (GraphPad Software, www.graphpad.com). The titration of each protein was carried out three times with 4 technical replicates. Titration of GRX1-roGFP2 and roGFP2 was done with DTT, which has a more negative reduction potential than lipoic acid ($E_{DTT}^{\circ'} = -323 \text{ mV}$, Shaked et al., 1980).

PLANT MATERIAL AND GROWTH CONDITIONS

Heterozygous *Arabidopsis rml1* mutants were selected by genotyping for the mutant allele and exploiting the fact that the point mutation (Vernoux et al., 2000) generates a new *ApoI* restriction site. After transformation of heterozygous plants with the respective roGFP constructs transformed plants were first screened for uniform fluorescence on a stereomicroscope equipped with fluorescence optics and a GFP filter. In a subsequent molecular screen heterozygous *rml1* seedlings were selected for further propagation. Seeds from transgenic plants expressing either GRX1-roGFP2 or GRX1-roGFP2-iL were surface sterilized with 70% ethanol twice and resuspended in sterile deionized water. Seeds were plated on nutrient medium [5 mM KNO_3 , 2.5 mM KH_2PO_4 , 2 mM MgSO_4 , 2 mM $\text{Ca}(\text{NO}_3)_2$, 10 μM Fe-EDTA, 0.1% (v/v) micronutrient mix (Somerville and Ogren, 1982), pH 5.8 solidified with 0.8% phytigel]. Plants were kept at 4°C for 1 day before placing them in vertical orientation in a growth chamber with a diurnal cycle of 16 h light at 22°C and 8 h dark at 18°C. The light intensity was 75 $\mu\text{mol photons m}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$. Plants were grown for 3 days until the characteristic dwarf phenotype of *rml1* became visible.

CLSM IMAGING

Pre-selected *rml1* seedlings were mounted on a slide in a drop of water and immediately transferred to a Zeiss confocal microscope LSM780 (Carl Zeiss Microscopy, www.zeiss.de/mikro). Images were collected with a 40 \times lens (Zeiss Objective C-Apochromat 40 \times /1.2 W Corr M27) in multi-track mode with line switching between 488 nm excitation and 405 nm excitation and taking an average of four readings in case of GRX1-roGFP2 and two readings for GRX1-roGFP2-iL, respectively. The roGFP fluorescence was collected with a 505–530 nm emission band-pass filter. Autofluorescence excited at 405 nm was collected from 430 to 480 nm and values were used to subtract autofluorescence bleeding into the roGFP channel as described previously (Schwarzländer et al., 2008; Samalova et al., 2013).

RATIOMETRIC IMAGE ANALYSIS

Images were imported into a custom written MatLab (The MathWorks, www.mathworks.de) analysis suite (M.D. Fricker, Dept. Plant Sciences, Oxford). The ratio analysis was performed on a pixel-by-pixel basis as I_{405}/I_{488} following spatial averaging in (x,y) using a 3×3 kernel. Correction of I_{405} for autofluorescence bleeding into the 405 nm channel and subtraction of background signals for each channel was performed. The average background signal was typically measured from the vacuole of one of the cells. For pseudocolor display, the ratio was coded on a spectral color scale ranging from blue (fully reduced) to red (fully oxidized), with limits set by the *in situ* calibration. The calibration


was done by incubation of *rml1* seedlings expressing GRX1-roGFP2 or GRX1-roGFP2-iL in 10 mM DTT and 25 mM H₂O₂, respectively, to drive the roGFPs to their fully reduced and fully oxidized forms. *OxD* of GRX1-roGFP2 and GRX1-roGFP2-iL expressed in the cytosol of *rml1* mutants was calculated according to Equation 7 albeit with wavelengths 405 nm and 488 nm used for excitation of roGFPs *in vivo*.

RESULTS


DEVELOPMENT AND CHARACTERIZATION OF roGFP2-iL

To investigate whether roGFP2 can be modified to generate less reducing probe variants, the roGFP2 sequence was further engineered by introducing site-specific mutations thus generating roGFP2-iL (Figures 1A,B). Subsequently, roGFP2-iL was fused behind human GRX1 to generate GRX1-roGFP2-iL (Figure 1C) to ensure specificity of the novel probe for *E_{GSH}* similar to other roGFP variants used before (Gutscher et al., 2008; Meyer and Dick, 2010; Albrecht et al., 2013; Birk et al., 2013). IPTG-induction of *E. coli* cultures transformed with roGFP2-iL and GRX1-roGFP2-iL already resulted in bright green cultures suggesting that the introduced mutations did not abolish the fluorescence (not shown). Comparison of roGFP2-iL and roGFP1-iE with the standard Rhodamine 6G resulted in a QY of 0.6 for roGFP2-iL and a QY of 0.4 for roGFP1-iE. This initial observation was further confirmed through side-by-side fluorescence scans of recombinant roGFP2 and roGFP2-iL in buffers containing either 10 mM DTT for full reduction or 10 mM H₂O₂ for full oxidation of the probes, respectively (Figure 2). As previously described (Dooley et al., 2004; Hanson et al., 2004), formation of the disulfide upon oxidation of roGFP2 favors the protonated, neutral form of the chromophore causing an increase in fluorescence at 395 nm while the 488 nm peak is decreased (red curve). Conversely, full reduction of roGFP2 leads to a decrease in excitation at 395 nm while the peak at 488 nm is increased (blue curve; Figure 2A).

Modification of roGFP2 through the C147CL insertion and H148S exchange does alter the general spectral properties but does not abolish the dual excitation behavior in roGFP2-iL (Figure 2B). Full oxidation of the sensor causes an increase of fluorescence at 395 nm and a corresponding decrease in 488 nm excitation while full reduction of roGFP2-iL leads to decreased fluorescence excitation at 395 nm and an increase at 488 nm. A clear isosbestic point at 425 nm separates the two peaks further indicating two equilibrating molecular species (Figure 2B). Thus, all spectral properties of roGFP2 are fully retained in the newly-generated roGFP2-iL. In contrast to roGFP2, however, the maximum change in fluorescence intensity between fully oxidized and fully reduced sensor states was significantly lower in roGFP2-iL. The dynamic range (δ) for the maximum change of the fluorescence ratio between the fully oxidized and fully reduced state of the chromophore was calculated from the fluorescence excitation at the wavelengths used for ratiometric imaging (i.e., 405 and 488 nm). While roGFP2 has a $\delta_{405/488}$ of 8.18 the $\delta_{405/488}$ for roGFP2-iL was found to be 2.55 (Figure 2; Table 1). Further equilibration of roGFP2-iL in redox buffers with varying pH revealed that the fluorescence ratio of roGFP2-iL is pH-insensitive in the physiological range (Figure 3).



The standard redox potential (E°) strongly depends on the thermodynamic stability between C147 and C204 that is influenced by the nature of the surrounding amino acid residues. It has been described for roGFP1-iL that the insertion C147CL and the substitution H148S lower the thermodynamic stability of the inter-strand disulfide C147–C204 resulting in a less negative E° of the sensor (Lohman and Remington, 2008). To test whether this effect on thermodynamic stability is retained in roGFP2-iL, $E^{\circ}_{roGFP2-iL}$ was determined through titration with LA/DHLA. Plotting the degree of reduction of the respective sensor variants against the redox potential of the ambient LA/DHLA buffer allowed to deduce E° values of -237.7 ± 2 mV for roGFP2-iL (Figure 4A) and 243.2 ± 5 mV for GRX1-roGFP2-iL (Figure 4B). The difference in E° of roGFP2-iL and GRX1-roGFP2-iL suggests that



N-terminal fusion of GRX1 influences the thermodynamic properties of the C147–C204 disulfide. To test this hypothesis, the midpoint potential of roGFP2 and GRX1-roGFP2 was determined side-by-side by titration against DTT redox buffers. As depicted in **Figure 5**, free roGFP2 shows an E° of -277.5 ± 1 mV (**Figure 5A**) while E° of GRX1-roGFP2 is shifted to -290.2 ± 3 mV (**Figure 5B**).

To test whether the reduction kinetics of roGFP2-iL is affected by GRX, roGFP2-iL was fused to the C-terminus of *Hs*GRX1 and the catalytic efficiency for the reduction of the resulting fusion protein by GSH was compared to free roGFP2-iL in *in vitro* experiments (**Figure 6**). In the absence of GRX, pre-oxidized roGFP2-iL responds only slowly to injection of 2 mM GSH while the GRX1-roGFP2-iL fusion protein shows a dramatically increased reduction rate.

To further confirm the less negative E° of roGFP2-iL, the sensor was used side-by-side with other roGFP variants in reduction experiments with GSH at physiological concentrations as reducing agent. Since not all compared roGFPs were available as fusion proteins with GRX1, recombinant free GRX was added to the reaction mix. Pre-oxidized roGFP1, roGFP2, roGFP1-iL and roGFP2-iL were all reduced in the presence of GSH which was injected into the roGFP solutions to a final concentration of 5 mM. Subsequently, the reduction kinetics of the sensors were followed over time (**Figure 7A**). Addition of GSH resulted in complete reduction of roGFP1-iL and roGFP2-iL within 3 min while the reduction of roGFP2 was clearly delayed by several minutes and reached only 95% within 21 min. The reduction of the most negative probe roGFP1 was even slower and reached only 81% within 21 min. The rapid change in the degree of reduction of sensors with significantly less negative E° values than E° values of roGFP2 and roGFP1 (**Table 1**) can be further illustrated by the first derivative $dRed/dt$ of the degree of reduction. $dRed/dt$ of the four different probes plotted against time after injection of GSH shows the most rapid change in the degree of reduction for roGFP1-iL and roGFP2-iL while the values for roGFP2 and roGFP1 are much lower (**Figure 7B**).

Table 1 | Mutations, redox potentials, and dynamic range of GFP derived redox probes.

Probe	Amino acid changes	E°	δ^a
roGFP2	C48S/S65T/Q80R/F99S/S147C/Q204C	-280 mV (consensus)	11.55 (390/480) 8.18 (405/488)
roGFP2-iL	C48S/S65T/Q80R/F99S/S147CL/H148S/Q204C	-240 mV (DHLLA/LA)	3.1 (390/480) 2.55 (405/488)
roGFP1 ^{b,c}	C48S/S147C/Q204C	-291 mV (consensus)	6.1 (400/475) ^d 2.58 (405/488) ^e
roGFP1-iL ^d	C48S/Q80R/S147CL/H148S/Q204C	-229 mV (DHLLA/LA) ^f	7.2 (400/475) ^d
roGFP1-iE ^d	C48S/Q80R/S147CE/H148S/Q204C	-236 mV (DHLLA/LA) ^f	4.5 (400/475) ^d

^aDynamic range determined with the excitation wavelengths given in parenthesis. 405 nm and 488 nm are the excitation wavelengths used for confocal imaging.


^bHanson et al., 2004.

^cDooley et al., 2004.

^dLohman and Remington, 2008.


^eSchwarzländer et al., 2008.

^fDihydropolipoic acid (DHLLA, reduced form), Lipoic acid (LA, oxidized form).






MEASUREMENT OF THE CYTOSOLIC E_{GSH} IN SEVERELY GLUTATHIONE-DEFICIENT *rm1* MUTANTS

Conventional roGFP1 and roGFP2 are highly reduced when expressed in the cytosol of non-stressed cells (Meyer et al., 2007; Schwarzländer et al., 2008). Therefore, roGFP-iX probes with a less negative midpoint potential would obviously be expected to





be also fully reduced, particularly when fused GRX1 ensures specific equilibration with the local E_{GSH} . Severe stress situations and pathological conditions, however, can lead to pronounced oxidation in the cytosol and thus probes with a less negative midpoint potential may be advantageous for redox imaging in the cytosol to fully resolve the dynamic changes in E_{GSH} . Severe depletion of GSH in the Arabidopsis mutant *rm1* has been reported to result in growth inhibition and it has been speculated that this effect may be caused by severe oxidation of cytosolic E_{GSH} and redox-dependent inhibition of the cell cycle (Vernoux et al., 2000). Indeed, roGFP2 has been shown to be largely oxidized in the cytosol of *rm1* seedlings (Meyer and Dick, 2010). To further investigate the effect of severe GSH depletion on the cytosolic E_{GSH} , both probes, GRX1-roGFP2 and GRX1-roGFP2-iL were expressed in the cytosol of *rm1* and used to measure E_{GSH} in root epidermal cells. As expected, the conventional GRX1-roGFP2 was largely oxidized (Figures 8A,B). Incubation of *rm1* seedlings with 25 mM H₂O₂ resulted only in minor additional increase in the fluorescence ratio of GRX1-roGFP2 while incubation of seedlings in 10 mM DTT caused a pronounced drop in fluorescence ratio. Expression of GRX1-roGFP2-iL in the cytosol of *rm1* mutants, on the other hand, resulted in a largely reduced probe (Figures 8A,C). Full reduction of the probe through incubation of seedlings with 10 mM DTT caused only a small drop in the detected fluorescence ratio. In contrast, incubation with 25 mM H₂O₂ resulted in a pronounced increase in the 405/488 nm fluorescence ratio. The dynamic range of the



probe in the cytosol calculated from the respective *min* and *max* ratios was about 3-fold (Figure 8C), which is similar to the predictions from the spectral analysis of recombinant roGFP2-iL (Figure 2).

Calculation of the degree of oxidation (*OxD*) of both probes from the ratiometric imaging data presented in Figure 8 showed a low *OxD* of only 14% for GRX1-roGFP2-iL and a corresponding high *OxD* of 93% for GRX1-roGFP2 (Figure 9A). The measured *OxD* values for both probes can be compared to titration curves for GRX1-roGFP2 (blue) and GRX1-roGFP2-iL (red) that were calculated from the Nernst-Equation using $E^{o'}$ values determined earlier (Figure 9B). The interception points between the titration curves and the *OxD* for GRX1-roGFP2 and GRX1-roGFP2-iL, respectively, suggest an E_{GSH} in the cytosol of *rml1* of about -260 mV.



DISCUSSION

The glutathione redox potential in life cells varies depending on the compartment and on environmental conditions imposing stress situations for the plant. While cytosol, peroxisomes, mitochondria, and plastids in Arabidopsis wild-type plants maintain a highly reduced glutathione buffer with redox potentials of less than –310 mV, the ER is far less reducing with E_{GSH} values of less than –240 mV (Meyer et al., 2007; Schwarzländer et al., 2008). In nominally reducing compartments, severe stress can trigger physiological conditions frequently described as oxidative stress. Better understanding of the underlying molecular processes leading to gradual oxidation and also the downstream processes involved in signal transduction cascades enabling the plant to adapt to a stress situation requires the ability to measure individual components of the cellular redox buffer system in a

dynamic way. The roGFPs, and particularly GRX1-roGFP2 fusion proteins, have been shown to sense the local E_{GSH} with high specificity due to selective mediation of thiol/disulfide exchange reaction between glutathione and roGFP by GRXs (Meyer et al., 2007; Gutscher et al., 2008). The originally introduced variants roGFP1 and roGFP2, however, are particularly suitable only in the reducing compartments of wild-type plants and mutants with limited effects on the amount of glutathione (Meyer et al., 2007; Maughan et al., 2010), or mutants affected in the GSH/GSSG redox equilibrium due to lack of GR (Marty et al., 2009). In more oxidizing compartments or more severe mutants affected in glutathione redox homeostasis, E_{GSH} is outside the measuring range of these probes thus limiting their usability. The need for less reducing probe variants has been partially fulfilled through the introduction of roGFP1-iX variants derived from roGFP1 (Lohman and Remington, 2008). However, even the least reducing variant roGFP1-iL is still largely oxidized in the ER of human cells and thus hardly capable of detecting oxidative processes in the ER. In addition, the most oxidizing roGFP1-iX variant roGFP1-iL has been reported as being relatively dim compared to the parent roGFP1 (Avezov et al., 2013; Birk et al., 2013). Similar to the continuous extension of the range of differentially colored fluorescent proteins (Shaner et al., 2005) there is also a demand for redox reporter proteins with midpoint potentials matching the E_{GSH} in distinct compartments or in mutants with targeted alterations in glutathione homeostasis.

The insertion of amino acids in the GFP backbone may lead to changes in the spectroscopic properties of GFP but still yield functional fluorescent proteins (Topell et al., 1999; Cannon and Remington, 2006; Lohman and Remington, 2008). Insertion of single amino acid residues within the roGFP backbone, adjacent to the reactive cysteine 147, leads to a destabilization of the disulfide formed between Cys147 and Cys204. As a consequence, the resulting roGFP1-iX variants show a less negative midpoint potential compared to the parental roGFP1. However, the substitution of the chromophore phenol(ate) interacting His148 by Ser148 was required to maintain ratiometric behavior (Lohman and Remington, 2008). A roGFP1-iL carrying the mutations S147CL and H148S has been reported to have the least negative midpoint potential (-229 ± 5 mV) of a whole series of roGFP1-iX variants (Lohman and Remington, 2008). Introduction of the same changes into roGFP2 lead to roGFP2-iL. This new variant maintained the general ratiometric behavior of roGFP2 with the excitation peak for the anionic form of the chromophore at 488 nm being more pronounced than the UV excitation peak for the protonated chromophore. However, the dynamic range δ for the maximum change in peak excitation ratio was significantly decreased compared to roGFP2 (see **Figure 2** and **Table 1**). A similar reduction of the dynamic range due to amino acid insertion next to Cys147 has already been reported for several roGFP1-iX variants but not for the roGFP1-iL version (Lohman and Remington, 2008). Similarly to roGFP1-iL, roGFP2-iL is also lower in fluorescence than the respective parent roGFP. Nevertheless, the maintenance of ratiometric properties and a sufficiently large dynamic range to resolve biologically relevant redox changes suggest that roGFP2-iL is generally suitable for *in vivo* measurements.

The roGFP1-iL and roGFP2-iL only differ in the S65T substitution introducing an additional methyl group in roGFP2. This minor change not only affects the protonation of the chromophore leading to pronounced stabilization of the anionic form of the chromophore (Elslinger et al., 1999; Jung et al., 2005) but also shifts the midpoint potential of roGFP2 -10 mV less negative compared to roGFP1. The shift in E° from -280 mV for roGFP2 to -238 mV for roGFP2-iL is lower than the shift for roGFP1-iL which at -229 mV is 62 mV less negative than its parent roGFP1. Different from the original expectation, the modification of roGFP2 did thus not result in a linear additive effect in which the resulting roGFP2-iL was expected to be slightly more negative than roGFP1-iL. The deviation from linearity is probably due to geometric constraints in the roGFP barrel similar to those described for roGFP1-iR which had been expected to be less reducing than roGFP1-iL due to stabilization of the thiolate anion by the introduced adjacent basic arginine residue (Lohman and Remington, 2008). The roGFP1-iR, however, turned out to be more reducing than roGFP1-iL. Whether introduction of basic amino acids in the vicinity of the redox active cysteines may cause a stabilization of thiolates and thus render the redox potential of roGFP2-iL less negative is not known at this stage.

For live cell measurements biosensors should ideally exhibit a strong preference for one specific analyte. By definition, roGFPs undergo thiol/disulfide exchange reactions and it has been shown that specificity for glutathione is achieved through specific interaction with GRXs (Meyer et al., 2007). Fusion of GRX1 to roGFP2 leads to a permanent increase of the local GRX concentration around roGFP and hence this kinetic coupling further increases the likelihood of interaction between roGFP and the fused GRX1 compared to other non-specific interactions with other oxidoreductases (Gutscher et al., 2008; Albrecht et al., 2013). Human GRX1 does also interact with roGFP2-iL and the fusion protein GRX1-roGFP2-iL responds much faster to changes in E_{GSH} than free roGFP2-iL. Interestingly, fusion of GRX1 to the N-termini of different roGFP variants consistently leads to a slight shift of 5–13 mV in the midpoint potentials toward more negative values. A similar shift of 6 mV has also been reported for GRX1-roGFP1-iE (Birk et al., 2013). Consistent with the respective order of redox potentials, Birk et al. (2013) also observed a higher degree of oxidation of GRX1-roGFP1-iE than for free roGFP1-iE expressed in the ER. A possible explanation for the effects of N-terminally fused GRX on the E° of roGFP probes might be a mechanical strain that acts on the GFP barrel slightly affecting the thermodynamic stability of the disulfide. Despite the slightly less negative midpoint potential of roGFP1-iL compared with roGFP2-iL, the kinetic properties of both probes for reduction by GSH are very similar. This suggests that both probes may be equally suitable in compartments with oxidizing conditions. RoGFP2-iL thus extends the range of suitable probes for oxidizing compartments by offering additional spectral features. The dominant excitation peak at 488 nm may be of particular advantage for lifetime imaging of roGFPs with pulsed blue excitation. This imaging approach has been successfully used for the original roGFP2 probe and different roGFP1-iX variants (Wierer et al., 2012; Avezov et al., 2013). For roGFP2-iL, excitation with blue light would maximize the excitation and hence minimize the integration time required

to sample sufficient photons for analysis. Furthermore, it has been reported that roGFP1 under conditions of intense illumination undergoes an irreversible photoswitch reaction that would foster the anionic form of the chromophore and thus may artificially report reducing conditions even though the local environment of the probe is oxidizing (Schwarzländer et al., 2008).

Generally, roGFP probes are appropriate for measuring the thiol/disulfide equilibrium within a linear range of about ± 30 mV from their midpoint potential equivalent to an OxD between 10 and 90%. RoGFP2-iL may thus be suitable in the range from ~ -205 to ~ -275 mV. Recently it was shown that GRX1-roGFP1-iE is still oxidized to more than 90% when expressed in the ER of HeLa cells (Birk et al., 2013). This high degree of oxidation clearly limits the use of the probe in that it would not allow investigating processes leading to increasing oxidation in the ER. Based on the even slightly more negative midpoint potential a very similar response can be expected for GRX1-roGFP2-iL.

Stable expression of GRX1-roGFP2-iL and GRX1-roGFP2 in the cytosol of homozygous *rml1* plants allowed ratiometric measurements of the yet undefined E_{GSH} with both sensors. In both cases the measured values are close to the end of the linear range of the respective probes with GRX1-roGFP2 being even slightly beyond its useful linear measurement range with an oxidation of 93%. GRX1-roGFP2-iL with $OxD = 14\%$ is still in the linear range. Importantly, OxD for both sensor variants can be converted to the respective redox potential and in both cases the redox potential is about -260 mV. A combination of both probes thus effectively doubles the useful dynamic range without leaving a gap between the two probes. The concentration of cytosolic glutathione in wild-type Arabidopsis root tips has been shown to be between 2 and 3 mM (Fricker et al., 2000). Assuming a medium concentration of 2.5 mM cytosolic GSH and an OxD_{GSH} of 0.002% (Meyer et al., 2007) the Nernst equation predicts an E_{GSH} of about -310 mV. A remaining GSH level of only 2% in *rml1* would then lead to an E_{GSH} of -260 mV. This calculation assumes a constant OxD_{GSH} which is not necessarily given. Obviously, the *rml1* mutant seedlings are stressed and supply with redox equivalents in form of NADPH may be restricted under these severe metabolic constraints. If indeed OxD_{GSH} was higher in *rml1* than in the wild-type then the total glutathione level in the cytosol would have to be assumed to be slightly above 2% in order to reach an E_{GSH} of -260 mV. This, however, is still well within the measured range of glutathione concentrations in *rml1*.

In conclusion, GRX1-roGFP2-iL further extends the range of roGFP-based probes for measurements of E_{GSH} far less reducing than typical E_{GSH} values normally found in the cytosol. This applies similarly to cell-type specific developmental differences as well as to mutants with low glutathione levels and to generally oxidizing compartments like the ER. GRX1-roGFP2-iL may still be largely oxidized in the ER of non-stressed plants as it has been shown for GRX1-roGFP1-iE in HeLa cells (Birk et al., 2013). The oxidizing redox potential in the lumen supports protein folding whereas deviations from steady-state redox conditions induce an unfolded protein response (Merksamer et al., 2008). Vice versa, malfunction in oxidative protein folding is assumed to affect the redox potential of the luminal glutathione pool. Under stress situations and in mutants causing a shift in the ER redox potential

toward more negative values, GRX1-roGFP2-iL will likely allow dynamic measurement of the glutathione-related redox processes in the ER lumen. Conversely, severe stress situations can cause very strong oxidation in the cytosol, chloroplasts, mitochondria, and peroxisomes and the extent of these reactions may also be dependent on the developmental state of particular cells. Dark-induced senescence has been shown to cause an oxidation of mitochondrial roGFP1 and roGFP2 up to the end of their linear range within only 3 days (Rosenwasser et al., 2010). Under such pathological conditions GRX1-roGFP2-iL will allow to further investigate the most oxidizing phases of the responses.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank James Remington for providing pQE-30 with roGFP1-iL and Christian Appenzeller-Herzog for pQE-30 with roGFP1-iE. The UBQ10 promoter was kindly provided by Karin Schumacher. This work was supported by a grant from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) to Andreas J. Meyer (grant ME1567/5-1).

REFERENCES

- Albrecht, S. C., Sobotta, M. C., Bausewein, D., Aller, I., Hell, R., Dick, T. P., et al. (2013). Redesign of genetically encoded biosensors for monitoring mitochondrial redox status in a broad range of model eukaryotes. *J. Biomol. Screen.* doi: 10.1177/1087057113499634. [Epub ahead of print].
- Apel, K., and Hirt, H. (2004). Reactive oxygen species: metabolism, oxidative stress, and signal transduction. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 55, 373–399. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.55.031903.141701
- Avezov, E., Cross, B. C. S., Kaminski Schierle, G. S., Winters, M., Harding, H. P., Melo, E. P., et al. (2013). Lifetime imaging of a fluorescent protein sensor reveals surprising stability of ER thiol redox. *J. Cell Biol.* 201, 337–349. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201211155
- Ball, L., Accotto, G. P., Bechtold, U., Creissen, G., Funck, D., Jimenez, A., et al. (2004). Evidence for a direct link between glutathione biosynthesis and stress defense gene expression in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* 16, 2448–2462. doi: 10.1105/tpc.104.022608
- Birk, J., Meyer, M., Aller, I., Hansen, H. G., Odermatt, A., Dick, T. P., et al. (2013). Endoplasmic reticulum: reduced and oxidized glutathione revisited. *J. Cell Sci.* 126, 1604–1617. doi: 10.1242/jcs.117218
- Brach, T., Soyk, S., Müller, C., Hinz, G., Hell, R., Brandizzi, F., et al. (2009). Non-invasive topology analysis of membrane proteins in the secretory pathway. *Plant J.* 57, 534–541. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03704.x
- Brejč, K., Sixma, T. K., Kitts, P. A., Kain, S. R., Tsien, R. Y., Ormo, M., et al. (1997). Structural basis for dual excitation and photoisomerization of the *Aequorea victoria* green fluorescent protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 94, 2306–2311. doi: 10.1073/pnas.94.6.2306
- Cairns, N. G., Pasternak, M., Wachter, A., Cobbett, C. S., and Meyer, A. J. (2006). Maturation of Arabidopsis seeds is dependent on glutathione biosynthesis within the embryo. *Plant Physiol.* 141, 446–455. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.077982
- Cannon, M. B., and Remington, S. J. (2006). Re-engineering redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein for improved response rate. *Protein Sci.* 15, 45–57. doi: 10.1110/ps.051734306
- Cobbett, C., and Goldsbrough, P. (2002). Phytochelatin and metallothioneins: roles in heavy metal detoxification and homeostasis. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* 53, 159–182. doi: 10.1146/annurev.arplant.53.100301.135154
- Dooley, C. T., Dore, T. M., Hanson, G. T., Jackson, W. C., Remington, S. J., and Tsien, R. Y. (2004). Imaging dynamic redox changes in mammalian cells with green fluorescent protein indicators. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 22284–22293. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M312847200
- Elslinger, M. A., Wachter, R. M., Hanson, G. T., Kallio, K., and Remington, S. J. (1999). Structural and spectral response of green fluorescent protein variants to changes in pH. *Biochemistry* 38, 5296–5301. doi: 10.1021/bi9902182

- Finkel, T. (2011). Signal transduction by reactive oxygen species. *J. Cell Biol.* 194, 7–15. doi: 10.1083/jcb.201102095
- Forman, H. J., Maiorino, M., and Ursini, F. (2010). Signaling functions of reactive oxygen species. *Biochemistry* 49, 835–842. doi: 10.1021/bi9020378
- Fricker, M. D., May, M., Meyer, A. J., Sheard, N., and White, N. S. (2000). Measurement of glutathione levels in intact roots of *Arabidopsis*. *J. Microsc.* 198, 162–173. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2818.2000.00696.x
- Gutschner, M., Pauleau, A., Marty, L., Brach, T., Wabnitz, G., Samstag, Y., et al. (2008). Real-time imaging of the intracellular glutathione redox potential. *Nat. Methods* 5, 553–559. doi: 10.1038/nmeth.1212
- Hanson, G. T., Aggeler, R., Oglesbee, D., Cannon, M., Capaldi, R. A., Tsien, R. Y., et al. (2004). Investigating mitochondrial redox potential with redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein indicators. *J. Biol. Chem.* 279, 13044–13053. doi: 10.1074/jbc.M312846200
- Höfgen, R., and Willmitzer, Z. (1990). Biochemical and genetic analysis of different patatin isoforms expressed in various organs of potato (*Solanum tuberosum*). *Plant Sci.* 66, 221–230. doi: 10.1016/0168-9452(90)90207-5
- Hwang, C. C., Sinskey, A. J., and Lodish, H. F. (1992). Oxidized redox state of glutathione in the endoplasmic reticulum. *Science* 257, 1496–1502. doi: 10.1126/science.1523409
- Jung, G., Wiehler, J., and Zumbusch, A. (2005). The photophysics of green fluorescent protein: influence of the key amino acids at positions 65, 203, and 222. *Biophys. J.* 88, 1932–1947. doi: 10.1529/biophysj.104.044412
- Lees, W. J., and Whitesides, G. M. (1993). Equilibrium constants for thiol-disulfide interchange reactions: a coherent, corrected set. *J. Org. Chem.* 58, 642–647. doi: 10.1021/jo00055a016
- Lohman, J., and Remington, S. (2008). Development of a family of redox-sensitive green fluorescent protein indicators for use in relatively oxidizing subcellular environments. *Biochemistry* 47, 8678–8688. doi: 10.1021/bi800498g
- Magde, D., Wong, R., and Seybold, P. (2002). Fluorescence quantum yields and their relation to lifetimes of rhodamine 6G and fluorescein in nine solvents: improved absolute standards for quantum yields. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 75, 327–334. doi: 10.1562/0031-8655(2002)075<0327:FQYATR>2.0.CO;2
- Marty, L., Siala, W., Schwarzländer, M., Fricker, M. D., Wirtz, M., Sweetlove, L. J., et al. (2009). The NADPH-dependent thioredoxin system constitutes a functional backup for cytosolic glutathione reductase in *Arabidopsis*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 106, 9109–9114. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0900206106
- Maughan, S. C., Pasternak, M., Cairns, N., Kiddle, G., Brach, T., Jarvis, R., et al. (2010). Plant homologs of the *Plasmodium falciparum* chloroquine-resistance transporter, PfCRT, are required for glutathione homeostasis and stress responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 107, 2331–2336. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0913689107
- Merksamer, P., Trusina, A., and Papa, F. (2008). Real-time redox measurements during endoplasmic reticulum stress reveal interlinked protein folding functions. *Cell* 135, 933–947. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2008.10.011
- Meyer, A., and Dick, T. (2010). Fluorescent protein-based redox probes. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 13, 621–650. doi: 10.1089/ars.2009.2948
- Meyer, A. J., Brach, T., Marty, L., Kreye, S., Rouhier, N., Jacquot, J.-P., et al. (2007). Redox-sensitive GFP in *Arabidopsis thaliana* is a quantitative biosensor for the redox potential of the cellular glutathione redox buffer. *Plant J.* 52, 973–986. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2007.03280.x
- Meyer, A. J., and Hell, R. (2005). Glutathione homeostasis and redox-regulation by sulfhydryl groups. *Photosynth. Res.* 86, 435–457. doi: 10.1007/s11120-005-8425-1
- Michelet, L., Zaffagnini, M., Marchand, C., Collin, V., Decottignies, P., Tsan, P., et al. (2005). Glutathionylation of chloroplast thioredoxin f is a redox signaling mechanism in plants. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 102, 16478–16483. doi: 10.1073/pnas.0507498102
- Noctor, G., Mhamdi, A., Chaouch, S., Han, Y., Neukermans, J., Marquez-Garcia, B., et al. (2011). Glutathione in plants: an integrated overview. *Plant Cell Environ.* 35, 454–484. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-3040.2011.02400.x
- Palm, G., Zdanov, A., Gaitanaris, G., Stauber, R., Pavlakis, G., and Wlodawer, A. (1997). The structural basis for spectral variations in green fluorescent protein. *Nat. Struct. Biol.* 4, 361–365. doi: 10.1038/nsb0597-361
- Parisy, V., Poinssot, B., Owsianowski, L., Buchala, A., Glazebrook, J., and Mauch, E. (2007). Identification of PAD2 as a γ -glutamylcysteine synthetase highlights the importance of glutathione in disease resistance of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant J.* 49, 159–172. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2006.02938.x
- Patterson, G. H., Knobel, S. M., Sharif, W. D., Kain, S. R., and Piston, D. W. (1997). Use of the green fluorescent protein and its mutants in quantitative fluorescence microscopy. *Biophys. J.* 73, 2782–2790. doi: 10.1016/S0006-3495(97)78307-3
- Rosenwasser, S., Rot, I., Meyer, A. J., Feldman, L., Jiang, K., and Friedman, H. (2010). A fluorometer-based method for monitoring oxidation of redox-sensitive GFP (roGFP) during development and extended dark stress. *Physiol. Plant.* 138, 493–502. doi: 10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01334.x
- Samalova, M., Meyer, A. J., Gurr, S. J., and Fricker, M. D. (2013). Robust anti-oxidant defences in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* confer tolerance to the host oxidative burst. *New Phytol.* doi: 10.1111/nph.12530. [Epub ahead of print].
- Schlaeppli, K., Bodenhausen, N., Buchala, A., Mauch, E., and Reymond, P. (2008). The glutathione-deficient mutant *pad2-1* accumulates lower amounts of glucosinolates and is more susceptible to the insect herbivore *Spodoptera littoralis*. *Plant J.* 55, 774–786. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-313X.2008.03545.x
- Schwarzländer, M., Fricker, M., Müller, C., Marty, L., Brach, T., Novak, T., et al. (2008). Confocal imaging of glutathione redox potential in living plant cells. *J. Microsc.* 231, 299–316. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2818.2008.02030.x
- Shaked, Z., Szajewski, R., and Whitesides, G. (1980). Rates of thiol-disulfide interchange reactions involving proteins and kinetic measurements of thiol pK_a values. *Biochemistry* 19, 4156–4166. doi: 10.1021/bi00559a004
- Shaner, N., Steinbach, P., and Tsien, R. (2005). A guide to choosing fluorescent proteins. *Nat. Methods* 2, 905–909. doi: 10.1038/nmeth819
- Somerville, C. R., and Ogren, W. L. (1982). “Isolation of photorespiration mutants in *Arabidopsis*,” in *Methods in Chloroplast Molecular Biology*, eds M. Edelman, R. B. Hallick, and N. H. Chua (Amsterdam: Elsevier Biomedical Press), 129–138.
- Topell, S., Hennecke, J., and Glockshuber, R. (1999). Circularly permuted variants of the green fluorescent protein. *FEBS Lett.* 457, 283–289. doi: 10.1016/S0014-5793(99)01044-3
- Torres, M. A., Jones, J. D. G., and Dangl, J. L. (2006). Reactive oxygen species signaling in response to pathogens. *Plant Physiol.* 141, 373–378. doi: 10.1104/pp.106.079467
- Vernoux, T., Wilson, R. C., Seeley, K. A., Reichheld, J. P., Muroy, S., Brown, S., et al. (2000). The ROOT MERISTEMLESS1/CADMIUM SENSITIVE2 gene defines a glutathione-dependent pathway involved in initiation and maintenance of cell division during postembryonic root development. *Plant Cell* 12, 97–110. doi: 10.2307/3871032
- Wierer, S., Peter, S., Elgass, K., Mack, H., Bieker, S., Meixner, A., et al. (2012). Determination of the *in vivo* redox potential by one-wavelength spectroscopy of roGFP. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* 403, 737–744. doi: 10.1007/s00216-012-5911-0
- Zaffagnini, M., Bedhomme, M., Marchand, C., Couturier, J., Gao, X., Rouhier, N., et al. (2012). Glutaredoxin s12: unique properties for redox signaling. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 16, 17–32. doi: 10.1089/ars.2011.3933

Conflict of Interest Statement: The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Received: 03 September 2013; accepted: 26 November 2013; published online: 16 December 2013.

Citation: Aller I, Rouhier N and Meyer AJ (2013) Development of roGFP2-derived redox probes for measurement of the glutathione redox potential in the cytosol of severely glutathione-deficient *rml1* seedlings. *Front. Plant Sci.* 4:506. doi: 10.3389/fpls.2013.00506

This article was submitted to *Plant Cell Biology*, a section of the journal *Frontiers in Plant Science*.

Copyright © 2013 Aller, Rouhier and Meyer. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.