

Monomial convergence for holomorphic functions on ℓ_r

Frédéric Bayart, Andreas Defant, Sunke Schlüters

▶ To cite this version:

Frédéric Bayart, Andreas Defant, Sunke Schlüters. Monomial convergence for holomorphic functions on $\ell_r.$ 2016. hal-01264245

HAL Id: hal-01264245 https://hal.science/hal-01264245

Preprint submitted on 29 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Monomial convergence for holomorphic functions on ℓ_r

Frédéric Bayart * Andreas Defant[†] Sunke Schlüters[‡]

Abstract

Let \mathscr{F} be either the set of all bounded holomorphic functions or the set of all *m*-homogeneous polynomials on the unit ball of ℓ_r . We give a systematic study of the sets of all $u \in \ell_r$ for which the monomial expansion $\sum_{\alpha} \frac{\partial^{\alpha} f(0)}{\alpha!} u^{\alpha}$ of every $f \in \mathscr{F}$ converges. Inspired by recent results from the general theory of Dirichlet series, we establish as our main tool, independently interesting, upper estimates for the unconditional basis constants of spaces of polynomials on ℓ_r spanned by finite sets of monomials.

1 Introduction

Let *X* be a Banach sequence space (i.e., $\ell_1 \subset X \subset c_0$ such that the canonical sequences (e_k) form a 1-unconditional basis) and $R \subset X$ a Reinhardt domain (i.e., a nonempty open set such that any complex sequence *u* belongs to *R* whenever there exists $z \in R$ with $|u| \leq |z|$; for instance, the open unit ball B_X of *X*). Then each holomorphic (i.e., Fréchet differentiable) function $f : R \to \mathbb{C}$ has a power series expansion $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n} c_{\alpha}^{(n)} z^{\alpha}$ on every finite dimensional section R_n of *R*, and for example from the Cauchy formula we can see that $c_{\alpha}^{(n)} = c_{\alpha}^{(n+1)}$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n \subset \mathbb{N}_0^{n+1}$. Thus there is a unique family $(c_{\alpha}(f))_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}}$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $z \in R_n$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha z^\alpha.$$

The power series $\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha}$ is called the monomial expansion of *f*, and $c_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}(f)$ are its monomial coefficients.

^{*}Laboratoire de Mathématiques Université Blaise Pascal Campus des Cézeaux, F-63177 Aubière Cedex (France)

[†]Institut für Mathematik. Universität Oldenburg. D-26111 Oldenburg (Germany)

[‡]Institut für Mathematik. Universität Oldenburg. D-26111 Oldenburg (Germany)

Contrary to what happens on finite dimensional domains, the monomial expansion of f does not necessarily converge at every point of R. This in [16] motivated the introduction of the following definition: Given a subset $\mathcal{F}(R)$ of H(R), the set of all holomorphic functions on R, we call

$$\operatorname{mon}\mathscr{F}(R) = \left\{ z \in R : \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} \left| c_{\alpha}(f) z^{\alpha} \right| < \infty \text{ for all } f \in \mathscr{F}(R) \right\}$$

the domain of monomial convergence with respect to $\mathscr{F}(R)$.

By continuity of a holomorphic function, and since the equality is satisfied on R_n , we know that for all $z \in \text{mon } \mathscr{F}(R)$,

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}} c_\alpha(f) z^\alpha.$$

We are mostly interested in determining mon $\mathscr{F}(R)$ when $\mathscr{F}(R) = \mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r})$ or $H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{r}})$ for $1 \leq r \leq \infty$; as usual we denote by $H_{\infty}(B_{X})$ the Banach space of all bounded holomorphic functions $f : B_{X} \to \mathbb{C}$, and by $\mathscr{P}({}^{m}X)$ its closed subspace of all *m*-homogeneous polynomials *P* (i.e., all restrictions of bounded *m*-linear forms on X^{m} to their diagonals).

The case r = 1 was solved completely by Lempert in [20], and the case $r = \infty$ seems fairly well-understood through the results of [6] (for more on these results see the introductions of the sections 5.1 and 5.2). However, for $1 < r < \infty$, despite the results of [16], the description of mon $\mathcal{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r})$ and mon $H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{r}})$ remains mysterious. In this paper, we improve the knowledge on these cases.

Of course, for $X = \ell_1$ the fact that each sequence in ℓ_1 by definition is absolutely summable is a big advantage, and for $X = \ell_{\infty}$ the crucial tool is the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality (an inequality for *m*-linear forms on ℓ_{∞}) together with all its recent improvements. But for $X = \ell_r$ with $r \neq 1, \infty$ we need alternative techniques.

The problem is to find for each $u \in B_{\ell_r}$ an additional *summability condition* which guaranties full control of all sums $\sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}(f)u^{\alpha}|$, $f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$. The general idea is simple. Split the set $\mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})}$ of all multi indices α into a union of finite sets Λ_n , and then each Λ_n into the disjoint union of all its *m*-homogeneous parts $\Lambda_{n,m}$ (i.e., all $\alpha \in \Lambda_n$ with order $|\alpha| = m$). The challenge now is as follows: Find a clever decomposition

$$\mathbb{N}_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})} = \bigcup_{m,n} \Lambda_{m,n}, \qquad (1)$$

which allows a in a sense uniform control over all possible partial sums

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{n,m}} \left| c_{\alpha}(f) u^{\alpha} \right|, \quad f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}), \tag{2}$$

such that under the additional summability property of $u \in B_{\ell_r}$ we for all functions f finally can conclude that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{(\mathbb{N})}} \left| c_{\alpha}(f) u^{\alpha} \right| \leq \sum_{n} \sum_{m} \sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda_{n,m}} \left| c_{\alpha}(f) u^{\alpha} \right| < \infty$$

In order to study domains mon $\mathscr{F}(R)$ of monomial convergence, the decomposition in (1) which for our purposes is crucial, is inspired by the work of Konyagin and Queffélec from [19] on Dirichlet series (see 11), and it is based on the fundamental theorem of arithmetics. In order to handle (2), we study for arbitrary finite index sets Λ of multi indices upper bounds of the unconditional basis constant of the subspace in $\mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r})$ spanned by all monomials z^{α} , $\alpha \in \Lambda$. Two tools of seemingly independent interest are established. The first one is a fairly general upper estimate whenever all $\alpha \in \Lambda$ are *m*-homogeneous (i.e., $|\alpha| = m$) (Theorem 3.2). The second one leads to such estimates for certain sets Λ of nonhomogeneous $\alpha' s$, needed to apply the above technique of Konyagin and Queffélec (Theorem 4.1 and 4.2). Finally, we present our new results on sets of monomial convergence for homogeneous polynomials and bounded holomorphic functions on ℓ_r (for polynomials see part (3),(4) of Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3, and for holomorphic functions Theorem 5.5 with its corollaries 5.6 and 5.7).

2 Preliminaries

We use standard notation from Banach space theory. As usual, we denote the conjugate exponent of $1 \le r \le \infty$ by r', i.e. $\frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{r'} = 1$. Given $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ we consider the following sets of indices

$$\mathcal{M}(m,n) = \left\{ \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m); 1 \le j_1, \dots, j_m \le n \right\} = \{1, \dots, n\}^m$$
$$\mathcal{M}(m) = \mathbb{N}^m$$
$$\mathcal{M} = \mathbb{N}^\mathbb{N}$$

and

$$\mathcal{J}(m,n) = \left\{ \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}(m,n) ; 1 \le j_1 \le \dots \le j_m \le n \right\}$$

$$\mathcal{J}(m) = \bigcup_n \mathcal{J}(m,n)$$

$$\mathcal{J} = \bigcup_m \mathcal{J}(m).$$

For indices $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{M}$ we denote by $(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}) = (i_1, i_2, \dots, j_1, j_2, \dots)$ the concatenation of \mathbf{i} and \mathbf{j} . An equivalence relation is defined in $\mathcal{M}(m)$ as follows: $\mathbf{i} \sim \mathbf{j}$ if there is

a permutation σ such that $i_{\sigma(k)} = j_k$ for all k. We write $|\mathbf{i}|$ for the cardinality of the equivalence class $[\mathbf{i}]$. Moreover, we note that for each $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m)$ there is a unique $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m)$ such that $\mathbf{i} \sim \mathbf{j}$.

Let us compare our index notation with the multi index notation usually used in the context of polynomials. There is a one-to-one relation between $\mathcal{J}(m)$ and

$$\Lambda(m) = \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^{(\mathbb{N})} ; \, |\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \alpha_i = m \right\};$$

indeed, given **j**, one can define α by doing $\alpha_r = |\{q \mid j_q = r\}|$; conversely, for each α , we consider $\mathbf{j}_{\alpha} = (1, \stackrel{\alpha_1}{\ldots}, 1, 2, \stackrel{\alpha_2}{\ldots}, 2, \ldots, n, \stackrel{\alpha_n}{\ldots}, n, \ldots)$. In the same way we may identify $\Lambda(m, n) = \{\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n; |\alpha| = m\}$ with $\mathscr{J}(m, n)$. Note that $|\mathbf{j}_{\alpha}| = \frac{m!}{\alpha!}$ for every $\alpha \in \Lambda(m)$. Taking this correspondence into account, for every Banach sequence space *X* the monomial series expansion of a *m*-homogeneous polynomial $P \in \mathscr{P}(^m X)$ can be expressed in different ways (we write $c_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha}(P)$)

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \Lambda(m)} c_{\alpha} z^{\alpha} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m)} c_{\mathbf{j}} z_{\mathbf{j}} = \sum_{1 \le j_1 \le \dots \le j_m} c_{j_1 \dots j_m} z_{j_1} \cdots z_{j_m}$$

Given a Banach sequence space *X* and some index subset $J \subset \mathcal{J}$, we write $\mathcal{P}(^{J}X)$ for the closed subspace of all holomorphic functions $f \in H_{\infty}(B_X)$ for which $c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) = 0$ for all $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J} \setminus J$. Clearly, $\mathcal{P}(^{m}X) = \mathcal{P}(^{\mathcal{J}(m)}X)$. If $J \subset \mathcal{J}$ is finite, then

$$\mathscr{P}(^{J}X) = \operatorname{span}\left\{z_{\mathbf{j}}: \mathbf{j} \in J\right\},\$$

where z_j for $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, ..., j_\ell)$ stands for the monomial $z_j : u \mapsto u_j := u_{j_1} \cdot ... \cdot u_{j_\ell}$. For $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m)$, we call

$$J^* = \left\{ \mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m-1); \ \exists k \ge 1, \ (\mathbf{j}, k) \in J \right\}$$

the reduced set of J.

3 Unconditionality

Given a compact group *G*, the Sidon constant of a finite set \mathscr{C} of characters γ (in the dual group) is the best constant $c \ge 0$, denoted by $S(\mathscr{C})$, such that for every choice of scalars $c_{\gamma}, \gamma \in \mathscr{C}$, we have that

$$\sum_{\gamma \in \mathscr{C}} |c_{\gamma}| \le c \left\| \sum_{\gamma \in \mathscr{C}} c_{\gamma} \gamma \right\|_{\infty}.$$

An immediate consequence of the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality is that

$$1 \le S(\mathscr{C}) \le |\mathscr{C}|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For the circle groups $G = \mathbb{T}$, \mathbb{T}^n and \mathbb{T}^∞ different values are possible:

A well-known result of Rudin shows that for the set *C* = {1, *z*,...,*zⁿ⁻¹*} of characters on *G* = T we have, up to constants independent of *n*,

$$S(\mathscr{C}) \asymp \sqrt{n}$$
. (3)

• In [14] it was proved that for every m, n the Sidon constant of the monomials $\mathscr{C} = \{z^{\alpha} : \alpha \in \Lambda(m, n)\}$ on $G = \mathbb{T}^{n}$, up to the *m*th power C^{m} of some absolute constant *C*, satisfies

$$S(\mathscr{C}) \asymp |\Lambda(m-1,n)|^{\frac{1}{2}} . \tag{4}$$

• In contrast, a reformulation of a result of Aron and Globevnik [2, Thm 1.3] shows that for every *m* the Sidon constant of the sparse set $\mathcal{C} = \{z_j^m : j \in \mathbb{N}\}$ fulfills

$$S(\mathscr{C}) = 1. \tag{5}$$

Let us transfer some of these results into terms of unconditional bases constants of spaces polynomials on sequence spaces. Recall that a Schauder basis (x_n) of a Banach space X is said to be unconditional whenever there is a constant $c \ge 0$ such that $\|\sum_k \varepsilon_k \alpha_k x_k\| \le c \|\sum_k \alpha_k x_k\|$ for every $x = \sum_k a_k x_k \in X$ and all choices of $(\varepsilon_k)_k \subset \mathbb{C}$ with $|\varepsilon_k| = 1$. In this case, the best constant c is denoted by $\chi((x_n))$ and called the unconditional basis constant of (x_n) . If such a constant doesn't exist, i.e. if the basis is not unconditional, we set $\chi((x_n)) = \infty$.

Given a Banach sequence space *X* and an index set $J \subset \mathcal{J}$, such that the set $\mathscr{C} = \{z_j : j \in J\}$ of all monomials associated with *J* (counted in a suitable way) forms an basis of $\mathscr{P}(^JX)$, we write

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{J}X)) = \chi(\mathscr{C}).$$

If we interpret each of these monomials z_j as a character on the group \mathbb{T}^{∞} , then a straightforward calculation (using the distinguished maximum modulus principle) proves that

$$S(\mathscr{C}) = \chi_{\mathrm{mon}} \big(\mathscr{P}({}^{J}\ell_{\infty}) \big).$$

A simple but useful lemma shows that $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{I}\ell_{\infty}))$ is an upper bound of all $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{I}X))$.

Lemma 3.1. Let X be a Banach sequence space and let $J \subset \mathcal{J}$, such that the monomials form a basis of $\mathcal{P}(^J X)$. Then

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^{f}X)) \leq \chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^{f}\ell_{\infty})).$$

Proof. Assume $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{J}\ell_{\infty})) < \infty$ (otherwise there is nothing to show). For $P \in \mathscr{P}({}^{J}X)$ and a fixed $u \in B_X$ define $Q(w) = P(wu) \in \mathscr{P}({}^{J}\ell_{\infty})$. Since B_X is a Reinhardt domain, we have $||Q||_{\infty} \leq ||P||_{\infty}$. It is now sufficient to observe that

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)u_{\mathbf{j}}| = \sup_{w\in B_{\ell_{\infty}}} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)u_{\mathbf{j}}||w_{\mathbf{j}}| = \sup_{w\in B_{\ell_{\infty}}} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(Q)||w_{\mathbf{j}}|$$
$$\leq \chi_{\mathrm{mon}} (\mathscr{P}(^{J}\ell_{\infty})) ||Q||_{\infty} \leq \chi_{\mathrm{mon}} (\mathscr{P}(^{J}\ell_{\infty})) ||P||_{\infty},$$

the conclusion.

Let us again see some examples: Given *X*, an immediate consequence of (3) is that for $\mathscr{P}({}^{J}X) = \operatorname{span}\{z_{1}^{j}; 0 \le j \le n-1\}$ we have, up to a universal constant,

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^{J}X)) \asymp \sqrt{n},$$

and from (5) we may deduce that for $J = \{(k, \dots, k); k \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathcal{J}(m)$

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^{J}X)) = 1.$$

Generalizing (4) is much more complicated. In the scale of all ℓ_r -spaces the results from [4] (lower estimates) and [13, 14] (upper estimates) show that for $1 \le r \le \infty$

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}\big(\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}\ell_r)\big) \asymp \big|\mathscr{I}^{(m-1,n)}\big|^{1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{min}(r,2)}},\tag{6}$$

where \approx means that the left and the right side equal up to the *m*-th power C^m of a constant only depending on *r* (and neither on *m* nor on *n*).

Replacing the index set $\mathcal{J}(m, n)$ by an arbitrary finite subset $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m, n)$ the following result is a strong improvement and our main tool within our later study of sets of monomial convergence.

Theorem 3.2. Given $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $m \ge 1$, there is a constant $C(m, r) \ge 1$ such that for every $n \ge 1$, every $P \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}\ell_r)$, every $J \subset \mathscr{J}(m,n)$, and every $u \in \ell_r$ we have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| \le C(m,r) |J^*|^{1-\frac{1}{\min(r,2)}} ||u||_r^m ||P||_{\infty},$$
(7)

where

$$C(m,r) \leq \begin{cases} eme^{(m-1)/r} & \text{if } 1 \leq r \leq 2\\ em2^{(m-1)/2} & \text{if } 2 \leq r \leq \infty. \end{cases}$$

In particular, for every finite $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m)$

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^{J}\ell_{r})) \leq C(m,r)|J^{*}|^{1-\frac{1}{\mathrm{min}(r,2)}}.$$
(8)

The proof is given in the following two subsections; it is different for $r \le 2$ and for $r \ge 2$. The case $r = \infty$ of (6) is given in [14], and it uses the hypercontractive Bohnenblust-Hille inequality. The general case $1 \le r \le \infty$ from [13] needs sophisticated tools from local Banach space theory (as Gordon-Lewis and projection constants). These arguments in fact only work for the whole index set $\mathscr{J}(m, n)$, and they seem to fail in full generality for subsets J of $\mathscr{J}(m, n)$. We here provide a tricky, but quite elementary, argument which works for arbitrary J; moreover, we point out that even for the special case $J = \mathscr{J}(m, n)$ we obtain better constants C(m, r) for (8) than in [13].

From [15] we know that for each infinite dimensional Banach sequence space *X*, the Banach space $\mathscr{P}(^mX)$ never has an unconditional basis. In particular, the unconditional basis constant $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(^mX))$ of all monomials $(z^j)_{j \in \mathscr{J}(m)}$ is not finite. But let us note that in contrast to this there are *X* such that for each *m*

$$\sup_{n} \chi_{\mathrm{mon}} \big(\mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}X) \big) < \infty$$

(this can be easily shown for $X = \ell_1$, but following [15] there are even examples of this type different from ℓ_1).

3.1 The case $r \le 2$

We need several lemmas. The first one is a Cauchy estimate and can be found in [7, p. 323]. For the sake of completeness we include a streamlined argument.

Lemma 3.3. Let $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}_0^n$ with $|\alpha| = m$. Then for each $P \in \mathscr{P}\binom{m}{r}\binom{n}{r}$ we have

$$|c_{\alpha}(P)| \leq \left(\frac{m^m}{\alpha^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} ||P||_{\infty}$$

In particular, for each $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m, n)$ we have that

$$|c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| \le e^{\frac{m}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{\frac{1}{r}} ||P||_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Define $u = m^{-1/r}(\alpha_1^{1/r}, \dots, \alpha_n^{1/r}) \in B_{\ell_r^n}$. Then by the Cauchy integral formula for each $P \in \mathscr{P}\binom{m}{r}\binom{n}{r}$

$$c_{\alpha}(P) = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^n} \int_{|z_n| = u_n} \dots \int_{|z_1| = u_1} \frac{P(z)}{z^{\alpha} z_1 \dots z_n} dz.$$

Hence we obtain

$$|c_{\alpha}(P)| \leq \frac{1}{|u^{\alpha}|} \|P\|_{\infty} = \left(\frac{m^{m}}{\alpha^{\alpha}}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \|P\|_{\infty}$$

the conclusion. For the second inequality note first that $\left(\frac{m^m}{\alpha^\alpha}\right)^{\frac{1}{r}} \le e^{m/r} \left(\frac{m!}{\alpha!}\right)^{1/r}$, and recall that if we associate to **j** the multi index α , then $\frac{m!}{\alpha!} = |\mathbf{j}|$.

Corollary 3.4. Consider the linear operator $Q \in \mathcal{L}(\ell_r^n, \mathcal{P}(^{m-1}\ell_r^n))$ defined by

$$Q(z, w) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m-1,n)} \left(\sum_{k=1}^n b_{(\mathbf{j},k)} z_{\mathbf{j}} \right) w_k,$$

where $z, w \in \ell_r^n$. Then for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, n)$,

.

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |b_{(\mathbf{j},k)}|^{r'}\right)^{1/r'} \le e^{\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} \|Q\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Let us fix $w \in B_{\ell_r^n}$. Then $Q(\cdot, w) \in \mathcal{P}(^{m-1}\ell_r^n)$. Thus, by the preceding lemma for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, n)$,

$$\left|\sum_{k=1}^{n} b_{(\mathbf{j},k)} w_{k}\right| \leq e^{\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} \sup_{z \in B_{\ell_{r}}^{n}} |Q(z,w)| \leq e^{\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} ||Q||_{\infty}$$

We now take the supremum over all possible $w \in B_{\ell_r^n}$.

Lemma 3.5. Let $P \in \mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r}^{n})$. Then for any $\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m-1, n)$

$$\left(\sum_{k=j_{m-1}}^{n} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(P)|^{r'}\right)^{1/r'} \le m e^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} ||P||_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Let $A: \ell_r^n \times \ldots \times \ell_r^n \to \mathbb{C}$ be the symmetric *m*-linear form associated to *P*,

$$A(z^{(1)},...,z^{(m)}) = \sum_{\mathbf{i}\in\mathscr{M}(m,n)} a_{\mathbf{i}}(A) z_{i_1}^{(1)} \cdots z_{i_m}^{(m)};$$

in particular, for each $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m, n)$ we have $a_{\mathbf{j}}(A) = \frac{c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)}{|\mathbf{j}|}$. For $z, w \in \ell_r^n$ define the linear operator

 $Q(z,w) = A(z,\ldots,z,w) \in \mathcal{L}\left(\ell_r^n, \mathcal{P}(^{m-1}\ell_r^n)\right);$

then a simple calculation proves

$$Q(z, w) = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m,n)} a_{\mathbf{i}}(A) z_{i_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{m-1}} w_{i_{m}}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{M}(m-1,n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{(\mathbf{i},k)}(A) z_{i_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{m-1}} w_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1,n)} \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [\mathbf{j}]} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{(\mathbf{i},k)}(A) z_{i_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{m-1}} w_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1,n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{i} \in [\mathbf{j}]} a_{(\mathbf{i},k)}(A) z_{i_{1}} \cdots z_{i_{m-1}} \right) w_{k}$$

$$= \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1,n)} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(a_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(A) |\mathbf{j}| z_{j_{1}} \cdots z_{j_{m-1}} \right) w_{k}.$$

Now note that for every $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1, n)$ we have $|(\mathbf{j}, k)| \le m|\mathbf{j}|$, and hence by the preceding corollary for such \mathbf{j}

$$\begin{split} \left(\sum_{k:j_{m-1}\leq k} \left| c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(P) \right|^{r'} \right)^{1/r'} &= \left(\sum_{k:j_{m-1}\leq k} \left| a_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(A) |(\mathbf{j},k)| \right|^{r'} \right)^{1/r'} \\ &\leq m \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left| a_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(A) |\mathbf{j}| \right|^{r'} \right)^{1/r'} \leq m \, e^{\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} \|Q\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

Finally, by Harris' polarization formula we know that $||Q||_{\infty} \le e ||P||_{\infty}$, and hence we obtain the desired conclusion.

Now we are ready to give the

Proof of Theorem 3.2 for $1 \le r \le 2$. Take $P \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}\ell_r), J \subset \mathscr{J}(m,n)$ and $u \in \ell_r$. Then, by Lemma 3.5, for any $\mathbf{j} \in J^*$,

$$\left(\sum_{k: (\mathbf{j},k)\in J} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(P)|^{r'}\right)^{1/r'} \le \left(\sum_{k=j_{m-1}}^{n} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(P)|^{r'}\right)^{1/r'} \le me^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} ||P||_{\infty}$$

Now by Hölder's inequality (two times) and the multinomial formula we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} \sum_{k: \ (\mathbf{j},k)\in J} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| |u_{k}| \\ &\leq \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} |u_{\mathbf{j}}| \left(\sum_{k: \ (\mathbf{j},k)\in J} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}|^{r'} \right)^{1/r'} \left(\sum_{k} |u_{k}|^{r} \right)^{1/r} \\ &\leq m e^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} |\mathbf{j}|^{1/r} |u_{\mathbf{j}}| ||u_{\|}^{r} ||P||_{\infty} \\ &\leq m e^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} |\mathbf{j}| |u_{\mathbf{j}}|^{r} \right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} 1 \right)^{1/r'} ||u_{\|}^{r} ||P||_{\infty} \\ &\leq m e^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in \mathcal{J}^{*}} |\mathbf{j}| |u_{\mathbf{j}}|^{r} \right)^{1/r} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}} 1 \right)^{1/r'} ||u_{\|}^{r} ||P||_{\infty} \\ &= m e^{1+\frac{m-1}{r}} |J^{*}|^{1-\frac{1}{r}} ||u||_{r}^{m} ||P||_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

In order to deduce (8), note that for every finite $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m)$ there is *n* such that $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m, n)$. Then every $P \in \mathcal{P}(^{J}\ell_{r})$ can be considered as a polynomial in $\mathcal{P}(^{\mathcal{J}(m,n)}\ell_{r})$ with equal norm, which implies the conclusion.

3.2 The case $r \ge 2$

Note first that the simple argument from the proof of Lemma 3.1 shows that we only have to deal with the case $r = \infty$. For $r = \infty$ we need another lemma which substitutes the argument (by Cauchy's estimates) from Lemma 3.3. It is an improvement of Parseval's identity, and its proof can be found in [6, Lemma 2.5].

Lemma 3.6. Let $P \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}\ell_{\infty})$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m-1,n) \\ j_{m-1} \leq k}} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}(P)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \leq em 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} \|P\|_{\infty}.$$

We are now ready for the

Proof of Theorem 3.2 for $r = \infty$. Let $P \in \mathscr{P}(\mathscr{I}^{(m,n)}\ell_{\infty})$. Then, for any $u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}}$, by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the preceding Lemma 3.6 we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*} \\ (\mathbf{j},k)\in J}} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}| \right) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*} \\ (\mathbf{j},k)\in J}} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} \left| \{\mathbf{j}\in J^{*}: (\mathbf{j},k)\in J\} \right|^{1/2} \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j}\in \mathscr{J}^{(m-1,n)} \\ j_{m-1}\leq k}} |c_{(\mathbf{j},k)}|^{2} \right)^{1/2} |J^{*}|^{1/2} \\ &\leq em 2^{\frac{m-1}{2}} |J^{*}|^{1/2} \|P\|_{\infty}. \end{split}$$

For the second statement, see again the argument from the proof in the case $1 \le r \le 2$. This finally completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Remark 3.7. It is natural to ask for lower bounds of $\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{I}\ell_{r}))$ using |J| or $|J^{*}|$. For the whole set of *m*-homogeneous polynomials, this has been done in [10] for $r \ge 2$ and in [4] for $1 \le r \le 2$. Using the Kahane-Salem-Zygmund inequality, we can give such a lower bound at least for the case $r = \infty$. Indeed,

assume that $J \subset \mathcal{J}(m, n)$. Then there exists some absolut constant C > 0 and signs $(\varepsilon_j)_{j \in J}$ such that

$$\sup_{u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}^n}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \leq C n^{1/2} |J|^{1/2} (\log m)^{1/2}.$$

Now, the inequality

$$|J| = \sup_{u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}^n}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} |\varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}}| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| \le \chi_{\mathrm{mon}} (\mathcal{P}(^{J}\ell_{\infty})) \sup_{u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}^n}} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}} u_{\mathbf{j}} \right|$$

yields

$$\chi_{\text{mon}}(\mathscr{P}({}^{J}\ell_{\infty})) \ge \frac{|J|^{1/2}}{Cn^{1/2}(\log m)^{1/2}}.$$

However, the inequality given by Theorem 3.2 is very bad if *J* involves many independent variables; see in particular (5).

Remark 3.8. Given an index set $J \subset \mathcal{J}$, we define the Bohr radius of a Reinhardt *R* in \mathbb{C}^n with respect to *J* by

$$K(R;J) = \sup\left\{0 \le r \le 1 \mid \forall f \in H_{\infty}(R) : \sup_{u \in rR} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \le ||f||_{\infty}\right\}.$$

The standard multi-variable Bohr radius is then denoted by $K(R) = K(R; \mathcal{J})$. Let us recall the two most important results on Bohr radii: For the open unit disc $R = \mathbb{D}$, Bohr's power series theorem states that $K(\mathbb{D}) = \frac{1}{3}$, and in [5] (following the main idea of [14]) it was recently proved that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{K(B_{\ell_{\infty}^n})}{\sqrt{\frac{\log n}{n}}} = 1.$$

For every $1 \le r \le \infty$ and every *n* (with constants depending on *r* only) we have

$$K(B_{\ell_r^n}) \asymp \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1 - \frac{1}{\min\{r, 2\}}}.$$
(9)

The probabilistic argument for the upper estimate is due to [7] (see also [10]), and the proof of the lower estimate from [13] uses symmetric tensor products and local Banach space theory. We here sketch a simplified argument based on Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.9. Let $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\min(r,2)}$. Then there is a constant C = C(r) such for every $J \subset \mathcal{J}$ and every n

$$\frac{C}{\sup_{m} \left| (J(m,n)^* \right|^{\frac{\sigma}{m}}} \le K(B_{\ell_r^n};J),$$
(10)

where $J(m, n) := J \cap \mathcal{J}(m, n)$ and $C \ge \frac{1}{3e^2} > 0$.

Proof. By a simple analysis of [10, Theorem 2.2] as well as [10, Lemma 2.1] we have

$$\frac{1}{3}\inf_{m}K\left(B_{\ell_{r}^{n}};J(m,n)\right)\leq K(B_{\ell_{r}^{n}};J),$$

and

$$K(B_{\ell_r^n}; J(m, n)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt[m]{\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}(\mathscr{P}(J(m, n)\ell_r))}}$$

Then the conclusion is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2 and the simple fact that for the constant $C(m, r) \le eme^{(m-1)/\min\{r, 2\}} \le e^{2m}$.

Now the proof for the lower bound in (9) follows from the the special case $J = \mathcal{J}$. Indeed,

$$J^*(m,n) = \mathscr{J}(m-1,n) = \binom{(m-1)+n-1}{m-1} \le e^{m-1} \left(1 + \frac{n}{m-1}\right)^{m-1}$$

hence inserting this estimate into (10) and minimizing over m gives what we want.

4 The Konyagin-Queffélec method

We now apply Theorem 3.2 to a special method of summation which was originally used by Konyagin and Queffélec to find the correct asymptotic order of the Sidon constant of Dirichlet polynomials of lenght *x*. In [19] they proved the following: there exists a constant $\beta > 0$, such that for every Dirichlet polynomial $\sum_{n=1}^{x} a_n n^{-s}$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{x} |a_n| \le \sqrt{x} \exp\left(\left(-\beta + o(1)\right) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}\right) \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \left|\sum_{n=1}^{x} a_n n^{it}\right|.$$
(11)

This was improved in [8], where an improved lower bound on β was given, and in [14] where the precise value $\beta = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$ was determined.

It turns out that (11) is linked to our subject by the Bohr point of view. Indeed, define for each *x* the index set $J(x) := \{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J} : p_{\mathbf{j}} \le x\}$. Then to each Dirichlet polynomial

$$D(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{x} a_n n^{-s} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J(x)} a_{p_{\mathbf{j}}} p_{\mathbf{j}}^{-s},$$

we can associate a polynomial

$$P(z) = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J(x)} a_{p_{\mathbf{j}}} z_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathscr{P}(^{J} \ell_{\infty}).$$

Kronecker's theorem ensures that $||P||_{\infty} = \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |D(it)|$, and the result of [14, Theorem 3] translates into the following remarkable equality (the improvement of (11) mentioned above):

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}\big(\mathscr{P}(^{J(x)}\ell_{\infty})\big) = \sqrt{x}\exp\left(\left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right);$$
(12)

in other terms, the latter expression gives the precise asymptotic order of the Sidon constant S(x) for the characters z_i , $j \in J(x)$ on the group \mathbb{T}^{∞} .

There is also an *m*-homogeneous version of (12) due to Balasubramanian, Calado and Queffeléc [1] with an original formulation analog to (11). We reformulate it as follows: Define for *m* the index set $J(x, m) := \{\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m) : p_{\mathbf{j}} \leq x\}$. Then with constants only depending on *m*

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}\left(\mathscr{P}(^{J(x,m)}\ell_{\infty})\right) \approx \frac{x^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}}{\left(\log x\right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}}.$$
(13)

The following two theorems extend these results to the scale of ℓ_r -spaces, and more. The original proofs of (12) and (13) are heavily based on the Bohnenblust-Hille inequality and its recent improvements. Here we need Theorem 3.2 as a substitute. Part (1) of the first theorem obviously extends the upper estimate from (12) to the scale of ℓ_r -spaces, part (2) even modifies the index set J(x, m) (so far defined via primes). Both results are of particular interest for our study of sets of monomial convergence in the next section.

Theorem 4.1. Let $1 \le r \le \infty$ and set $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\min\{r,2\}}$. Then for every $f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$, every $u \in B_{\ell_r}$, and every x > e we have

(1) for p denoting the sequence of primes,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}: p_{\mathbf{j}} \le x} \left| c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \le x^{\sigma} \exp\left(\left(-\sqrt{2}\sigma + o(1) \right) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x} \right) \| f \|_{\infty}.$$

(2) for
$$q = (q_k)_k$$
, defined by $q_k = k \cdot (\log(k+2))^{\theta}$ with some $\theta \in (\frac{1}{2}, 1]$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}:q_{\mathbf{j}}\leq x} \left| c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \leq x^{\sigma} \exp\left(\left(-2\sigma \sqrt{\theta - \frac{1}{2}} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{\log x \log \log x} \right) \| f \|_{\infty}.$$

In both cases, the o-term depends neither on x nor on f.

The second theorem extends (13) to the scale of ℓ_r -spaces.

Theorem 4.2. Let $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $m \ge 1$. Then there exists C(m, r) > 0 such that for all $P \in \mathscr{P}(^m \ell_r)$, all $x \ge 3$, and all $u \in \ell_r$ we have

(1) in the case $1 \le r \le 2$:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}: p_{\mathbf{j}} \le x} \left| c_{\mathbf{j}}(P) u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \le C(m, r) \frac{x^{\frac{m-1}{m}(1-\frac{1}{r})} \left(\log \log x \right)^{(m-1)(1-\frac{1}{r})}}{(\log x)^{(1-\frac{1}{r})}} \| u \|_{r}^{m} \| P \|_{\infty}$$

(2) and in the case $2 \le r \le \infty$:

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}: p_{\mathbf{j}} \le x} \left| c_{\mathbf{j}}(P) u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \le C(m, r) \frac{x^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}}{\left(\log x \right)^{\frac{m-1}{2}}} \| u \|_{r}^{m} \| P \|_{\infty}$$

Clearly all these results have reformulations in terms of unconditional basis constants. For example, part (1) of Theorem 4.1 reads:

$$\chi_{\mathrm{mon}}\big(\mathscr{P}(^{J(x)}\ell_r)\big) \le x^{\sigma} \exp\left(\big(-\sqrt{2}\sigma + o(1)\big)\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right).$$

The proofs will be given in (4.2); the next section prepares them.

4.1 Size of some index sets

Although we stated Theorem 4.1 for the sequence of primes p in part (1) and for a specific choice of q in part (2) we want to state our considerations below as generic as possible. Let hereinafter $q = (q_k)_k$ denote a strictly increasing sequence with $q_1 > 1$ and $q_k \to \infty$ for $k \to \infty$. For technical reasons we have to introduce the index of length zero $\vartheta = ()$, for which $q_\vartheta = 1$ and $(\mathbf{i}, \vartheta) = (\vartheta, \mathbf{i}) = \mathbf{i}$ by convention. Let x > 2 and 2 < y < x. Choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$, such that $q_l \le y < q_{l+1}$. We define

$$J(x) := \left\{ \mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J} \mid q_{\mathbf{j}} \le x \right\} \cup \{\vartheta\}$$
$$J^{-}(x; y) := \left\{ \mathbf{j} = (j_{1}, \dots, j_{k}) \in \mathcal{J}(k) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, q_{\mathbf{j}} \le x, j_{k} \le l \right\} \cup \{\vartheta\}$$

and for $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$J(x, m) := \{ \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathcal{J}(m) \mid q_{\mathbf{j}} \le x \}$$

$$J^+(x, m; y) := \{ \mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_m) \in \mathcal{J}(x, m) \mid l < j_1 \},$$

respectively for m = 0, $J^+(x, 0; y) := \{\vartheta\}$.

From the general construction of these sets we can already say something about their size – we need five lemmas.

Lemma 4.3. Let 2 < y < x and $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

(1) $|J^{-}(x; y)| \le \left(1 + \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}\right)^l$

(2)
$$|J(x,m)| = \emptyset$$
 whenever $m > \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}$.

Proof. (1) Using the correspondence between $\mathcal{J}(m)$ and $\Lambda(m)$, $J^{-}(x; y)$ has the same cardinal number as

$$\Gamma^{-}(x; y) := \left\{ \alpha \in \mathbb{N}_{0}^{l} \mid q_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \cdots q_{l}^{\alpha_{l}} \leq x \right\}.$$

Now, for $\alpha \in \Gamma^{-}(x; y)$ and $1 \le j \le l$,

$$q_1^{\alpha_j} \le q_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots q_l^{\alpha_l} \le x,$$

so that $\alpha_j \leq \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}$ for all *j*. (2) Note that for every $\mathbf{j} \in J^+(x, m; y)$ we have $q_1^m \leq q_{\mathbf{j}} \leq x$ which immediately gives the conclusion.

The next lemma relates the size of an index set with the size of its reduced set.

Lemma 4.4. For the reduced index sets,

$$J(x,m)^* \subset J(x^{\frac{m-1}{m}},m-1)$$
 and $J^+(x,m;y)^* \subset J^+(x^{\frac{m-1}{m}},m-1;y).$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{j} = (j_1, \dots, j_{m-1}) \in J(x, m)^*$, respectively $\mathbf{j} \in J^+(x, m; y)^*$. Then there exists $k \ge j_{m-1}$ such that $(\mathbf{j}, k) \in J(x, m)$, respectively $(\mathbf{j}, k) \in J^+(x, m; y)$. Hence $q_{\mathbf{j}} \cdot q_k = q_{(\mathbf{j},k)} \le x$. Since $q_k \ge q_{j_{m-1}}$, this implies either $q_k > x^{\frac{1}{m}}$ or $q_{j_1} \le \dots \le q_{j_{m-1}} \le q_k \le x^{\frac{1}{m}}$. In both cases, $q_{j_1} \cdots q_{j_{m-1}} \le x^{\frac{m-1}{m}}$.

For specific choices of *q* we can say the following about the size of $J^+(x, m; y)$:

Lemma 4.5. Let $q = (q_k)_k$ be defined by $q_k = k \cdot (\log(k+2))^{\theta}$ for some $\theta \in (0,1]$. Then there exists a constant c > 0, such that for every x > y > 2 and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|J^+(x,m;y)| \le xy^{-m} \exp\left(y \cdot \left(g_\theta(x) + c\right)\right)$$

where $g_{\theta}(x) = \frac{1}{1-\theta} (\log x)^{1-\theta}$ for $\theta < 1$ and $g_{\theta}(x) = \log \log x$ for $\theta = 1$.

Proof. From the definition of the series *q*, we see immediately

$$q_{l+k} - q_l \ge q_k \tag{14}$$

for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We have furthermore for $c = q_1^{-1} + q_2^{-1} + q_3^{-1}$,

$$\sum_{k \le x} \frac{1}{q_k} \le \sum_{3 < k \le x} \frac{1}{k(\log k)^{\theta}} + c \le \int_3^x \frac{1}{t(\log t)^{\theta}} \mathrm{d}t + c = \int_{\log 3}^{\log x} \frac{1}{s^{\theta}} \mathrm{d}s + c$$

and therefore by integration

$$\sum_{k \le x} \frac{1}{q_k} \le g_\theta(x) + c.$$
(15)

We introduce a completely multiplicative function,

$$\begin{aligned} |J^+(x,m;y)| &= \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^+(x,m;y)} 1 \le \frac{x}{y^m} \sum_{\mathbf{j}\in J^+(x,m;y)} \frac{y}{q_{j_1}} \cdots \frac{y}{q_{j_m}} \\ &\le \frac{x}{y^m} \prod_{l < k < x} \left(\sum_{\nu=1}^\infty \left(\frac{y}{q_k} \right)^\nu \right) \le \frac{x}{y^m} \exp\left(-\sum_{l < k < x} \log\left(1 - \frac{y}{q_k}\right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Using the series expansion of the logarithm around 1, we obtain for the exponent

$$-\sum_{l < k < x} \log\left(1 - \frac{y}{q_k}\right) = \sum_{l < k < x} \sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\nu} \left(\frac{y}{q_k}\right)^{\nu} \le \sum_{l < k < x} \frac{y}{q_k} \frac{1}{1 - \frac{y}{q_k}}$$

With (14) and the fact that $y \ge q_l$, this leads to

$$-\sum_{l < k < x} \log(1 - \frac{y}{q_k}) \le y \sum_{l < k < x} \frac{1}{q_k - y} \le y \sum_{l < k < x} \frac{1}{q_{k-l}} \le y \cdot (\sum_{k < x} \frac{1}{q_k}).$$

(15) now completes the proof.

Finally, we mention two known estimates which measure the size of J(x, m) and $J^+(x, m; y)$, in the case they are defined with respect to the sequence of primes. The first one is taken from Balazard [3, Corollaire 1], and the second one is a well-known result of Landau (see e.g. [18] for a proof).

Lemma 4.6. Let q denote the sequence of primes. Then there exists a constant c > 0, such that for every x > y > 2 and every $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$|J^+(x,m;y)| \le xy^{-m} \exp\left(y \cdot \left(\log\log x + c\right)\right).$$

Lemma 4.7. Let q denote the sequence of primes and let $m \ge 1$. There exists a constant $C_m > 0$ such that, for all $x \ge 3$,

$$|J(x,m)| \le C_m \frac{x}{\log x} (\log\log x)^{m-1}$$
(16)

4.2 Proofs

The proof of Theorem 4.2 is now very short.

Proof of Theorem 4.2. The proof of the first statement is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2 for the index set J = J(x, m), and of the Lemmas 4.4 and 4.7. The second statement follows from Lemma 3.1 combined with (13).

To present the Konyagin-Queffélec technique in general we need one more additional lemma.

Lemma 4.8. Let $m_1, m_2, l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $P \in \mathscr{P}(^{m_1+m_2}\ell_r)$ such that $c_{\mathbf{k}}(P) \neq 0$ for only finitely many $\mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{J}(m_1 + m_2)$. Then for every $\mathbf{i} \in \mathscr{J}(m_1, l)$ the polynomial

$$P_{\mathbf{i}} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m_2) \\ j_1 > l}} c_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}(P) \, z_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \in \mathscr{P}(^{m_2} \ell_r)$$

satisfies

$$\|P_{\mathbf{i}}\|_{\infty} \leq \|P\|_{\infty}.$$

Proof. Given $u \in \ell_r$, a straightforward calculation shows

$$P_{\mathbf{i}}(u) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^l} P(\zeta_1 u_1, \dots, \zeta_l u_l, u_{l+1} \dots) \bar{\zeta}_{i_1} \cdots \bar{\zeta}_{i_l} \mathbf{d}(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_l),$$

which immediately implies the desired inequality.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Recall the setting of our theorem. Let x > e and 2 < y < x, and choose $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $q_l \le y < q_{l+1}$. Given $u \in B_{\ell_r}$, at first write $u = u^- + u^+$ where $u_k^- = 0$ for k > l and $u_k^+ = 0$ for $k \le l$. Any $\mathbf{k} \in J(x)$ may be written as $\mathbf{k} = (\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})$ with $\mathbf{i} \in J^-(x; y)$ and $\mathbf{j} \in J^+(x, m; y)$. Moreover, $|u_{\mathbf{i}}| = |u_{\mathbf{i}}^-|$ and $|u_{\mathbf{j}}| = |u_{\mathbf{j}}^+|$. Hence,

$$\sum_{q_{\mathbf{k}} \leq x} |c_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}| = \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J^{-}(x; y)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J^{+}(x, m; y) \atop q_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})} \leq x} |c_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})} u_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})}|$$
$$= \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J^{-}(x; y)} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}_{0}} |u_{\mathbf{i}}^{-}| \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in J^{+}(x, m; y) \\ q_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})} \leq x}} |c_{(\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j})} u_{\mathbf{j}}^{+}|.$$

Using Theorem 3.2, we can now estimate the latter sum for every $\mathbf{i} \in J^{-}(x; y)$,

$$\begin{aligned} |u_{\mathbf{i}}^{-}| \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in J^{+}(x,m;y) \\ q_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \leq x}} |c_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} u_{\mathbf{j}}^{+}| &\leq |u_{\mathbf{i}}^{-}| C^{m} |J^{+}(x,m;y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \sup_{\substack{\|\zeta\|_{r} \leq \|u^{+}\|_{r} \\ \forall k \leq l: \zeta_{k} = 0}} \left|\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m) \\ j_{1} > l}} c_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} \zeta_{\mathbf{j}}\right| \\ &\leq C^{m} |J^{+}(x,m;y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \sup_{\substack{\|\zeta\|_{r} \leq \|u^{+}\|_{r} \\ \forall k \leq l: \zeta_{k} = 0}} \left|\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m) \\ j_{1} > l}} c_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} u_{\mathbf{i}}^{-} \zeta_{\mathbf{j}}\right| \\ &\leq C^{m} |J^{+}(x,m;y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \left\|\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m) \\ j_{1} > l}} c_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})} z_{(\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j})}\right\|_{\infty}, \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality is a consequence of $(u^- + \zeta)_{(i,j)} = u_i^- \zeta_j$ and

$$\|u^{-} + \zeta\|_{r}^{r} = \|u^{-}\|_{r}^{r} + \|\zeta\|_{r}^{r} \le \|u^{-}\|_{r}^{r} + \|u^{+}\|_{r}^{r} \le 1.$$

Choose for each $\mathbf{i} \in J^-(x, y)$ some $m_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\mathbf{i} \in \mathcal{J}(m_{\mathbf{i}})$. By Lemma 4.8 we then obtain

$$\sum_{q_{\mathbf{k}} \leq x} |c_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}| \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in J^{-}(x; y)} \sum_{m} C^{m} |J^{+}(x, m; y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \left\| \sum_{\mathbf{k} \in \mathscr{J}(m+m_{\mathbf{i}})} c_{\mathbf{k}} z_{\mathbf{k}} \right\|_{\infty}.$$

Moreover, if we decompose f into its sum of homogeneous Taylor polynomials, then we deduce by Cauchy estimates that

$$\sum_{q_{\mathbf{k}} \le x} |c_{\mathbf{k}} u_{\mathbf{k}}| \le \left(|J^{-}(x; y)| \sum_{m} C^{m} |J^{+}(x, m; y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \right) ||f||_{\infty}.$$

Now $J^+(x, m; y)^* \subset J^+(x^{\frac{m-1}{m}}, m-1)$ and $J^+(x, m; y) = \emptyset$ for $m > \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}$ by Lemma 4.4 and Lemma 4.3. Hence

$$|J^{-}(x;y)| \cdot \sum_{m} C^{m} |J^{+}(x,m;y)^{*}|^{\sigma} \le \left(1 + \frac{\log x}{\log q_{1}}\right)^{l+1} \sup_{m} C^{m} |J^{+}(x^{\frac{m-1}{m}},m-1)|^{\sigma}.$$

Up to this point, our arguments are independent of the specific choice of *q*. We threat both cases at once. In the case of *q* denoting the sequence of primes, set $\theta = 1$. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.6, respectively

$$\left(1 + \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}\right)^{l+1} \cdot \sup_m C^m |J^+(x^{\frac{m-1}{m}}, m-1)|^{\sigma} \leq \left(1 + \frac{\log x}{\log q_1}\right)^{l+1} \cdot \sup_m \left(C^m x^{\frac{m-1}{m}} y^{-m+1} \exp\left(y \cdot \left(g_\theta(x) + c\right)\right)\right)^{\sigma}.$$

Choosing $y = \frac{(\log x)^{\theta - \frac{1}{2}}}{\log \log x}$, this is

$$= x^{\sigma} \exp\left(o(1)\sqrt{\log x \log\log x}\right) \cdot \sup_{m} \left(\underbrace{C^{m} x^{-\frac{1}{m}} y^{-m}}_{m}\right)^{\sigma}$$

Note that $l = O(1) \frac{y}{(\log y)^{\theta}} = o(1) \frac{\sqrt{\log x}}{\log \log x}$; indeed, by the definition of l

$$l(\log(l+2))^{\theta} \le y < (l+1)(\log(l+3))^{\theta} \le (l+2)^{2},$$

hence

$$\frac{y}{(\log y)^{\theta}} \ge \frac{l\left(\log\left(l+2\right)\right)^{\theta}}{\left(\log\left((l+2)^2\right)\right)^{\theta}} = 2^{-\theta} l.$$

Differentiating

$$h_{x,y}(m) = m\log C - \frac{1}{m}\log x - m\log y$$

we see that it attains its maximum at

$$M = \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log y - C}} \ge \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log y}},$$

and therefore

$$\begin{split} h_{x,y}(m) &\leq h_{x,y}(M) \\ &= \underbrace{\log(C) \sqrt{\frac{\log x}{\log y - C}}}_{= o(1)\sqrt{\log x \log \log x}} - 2\sqrt{\log x \log y} \\ &\underbrace{= (-2\sqrt{\theta - \frac{1}{2}} + o(1))} \sqrt{\log x \log \log x}, \end{split}$$

which proves the theorem.

5 Monomial convergence

In this section we apply the new estimates on the unconditional basis constant of polynomials on ℓ_r from the preceding two sections, to the analysis of sets mon $\mathscr{P}({}^m\ell_r)$ and mon $H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$ of monomial convergence of *m*-homogeneous polynomials on ℓ_r and bounded holomorphic functions on B_{ℓ_r} .

5.1 Polynomials

The next statement gives the state of art for homogeneous polynomials.

Theorem 5.1. Let $1 \le r \le \infty$ and $m \ge 2$.

(1) If $r = \infty$, then mon $\mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{\infty}) = \ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1},\infty}$.

(2) If r = 1, then mon $\mathscr{P}(^{m}\ell_{1}) = \ell_{1}$.

(3) If
$$2 \le r < \infty$$
, then $\ell_{\frac{2m}{m-1},\infty} \cdot \ell_r \subset \operatorname{mon} \mathcal{P}(^m \ell_r) \subset \ell_{\left(\frac{m-1}{2m} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1},\infty}$.

(4) If
$$1 < r < 2$$
, then for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\ell_{(mr')'-\varepsilon} \subset \operatorname{mon} \mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r}) \subset \ell_{(mr')',\infty}$.

Several cases of this theorem are already known: the first one can be found in [6] and the second one in [16]. The upper estimate in the third and the fourth case can also be found in [16]. The proof of the lower estimate in the third case follows from a general technique inspired by Lemma 3.1. We need to introduce another notation. For *X* a Banach sequence space, *R* a Reinhard domain in *X* and $\mathscr{F}(R)$ a set of holomorphic functions on *R*, we set

$$[\mathscr{F}(R)]_{\infty} = \{ f_w : u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}} \mapsto f(uw); w \in R, f \in \mathscr{F}(R) \}.$$

 $[\mathcal{F}(R)]_{\infty}$ is a set of holomorphic functions on $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$, and the following general result holds true.

Lemma 5.2. $R \cdot \text{mon}[\mathscr{F}(R)]_{\infty} \subset \text{mon}\mathscr{F}(R).$

Proof. Let $w \in R$ and $u \in \text{mon}[\mathscr{F}(R)]_{\infty}$. For any $f \in \mathscr{F}(R)$ then $c_{\alpha}(f_w) = w^{\alpha}c_{\alpha}(f)$ and therefore

$$\sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}(f)| |wu|^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha} |c_{\alpha}(f_w)| |u|^{\alpha} < +\infty.$$

which yields the claim.

It is now easy to deduce the lower estimate in the third case, knowing the result of part (1). Indeed, $[\mathscr{P}(^{m}X)]_{\infty}$ is contained in the set of bounded *m*-homogeneous polynomials on $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$, thus in $\mathscr{P}(^{m}\ell_{\infty})$ by the natural extension of a bounded polynomial from $B_{\ell_{\infty}}$ to ℓ_{∞} .

The lower inclusion in (4) is a partial solution of a conjecture made in [16] (see the remarks after Example 4.6 in [16]). Its proof seems less simple, and requires some preparation. Note that for $r \ge 2$ we have that

$$\frac{1}{p^{\frac{m-1}{2m}}} \cdot \ell_r \subset \mathrm{mon}\mathscr{P}(^m \ell_r)$$

which is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5.1, (3). For 1 < r < 2 we can prove this up to an ε :

Theorem 5.3. For
$$1 < r < 2$$
 and $m \ge 1$ put $\sigma_m = \frac{m-1}{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)$. Then for every $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{r}$
$$\frac{1}{p^{\sigma_m} (\log(p))^{\varepsilon}} \cdot \ell_r \subset \operatorname{mon} \mathscr{P}({}^m \ell_r).$$

In particular, for all $\varepsilon > 0$ *,*

$$\frac{1}{p^{\sigma_m+\varepsilon}} \cdot \ell_r \subset \operatorname{mon} \mathscr{P}({}^m \ell_r).$$

Proof. Let $P = \sum_{j \in \mathcal{J}(m)} c_j(P) z_j \in \mathcal{P}(^m \ell_r)$ and let $u \in \ell_r$. We intend to show that

$$S := \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m)} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| \frac{1}{(p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_m})^{\sigma_m} \left(\log(p_{j_1}) \cdots \log(p_{j_m})\right)^{\varepsilon}} |u_{\mathbf{j}}| \le C \|u\|_r^m \|P\|_{\infty}$$

for some constant C > 0. Let us observe that, for any $j_1, \ldots, j_m \ge 1$,

$$\log(p_{j_1}) \cdots \log(p_{j_m}) \ge \frac{(\log 2)^{m-1}}{m} \log(p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_m}).$$
(17)

We order the sum over $\mathbf{j} \in \mathcal{J}(m)$ with respect to the value of the product $p_{j_1} \cdots p_{j_m}$. Precisely, using (17), we write

$$S \ll \sum_{N=m}^{+\infty} \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m) \\ 2^N \le p_{\mathbf{j}} < 2^{N+1}}} \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{j}}^{\sigma_m} \log^{\varepsilon}(p_{\mathbf{j}})} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| |u_{\mathbf{j}}| \ll \sum_{N=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{N\sigma_m} N^{\varepsilon}} \sum_{p_{\mathbf{j}} \le 2^{N+1}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(P)| |u_{\mathbf{j}}|.$$

We apply Theorem 4.2 to find

$$S \ll \sum_{N=m}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{2^{N\sigma_m} N^{\varepsilon}} \frac{2^{N\sigma_m} \log(N)^{(m-1)\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)}}{N^{1-\frac{1}{r}}} \|P\|_{\infty} \|u\|_r^m.$$

The series is convergent since $\varepsilon > 1/r$.

Finally, we are ready to provide the

Proof of the lower inclusion of Theorem 5.1, (4). Given $u \in \ell_{(mr')'-\varepsilon}$, we show that the decreasing rearrangement $u^* \in \text{mon}\mathcal{P}(^m \ell_r)$. Then for some $\delta > 0$ we have

$$u_n^* \ll \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')'-\varepsilon}}} = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')'}+\delta}}.$$

By the prime number theorem we know that $p_n \simeq n \log n$, hence

$$\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')^{t}+\delta}}} = \frac{1}{p_n^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}} \frac{p_n^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')^{t}+\delta}}} \ll \frac{1}{p_n^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}} \frac{(n\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')^{t}+\delta}}}.$$

But obviously

$$\frac{(n\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')'}+\delta}} = \frac{(\log n)^{\frac{m-1}{m}\frac{1}{r'}+\frac{\delta}{2}}}{n^{\frac{1}{r}}n^{\frac{\delta}{2}}} \in \ell_r,$$

hence by Theorem 5.3

$$\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{(mr')'}+\delta}} \in \mathrm{mon}\mathscr{P}(^{m}\ell_{r}),$$

the conclusion.

Remark 5.4. A look at [16] shows that in the case r > 2 the proof of the inclusion $\mathfrak{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r}) \subset \ell_{\left(\frac{m-1}{2m}+\frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1},\infty}$ keeps working if we replace ℓ_{r} by $\ell_{r,\infty}$. Indeed, it just uses that

$$\sup_{u \in \ell_r^n, \|u\|_r \le 1} \sum_{k=1}^n |u_k|^2 = n^{1-\frac{2}{r}}$$

and this remains true, up to a constant factor, if we replace $B_{\ell_r^n}$ by $B_{\ell_{r,\infty}^n}$. If we combine this with Lemma 5.2, then we find that, for r > 2,

$$\operatorname{mon}\mathscr{P}({}^{m}\ell_{r,\infty}) = \ell_{\left(\frac{m-1}{2m} + \frac{1}{r}\right)^{-1},\infty}$$

5.2 Holomorphic functions

We now study mon $H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$ for $1 \le r \le +\infty$. The extreme cases are already well-known: By a result of Lempert (see e.g. [20] and [16]) we have

$$mon H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_1}) = B_{\ell_1}.$$
(18)

Moreover by [6] we know that

$$B \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{\infty}}) \subset \overline{B}$$
(19)

where

$$B = \left\{ u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}}; \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_{k}^{*}|^{2} < 1 \right\}$$

$$\overline{B} = \left\{ u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}}; \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{\log n} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_{k}^{*}|^{2} \le 1 \right\}.$$

For $1 < r < \infty$, it was shown in [16] that, setting $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\max\{r,2\}}$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$

$$B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_s \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}) \subset B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_{s+\epsilon}.$$

$$(20)$$

In the following we improve the previous inclusion, and show in particular that here $\varepsilon = 0$ is not possible. More precisely, we give necessary and sufficient conditions on $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, \infty[^2 \text{ such that }$

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\alpha} (\log(n+2))^{\beta}}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{r}}).$$

Note that by (19) for every $\beta > 0$

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\beta}}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{\infty}});$$
(21)

we do not know whether here $\beta = 0$ is possible. Moreover, by (18)

$$\left(\frac{1}{n\left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\beta}}\right)_{n} \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{1}})$$
(22)

if and only if $\beta > 1$. The following result collects our knowledge in the remaining cases:

Theorem 5.5. *For* $1 \le r \le \infty$ *put* $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\min(r,2)}$ *. Then*

(1a) For any $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $1 \le r \le 2$

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\sigma} \cdot \left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\theta\sigma}}\right)_n \cdot B_{\ell_r} \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}).$$

In particular,
$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{r}+\sigma}(\log(n+2))^{\beta}}\right)_n \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$$
 whenever $\beta > \frac{1}{2r} + \frac{1}{2}$

(1b) For any $\theta > 0$ and $2 \le r \le \infty$

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\sigma} \cdot \left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\theta}}\right)_{n} \cdot B_{\ell_{r}} \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{r}}).$$

In particular,
$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{r}+\sigma}(\log(n+2))^{\beta}}\right)_n \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$$
 whenever $\beta > \frac{1}{r}$.
(2) Suppose that $\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{r}+\sigma}(\log(n+2))^{\beta}}\right)_n \in \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$. Then $\beta \ge \frac{1}{r}$.

Note that we cannot replace $\log(n+2)$ by $\log(n+1)$ in the previous statement. Indeed, it can be easily seen by restricting the study to the one-dimensional case that mon $H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}) \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{\infty}}) \subset B_{\ell_{\infty}}$.

Proof. We begin with part (1b): We have that $\theta > 0$ and $2 \le r \le \infty$. Then an easy argument yields

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\theta}}\right)_n \in B.$$

By Lemma 5.2 and by observing that $[H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})]_{\infty} \subset H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{\infty}})$, we get immediately

$$\left(\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\log(n+2)\right)^{\theta}}\right)_{n} \cdot B_{\ell_{r}} \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{\infty}}) \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_{r}}).$$

Let us now prove part (1a): Assume that $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ and $1 \le r \le 2$. We shall apply Theorem 4.1, (2) with the sequence q defined by $q_j = j \cdot (\log(j+2))^{\theta}$. Then for $f \in H_{\infty}(\ell_r)$ and $u \in B_{\ell_r}$ the conclusion follows from

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{j}}^{\sigma}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \\ &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j}: e^{N} < q_{\mathbf{j}} \le e^{N+1}} \frac{1}{q_{\mathbf{j}}^{\sigma}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{N\sigma}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J(e^{N+1})} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{(N-1)\sigma}} e^{N\sigma} \exp\left(\left(-2\sigma\sqrt{\theta - \frac{1}{2}} + o(1)\right)\sqrt{N\log N}\right) \cdot \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty. \end{split}$$

Finally, we check part (2): For r = 1, (18) already proves the claim. At first we will treat the case $1 < r \le 2$ with a probabilistic argument. Afterwards we reduce the case $r \ge 2$ to the case r = 2. Let $1 < r \le 2$. We shall apply Corollary 3.2 of [4] (with p = r). Then there is an absolute constant $C \ge 1$ such that for any m, n there are $(\varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}})_{\mathbf{j}} \in \mathbb{T}^{\mathscr{J}(m,n)}$ for which

$$\sup_{u \in B_{\ell_r}^n} \left| \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}} |\mathbf{j}| \, u_{\mathbf{j}} \right| \le C (n \log m)^{\sigma} m^{m\sigma}.$$
(23)

Let now $x = (k^{-1}(\log(k+2))^{-\beta})_k$ denote the sequence in question and assume $x \in \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$. Then, by a closed graph argument, there exists a constant $\tilde{C} \ge 1$, such that for every $f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$,

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f) x^{\alpha}| \le \tilde{C} \|f\|.$$
(24)

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ now,

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} |x_{k}|\right)^{m} = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathscr{J}(m,n)} \left|\varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}} |\mathbf{j}| |x_{\mathbf{j}}|\right| \le \tilde{C} \sup_{u \in B_{\ell_{r}^{n}}} \left|\sum_{\mathbf{j}} \varepsilon_{\mathbf{j}} |\mathbf{j}| u_{\mathbf{j}}\right| \le \tilde{C} C (n \log m)^{\sigma} m^{m\sigma}$$

by (24) and (23). Taking the m^{th} root, we obtain

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{k(\log(k+2))^{\beta}} \leq \left(\tilde{C}C\right)^{\frac{1}{m}} (n\log m)^{\frac{\sigma}{m}} m^{\sigma}$$

for every $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$. It now suffices to notice that with $m = \lfloor \log n \rfloor$ the right-hand side is asymptotically equivalent to $(\log n)^{\sigma}$ and the left-hand side to $(\log n)^{1-\beta}$ as $n \to \infty$. Hence $\beta > -\sigma + 1 = \frac{1}{r}$.

Now suppose $r \ge 2$ and set $\xi = \left(k^{-\frac{1}{t}}(\log(k+2))^{-\frac{1}{t}-\varepsilon}\right)_k$ for $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{2}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Consider $f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_2})$ and let us set $g = f \circ D_{\xi}$, where D_{ξ} denotes the diagonal operator $\ell_r \to \ell_2$ induced by ξ , which is bounded by Hölder's inequality. Thus $g \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$. We have

$$\sum_{\mathbf{j}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)| \frac{1}{j_1 (\log(j_1+2))^{\frac{1}{t}+\beta+\varepsilon}} \cdots \frac{1}{j_m (\log(j_m+2))^{\frac{1}{t}+\beta+\varepsilon}} = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} |(c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)\xi_{\mathbf{j}})x_{\mathbf{j}}| < \infty,$$

under the assumption that $x = \left(k^{-\frac{1}{r}-\frac{1}{2}}(\log(k+2))^{-\beta}\right)_k \in \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$ (note that $\frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{2} = 1$). Hence $\left(k(\log(k+2))^{\frac{1}{t}} + \beta + \varepsilon\right)_k \in \text{mon } H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_2})$ and by our result in the case $r = 2, \frac{1}{t} + \beta + \varepsilon \ge \frac{1}{2}$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

We are now able to give an answer to our previously stated question: the inclusion (20) holds *not* true for $\varepsilon = 0$.

Corollary 5.6. Let $1 < r < \infty$ and $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{\max\{r,2\}}$. Then $B_{\ell_r} \cap \ell_s \subseteq \min H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}).$

Proof. Assume equality. Let $q = (k \log(k+2))_k$. By Theorem 5.5 this implies that the diagonal operator $\ell_r \to \ell_s$ induced by the sequence $q^{-\sigma}$, where $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\min\{r, 2\}}$, is well-defined and by a closed graph argument bounded. Hence

$$\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|q_k^{-\sigma}\right|^t\right)^{\frac{1}{t}} = \sup_{x \in B_{\ell_p}} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left|x_k q_k^{-\sigma}\right|^s\right)^{\frac{1}{s}} = \|D_{q^{-\sigma}} : \ell_r \to \ell_s\| < \infty,$$

where $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{r} + \frac{1}{t}$. Therefore $q^{-\sigma} \in \ell_t$. But

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} q_k^{-\sigma t} = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k \log(k+2)} = \infty,$$

a contradiction.

Using the same technique as in the proof of (1a) in Theorem 5.5, we easily obtain the following analog of Theorem 5.3.

Corollary 5.7. Let $1 < r < \infty$ and let $\sigma = 1 - \frac{1}{\min\{r, 2\}}$. Then

$$p^{-\sigma} \cdot B_{\ell_r} \subset \operatorname{mon} H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r}), \qquad (25)$$

and here σ is best possible.

Proof. We proceed analogously to the proof of (1a) in Theorem 5.5 and obtain for $f \in H_{\infty}(B_{\ell_r})$ and $u \in B_{\ell_r}$ by Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{j}}^{\sigma}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| &= \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{\mathbf{j}: e^{N} < q_{\mathbf{j}} \leq e^{N+1}} \frac{1}{p_{\mathbf{j}}^{\sigma}} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{N\sigma}} \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in J(e^{N+1})} |c_{\mathbf{j}}(f)u_{\mathbf{j}}| \\ &\leq \sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \frac{e^{N\sigma}}{e^{(N-1)\sigma}} \exp\left(\left(-\sqrt{2}\sigma + o(1)\right)\sqrt{N\log N}\right) \|f\|_{\infty} < \infty. \Box \end{split}$$

Remark 5.8. Analogously to the result (19) for $r = \infty$ and in view of Theorem 5.5, a plausible conjecture would be that for all $r \ge 2$

$$B_r \subset \operatorname{mon} H_\infty(B_{\ell_r}) \subset \overline{B}_r$$
,

where for $\frac{1}{s} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{r}$

$$B_r = \left\{ u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}}; \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\frac{r}{r+2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k^*|^s < 1 \right\}$$

$$\overline{B}_r = \left\{ u \in B_{\ell_{\infty}}; \limsup_{n} \frac{1}{(\log n)^{\frac{r}{r+2}}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} |u_k^*|^s \le 1 \right\}.$$

Remark 5.9. In Theorem 5.5, the cases $1 \le r \le 2$ and $2 \le r \le \infty$ do not really fit for r = 2. This is due to the fact that when we apply Theorem 4.1 (2), we need that $\theta > 1/2$. It would be nice to extend the statement of this last theorem to $\theta \in (0, 1/2]$.

References

- [1] R. Balasubramanian, B. Calado, and H. Queffélec. The Bohr inequality for ordinary Dirichlet series. *Studia Math.*, 175(3):285–304, 2006.
- [2] R. Aron, J Globevnik. Analytic functions on c_0 *Revista Matematica*, 2 (numero suplementario):28–33 (1989)
- [3] M. Balazard. Remarques sur un theoreme de G. Halasz et A. Sarkozy. *Bull. Soc. math. France,* 4:389–413 (1989)
- [4] F. Bayart. Maximum modulus of random polynomials. *Quaterly Journal of Math.* 63:21–39 (2012).

- [5] F. Bayart, D. Pellegrino, and J. B. Seoane-Sepúlveda. The Bohr radius of the *n*-dimensional polydisk is equivalent to $\sqrt{(\log n)/n}$. *Advances in Math*, 264:726-746, 2014.
- [6] F. Bayart, A. Defant, L. Frerick, M. Maestre and P. Sevilla Peris. Monomial series expansion of H_p -functions and multipliers of \mathcal{H}_p -Dirichlet series. *Preprint*, 2014.
- [7] H.P. Boas. Majorant series. J. Korean Math. Soc., 37:321–337 (2000).
- [8] R. De la Bretèche. Sur l'ordre de grandeur des polynômes de Dirichlet. *Acta Arithm.*, 134:141–148 (2008).
- [9] A. Defant, J.C. Díaz, D. García, and M. Maestre. Unconditional basis and Gordon-Lewis constants for spaces of polynomials. *J. Funct. Anal.*, 181:119–145, 2001.
- [10] A. Defant, D. García and M. Maestre. Bohr's power series theorem and local Banach space theory. J. Reine Angew. Math., 557:173–197, 2003.
- [11] A. Defant and L. Frerick. A logarithmic lower bound for multi-dimensional Bohr radii. *Israel J. Math.*, 151:17–28, 2006.
- [12] A. Defant, D. García, M. Maestre, and D. Pérez-García. Bohr's strip for vector valued Dirichlet series. *Math. Ann.*, 342(3):533–555, 2008.
- [13] A. Defant and L. Frerick. The Bohr radius of the unit ball of ℓ_p^n . *J.reine angew. Math.*, 660:131–147, 2011.
- [14] A. Defant, L. Frerick, J. Ortega-Cerdà, M. Ounaïes, K. Seip. The Bohnenblust-Hille inequality is hypercontractive. *Annals of Math.*, 174:485–497 (2011)
- [15] A. Defant and N. Kalton. Unconditionality in spaces of *m*-homogeneous polynomials. *Quart. J. Math.*, 56:53–64, 2005.
- [16] A. Defant, M. Maestre and C. Prengel. Domains of convergence for monomial expansions of holomorphic functions in infinitely many variables. *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, 634:13–49, 2009.
- [17] L. A. Harris. Bounds on the derivatives of holomorphic functions of vectors. In Analyse fonctionnelle et applications (Comptes Rendus Colloq. Analyse, Inst. Mat., Univ. Federal Rio de Janeiro, Rio de Janeiro, 1972), pages 145–163. Actualités Aci. Indust., No. 1367. Hermann, Paris, 1975.

- [18] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright. An introduction to the theory of numbers. *Oxford, Clarendon Press*, 1938.
- [19] S.V. Konyagin and H. Queffélec. The translation $\frac{1}{2}$ in the theory of Dirichlet series. *Real Analysis Exchange*, 27:155–175 (2001).
- [20] L. Lempert. The Dolbeault complex in infinite dimensions II. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 12:775–793, 1999.