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# Inhomogeneous approximation with coprime integers and lattice orbits 

Michel Laurent \& Arnaldo Nogueira

ABSTRACT - Let $(\xi, y)$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $\psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$a function. We investigate the problem of the existence of infinitely many pairs $p, q$ of coprime integers such that

$$
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \psi(|q|) .
$$

We give both unconditional results which are valid for every real pair $(\xi, y)$ with $\xi$ irrational, and metrical results valid for almost all points $(\xi, y)$. We link the subject with density exponents of lattice orbits in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## 1 Introduction and results

Minkowski has proved that for every real irrational number $\xi$ and every real number $y$ not belonging to $\mathbb{Z} \xi+\mathbb{Z}$, there exist infinitely many pairs of integers $p, q$ such that

$$
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \frac{1}{4|q|}
$$

See for instance Theorem II in Chapter 3 of Cassels' monograph [4]. The statement is optimal in the sense that the approximating function $\ell \mapsto(4 \ell)^{-1}$ cannot be decreased. Note that the restriction $y \notin \mathbb{Z} \xi+\mathbb{Z}$ can be dropped at the cost of replacing the upper bound $(4|q|)^{-1}$ by $c|q|^{-1}$ for any constant $c$ greater than $1 / \sqrt{5}$. When $y=0$, the primitive point $\left(\frac{p}{\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)}, \frac{q}{\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)}\right)$ remains a solution to the above inequality, therefore we may moreover require that the pair of integers $p, q$ be coprime. However, for a non-zero real number $y$, this extra requirement is far from being obvious to satisfy. In this direction, Chalk and Erdos [6] have obtained the following result:

Theorem (Chalk-Erdos). Let $\xi$ be an irrational real number and let $y$ be a real number. There exists an absolute constant $c$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \frac{c(\log q)^{2}}{q(\log \log q)^{2}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for infinitely many pairs of coprime integers $(p, q)$ with $q$ positive.
We study more generally the diophantine inequation

$$
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \psi(|q|)
$$

for coprime integers $p$ and $q$, where $\psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$is a given function. Two types of questions naturally arise. First, finding unconditional results which are valid for every real pair $(\xi, y)$ with $\xi$ irrational as (1), and secondly getting metrical results valid for almost all points $(\xi, y)$. Here is an example of the first kind.

Theorem 1. Let $\xi$ be an irrational real number and let $y$ be a real number. There exist infinitely many integer quadruples $\left(p_{1}, q_{1}, p_{2}, q_{2}\right)$ satisfying

$$
q_{1} p_{2}-p_{1} q_{2}=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{i} \xi+p_{i}-y\right| \leq \frac{c}{\max \left(\left|q_{1}\right|,\left|q_{2}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}} \leq \frac{c}{\sqrt{\left|q_{i}\right|}}, \quad(i=1,2) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $c=2 \sqrt{3} \max (1,|\xi|)^{1 / 2}|y|^{1 / 2}$.
Theorem 1 will be deduced in Section 2 from our results [10] of effective density for $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$-orbits in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The estimate (2) is best possible, up to the value of the constant $c$. However, the optimality of (1) remains unclear. We address the following

Problem. Can we replace the approximating function $\psi(\ell)=c(\log \ell)^{2} / \ell(\log \log \ell)^{2}$ occurring in (1) by a smaller one, possibly $\psi(\ell)=c \ell^{-1}$ ?

We shall further discuss this problem in Section 4 for the function $\psi(\ell)=2 \ell^{-1}$, offering some hints and indicating the difficulties which then arise. It turns out that the approximating function $\psi(\ell)=\ell^{-1}$ is permitted for almost all pairs $(\xi, y)$ of real numbers relatively to Lebesgue measure. The last assertion follows from the following metrical statement:

Theorem 2. Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \mapsto \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a function. Assume that $\psi$ is non-increasing, tends to 0 at infinity and that for every positive integer c there exists a positive real number $c_{1}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(c \ell) \geq c_{1} \psi(\ell), \quad \forall \ell \geq 1 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore assume that

$$
\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \psi(\ell)=+\infty
$$

Then, for almost all pairs $(\xi, y)$ of real numbers there exist infinitely many primitive points $(p, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad|q \xi+p-y| \leq \psi(q) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\sum_{\ell \geq 1} \psi(\ell)$ converges, the pairs $(\xi, y)$ satisfying (4) for infinitely many primitive points $(p, q)$ form a set of null Lebesgue measure.

Note that we could have equivalently required in (4) that $q$ be negative. Such a refinement could as well be achieved in the frame of Theorem 1, with a weaker approximating function of the form $\psi(\ell)=\ell^{-\mu}$ for any given real number $\mu<1 / 3$, by employing alternatively Theorem 5 in Section 9 of [10]. We leave the details of proof to the interested reader, arguing as in Section 2. For questions of density involving signs, see also [7].

The proof of Theorem 2 is given in Section 3. It combines standard tools from metrical number theory with the ergodic properties of the linear action of $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ [13]. We refer to Harman's book [8] for closely related results. See also the recent overview [1] and the monographs [14], [15].

Theorem 2 is a metrical statement about pairs $(\xi, y)$ of real numbers. A natural question is to understand what happens on each fiber when we fix either $\xi$ or $y$. In this direction, here is a partial result which will be deduced from the explicit construction displayed in Section 4.
Theorem 3. Let $\xi$ be an irrational number and let $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be the sequence of its convergents. Assume that the series

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{\max \left(1, \log q_{k}\right)} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

diverges. Then for almost every real number $y$ there exist infinitely many primitive points ( $p, q$ ) satisfying

$$
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \frac{2}{|q|}
$$

Moreover the series (5) diverges for almost every real number $\xi$.

We now turn to the second part of the paper devoted to density exponents for lattice orbits in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. As already mentioned, the approximating function $\psi(\ell)=c \ell^{-1 / 2}$ occurring in Theorem 1 is directly connected to the density exponent $1 / 2$ for $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ orbits. We intend to show that this exponent $1 / 2$ is best possible in general.

We work in the more general setting of lattices $\Gamma$ in $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Recall that a lattice $\Gamma$ in $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ is a discrete subgroup for which the quotient $\Gamma \backslash S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ has finite Haar measure. We view $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ as a space of column vectors on which the group of matrices $\Gamma$ acts by left multiplication. We equip $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with the supremum norm $|\mid$, and for any matrix $\gamma \in \Gamma$, we denote as well by $|\gamma|$ the maximum of the absolute values of the entries of $\gamma$. Let us first give a
Definition. Let $\mathbf{x}$ and $\mathbf{y}$ be two points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We denote by $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ the supremum, possibly infinite, of the exponents $\mu$ such that the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| \leq|\gamma|^{-\mu} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has infinitely many solutions $\gamma \in \Gamma$.
Note that for a fixed $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, the function $\mathbf{y} \mapsto \mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})$ is $\Gamma$-invariant. By the ergodicity of the action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, see [13], this function is therefore constant almost everywhere on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We denote by $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ its generic value and we call $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ the generic density exponent of the orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$.
Theorem 4. The upper bound $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x}) \leq 1 / 2$ holds true for any point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that the orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

In an equivalent way, Theorem 4 asserts that the upper bound $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \leq 1 / 2$ holds for almost all points $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. This bound was already known in the case of the unimodular group $\Gamma=S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ as a consequence of Theorem 3 in [10].

One may optimistically conjecture that $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})=1 / 2$ for every point $\mathbf{x}$ such that $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, or at least for almost every point $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. In this direction, it follows from [10] that the lower bound

$$
\mu_{S L(2, \mathbb{Z})}(\mathrm{x}) \geq \frac{1}{3}
$$

holds for all points $\mathbf{x}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ with irrational slope. Weaker lower bounds can as well be deduced from [12] which are valid for any lattice $\Gamma \subset S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Note that the function $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ is $\Gamma$-invariant since the quantity $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ obviously depends only on the orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$. Thus, the generic density exponent $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$ takes the same value for almost all points $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Aknowledgement. We are grateful to Martin Windmer for calling our attention on the Chalk-Erdos Theorem in [6].

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1

We first state a result obtained in [10]. In this section, we denote by $\Gamma$ the lattice $\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. For any point $\mathbf{x}=\binom{x_{1}}{x_{2}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with irrational slope $x_{1} / x_{2}$, the orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We have obtained in [10] effective results concerning the density of such an orbit. In particular, our estimates are essentially optimal when the target point $\mathbf{y}$ has rational slope.
Lemma 1. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with irrational slope and $\mathbf{y}=\binom{y}{y}$ a point on the diagonal with $y \neq 0$. Then, there exist infinitely many matrices $\gamma \in \Gamma$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\gamma \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| \leq \frac{c}{|\gamma|^{1 / 2}} \quad \text { with } \quad c=2 \sqrt{3}|\mathbf{x}|^{1 / 2}|y|^{1 / 2} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The point $\mathbf{y}$ has rational slope 1. Apply Theorem 1 (ii) of [10] with $a=b=1$.

Put $\mathbf{x}=\binom{\xi}{1}$. The point $\mathbf{x}$ has irrational slope $\xi$ so that Lemma 1 may be applied. Write $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}q_{1} & p_{1} \\ q_{2} & p_{2}\end{array}\right)$ a matrix provided by Lemma 1 . Then, the inequality (7) gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
\max \left(\left|q_{1} \xi+p_{1}-y\right|,\left|q_{2} \xi+p_{2}-y\right|\right) & \leq \frac{c}{\max \left(\left|p_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|,\left|q_{1}\right|,\left|p_{2}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}} \\
& \leq \frac{c}{\max \left(\left|q_{1}\right|,\left|q_{2}\right|\right)^{1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, both points ( $p_{1}, q_{1}$ ) and ( $p_{2}, q_{2}$ ) satisfy (2), and since the determinant $q_{1} p_{2}-$ $q_{2} p_{1}=1$, the two integer points $\left(p_{1}, q_{1}\right)$ and $\left(p_{2}, q_{2}\right)$ are primitive. As there exist infinitely many matrices $\gamma$ verifying (7), we thus find infinitely many solutions to (2).

Assume now that the irrational number $\xi$ has bounded partial quotients. Then, Theorem 4 in [10] gives us in the opposite direction a lower bound of the form

$$
|\gamma \mathbf{x}-\mathbf{y}| \geq \frac{c^{\prime}}{|\gamma|^{1 / 2}}
$$

for some positive constant $c^{\prime}$ depending only upon $(\xi, y)$. Since $|\gamma| \leq c^{\prime \prime} \max \left(\left|q_{1}\right|,\left|q_{2}\right|\right)$ when (2) holds, the estimate (2) is optimal up to the value of $c$.

Remark. The single inequality $\left|q_{1} \xi+p_{1}-y\right| \leq \psi\left(\left|q_{1}\right|\right)$ geometrically means that the point $\gamma \mathbf{x}$ falls inside a neighborhood of the vertical line $x_{1}=y$. A better understanding of the shrinking target problem for the dense orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$, not to a point $\mathbf{y}$ as in [10] but to a line in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, may possibly lead to a refinement of (1).

## 3 Proof of Theorem 2

It is convenient to view the pairs $(\xi, y)$ occurring in Theorem 2 as column vectors $\binom{\xi}{y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. We are concerned with the set $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ of vectors $\binom{\xi}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ for which there exist infinitely many primitive integer points $(p, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad|q \xi+p-y| \leq \psi(q) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For fixed $p, q$, denote by $\mathcal{E}_{p, q}(\psi)$ the strip

$$
\mathcal{E}_{p, q}(\psi):=\left\{\binom{\xi}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; \quad|q \xi+p-y| \leq \psi(q)\right\}
$$

and for every positive integer $q$, let

$$
\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi):=\bigcup_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1}} \mathcal{E}_{p, q}(\psi)
$$

be the union of all relevant strips involved in (8) for fixed $q$. Without loss of generality, we shall assume that $\psi(q) \leq 1 / 2$, so that the above union is disjoint. Then $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is equal to the lim sup set

$$
\mathcal{E}(\psi)=\bigcap_{Q \geq 1} \bigcup_{q \geq Q} \mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) .
$$

As usual when dealing with lim sup set in metrical theory, we first estimate Lebesgue measure of pairwise intersections of the subsets $\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi), q \geq 1$. We establish next a new kind of zero-one law.

### 3.1 Measuring intersections

In this section, we restrict our attention to points located in the unit square $[0,1]^{2}$. We denote by $\varphi$ the Euler totient function and by $\lambda$ the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow[0,1 / 2]$ be a function.
(i) For every positive integer $q$, we have

$$
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)=\frac{2 \varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q} .
$$

(ii) Let $q$ and $s$ be distinct positive integers. Then, we have the upper bound

$$
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap \mathcal{E}_{s}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right) \leq 4 \psi(q) \psi(s) .
$$

Proof. Denote by $\chi_{q}$ the characteristic function of the interval $[-\psi(q), \psi(q)]$. Then the characteristic function $\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi)}$ of the subset $\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \subset \mathbb{R}^{2}$ is equal to

$$
\chi_{\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi)}(\xi, y)=\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1}} \chi_{q}(q \xi+p-y)=\sum_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1}} \chi_{q}(q \xi-p-y) .
$$

Observe that if $\binom{\xi}{y}$ belongs to $[0,1]^{2}$, the indices $p$ of non-vanishing terms occurring in the last sum are located in the interval $-1 \leq p \leq q$. Integrating first with respect to $x$, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)= & \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi)}(x, y) d x d y \\
= & \sum_{-1 \leq p \leq \mathbb{p} \in \mathbb{Z}} \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{q}(q x-p-y) d x d y \\
= & \int_{1-\psi(q)}^{1} \frac{-1+y+\psi(q)}{q} d y+\sum_{\substack{1 \leq p \leq q-2 \\
\operatorname{gcd}(p, q)=1}} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{2 \psi(q)}{q} d y \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{1-\psi(q)} \frac{2 \psi(q)}{q} d y+\int_{1-\psi(q)}^{1} \frac{1-y+\psi(q)}{q} d y \\
= & \frac{2 \varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The first term appearing in the third equality of the above formula corresponds to the summation index $p=-1$ and the two last ones to $p=q-1$. We have thus proved (i).

For the second assertion, we majorize

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap \mathcal{E}_{s}(\psi)\right. & \left.\cap[0,1]^{2}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi)}(x, y) \chi_{\mathcal{E}_{s}(\psi)}(x, y) d x d y \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\sum_{p \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{q}(q x+p-y)\right)\left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}} \chi_{s}(s x+r-y)\right) d x d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{q}(\|q x-y\|) \chi_{s}(\|s x-y\|) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\|$.$\| stands as usual for the distance to the nearest integer. Now, (ii) follows$ from the probabilistic independence formula

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \int_{0}^{1} \chi_{q}(\|q x-y\|) \chi_{s}(\|s x-y\|) d x d y=4 \psi(q) \psi(s)
$$

obtained by Cassels on page 124 of [4] (see Proof (ii)).

### 3.2 A zero-one law

We say that a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a null set if it has Lebesgue measure 0 . A set whose complementary is a null set is called a full set. The goal of this section is to prove the

Proposition. Let $\psi$ be an approximating function as in Theorem 2. Then the subset $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is either a null set or a full set.

For proving the proposition, it is convenient to introduce the larger subset

$$
\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)=\bigcup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{E}(k \psi)
$$

In other words, $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$ is the set of all points $\binom{\xi}{y}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ for which there exist a positive real number $\kappa$, depending possibly on $\binom{\xi}{y}$, and infinitely many primitive points $(p, q)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
q \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad|q \xi+p-y| \leq \kappa \psi(q) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\mathcal{E}(k \psi) \subseteq \mathcal{E}\left(k^{\prime} \psi\right)$ if $1 \leq k \leq k^{\prime}$. In particular, $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is contained in $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$.
Lemma 3. Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a function tending to zero at infinity. Then the difference $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \backslash \mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is a set of null Lebesgue measure.

Proof. We show that all sets $\mathcal{E}(k \psi), k \geq 1$, have the same Lebesgue measure. For every real number $y$, denote by $\mathcal{E}(\psi, y) \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ the section of $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ on the horizontal line $\mathbb{R} \times\{y\}$, i.e.

$$
\mathcal{E}(\psi, y)=\left\{\xi \in \mathbb{R} ;\binom{\xi}{y} \in \mathcal{E}(\psi)\right\} .
$$

Then, using (8), we can express

$$
\mathcal{E}(\psi, y)=\bigcap_{Q \geq 1} \bigcup_{q \geq Q} \bigcup_{\substack{p \in \mathbb{Z} \\ \operatorname{gcc}(p, q)=1}}\left[\frac{-p+y-\psi(q)}{q}, \frac{-p+y+\psi(q)}{q}\right]
$$

as a limsup set of intervals. If we restrict to a bounded part of $\mathcal{E}(\psi, y)$, the above union over $p$ reduces to a finite one. Observe that the centers $\frac{-p+y}{q}$ of these intervals do not depend on $\psi$, and that their length is multiplied by the constant factor $k$ when replacing $\psi$ by $k \psi$. Appealing now to a result due to Cassels [5], we infer that all $\lim \sup$ sets $\mathcal{E}(k \psi, y), k \geq 1$, have the same Lebesgue measure. See also Corollary of Lemma 2.1 on page 30 of [8]. Notice that for fixed $k$, the length $\frac{2 k \psi(q)}{q}$ of the intervals
$\left[\frac{-p+y-k \psi(q)}{q}, \frac{-p+y+k \psi(q)}{q}\right]$ tend to 0 as $q$ tends to infinity, as required by Lemma 2.1. By Fubini, the fibered sets

$$
\mathcal{E}(k \psi)=\coprod_{y \in \mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{E}(k \psi, y) \times\{y\}), \quad k \geq 1,
$$

have as well the same Lebesgue measure in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
Lemma 4. Let $\psi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$be a non-increasing function satisfying (3). Then $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$ is either a null or a full set.

Proof. It is based on the following observation. Let $\binom{\xi}{y}$ belong to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$ and let $\gamma=\left(\begin{array}{ll}a & b \\ c & d\end{array}\right)$ be a matrix in $S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$ such that $c \xi+d>0$. Then the point $\binom{\xi^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}$ with coordinates

$$
\xi^{\prime}=\frac{a \xi+b}{c \xi+d} \quad \text { and } \quad y^{\prime}=\frac{y}{c \xi+d}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$. Indeed, substituting

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=a q^{\prime}+c p^{\prime}, \quad p=b q^{\prime}+d p^{\prime} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (9) and dividing by $c \xi+d$, we obtain the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
q^{\prime} \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad\left|q^{\prime} \xi^{\prime}+p^{\prime}-y^{\prime}\right| \leq \frac{\kappa}{c \xi+d} \psi(q) \leq \kappa^{\prime} \psi\left(q^{\prime}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\kappa^{\prime}>0$ independent of $q^{\prime}$. The positivity of $q^{\prime}$ is proved as follows. Note that (9) implies the estimate

$$
p=-q \xi+\mathcal{O}_{\xi, y}(1)
$$

Then, inverting the linear substitution (10), we find

$$
q^{\prime}=d q-c p=q(c \xi+d)+\mathcal{O}_{\gamma, \xi, y}(1) .
$$

Since we have assumed that $c \xi+d>0$, the term $q(c \xi+d)$ is arbitrarily large when $q$ is large enough. The condition (3) now shows that $\psi(q) \asymp \psi\left(q^{\prime}\right)$. Thus (11) is satisfied for infinitely many primitive points $\left(p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right)$, since the linear substitution (10) is unimodular. We have shown that $\binom{\xi^{\prime}}{y^{\prime}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$.

We now prove that the intersection $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is either a full or a null subset of the half plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$. To that purpose, we consider the map

$$
\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}, \quad \text { defined by } \quad \Phi\left(\binom{x}{y}\right)=\binom{x / y}{1 / y} .
$$

Clearly $\Phi$ is a continuous involution of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$. The image

$$
\Omega:=\Phi\left(\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)\right)
$$

is formed by all points of the type

$$
\binom{u}{v}=\binom{\frac{\xi}{y}}{\frac{1}{y}},
$$

where $\binom{\xi}{y}$ ranges over $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Now, the above condition $c \xi+d>0$ is obviously equivalent to $c u+d v>0$ since $y$ is positive. Then, the point

$$
\Phi\binom{a u+b v}{c u+d v}=\binom{\frac{a u+b v}{c u+d v}}{\frac{1}{c u+d v}}=\binom{\frac{a \xi+b}{c \xi+d}}{\frac{y}{c \xi+d}}
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, by the preceding observation. Applying the involution $\Phi$, we find that

$$
\Phi\left(\binom{\frac{a \xi+b}{c \xi+d}}{\frac{y}{c \xi+d}}\right)=\binom{a u+b v}{c u+d v}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{u}{v}
$$

belongs to $\Omega$. In other words, setting $\Gamma=S L(2, \mathbb{Z})$, we have established the inclusion

$$
(\Gamma \Omega) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \subseteq \Omega
$$

Since the reversed inclusion is obvious, the equality $\Omega=(\Gamma \Omega) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$holds in fact. Assuming that $\Omega$ is not a null set, the ergodicity of the linear action of $\Gamma$ on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ [13] shows that $\Gamma \Omega$ is a full set in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Hence $\Omega$ is a full set in the half plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$. Transforming now $\Omega$ by $\Phi$, we find that

$$
\Phi(\Omega)=\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)
$$

is as well a full set in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$, thus proving the claim.
We finally use another transformation to carry the zero-one law from the positive half plane $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$to the negative one $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}$. Writing (9) in the equivalent form

$$
q \geq 1 \quad \text { and } \quad|q(-\xi)+(-p)-(-y)| \leq \kappa \psi(q)
$$

shows that $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi)$ is invariant under the symmetry $\binom{\xi}{y} \mapsto\binom{-\xi}{-y}$ which maps $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$ onto $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}$. Therefore $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}\right)$is a null or a full set in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{-}$when $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\psi) \cap\left(\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$is accordingly a null or a full set in $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{+}$.

Now, the combination of Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 obviously yields our proposition.

### 3.3 Concluding the proof of Theorem 2

Assume first that $\sum \psi(\ell)$ converges. We have to show that the set

$$
\mathcal{E}(\psi)=\limsup _{q \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi)
$$

has null Lebesgue measure. Lemma 2 shows that the partial sums

$$
\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)=2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{\varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q} \leq 2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q)
$$

converge $\left({ }^{*}\right)$. Then, Borel-Cantelli Lemma ensures that the lim sup set $\mathcal{E}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}$ is a null set. Thus $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ cannot be a full set. Now, the above proposition tells us that $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is a null set.

We now consider the case of a divergent series $\sum \psi(\ell)$. Observe that the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q) \leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{\varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q} \leq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds true for any large integer $Q$, since the sequence $\psi(\ell)_{\ell \geq 1}$ is non-increasing. The right inequality is obvious, while the left one easily follows from Abel summation process. See for instance Chapter 2 of [8], where full details are provided. By Lemma 2 and (12), the sums

$$
\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)=2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{\varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q} \geq \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q)
$$

are then unbounded. Then, using a classical converse to Borel-Cantelli Lemma, we have the lower bound

$$
\begin{align*}
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right) & =\lambda\left(\limsup _{q \rightarrow+\infty}\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)\right) \\
& \geq \limsup _{Q \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)\right)^{2}}{\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \sum_{s=1}^{Q} \lambda\left(\mathcal{E}_{q}(\psi) \cap \mathcal{E}_{s}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right)} . \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

See for instance Lemma 2.3 in [8]. Lemma 2 and (12) now show that the numerator on the right hand side of (13) equals

$$
4\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \frac{\varphi(q) \psi(q)}{q}\right)^{2} \geq\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q)\right)^{2}
$$

[^0]when $Q$ is large, while the denominator is bounded from above by
$$
4 \sum_{\substack{q=1, s=1 \\ q \neq s}}^{Q} \psi(q) \psi(s)+2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q) \leq 4\left(\sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q)\right)^{2}+2 \sum_{q=1}^{Q} \psi(q) .
$$

Thus (13) yields the lower bound

$$
\lambda\left(\mathcal{E}(\psi) \cap[0,1]^{2}\right) \geq \frac{1}{4}
$$

Hence $\mathcal{E}(\psi)$ is not a null set; it is thus a full set according to our proposition.

## 4 An approach to our problem

In this section, we apply a transference principle between homogeneous and inhomogeneous approximation, as displayed in Chapter V of [4] and in [3], for constructing explicit integer solutions of the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q \xi+p-y| \leq \frac{2}{|q|} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(p_{k} / q_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ be the sequence of convergents to the irrational number $\xi$. The theory of continued fractions, see for instance the monograph [9], tells us that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|q_{k} \xi-p_{k}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad p_{k} q_{k+1}-p_{k+1} q_{k}=(-1)^{k+1} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $k \geq 0$. Setting $\nu_{k}=(-1)^{k+1} q_{k} y$, we thus have the relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nu_{k} q_{k+1}+\nu_{k+1} q_{k}=0 \quad \text { and } \quad \nu_{k}\left(q_{k+1} \xi-p_{k+1}\right)+\nu_{k+1}\left(q_{k} \xi-p_{k}\right)=y . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $n_{k}$ be anyone of the two integers $\left\lfloor\nu_{k}\right\rfloor$ and $\left\lceil\nu_{k}\right\rceil(\dagger)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\nu_{k}-n_{k}\right|<1, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n_{k}$ is either equal to $(-1)^{k+1}\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor$ or to $(-1)^{k+1}\left\lceil y q_{k}\right\rceil$. Setting

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=-n_{k} p_{k+1}-n_{k+1} p_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad q=n_{k} q_{k+1}+n_{k+1} q_{k}, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]we deduce from (16) the expressions
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
q \xi+p-y & =n_{k}\left(q_{k+1} \xi-p_{k+1}\right)+n_{k+1}\left(q_{k} \xi-p_{k}\right)-y  \tag{19}\\
& =\left(n_{k}-\nu_{k}\right)\left(q_{k+1} \xi-p_{k+1}\right)+\left(n_{k+1}-\nu_{k+1}\right)\left(q_{k} \xi-p_{k}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=\left(n_{k}-\nu_{k}\right) q_{k+1}+\left(n_{k+1}-\nu_{k+1}\right) q_{k} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $q_{k} \xi-p_{k}$ and $q_{k+1} \xi-p_{k+1}$ have opposite signs. Assuming that $n_{k}-\nu_{k}$ and $n_{k+1}-\nu_{k+1}$ have the same sign, we infer from the formulas (19), (20) and from (15), (17) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q \xi+p-y|<\frac{1}{q_{k+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad|q|<2 q_{k+1} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|q \xi+p-y|<\frac{2}{q_{k+1}} \quad \text { and } \quad|q|<q_{k+1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inequalities (21) and (22) obviously imply (14).
Since the linear substitution (18) is unimodular, the integers $p$ and $q$ are coprime if and only if $n_{k}$ and $n_{k+1}$ are coprime. Recall that the two choices $n_{k}=\left\lfloor\nu_{k}\right\rfloor$ and $n_{k}=\left\lceil\nu_{k}\right\rceil$ are admissible, both for $n_{k}$ and $n_{k+1}$. It thus remains to find indices $k$ for which at least one of the coprimality conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
\quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor,\left\lfloor y q_{k+1}\right\rfloor\right)=1 & \text { or } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(\left\lceil y q_{k}\right\rceil,\left\lceil y q_{k+1}\right\rceil\right)=1  \tag{23}\\
\text { or } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor,\left\lceil y q_{k+1}\right\rceil\right)=1 & \text { or } \quad \operatorname{gcd}\left(\left\lceil y q_{k}\right\rceil,\left\lfloor y q_{k+1}\right\rfloor\right)=1,
\end{align*}
$$

is verified. Note that (23) obviously fails for all $k \geq 0$ when $y$ is an integer not equal to 1 or to -1 . Otherwise, the contingent existence of infinitely many indices $k$ satisfying (23) is a non-trivial problem that we leave hanging.

Let us mention that the proof of (1) in [6] follows the same idea, finding a primitive integer point inside the square centered at the point $\left(\nu_{k}, \nu_{k+1}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with side $C \log \left|\nu_{k}\right| / \log \log \left|\nu_{k}\right|$ for some suitable large absolute constant $C$.

### 4.1 Proof of Theorem 3

We quote the following metrical result due to Harman (Theorem 8.3 in [8]). Assume that the series (5) diverges. Then for almost all positive real numbers $y$, there exist infinitely many indices $k$ such that the integer part $\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor$ is a prime number. These
indices $k$ fulfill (23) since, assuming for simplicity that $y$ is irrational, either $\left\lfloor y q_{k+1}\right\rfloor$ or $\left\lceil y q_{k+1}\right\rceil=\left\lfloor y q_{k+1}\right\rfloor+1$ is not divisible by $\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor$ and is thus relatively prime with $\left\lfloor y q_{k}\right\rfloor$. Hence (14) has infinitely many coprime solutions $(p, q)$ for almost every positive real number $y$. Writing now (14) in the equivalent form

$$
|(-q) \xi+(-p)-(-y)| \leq \frac{2}{|q|}
$$

shows that, $\xi$ being given, the set of all real numbers $y$ for which (14) has infinitely many coprime solutions is invariant by the symmetry $y \mapsto-y$. The first assertion is thus established. To complete the proof, note that

$$
\lim _{k \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\log q_{k}}{k}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12 \log 2}
$$

for almost every $\xi$ by Khintchine-Levy Theorem (see equation (4.18) in [2]). Thus the series (5) diverges for almost every $\xi$.

## 5 Generic density exponents

We prove in this section Theorem 4, as a consequence of Borel-Cantelli Lemma combined with the following counting result.

Lemma 5. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$ is dense in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. For every symmetric compact set $\Omega$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ there exists $c>0$ such that

$$
\operatorname{Card}\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,|\gamma| \leq T\} \leq c T
$$

for any real number $T \geq 1$.
Proof. Ledrappier [11] has shown that the limit formula

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{T} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma,|\gamma| \leq T} f(\gamma \mathbf{x})=\frac{4}{|\mathbf{x}| \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash S L(2, \mathbb{R}))} \int \frac{f(\mathbf{y})}{|\mathbf{y}|} d \mathbf{y}
$$

holds for any even continuous function $f: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ having compact support on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$, with a suitable normalisation of Haar measure on $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$. Approximating uniformly from above and from below the characteristic function of $\Omega$ by even continuous functions, we deduce that

$$
\lim _{T \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\operatorname{Card}\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,|\gamma| \leq T\}}{T}=\frac{4}{|\mathbf{x}| \operatorname{vol}(\Gamma \backslash S L(2, \mathbb{R}))} \int_{\Omega} \frac{d \mathbf{y}}{|\mathbf{y}|}
$$

Lemma 5 immediately follows.
For any point $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and any positive real number $r$, we denote by

$$
B(\mathbf{y}, r)=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ;|\mathbf{z}-\mathbf{y}| \leq r\right\}
$$

the closed disc centered at $\mathbf{y}$ with radius $r$.
Lemma 6. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a point in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose orbit $\Gamma \mathbf{x}$ is dense, $\Omega$ a symmetric compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash\{\mathbf{0}\}$ and $\mu$ a real number $>1 / 2$. For every integer $n \geq 1$, put

$$
\mathcal{B}_{n}=\bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\|\gamma|=n, \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega}} B\left(\gamma \mathbf{x}, n^{-\mu}\right)
$$

Then the set

$$
\mathcal{B}:=\limsup _{n \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{B}_{n}=\bigcap_{N \geq 1} \bigcup_{n \geq N} \mathcal{B}_{n}=\bigcap_{N \geq 1} \bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\|\gamma| \geq N, \gamma \times \in \Omega}} B\left(\gamma \mathbf{x},|\gamma|^{-\mu}\right)
$$

has null Lebesgue measure.
Proof. We apply Borel-Cantelli Lemma and we prove that the series $\sum_{n \geq 1} \lambda\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right)$ converges if $\mu>1 / 2$.

For every positive integer $n$, set

$$
M_{n}=\operatorname{Card}\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,|\gamma|=n\} .
$$

Lemma 5 gives us the upper bound

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{1}+\cdots+M_{n}=\operatorname{Card}\{\gamma \in \Gamma ; \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega,|\gamma| \leq n\} \leq c n \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $c>0$ independent of $n \geq 1$. Since a ball of radius $r$ has Lebesgue measure $4 r^{2}$, we trivially bound from above

$$
\lambda\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right) \leq \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\|\gamma|=n, \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega}} 4 n^{-2 \mu}=4 M_{n} n^{-2 \mu}
$$

Summing by parts, we deduce from (24) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{M_{n}}{n^{2 \mu}} & =\sum_{n=1}^{N-1}\left(M_{1}+\cdots+M_{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n^{2 \mu}}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2 \mu}}\right)+\frac{M_{1}+\cdots+M_{N}}{N^{2 \mu}} \\
& \leq c \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} n\left(\frac{1}{n^{2 \mu}}-\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2 \mu}}\right)+\frac{c N}{N^{2 \mu}}=c \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{2 \mu}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The partial sums

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{N} \lambda\left(\mathcal{B}_{n}\right) \leq 4 \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{M_{n}}{n^{2 \mu}} \leq 4 c \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{n^{2 \mu}}
$$

thus converge if $\mu>1 / 2$.

### 5.1 Proof of Theorem 4

We argue by contradiction and suppose on the contrary that $\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})>1 / 2$. Fix a real number $\mu$ with $1 / 2<\mu<\mu_{\Gamma}(\mathbf{x})$. Then for almost all points $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$, we have $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})>\mu$. This means that there exist infinitely many $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying (6), or equivalently that $\mathbf{y}$ belongs to infinitely many balls of the form $B\left(\gamma \mathbf{x},|\gamma|^{-\mu}\right)$. We now restrict our attention to points $\mathbf{y}$ with $\mu(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y})>\mu$ lying in an annulus

$$
\Omega^{\prime}=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; a^{\prime} \leq|\mathbf{z}| \leq b^{\prime}\right\}
$$

where $b^{\prime}>a^{\prime}>0$ are arbitrarily fixed. Since $\mathbf{y}$ belongs to the intersection $\Omega^{\prime} \cap$ $B\left(\gamma \mathbf{x},|\gamma|^{-\mu}\right)$, we deduce from the triangle inequality the estimate

$$
a^{\prime}-|\gamma|^{-\mu} \leq|\gamma \mathbf{x}| \leq b^{\prime}+|\gamma|^{-\mu}
$$

Fixing $a<a^{\prime}$ and $b>b^{\prime}$, the center $\gamma \mathbf{x}$ then lies in the larger annulus

$$
\Omega=\left\{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} ; a \leq|\mathbf{z}| \leq b\right\}
$$

provided that $|\gamma|$ is large enough. It follows that $\mathbf{y}$ falls inside the union of balls

$$
\bigcup_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\|\gamma| \geq N, \gamma \mathbf{x} \in \Omega}} B\left(\gamma \mathbf{x},|\gamma|^{-\mu}\right)
$$

considered in Lemma 6 for every integer $N$ large enough, and thus y belongs to $\mathcal{B}$. However, Lemma 6 asserts that $\mathcal{B}$ is a null set which is a contradiction.
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[^0]:    $\left(^{*}\right)$ Here again we assume without loss of generality that $\psi(q) \leq 1 / 2$ for every $q \geq 1$, so that Lemma 2 may be applied.

[^1]:    ( $\dagger$ ) As usual $\lfloor x\rfloor$ and $\lceil x\rceil$ stand respectively for the floor and the ceiling of the real number $x$. Then $\lceil x\rceil=\lfloor x\rfloor+1$, unless $x$ is an integer in which case $\lfloor x\rfloor=\lceil x\rceil=x$.

