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# On surfaces of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$with numerically anticanonical divisor 

Georges Dloussky


#### Abstract

We consider minimal compact complex surfaces $S$ with Betti numbers $b_{1}=1$ and $n=b_{2}>0$. A theorem of Donaldson gives $n$ exceptional line bundles. We prove that if in a deformation, these line bundles have sections, $S$ is a degeneration of blown-up Hopf surfaces. Besides, if there exists an integer $m \geq 1$ and a flat line bundle $F$ such that $H^{0}(S,-m K \otimes$ $F) \neq 0$, then $S$ contains a Global Spherical Shell. We apply this last result to complete classification of bihermitian surfaces.
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## 0 Introduction

A minimal compact complex surface $S$ is said to be of the class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ of Kodaira if the first Betti number satisfies $b_{1}(S)=1$. A surface $S$ is of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$if moreover $n:=b_{2}(S)>0$; these surfaces admit no nonconstant meromorphic functions. The major problem in classification of non-kählerian surfaces is to achieve the classification of surfaces $S$ of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$. All known surfaces of this
class contain Global Spherical Shells (GSS), i.e. admit a biholomorphic map $\varphi: U \rightarrow V$ from a neighbourhood $U \subset \mathbb{C}^{2} \backslash\{0\}$ of the sphere $S^{3}=\partial B^{2}$ onto an open set $V$ such that $\Sigma=\varphi\left(S^{3}\right)$ does not disconnect $S$. Are there other surfaces ? In first section we investigate the general situation: A theorem of Donaldson [13] gives a $\mathbb{Z}$-base $\left(E_{i}\right)$ of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, such that $E_{i} E_{j}=-\delta_{i j}$. These cohomology classes can be represented by line bundles $L_{i}$ such that $K_{S} L_{i}=$ $L_{i}^{2}=-1$. Indeed, these line bundles generalize exceptional curves of the first kind, and since $S$ is minimal, they have no section. Over the versal deformation $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$ of $S$ these line bundles form families $\mathcal{L}_{i}$. We propose the following conjecture which can be easily checked for surfaces with GSS:
Conjecture 1: Let $S$ be a surface in class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$and $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$ be the versal deformation of $S \simeq S_{0}$ over the ball of dimension $h^{1}(S, \Theta)$. Then there exists $u \in \Delta, u \neq 0$, and flat line bundles $F_{i}$ such that $H^{0}\left(S_{u}, L_{i, u} \otimes F_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$.
The main result of section 1 is (see theorem (13)),
Theorem 0. 1 Let $S$ be a surface in class VII $I_{0}^{+}$and $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$ its versal deformation. If there exists $u \in B$ and flat line bundles $F_{i} \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$ such that $H^{0}\left(S_{u}, L_{i, u} \otimes F_{i}\right) \neq 0$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$, then there is a non empty Zariski open set $U \subset B$ such that for all $u \in U, S_{u}$ is a blown-up Hopf surface. In particular, $S$ is a degeneration of blown-up Hopf surfaces.

If a surface is a degeneration of blown-up Hopf surfaces, the fundamental group of a fiber is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}_{l}$, hence taking a finite covering, once obtains a surface obtained by degeneration of blown-up primary Hopf surfaces. Notice that a finite quotient of a surface of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$containing a GSS still contains a GSS [8].

Conjecture 2: Let $S$ be a surface of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$. If $S$ is a degeneration of blown-up primary Hopf surfaces, then $S$ contains a cycle of rational curves.

A surface admitting a numerically anticanonical (NAC) divisor (see (20)), contains a cycle of rational curves. In section 2, we shall prove

Theorem 0. 2 Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}^{+}$. If $S$ admits a NAC divisor, then $S$ contains a GSS.

It is a weak version of
Conjecture 3 (Nakamura [21]). Let $S$ be a surface of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$. If $S$ contains a cycle of rational curves, $S$ contains a GSS.
The proof is based on the fact that in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, a curve is equivalent to a class of the form $L_{i}-\sum_{j \in I} L_{j}$, with $I \neq \emptyset$. Intuitively $L_{i}$ represents an exceptional curve of the first kind and $C$ is then equivalent to an exceptional curve of the first kind blown-up several times ( $\operatorname{Card}(I)$ times). It explains why curves have self-intersection $\leq-2$. We recover a characterization of Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces by Oeljeklaus, Toma \& Zaffran [19]:

Theorem 0. 3 Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}$ with $b_{2}(S)>0$. Then $S$ is a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface if and only if there exists two flat line bundles $F_{1}$,
$F_{2}$, two twisted vector fields $\theta_{1} \in H^{0}\left(S, \Theta \otimes F_{1}\right), \theta_{2} \in H^{0}\left(S, \Theta \otimes F_{2}\right)$, such that $\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2}(p) \neq 0$ at at least one point $p \in S$.

In section 3 we apply results of section 2 to complete the classification of bihermitian 4-manifolds $M$ (see [1], [2] [22), when $b_{1}(M)=1$ and $b_{2}(M)>0$ : A bihermitian surface is a riemannian oriented connected 4 -manifold ( $M, g$ ) endowed with two integrable almost complex structures $J_{1}, J_{2}$ inducing the same orientation, orthogonal with respect to $g$ and independent i.e. $J_{1}(x) \neq \pm J_{2}(x)$ for at least one point $x \in M$. This structure depends only on the conformal class $c$ of $g$. A bihermitian surface is strongly bihermitian if $J_{1}(x) \neq \pm J_{2}(x)$ for every point $x \in M$. The key observation is that under these assumptions, ( $M, J_{i}$ ), $i=1,2$ admit a numerically anticanonical divisor.

Theorem 0. 4 Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a compact bihermitian surface with odd first Betti number.

1) If $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is strongly bihermitian (i.e $\mathcal{D}=\emptyset$ ), then the complex surfaces $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are minimal and either a Hopf surface covered by a primary one associated to a contraction $F:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ of the form

$$
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha z_{1}+s z_{2}^{m}, a \alpha^{-1} z_{2}\right),
$$

with $\quad a, s \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|\alpha|^{2} \leq a<|\alpha|<1,\left(a^{m}-\alpha^{m+1}\right) s=0$,
or else $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are Inoue surfaces $S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{+}, S_{N, p, q, r}^{-}$.
2) If $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is not strongly bihermitian, then $\mathcal{D}$ has at most two connected components, $\left(M, J_{i}\right), i=1,2$, contain GSS and the minimal models $S_{i}$ of $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are

- Surfaces with GSS of intermediate type if $\mathcal{D}$ has one connected component
- Hopf surfaces of special type (see [22] 2.2), Inoue (parabolic) surfaces or Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces if $\mathcal{D}$ has two connected components.

Moreover, the blown-up points belong to the NAC divisors.
If moreover the metric $g$ is anti-self-dual (ASD), we obtain
Corollary 0. 5 Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a compact ASD bihermitian surface with odd first Betti number. Then the minimal models of the complex surfaces $\left(M, J_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are

- Hopf surfaces of special type (see [22] 2.2),
- (parabolic) Inoue surfaces or
- even Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces.

Moreover, the blown-up points belong to the NAC divisors.
Remark 0. 6 Throughout the paper we shall use the following terminology:

1) A surface for which exists a nontrivial divisor $D$ such that $D^{2}=0$ will be called a Enoki surface, they are obtained by holomorphic compactification of
an affine line bundle over an elliptic curve by a cycle $D$ of rational curves [14; otherwise they are associated to contracting holomorphic germs of maps

$$
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(t^{n} z_{1} z_{2}^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} t^{i+1} z_{2}^{i+1}, t z_{2}\right)
$$

[6] 9. A Enoki surface with an elliptic curve will be called briefly a Inoue surface (= parabolic Inoue surface): they are obtained by holomorphic compactification of a line bundle over an elliptic curve by a cycle of rational curves $D$; otherwise they are associated to the contracting germs of maps

$$
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(t^{n} z_{1} z_{2}^{n}, t z_{2}\right) .
$$

For all these surfaces, the sum of opposite self-intersections of the $n=b_{2}(S)$ rational curves $D_{0}, \ldots, D_{n-1}$ is $\sigma_{n}(S):=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} D_{i}^{2}=2 n$.
2) A surface with $2 n<\sigma_{n}(S)<3 n$ will be called an intermediate surface;
3) A surface with $\sigma_{n}(S)=3 n$ a called a Inoue-Hirzebruch (IH) surface (15] or 7 for a construction by contracting germs of mappings). An even (=hyperbolic) (resp. odd (=half)) IH surfaces has two (resp. one) cycle of rational curves.

We shall assume throughout the article that $S$ admits no nonconstant meromorphic functions.

Acknowledgments: I am grateful for helpful discussions with Akira Fujiki, Massimiliano Pontecorvo, and Vestislav Apostolov during the preparation of the third part of this article. There is a gap in the proof of Corollary 2, p 425 in [2], theorems 4.1 and 5.2 in [22]. The statements are too restrictive and omit Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces.

## 1 Exceptional line bundles and degeneration of blownup Hopf surfaces

Let $S$ be a surface in class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}^{+}$with $n=b_{2}(S)$. Since $S$ is not algebraic, $A^{2} \leq 0$ for every divisor $A$. By adjunction formula it is easy to deduce that for every irreducible curve $C, K C \geq 0, C^{2} \leq-2$ if $C$ is a regular rational curve and $C^{2} \leq 0$ if $C$ is a rational curve with a double point or an elliptic curve. It is well known that $S$ contains at most $n$ rational curves, and at most one elliptic curve. By Hirzebruch index theorem, $b^{-}=b_{2}(S)$ whence the intersection form $Q: H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}) /$ Torsion $\rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is negative definite.

### 1.1 Exceptional line bundles

An irreducible curve which satisfies the two conditions $K C=C^{2}=-1$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind; we generalize the notion to line bundles.

Definition 1. 7 1) A line bundle $L \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}^{\star}\right)$ is called an exceptional line bundle (of the first kind) if $K L=L^{2}=-1$.
2) An effective divisor $E$ is called an exceptional divisor (of the first) kind if $E$ is the inverse image $\Pi^{\star} C$ of an exceptional curve of the first kind $C$ by a finite number of blowing-ups $\Pi$. Equivalentlly it is an effective reduced divisor which may be blown-down onto a regular point.

Using the fact that for a blowing-up $\Pi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}, K_{S}=\Pi^{\star} K_{S^{\prime}}+C$ and the projection formula ( 5 p 11 ), it is easy to check that if $E$ is an exceptional divisor, then $[E]$ is an exceptional line bundle. Moreover, the inverse image $\Pi^{\star} L$ of an exceptional line bundle $L$ by a finite sequence of blowing-ups is still an exceptional line bundle.

The following theorem has been proved by I. Nakamura when $S$ contains a cycle of rational curves [21] (1.7). It should be noticed that any surface with $b_{1}(S)=1$ and $b_{2}(S)=0$ is minimal and $H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right) \simeq H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}^{\star}\right)$ [17] II, p699.

Theorem 1. 8 Let $S$ be a (not necessarily minimal) compact complex surface such that $b_{1}(S)=1$, with second Betti number $n=b_{2}(S)>0$. Then there exists $n$ exceptional line bundles $L_{j}, j=0, \ldots, n-1$, unique up to torsion by a flat line bundle $F \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$ such that:

- $E_{j}=c_{1}\left(L_{j}\right), 0 \leq j \leq n-1$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$,
- $K_{S} L_{j}=-1$ and $L_{j} L_{k}=-\delta_{j k}$,
- $K_{S}=L_{0}+\cdots+L_{n-1}$ in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$
- For every $i=0, \ldots, n-1$ and for every flat line bundle $F \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$,

$$
\mathcal{X}\left(L_{i} \otimes F\right)=0
$$

- If $h^{0}\left(S, L_{i} \otimes F\right) \neq 0$, there exists an exceptional divisor $C_{i}$ and a (perhaps trivial) flat effective divisor $P_{i}$ such that $L_{i} \otimes F=\left[C_{i}+P_{i}\right]$.

Proof: 1) By Donaldson theorem [13],there exists a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis $\left(E_{i}\right)_{i}, 0 \leq i \leq n-1$, of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}) /$ Torsion such that $E_{i} E_{j}=-\delta_{i, j}$. Moreover, since $p_{g}=h^{2}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)=$ 0 , the exponential exact sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^{\star} \rightarrow 0$ yields line bundles $L_{i}$ such that $E_{i}=c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right)$. The line bundles $L_{i}$ are unique up to tensor product by flat line bundles. For a surface of class VII, the group of flat line bundles is $H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$. Let $c=\sum n_{i} E_{i} \in H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$. Then $c^{2}=-\sum n_{i}^{2}$, therefore $c^{2}=-1$ if and only if $c= \pm E_{i}$. Replacing if necessary $L_{i}$ by $L_{i}^{-1}$ we may suppose that $K L_{i} \leq 0$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$. By Riemann-Roch formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{X}\left(L_{i} \otimes F\right)=\mathcal{X}\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)+\frac{1}{2}\left(L_{i}^{2}-K L_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(-1-K L_{i}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}, \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore $K L_{i} \leq-1$. Since $\left(L_{i}\right)$ is a $\mathbb{Z}$-base of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}), K=\sum_{i} k_{i} L_{i}$ with $k_{i}=-K L_{i} \geq 1$. From $-n=K^{2}=-\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} k_{i}^{2}$ we deduce that $k_{i}=1$ for $i=0, \ldots, n-1$. From $(*)$ we obtain

$$
\mathcal{X}\left(L_{i} \otimes F\right)=0
$$

2) If $h^{0}\left(S, L_{i} \otimes F\right)>0$, then $L_{i} \otimes F=\left[C_{i}\right]$ where $C_{i}$ is an effective divisor. Let

$$
C_{i}=n_{1} G_{1}+\cdots+n_{p} G_{p}
$$

be a decomposition into irreducible components.

- If $G$ is an elliptic curve or a rational curve with a double point then $K G=-G^{2} \geq 0$.
- If $G$ is a nonsingular rational curve, $K G=-2-G^{2} \geq-1$ and $K G=-1$ if and only if $G$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind.

Therefore the condition

$$
-1=K C_{i}=\sum_{i} n_{i} K G_{i}
$$

implies that there is an exceptional curve of the first kind, say $G_{p}$. Now we prove the result by induction on $n=b_{2}(S) \geq 1$.
If $n=1$, there is only one exceptional line bundle $L$ and if $h^{0}(S, L \otimes F) \neq 0$, $S$ is not minimal, hence a blow-up of a surface $S^{\prime}$ with $b_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=0$. Then $L \otimes F=[C+P]$ where $C$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind and $P=0$ (if $S^{\prime}$ has no curve) or $P$ is flat perhaps not trivial (if $S^{\prime}$ is a Hopf surface). Suppose that $n>1$ :

- If $G_{p} \sim E_{i}$, then $-F=\left[n_{1} G_{1}+\cdots+n_{p-1} G_{p-1}+\left(n_{p}-1\right) G_{p}\right]$ is a flat line bundle and $L_{i}^{\prime}=L_{i} \otimes F=\left[G_{p}\right]$.
- If $G_{p} \sim E_{j}, j \neq i$, there is a flat line bundle $F$ such that $L_{j}^{\prime}=L_{j} \otimes F=$ $\left[G_{p}\right]$.

Therefore, replacing $L_{j}$ by $L_{j}^{\prime}$, and changing if necessary the numbering we may suppose that $L_{n-1}=\left[C_{n-1}\right]$ with $C_{n-1}$ an exceptional curve of the first kind. Let $\Pi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ be the blowing-down of $C_{n-1}$. Since $L_{i}, i \neq n-1$ is trivial in a neighbourhood of $C_{n-1}, L_{i}^{\prime}=\Pi_{\star} L_{i}$ is a line bundle such that $L_{i}=\Pi^{\star} \Pi_{\star} L_{i}$ and we check easily, by projection formula, that $\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{0 \leq i \leq n-2}$ is a family of exceptional line bundles. If $h^{0}\left(L_{i}\right) \neq 0$, then $h^{0}\left(L_{i}^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, whence by induction hypothesis, $L_{i}^{\prime}=\left[C_{i}^{\prime}+P_{i}^{\prime}\right]$ with $C_{i}^{\prime}$ an exceptional divisor and $P_{i}^{\prime}$ an effective flat divisor. Therefore $L_{i}=\Pi^{\star} L_{i}^{\prime}=\left[\Pi^{\star} C_{i}^{\prime}+\Pi^{\star} P_{i}^{\prime}\right]$.

### 1.2 Families of exceptional or flat line bundles

If $S$ is minimal then, for any $i=0, \ldots, n-1$ and for any flat line bundle $F$, $h^{0}\left(S, L_{i} \otimes F\right)=0$. In all known examples $S$ has a deformation into a non minimal one, hence we consider now versal deformation of $S$.
Let $\Pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$ be the versal deformation of $S \simeq S_{0}$, where $B$ is the unit ball of $\mathbb{C}^{N}, N=\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{H}^{1}(\mathrm{~S}, \Theta)$. Standard arguments of spectral sequences yield

Proposition 1. 9 For $i=0, \ldots, n-1$, there exist line bundles $\pi_{i}: \mathcal{L}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that for every $u \in B$, the restriction $\pi_{i, u}: L_{i, u} \rightarrow S_{u}$ is an exceptional line bundle,
Moreover, if $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ is the relative canonical bundle, we have

$$
\mathcal{K} \sim \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1} \mathcal{L}_{i}
$$

in $H^{2}(\mathcal{S}, \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H^{2}\left(S_{0}, \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
Since $\mathbb{C}^{\star}$ is commutative any representation $\rho: \pi_{1}(S) \rightarrow G L(1, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ factorize through $H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, therefore any representation (hence any flat line bundle), is defined by $\gamma \mapsto f$ with $f \in \mathbb{C}^{\star}$. We shall denote by $F^{f} \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right) \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ this line bundle and we have defined a group morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi: \quad \mathbb{C}^{\star} \rightarrow \\
& H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right) \\
& \lambda \mapsto \\
& F^{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 1. 10 1) For any holomorphic function $f: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ there exists a unique flat line bundle $\mathcal{F}^{f} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ such that $\left(\mathcal{F}^{f}\right)_{\mid S_{u}}=F^{f(u)}$.
2) There exists over $\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ a flat line bundle, called the tautological flat line bundle $\mathcal{F}$ such that for any $(u, \lambda) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}, \mathcal{F}_{S_{u} \times\{\lambda\}}=F^{\lambda}$.

Proof: Let $\omega: \tilde{\mathcal{S}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the family of universal covering spaces of $\mathcal{S}$. Then the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(S)$ operates diagonally on $\tilde{\mathcal{S}} \times \mathbb{C}$ by $\gamma \cdot(p, z)=$ $(\gamma \cdot p, f(\Pi \omega(p)) z)$. The quotient manifold is $\mathcal{F}^{f}$.
A similar construction gives the tautological flat line bundle $\mathcal{F}$.
Examples 1. 11 1) Suppose that $S=S(F)$ is a primary Hopf surface defined by

$$
F(z)=\left(\alpha_{1} z_{1}+s z_{2}^{m}, \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right), \quad 0<\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{2}\right|<1, \quad\left(\alpha_{2}^{m}-\alpha_{1}\right) s=0
$$

If $s=0$ (resp. $s \neq 0$ ), $S$ contains at least two elliptic curves $E_{1}, E_{2}$ (resp. only one elliptic curve $E_{2}$ ), where

$$
E_{1}=\left\{z \neq 0 \mid z_{1}=0\right\} /\left\{\alpha_{2}^{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}, \quad E_{2}=\left\{z \neq 0 \mid z_{2}=0\right\} /\left\{\alpha_{1}^{p} \mid p \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

Then for $i=1,2, \varphi\left(\alpha_{i}\right)=\left[E_{i}\right]$. In fact, if $S$ is a diagonal Hopf surface, the cocycle of the line bundle associated to $\left[E_{i}\right]$ is given by

$$
\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, \lambda\right) \sim\left(\alpha_{1} z_{1}, \alpha_{2} z_{2}, \alpha_{i} \lambda\right)
$$

2) Following [6], let $S=S(F)$ be the minimal surface containing a GSS with $b_{2}(S)>0$ defined by

$$
F(z)=\left(t^{n} z_{1} z_{2}^{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_{i} t^{i+1} z_{2}^{i+1}, t z_{2}\right)
$$

Then $S$ contains a cycle of rational curves $\Gamma=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{n-1}$ such that $D_{i}^{2}=-2, i=0, \ldots, n-1$ and $\Gamma^{2}=0$. Let $t=\operatorname{tr} D F(0) \neq 0$ be the trace of the surface, then $\varphi(t)=[\Gamma]$. In fact the equation of $\Gamma$ is $z_{2}=0$.
If in the expression of $F$, there is at least one index $i$ such that $a_{i} \neq 0, S$ has no elliptic curve. If $M(S)$ is the intersection matrix of the rational curves then $\operatorname{det} M(S)=0$, hence the curves do not generate $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$; for every $m \geq 1$, every $F$ flat, $H^{0}(S,-m K+F)=0$, therefore there is no NAC divisor.
If $F(z)=\left(t^{n} z_{1} z_{2}^{n}, t z_{2}\right)$, i.e. $S$ is a Inoue (parabolic) surface, $S$ contains an elliptic curve $E$ and $-K=[E+\Gamma]$.
3) Following [15, let $S=S_{M}=\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} / G_{M}$ with $M \in S L(3, \mathbb{Z})$ a unimodular matrix with eigenvalues $\alpha, \beta, \bar{\beta}$ such that $\alpha>1, \beta \neq \bar{\beta}$. Denote by $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ a real eigenvector associated to $\alpha$ and $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ an eigenvector associated to $\beta$. It can be easily checked that $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right),\left(a_{2}, b_{2}\right)$ and $\left(a_{3}, b_{3}\right)$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{R}$. Let $G_{M}$ generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
g_{0}:(w, z) \mapsto(\alpha w, \beta z) \\
g_{i}:(w, z) \mapsto\left(w+a_{i}, z+b_{i}\right) \quad \text { for } \quad i=1,2,3 .
\end{gathered}
$$

If $G$ is generated by $g_{i}, i=1,2,3, \omega=d w \wedge d z$ is invariant under $G$ hence yields a 2 -form on $\mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C} / G$. Moreover, $g_{0}^{\star} \omega=\alpha \beta \omega$, hence yields a non-vanishing twisted 2-form over $S_{M}$ and $K=F^{1 / \alpha \beta}$. A line bundle has no section for there is no curve.

### 1.3 Degeneration of blown-up Hopf surfaces

All surfaces containing GSS are degeneration of blown-up primary Hopf surfaces as it can be easily checked using contracting germs of mappings. We show in this section that if a surface can be deformed into a non minimal one then over a Zariski open set in the base of the versal deformation, there are blown-up Hopf surfaces.
We need a lemma comparing the versal deformation of a surface $S$ with the versal deformation of a blowing-up $S^{\prime}$ of $S$.

Lemma 1. 12 Let $S$ be a compact complex surface of the VII-class (not necessarily minimal), let $\Pi: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be the blowing-up of $S$ at the point $z_{0}$ and

$$
e_{z_{0}}: H^{0}(S, \Theta) \rightarrow T_{z_{0}} S
$$

be the evaluation of global vector fields at $z_{0}$. Then, if $\mathcal{V}$ is a covering of $S$ such that $H^{1}(S, \Theta)=H^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)$,

1) $h^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} e_{z_{0}}$;
2) There exists a covering $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}=\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ of $S^{\prime}$ such that $H^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ with the following properties:
i) $V_{0}^{\prime}$ is the inverse image by $\Pi$ of a ball $V_{0}$ centered at $z_{0}$,
ii) $V_{0}^{\prime}$ meets only one open subset of the covering, say $V_{1}^{\prime}$ along a spherical shell,
iii) For all $i \geq 1$, the restriction of $\Pi$ on $V_{i}^{\prime}$ is an isomorphism on its image $V_{i}$,
iv) the canonical mapping $\Pi^{\star}: H^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ is injective,
v) A base of $H^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)$ may be obtained from a base of $H^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)$ by adding cocycles induced on $V_{01}^{\prime}$ by (at most two) non-vanishing vector fields $Z^{i}$ on $V_{0}$ such that the vectors $Z^{i}\left(z_{0}\right)$ generate a suplementary subspace of $\operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}$ in $T_{z_{0}}$.

In particular $h^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=h^{1}(S, \Theta)+\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}$.
Proof: 1) is clear.
2) Let $\mathcal{U}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \geq 1}$ be a locally finite covering of $S$ such that $H^{1}(S, \Theta)=$ $H^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \Theta)$. It may be supposed that $z_{0} \in U_{i}$ for $i=1, \ldots p$. Denote by $U_{0}^{\prime} \subset \subset U_{0}$ balls centered at $z_{0}$ such that if $i>p$, then $U_{0} \cap U_{i}=\emptyset$. Now, if $V_{0}=U_{0}$, $V_{1}=U_{1} \backslash \overline{U_{0}^{\prime}}$ and $V_{i}=U_{i} \backslash \overline{U_{0}}$, for $i>1$, there are three coverings of $S, \mathcal{U}$, $\mathcal{U}_{0}=\left(U_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ and $\mathcal{V}=\left(V_{i}\right)_{i \geq 0}$ related by the relation

$$
\mathcal{V} \prec \mathcal{U}_{0} \prec \mathcal{U}
$$

The canonical mappings

$$
H^{1}(\mathcal{U}, \Theta) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(\mathcal{U}_{0}, \Theta\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)
$$

are isomorphism. We define a covering $\mathcal{V}^{\prime}=\left(V_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ of $S^{\prime}$ by $V_{i}^{\prime}=\Pi^{-1}\left(V_{i}\right)$. The canonical morphism $\Pi^{\star}$ is clearly injective and the evident mapping

$$
s: H^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)
$$

is clearly surjective. Let $\xi \notin \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}$ and $\theta$ a vector field on $U_{0}$ such that $\theta\left(z_{0}\right)=\xi$. Define $\eta=\left(\eta_{j k}\right) \in Z^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)$ by $\eta_{01}=\theta$ and $\eta_{j k}=0$ if $\{j, k\} \neq\{0,1\}$. If $\eta^{\prime}=\Pi^{\star}(\eta) \in Z^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right), \eta$ and $\eta^{\prime}$ are cocycles such that $s\left(\left[\eta^{\prime}\right]\right)=[\eta]=0$, but $\left[\eta^{\prime}\right] \neq 0$. In fact if there exist vector fields $X_{0}^{\prime}$ on $V_{0}^{\prime}$ and $X^{\prime}$ on $S^{\prime} \backslash \Pi^{-1}\left(U_{0}^{\prime}\right)$ such that $\theta^{\prime}=X^{\prime}-X_{0}^{\prime}$ on $V_{01}^{\prime}$, we have $\theta=\Pi_{\star} X^{\prime}-\Pi_{\star} X_{0}^{\prime}$ on $V_{01}$. But since a vector field extends inside a ball, $\xi=\theta\left(z_{0}\right)=\Pi_{\star} X^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ which is a contradiction. Therefore, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Ker} s \geq \operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}$ and it yields $h^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \geq$ $h^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)+$ codim $\operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}$. Now by Riemann-Roch-Hirzebruch-Atiyah-Singer theorem we have, since $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ are $V I I$-class surfaces,

$$
h^{1}(S, \Theta)=h^{0}(S, \Theta)+2 b_{2}(S) \quad \text { and } \quad h^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=h^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)+2 b_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)
$$

Using $b_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=b_{2}(S)+1$, we obtain by a)

$$
h^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right)=h^{1}(S, \Theta)+\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
h^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)+\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}} & =h^{1}(S, \Theta)+\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}=h^{1}\left(S^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \geq h^{1}\left(\mathcal{V}^{\prime}, \Theta^{\prime}\right) \\
& \geq h^{1}(\mathcal{V}, \Theta)+\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im} e_{z_{0}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which completes the proof.

Theorem 1. 13 Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}^{+}, n=b_{2}(S) \geq 1$ and $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow B$ its versal deformation. Assume that there is a point $v \in B, \lambda_{i} \in \mathbb{C}, i=0, \ldots, n-1$ such that

$$
H^{0}\left(S_{v}, L_{i, v} \otimes F^{\lambda_{i}}\right) \neq 0
$$

Then there exists

- Holomorphic functions $c_{i}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$,
- Flat families of exceptional divisors $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ over $B \backslash H_{i}$, where $H_{i}=\left\{c_{i}=0\right\}$, such that
- For every $u \in B \backslash H_{i},\left[C_{i, u}\right]=L_{i, u} \otimes F^{c_{i}(u)}$ and $F^{c_{i}(v)}=F^{\lambda_{i}}$,
- $S_{u}$ is minimal if and only if $u \in M:=\cap_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{i}$,
- $S_{u}$ is a blown-up Hopf surface if and only if $u \in B \backslash \cup_{i=0}^{n-1} H_{i}$.

Proof: 1) The surface $S_{v}$ contains an exceptional curve of the first kind $C$. Changing if necessary the numbering we may suppose that $C=C_{n-1}$ and $L_{n-1, v} \otimes F^{\lambda_{n-1}}=\left[C_{n-1}\right]$. By stability theorem of Kodaira [16], there exists an open neighbourhood of $v \in B$ and a flat family $\mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ over $V$ of exceptional curves of the first kind.
2) Let $p r_{1}: \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{\star} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ be the first projection and define the line bundle $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ over $\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ by

$$
\mathcal{M}_{n-1}:=p r_{1}^{\star} \mathcal{L}_{n-1} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}}} \mathcal{F}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the tautological flat line bundle of Lemma 10 by $p=\pi_{n-1} \times I d$, the sheaf $\mathcal{M}_{n-1}$ is flat over $B \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$. For every $(u, \alpha) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$, we have $\left(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}\right)_{\mid S_{u} \times\{\alpha\}} \simeq\left(\mathcal{L}_{n-1}\right)_{\mid S_{u}} \otimes F^{\alpha}$. By the semi-continuity theorem of Grauert, and because surfaces have no nonconstant meromorphic functions,

$$
Z_{n-1}:=\left\{(u, \alpha) \in B \times \mathbb{C}^{\star} \mid h^{0}\left(S_{u} \times\{\alpha\},\left(\mathcal{M}_{n-1}\right)_{S_{u} \times\{\alpha\}}\right)=1\right\}
$$

is an analytic subset of $B \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ and the dimension of the intersection $Z_{n-1} \cap$ $V \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ is $N=\operatorname{dim}$ B by 1 ).
3) Let $Z_{n-1}^{\prime}$ be the irreducible component of $Z_{n-1}$ such that $\mathcal{M}_{n-1 \mid p^{-1}\left(Z_{n-1}^{\prime} \cap V \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)}=$ [ $\mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ ]. We have a flat family of curves

$$
p: \mathcal{C}_{n-1} \rightarrow Z_{n-1}^{\prime}
$$

such that for $(u, \alpha) \in Z_{n-1}^{\prime},\left[C_{n-1,(u, \alpha)}\right]=L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha}$. For $p=0,1$, the functions

$$
\begin{array}{llc}
Z_{n-1}^{\prime} & \rightarrow & \mathbb{N} \\
(u, \alpha) & \mapsto & h^{p}\left(C_{n-1,(u, \alpha)}, \mathcal{O}_{\left.C_{n-1,(u, \alpha)}\right)}\right)
\end{array}
$$

are constant (see [5] p 96). For $u \in V$ and $\alpha$ such that the section of $L_{n-1} \otimes F^{\alpha}$ vanishes on an exceptional curve of the first kind,

$$
h^{0}\left(C_{n-1, u}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1, u}}\right)=1, \quad h^{1}\left(C_{n-1, u}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1, u}}\right)=0
$$

therefore $h^{0}\left(C_{n-1, u}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1, u}}\right)=1$ and $h^{1}\left(C_{n-1, u}, \mathcal{O}_{C_{n-1, u}}\right)=0$ everywhere, whence over each $(u, \alpha) \in Z_{n-1}^{\prime}$ the analytic set is connected and does not contain any elliptic curve or cycle of rational curves.
Now, we show that the intersection $Z_{i}^{\prime} \cap\left(V \times \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$ contains only one irreducible component: In fact, if $z=(u, \alpha)$ and $z^{\prime}=\left(u, \alpha^{\prime}\right)$ are two points in $Z_{n-1}^{\prime}$ over $u \in V$, then

$$
h^{0}\left(S_{u}, L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha}\right)=h^{0}\left(S_{u}, L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha^{\prime}}\right)=1,
$$

whence $F^{\alpha / \alpha^{\prime}}$ has a meromorphic section and by [20 (2.10), $F^{\alpha / \alpha^{\prime}}=[D]$ with $D=m E+n F$, where $E, F$ are elliptic curves or cycles of rational curves such that $E^{2}=F^{2}=0$. It means that for $L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha}=\left[C_{n-1, u}\right]$ and $L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha^{\prime}}=$ [ $C_{n-1, u}^{\prime}$ ] we have

$$
\left[C_{n-1, u}\right]=L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha}=L_{n-1, u} \otimes F^{\alpha^{\prime}} \otimes F^{\alpha / \alpha^{\prime}}=\left[C_{n-1, u}^{\prime}+D\right]
$$

and $C_{n-1, u}$ would not be connected, a contradiction.
As consequence $Z_{i}^{\prime}$ cannot accumulate on

$$
B \times\{0\} \cap V \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C}), \quad \text { or } \quad B \times\{\infty\} \cap V \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})
$$

By Remmert-Stein theorem the closure

$$
G_{n-1}=\overline{Z_{n-1}^{\prime}} \subset B \times \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})
$$

is an irreducible analytic set of codimension one. The restriction

$$
p r_{1}: G_{n-1} \rightarrow B
$$

is proper; therefore, $p r_{1}\left(G_{n-1}\right)$ is an analytic subset of $B$. Since it contains the open set $V, p r_{1}\left(G_{n-1}\right)=B$. Now,$\left(G_{n-1}, p r_{1}, B\right)$ is a ramified covering which has only one sheet over $V$, hence $G_{n-1}$ is the graph of a holomorphic mapping $c_{n-1}: B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. Define $H_{n-1}:=c_{n-1}^{-1}(0) \cup c_{n-1}^{-1}(\infty)$. So far, we have considered the family of curves $\mathcal{C}_{n-1}$ over $Z_{n-1}^{\prime}$. From now on, we shall consider it over $B_{n-1}:=B \backslash H_{n-1}$.
4) If for $i \neq n-1, L_{i, v} \otimes F^{\lambda_{i}}=\left[C_{i, v}\right]$ with $C_{i, v}$ reducible, it means that $C_{i}=\pi^{\star}\left(C_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ where $\pi$ is a finite sequence of blowing-ups and $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind. By lemma (12), there is a deformation in which the blown-up points are moved outside $C_{i}^{\prime}$. Therefore changing the point $v$ in $V^{\prime} \subset V$ we may suppose that $C_{i, v}$ is an exceptional curve of the first kind and apply 3 ).
5) We show now that for all $u \in B^{\prime}:=B \backslash \cup H_{i}, S_{u}$ is a blown-up Hopf surface: By Iitaka theorem we may blow down the exceptional divisors $\mathcal{C}_{i \mid B^{\prime}}$ over $B^{\prime}$. Let $p: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be the canonical mapping and $\Pi^{\prime}: \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \rightarrow B^{\prime}$ the induced family. Using classification of complex surfaces of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ with $b_{2}(S)=0$ (see [18]), $S_{u}^{\prime}$ is a Hopf surface or a Inoue surface [15] of type $S_{M}, S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{(+)}$ or $S_{N, p, q, r}^{(-)}$. We have to exclude the last three types: By [11] we may suppose that $S$ has no non-trivial global vector field, therefore $N=h^{1}(S, \Theta)=2 n$ and restricting if necessary $B$ we have $h^{1}\left(S_{u}, \Theta_{u}\right)=2 n$ for all $u \in B$. We denote
by $\mathcal{K}^{\prime}$ the relative canonical bundle over $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. For every $u \in B^{\prime}$ there exists a unique $f(u) \in \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ such that $-K_{u}^{\prime}=F^{f(u)}$; by Grauert theorem, $f: B^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\star}$ is holomorphic. The relative canonical bundle $\mathcal{K}$ over $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies

$$
\mathcal{K}=p^{\star} \mathcal{K}^{\prime} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\mathcal{C}_{i}\right]=F^{f^{-1}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\mathcal{C}_{i}\right]
$$

If there exists a point $u \in B^{\prime}$ such that $S_{u}^{\prime}$ is of type $S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{(+)}$or $S_{N, p, q, r}^{(-)}$, then in a neighbourhood of $u$, all surfaces have the same type by 15 and by the theorem of Bombieri [15] p280, the function $f$ is real valued hence constant, in particular globally defined. Therefore $\bigotimes_{i=0}^{n-1}\left[\mathcal{C}_{i}\right]=F^{f} \otimes \mathcal{K}$ extends over $B$, which is impossible. Remains the case $S_{M}$ which is rigid: all the surfaces $S_{u}^{\prime}$ are isomorphic hence by (11) Example $3,-K_{u}^{\prime}=F^{\alpha \beta}$, therefore as before $\bigotimes_{i}\left[\mathcal{C}_{i}\right]$ should extend which is impossible.
6) The functions $c_{i}$ are $\mathbb{C}$-valued: In fact, suppose that $c_{i}^{-1}(\infty) \neq \emptyset$; let $A$ be an irreducible component of $c_{i}^{-1}(\infty)$. Since $c$ has values in $\mathbb{C}$, there exists an index $j$ such that $c_{j}$ vanishes along $A$. Let $\mu$ (resp. $\nu$ ) be the order of the pole (resp. zero) of $c_{i}$ (resp. $c_{j}$ ) at a point $a \in A$. Then on a disc $\Delta$ containing $a$, $g:=c_{i}^{\nu} c_{j}^{\mu} \in \mathcal{O}^{\star}(\Delta)$ and the family of positive divisors

$$
\left[\nu \mathcal{C}_{i}+\mu \mathcal{C}_{j}\right]=\mathcal{L}_{i}^{\nu} \otimes \mathcal{L}_{j}^{\mu} \otimes F^{g}
$$

extends on $\Delta$. But it implies that $\mathcal{C}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{j}$ extend also, which yields a contradiction.

Definition 1. 14 Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}^{+}$. We shall say that $S$ is a degeneration of blown-up primary Hopf surfaces if there is a deformation $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta$ over the unit disc of $S \simeq S_{0}$, such that $S_{u}$ is a blown-up primary Hopf surface for $u \neq 0$.

If $\pi_{1}(S)=\mathbb{Z}$, a surface which can be deformed into a $n$ times blown-up surface, is a degeneration of blown-up primary Hopf surfaces. The surface $S_{u}$ is defined by a contraction

$$
\begin{gathered}
F_{u}(z)=\left(\alpha_{1}(u) z_{1}+s(u) z_{2}^{m}, \alpha_{2}(u) z_{2}\right) \\
\text { with } \quad 0<\left|\alpha_{1}(u)\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{2}(u)\right|<1 \text { and } s(u)\left(\alpha_{2}^{m}(u)-\alpha_{1}(u)\right)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

or

$$
F_{u}(z)=\left(\alpha_{1}(u) z_{1}, \alpha_{2}(u) z_{2}+s(u) z_{1}^{m}\right)
$$

with $\quad 0<\left|\alpha_{2}(u)\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{1}(u)\right|<1$ and $s(u)\left(\alpha_{1}^{m}(u)-\alpha_{2}(u)\right)=0$.
In both cases there is at least one elliptic curve $E_{2}$ (resp. $E_{1}$ ) induced by $\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ ) and another $E_{1}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.E_{2}\right)$ induced by $\left\{z_{1}=0\right\}$ (resp. $\left\{z_{2}=0\right\}$ ) if $s=0$. The trace

$$
t(u)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{u}^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S_{u}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(D F_{u}(0)\right)=\alpha_{1}(u)+\alpha_{2}(u)
$$

and the determinant

$$
d(u)=\operatorname{det} D F_{u}(0)=\alpha_{1}(u) \alpha_{2}(u)
$$

are bounded holomorphis functions on $B^{\prime}$ hence extend on $B$. They depend only on the conjugation class of $F_{u}$. We call $\operatorname{tr}(u)$ the trace of the surface $S_{u}$.
By [17] II p696, we have the following description of the canonical bundle $K_{u}^{\prime}$ of $S_{u}^{\prime}$ : If $S_{u}^{\prime}$ is a diagonal Hopf surface then

$$
K_{u}^{\prime}=\left[-E_{1, u}-E_{2, u}\right]=F^{\left(\alpha_{1}(u) \alpha_{2}(u)\right)^{-1}}
$$

If $s(u) \neq 0$, i.e. $S$ is not diagonal, then since $\alpha_{2}^{m}(u)=\alpha_{1}(u)$,

$$
K_{u}^{\prime}=\left[-(m+1) E_{2, u}\right]=F^{\alpha_{2}(u)^{-(m+1)}}=F^{\left(\alpha_{1}(u) \alpha_{2}(u)\right)^{-1}} .
$$

By [5] I.9.1 (vii), the canonical bundle $K_{u}$ of $S_{u}$ over $B^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
K_{u}=p^{\star} K_{u}^{\prime} \otimes \bigotimes_{0 \leq i \leq n-1}\left[C_{i, u}\right] .
$$

If $\mathcal{K}$ denotes the relative canonical bundle and $F$ denotes the tautological flat line bundle,

$$
\mathcal{K}=F^{\left(\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}\right)^{-1}} \otimes \bigotimes_{i}\left[\mathcal{C}_{i}\right]=F^{f} \otimes \bigotimes_{i} \mathcal{L}_{i}
$$

where

$$
f:=\frac{\prod_{i} c_{i}}{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}} .
$$

Since $\mathcal{K}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{i}$ are globally defined on $B, F^{f}$ is globally defined on $B$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}^{\star}(B)$. Twisting, for example, $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ by $F^{f^{-1}}$, we may suppose that $f=1$ and then

$$
c=\prod_{i=0}^{n-1} c_{i}=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}=d \in \mathcal{O}^{\star}(B)
$$

satisfies $\|c\|_{\infty} \leq 1$.
For contracting germs associated to surfaces containing GSS we refer to [6].

Proposition 1. 15 Let $S$ be a surface obtained by degeneration of blown-up minimal Hopf surfaces. If $\operatorname{tr}(S) \neq 0$, then $S$ contains a GSS and if $F$ : $\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ is a contracting germ of mappings associated to $S, \operatorname{tr}(S)=$ $\operatorname{tr} D F(0)$.

Proof: One of the two functions $\alpha_{i}, i=1,2$, say $\alpha_{2}$, admit a limit $\alpha_{2}(0) \neq 0$, and $\alpha_{1}(0)=0$. Therefore $F^{\alpha_{2}}$ is globally defined. Since $\left|\alpha_{1}(u)\right|<\left|\alpha_{2}(u)\right|$, the elliptic curve $E_{2, u}$ induced by $z_{2}=0$ exists for all $u \notin H$, hence $\left[E_{2, u}\right]=F^{\alpha_{2}}$. Since $u \mapsto h^{0}\left(S_{u}, F^{\alpha_{2}(u)}\right)$ is upper-semicontinuous we obtain in $S$ a flat cycle of rational curves $E_{2,0}$. By Enoki theorem, $S$ contains a GSS.

## 2 Surfaces with a cycle of rational curves

Definition 2. 16 Let $S$ be a surface and $C$ be an analytic subset of dimension one. We say that $C$ is a r-cycle of rational curves if

- $C$ is an elliptic curve when $r=0$,
- $C$ is a rational curve with a double point when $r=1$, and
- $C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{r-1}$, is a reduced effective divisor such that $D_{i}$ is a nonsingular rational curve for $i=0, \ldots, r-1$ and $D_{0} D_{1}=\cdots=D_{r-2} D_{r-1}=$ $D_{r-1} D_{0}=1, D_{i} D_{j}=0$ in all other cases, when $r \geq 2$.

We denote by $\sharp(C)=r \geq 0$ the number of rational curves of the cycle $C$.
With notations of theorem (8), we have
Theorem 2. 17 ([21] (1.7)) Let $S$ be a $V I I_{0}^{+}$surface with $n=b_{2}(S)$. Assume that $S$ contains exactly one cycle $C$ of rational curves such that $C^{2}<0$. Then

- $C \sim-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)$ for some $1 \leq r \leq n-1$, if $S$ is not an odd Inoue-Hirzebruch surface, or
- $C \sim-\left(L_{0}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)+F_{2}$, with $F_{2}$ of order two, if $S$ is an odd InoueHirzebruch surface.

Remark 2. 18 If $S$ is an even Inoue-Hirzebruch surface (=hyperbolic I-H surface), the two cycles $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ satisfy

$$
C \sim-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right), \quad C^{\prime} \sim-\left(L_{0}+\cdots+L_{r-1}\right)
$$

The following lemma plays a crucial role in the computation of self-intersection of the cycle $C$.

Lemma 2. 19 ([21] (2.4)) Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}^{+}$and without divisor $D$ such that $D^{2}=0$. Let $L_{I}:=\sum_{i \in I} L_{i}, L=L_{I}+F, F \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{S}^{\star}\right)$ for a nonempty subset $I \subset[0, n-1]$. Then we have:
i) If $I \neq[0, n-1]$, then $H^{q}(S, L)=0$ for any $q$.
ii) If $L \otimes \mathcal{O}_{C}=\mathcal{O}_{C}$, then $I=[0, r-1]$, and $F=\mathcal{O}_{S}, K_{S}-L+C=\mathcal{O}_{S}$.
iii) If $L C_{i}=0$ for any irreducible component $C_{i}$ of $C$, then $I=[0, r-1]$.

### 2.1 Surfaces with numerically m-anticanonical divisor

Definition 2. 20 Let $S$ be a compact complex surface of the $V I I_{0}$ class with $b_{2}(S)>0$ and let $m \geq 0$ be an integer. We shall say that $S$ admits a numerically $\boldsymbol{m}$-anticanonical divisor if there exists a divisor $D_{m}$, and a flat line bundle $F$ in $H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$ such that in $H^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}^{\star}\right)$,

$$
m K+F+\left[D_{m}\right]=0
$$

We shall say that $S$ admits a numerially anticanonical divisor, shortly a NAC divisor, if there exists an integer $m$ such that there exists a numerically $m$ anticanonical divisor.

Remark 2. 21 Let $S$ be a compact complex surface of the $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ class with $b_{2}(S)>0$. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer and $F \in H^{1}\left(S, \mathbb{C}^{\star}\right)$. Then $H^{0}(S, m K \otimes F)=$ 0 . In fact, a section of $m K \otimes F$ must vanish; let $[\Delta]=m K \otimes F$ be the associated divisor. Then

$$
0=(m K+F-\Delta) \Delta=m K \Delta-\Delta^{2} .
$$

Since $m K \Delta \geq 0$ and $\Delta^{2} \leq 0, \Delta^{2}=0$, therefore $b_{2}(S)=-K^{2}=0$.
This means that there is no numerically m-canonical divisors.
For the convenience of the reader we recall basic known facts with slightly different proofs (see [21] lemma (3.1))

Lemma 2. 22 1) If $D_{m}$ exists, it is a positive divisor, hence $H^{0}(S,-m K-$ F) $\neq 0$.
2) If an irreducible curve $C$ meets the support $\left|D_{m}\right|$ of $D_{m}$ then $C$ is contained in $\left|D_{m}\right|$.

Proof: 1) We denote by $D_{i}$ the irreducible components of $D_{m}$. Let $D_{m}=$ $\sum_{i} k_{i} D_{i}=A-B$ where $A=\sum_{i \mid k_{i}>0} k_{i} D_{i} \geq 0$ and $B=\sum_{i \mid k_{i}<0}\left(-k_{i}\right) D_{i} \geq 0$ have no common component. If $B \neq 0, B^{2}=\sum\left(-k_{i}\right) B D_{i}<0$, there exists an index $j$ such that $B D_{j}<0$. Therefore,

$$
0 \leq m K D_{j}=\left(-F-D_{m}\right) D_{j}=-D_{m} D_{j}=-A D_{j}+B D_{j}<0
$$

.... a contradiction.
2) If $C$ is an irreducible curve and meets $\left|D_{m}\right|, D_{m} C=-m K C \leq 0$, therefore $C$ is contained in $\left|D_{m}\right|$.

If there exists a non trivial divisor $A$ such that $A^{2}=0$, then a numerically manticanonical divisor exists if and only if $S$ is a Inoue surface. In this case, $S$ contains an elliptic curve $E$, a cycle $\Gamma$ of rational curves, $m=1$ and $K+E+\Gamma=0$. When there is no non-trivial flat divisor, the numerically m-anticanonical divisor $D_{m}$ is clearly unique.

### 2.2 The reduction lemma

Definition 2. 23 The least integer $m$ such that there exists a numerically manticanonical divisor, is called the index of the surface $S$ and will be denoted by $m(S)$.
The index $m(S)$ of the surface $S$ is the lcm of the denominators of the coefficients $k_{i}$ of $D_{1}=\sum_{i} k_{i} D_{i}$.

The proof of [12 (1.3) works under the following relaxed hypothesis:
Lemma 2. 24 (Reduction lemma) Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}$ with $b_{2}(S)>0$ and index $m=m(S)>1$. Then there exists a diagram

where
i) $(Z, \pi, S)$ is a m-fold ramified covering space of $S$, branched over $D_{m}$, endowed with an automorphism group isomorphic to $\mathbb{U}_{m}$ which acts transitively on the fibers,
ii) $(T, \rho, Z)$ is the minimal desingularization of $Z$,
iii) ( $T, c, S^{\prime}$ ) is the contraction of the (possible) exceptional curves of the first kind,
iv) $S^{\prime}$ is a surface of class $V I I_{0}$, with $b_{2}(S)>0$, with action of $\mathbb{U}_{m}$, with index $m\left(S^{\prime}\right)=1$,
v) $\left(S^{\prime}, \pi^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ is the quotient space of $S^{\prime}$ by $\mathbb{U}_{m}$,
vi) $\left(T^{\prime}, \rho^{\prime}, Z^{\prime}\right)$ the minimal desingularization of $Z^{\prime}$,
vii) $\left(T^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, S\right)$ is the contraction of the (possible) exceptional curves of the first kind,
such that the restriction over $S \backslash D$ is commutative, i.e.

$$
\theta:=\pi \circ \rho \circ c^{-1}=c^{\prime} \circ \rho^{\prime-1} \circ \pi^{\prime}: S^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime} \rightarrow S \backslash D
$$

and $\left(S^{\prime} \backslash D^{\prime}, S \backslash D\right)$ is a m-fold non ramified covering. Moreover

- $S$ contains a GSS if and only if $S^{\prime}$ contains a GSS, $S$ and
- The maximal divisors $D$ and $D^{\prime}$ of $S$ and $S^{\prime}$ respectively have the same number of cycles and branches.

Corollary 2. 25 If $S$ admits a NAC divisor $D_{m}$, then the support of $D_{m}$ contains a cycle.

Proof: We may suppose that there is no divisor such that $D^{2}=0$. By reduction lemma it is sufficient to prove that the support of $D_{-K}$ contains a cycle. Suppose that there exists a divisor $D_{-K}$ and a flat line bundle $F$ such that $K+D_{-K}+F=0$. By Cartan-Serre duality

$$
h^{2}\left(S, O_{S}\left(-D_{-K}\right)\right)=h^{2}(S, K+F)=h^{0}(S,-F)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \mathrm{F} \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } \mathrm{F}=0\end{cases}
$$

By Riemann-Roch formula,

$$
h^{0}(S,-F)-h^{1}(S,-F)=h^{0}(S,-F)-h^{1}(S,-F)+h^{0}(S, K+F)=\chi(F)=0,
$$

hence

$$
h^{1}(S,-F)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } \mathrm{F} \neq 0 \\ 1 & \text { if } \mathrm{F}=0\end{cases}
$$

We have

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S}\left(-D_{-K}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{D_{-K}} \rightarrow 0
$$

- If $F \neq 0$, the long exact sequence yields

$$
h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{D_{-K}}\right)=h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{D_{-K}}\right)=1 .
$$

The support of $D_{-K}$ is connected and by [20] (2.7), $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{\left(D_{-K}\right)_{r e d}}\right) \geq 1$ hence $\left(D_{-K}\right)_{\text {red }}$ contains a cycle of rational curves.

- If $F=0$, the associated long exact sequence and 20] (2.2.1) imply

$$
1 \leq h^{0}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{D_{-K}}\right)=h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{D_{-K}}\right) \leq 2
$$

As before $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{\left(D_{-K}\right)_{\text {red }}}\right) \geq 1$ and there is at least one cycle. If $h^{1}\left(S, \mathcal{O}_{\left(D_{-K}\right)_{\text {red }}}\right)=2$, then by the already quoted result (2.2.1) there are two cycles of rational curves.

### 2.3 Characterization of Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces

Lemma 2. 26 Let $S$ be a surface with a NAC divisor $D_{-K}=\sum k_{i} D_{i}$. We suppose that the maximal divisor contains a cycle of rational curves $C=D_{0}+$ $\cdots+D_{s-1}$ with $s \geq 1$ irreducible curves. If there exists $j \leq s-1$ such that $k_{j}=1$, then $k_{i}=1$ for all $i=1, \ldots, s-1$ and $C$ has no branch.

Proof: Case $s=1$ : Since $D_{0}$ is a rational curve with a double point, the adjunction formula yields

$$
D_{0}^{2}=-K D_{0}=D_{-K} D_{0}=\sum k_{i} D_{i} D_{0}=D_{0}^{2}+\sum_{i>0} k_{i} D_{i} D_{0} .
$$

hence $\sum_{i>0} k_{i} D_{i} D_{0}=0$ and $C$ has no branch.
Case $s \geq 2$ : By adjunction formula,

$$
2+D_{j}^{2}=-K D_{j}=\sum_{i} k_{i} D_{i} D_{j}=D_{j}^{2}+\sum_{i \neq j} k_{i} D_{i} D_{j},
$$

whence $2=\sum_{i \neq j} k_{i} D_{i} D_{j}$. Since $\sum_{i \neq j} D_{i} D_{j} \geq 2, D_{j}$ meets at most two curves $D_{j-1}$ and $D_{j+1}$ (one if the cycle contains two curves) and $k_{j-1}=k_{j+1}=1$. By connectivity we conclude.

Proposition 2. 27 Let $S$ be a surface with a NAC divisor $D_{-K}=\sum k_{i} D_{i}$ and let $C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{s-1}$ be a cycle contained in the support of $D_{-K}$. One of the following conditions holds:
i) There exists an index $0 \leq j \leq s-1$ such that $k_{j}=1$, then $S$ is a Inoue surface or a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface.
ii) For every $0 \leq j \leq s-1, k_{j} \geq 2$, then $C$ has at least one branch and the support $D=\left|D_{-K}\right|$ of $D_{-K}$ is connected. More precisely, if $k=\max \left\{k_{i} \mid\right.$ $0 \leq i \leq s-1\}$, there exists a curve $D_{j}$ and a branch $H_{j}>0$ such that $k_{j}=k$ and $D_{j} H_{j}>0$.

In particular, each connected component of $\left|D_{K}\right|$ contains a cycle.
Definition 2. 28 With preceeding notations, a curve $D_{j}$ in the cycle such that $D_{j} H>0$ will be called the root of the branch $H$.

Proof of (27): Taking if necessary a double covering it may be supposed, by [20](2.14), that the cycle has at least two curves, hence all the curves are regular. Let

$$
D_{-K}=A+B=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} k_{i} D+\sum_{i=p}^{p+q} k_{i} D_{i}
$$

where the support of $A=\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} k_{i} D_{i}$ is the connected component of the cycle $C=\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} D_{i}$.
If $B$ contains another cycle resp. an elliptic curve), then $S$ is a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface [20] (8.1) (resp. a Inoue surface [20] (10.2)); suppose therefore that the support $|B|$ of $B$ is simply-connected. We have to show that $\left|D_{-K}\right|$ is connected: In fact, let $B_{0}$ be a connected component of $|B|$. There is a proper mapping $p: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ onto a normal surface $S^{\prime}$ with normal singularities $a=p(|A|)$ and $b=p\left(B_{0}\right)$. Since $B$ is simply connected, $F$ is trivial on a strictly pseudo-convex neighbourhood $U$ of $B$ and thus a holomorphic section of $-K-F$ yields a non vanishing holomorphic 2-form on $U \backslash B$, i.e. $\left(S^{\prime}, b\right)$ is Gorenstein. If ( $\left.S^{\prime}, b\right)$ would be an elliptic singularity, a two-fold covering $T$ of $S$ should contain three exceptional connected divisors such that their contractions $q: T \rightarrow T^{\prime}$ would fullfil $h^{0}\left(T^{\prime}, R^{1} q_{\star} \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=3$. However, by Leray spectral sequence, there is an exact sequence
( $\dagger$ )
$0 \rightarrow H^{1}\left(T^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{1}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right) \rightarrow H^{0}\left(T^{\prime}, R^{1} q_{\star} \mathcal{O}_{T}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(T^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\right) \rightarrow H^{2}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$
where
$p_{g}=h^{2}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=0, \quad q=h^{1}\left(T, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)=1 \quad$ and $\quad h^{2}\left(T^{\prime}, \mathcal{O}_{T^{\prime}}\right)=h^{0}\left(T^{\prime}, \omega_{T^{\prime}}\right) \leq 1$,
by Serre-Grothendieck duality and because $T^{\prime}$ has no non-constant meromorphic functions. By ( $\dagger$ ) we obtain a contradiction, hence $\left(S^{\prime}, b\right)$ is a Gorenstein rational singularity, hence a Du Val singularity. However, such a singularity has a trivial canonical divisor, therefore $B=0$ and $D_{-K}=A$.
i) Suppose that there is an index $j \leq s-1$ such that $k_{j}=1$. By lemma (26), $C$ has no branch and $s=p$. By adjunction formula, we have for every $0 \leq i \leq s-1$,

$$
D_{i}^{2}+2=-K D_{i}=D_{-K} D_{i}=k_{i-1}+k_{i+1}+k_{i} D_{i}^{2}
$$

therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(k_{i-1}-1\right)+\left(k_{i+1}-1\right)=\left(k_{i}-1\right)\left(-D_{i}^{2}\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{By}(*), k_{i}=1$ for all $0 \leq i \leq p-1$.

- If for every $0 \leq i \leq s-1, D_{i}^{2}=-2, S$ is a Enoki surface by 14. Moreover, by hypothesis, $S$ admits a NAC divisor, hence is a Inoue surface or
- There is at least one index $k \leq s-1$ such that $D_{k}^{2} \leq-3$ whence $C^{2}<0$. If there is no other cycle, we have shown that $D_{-K}=C$, hence

$$
-b_{2}(S)=K^{2}=C^{2},
$$

$S$ is a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface by (20] (9.2).
In case ii), if the maximum $k$ is reached at a curve $D_{i}, i \leq s-1$, which is not a root, then applying again ( $\star$ ), we obtain $k_{i-1}=k_{i+1}=k_{i}=k$. By connexity, we reach a root.

Proposition 2. 29 Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I_{0}^{+}$admitting a NAC divisor $D_{m}$. Then one of the following conditions is fulfilled:
i) The maximal divisor $D$ is connected and contains a cycle with at least one branch;
ii) $S$ is a Inoue surface or a (even or odd) Inoue-Hirzebruch surface.

Proof: By (25) $S$ contains at least one cycle By (24) $S^{\prime}$ admits a NAC divisor $D_{-K}$. Then by (27), and (24) $S^{\prime}$ and $S$ are of the same type.

### 2.4 Surfaces with singular rational curve

Theorem 2. 30 Let $S$ be a surface with $n=b_{2}(S)$ containing a singular rational curve $D_{0}$ with a double point. Then

1) $D_{0} \sim-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)$ and the connected component containing $D_{0}$ is $D_{0}+D_{1}+\cdots+D_{p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq n-1, D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{i-1}, 1 \leq i \leq p$. In particular $D_{0}^{2}=-(n-1), D_{1}^{2}=\cdots=D_{p}^{2}=-2$.
2) The following conditions are equivalent:
i) There exists an integer $m \geq 1$ such that there exists a numerically $m$ anticanonical divisor,
ii) $S$ contains a GSS.

When these conditions are fulfilled then $S$ is either a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface and $m(S)=1$ or $D_{0}$ has a branch and its index satisfies $m(S)=n-1$.

Proof: 1) By (17), there exists $r \geq 1$ such that $D_{0}=-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)$. We have $L_{0} D_{0}=0$, hence $r=1$ by (19) iii). We show by induction on $i \geq 1$ that if there is a non singular rational curve $D_{i}$ such that $\left(D_{0}+\cdots+D_{i-1}\right) D_{i} \neq 0$ then $D_{i}$ is unique and $D_{i}=L_{i}-L_{i-1}$. By [20] (2.2.4), and unicity $D_{0} D_{i}=$ $\cdots=D_{i-2} D_{i}=0, D_{i-1} D_{i}=1$ if $i \geq 2$.
Suppose that there is a curve, say $D_{1}$ such that $D_{0} D_{1}=1$. By [21] (2.6) (see lemma 32 below) we set $D_{1} \sim L_{1}-L_{I_{1}}$, then

$$
1=D_{0} D_{1}=-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)\left(L_{1}-L_{I_{1}}\right)=1+\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right) L_{I_{1}},
$$

hence $I_{1}=\{0\}$ and $D_{1} \sim L_{1}-L_{0}$. If there were another curve, say $D_{2}$ meeting $D_{0}$, the same argument shows that $D_{2}=L_{2}-L_{0}$, but in this case $D_{1} D_{2}=-1$ and it is impossible. It shows the unicity. Suppose that for $i>1, D_{i}$ exists and $D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}$. We have the equations

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
0 & =D_{0} D_{i} & =-\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)\left(L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}\right) & =1+\left(L_{1}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right) L_{I_{i}} \\
0 & =D_{1} D_{i} & =-\left(L_{1}-L_{0}\right)\left(L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}\right) & \\
& \vdots & & \vdots \\
0 & =L_{1} L_{I_{i}}+L_{0} L_{I_{i}} \\
0 & =D_{i-2} D_{i}=-\left(L_{i-2}-L_{i-3}\right)\left(L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}\right) & =-L_{i-2} L_{I_{i}}+L_{i-3} L_{I_{i}} \\
1=D_{i-1} D_{i} & =-\left(L_{i-1}-L_{i-2}\right)\left(L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}\right) & =-L_{i-1} L_{I_{i}}+L_{i-2} L_{I_{i}}
\end{array}
$$

which yield from the last to the second equation

$$
i-1 \in I_{i}, i-2 \notin I_{i}, \ldots, 0 \notin I_{i} .
$$

The first one implies that $I_{i}$ contains exactly one index, hence

$$
D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{i-1} .
$$

We prove unicity as for $i=1$.
2) By 1) the intersection matrix of the connected component of the cycle is the $(p+1, p+1)$ matrix, $p \geq 0$,

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{crrrrr}
-(n-1) & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\
1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & & \vdots \\
0 & 1 & -2 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\
\vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\
\vdots & & \ddots & 1 & -2 & 1 \\
0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 & -2
\end{array}\right)
$$

and it is easy to check that

$$
\operatorname{det} M=(-1)^{p+1}[(n-1)(p+1)-p] .
$$

A numerically m-anticanonical divisor $D_{m}=\sum_{i=0}^{p} k_{i} D_{i}$ supported by the connected component containing the cycle satisfies the linear system

$$
M\left(\begin{array}{c}
k_{0} \\
k_{1} \\
\vdots \\
k_{p}
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
-m(n-1) \\
0 \\
\vdots \\
0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{i}=\frac{m(n-1)(p+1-i)}{(n-1)(p+1)-p}, \quad \text { for every } 0 \leq i \leq p \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that

$$
k_{i}=(p+1-i) k_{p} \quad \text { for every } 0 \leq i \leq p,
$$

therefore all $k_{i}$ are integers if and only if $k_{p}$ is an integer. By assumption

$$
D_{m}^{2}=(-m K)^{2}=-m^{2} n,
$$

and we have $D_{m} D_{i}=-m K D_{i}=0$ for $i=1, \ldots, p$. We have to consider two cases:
First case: $p \geq 1$ then

$$
-m^{2} n=\sum_{i=0}^{p} k_{i} D_{i} D_{m}=k_{0} D_{0} D_{m}=k_{0}^{2} D_{0}^{2}+k_{0} k_{1}=-\frac{m^{2}(n-1)^{2}(p+1)}{(n-1)(p+1)-p}
$$

and this condition is equivalent to

$$
p=n-1 .
$$

Hence $S$ has a numerically m-anticanonical divisor if and only if $S$ contains $n$ rational curves if and only if $S$ contains a GSS by [12].
Replacing in (*) we obtain

$$
k_{i}=\frac{m(n-i)}{n-1}, \quad \text { for every } 0 \leq i \leq n-1
$$

Hence we conclude

$$
m(S)=n-1 .
$$

Second case: $p=0$, then

$$
-m^{2} n=k_{0}^{2} D_{0}^{2}=-k_{0}^{2}(n-1)
$$

However, this equation has no solution in integers, therefore the support of $D_{m}$ is not connected. We conclude by (29).

Corollary 2. 31 If $S$ in the VII ${ }_{0}^{+}$class has a NAC divisor and contains a rational curve with a double point, then $S$ contains a GSS.

### 2.5 Cycles with at least two rational curves

Lemma 2. 32 ([21] (2.5), (2.6), (2.7)) 1) Let $D$ be a nonsingular rational curve. If $D \sim a_{0} L_{0}+\cdots+a_{n-1} L_{n-1}$, then there exists a unique $i \in[0, n-1]$ such that $a_{i}=1$ or -2 , and $a_{j}=0$ or -1 for $j \neq i$.
2) If $D$ is not contained in the cycle then $D \sim L_{i}-L_{I}$ for some $i \in[0, n-1]$ and $I \subset[0, n-1], i \notin I$.
3) Let $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ two distinct nonsingular rational curves such that $D_{1} \sim$ $L_{i_{1}}-L_{I_{1}}, D_{2} \sim L_{i_{2}}-L_{I_{2}}$, then $i_{1} \neq i_{2}$.

Proposition 2. 33 Let $S$ be a surface containing a cycle $C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{s-1}$ of $s \geq 2$ nonsingular rational curves. Suppose that there exists a rational curve $E$ such that E.C $=1$, and let $D=\sum_{i} D_{i}$ be the maximal connected divisor containing $C$. Then every curve $D_{i}$ is of the type $D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{I}$ for $i \notin I$.

Proof: By (32), every curve $D_{i}$ is of type $D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{I}$ (type a) or $D_{i} \sim$ $-2 L_{i}-L_{I}$ (type b). Suppose that $C$ contains at least one curve of type $\mathbf{b}$. Since $\left(-2 L_{i}-L_{I}\right)\left(-2 L_{j}-L_{J}\right) \leq 0$ two such curves cannot meet, in particular if $s=2, C$ contains at most one curve of type $\mathbf{b}$.
Suppose $s \geq 3$. If $D_{i}$ of type $\mathbf{b}, D_{i}$ meets curves $D_{j}$ of type $\mathbf{a}$. We have

$$
1=\left(-2 L_{i}-L_{I}\right)\left(L_{j}-L_{J}\right)=-2 L_{i} L_{j}-L_{j} L_{I}+2 L_{i} L_{J}+L_{I} L_{J}
$$

and

- either $j \in I, i \neq j, i \notin J, I \cap J=\emptyset$, whence

$$
D_{i}+D_{j} \sim-2 L_{i}-L_{I}+L_{j}-L_{J}=-2 L_{i}-L_{I \cup J \backslash\{j\}} .
$$

- or $j \notin I, i=j, i \notin J$ and $I \cap J$ contains one element, say $k$. Setting $I^{\prime}=I \backslash\{k\}$ and $J^{\prime}=J \backslash\{k\}$ we obtain

$$
D_{i}+D_{j} \sim-2 L_{k}-L_{\{i\} \cup I^{\prime} \cup J^{\prime}}
$$

By (21] (1.7)), there is a proper smooth family of compact surfaces $\pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta$ over the unit disc, a flat divisor $\mathcal{C}$ such that $C_{0}=C$ and for $u \neq 0, C_{u} \sim$ $D^{\prime}+\left(C-D_{i}-D_{j}\right)$, with $D^{\prime} \sim D_{i}+D_{j}$ of type $\mathbf{b}$, in particular $\sharp\left(C_{u}\right)=\sharp(C)-1$. Therefore, repeting if necessary such a deformation we obtain a contradiction if $C$ contains two curves of type $\mathbf{b}$. Now if $C$ contains a curve of type $\mathbf{b}$, we take a double covering $p: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ of $S$. The surface $S^{\prime}$ of type $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ satisfies $b_{2}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=2 b_{2}(S)$ and by 20 (2.14) contains a cycle of $2 s$ rational curves. Applying the same arguments to $S^{\prime}, p^{\star} D_{i} \sim-2 p^{\star} L_{i}-p^{\star} L_{I}$ is the union of two rational curves of type $\mathbf{b}$, hence a contradiction. Finally, there is no curve of type $\mathbf{b}$.

Lemma 2. 34 Let $S$ be a surface containing a cycle $C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{s-1}$ of $s \geq 2$ nonsingular rational curves and $D=\sum_{i=0}^{p} D_{i}$ the maximal connected divisor containing $C$.

1) Let $D_{j}, D_{k}$ and $D_{l}$ three distinct nonsingular rational curves, $D_{j} \sim L_{i_{j}}-L_{I_{j}}$, $D_{k} \sim L_{i_{k}}-L_{I_{k}}, D_{l} \sim L_{i_{l}}-L_{I_{l}}$. Then $I_{j} \cap I_{k} \cap I_{l}=\emptyset$.
2) If $D_{j}, D_{k}$ are two distinct nonsingular rational curves, then $\operatorname{Card}\left(I_{j} \cap I_{k}\right) \leq 1$.

Proof: The surface $S$ can be deformed into a blown-up Hopf surface $\pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta$ with a flat family $\mathcal{C}$, where $C_{0}=C=D_{0}+\ldots+D_{s-1} \sim-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+\right.$ $L_{n-1}$ ), and $C_{u}, u \neq 0$, is an elliptic curve blown-up $n-r$ times. We denote by $\Pi=\Pi_{0, u} \cdots \Pi_{n-1, u}: S_{u} \rightarrow S_{u}^{\prime}$ the composition of blowing-ups and $\left(\Pi_{i+1} \cdots \Pi_{n-1}\right)^{\star}\left(E_{i, u}\right) \sim L_{i}$. We have $D_{j} \sim L_{i_{j}}-L_{I_{j}}, j=0, \ldots, s-1$, hence $D_{j}$ is homologous to an exceptional rational curve of the first kind $E_{i_{j}}$ blownup $\operatorname{Card}\left(I_{j}\right)$ times. Since an exceptional curve cannot blow-up three rational curves we have the first assertion. Moreover two distinct exceptional rational curve of the kind cannot be blown-up two times by the same curves, whence the second assertion.

Proposition 2. 35 Let $S$ be a minimal surface containing a cycle $C=D_{0}+$ $\cdots+D_{s-1} \sim-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)$ of $s \geq 1$ nonsingular rational curves. Then, $r=s$ and numbering properly the line bundles $L_{i}$, for $i=0, \ldots, r-1$, we have $D_{i} \sim L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}$. Moreover $\cup_{i=0}^{s-1} I_{i}=[0, n-1]$ and

$$
\sharp(C)-C^{2}=b_{2}(S) .
$$

Proof: 1) The case $s=1$ has been proved in (21] (see lemma (30)).
2) If $s=\mathbf{2}, D_{0} \sim L_{i_{0}}-L_{I_{0}}, D_{1} \sim L_{i_{1}}-L_{I_{1}}$. We have

$$
2=D_{0} D_{1}=-L_{i_{0}} L_{I_{1}}-L_{i_{1}} L_{I_{0}}+L_{I_{0}} L_{I_{1}}
$$

whence $i_{1} \in I_{0}, i_{0} \in I_{1}, I_{0} \cap I_{1}=\emptyset$. Setting $I_{0}^{\prime}=I_{0} \backslash\left\{i_{1}\right\}$ and $I_{1}^{\prime}=I_{1} \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$, we obtain

$$
D_{0} \sim L_{i_{0}}-L_{i_{1}}-L_{I_{0}^{\prime}}, \quad D_{1} \sim L_{i_{1}}-L_{i_{0}}-L_{I_{1}^{\prime}}, \quad \text { with } I_{0}^{\prime} \cap I_{1}^{\prime}=\emptyset,\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}\right\} \cap\left(I_{0}^{\prime} \cup I_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset
$$

Therefore

$$
-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right) \sim C=D_{0}+D_{1} \sim-\left(L_{I_{0}^{\prime}}+L_{I_{1}^{\prime}}\right)
$$

i.e. $I_{0}^{\prime} \cup I_{1}^{\prime}=[r, n-1]$. Let $I=\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}\right\} \cup I_{0}^{\prime} \cup I_{1}^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime}=[0, n-1] \backslash I$. Of course, $L_{I^{\prime}} \cdot D_{0}=L_{I^{\prime}} \cdot D_{1}=0$, whence by (19) 3 ), $I^{\prime}=[0, r-1]$ which is impossible. Therefore $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $\left\{i_{0}, i_{1}\right\} \cup I_{0}^{\prime} \cup I_{1}^{\prime}=[0, n-1]$, i.e. $r=2$ and

$$
\sharp(C)-C^{2}=2+(n-2)=b_{2}(S) .
$$

3) If $s \geq \mathbf{3}, D_{j} \sim L_{i_{j}}-L_{I_{j}}, j=0, \ldots, s-1$ and we may suppose that

$$
D_{0} D_{1}=\ldots=D_{s-2} D_{s-1}=D_{s-1} D_{0}=1
$$

Since there is a deformation of $S$ in which $D_{j}+D_{j+1} \sim L_{i_{j}}+L_{i_{j+1}}-L_{I_{j}}-L_{I_{j+1}}$ is deformed into a nonsingular rational curve $D_{u}^{\prime}$ in a (perhaps non minimal) surface, contained in a cycle $C_{u}^{\prime}$, hence $D_{j}+D_{j+1}$ must be of the homological type a, and either $i_{j} \in I_{j+1}, i_{j+1} \notin I_{j}$ or $i_{j} \notin I_{j+1}, i_{j+1} \in I_{j}$. Moreover the equality

$$
1=D_{j} D_{j+1}=-L_{i_{j}} L_{I_{j+1}}-L_{i_{j+1}} L_{I_{j}}+L_{I_{j}} L_{I_{j+1}}
$$

implies that $I_{j} \cap I_{j+1}=\emptyset$, whence

$$
\forall j, \quad 1=D_{j} D_{j+1}=-L_{i_{j}} L_{I_{j+1}}-L_{i_{j+1}} L_{I_{j}}
$$

4) Now, we show by induction on $s \geq 2$ that for all $j, 0 \leq j \leq$ $s-1$, there is a unique index, denoted $\sigma(j)$, such that $i_{j} \in I_{\sigma(j)}$. This assertion is evident if $s=2$, therefore we suppose that $s \geq 3$. By 3 ) it is possible to choose the numbering in such a way that $i_{s-1} \in I_{s-2}$. We choose a deformation $\Pi: \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \Delta$ of $S$ over the disc endowed with a flat family $\mathcal{C}$ of curves such that $C_{0}=C$, and for $u \neq 0$,
$D_{u}^{\prime} \sim D_{s-2}+D_{s-1} \sim L_{i_{s-2}}+L_{i_{s-1}}-\left(L_{I_{s-2}}+L_{I_{s-1}}\right)=L_{i_{s-2}}-\left(L_{I_{s-2}^{\prime}}+L_{I_{s-1}}\right)$,
with $I_{s-2}^{\prime}=I_{s-2} \backslash\left\{i_{s-1}\right\}$. Consider the cycle $C_{u}=D_{0, u}+\cdots+D_{s-3, u}+D_{u}^{\prime}$ of $s-1$ rational curves in the (perhaps non minimal) surface $S_{u}$; by the induction hypothesis, since $i_{s-1} \in I_{s-2}$ and $I_{s-2}^{\prime} \cap I_{s-1}=\emptyset$, for all $0 \leq j \leq s-2$, there is a unique index $\sigma(j), 0 \leq \sigma(j) \leq s-1$ such that $i_{j} \in I_{\sigma}(j)$. Repeting this argument with $D_{s-3}+D_{s-2}$ we obtain the result. Therefore we have a well-defined mapping $\sigma:\{0, \ldots, s-1\} \rightarrow\{0, \ldots, s-1\}$ such that for all $j$, $0 \leq j \leq s-1, i_{j} \in I_{\sigma(j)}$.
5) Setting $I_{j}^{\prime}=I_{j} \backslash \sigma^{-1}(j)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\left(L_{r}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right) & \sim C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{s-1} \sim L_{i_{0}}+\cdots+L_{i_{s-1}}-\left(L_{I_{0}}+\cdots+L_{I_{s-1}}\right) \\
& \sim-\left(L_{I_{0}^{\prime}}+\cdots+L_{I_{s-1}^{\prime}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

therefore

$$
[r, n-1]=I_{0}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{s-1}^{\prime} \quad \text { with } \quad\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right\} \cap\left(I_{0}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{s-1}^{\prime}\right)=\emptyset
$$

If $I=\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right\} \cup I_{0}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{s-1}^{\prime}$ and $I^{\prime}=[0, n-1] \backslash I$, we have $L_{I^{\prime}} D_{j}=0$ for all $j=0, \ldots, s-1$, then if $I^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$, lemma (19) would imply that $I^{\prime}=[0, r-1]$ and this is impossible, hence $I^{\prime}=\emptyset$ and $\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{s-1}\right\} \cup I_{0}^{\prime} \cup \cdots \cup I_{s-1}^{\prime}=[0, n-1]$, i.e. $r=s$ and $\left\{i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r-1}\right\}=[0, r-1]$. Finally

$$
\sharp(C)-C^{2}=s+(n-r)=n=b_{2}(S) .
$$

Corollary 2. 36 Let $S$ be a minimal surface with $b_{2}(S) \geq 1$. If $E$ is a $k$-cycle, $k=\sharp(E) \geq 0$, such that $i_{\star} H_{1}(E, \mathbb{Z})=H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z})$, then $\sharp(E)-E^{2}=b_{2}(S)$.

Remark 2. 37 If $\left[H_{1}(S, \mathbb{Z}): i_{\star} H_{1}(E, \mathbb{Z})\right]=2, S$ is an odd Inoue-Hirzebruch surface with only one cycle $C$. Then we have $\sharp(C)-C^{2}=2 b_{2}(S)$ (see [20] (2.13)).

For surfaces containing a divisor $D$ such that $D^{2}=0$, i.e. Enoki surfaces, the situation is well understood: They all contain GSS, $D$ is a cycle of $b_{2}(S)$ rational curves $D=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{n-1}$ such that $D_{0}^{2}=\cdots=D_{n-1}^{2}=-2$ and $S$ admits a numerically anticanonical divisor if and only if $S$ is a Inoue surface, in which case $h^{0}(S,-K)=1$. For surfaces such that $D^{2}<0$ for any divisor we have the following theorem:

Theorem 2. 38 Let $S$ be a compact complex surface of class $V I I_{0}^{+}$. We suppose that there is no divisor such that $D^{2}=0$. Then the following properties are equivalent:
i) $S$ contains a GSS,
ii) $S$ admits a NAC divisor.
iii) $S$ contains $b_{2}(S)$ rational curves,

Proof: $i) \Leftrightarrow i i i$ ) by [12].
$i) \Rightarrow i i)$ The intersection matrix $M(S)$ is negative definite and the rational curves give a $\mathbb{Q}$-base of $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Q})$, whence there is an integer $m \geq 1$ and a divisor $D_{m}$ such that in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z}), m K+D_{m}=0$. By lemma (22), $D_{m}$ is effective.
$i i) \Rightarrow i$ ) By lemma (24) we may suppose that the index satisfies $m(S)=1$, i.e. $S$ has a NAC divisor $D_{-K}$. By theorem (30) we may suppose that there is no singular curve. By lemma (27) there are two cases: $S$ is a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface, in particular contains a GSS, or $S$ contains a cycle with at least one branch. Therefore we have to prove the result in the second case. Let $D=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{p} D_{i}$ be the maximal divisor, where $C=D_{0}+\cdots+D_{s-1}$ is the cycle. By (33), we have in $H^{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$,

$$
-\left(L_{0}+\cdots+L_{n-1}\right)=-K=\sum_{i=0}^{p} k_{i} D_{i}=\sum_{i=0}^{p} k_{i}\left(L_{i}-L_{I_{i}}\right),
$$

where $k_{i} \geq 1$. If $p<n-1$, the curve $D_{n-1}$ is missing, hence there is exactly one index $j$ such that $n-1 \in I_{j}$ and $k_{j}=1$. Moreover by Proposition (35), $\cup_{i=0}^{s-1} I_{i}=[0, n-1]$, therefore $j \leq s-1$. By lemma (26]), $S$ would be a InoueHirzebruch surface, which is a contradiction.

Corollary 2. 39 ([19]) Let $S$ be a surface of class $V I I_{0}$ with $b_{2}(S)>0$. Then $S$ is a Inoue-Hirzebruch surface if and only if there exists two twisted vector fields $\theta_{1} \in H^{0}\left(S, \Theta \otimes F_{1}\right), \theta_{2} \in H^{0}\left(S, \Theta \otimes F_{2}\right)$, where $F_{1}, F_{2}$ are flat line bundles, such that $\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2}(p) \neq 0$ at at least one point $p \in S$.

Proof: $\theta_{1} \wedge \theta_{2}$ is a non trivial section of $-K \otimes F$ whence $S$ contains a GSS. We conclude by [10] th. 5.5.

## 3 On classification of bihermitian surfaces

### 3.1 Conformal and complex structures

We consider connected oriented conformal 4-manifolds ( $M^{4}, c$ ) with two complex (i.e. integrable almost-complex) structures $J_{1}, J_{2}$ which induce the same orientation. Given a riemannian metric $g$ in $c,\left(M^{4}, g, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is called a bihermitian surface relatively to the conformal class $c$ if

- $J_{i}$ are orthogonal with respect to the metric, i.e. $g\left(J_{i} X, J_{i} Y\right)=g(X, Y)$, $i=1,2$,
- $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are independent, i.e. there is a point $x \in M$ such that $J_{1}(x) \neq$ $\pm J_{2}(x)$.

The triple $\left(c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is called a bihermitian structure on $M^{4}$. If moreover $J_{1}(x) \neq \pm J_{2}(x)$ everywhere, the bihermitian structure $\left(c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is called strongly bihermitian.

Given two such almost-complex structures $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$, denote by $f$ the smooth function, called the angle function

$$
f=\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left({ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{~J}_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{4} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathrm{~J}_{1} \mathrm{~J}_{2}\right) .
$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, $|f| \leq 1$ and $f(x)= \pm 1$ if and only if $J_{1}(x)=$ $\pm J_{2}(x)$. Since $J_{1} J_{2} \in S O(4)$ and $J_{2} J_{1}$ is the inverse of $J_{1} J_{2}$, it is easy to check that

$$
J_{1} J_{2}+J_{2} J_{1}=-2 f I d
$$

Moreover $J_{1}(x)$ and $J_{2}(x)$ anticommute if and only if $f(x)=0$.
Another conformal structure is provided by the Weyl curvature tensor: The riemannien curvature tensor $R$ of type $(3,1)$ has a classical decomposition, under the orthogonal group $O(4)$, into three parts given by the scalar curvature, the Ricci curvature tensor without trace and the Weyl curvature tensor $W$ of type $(3,1)$ which is a conformal invariant [4].
Let $*: \Lambda^{2} T^{\star} M \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} T^{\star} M$ be the star-Hodge operator, with $\star^{2}=I d$ and two eigenvalues $\pm 1$. We denote by $\bigwedge_{+}^{2}$ (resp. $\bigwedge_{-}^{2}$ ) the eigenspace associated to +1 (resp. -1 ). Since $*$ depends only on $c$, the splitting $\Lambda^{2} T^{\star} M=\Lambda_{+}^{2} \oplus \Lambda_{-}^{2}$ is a conformal invariant. Let $W(g): \bigwedge^{2} T^{\star} M \rightarrow \bigwedge^{2} T^{\star} M$ be the Weyl curvature tensor of type (2,2), with restrictions $W_{ \pm} \in \operatorname{End}\left(\bigwedge_{ \pm}^{2}\right)$ over $\bigwedge_{ \pm}^{2}$. The riemannian conformal class of $\left(M^{4}, g\right)$ is called anti-self-dual (ASD) if $* W(g)=-W(g)$, or equivalently $W_{+}=0$.
The geometric meaning of ASD condition stems from Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer theorem [3]: Let

$$
Z=\left\{J \in S O(T M) \mid J^{2}=-I d\right\}=S O(T M) / U(2) \rightarrow M
$$

be the twistor space, i.e. the space of all orthogonal almost-complex structures over $(M, g)$, inducing the orientation of $M$. The fiber is isomorphic to the Riemann sphere $S^{2}$. Since there is only one complex structure on $S^{2}, Z$ is a differentiable fiber bundle with complex fiber $\mathbb{P}^{1}(\mathbb{C})$. The complex structure on the fiber and on the base yield a canonical almost-complex structure $\mathbb{J}$ of the twistor space $Z$, which is not integrable in general. The theorem of Atiyah-Hitchin-Singer asserts that $\mathbb{J}$ is integrable if and only if the metric $g$ is ASD, hence any compatible complex structure at a point $x \in M$ extends into a compatible complex structure over a neighbourhood of $x$. However, it does not extends to the whole manifold and perhaps ( $M, c$ ) admits no complex structure (for instance $S^{4}$ ). The aim is to classify compact 4 -manifolds with several compatible complex structures, or at least to give necessary conditions for their existence. When there are more than two compatible almost structures, we have

Proposition 3. 40 ([22]) If an oriented riemannian 4-manifold ( $M, g$ ) admits three independent compatible complex structures then the metric $g$ is anti-self-dual.

By Pontecorvo's classification of ASD bihermitian surfaces [22] Prop. 3.7, these surfaces are hyperhermitian. Following C.P. Boyer [4] we define hyperhermitian complex surfaces as oriented compact conformal 4-manifolds ( $M, c, \mathcal{F}$ ) with a 2 -sphere $\mathcal{F}$ of compatible complex structures generated by two anticommuting ones. A hyperhermitian 4 -manifold ( $M, c, \mathcal{F}$ ) must be one of the following

- A flat complex torus
- A K3 surface with Ricci-flat Kähler metric, or
- A special Hopf surface
in particular they all have $b_{2}(M)=0$. We refer to [22] and [4] for details.
Now we focus on the case where first Betti number $b_{1}(M)$ is odd; for the even case see [2].


### 3.2 Numerically anticanonical divisor of a bihermitian surface

For the convenience of the reader we recall the results used in the sequel (see [1, 2, (22]): Denote by

$$
F_{i}^{g}(., .)=g\left(J_{i} ., .\right), \quad i=1,2
$$

the Kähler forms of $\left(g, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(g, J_{2}\right)$ respectively, $\theta_{1}^{g}, \theta_{2}^{g}$ their Lee forms, i.e.

$$
d F_{i}^{g}=\theta_{i}^{g} \wedge F_{i}^{g}, \quad i=1,2
$$

We furthermore denote by $\left[J_{1}, J_{2}\right]=J_{1} J_{2}-J_{2} J_{1}$ the commutator of $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ and we consider the real $J_{i}$-anti-invariant 2 -form

$$
\Phi^{g}(., .)=\frac{1}{2} g\left(\left[J_{1}, J_{2}\right] ., .\right),
$$

and the corresponding complex ( 0,2 )-forms

$$
\sigma_{i}^{g}(., .)=\Phi^{g}(., .)+i \Phi^{g}\left(J_{i} ., .\right)
$$

Then $\sigma_{i}^{g}, i=1,2$ are smooth sections of the anti-canonical bundle $K_{J_{i}}^{-1} \simeq$ $\bigwedge_{J_{i}}^{(0,2)}(M)$ of $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ and $\sigma_{i}^{g}(x)=0$ if and only if $\Phi^{g}(x)=0$ if and only if $J_{1}(x)= \pm J_{2}(x)$. Therefore the common zero set of $\sigma_{i}$ is exactly $\mathcal{D}=\mathcal{D}^{+} \cup \mathcal{D}^{-}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^{+}=f^{-1}(1) & =\left\{x \in M \mid J_{1}(x)=J_{2}(x)\right\}, \\
\mathcal{D}^{-}=f^{-1}(-1) & =\left\{x \in M \mid J_{1}(x)=-J_{2}(x)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 3. 41 ([1, [2]) Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a bihermitian surface. Then, for any metric $g$ in the conformal class $c$, the 1 -forms $\theta_{1}^{g}, \theta_{2}^{g}$ and $\sigma_{1}^{g}$ satisfy the following properties:
i) If $M$ is compact, then $d\left(\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}\right)=0$, ii) $\bar{\partial}_{J_{1}} \sigma_{1}^{g}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}\right)^{(0,1)} \otimes \sigma_{1}^{g}$,
where (. . ${ }^{(0,1)}$ denotes the $(0,1)$ part and $\bar{\partial}_{J_{1}}$ is the Cauchy-Riemann operator relatively to $J_{1}$.

Proof: i) By [2],$\left(d\left(\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}\right)\right)_{+}=0$. Let $\delta=-\star d \star$ be the adjoint of $d$. Setting $\varphi=\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}, \Delta d \varphi=d \delta d \varphi=-d \star d \star d \varphi=0$, for by assumption $* d \varphi=-d \varphi$. Hence $\delta d \varphi=0$, and $|d \varphi|^{2}=(d \varphi, d \varphi)=(\varphi, \delta d \varphi)=0$.
ii) The proof in [2] Lemma 3 is local.

Proposition 3. 42 Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a compact bihermitian surface. Then there exists a topologically trivial line bundle $L \in H^{1}\left(M, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}\right)$ such that $\sigma_{i}$ is a non-trivial holomorphic section of $K_{J_{i}}^{-1} \otimes L$, in particular

$$
H^{0}\left(M, K_{J_{i}}^{-1} \otimes L\right) \neq 0, \quad i=1,2
$$

and $\mathcal{D}^{+}, \mathcal{D}^{-}$are empty or complex curves for both $\left(M, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M, J_{2}\right)$. Moreover, if $\left(c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is strongly bihermitian, then $K_{J_{i}}=L$, in particular, $b_{2}(M)=0$.

Proof: By (41), $\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}$ is closed, hence there is an open covering $\left(U_{j}\right)_{j \in I}$ and $\mathcal{C}^{\infty}$ functions $\Phi_{j}: U_{j} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that for the local metric $g_{j}=\exp \left(\Phi_{j}\right) g$, the local Lee forms satisfy $\theta_{1}^{g_{j}}+\theta_{2}^{g_{j}}=0$, i.e. $\left(\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}\right)_{\mid U_{j}}=-2 d \Phi_{j}$. Setting $c_{j k}=\exp \left(\Phi_{j}-\Phi_{k}\right) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}, \sigma_{i}^{j}=\sigma_{i}^{g_{j}}$, we obtain a topologically trivial line bundle $L=\left[\left(c_{j k}\right)\right] \in H^{1}\left(M, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}\right)$, and a holomorphic section $\sigma_{i}=\left(\sigma_{i}^{j}\right)$ of $K_{J_{i}}^{-1} \otimes L$. If $\left(c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is strongly bihermitian $\mathcal{D}=\emptyset$, therefore $\sigma_{i}$ is a non-vanishing holomorphic section of $K_{J_{i}}^{-1} \otimes L$.

### 3.3 Bihermitian surfaces with odd first Betti number

The Kodaira dimension of a compact bihermitian surface with odd first Betti number is $\kappa=-\infty$ ( 1 thm 1 ), hence the minimal models of $\left(M, J_{1}\right)$ and of $\left(M, J_{2}\right)$ are in class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$. In this section, we shall complete (and simplify) the classification theorem of V. Apostolov [1]. We need first

Lemma 3. 43 Let $S$ be a complex surface and let $\Pi: S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$ be the blowing-up of $x \in S$, and $E=\Pi^{-1}(x)$ its exceptional curve.

1) If there exists a flat line bundle $L^{\prime}$ on $S^{\prime}$ such that $H^{0}\left(S, K_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} \otimes L^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$, then for $L=\Pi_{\star} L^{\prime}, H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}^{-1} \otimes L\right) \neq 0$.
2) If there exists a flat line bundle $L$ on $S$ such that $H^{0}\left(S, K_{S}^{-1} \otimes L\right) \neq 0$, and if $\Pi$ blows-up a point on the effective twisted anticanonical divisor, then for $L^{\prime}=\Pi^{\star} L, H^{0}\left(S^{\prime}, K_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} \otimes L^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$.

Proof: 1) The coherent sheaf $L=\Pi_{\star} L^{\prime}$ is locally trivial since on a simply connected neighbourhood $U$ of the exceptional curve $E, L_{\mid U}$ is trivial. The line bundle $K_{S}^{-1} \otimes L$ has a section on $S \backslash \Pi(E)$ which extends by Hartogs theorem. 2) We have $K_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} \otimes L^{\prime}=\pi^{\star}\left(K_{S}^{-1} \otimes L\right)-E$ hence a section $\sigma$ of $K_{S}^{-1} \otimes L$ yields a section of $K_{S^{\prime}}^{-1} \otimes L^{\prime}$ if and only if $x$ belongs to the zero set of $\sigma$.

Theorem 3. 44 Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a compact bihermitian surface with odd first Betti number.

1) If $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is strongly bihermitian (i.e $\mathcal{D}=\emptyset$ ), then the complex surfaces $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are minimal and either a Hopf surface covered by a primary one associated to a contraction $F:\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right) \rightarrow\left(\mathbb{C}^{2}, 0\right)$ of the form

$$
F\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(\alpha z_{1}+s z_{2}^{m}, a \alpha^{-1} z_{2}\right)
$$

with $\quad a, s \in \mathbb{C}, 0<|\alpha|^{2} \leq a<|\alpha|<1,\left(a^{m}-\alpha^{m+1}\right) s=0$,
or else $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are Inoue surfaces $S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{+}, S_{N, p, q, r}^{-}$.
2) If $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is not strongly bihermitian, then $\mathcal{D}$ has at most two connected components, $\left(M, J_{i}\right), i=1,2$, contain $G S S$ and the minimal models $S_{i}$ of $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are

- Surfaces with GSS of intermediate type if $\mathcal{D}$ has one connected component
- Hopf surfaces of special type (see [22] 2.2), Inoue (parabolic) surfaces or Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces if $\mathcal{D}$ has two connected components.

Moreover, the blown-up points belong to the NAC divisors.

Proof: 0) Since the fundamental group of a surface with GSS is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$, since $\pi_{1}\left(S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{(+)}\right) \neq \mathbb{Z}, \pi_{1}\left(S_{N, p, q, r}^{(-)}\right) \neq \mathbb{Z}$, and $S_{N, p, q, r}^{(-)}$is a quotient of a surface $S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{(+)}\left([15]\right.$ p 276), $\left(M, J_{1}\right)$ and $\left(M, J_{2}\right)$ must be of the same type. 1) If $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ is strongly bihermitian, $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ are minimal using (42). Applying the classification of minimal surfaces of class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ with $b_{2}(S)=0$ [18], we have to consider Hopf surfaces and Inoue surfaces. We derive from Proposition (42) that $-K_{J_{i}} \in H^{1}\left(M, \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}\right)$. On one hand the anticanonical line bundle of a surface $S_{M}$ is not real (11), hence we may exclude it. On second hand, a finite covering of a bihermitian surface is bihermitian and if a primary Hopf surface is associated to the contraction

$$
F(z)=\left(\alpha_{1} z_{1}+s z_{2}^{m}, \alpha_{2} z_{2}\right), \quad 0<\left|\alpha_{1}\right| \leq\left|\alpha_{2}\right|<1, \quad\left(\alpha_{2}^{m}-\alpha_{1}\right) s=0
$$

$-K=L^{\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2}}$, therefore $a=\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{\star}$ and it is easy to check that the requested conditions are fulfilled.
2) If ( $M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}$ ) is not strongly bihermitian, $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ admits a non-trivial effective NAC divisor $D_{-K_{i}}$ whose support is $\mathcal{D}$, and as noticed in [1], 3.3, it is the same for the minimal model $S_{i}$ of $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$. Using theorem (38), $S_{i}$ contains GSS and the support of the NAC divisor has at most two components by (29). If $\Pi=\Pi_{m} \cdots \Pi_{0}:\left(M, J_{i}\right) \rightarrow S_{i}$ is the blowing-down of the exceptional curves, the blown-up points belong to the successive NAC divisors by (43), hence $\mathcal{D}$ has at most two connected components.

Corollary 3. 45 Let $\left(M, c, J_{1}, J_{2}\right)$ be a compact $A S D$ bihermitian surface with odd first Betti number. Then the minimal models of the complex surfaces $\left(M, J_{i}\right), i=1,2$, are

- Hopf surfaces of special type (see [22] 2.2),
- (parabolic) Inoue surfaces or
- even Inoue-Hirzebruch surfaces.

Moreover, the blown-up points belong to the NAC divisors.
Proof: By [22] 3.11, $\left(M, J_{i}\right)$ has a minimal model $S_{i}$ in the class $\mathrm{VII}_{0}$ and

$$
H^{0}\left(M,-K_{J_{i}}\right) \neq 0,
$$

therefore $S_{i}$ is not a Inoue surface $S_{N, p, q, r ; t}^{(+)}, S_{N, p, q, r}^{(-)}$. If $b_{2}\left(S_{i}\right)=0, S_{i}$ is a Hopf surface, and if $b_{2}\left(S_{i}\right)>0, S_{i}$ contains a GSS by (38). The existence of a (non-twisted) global section of $-K$ is equivalent to the existence of a metric $g \in c$ such that $\theta_{1}^{g}+\theta_{2}^{g}=0$. In this situation, [2] Prop. 4 asserts that $\mathcal{D}_{+}$and $\mathcal{D}_{-}$are both non-empty. This is possible only when $S_{i}$ is parabolic Inoue or an even Inoue-Hirzebruch surface.
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