



HAL
open science

Baker's Explicit abc-Conjecture and Waring's problem

Shanta Laishram

► **To cite this version:**

Shanta Laishram. Baker's Explicit abc-Conjecture and Waring's problem. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 2015, Volume 38 - 2015, 10.46298/hrj.2015.1360 . hal-01253665

HAL Id: hal-01253665

<https://hal.science/hal-01253665v1>

Submitted on 11 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Baker's Explicit abc -Conjecture and Waring's problem

Shanta Laishram

Abstract. The conjecture of Masser-Oesterlé, popularly known as abc -conjecture has many consequences. We show that Waring's problem is a consequence of an explicit version of abc -conjecture due to Baker.

Keywords. ABC Conjecture, Waring's problem.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 11D41, 11D75, 11E76, 11P05

1. Introduction

For any positive integer $i > 1$, let $N = N(i) = \prod_{p|i} p$ be the *radical* of i , $P(i)$ be the greatest prime factor of i and $\omega(i)$ be the number of distinct prime factors of i and we put $N(1) = 1, P(1) = 1$ and $\omega(1) = 0$. The well known conjecture of Masser-Oesterlé states that

Conjecture 1.1. abc -conjecture of Masser and Oesterlé: *For any given $\epsilon > 0$ there exists a computable constant c_ϵ depending only on ϵ such that if*

$$a + b = c \tag{1.1}$$

where a, b and c are coprime positive integers, then

$$c \leq c_\epsilon \left(\prod_{p|abc} p \right)^{1+\epsilon}.$$

This is popularly known as abc -conjecture. The abc -conjecture has already become well known for the number of interesting consequences it entails. Many famous conjectures and theorems in number theory would follow immediately from the abc -conjecture. An explicit version of this conjecture due to Baker [Bak94] is the following:

Conjecture 1.2. Explicit abc -conjecture: *Let a, b and c be pairwise coprime positive integers satisfying (1.1). Then*

$$c < \frac{6}{5} N \frac{(\log N)^\omega}{\omega!}$$

where $N = N(abc)$ and $\omega = \omega(N)$.

We observe that $N = N(abc) \geq 2$ whenever a, b, c satisfy (1.1). We shall refer to Conjecture 1. as abc -conjecture and Conjecture 1. as *explicit abc -conjecture*. We have

Theorem 1. (Laishram and Shorey [LaSh12]) Assume Conjecture 1. Let a, b and c be pairwise coprime positive integers satisfying (1.1) and $N = N(abc)$. Then we have

$$c < N^{1+\frac{3}{4}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Further for $0 < \epsilon \leq \frac{3}{4}$, there exists ω_ϵ depending only ϵ such that when $N = N(abc) \geq N_\epsilon = \prod_{p \leq p_{\omega_\epsilon}} p$, we have

$$c < \kappa_\epsilon N^{1+\epsilon}$$

where

$$\kappa_\epsilon = \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi \max(\omega, \omega_\epsilon)}} \leq \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi\omega_\epsilon}}$$

with $\omega = \omega(N)$. Here are some values of $\epsilon, \omega_\epsilon$ and N_ϵ .

ϵ	$\frac{3}{4}$	$\frac{7}{12}$	$\frac{6}{11}$	$\frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{34}{71}$	$\frac{5}{12}$	$\frac{1}{3}$
ω_ϵ	14	49	72	127	175	548	6460
N_ϵ	$e^{37.1101}$	$e^{204.75}$	$e^{335.71}$	$e^{679.585}$	$e^{1004.763}$	$e^{3894.57}$	e^{63727}

Thus $c < N^2$ which was conjectured in Granville and Tucker [GrTu02].

2. Ideal Waring's Conjecture

For each integer $k \geq 2$, denote by $g(k)$ the smallest integer g such that any positive integer is the sum of at most g integers of the form x^k . A result of Euler implies that a lower bound for $g(k)$ is $2^k + \lfloor (3/2)^k \rfloor - 2$. The so-called *Ideal Waring's Conjecture* is the following conjecture, dating back to 1853:

Conjecture 2.1. For any $k \geq 2$, the equality $g(k) = 2^k + \lfloor (3/2)^k \rfloor - 2$ holds.

Theorem 2. Assume Conjecture 1.. Then Conjecture 2. is true.

Conjecture 2. has a long and interesting history. We refer to Waldschmidt [Mic00, pp 12] for further details. We prove Theorem 2. in the next section.

3. Proof of Theorem 2.

We write

$$3^k = 2^k q + r \text{ with } 0 < r < 2^k \text{ and } q = \lfloor (\frac{3}{2})^k \rfloor.$$

L. E. Dickson and S.S. Pillai (see for instance [HaWr54, Chap. XXI] or [Nar86, p. 226 Chap. IV]) proved independently in 1939 that the ideal Waring's Conjecture(Conjecture 2.) holds provided that the remainder $r = 3^k - 2^k q$ satisfies

$$r \leq 2^k - q - 3. \quad (3.3)$$

The condition (3.3) is satisfied for $3 \leq k \leq 471600000$ as well as for sufficiently large k , as shown by K. Mahler [Mah57] in 1957 by means of Ridout's extension of the Thue-Siegel-Roth theorem.

Therefore we may now suppose that $k > 471600000$ and further (3.3) does not hold, i.e.,

$$r \geq 2^k - q - 2 \quad (3.4)$$

Let $\gcd(3^k, 2^k(q+1)) = 3^v$ and set

$$a = 3^{k-v}, c = 3^{-v}2^k(q+1) \text{ and } b = c - a = 3^{-v}(2^k - r).$$

Then a, b, c are relatively prime positive integers satisfying $a + b = c$ and

$$b = 3^{-v}(2^k - r) \leq 3^{-v}(q+3)$$

by (3.4). Then

$$N = N(abc) = N\left(3^{k-v} \cdot \frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} \cdot b\right) \leq \frac{6b(q+1)}{3^v} \leq \frac{6(q+1)(q+3)}{3^{2v}}. \quad (3.5)$$

First assume that $N < e^{63727}$. Then by (1.2), we have

$$2^k \leq \frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} < N^{\frac{7}{4}} < e^{63727 \cdot \frac{7}{4}}$$

implying

$$k < \frac{63727 \cdot 7}{4 \cdot \log 2} < 160893.$$

This is a contradiction since $k > 471600000$. Therefore we may suppose that $N \geq e^{63727}$. By Theorem 1. with $\epsilon = \frac{1}{3}$ and (3.5), we have

$$\frac{2^k(q+1)}{3^v} < \frac{6}{5\sqrt{2\pi} \cdot 6460} \left(\frac{6(q+1)(q+3)}{3^{2v}} \right)^{\frac{4}{3}}.$$

implying

$$2^k < \frac{6^{\frac{7}{3}}}{5\sqrt{12920\pi}} q^{\frac{5}{3}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{q}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}.$$

Since $3^k > 2^k q$, we have $q < (\frac{3}{2})^k$. Also $1 + \frac{3}{q} < 2$ since $k \geq 3$. Therefore

$$2^k < \frac{6^{\frac{7}{3}} \cdot 2^{\frac{5}{3}}}{5\sqrt{12920\pi}} \left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{5k}{3}} < \left(\left(\frac{3}{2}\right)^{\frac{5}{3}}\right)^k < 2^k.$$

This is a contradiction. Hence the assertion. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank Michel Waldschmidt for pointing out his paper [Mic00, p. 12] to the author which led to this paper. We would like to also thank the referee for careful reading and suggestions and remarks on an earlier draft of this paper.

References

- [Bak94] A. Baker, *Experiments on the abc-conjecture*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **65**(2004), 253–260.
- [ABC3] *ABC triples*, page maintained by Bart de Smit at <http://www.math.leidenuniv.nl/~desmit/abc/index.php?sort=1>, see also <http://rekenmeemetabc.nl/Synthese.resultaten>, <http://www.math.unicaen.fr/~nita/abc.html>.
- [GrTu02] A. Granville and T. J. Tucker, *It's as easy as abc*, Notices of the AMS, **49**(2002), 1224–31.
- [HaWr54] G. H. Hardy and W. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, Oxford Univ. Press, third ed., 1954.
- [LaSh12] S. Laishram and T. N. Shorey, *Baker's Explicit abc-Conjecture and applications*, Acta Arith., **155** (2012), 419–429.
- [Mah57] K. Mahler, *On the fractional parts of the powers of a rational number. II*, Mathematika, **4**(1957), 122–124.
- [Nar86] W. Narkiewicz, *Classical problems in number theory*, Vol. 62 of Monografie Matematyczne [Mathematical Monographs], Państwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe (PWN), Warsaw, 1986.
- [Mic00] M. Waldschmidt, *Perfect Powers: Pillai's works and their developments*, Collected works of S. Sivasankaranarayana Pillai, Eds. R. Balasubramanian and R. Thangadurai, Collected Works Series, no. 1, Ramanujan Mathematical Society, Mysore, 2010, pp. xxii–xlvii.

Shanta Laishram
Stat Math Unit
Indian Statistical Institute
7 SJS Sansanwal Marg
New Delhi 110016, India.
e-mail: shanta@isid.ac.in