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# EXISTENCE OF A WEAK SOLUTION FOR FRACTIONAL EULER-LAGRANGE EQUATIONS. 

by

Loïc Bourdin

Abstract. - We derive sufficient conditions ensuring the existence of a weak solution $u$ for fractional Euler-Lagrange equations of the type:

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)+D_{+}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right)=0
$$

on a real interval $[a, b]$ and where $D_{-}^{\alpha}$ and $D_{+}^{\alpha}$ are the fractional derivatives of Riemann-Liouville of order $0<\alpha<1$.

Keywords: Fractional Euler-Lagrange equations; existence; fractional variational calculus. AMS Classification: 70H03; 26A33.

## 1. Introduction

1.1. Context in the fractional calculus. - The mathematical field that deals with derivatives of any real order is called fractional calculus. For a long time, it was only considered as a pure mathematical branch. Nevertheless, during the last two decades, fractional calculus has attracted the attention of many researchers and it has been successfully applied in various areas like computational biology [21] or economy [9]. In particular, the first and well-established application of fractional operators was in the physical context of anomalous diffusion, see $[\mathbf{3 4}, \mathbf{3 5}]$ for example. Let us mention $[\mathbf{2 3}]$ proving that fractional equations is a complementary tool in the description of anomalous transport processes. We refer to [15] for a general review of the applications of fractional calculus in several fields of Physics. In a more general point of view, fractional differential equations are even considered as an alternative model to non-linear differential equations, see [5].

For the origin of the calculus of variations with fractional operators, we should look back to 1996-97 when Riewe used non-integer order derivatives to better describe non conservative systems in mechanics [28,29]. Since then, numerous works on the fractional variational calculus have been made. For instance, in the same spirit, authors of $[\mathbf{1 0}, \mathbf{1 1}]$ have recently derived fractional variational structures for non conservative equations. Furthermore, one can find a comprehensive literature regarding necessary optimality conditions and Noether's theorem, see $[\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, 4,6,13,25]$. Concerning the state of the art on the fractional calculus of variations and respective fractional Euler-Lagrange equations, we refer the reader to the
recent book [22].
In the whole paper, we consider $a<b$ two reals, $d \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and the following Lagrangian functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(u)=\int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is a Lagrangian, i.e. a map of the form:

$$
\begin{align*}
L: \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}  \tag{2}\\
(x, y, t) & \longmapsto L(x, y, t),
\end{align*}
$$

where $D^{\alpha}$ is the left fractional derivative of Riemann-Liouville of order $0<\alpha<1$ and where the variable $u$ is a function defined almost everywhere (shortly a.e.) on $(a, b)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The precise definitions of the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville will be recalled in Section 2.2. It is well-known that critical points of the functional $\mathcal{L}$ are characterized by the solutions of the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)+D_{+}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right)=0,
$$

where $D_{+}^{\alpha}$ is the right fractional derivative of Riemann-Liouville, see detailed proofs in $[\mathbf{1 , 4 ]}$ for example. However, as far as the author is aware and despite particular results in [16, 19], no existence result of a solution for $\left(E L^{\alpha}\right)$ exists in a general case.

The aim of this paper is to derive sufficient conditions on $L$ so that $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ admits a weak solution.

Let us note that, in a more general setting, existence results for fractional equations is an emerging field. For instance, there are recent results about existence and uniqueness of solution for a class of fractional evolution equations in [32,33].
1.2. Main result. - We denote by $\|\cdot\|$ the Euclidean norm of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\mathscr{C}:=\mathscr{C}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of continuous functions endowed with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$.
Definition 1. - A function $u$ is said to be a weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ if $u \in \mathscr{C}$ and if $u$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$.
Let us enunciate the main result of the paper:
Theorem 1. - Let L be a Lagrangian of class $\mathscr{C}^{1}$ and $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1$. If $L$ satisfies the following hypotheses denoted by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ :

- there exist $0 \leq d_{1} \leq p$ and $r_{1}, s_{1} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b],|L(x, y, t)-L(x, 0, t)| \leq r_{1}(x, t)\|y\|^{d_{1}}+s_{1}(x, t) ; \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

- there exist $0 \leq d_{2} \leq p$ and $r_{2}, s_{2} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b],\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(x, y, t)\right\| \leq r_{2}(x, t)\|y\|^{d_{2}}+s_{2}(x, t) ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

- there exist $0 \leq d_{3} \leq p-1$ and $r_{3}, s_{3} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x, v, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b],\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}(x, y, t)\right\| \leq r_{3}(x, t)\|y\|^{d_{3}}+s_{3}(x, t) ; \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

- coercivity condition: there exist $\gamma>0,1 \leq d_{4}<p, c_{1} \in \mathscr{C}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b],\left[\gamma, \infty[), c_{2}\right.\right.$, $c_{3} \in \mathscr{C}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x, y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], L(x, y, t) \geq c_{1}(x, t)\|y\|^{p}+c_{2}(t)\|x\|^{d_{4}}+c_{3}(t) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- convexity condition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[a, b], L(\cdot, \cdot, t) \text { is convex } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ admits a weak solution.
Hypotheses denoted by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ are usually called regularity hypotheses, see [8, 12]. In Section 5, we prove that Hypothesis $\left(H_{5}\right)$ can be replaced by different convexity assumptions.
1.3. Idea of the proof of Theorem 1. - In the classical case $\alpha=1, D_{-}^{1}=-D_{+}^{1}=d / d t$ and consequently $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ is nothing else but the classical Euler-Lagrange equation formulated in the 1750's. In this case, a lot of results of existence of solutions have been already proved. Let us recall that there exist different approaches:

- A first approach is to develop the classical Euler-Lagrange equation in order to obtain an implicit second order differential equation, see $[\mathbf{1 4}]$. Then, under a hyper regularity or non singularity condition on the Lagrangian $L$, the equation can be written as an explicit second order differential equation and the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem gives the existence of local or global regular solutions;
- A second approach consists in using the variational structure of the equation, see [12]. Indeed, under some assumptions, the critical points of $\mathcal{L}$ correspond to the solutions of the classical Euler-Lagrange equation. The idea is then to prove the existence of critical points of $\mathcal{L}$. In this way, author makes some assumptions (like coercivity and convexity of the Lagrangian $L$ ) ensuring the existence of extrema of $\mathcal{L}$. With this second method, author has to use reflexive spaces of functions and consequently, he deals with weak solutions (in a specific sense).
In order to prove Theorem 1, we extend the second approach to the strict fractional case (i.e. $0<\alpha<1$ ). Indeed, although there exist fractional versions of the Cauchy-Lipschitz theorem (see $[\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{3 0}]$ ), there is no simple rules for the fractional derivative of a composition and consequently, we can not write $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ in a simpler way. Hence, in the strict fractional case, we can not follow the first method.

Theorem 1 is based on the following preliminaries:

- The introduction in Section 3 of an appropriate reflexive separable Banach space $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ (see (15));
- Assuming Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, Theorem 2 in Section 4 states that if $u$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$, then $u$ is a weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$;
- Assuming additionally Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, Theorem 3 in Section 5 states that $\mathcal{L}$ admits a global minimizer.
Hence, the proof of Theorem 1 is complete. Let us note that the method developed in this paper is inspired by:
- the reflexive separable Banach space introduced in [16] allowing to prove the existence of a weak solution for a class of fractional boundary value problems;
- the suitable hypotheses of regularity, coercivity and convexity given in [12] proving the existence of a weak solution for classical Euler-Lagrange equations (i.e. in the case $\alpha=1$ ) 。
1.4. Organisation of the paper. - The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some usual notations of spaces of functions are given. We recall the definitions of the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville and some of their properties. Section 3 is devoted to the introduction and to the study of the appropriate reflexive separable Banach space $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. In Section 4, the variational structure of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ is considered and we prove Theorem 2. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 3. Then, Section 6 is devoted to some examples. Finally, a conclusion ends this paper.


## 2. Reminder about fractional calculus

2.1. Some spaces of functions. - For any $p \geq 1, \mathrm{~L}^{p}:=\mathrm{L}^{p}\left((a, b) ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ denotes the classical Lebesgue space of $p$-integrable functions endowed with its usual norm $\|\cdot\|_{L^{p}}$. Let us give some usual notations of spaces of continuous functions defined on $[a, b]$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ :
$-A C:=A C\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of absolutely continuous functions;
$-\mathscr{C}^{\infty}:=\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions;
$-\mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}:=\mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}\left([a, b] ; \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ the space of infinitely differentiable functions and compactly supported in $] a, b[$.
We remind that a function $f$ is an element of $A C$ if and only if $\dot{f} \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}$ and the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[a, b], f(t)=f(a)+\int_{a}^{t} \dot{f}(\xi) d \xi \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{f}$ denotes the derivative of $f$. We refer to $[\mathbf{2 0}]$ for more details concerning the absolutely continuous functions.

Finally, we denote by $\mathscr{C}_{a}$ (resp. $A C_{a}$ or $\mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$ ) the space of functions $f \in \mathscr{C}\left(\right.$ resp. $A C$ or $\left.\mathscr{C}^{\infty}\right)$ such that $f(a)=0$. In particular, $\mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty} \subset \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty} \subset A C_{a}$.

Convention: in the whole paper, an equality between functions must be understood as an equality holding for almost all $t \in(a, b)$. When it is not the case, the interval on which the equality is valid will be specified.
2.2. Fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville. - Since 1695, numerous notions of fractional operators emerged over the year, see $[\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{2 7}, \mathbf{3 0}]$. In this paper, we only deal with the fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville (1847) whose definitions and some basic results are reminded in this section. We refer to $[\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{3 0}]$ for the omitted proofs.

Let $\alpha>0$ and $f$ be a function defined a.e. on $(a, b)$ with values in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. The left (resp. right) fractional integral in the sense of Riemann-Liouville with inferior limit $a$ (resp. superior limit $b$ ) of order $\alpha$ of $f$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in] a, b], I_{-}^{\alpha} f(t):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{a}^{t}(t-\xi)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi) d \xi \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

respectively:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in\left[a, b\left[, I_{+}^{\alpha} f(t):=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \int_{t}^{b}(\xi-t)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi) d \xi\right.\right. \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma$ denotes the Euler's Gamma function. If $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}$, then $I_{-}^{\alpha} f$ and $I_{+}^{\alpha} f$ are defined a.e. on $(a, b)$.

Now, let us consider $0<\alpha<1$. The left (resp. right) fractional derivative in the sense of Riemann-Liouville with inferior limit $a$ (resp. superior limit $b$ ) of order $\alpha$ of $f$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in] a, b], D_{-}^{\alpha} f(t):=\frac{d}{d t}\left(I_{-}^{1-\alpha} f\right)(t) \quad\left(\text { resp. } \quad \forall t \in \left[a, b\left[, D_{+}^{\alpha} f(t):=-\frac{d}{d t}\left(I_{+}^{1-\alpha} f\right)(t)\right)\right.\right. \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From [17, Corollary 2.2, p.73], if $f \in A C$, then $D_{-}^{\alpha} f$ and $D_{+}^{\alpha} f$ are defined a.e. on $(a, b)$ and satisfy:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{-}^{\alpha} f=I_{-}^{1-\alpha} \dot{f}+\frac{f(a)}{(t-a)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \quad \text { and } \quad D_{+}^{\alpha} f=-I_{+}^{1-\alpha} \dot{f}+\frac{f(b)}{(b-t)^{\alpha} \Gamma(1-\alpha)} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $f \in A C_{a}$, then $D_{-}^{\alpha} f=I_{-}^{1-\alpha} \dot{f}$.
2.3. Some properties of the fractional operators. - In this section, we provide some properties concerning the left fractional operators of Riemann-Liouville. One can easily derive the analogous versions for the right ones. Properties 1,2 and 3 are well-known and one can find their proofs in the classical literature on the subject (see [17, Lemma 2.3, p.73], [17, Lemma 2.1, p.72] and [17, Lemma 2.7, p.76] respectively).

The first result yields the semi-group property of the left Riemann-Liouville fractional integral:
Property 1. - For any $\alpha, \beta>0$ and any function $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}$, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ I_{-}^{\beta} f=I_{-}^{\alpha+\beta} f \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Property 1 and Equalities (6) and (7), one can easily deduce the following results concerning the composition between fractional integral and fractional derivative. For any $0<\alpha<1$, the following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall f \in \mathrm{~L}^{1}, D_{-}^{\alpha} \circ I_{-}^{\alpha} f=f \quad \text { and } \quad \forall f \in A C, I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} f=f \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Another classical result is the boundedness of the left fractional integral from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ :
Property 2. - For any $\alpha>0$ and any $p \geq 1, I_{-}^{\alpha}$ is linear and continuous from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{p}$. Precisely, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p},\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following classical property concerns the integration of fractional integrals. It is occasionally called fractional integration by parts:

Property 3. - Let $0<\alpha<1$. Let $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and $g \in \mathrm{~L}^{q}$ where $(1 / p)+(1 / q) \leq 1+\alpha$ (and $p \neq 1 \neq q$ in the case $(1 / p)+(1 / q)=1+\alpha)$. Then, the following equality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} I_{-}^{\alpha} f \cdot g d t=\int_{a}^{b} f \cdot I_{+}^{\alpha} g d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This change of side of the fractional integral (from $I_{-}^{\alpha}$ to $I_{+}^{\alpha}$ ) is responsible of the emergence of $D_{+}^{\alpha}$ in $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ although only $D_{-}^{\alpha}$ is involved in the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$. We refer to Section 4.2 for more details.

The following Property 4 completes Property 2 in the case $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1$ : indeed, in this case, $I_{-}^{\alpha}$ is additionally bounded from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathscr{C}_{a}$ :

Property 4. - Let $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1$ and $q=p /(p-1)$. Then, for any $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$, we have:
$-I_{-}^{\alpha} f$ is Holdër continuous on ] $\left.a, b\right]$ with exponent $\alpha-(1 / p)>0$;
$-\lim _{t \rightarrow a} I_{-}^{\alpha} f(t)=0$.
Consequently, $I_{-}^{\alpha} f$ can be continuously extended by 0 in $t=a$. Finally, for any $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$, we have $I_{-}^{\alpha} f \in \mathscr{C}_{a}$. Moreover, the following inequality holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p},\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} f\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1 / p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / q}}\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let us note that this result is mainly proved in [16]. Let $f \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$. We first remind the following inequality:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \xi_{1} \geq \xi_{2} \geq 0,\left(\xi_{1}-\xi_{2}\right)^{q} \leq \xi_{1}^{q}-\xi_{2}^{q} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove that $I_{-}^{\alpha} f$ is Holdër continuous on $\left.] a, b\right]$. For any $a<t_{1}<t_{2} \leq b$, using the Hölder's inequality, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} f\left(t_{2}\right)-I_{-}^{\alpha} f\left(t_{1}\right)\right\|=\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\|\int_{a}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi) d \xi-\int_{a}^{t_{1}}\left(t_{1}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi) d \xi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\|\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1} f(\xi) d \xi\right\| \\
& +\frac{1}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left\|\int_{a}^{t_{1}}\left(\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1}-\left(t_{1}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1}\right) f(\xi) d \xi\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{(\alpha-1) q} d \xi\right)^{1 / q} \\
& +\frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{a}^{t_{1}}\left(\left(t_{1}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1}-\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{\alpha-1}\right)^{q} d \xi\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{t_{1}}^{t_{2}}\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{(\alpha-1) q} d \xi\right)^{1 / q} \\
& +\frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}\left(\int_{a}^{t_{1}}\left(t_{1}-\xi\right)^{(\alpha-1) q}-\left(t_{2}-\xi\right)^{(\alpha-1) q} d \xi\right)^{1 / q} \\
& \leq \frac{2\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / q}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\alpha-(1 / p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of the first point is complete. Let us consider the second point. For any $t \in] a, b]$, we can prove in the same manner that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} f(t)\right\| \leq \frac{\|f\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / q}}(t-a)^{\alpha-(1 / p)} \underset{t \rightarrow a}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is now complete.

## 3. Space of functions $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$

In order to prove the existence of a weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ using a variational method, we need the introduction of an appropriate space of functions. This space has to present some properties like reflexivity, see [12].

For any $0<\alpha<1$ and any $p \geq 1$, we define the following space of functions:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}:=\left\{u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p} \text { satisfying } D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p} \text { and } I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u=u \text { a.e. }\right\} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

We endow $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ with the following norm:

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, p}: \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{16}\\
u & \longmapsto\left(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}+\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that:

$$
\begin{align*}
|\cdot|_{\alpha, p}: \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}  \tag{17}\\
u & \longmapsto\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}
\end{align*}
$$

is an equivalent norm to $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, p}$ for $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Indeed, Property 2 leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p},\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}=\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(1+\alpha)}\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

The goal of this section is to prove the following proposition:
Proposition 1. - Assuming $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1, \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ is a reflexive separable Banach space and the compact embedding $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{a}$ holds.

Then, in the rest of the paper, we consider:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1 \quad \text { and } \quad q=p /(p-1) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us detail the different points of Proposition 1 in the following subsections.
3.1. $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ is a reflexive separable Banach space. - Let us prove this property. Let us consider $\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}$ the set $\mathrm{L}^{p} \times \mathrm{L}^{p}$ endowed with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_{\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}}=\left(\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}+\|v\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}\right)^{1 / p}$. Since $p>1$, $\left(\mathrm{L}^{p},\|\cdot\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}\right)$ is a reflexive separable Banach space and therefore, $\left(\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2},\|\cdot\|_{\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}}\right)$ is also a reflexive separable Banach space.

We define $\Omega:=\left\{\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right), u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}\right\}$. Let us prove that $\Omega$ is a closed subspace of $\left(\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}, \| \cdot\right.$ $\left.\|_{\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}}\right)$. Let $\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \Omega$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \xrightarrow{\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}}(u, v) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us prove that $(u, v) \in \Omega$. For any $n \in \mathbb{N},\left(u_{n}, v_{n}\right) \in \Omega$. Thus, $u_{n} \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and $v_{n}=D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}$. Consequently, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} u \quad \text { and } \quad D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} v . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, since $u_{n} \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and $I_{-}^{\alpha}$ is continuous from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{p}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}=I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} I_{-}^{\alpha} v . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $u=I_{-}^{\alpha} v, D_{-}^{\alpha} u=D_{-}^{\alpha} \circ I_{-}^{\alpha} v=v \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and $I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u=I_{-}^{\alpha} v=u$. Hence, $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and $(u, v)=\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right) \in \Omega$. In conclusion, $\Omega$ is a closed subspace of $\left(\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2},\|\cdot\|_{\left(\mathrm{L}^{p}\right)^{2}}\right)$ and then $\Omega$ is a reflexive separable Banach space. Finally, defining the following operator:

$$
\begin{align*}
A: \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} & \longrightarrow \Omega  \tag{23}\\
u & \longmapsto\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right),
\end{align*}
$$

we prove that $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ is isometric isomorphic to $\Omega$. This completes the proof of Section 3.1.
3.2. The continuous embedding $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{a}$. - Let us prove this result. Let $u \in$ $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and then $D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$. Since $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1$, Property 4 leads to $I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{\underline{-}}^{\alpha} u \in$ $\mathscr{C}_{a}$. Furthermore, $u=I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u$ and consequently, $u$ can be identified to its continuous representative. Finally, Property 4 also gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p},\|u\|_{\infty}=\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1 / p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / q}}|u|_{\alpha, p} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, p}$ and $|\cdot|_{\alpha, p}$ are equivalent norms, the proof of Section 3.2 is complete.
3.3. The compact embedding $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{a}$. - Let us prove this property. Since $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ is a reflexive Banach space, we only have to prove that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \text { such that } u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} u \text {, then } u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{C}} u \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} u . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{a}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \stackrel{\mathscr{C}}{\boldsymbol{G}} u \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p},\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Consequently, $\left(D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $L^{p}$ by a constant $M \geq 0$. Let us prove that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}_{a}$ is uniformly lipschitzian on $[a, b]$. According to the proof of Property 4, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall a \leq t_{1}<t_{2} \leq b,\left\|u_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)-u_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| & \leq\left\|I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}\left(t_{2}\right)-I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}\left(t_{1}\right)\right\| \\
& \leq \frac{2\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}\right\|_{L^{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / p}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\alpha-(1 / p)} \\
& \leq \frac{2 M}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / p}}\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right)^{\alpha-(1 / p)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, from Ascoli's theorem, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is relatively compact in $\mathscr{C}$. Consequently, there exists a subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging strongly in $\mathscr{C}$ and the limit is $u$ by uniqueness of the weak limit.

Now, let us prove by contradiction that the whole sequence $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly to $u$ in $\mathscr{C}$. If not, there exist $\varepsilon>0$ and a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall k \in \mathbb{N},\left\|u_{n_{k}}-u\right\|_{\infty}>\varepsilon>0 \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Nevertheless, since $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a subsequence of $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$, then it satisfies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n_{k}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} u \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the same way (using Ascoli's theorem), we can construct a subsequence of $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging strongly to $u$ in $\mathscr{C}$ which is a contradiction to (28). The proof of Section 3.3 is now complete.
3.4. Remarks. - Let us remind the following property:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall \varphi \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}, I_{-}^{\alpha} \varphi \in \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this result, we get the two following results:
$-\mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$ is dense in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Indeed, let us first prove that $\mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Let $u \in \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{L}^{p}$. Since $u \in A C_{a}$ and $\dot{u} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$, we have $D_{-}^{\alpha} u=I_{-}^{1-\alpha} \dot{u} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$. Since $u \in A C$, we also have $I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u=u$. Finally, $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Now, let us prove that $\mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$ is dense in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Let $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$, then $D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$. Consequently, there exists $\left(v_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} D_{-}^{\alpha} u \quad \text { and then } \quad I_{-}^{\alpha} v_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u=u, \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $I_{-}^{\alpha}$ is continuous from $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $\mathrm{L}^{p}$. Defining $u_{n}:=I_{-}^{\alpha} v_{n} \in \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} u \quad \text { and } \quad D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}=D_{-}^{\alpha} \circ I_{-}^{\alpha} v_{n}=v_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} D_{-}^{\alpha} u . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$ and converges to $u$ in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. The proof of this point is complete;

- In the case $(1 / p)<\min (\alpha, 1-\alpha), \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}=\left\{u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}\right.$ satisfying $\left.D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}\right\}$. Indeed, let $u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ satisfying $D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and let us prove that $I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u=u$. Let $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{L}^{1}$. Since $D_{-}^{\alpha} u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$, Property 3 leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u \cdot \varphi d t=\int_{a}^{b} D_{-}^{\alpha} u \cdot I_{+}^{\alpha} \varphi d t=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{d}{d t}\left(I_{-}^{1-\alpha} u\right) \cdot I_{+}^{\alpha} \varphi d t \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, an integration by parts gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u \cdot \varphi d t=\int_{a}^{b} I_{-}^{1-\alpha} u \cdot D_{+}^{1-\alpha} \varphi d t \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, $I_{+}^{\alpha} \varphi(b)=0$ since $\varphi \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}$ and $I_{-}^{1-\alpha} u(a)=0$ since $u \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}$ and $(1 / p)<1-\alpha$. Finally, using Property 3 again, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{b} I_{-}^{\alpha} \circ D_{-}^{\alpha} u \cdot \varphi d t=\int_{a}^{b} u \cdot I_{+}^{1-\alpha} \circ D_{+}^{1-\alpha} \varphi d t=\int_{a}^{b} u \cdot \varphi d t \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

which concludes the proof of this second point. In this case, let us note that such a definition of $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ could lead us to name it fractional Sobolev space and to denote it by $\mathrm{W}^{\alpha, p}$. Nevertheless, these notion and notation are already used, see [7].

## 4. Variational structure of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$

In the rest of the paper, we assume that Lagrangian $L$ is of class $\mathscr{C}^{1}$ and we define the Lagrangian functional $\mathcal{L}$ on $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ (with $0<(1 / p)<\alpha<1$ ). Precisely, we define:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}: \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}  \tag{36}\\
u & \longmapsto \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathcal{L}$ is said to be Gâteaux-differentiable in $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ if the map:

$$
\begin{align*}
D \mathcal{L}(u): \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}  \tag{37}\\
v & \longmapsto D \mathcal{L}(u)(v):=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(u+h v)-\mathcal{L}(u)}{h}
\end{align*}
$$

is well-defined for any $v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and if it is linear and continuous. A critical point $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ is defined by $D \mathcal{L}(u)=0$.
4.1. Gâteaux-differentiability of $\mathcal{L}$. - Let us prove the following lemma:

Lemma 1. - The following implications hold:

- L satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right) \Longrightarrow$ for any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}, L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{1}$ and then $\mathcal{L}(u)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$;
$-L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right) \Longrightarrow$ for any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}, \partial L / \partial x\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{1}$;
$-L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right) \Longrightarrow$ for any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}, \partial L / \partial y\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{q}$.
Proof. - Let us assume that $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ and let $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \subset \mathscr{C}_{a}$. Then, $\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|^{d_{1}} \in$ $\mathrm{L}^{p / d_{1}} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{1}$ and the three maps $t \longrightarrow r_{1}(u(t), t), s_{1}(u(t), t),|L(u(t), 0, t)| \in \mathscr{C}\left([a, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right) \subset$ $\mathrm{L}^{\infty} \subset \mathrm{L}^{1}$. Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right)$ implies for almost all $t \in[a, b]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|L\left(u(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t), t\right)\right| \leq r_{1}(u(t), t)\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)\right\|^{d_{1}}+s_{1}(u(t), t)+|L(u(t), 0, t)| . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{1}$ and then $\mathcal{L}(u)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. We proceed in the same manner in order to prove the second point of Lemma 1. Now, assuming that $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we have $\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|^{d_{3}} \in \mathrm{~L}^{p / d_{3}} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{q}$ for any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. An analogous argument gives the third point of Lemma 1.

Let us prove the following result:
Proposition 2. - Assuming that L satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), \mathcal{L}$ is Gâteauxdifferentiable in any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall u, v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}, D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot v+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot D_{-}^{\alpha} v d t . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let $u, v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \subset \mathscr{C}_{a}$. Let $\psi_{u, v}$ defined for any $h \in[-1,1]$ and for almost all $t \in[a, b]$ by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{u, v}(t, h):=L\left(u(t)+h v(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t), t\right) . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we define the following mapping:

$$
\begin{align*}
\phi_{u, v}:[-1,1] & \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}  \tag{41}\\
h & \longmapsto \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u+h v, D_{-}^{\alpha} u+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v, t\right) d t=\int_{a}^{b} \psi_{u, v}(t, h) d t .
\end{align*}
$$

Our aim is to prove that the following term:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{L}(u+h v)-\mathcal{L}(u)}{h}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{\phi_{u, v}(h)-\phi_{u, v}(0)}{h}=\phi_{u, v}^{\prime}(0) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

exists in $\mathbb{R}$. In order to differentiate $\phi_{u, v}$, we use the theorem of differentiation under the integral sign. Indeed, we have for almost all $t \in[a, b], \psi_{u, v}(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable on $[-1,1]$
with:

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall h \in[-1,1], \frac{\partial \psi_{u, v}}{\partial h}(t, h)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}(u(t) & \left.+h v(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t), t\right) \cdot v(t) \\
& +\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u(t)+h v(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t), t\right) \cdot D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t) \tag{43}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, from Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$, we have for any $h \in[-1,1]$ and for almost all $t \in[a, b]$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\frac{\partial \psi_{u, v}}{\partial h}(t, h)\right| \leq\left[r_{2}(u(t)+h v(t), t)\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|^{d_{2}}+s_{2}(u(t)+h v(t), t)\right]\|v(t)\| \\
& \quad+\left[r_{3}(u(t)+h v(t), t)\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)+h D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|^{d_{3}}+s_{3}(u(t)+h v(t), t)\right]\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\| \tag{44}
\end{align*}
$$

We define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2,0}:=\max _{(t, h) \in[a, b] \times[-1,1]} r_{2}(u(t)+h v(t), t) \tag{45}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we define similarly $s_{2,0}, r_{3,0}, s_{3,0}$. Finally, it holds:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left|\frac{\partial \psi_{u, v}}{\partial h}(t, h)\right| \leq 2^{d_{2}} r_{2,0} & (\underbrace{\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)\right\|^{d_{2}}+\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|^{d_{2}}}_{\in \mathrm{L}^{p / d_{2}} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{1}}) \underbrace{\|v(t)\|}_{\in \mathscr{C}_{a} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{\infty}}+s_{2,0} \underbrace{\|v(t)\|}_{\in \mathscr{C}_{a} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{1}} \\
& +2^{d_{3}} r_{3,0} \underbrace{\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u(t)\right\|^{d_{3}}+\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|^{d_{3}}}_{\in \mathrm{L}^{p / d_{3}} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{q}}) \underbrace{\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|}_{\in \mathrm{L}^{p}}+s_{3,0} \underbrace{\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v(t)\right\|}_{\in \mathrm{L}^{p} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{1}} \tag{46}
\end{align*}
$$

The right term is then a $L^{1}$ function independent of $h$. Consequently, applying the theorem of differentiation under the integral sign, $\phi_{u, v}$ is differentiable with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall h \in[-1,1], \phi_{u, v}^{\prime}(h)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial \psi_{u, v}}{\partial h}(t, h) d t \tag{47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence:

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)=\phi_{u, v}^{\prime}(0)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial \psi_{u, v}}{\partial h}(t, 0) d t=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot v+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot D_{-}^{\alpha} v d t \tag{48}
\end{equation*}
$$

From Lemma 1, it holds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{1} \text { and } \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{q} . \tag{49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v \in \mathscr{C}_{a} \subset \mathrm{~L}^{\infty}$ and $D_{-}^{\alpha} v \in \mathrm{~L}^{p}, D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)$ exists in $\mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
|D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)| & \leq\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}}\|v\|_{\infty}+\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{q}}\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} v\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}} \\
& \leq\left(\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha-(1 / p)}}{\Gamma(\alpha)((\alpha-1) q+1)^{1 / q}}\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{1}}+\left\|\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right\|_{L^{q}}\right)|v|_{\alpha, p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Consequently, $D \mathcal{L}(u)$ is linear and continuous from $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The proof is complete.
4.2. Sufficient condition for a weak solution. - In this section, we prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2. - Let us assume that L satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. Then:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u \text { is a critical point of } \mathcal{L} \Longrightarrow u \text { is a weak solution of }\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right) . \tag{50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let $u$ be a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$. Then, we have in particular:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}, D \mathcal{L}(u)(v)=\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot v+\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot D_{-}^{\alpha} v d t=0 \tag{51}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $v \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty} \subset A C_{a}, D_{-}^{\alpha} v=I_{-}^{1-\alpha} \dot{v} \in \mathscr{C}_{a}^{\infty}$. Since $\partial L / \partial y\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{q}$, Property 3 gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}, \int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot v+I_{+}^{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right) \cdot \dot{v} d t=0 \tag{52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we define:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall t \in[a, b], w_{u}(t)=\int_{a}^{t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t \tag{53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial L / \partial x\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in L^{1}, w_{u} \in A C_{a}$ and $\dot{w}_{u}=\partial L / \partial x\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)$. Then, an integration by parts leads to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall v \in \mathscr{C}_{c}^{\infty}, \int_{a}^{b}\left(I_{+}^{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right)-w_{u}\right) \cdot \dot{v} d t=0 \tag{54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, there exists a constant $C \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ such that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{+}^{1-\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right)=C+w_{u} \in A C \tag{55}
\end{equation*}
$$

By differentiation, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-D_{+}^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right)\right)=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \tag{56}
\end{equation*}
$$

and then $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \subset \mathscr{C}$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ a.e. on $[a, b]$. The proof is complete.
Let us note that the use of Property 3 in the previous proof leads to the emergence of $D_{+}^{\alpha}$ in $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ although $\mathcal{L}$ is only dependent of $D_{-}^{\alpha}$. This asymmetry in ( $\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}$ ) is a strong drawback in order to solve it explicitly. However, from Theorem 1, the existence of a weak solution for $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ will be guarantee.

## 5. Existence of a global minimizer of $\mathcal{L}$

In this section, under assumptions $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$, we prove the existence of a global minimizer $u$ of $\mathcal{L}$, see Theorem 3. Then, $u$ is a critical point of $\mathcal{L}$ and then, according to Theorem $2, u$ is a weak solution of $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$. This concludes the proof of Theorem 1.

As usual in a variational method, in order to prove the existence of a global minimizer of a functional, coercivity and convexity hypotheses need to be added on the Lagrangian. We have already define Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ (coercivity) and ( $\mathrm{H}_{5}$ ) (convexity) in Section 1.2. In this section, we introduce two different convexity hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ under which Theorem 1 is still valid:

- Convexity hypothesis denoted by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ :
$\forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], L(x, \cdot, t)$ is convex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { and }(L(\cdot, y, t))_{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b]} \text { is uniformly equicontinuous on } \mathbb{R}^{d} \text {. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remind that the uniform equicontinuity of $(L(\cdot, y, t))_{(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b]}$ has to be understood as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall \varepsilon>0, \exists \delta>0, & \forall\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)^{2}, \\
& \left\|x_{2}-x_{1}\right\|<\delta \Longrightarrow \forall(y, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b],\left|L\left(x_{2}, y, t\right)-L\left(x_{1}, y, t\right)\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{57}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ are independent.

- Convexity hypothesis denoted by ( $\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ ):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], L(x, \cdot, t) \text { is convex. } \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is the weakest. Nevertheless, in this case, the detailed proof of Theorem 3 is more complicated. Consequently, in the case of Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$, we do not develop the proof and we use a strong result proved in [12].

Let us prove the following preliminary result:
Lemma 2. - Let us assume that L satisfies Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$. Then, $\mathcal{L}$ is coercive in the sense that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\|u\|_{\alpha, p} \rightarrow+\infty} \mathcal{L}(u)=+\infty \tag{58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. - Let $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}(u)=\int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t \geq \int_{a}^{b} c_{1}(u, t)\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|^{p}+c_{2}(t)\|u\|^{d_{4}}+c_{3}(t) d t . \tag{59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (18) implies that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{d_{4}}}^{d_{4}} \leq(b-a)^{1-\frac{d_{4}}{p}}\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d_{4}} \leq \frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_{4}}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{d_{4}}=\frac{(b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_{4}}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}|u|_{\alpha, p}^{d_{4}} . \tag{60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, we conclude that:

$$
\begin{align*}
\forall u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}, \mathcal{L}(u) & \geq \gamma\left\|D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right\|_{\mathrm{L}^{p}}^{p}-\left\|c_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\|u\|_{\mathrm{L}^{d_{4}}}^{d_{4}}-(b-a)\left\|c_{3}\right\|_{\infty}  \tag{61}\\
& \geq \gamma|u|_{\alpha, p}^{p}-\frac{\left\|c_{2}\right\|_{\infty}(b-a)^{\alpha+1-\frac{d_{4}}{p}}}{\Gamma(\alpha+1)}|u|_{\alpha, p}^{d_{4}}-(b-a)\left\|c_{3}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{62}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $d_{4}<p$ and since the norms $|\cdot|_{\alpha, p}$ and $\|\cdot\|_{\alpha, p}$ are equivalent, the proof is complete.
Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 3:
Theorem 3. - Let us assume that L satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and one of Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Then, $\mathcal{L}$ admits a global minimizer.

Proof. - Let $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ satisfying:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(u_{n}\right) \longrightarrow \inf _{v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} \mathcal{L}(v)=: K \tag{63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $L$ satisfies Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right), \mathcal{L}(u) \in \mathbb{R}$ for any $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Hence, $K<+\infty$. Let us prove by contradiction that $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. In the negative case, we can construct a subsequence $\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying $\left\|u_{n_{k}}\right\|_{\alpha, p} \rightarrow+\infty$. Since $L$ satisfies Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$, Lemma 2 gives:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\lim _{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}\left(u_{n_{k}}\right)=+\infty \tag{64}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence, $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is bounded in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Since $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ is reflexive, there exists a subsequence still denoted by $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converging weakly in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ to an element denoted by $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$. Let us prove that $u$ is a global minimizer of $\mathcal{L}$. Since:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} u \quad \text { and } \quad \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p} \hookrightarrow \mathscr{C}_{a} \tag{65}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathscr{G}} u \quad \text { and } \quad D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~L}^{p}} D_{-}^{\alpha} u . \tag{66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ : by convexity, it holds for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(u_{n}\right)= & \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u_{n}, D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}, t\right) d t \geq \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial x}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot\left(u_{n}-u\right) d t+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot\left(D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}-D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right) d t \tag{67}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $L$ satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right), \partial L / \partial x\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in \mathrm{L}^{1}$ and $\partial L / \partial y\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in$ $\mathrm{L}^{q}$. Consequently, using (66) and making $n$ tend to $+\infty$, we obtain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\inf _{v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} \mathcal{L}(v) \geq \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t=\mathcal{L}(u) \tag{68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u$ is a global minimizer of $\mathcal{L}$.
Case L satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$ : let $\varepsilon>0$. Since $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges strongly in $\mathscr{C}$ to $u$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists N \in \mathbb{N}, \forall n \geq N,\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\infty}<\delta \tag{69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta$ is given in the definition of $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime}\right)$. In consequence, it holds a.e. on $[a, b]$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall n \geq N,\left|L\left(u_{n}(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}(t), t\right)-L\left(u(t), D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}(t), t\right)\right|<\varepsilon . \tag{70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, for any $n \geq N$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(u_{n}\right)=\int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t+\int_{a}^{b} L\left(u_{n}, D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n},\right. & t)-L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}, t\right) d t \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}, t\right)-L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t \tag{71}
\end{align*}
$$

Then, for any $n \geq N$, it holds by convexity:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t-\int_{a}^{b} \mid L\left(u_{n}, D_{-}^{\alpha}\right. & \left.u_{n}, t\right)-L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}, t\right) \mid d t \\
& +\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot\left(D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}-D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right) d t \tag{72}
\end{align*}
$$

And, using Equation (70), we obtain for any $n \geq N$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(u_{n}\right) \geq \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t-\varepsilon(b-a)+\int_{a}^{b} \frac{\partial L}{\partial y}\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \cdot\left(D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}-D_{-}^{\alpha} u\right) d t \tag{73}
\end{equation*}
$$

We remind that $\partial L / \partial y\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) \in L^{q}$ since $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$. Since $\left(D_{-}^{\alpha} u_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges weakly in $\mathrm{L}^{p}$ to $D_{-}^{\alpha} u$, we obtain by making $n$ tend to $+\infty$ and then by making $\varepsilon$ tend to 0 :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\inf _{v \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}} \mathcal{L}(v) \geq \int_{a}^{b} L\left(u, D_{-}^{\alpha} u, t\right) d t=\mathcal{L}(u) \tag{74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $u$ is a global minimizer of $\mathcal{L}$.
Case $L$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$ : we refer to Theorem 3.23 in [12].
Finally, combining Theorems 2 and 3 , the proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

## 6. Examples

Let us consider some examples of Lagrangian $L$ satisfying Hypotheses of Theorem 1. Consequently, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ associated admits a weak solution $u \in \mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$.

The most classical example is the Dirichlet integral, i.e. the Lagrangian functional associated to the Lagrangian $L$ given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y, t)=\frac{1}{2}\|y\|^{2} \tag{75}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $L$ satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$ for $p=2$. Hence, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ associated admits a weak solution in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ for $(1 / 2)<\alpha<1$.

In a more general case, the following Lagrangian $L$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y, t)=\frac{1}{p}\|y\|^{p}+a(x, t) \tag{76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>1$ and $a \in \mathscr{C}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times[a, b], \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$, satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Consequently, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ associated to $L$ admits a weak solution in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ for any $(1 / p)<\alpha<1$. Let us note that if for any $t \in[a, b], a(\cdot, t)$ is convex, then $L$ satisfies Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$.

In the unidimensional case $d=1$, let us take a Lagrangian with a second term linear in its first variable, i.e.:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y, t)=\frac{1}{p}|y|^{p}+f(t) x \tag{77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p>1$ and $f \in \mathscr{C}^{1}([a, b], \mathbb{R})$. Then, $L$ satisfies Hypotheses $\left(\mathrm{H}_{1}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right),\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}\right)$. Then, the fractional Euler-Lagrange equation $\left(\mathrm{EL}^{\alpha}\right)$ associated admits a weak solution in $\mathrm{E}_{\alpha, p}$ for any $(1 / p)<\alpha<1$.

Theorem 1 is a result based on strong conditions on Lagrangian L. Consequently, some Lagrangian do not satisfy all hypotheses of Theorem 1. We can cite the Bolza's example in dimension $d=1$ given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y, t)=\left(y^{2}-1\right)^{2}+x^{4} \tag{78}
\end{equation*}
$$

$L$ does not satisfy Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{4}\right)$ neither Hypothesis $\left(\mathrm{H}_{5}^{\prime \prime}\right)$. Nevertheless, as usual with variational methods, the conditions of regularity, coercivity and/or convexity can often be replaced by weaker assumptions specific to the studied problem. As an example, we can cite [31] and references therein about higher-order integrals of the calculus of variations. Indeed, in this paper, it is proved that calculus of variations is still valid with weaker regularity assumptions.

## Conclusion

The method developed in this paper gives a framework in order to study the existence of weak solutions for fractional Euler-Lagrange equations. In this paper, we have studied the special case of a Lagrangian functional involving fractional derivatives of Riemann-Liouville. Nevertheless, such a method can also be developed in the case of fractional derivatives of Caputo or Hadamard. Indeed, these operators satisfy similar properties than Riemann-Liouville's ones, see $[\mathbf{1 7}, \mathbf{3 0}]$. In fact, the same method can be developed in the case of general linear operators used in $[\mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1 8}, \mathbf{2 4}, \mathbf{2 6}]$ : this is the aim of a forthcoming paper.
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