

# Addressing temperature effects on metal chemodynamics studies using stripping electroanalytical techniques. Part 1: Lability of small complexes

Elise Rotureau, Yves Waldvogel, Romain M. Présent, Jose Paulo Pinheiro

# ▶ To cite this version:

Elise Rotureau, Yves Waldvogel, Romain M. Présent, Jose Paulo Pinheiro. Addressing temperature effects on metal chemodynamics studies using stripping electroanalytical techniques. Part 1: Lability of small complexes. Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry, 2015, 752, pp.68-74. 10.1016/j.jelechem.2015.06.010. hal-01248753

# HAL Id: hal-01248753 https://hal.science/hal-01248753

Submitted on 21 Mar 2019

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

page 1 of 26

1	Addressing temperature effects on metal chemodynamics studies using
2	stripping electroanalytical techniques. Part 1: Lability of small complexes
3	
4	Elise Rotureau <sup>1,2*</sup> , Yves Waldvogel <sup>1,2</sup> , Romain M. Présent <sup>1,2</sup> , Jose Paulo Pinheiro <sup>1,2</sup>
5 6	<sup>1</sup> CNRS, LIEC (Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire des Environnements Continentaux),
7	UMR7360, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy F-54501, France.
8	<sup>2</sup> Université de Lorraine, LIEC, UMR7360, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy, F-54501, France.
9	* Corresponding author: <u>elise.rotureau@univ-lorraine.fr</u>
10	

11 Abstract

12 Temperature effects on metal speciation dynamics were studied using Stripping 13 Chronopotentiometry at Scanned deposition Potential (SSCP). The temporal and spatial scales of this study are respectively  $O(10^{-1}s)$  and  $O(10^{-5}M)$ , characteristics of the thin mercury film 14 15 rotating disk, used as working electrode. The lability degree and the association rate constant 16 were evaluated in the temperature interval of 15 to 40°C for a significantly non-labile system, 17 cadmium binding by nitrilotriacetic acid, and a quasi-labile system, lead binding by 18 iminodiacetic acid. The results for both systems reveal that the lability of the metal complex 19 significantly increases with temperature. This lability gain results from the thermal 20 augmentation of the association rate constant and the broadening of the diffusion layer 21 thickness.

An evaluation of the metal calibration methodology for SSCP at different temperatures was conducted. It was found that although the variation of diffusion layer thickness can be correctly predicted, changes in standard reduction potential of the metals cannot, thus a calibration must be performed for each temperature studied.

26 This work constitutes a first step toward the comprehension of the effect of temperature on27 metal chemodynamics.



*Keywords:* dynamic metal speciation, lability, scanning stripping chronopotentiometry, thin
mercury film electrode, temperature effects, nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA), iminodiacetic acid
(IDA)

#### 34 Introduction

Temperature is a key parameter in electrochemical experiments since it directly affects both the thermodynamics and kinetics of the chemical reactions and the transport of the species from the bulk to the electrode surface.

Thermoelectrochemistry is the branch of electrochemistry that focuses on the variation of the temperature as an independent variable. The increase of temperature is obtained by various means, either directly (heating the working electrode) or indirectly (using microwaves to heat the solution) and the experiments can be performed isothermally or non-isothermally.[1] One interesting analytical aspect is that due to the increased mass transport at high-temperatures higher current signals are obtained, hence lower detection limits.[2]

44 Unfortunately there are very few metal chemodynamics or even metal speciation studies at
45 different temperatures although they are certainly significant as demonstrated recently by
46 Hassler *et al* [3] in the study of iron speciation in seawater at 4°C.

For metal speciation studies the common practice is to work at constant temperature, usually by thermostating the working solution, nevertheless there are some situations where this is not possible, for example, during on-site studies in environmental waters ranging from almost freezing conditions in cold climates to warm waters in tropical regions.

In other situations the objective is to examine the effect of temperature, like in the case of the studies of metal interactions with thermoresponsive polymer nanoparticles in which we are especially interested. In recent years there has been an increasing interest in these particles due to their ability to change their properties, such as dimension, structure, interactions, or aggregation state, in response to external stimuli (temperature, pH, pressure, ionic strength, etc.). [4,5]

57 Chemodynamic modeling requires both the knowledge of thermodynamic equilibrium 58 parameters and the kinetics of the interconversion of metal complex species. Stripping 59 electroanalytical techniques, like Stripping Chronopotentiometry at Scanned deposition Potential (SSCP) are able to provide thermodynamic and kinetic information at the very low concentration levels of metals concentrations in environmental samples. [6,7] Effectively the signal of the stripping electroanalytical techniques is determined by the diffusion and/or kinetic fluxes of the various metal species in solution, both depending on the time-scale of the technique and on the intrinsic characteristics of the complexing species.

The main scientific question of this study concerns the effect of temperature on metal complex lability, especially due to the different influence of temperature on some key parameters. For example the thickness of the reaction layer is affected by the ratio of the diffusion coefficient and the association rate constant of the metal, which both increase with temperature

Additionally there is a methodological question regarding the possibility of performing the calibration at only one temperature followed by complexation studies at different temperatures. This will depend on our ability to predict both the variations of diffusion coefficients ( $D_{\rm M}$ ) and standard potential of reduction and amalgamation of the metal species  $E^{\circ}({\rm M}^{\rm n+}/{\rm M}({\rm Hg}))$  at different temperatures.

## 75

## 76 **Theory**

The theoretical basis for stripping chronopotentiometry (SCP), and its use in SSCP are well established.[6,7] In this work we are using a thin mercury film electrode (TMFE) assembled in a rotating disk electrode that has been thoroughly characterized for SSCP experiments.[8] The principles and key equations relevant for the present work, including the temperature effects, are briefly recalled here.

#### 82 <u>Metal ions in absence of ligand: SCP limiting current</u>

83 In stripping chronopotentiometry the number of moles reduced during the deposition step 84 equals the number of moles reoxidized during the stripping step. The limiting transition time ( 85  $\tau_{M}^{*}$  in s) given by:

page 5 of 26

86 
$$\tau_{\rm M}^* = I_d^* t_d / I_s \tag{1}$$

87 where  $t_d$  (s) is the deposition time,  $I_s$  (A) the stripping current and  $I_d^*$  (A) is the limiting value 88 of the deposition current.

89  $I_d^*$  which by definition is obtained for deposition potentials ( $E_d$ ) that are sufficiently negative, 90 so that the concentration of metal ions at the electrode surface approaches zero ( $c_M^0 \rightarrow 0$ ), is 91 given by:

92 
$$I_{\rm d}^* = \left( nFAD_{\rm M}c_{\rm M}^* \right) / \delta_{\rm M}$$
(2)

where  $c_{\rm M}^*$  (mol.m<sup>-3</sup>) is the metal concentration in the bulk solution, *A* is the electrode surface area (m<sup>2</sup>), *D*<sub>M</sub> is the diffusion coefficient of the metal ion (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>), *n* is the number of electrons involved in the reduction, *F* (C.mol<sup>-1</sup>) is the Faraday constant and  $\delta_{\rm M}$  (m) is the diffusion layer thickness. For the rotating disk electrode (RDE) the thickness of the diffusion layer is expressed by [9]:

98 
$$\delta_{\rm M} = 1.61 D_{\rm M}^{1/3} \omega^{-1/2} \upsilon^{1/6}$$
 (3)

99 where  $\omega$  (s<sup>-1</sup>) is the angular speed rotation for the RDE ( $\omega = 2\pi v_{rot}$ , where  $v_{rot}$  is the speed of 100 rotation) and v is the kinematic viscosity of the solution (m<sup>2</sup>.s<sup>-1</sup>).

101 The variation of the diffusion coefficient of the metal with temperature follows102 Stokes-Einstein equation given by [10]:

$$D_{\rm M} = k_{\rm B} T / 6\pi \eta r_{\rm h} \tag{4}$$

104 where  $k_{\rm B}$  (J.K<sup>-1</sup>) is the Boltzmann constant,  $r_{\rm h}$  (m) is the hydrodynamic radius of the diffusion 105 species, *T* (K) is the temperature and  $\eta$  (Pa.s) is the absolute viscosity of the solution, given in 106 table 1. The variation of viscosity (absolute and kinematic) with temperature was obtained 107 from NIST recommended values.[11]

108 The thermal expansion of mercury in the range of temperatures used (288 to 318 K) is 109 found negligible since it follows the respective variation in density which is -0.54%.[12] Similarly the bulk concentration of metal will be affected by the thermal expansion of water, for which the water density variation is 0.63% (from 288 to 318 K).[13] Both these volume variations are well below the experimental error of these experiments, so that both the mercury electrode area and volume and the metal concentration are assumed to remain constant within the range of temperatures tested.

From eqs 2, 3 and 4 it can be seen that the limiting current depends directly on the temperature through the diffusion coefficient and indirectly through the diffusion layer  $\delta_{\rm M}$ dependence on the kinematic viscosity

# 118 <u>Metal ions in absence of ligand: SSCP half-wave potential</u>

119 The SSCP general equation that describes the relationship between  $\tau$  and  $E_d$  is [7]:

120 
$$\tau = \left(I_{\rm d}^* \tau_{\rm d} / I_{\rm s}\right) \left[1 - \exp\left(-t_{\rm d} / \tau_{\rm d}\right)\right]$$
(5)

121 where  $\tau$  (s) is the transition time,  $\tau_d$  (s) is the characteristic time constant for the deposition 122 process, which for a TMFE is defined by:

123 
$$\tau_{\rm d} = V \delta_{\rm M} / (A D_{\rm M} \theta) \tag{6}$$

For a TMFE, the volume of the electrode (V in m<sup>3</sup>) can be estimated from the charge for reoxidation of the film ( $Q_{Hg}$  in C) using Faraday's law and the mercury density:

$$V = M_{w,Hg} Q_{Hg} / 2Fd_{Hg}$$
<sup>(7)</sup>

127 The parameter  $\theta$  is the ratio of the surface concentrations  $(\theta = c_M^0 / c_{M^0}^0)$  for the 128 reversible electron transfer reaction,  $M^{n+} + ne^- \leftrightarrow M^0$ . At a given deposition potential  $E_d$ , this 129 parameter is calculated using the following Nernst type equation:

130 
$$\theta = \exp(nF(E_{\rm d} - E^{\rm U})/RT) \tag{8}$$

131 where, R (J.mol<sup>-1</sup>.K<sup>-1</sup>) the gas constant and  $E^{0'}$  (V) is a parameter related to the standard 132 potential of reduction for the amalgamation reaction relative to the reference electrode used 133 and the ionic strength of the solution. In SSCP the usual potential parameter is the half-wave potential  $(E_{1/2})$  which is a function of  $E^{0}_{Mn+/M(Hg)}$ , temperature, ionic strength, diffusion coefficients of the metal amalgamated and in solution, potential of the reference electrode used and the volume of the electrode, *V*. The standard potential of amalgam electrodes in aqueous solutions, their temperature coefficients and the activity coefficients of metals in mercury have been reviewed by Mussini *et al.*[14]

139 The general scheme of a metal amalgam electrode reaction is:

140 
$$M^{z+} + Hg + ze^{-} = \{M - Hg\}$$
 (9)

and for a TMFE, the simplified version of the equation for the metal amalgamation reduction
half-wave potential versus the Ag/AgCl reference electrode can be written as:

143 
$$E_{1/2,M} = E_{M/\{M-Hg\}}^{0} + \frac{RT}{nF} ln \frac{f_M}{f_{\{M-Hg\}}} + \frac{RT}{nF} ln \left(\frac{D_{\{M-Hg\}}}{D_M}\right)^{2/3} - E_{Ag/AgCl}^{0}$$
(10)

where f stands for the activity coefficients and D for diffusion coefficients in solution (M) and in the amalgam (M-Hg).

The temperature variation of this equation is quite involved since both the activity coefficients, the diffusion coefficients and the standard potentials are temperature dependent, nevertheless Mussini *et al* report a quadratic equation to describe this variation and provide the parameters necessary to perform the computation for several metals.

150

## 151 <u>Chemodynamics: the general equation for metal ions in presence of complexing ligands</u>

Metal chemodynamics theory and investigation by electrochemical techniques have been recently reviewed.[15] Briefly let us consider the case of an electroactive metal ion, M, that forms an electroinactive complex, ML, with a ligand L,

where  $k_a$  and  $k_d$  are the association and dissociation rate constants respectively. The system is dynamic if the rates for the volume reactions are fast on the experimental time scale, *t*:

162 
$$k_{\rm d}t, \ k_{\rm a}t >> 1$$
 (12)

163 Under conditions of ligand excess we can define:

164 
$$k_{a} = k_{a}c_{L}$$
 and  $K = k_{a}^{2}/k_{d} = Kc_{L}$  (13)

165 where *K* (L.mol<sup>-1</sup>) is the stability constant of ML ( $=c_{ML}/c_Mc_L$ ) and  $c_L$  ( $=\alpha.c_{L,T}$ ) is the 166 deprotonated concentration of the ligand species involved in the complexation reaction, where 167  $c_{L,T}$  is the total ligand concentration and  $\alpha$  is the deprotonation factor.

During reduction of M, the overall flux of free M towards the electrode surface is governed by the coupled diffusion of M and its various complex species, and the kinetics of their interconversion. The concept of lability quantifies the extent to which the complex species dissociate to release free M on the timescale of their diffusion towards the electrode surface.

173 The steady-state SSCP curve (eq. 5) for dynamic complexes influenced by 174 homogeneous kinetics was deduced on the basis of the Koutecký-Koryta approximation.[16] 175 The parameters  $I_d^*$  and  $\tau_d$  in presence of small ligands, where the complex as the same 176 diffusion coefficient as the free metal, are then given by [17]:

177 
$$I_{\rm d}^* = nFAc_{\rm M}^* \left( \frac{\delta_{\rm M} - \mu}{D_{\rm M} \left( 1 + K^{\,\prime} \right)} + \frac{\mu}{D_{\rm M}} \right)^{-1} \tag{14}$$

178 
$$\tau_{\rm d} = \frac{V_{\rm Hg,TMFE}}{A\theta} \left( \frac{\delta_{\rm M} - \mu}{D_{\rm M} \left( 1 + K' \right)} + \frac{\mu}{D_{\rm M}} \right)$$
(15)

where  $\mu$  (m) is the thickness of the reaction layer, representing the zone adjacent to the electrode surface in which ML can no longer maintain equilibrium with M. In its simplest form the reaction layer thickness is defined by [18]:

182 
$$\mu = \left(D_{\rm M}/k_{\rm a}^{\prime}\right)^{1/2}$$
 (16)

183 Thus dividing the limiting current equation in presence of complexes (eq. 12) by the 184 limiting current equation in presence of metal alone (eq. 2) yields an equation depending on 185 *K*' and  $\mu$  for non-labile complexes and and *K*' for labile complexes.

186 The variation in half-wave potential in presence and absence of complexing ligands 187 ( $\Delta E_{d,1/2}$ ) is determined by the stability of the complexes:

188 
$$\Delta E_{d,1/2} = (RT/nF) \left[ -\ln(1+K') - \ln(\tau_{M+L}^*/\tau_M^*) \right]$$
(17)

189 where  $\tau_{M+L}^*$  (s) is the  $\tau^*$  measured in the presence of ligand. The complex stability constant, *K*, 190 is obtained from eq.17, the mass balance for the metal and the deprotonated concentration of 191 ligand ( $\alpha$ .c<sub>L,T</sub>), in all dynamic situations as long as the system remains fully electrochemically 192 reversible.

## 193 *Lability criteria*

194 The lability degree  $\xi$  is defined as the ratio of the limiting current for dynamic 195 complexes (which is the experimentally measured current,  $I_d^*$ ),  $I_{dyn}$ , and the current for the 196 same conditions assuming that all complexes are labile,  $I_{lab}$  (i.e. computed using the 197 experimental parameters of  $I_{dyn}$  and imposing in eq. 14 a value of  $\mu$  of zero.) both corrected 198 for the free metal contribution,  $I_{free}$ , as [19]:

199 
$$\xi = \frac{I_{\rm dyn} - I_{\rm free}}{I_{\rm lab} - I_{\rm free}}$$
(18)

This lability degree ranges from near 0 for non-labile systems to 1 for fully labile behaviour and will be strongly dependent on temperature, through both the diffusion coefficients and the rate constants. The temperature effects on lability can be studied using SSCP in non-labile systems.

204

#### 205 Material and methods

#### 206 *Reagents and solutions*

All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (MilliQ). Pb(II) and Cd(II) solutions were obtained from dilution of a certified standard solution (Fluka). The ionic strength is set with NaNO3 (Fluka, trace select). Diluted HNO<sub>3</sub> or NaOH solutions (Merck suprapure) were used to adjust the pH. The buffers MES (2-(N-morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid from Sigma) and MOPS (3-(N-morpholino)propanesulfonic acid from Sigma) were prepared from the solids. Stock solutions of the ligands NTA (Nitrilotriacetic acid from Sigma-Aldrich, >99%) and IDA (Iminodiacetic acid from Fluka, >98%), were prepared from the solids.

214 Apparatus

215 An Ecochemie Autolab type III potentiostat controlled by GPES 4.9 software (Ecochemie, 216 The Netherlands) was used in conjunction with a Metrohm 663VA stand. The auxiliary 217 electrode was a glassy carbon rod and the reference electrode was an Ag/AgCl electrode. The 218 working electrode was a thin mercury film electrode (TMFE) plated onto a rotating glassy 219 carbon (GC) disk of 2 mm diameter (Metrohm). The preparation of TMFE was repeated daily 220 for each set of experiments. GC electrode was conditioned with a polishing and 221 electrochemical pre-treatment according to the reported cleaning procedure.[20] The thin 222 mercury film was plated on the GC electrode ex-situ with a 0.48 mM mercury (II) nitrate in 223 acidic medium (HNO3 0.75mM, pH 1.9) by electrodeposition at -1.3V for 240s and a rotation 224 rate of 1000 rpm. After experiments, the TMFE was cleaned by successive mercury 225 reoxydations in a 80mM thiocyanate ammonium solution buffered with ammonium acetate 226 (pH 3.4).

227 *Experimental protocol* 

For the temperature control, a disposable polystyrene cell is placed in a double-walled container relied to refrigerated-heating circulator. The temperature of the solution is set from 15 to  $45^{\circ}$ C with an accuracy of  $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C. The experimental protocol for calibration measurement at different temperature consists of preparing a solution made up from 18 ml of pure water, 10  $\mu$ l of 0.1M HNO<sub>3</sub> and the appropriate amount of 1M NaNO<sub>3</sub> to fix the ionic strength at 100mM and leaving the solution under nitrogen bubbling during a few minutes to remove oxygen; a nitrogen blanket is maintained between measurements. The metal is then added in the form of Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> or Cd(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>. The SSCP calibrations are performed at pH lower than 4 so as to obtain the metal predominantly in its free form.

For the Cd-NTA and the Pb-IDA analysis, the experiments are performed as follow. After the metal calibration at a fixed temperature, a given quantity of ligand solution is added to the solution, together with 200  $\mu$ L of 0.1M of buffer solution *i.e.*, MOPS (pH 8) or MES (pH 6.5), respectively. A sufficient quantity of NaOH is then added to fix the pH at 8 for Cd/NTA solution and 6.5 for Pb/IDA solution. SSCP experiments are then performed in the presence of the ligand in solution.

The SCP experimental parameters are as follows: (i) the deposition step is carried out at the specified deposition potential  $E_d$  for a time,  $t_d$  45 s for Pb and 90s for Cd, using a rotation rate of 1500 rpm (ii) a stripping current,  $I_s$  of 3  $\mu$ A for Pb and 2  $\mu$ A for Cd is applied until the potential reaches a value well past the reoxidation transition plateau. A series of SCP measurements over a range of deposition potentials,  $E_d$ , yields the full SSCP waves that are essential in the basic kinetic analysis (see theoretical section).

- 250
- 251 Results and Discussion
- 252 Evaluation of the metal calibration methodology

As the temperature variations affect the calibration procedures, these features need to be evaluated carefully before we proceed to study complexation dynamics. 255 SSCP experiments with metal alone, also called SSCP calibrations, are used to determine 256 three parameters: the effective electrode area (A), the half-wave potential for the metal 257  $(E_{1/2,M})$  and  $\tau_{M}^{*}$ . Within the studies regularly performed using SSCP, there are different 258 methodologies currently employed. When performing titrations with ligand and/or metal 259 concentration variation, at fixed pH, ionic strength and temperature, the calibrations are 260 usually made under the same conditions as those for the titration. In studies with pH variation, 261 the calibrations are done at low pH and the results extrapolated to different pH values.[21] On 262 the other hand for studies at different ionic strengths (constant pH and temperature) it is 263 necessary to perform a calibration per ionic strength.[22]

The first parameter obtained from the calibration is the effective electrode area. From a theoretical point of view the thin film electrode area should be equal to the geometrical area of the electrode; nevertheless these electrodes are not truly a film but rather an ensemble of mercury microdroplets. The effective area is thus determined from equations (2), (3) and (4) providing that the metal concentration is rigorously known.

269 When the temperature changes it can be seen that the limiting current (eq. 2) will also vary 270 through the temperature dependence of the diffusion coefficient (eq. 4) and the kinematic 271 viscosity dependence of the thickness of the diffusion layer  $\delta_{\rm M}$  (eq.3).

272 In our work the electrode area should remain constant since the thermal expansion of mercury is negligible in this range of temperatures. Figure 1 shows that for both  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  ions the 273 274 computed effective area is constant within the experimental error for the temperature range 275 investigated. This effective area was obtained using eq.2 with the values of the diffusion 276 coefficient (Table 1) and adjusting the thickness of the diffusion layer  $\delta_M$  for the respective 277 kinematic viscosity at each temperature (Table 1). Thus for purposes of calibration the 278 effective area, the limiting current (*i.e.* the plateau value of the SSCP curve) can be measured 279 at a given temperature and extrapolated to other temperatures of interest, providing that both 280 the kinematic viscosity and the diffusion coefficient are corrected for each temperature.

281 For the case of interest the influence of temperature in the potential shift variation,  $E_{1/2,M}$  is comprised in equation 10. Still the logarithmic nature of the equation and the number of 282 283 parameters involved imply that the estimated values are affected by a large error. Then again 284 the computation of  $E_{1/2,M}$  (eq. 17) needs an accurate determination of the mercury electrode volume, V, for which the reoxidation charge measure normally used is insufficiently precise. 285 Thus, for this type of electrode an absolute value of  $E^{0}_{M/M-Hg}$  is quite difficult to obtain with a 286 287 good accuracy, consequently it is necessary to calibrate the system for each individual 288 temperature.

289 *Thermodynamic parameters for the Cd(II)-nitrilotriacetate and Pb(II)-iminodiacetate systems* 290 In this section, we seek to evaluate the robustness of the stripping techniques for the 291 investigation of the temperature dependence of the metal stability constant in presence of 292 small ligands. In the first place, the K parameter describing metal complexation are 293 investigated in the temperature range of 15 to 40°C. Figure 2 depicts the evolution with 294 temperature of the stability constant (log K) of the cadmium complex species in presence of NTA. Values of K are calculated according to the equation (17) with  $\Delta E_{d,1/2}$  and  $\tau_{M+L}^*/\tau_M^*$ 295 determined from the analysis of the SSCP curves. On the basis of the van't Hoff relationship 296  $\left(\frac{\mathrm{dln}K}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{\Delta H^0}{RT^2}\right)$  with  $\Delta H^0$  being the standard enthalpy of reaction), the expected temperature 297 dependence of the stability constant is also reported. These data are obtained by using the 298 299 stability constant at 20°C (log K = 9.83 at 0.1M ionic strength) and the enthalpy of the formation of a 1:1 complex  $\Delta H^0$ =-3.97 kcal/mol collected from the literature. [23] The results 300 301 presented in figure 2, demonstrate that SSCP results are in good agreement with the 302 theoretical prediction. For Pb-IDA (not shown here), there is also a good consistency between 303 the experimental log K value of  $7.8\pm0.1$  at 20°C and the literature value of 7.45 (at 20°C, in 304 0.1M KNO<sub>3</sub>). [24] Nevertheless, we note that since the experimental error is of the same order 305 of magnitude as the expected variation of the log K in the range of temperature 15 to  $40^{\circ}$ C, 306 SSCP technique is not sufficiently precise to provide a proper evaluation of the temperature307 dependence of the stability constant.

308

309 Dynamic metal complexes: Cd(II)-nitrilotriacetate and Pb(II)-iminodiacetate

310 <u>Temperature effects on the lability criteria</u>

To tackle the temperature effect on the lability of a metal complex system, we will consider in this study the simple case of the metal binding with a small molecular ligand where  $D_{\rm M} \cong$  $D_{\rm ML}$ . In such situation, the metal complex formation is solely controlled by the chemical kinetics at the complexing sites.

The values of the lability degree  $\xi$  were experimentally determined (eq.18) for the Cd binding with NTA and the Pb binding with IDA (figure 3 (a) and (b)). As expected [25], the Cd-NTA system shows a non-labile behaviour considering the experimental degree of lability lower than 0.1 whereas the Pb-IDA complex displays a quasi-labile behaviour with  $\xi$  values ranging from 0.6 to 0.8. The figures reveal that, for both Cd and Pb species, the lability degree increases with temperature, particularly significant for Cd complexes with NTA from 15 to 40°C (3 fold increase).

At first this result might appear counterintuitive due to the increase in the stability constant, *K* with temperature *T* (see Figure 2) but then again the lability degree is a comparison between currents, i.e. fluxes, thus dependent also on the kinetic and transport properties, namely the thickness of the reaction layer, ( $\mu$ , experimental value from eq.16) and diffusion layer, ( $\delta_M$  obtained from eq.3 and 4). From the insets of Figure 3 it is evident that increasing the temperature provokes a significant increase of  $\delta_M$  of *ca*. 1500 nm, while simultaneously  $\mu$ becomes smaller due to the increase of the association rate constant (eq. 16).

From equation 14 it can be seen that a fully labile system occurs when  $\mu$  is much smaller than  $\delta_{M}$ . Therefore the kinetic and transport properties variation with temperature (decreasing  $\mu$ 

and increasing  $\delta_M$ ) clearly overcomes the effect of the increased stability constant.

page 15 of 26

#### 332 <u>Variation of *k*a</u> with temperature

333 The change of the association rate constant,  $\log k_a$  (computed using eq. 16 and the 334 experimental value of  $\mu$ ) with the temperature for the Cd-NTA and the Pb-IDA systems is 335 depicted in figure 4. For these type of ligands the exact determination of  $k_a$  is difficult due to 336 the influence of the protonated forms of the ligand in the overall metal complexation kinetics 337 as explained by Town et al.[26] The different possible reactive pathways imply that in our 338 study the estimated  $k_a$  is an effective rate constant weighted by the respective kinetic rate 339 formation of the various complex species. For the outer-sphere complex formation with the  $Cd^{2+}$  ions at pH 8, two possible routes can be considered with the formation of  $\{CdNTA\}^{-}$ 340 341 and {CdHNTA} species. Therefore, the experimental values should be compared to the 342 calculated association rate constant accounting for the dehydration rate constant  $k_w$  from [27] 343 and the parameter  $z_M z_L$  *i.e.* the product of the effective charge of the metal and that of the 344 ligand, equals to -6 or -4. For the same reasons, the theoretical association rate constant are 345 reported for the Pb-IDA system with  $z_M z_L$ =-4 and -2 corresponding to the formation of the outer-sphere complexes of {PbIDA} and {PbHIDA}<sup>+</sup>, respectively. For the two studied 346 347 systems, the experimental values of  $k_a$  at 298 K are comprised between the two theoretical 348 values, underlying the simultaneous formation of the deprotonated and protonated outer-349 sphere complexes. To understand the temperature dependence of the association constant 350 kinetics, it is necessary to account for the Eigen-Fuoss mechanism describing the metal complex formation. Considering that the stability constant of the outer-sphere complex  $K_{os}$  is 351 352 mainly dependent of the charge of the reacting species and the ionic strength of the medium, 353 this parameter is not significantly affected by the variation of temperature, at least for that 354 probed in our study. Roughly half of the experimentally observed increase of  $k_a$  results from 355 the changes in deprotonation ratio of the ligands ( $\alpha$ ) with temperature while the rest is likely 356 originated from the variation in the dehydration rate constant  $k_{\rm w}$ . However, to our best 357 knowledge, there are no literature reports on the  $k_w$  values as a function of the temperature. According to our results, we hypothesis that the observed increase in  $k_w$  *i.e.* the release of the water molecule from the inner coordination shell is facilitated at higher temperature.

Although the computation of the dissociation rate constant,  $k_d$ , is possible using the experimental *K*' and  $k_a$  nevertheless the error associated with the obtained values is too large to allow a meaningful discussion. We can observe that the parameter *K* increases less with temperature as compared with  $k_a$  indicating that  $k_d$  should also be increasing with temperature.

364

#### 365 Conclusions

The experimental methodology used for the SSCP calibrations was investigated and it was found that for thin mercury film electrodes, it is necessary to calibrate the system for each individual temperature due to the difficulties to predict the shift in the standard potential  $E^{0}_{M/M-Hg}$  caused by the temperature change.

This study demonstrates that increasing the temperature induces a significant increase of lability of the small metal complex species. This lability variation results from two concomitant processes: (i) the expansion of the thickness of the diffusion layer, due to the increase of diffusion coefficients and decrease of solution viscosity with temperature (ii) the decrease of the reaction layer thickness arising from the augmentation of the association rate constant.

With the small complexes studied here the association rate constant follows a simple Eigen mechanism, while complexation of metal with colloidal ligands generally results from the coupling of the diffusive transport of free-metal ions M and the kinetics of ML complex formation/dissociation within the reactive component of the particle. The kinetic and the mass transport contributions in the association step generally depend on the electrostatic potential, the size, the hydrodynamic and complexation properties of the colloidal dispersions.[28,29]

- 382 Therefore studying temperature effects on metal binding with colloidal ligands is an
- interesting challenge that might reveal quite a different behaviour compared to the small
- 384 complexes.
- 385

# 386 **References**

- 387 [1] P. Gründler, A. Kirbs, L. Dunsch, Modern Thermoelectrochemistry, ChemPhysChem. 10
  388 (2009) 1722–1746. doi:10.1002/cphc.200900254.
- 389 [2] G.G. Wildgoose, D. Giovanelli, N.S. Lawrence, R.G. Compton, High-Temperature
  390 Electrochemistry: A Review, Electroanalysis. 16 (2004) 421–433.
  391 doi:10.1002/elan.200302875.
- [3] C.S. Hassler, F.-E. Legiret, E.C.V. Butler, Measurement of iron chemical speciation in
   seawater at 4 °C: The use of competitive ligand exchange–adsorptive cathodic stripping
   voltammetry, Mar. Chem. 149 (2013) 63–73. doi:10.1016/j.marchem.2012.12.007.
- [4] M. Motornov, Y. Roiter, I. Tokarev, S. Minko, Stimuli-responsive nanoparticles, nanogels
  and capsules for integrated multifunctional intelligent systems, Prog. Polym. Sci. 35
  (2010) 174–211. doi:10.1016/j.progpolymsci.2009.10.004.
- J.P. Pinheiro, L. Moura, R. Fokkink, J.P.S. Farinha, Preparation and Characterization of
   Low Dispersity Anionic Multiresponsive Core–Shell Polymer Nanoparticles, Langmuir.
   28 (2012) 5802–5809. doi:10.1021/la2045477.
- 401 [6] H. van Leeuwen, R. Town, Stripping chronopotentiometry at scanned deposition potential
  402 (SSCP). Part 1. Fundamental features, J. Electroanal. Chem. 536 (2002) 129–140.
  403 doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(02)01212-3.
- 404 [7] R.M. Town, H.P. van Leeuwen, Stripping chronopotentiometry at scanned deposition
  405 potential (SSCP): Part 2. Determination of metal ion speciation parameters, J. Electroanal.
  406 Chem. 541 (2003) 51–65. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(02)01314-1.
- 407 [8] L.S. Rocha, J.P. Pinheiro, H.M. Carapuça, Evaluation of nanometer thick mercury film
  408 electrodes for stripping chronopotentiometry, J. Electroanal. Chem. 610 (2007) 37–45.
  409 doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2007.06.018.
- 410 [9] V.G. Levich, Physicochemical hydrodynamics., Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J.,
  411 1962.
- 412 [10] A. Einstein, Über die von der molekularkinetischen Theorie der Wärme geforderte
  413 Bewegung von in ruhenden Flüssigkeiten suspendierten Teilchen, Ann. Phys. 322 (1905)
  414 549–560. doi:10.1002/andp.19053220806.
- 415 [11] J. Kestin, M. Sokolov, W.A. Wakeham, Viscosity of liquid water in the range -8 °C to
   416 150 °C, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 7 (1978) 941–948. doi:10.1063/1.555581.
- 417 [12] G.J.F. Holman, C.A. ten Seldam, A Critical Evaluation of the Thermophysical
  418 Properties of Mercury, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data. 23 (1994) 807–827.
  419 doi:10.1063/1.555952.
- 420 [13] W.M. Haynes, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 95th Edition, CRC Press,
   421 2014.
- 422 [14] T. Mussini, P. Longhi, S. Rondinini, Standard potentials of amalgam electrodes in 423 aqueous solutions, temperature coefficients, and activity coefficients of metals in 424 mercury, Pure Appl. Chem. 57 (1985) 169–179.
- 425 [15] A.M. Mota, J.P. Pinheiro, M.L. Simões Gonçalves, Electrochemical Methods for
  426 Speciation of Trace Elements in Marine Waters. Dynamic Aspects, J. Phys. Chem. A. 116
  427 (2012) 6433–6442. doi:10.1021/jp2124636.

- 428 [16] J. Koutecký, J. Koryta, The general theory of polarographic kinetic currents,
  429 Electrochimica Acta. 3 (1961) 318–339. doi:10.1016/0013-4686(61)85008-1.
- 430 [17] H.P. van Leeuwen, R.M. Town, Stripping chronopotentiometry at scanned deposition
  431 potential (SSCP). Part 4. The kinetic current regime, J. Electroanal. Chem. 561 (2004)
- $\begin{array}{l} 431 \\ 432 \\ 432 \\ 67-74. \ doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2003.07.002. \end{array}$
- 433 [18] J. Heyrovský, J. Kůta, Principles of Polarography, Academic Press, 1966.
- 434 [19] J. Galceran, J. Puy, J. Salvador, J. Cecília, H.P. van Leeuwen, Voltammetric lability of
  435 metal complexes at spherical microelectrodes with various radii, J. Electroanal. Chem.
  436 505 (2001) 85–94. doi:10.1016/S0022-0728(01)00475-2.
- 437 [20] Monterroso S.C.C., Carapuca H.M., Simao J.E.J., Duarte A.C., Optimisation of
  438 mercury film deposition on glassy carbon electrodes: evaluation of the combined effects
  439 of pH, thiocyanate ion and deposition potential, Anal. Chim. Acta. 503 (2004) 203–212.
  440 doi:10.1016/j.aca.2003.10.034.
- 441 [21] E. Rotureau, Analysis of metal speciation dynamics in clay minerals dispersion by
  442 Stripping Chronopotentiometry techniques, Colloids Surf. Physicochem. Eng. Asp. (n.d.).
  443 doi:10.1016/j.colsurfa.2013.09.006.
- 444 [22] D. Goveia, J.P. Pinheiro, V. Milkova, A.H. Rosa, H.P. van Leeuwen, Dynamics and
  445 Heterogeneity of Pb(II) Binding by SiO2 Nanoparticles in an Aqueous Dispersion,
  446 Langmuir. 27 (2011) 7877–7883. doi:10.1021/la2008182.
- 447 [23] G. Anderegg, Critical survey of stability constants of NTA complexes, Pure Appl.
  448 Chem. 54 (1982). doi:10.1351/pac198254122693.
- 449 [24] G. Anderegg, Komplexone XXXVI. Reaktionsenthalpie und -entropie bei der Bildung
  450 der Metallkomplexe der höheren EDTA-Homologen, Helv. Chim. Acta. 47 (1964) 1801–
  451 1814. doi:10.1002/hlca.19640470716.
- 452 [25] J. Pinheiro, H. van Leeuwen, Scanned stripping chronopotentiometry of metal
  453 complexes: lability diagnosis and stability computation, J. Electroanal. Chem. 570
  454 (2004) 69–75. doi:10.1016/j.jelechem.2004.03.016.
- 455 [26] H.P. van Leeuwen, R.M. Town, J. Buffle, Impact of ligand protonation on eigen-type
  456 metal complexation kinetics in aqueous systems, J. Phys. Chem. A. 111 (2007) 2115–
  457 2121. doi:10.1021/jp0673009.
- 458 [27] F.M.M. Morel, J.G. Hering, Principles and Applications of Aquatic Chemistry, 1
   459 edition, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1993.
- 460 [28] J.F.L. Duval, J.P.S. Farinha, J.P. Pinheiro, Impact of Electrostatics on the
  461 Chemodynamics of Highly Charged Metal–Polymer Nanoparticle Complexes, Langmuir.
  462 29 (2013) 13821–13835. doi:10.1021/la403106m.
- 463 [29] H.P. van Leeuwen, J. Buffle, J.F.L. Duval, R.M. Town, Understanding the
- 464 Extraordinary Ionic Reactivity of Aqueous Nanoparticles, Langmuir. 29 (2013) 10297–
  465 10302. doi:10.1021/la401955x.
- 466 [30] S. Kariuki, H.D. Dewald, Evaluation of diffusion coefficients of metallic ions in aqueous solutions, Electroanalysis. 8 (1996) 307–313. doi:10.1002/elan.1140080402.
- 468 [31] L.S. Rocha, W.G. Botero, N.G. Alves, J.A. Moreira, A.M.R. da Costa, J.P. Pinheiro,
  469 Ligand size polydispersity effect on SSCP signal interpretation, Electrochimica Acta. 166
  470 (2015) 395–402. doi:10.1016/j.electacta.2015.03.035.
- 471
- 472 473

# 475 **Table**476

Temperature (°C)	15	20	25	30	35	40	47435	
_							478	
Absolute viscosity (µI	1138	1002	889	796	718	652	457995	
								480
	Cd	5.56	6.37	7.25 <sup>(a)</sup>	8.20	9.23	10.8	4819
Diffusion coefficient								482
$(10^{-9} \text{ x m}^{2}/\text{s})$	Pb	6.21	7.13	8.10 <sup>(b)</sup>	9.17	10.3	12.0	483 4843
(10 × 111 / 5)								485
								486

487 **Table 1:** Temperature dependence of the absolute viscosity of water (from Kestin *et al.* [11]) 488 and the diffusion coefficients for  $Cd^{2+}$  and  $Pb^{2+}$  ions as determined by eq. 4 using the 489 reference values (a) and (b) from [30] and [31], respectively.

#### 491 **Figure captions**

**Figure 1:** Calculated area of the thin film mercury electrode as a function of the temperature. Each area is determined from the plateau value of the SSCP wave for the Pb and Cd solutions and the diffusion coefficient of the metals (see eq. 2). The diffusion coefficients of the metal ions are summarized in the table 1. The inset shows examples of SSCP experimental points and simulated curves (plain lines) obtained for Pb solution (5x10<sup>-7</sup>M) and performed at different temperatures at pH=4 and an ionic strength of 100mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>.

**Figure 2:** Estimated  $\log K$  values corresponding to the formation of the complex Cd with NTA as a function of the temperature. The experimental values are compared to the van't Hoff equation. The total Cd concentration is  $2 \times 10^{-6}$ M and NTA is set to  $10^{-5}$ M, the ionic strength is fixed to 100 mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> and the pH to 8.

502 **Figure 3:** Variation of the experimental lability criteria  $\xi$  as a function of the temperature for 503 (a) Cd-NTA and (b) Pb-IDA systems. The ionic strength is fixed to 100mM NaNO<sub>3</sub> and the 504 pH to 8 for Cd and 6 for the Pb solutions. The inset shows the mean diffusion layer thickness 505  $\delta_{\rm M}$  (open squares) and the reaction layer  $\mu$  (black squares) for each temperature.

**Figure 4:** Experimental values of the association rate constant of the complex formation as a function of the temperature for (a) Cd-NTA and (b) Pb-IDA systems. For the Cd-NTA solution, the total Cd concentration is  $2x10^{-6}$ M and NTA is set to  $10^{-5}$ M, the ionic strength is fixed to 100mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, the pH to 8. For the Pb-IDA solution, the total Pb concentration is  $5x10^{-7}$ M and the IDA concentration is set to  $2.6 \times 10^{-3}$ M, the ionic strength is fixed to 100mM NaNO<sub>3</sub>, the pH to 6.

# 513 Figures



515

514

Figure 1



519



521 Figure 3 (a)



**Figure 3 (b)** 



