

# **General convolutions identities for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials**

Karl Ditcher, Christophe Vignat

# **To cite this version:**

Karl Ditcher, Christophe Vignat. General convolutions identities for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2016, 435 (2), pp.1478-1498.  $10.1016/j.jmaa.2015.11.006$ . hal-01248271

# **HAL Id: hal-01248271 <https://hal.science/hal-01248271>**

Submitted on 10 Apr 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# GENERAL CONVOLUTION IDENTITIES FOR BERNOULLI AND EULER POLYNOMIALS

KARL DILCHER AND CHRISTOPHE VIGNAT

Abstract. Using general identities for difference operators, as well as a technique of symbolic computation and tools from probability theory, we derive very general kth order  $(k \geq 2)$  convolution identities for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. This is achieved by use of an elementary result on uniformly distributed random variables. These identities depend on  $k$  positive real parameters, and as special cases we obtain numerous known and new identities for these polynomials. In particular we show that the well-known identities of Miki and Matiyasevich for Bernoulli numbers are special cases of the same general formula.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

The Bernoulli and Euler numbers and polynomials have been studied extensively over the last two centuries, both for their numerous important applications in number theory, combinatorics, numerical analysis and other areas of pure and applied mathematics, and for their rich structures as interesting objects in their own right. The Bernoulli numbers  $B_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , can be defined by the exponential generating function

<span id="page-1-0"></span>(1.1) 
$$
\frac{z}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z| < 2\pi).
$$

They are rational numbers, the first few being  $1, -\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, 0, -\frac{1}{30}, 0, \frac{1}{42}, \ldots$ , with  $B_{2k+1} = 0$  for  $k \ge 1$ . For the most important properties see, for instance, [\[1,](#page-19-0) Ch. 23] or its successor [\[19,](#page-20-0) Ch. 24]. Other good references are [\[11\]](#page-20-1), [\[14\]](#page-20-2), or [\[18\]](#page-20-3). For a general bibliography, see [\[5\]](#page-20-4).

Numerous linear and nonlinear recurrence relations for these numbers are known, and such relations also exist for the Bernoulli polynomials and for Euler numbers and polynomials which will be defined later. This paper deals with nonlinear recurrence relations, the prototype of which is Euler's well-known identity

<span id="page-1-1"></span>(1.2) 
$$
\sum_{j=0}^{n} {n \choose j} B_j B_{n-j} = -n B_{n-1} - (n-1) B_n \qquad (n \ge 1).
$$

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary: 11B68; Secondary: 60E05.

Key words and phrases. Bernoulli polynomials, Euler polynomials, Bernoulli numbers, Euler numbers, convolution identities.

The first author was supported in part by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada.

This can also be seen as a convolution identity. Two different types of convolution identities were discovered more recently, namely

<span id="page-2-0"></span>(1.3) 
$$
\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} \frac{B_j B_{n-j}}{j(n-j)} - \sum_{j=2}^{n-2} {n \choose j} \frac{B_j B_{n-j}}{j(n-j)} = 2H_n \frac{B_n}{n} \qquad (n \ge 4)
$$

by Miki [\[17\]](#page-20-5), where  $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}$  is the *n*th harmonic number, and

<span id="page-2-1"></span>
$$
(1.4) \qquad (n+2)\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} B_j B_{n-j} - 2\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} {n+2 \choose j} B_j B_{n-j} = n(n+1)B_n \qquad (n \ge 4)
$$

by Matiyasevich [\[16\]](#page-20-6); see also [\[2\]](#page-19-1) and the references therein. These two identities, which are remarkable in that they combine two different types of convolutions, were later extended to Bernoulli polynomials by Gessel [\[10\]](#page-20-7) and by Pan and Sun [\[20\]](#page-20-8), respectively. Gessel [\[10\]](#page-20-7) also extended [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) to third-order convolutions, i.e., sums of products of three Bernoulli numbers. Later Agoh [\[2\]](#page-19-1) found different and simpler proofs of the polynomial analogues of  $(1.3)$  and  $(1.4)$  and proved numerous other similar identities involving Bernoulli, Euler, and Genocchi numbers and polynomials. Subsequently Agoh and the first author [\[3\]](#page-19-2) extended the polynomial analogue of [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) to convolution identities of arbitrary order, and did the same for Euler polynomials. Meanwhile, following different lines of investigation, Dunne and Schubert [\[6\]](#page-20-9) derived an identity that has both [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) as special cases, and Chu [\[4\]](#page-20-10) obtained a large number of convolution identities, some of them extending [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1).

It is the purpose of this paper to contribute to the recent work summarized above and to further extend the identities [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0) and [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1) of Miki and Matiyasevich. In Section 2 we state a general result concerning second-order convolutions, and derive some consequences. In Section 3 we introduce a symbolic notation with a related calculus, and use it to state and prove a very general identity for Bernoulli polynomials. This is then used in Section 4, along with some methods from probability theory, to prove a general higher-order convolution identity which gives the main result of Section 2 as a special case. In Section 5 we apply most of the methods from Sections 3 and 4 to Euler polynomials and again obtain general higher-order convolution identities. Finally, in Section 6, we state and prove several further consequences of each of our main theorems. We conclude this paper with some further remarks in Section 7.

### <span id="page-2-4"></span><span id="page-2-2"></span>2. Identities for Bernoulli polynomials

The *Bernoulli polynomials* can be defined by

(2.1) 
$$
B_n(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} B_j x^{n-j},
$$

or equivalently by the generating function

(2.2) 
$$
\frac{ze^{xz}}{e^z - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z| < 2\pi).
$$

For the first few Bernoulli polynomials, see Table 1 in Section 5. They have the special values

<span id="page-2-3"></span>(2.3) 
$$
B_n(0) = B_n, \qquad B_n(\frac{1}{2}) = (2^{1-n} - 1)B_n, \qquad B_n(1) = (-1)^n B_n,
$$

 $(n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots)$ , where the first identity is immediate from comparing  $(2.2)$  with [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0), and the other two follow from easy manipulations of the generating function [\(2.2\)](#page-2-2). We also require the Pochhammer symbol (or rising factorial)  $(z)_k$ , defined for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$  and integers  $k \geq 0$  by

(2.4) 
$$
(z)_k = \frac{\Gamma(z+k)}{\Gamma(z)} = z(z+1)\dots(z+k-1),
$$

where the right-hand product is valid for  $k \geq 1$ .

<span id="page-3-1"></span>We are now ready to state our first main result, which will be proved later.

**Theorem 1.** For integers  $n \geq 1$  and real numbers  $a, b > 0$  we have

<span id="page-3-0"></span>(2.5) 
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} \frac{(a)_l (b)_{n-l}}{(a+b)_n} B_l(x) B_{n-l}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} \frac{a(b)_l + b(a)_l}{(a+b)_{l+1}} B_l B_{n-l}(x) + \frac{ab}{(a+b+1)(a+b)} n B_{n-1}(x).
$$

The remainder of this section will be devoted to deriving a number of consequences of this general identity. First, it is clear by [\(2.3\)](#page-2-3) that we get an analogous identity for Bernoulli numbers by simply deleting the variable x. The most immediate special case is obtained by setting  $a = b = 1$ . With  $(1)<sub>n</sub> = n!$  and  $(2)<sub>n</sub> = (n+1)!$ , some straightforward manipulations involving the binomial coefficients in [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) lead to the following identity, which was earlier obtained in [\[3\]](#page-19-2).

Corollary 1. For all  $n \geq 1$  we have

$$
(2.6) \quad (n+2)\sum_{l=0}^{n} B_l(x)B_{n-l}(x) = 2\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n+2 \choose l+2}B_lB_{n-l}(x) + {n+2 \choose 3}B_{n-1}(x).
$$

When  $x = 0$ , this identity becomes trivial for odd n since one of  $B_l, B_{n-l}$  will be zero except in the cases  $l = 1$  and  $l = n - 1$ . For even  $n \geq 4$ , however, we have the following identity.

**Corollary 2.** For all even  $n \geq 2$  we have

(2.7) 
$$
(n+2)\sum_{l=0}^{n}B_{l}B_{n-l}=2\sum_{l=0}^{n}\binom{n+2}{l+2}B_{l}B_{n-l}.
$$

This identity, although different in appearance, is equivalent to [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1). For our next corollary we need the *shifted harmonic numbers* which for real  $a > 0$  and integers  $n \geq 1$  are defined by

(2.8) 
$$
H_{a,n} := \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j+a}.
$$

Obviously,  $H_{1,n} = H_n$ . As we shall see, the following result can be considered as an infinite class of generalizations of Miki's identity [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0).

**Corollary 3.** For real  $a > 0$  and integers  $n \ge 1$  we have

<span id="page-3-2"></span>
$$
(2.9) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} {n \choose l} \frac{(a)_l (n-l-1)}{(a)_n} B_l(x) B_{n-l}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^n {n \choose l} \frac{a(l-1)! + (a)_l}{(a)_{l+1}} B_l B_{n-l}(x) + \frac{n}{a+1} B_{n-1}(x) + H_{a,n} B_n(x).
$$

*Proof.* The idea of proof is to divide both sides of  $(2.5)$  by b and then take the limit as  $b \to 0$ . On the left-hand side we have for  $0 \le l \le n - 1$ ,

$$
\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{(a)_l(b)_{n-l}}{(a+b)_n} = \frac{(a)_l(b+1)\dots(b+n-l-1)}{(a+b)_n} \to \frac{(a)_l(n-l-1)!}{(a)_n}
$$

as  $b \to 0$ , and on the right-hand side, for  $1 \leq l \leq n$ ,

$$
\frac{1}{b} \cdot \frac{a(b)_l + b(a)_l}{(a+b)_{l+1}} = \frac{a(b+1) \dots (b+l-1) + (a)_l}{(a+b)_{l+1}} \to \frac{a(l-1)! + (a)_l}{(a)_{l+1}}
$$

as  $b \to 0$ . To take care of the terms that were left out in the limits above, we note that the term for  $l = 0$  in the right-hand sum and the term for  $l = n$  in the left-hand sum of [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) combine to give

$$
\binom{n}{0} \frac{a+b}{a+b} B_n(x) - \frac{(a)_n}{(a+b)_n} B_n(x) = \frac{(a+b)_n - (a)_n}{(a+b)_n} B_n(x),
$$

and we have

$$
\lim_{b \to 0} \frac{(a+b)_n - (a)_n}{b(a+b)_n} = \frac{1}{(a)_n} \frac{d}{dx}(x)_n \bigg|_{x=a} = H_{a,n},
$$

where the second equation follows directly from applying the product rule repeatedly to the right-hand side of  $(2.4)$ . Putting everything together, we get  $(2.9)$ .  $\Box$ 

As illustrations of Corollary 3 we state the cases  $a = 1$  and  $a = 2$  separately.

**Corollary 4.** For integers  $n > 1$  we have

<span id="page-4-2"></span>
$$
(2.10) \qquad \frac{n}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_l(x)}{l} \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} = \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{n}{l} \frac{B_l}{l} B_{n-l}(x) + \frac{n}{2} B_{n-1}(x) + H_{n-1} B_n(x),
$$

<span id="page-4-0"></span>
$$
(2.11) (n+2) \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} (l+1)B_l(x) \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} = \sum_{l=1}^n {n+2 \choose l+2} (l^2+l+1) \frac{B_l}{l} B_{n-l}(x) + (n+1)(n+2) \left(\frac{n}{3} B_{n-1}(x) + H_{2,n} B_n(x)\right).
$$

*Proof.* After some easy manipulations,  $(2.9)$  with  $a = 1$  gives

<span id="page-4-1"></span>
$$
(2.12) \qquad \sum_{l=0}^{n-1} B_l(x) \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} = \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{n}{l} \frac{B_l}{l} B_{n-l}(x) + \frac{n}{2} B_{n-1}(x) + H_n B_n(x),
$$

and with  $a = 2$ , [\(2.9\)](#page-3-2) gives [\(2.11\)](#page-4-0). We now exploit the symmetry on the left-hand side of [\(2.12\)](#page-4-1) and rewrite the sum as

$$
\frac{B_n(x)}{n} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{1}{n-l} + \frac{1}{l} \right) B_l(x) B_{n-l}(x) = \frac{B_n(x)}{n} + \frac{n}{2} \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \frac{B_l(x)}{l} \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l}.
$$

Finally we subtract  $\frac{1}{n}B_n(x)$  from both sides of [\(2.12\)](#page-4-1) and note that  $H_nB_n(x)$  then becomes  $H_{n-1}B_n(x)$ .

Using a technique that involves generating functions for Stirling numbers and Nörlund polynomials, Gessel [\[10\]](#page-20-7) obtained [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2) as a polynomial analogue of Miki's identity [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0). When  $x = 0$ , then we also have symmetry in the sum on the right-hand side of [\(2.10\)](#page-4-2); we can therefore use again the identity  $1/(n - l) + 1/l =$  $n/l(n - l)$ , upon which we easily recover Miki's identity.

Some further consequences of Theorem 1 and Corollary 3 will be derived in the final section of this paper.

#### 3. Symbolic notation and general identities

1. The use of symbolic notation in dealing with Bernoulli numbers and polynomials goes back to J. Blissard in the 1860s. Subsequently it was used by many other authors, among them E. Lucas in the 1870s and 1880s. Later it was put on a ´ firm theoretical foundation as part of "the classical umbral calculus"; see, e.g., [\[9\]](#page-20-11) or [\[21\]](#page-20-12).

Here we propose and use a system of symbolic notation that is in some respects similar to the classical umbral calculus, but is different in that it is related to probability theory. Also, this system of notation is more specific to Bernoulli numbers and polynomials and (later in this paper) Euler numbers and polynomials.

The basis for our symbolic notation for Bernoulli numbers and polynomials are two symbols,  $\beta$  and  $\mathcal{U}$ , which are complementary to each other or, as we shall see, annihilate each other. First, we define the *Bernoulli symbol*  $\beta$  by

<span id="page-5-1"></span>
$$
(3.1) \t\t\t\t\t\mathcal{B}^n = B_n \t\t (n = 0, 1, \ldots)
$$

so that, for instance, [\(2.1\)](#page-2-4) can be rewritten as

<span id="page-5-5"></span>
$$
(3.2) \t\t Bn(x) = (x + \mathcal{B})n.
$$

Furthermore, with [\(1.1\)](#page-1-0) we have

<span id="page-5-2"></span>(3.3) 
$$
\exp(\mathcal{B}z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}^n \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{z}{e^z - 1}.
$$

We also require several independent Bernoulli symbols  $\mathcal{B}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_k$ . Independence means that if we have any two Bernoulli symbols, say  $\mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}_2$ , then

<span id="page-5-6"></span>(3.4) 
$$
\mathcal{B}_1^k \mathcal{B}_2^\ell = B_k B_\ell.
$$

Second, the uniform symbol  $U$  is defined by

<span id="page-5-0"></span>(3.5) 
$$
f(x + \mathcal{U}) = \int_0^1 f(x + u) du.
$$

Here and elsewhere we assume that  $f$  is an analytic function for which the objects in question exist. From [\(3.5\)](#page-5-0) we immediately obtain, in analogy to [\(3.1\)](#page-5-1),

(3.6) 
$$
\mathcal{U}^n = \frac{1}{n+1} \qquad (n = 0, 1, \ldots),
$$

and using this, we get

(3.7) 
$$
\exp(\mathcal{U}z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{U}^n \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{e^z - 1}{z}.
$$

From  $(3.3)$  and  $(3.7)$  we now deduce

<span id="page-5-4"></span><span id="page-5-3"></span>
$$
\exp(z(\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{U})) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\mathcal{B}+\mathcal{U})^n \frac{z^n}{n!} = 1,
$$

which means that B and U annihilate each other, i.e.,  $(\mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U})^n = 0$  for all  $n \neq 0$ , in the sense that

$$
(3.8) \t\t f(x + \mathcal{B} + \mathcal{U}) = f(x),
$$

or in other words, we have the equivalence

<span id="page-5-7"></span>(3.9) 
$$
f(x) = g(x + \mathcal{U}) \iff g(x) = f(x + \mathcal{B}).
$$

Finally, we note that [\(3.5\)](#page-5-0) immediately gives, for any  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

<span id="page-6-3"></span>(3.10) 
$$
uf'(x + u\mathcal{U}) = f(x + u) - f(x),
$$

a difference equation that will be used repeatedly.

2. It is well known that the Bernoulli polynomials are closely related to the calculus of finite differences; see, e.g., the classic books [\[14\]](#page-20-2) or [\[18\]](#page-20-3). It is therefore not surprising that methods from difference calculus turn out to be useful in the proofs of our main results. Let  $\Delta_u$  be the *forward difference operator* defined by

<span id="page-6-5"></span>(3.11) 
$$
\Delta_u f(x) = f(x+u) - f(x).
$$

With two (in general) distinct differences  $u_1, u_2$  we compute

$$
\Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} f(x) = (f(x + u_2 + u_1) - f(x + u_1)) - (f(x + u_2) - f(x))
$$
  
=  $(f(x + u_1 + u_2) - f(x)) - (f(x + u_1) - f(x)) - (f(x + u_2) - f(x))$   
=  $\Delta_{u_1 + u_2} f(x) - \Delta_{u_1} f(x) - \Delta_{u_2} f(x),$ 

which gives the operator identity

<span id="page-6-0"></span>(3.12) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1+u_2} = \Delta_{u_1}\Delta_{u_2} + \Delta_{u_1} + \Delta_{u_2}.
$$

Similarly, one obtains

<span id="page-6-1"></span>(3.13) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1+u_2+u_3} = \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} \Delta_{u_3} + \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_2} + \Delta_{u_1} \Delta_{u_3} + \Delta_{u_2} \Delta_{u_3} + \Delta_{u_1} + \Delta_{u_2} + \Delta_{u_3}.
$$

To generalize these identities, we use the following notation: For a fixed integer  $k \geq 1$  and for any subset  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , we denote

<span id="page-6-4"></span>(3.14) 
$$
\Delta_J := \prod_{j \in J} \Delta_{u_j},
$$

and we let  $|J|$  be the cardinality of J. We can now state and prove the following simple but important lemma.

**Lemma 1.** For any  $k \geq 1$  and for real numbers  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  we have

(3.15) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{|J|=j} \Delta_J.
$$

The case  $k = 1$  is trivial, and we immediately see that  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  give the identities [\(3.12\)](#page-6-0) and [\(3.13\)](#page-6-1), respectively.

*Proof of Lemma 1.* This result can be proved by induction on  $k$  in a straightforward way. Alternatively, and more formally, we can use the shift operator

<span id="page-6-2"></span>
$$
f(x+u) = e^{u\partial} f(x),
$$

with the differential operator  $\partial = \frac{d}{dx}$ . Then we have  $\Delta_u = e^{u\partial} - 1$ , and

$$
\Delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} = e^{(u_1 + \dots + u_k)\partial} - 1 = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{|J|=j} \prod_{\ell \in J} \left( e^{u_\ell \partial} - 1 \right),
$$

and the result follows.

3. We now apply results from the first two parts of this section to obtain a general identity for Bernoulli symbols, and thus for Bernoulli numbers and polynomials. In what follows, we assume that for a fixed integer  $k \geq 1, u_1, \ldots, u_k$  are real parameters. To simplify notations, we write, for a subset  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ ,

<span id="page-7-3"></span>(3.16) 
$$
u_J := \prod_{j \in J} u_j, \qquad (u\mathcal{B})_J := \sum_{j \in J} u_j \mathcal{B}_j, \qquad \overline{J} = \{1, \dots, k\} \setminus J.
$$

The following is, in fact, a restatement of an intermediate result in [\[3\]](#page-19-2).

**Lemma 2.** Let  $u_1 + \cdots + u_k = 1$ . Then we have (3.17) k

<span id="page-7-2"></span>
$$
\frac{1}{n!} (x + u_1 \mathcal{B}_1 + \dots + u_k \mathcal{B}_k)^n = \sum_{j=1}^{\kappa} \sum_{|J|=j} \frac{u_J}{(n+1-j)!} (x + \mathcal{B}_0 + (u \mathcal{B})_{\overline{J}})^{n-j+1},
$$

where  $\mathcal{B}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{B}_k$  are independent Bernoulli symbols.

Proof. We apply the operator identity [\(3.15\)](#page-6-2) to the function

$$
f(x) := \frac{1}{(n+1)!} (x + \mathcal{B}_0 + u_1 \mathcal{B}_1 + \dots + u_k \mathcal{B}_k)^{n+1}
$$

Then the left-hand side of [\(3.15\)](#page-6-2) gives, with [\(3.10\)](#page-6-3),

<span id="page-7-1"></span>(3.18) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} f(x) = \Delta_1 f(x) = f(x+1) - f(x)
$$

$$
= f'(x + \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{n!} (x + u_1 \mathcal{B}_1 + \dots + u_k \mathcal{B}_k)^n,
$$

where in the last step we used [\(3.8\)](#page-5-4), i.e.,  $\mathcal{B}_0$  is annihilated by  $\mathcal{U}$ . Similarly, we have for any  $i = 1, \ldots, k$ , again using  $(3.10)$ ,

<span id="page-7-0"></span>(3.19) 
$$
\Delta_{u_i} f(x) = f(x + u_i) - f(x) = u_i f'(x + u_i U)
$$

$$
= u_i \frac{1}{n!} (x + B_0 + (uB)_{\{1, ..., k\}\{i\}})^n,
$$

having used the fact that the uniform symbol  $\mathcal U$  annihilated the Bernoulli symbol  $\mathcal{B}_i$ ; note that the coefficients  $u_i$  have to match for the annihilation (i.e., identity [\(3.8\)](#page-5-4)) to apply. Using the definition [\(3.14\)](#page-6-4) and successively applying [\(3.19\)](#page-7-0), we get

$$
\Delta_J f(x) = \frac{u_J}{(n-j+1)!} (x + B_0 + (uB)_{\overline{J}})^{n+1-j}.
$$

.

Finally, applying  $(3.15)$  to this and to  $(3.18)$ , we immediately get  $(3.17)$ .

While the case  $k = 1$  is trivial, for  $k = 2$  and  $k = 3$  we get the following identities. **Examples.** For  $u_1 + u_2 = 1$ , we have

$$
(x+u_1B_1+u_2B_2)^n = u_1(x+B_0+u_2B_2)^n + u_2(x+B_0+u_1B_1)^n
$$
  
+  $u_1u_2n(x+B_0)^{n-1}$ ,

and for  $u_1 + u_2 + u_2 = 1$ ,

$$
(x+u_1B_1+u_2B_2+u_3B_3)^n = [u_1 (x+B_0+u_2B_2+u_3B_3)^n + o.t.]
$$
  
+ 
$$
[nu_1u_2 (x+B_0+u_3B_3)^{n-1} + o.t.] + n (n - 1) u_1u_2u_3 (x+B_0)^{n-2},
$$

where "o.t." in each of the first and second rows stands for the "other terms" obtained by cyclically permuting the subscripts  $\{1, 2, 3\}$ .

Remarks. (1) The left-hand side of [\(3.17\)](#page-7-2), and in fact also the terms on the righthand side, could be written as Bernoulli polynomials of higher order, as defined in identity (30) in [\[8,](#page-20-13) p. 39]. We will not pursue this further.

(2) It is clear from the proof of Lemma 2 that, more generally, for any analytic function f and  $u_1 + \cdots + u_k = 1$  we have

$$
f(x+u_1B_1+\cdots+u_kB_k)=\sum_{j=1}^n\sum_{|J|=j}u_{J}f^{(j-1)}(x+B_0+(uB)_{\overline{J}}),
$$

and in particular, for  $k = 2$  and  $u_1 + u_2 = 1$ ,

$$
f(x+u_1B_1+u_2B_2) = u_2f(x+B_0+u_1B_1) + u_1f(x+B_0+u_2B_2) +u_1u_2f'(x+B_0).
$$

The main results of this paper are based on Lemma 2 and an analogue for Euler polynomials, and will be obtained by considering the expectation when  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$ are taken to be certain random variables.

### 4. Generalization and proof of Theorem 1

1. In this section we prove a higher-order analogue of Theorem 1, of which the latter is an immediate consequence. The proof uses some probabilistic methods which will be summarized in a brief subsection.

**Theorem 2.** For integers  $k \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 0$  and for positive real parameters  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  we have

$$
\sum_{l_1+\dots+l_k=n} {n \choose l_1,\dots,l_k} \frac{(a_1)_{l_1}\dots(a_k)_{l_k}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_n} B_{l_1}(x)\dots B_{l_k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{|J|=j} \frac{a_J n!}{(n+1-j)!}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{\substack{l_0+l_1+\dots+l_{k-j} \\=n+1-j}} {n+1-j \choose l_0, l_1,\dots,l_{k-j}} \frac{(a_{i_{j+1}})_{l_1}\dots(a_{i_k})_{l_{k-j}}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_{n+1-l_0}} B_{l_0}(x) B_{l_1}\dots B_{l_{k-j}}.
$$

When  $k = 2$ , we immediately get Theorem 1. For  $k = 3$  and  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = 1$ we get, after some easy transformations and renaming the summation indices,

<span id="page-8-1"></span>(4.2) 
$$
(n+3) \sum_{i+j+l=n} B_i(x)B_j(x)B_l(x) = 3 \sum_{i+j+l=n} {n+3 \choose i} B_i(x)B_jB_l
$$

$$
+3 \sum_{i+j=n-1} {n+3 \choose i} B_i(x)B_j + {n+3 \choose 5} B_{n-2}(x),
$$

valid for  $n \geq 3$ ; this is Corollary 1 in [\[3\]](#page-19-2). Other special cases with  $k = 3$  will be considered later, in Section 6. For arbitrary  $k \geq 2$ , with  $a_1 = \cdots = a_k =$ 1, we recover Theorem 1 in [\[3\]](#page-19-2), which for  $x = 0$  gives a kth order analogue of Matiyasevich's identity [\(1.4\)](#page-2-1), namely

$$
\sum_{l_1+\dots+l_k=n} B_{l_1}\dots B_{l_k} = \frac{1}{n+k} \sum_{j=1}^k {k \choose j} \sum_{\substack{l_0+l_1+\dots+l_{k-j} \\ =n+1-j}} {n+k \choose l_0} B_{l_0} B_{l_1}\dots B_{l_{k-j}}.
$$

2. We now summarize some facts from probability theory that will be used in the proofs that follow. For the basics we refer the reader to any introductory text

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(4.1)

in probability theory, e.g., [\[7\]](#page-20-14) or [\[23\]](#page-20-15). For the interplay between probability theory and umbral calculus, see [\[22\]](#page-20-16).

We assume that  $X$  is a continuous random variable with probability density function  $f_X(x)$ , i.e.,

(4.3) 
$$
\Pr(X \le x) = \int_{-\infty}^{x} f_X(y) dy.
$$

Given a measurable function  $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  such that the image random variable  $g(X)$ is absolutely integrable, its expectation can be expressed as

(4.4) 
$$
\mathbb{E}g(X) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(y) f_X(y) dy.
$$

The main tool in this section is the use of random variables with a gamma distribution of "scale parameter" 1. We write such a random variable as  $X \sim \Gamma_a$ , with "shape parameter"  $a > 0$ , defined by the density function

(4.5) 
$$
f_X(x;a) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} x^{a-1} e^{-x} & \text{for } x \ge 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
$$

Then from the definition of the gamma function,

<span id="page-9-2"></span><span id="page-9-1"></span><span id="page-9-0"></span>
$$
\Gamma(s) = \int_0^\infty x^{s-1} e^{-x} dx,
$$

and with [\(4.4\)](#page-9-0) and [\(4.5\)](#page-9-1) we immediately get, for an integer  $n \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-9-5"></span>(4.6) 
$$
\mathbb{E}(\Gamma_a^n) = \int_0^\infty y^n \frac{1}{\Gamma(a)} y^{a-1} e^{-y} dy = \frac{\Gamma(a+n)}{\Gamma(a)} = (a)_n.
$$

An essential property of the gamma distribution is additivity, i.e., if  $\Gamma_{a_1}, \ldots, \Gamma_{a_k}$ are independent gamma distributed random variables, then

(4.7) 
$$
\Gamma_{a_1} + \cdots + \Gamma_{a_k} \sim \Gamma_{a_1 + \cdots + a_k},
$$

where the symbol ∼ indicates that the random variables on both sides have the same distribution. The relation [\(4.7\)](#page-9-2) follows from the fact that the density probability function for the sum of two independent random variables is the convolution of the individual ones; see, e.g., [\[23,](#page-20-15) p. 107].

The next important tool is the choice of random coefficients  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  such that  $(u_1, \ldots, u_k)$  follows a Dirichlet distribution with parameters  $(a_1, \ldots, a_k)$ . This is equivalent to choosing k independent gamma random variables  $\Gamma_{a_i}$ , each having shape parameter  $a_i$ , and to define

<span id="page-9-3"></span>(4.8) 
$$
u_i = \frac{\Gamma_{a_i}}{\Gamma_{a_1} + \dots + \Gamma_{a_k}}, \qquad 1 \le i \le k;
$$

note that  $u_1 + \cdots + u_k = 1$ . For Dirichlet distributions in general, see, e.g., [\[13,](#page-20-17) p. 231]. We now need an important property of gamma random variables, namely that  $\Gamma_a + \Gamma_b$  and  $\Gamma_a/(\Gamma_a + \Gamma_b)$  are independent when  $\Gamma_a$  and  $\Gamma_b$  are. In fact, this characterizes gamma random variables; see [\[15\]](#page-20-18). This is easily extended to the statement that

<span id="page-9-4"></span>(4.9) 
$$
\Gamma_{a_1} + \cdots + \Gamma_{a_k}
$$
 and  $\frac{\Gamma_{a_i}}{\Gamma_{a_1} + \cdots + \Gamma_{a_k}}$ ,  $1 \le i \le k$ ,

are independent. The importance of this lies in the fact that  $\mathbb{E}(XY) = \mathbb{E}(X)\mathbb{E}(Y)$ for independent random variables  $X$  and  $Y$ .

Combining all of the above, we first note that for any positive integers  $l_1, \ldots, l_k$ we have by  $(4.8)$ ,

<span id="page-10-0"></span>(4.10) 
$$
\mathbb{E}[(\Gamma_{a_1} + \dots + \Gamma_{a_k})^{l_1 + \dots + l_k} (u_1^{l_1} \dots u_k^{l_k})] = \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{a_1}^{l_1} \dots \Gamma_{a_k}^{l_k})
$$

$$
= \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{a_1}^{l_1}) \dots \mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{a_k}^{l_k}).
$$

On the other hand, using the independence of the terms in [\(4.9\)](#page-9-4), we see that the left-hand side of [\(4.10\)](#page-10-0) is equal to

<span id="page-10-1"></span>(4.11) 
$$
\mathbb{E}(\Gamma_{a_1+\cdots+a_k}^{l_1+\cdots+l_k})\mathbb{E}(u_1^{l_1}\ldots u_k^{l_k}),
$$

having also used  $(4.7)$ . Finally, applying  $(4.6)$  to the right-hand side of  $(4.10)$  and to [\(4.11\)](#page-10-1), we get

<span id="page-10-2"></span>(4.12) 
$$
\mathbb{E}(u_1^{l_1} \dots u_k^{l_k}) = \frac{(a_1)_{l_1} \dots (a_k)_{l_k}}{(a_1 + \dots + a_k)_{l_1 + \dots + l_k}}.
$$

This identity will be used repeatedly in what follows.

3. We are now ready to prove Theorem 2; as we shall see, much of the work was already done in obtaining the identities [\(3.17\)](#page-7-2) and [\(4.12\)](#page-10-2).

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We choose  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  as in [\(4.8\)](#page-9-3). Since  $u_1 + \cdots + u_k = 1$ , we can rewrite the nth power term on the left-hand side of [\(3.17\)](#page-7-2) as follows, and then apply a multinomial expansion, using [\(3.2\)](#page-5-5):

<span id="page-10-3"></span>(4.13) 
$$
(u_1(x + B_1) + \dots + u_k(x + B_k))^n
$$

$$
= \sum_{l_1 + \dots + l_k = n} {n \choose l_1, \dots, l_k} u_1^{l_1} \dots u_k^{l_k} B_{l_1}(x) \dots B_{l_k}(x).
$$

Similarly, we use multinomial expansions for the powers on the right of [\(3.17\)](#page-7-2), this time combining the terms  $x + B_0$  for the sake of applying [\(3.2\)](#page-5-5):

<span id="page-10-4"></span>
$$
(4.14) \quad (x + \mathcal{B}_0 + (u\mathcal{B})^{-}_{\mathcal{J}})^{n-j+1}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\substack{l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_{k-j} \\ = n+1-j}} {n+1-j \choose l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{k-j}} B_{l_0}(x) (u_{i_{j+1}} \mathcal{B}_{i_{j+1}})^{l_1} \dots (u_{i_k} \mathcal{B}_{i_k})^{l_{k-j}}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum_{\substack{l_0 + l_1 + \dots + l_{k-j} \\ = n+1-j}} {n+1-j \choose l_0, l_1, \dots, l_{k-j}} u_{i_{j+1}}^{l_1} \dots u_{i_k}^{l_{k-j}} B_{l_0}(x) B_{l_1} \dots B_{l_{k-j}},
$$

where we have also used [\(3.4\)](#page-5-6). All that remains to be done now is to compute the expectation on both sides of  $(3.17)$ , which mainly involves applying  $(4.12)$  to the right-hand sides of [\(4.13\)](#page-10-3) and [\(4.14\)](#page-10-4). In particular, keeping the first notation in [\(3.16\)](#page-7-3) in mind, we have

$$
\mathbb{E}(u_{J}u_{i_{j+1}}^{l_{1}}\ldots u_{i_{k}}^{l_{k-j}}) = \frac{(a_{i_{1}})_{1}\ldots(a_{i_{j}})_{1}(a_{i_{j+1}})_{l_{1}}\ldots(a_{i_{k}})_{l_{k-j}}}{(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k})_{n+1-l_{0}}}
$$

$$
= a_{J}\frac{(a_{i_{j+1}})_{l_{1}}\ldots(a_{i_{k}})_{l_{k-j}}}{(a_{1}+\cdots+a_{k})_{n+1-l_{0}}},
$$

where we have used the fact that  $(a)_1 = a$  and, in the denominator, that  $1 + \cdots$  $l_1 + \cdots + l_{k-j} = j + (n - j + 1) - l_0 = n + 1 - l_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

#### 5. Euler numbers and polynomials

The Euler numbers and polynomials are often considered in parallel with their Bernoulli analogues. Indeed, they are similar in various respects, including their importance in the classical calculus of finite differences (see, e.g., [\[14\]](#page-20-2) or [\[18\]](#page-20-3)). In this section we follow the outlines of the previous sections to derive analogous results for Euler polynomials and, to a lesser extent, Euler and Genocchi numbers.

1. The Euler numbers  $E_n$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$ , can be defined by

(5.1) 
$$
\frac{2}{e^z + e^{-z}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z| < \frac{\pi}{2}).
$$

The Euler numbers are all integers with  $E_n = 0$  when n is odd; the first few values are listed in Table 1. The Euler polynomials can be defined by

(5.2) 
$$
E_n(x) := \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} \frac{E_j}{2^j} (x - \frac{1}{2})^{n-j},
$$

or equivalently by the generating function

<span id="page-11-0"></span>(5.3) 
$$
\frac{2e^{xz}}{e^z + 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} \qquad (|z| < \pi).
$$

A key consequence of [\(5.3\)](#page-11-0) is the functional equation

<span id="page-11-1"></span>(5.4) 
$$
E_n(x) + E_n(x+1) = 2x^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots,
$$

which gives rise to numerous applications. One important difference to the Bernoulli case is the fact that  $E_n(0)$  is not the nth Euler number. The Genocchi numbers  $G_n$ , are often used instead; they are closely related to the Bernoulli numbers via

(5.5) 
$$
G_n := 2(1 - 2^n)B_n \qquad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).
$$

These numbers are all integers; the first few values are also listed in Table 1.

$\boldsymbol{n}$	$\bm{B}_{\bm{n}}$	$E_n\,$	$G_n$	$B_n(x)$	$E_n(x)$
$\overline{0}$			$\theta$		
	$-1/2$	$\theta$		$x-\frac{1}{2}$	$x - \frac{1}{2}$
$\overline{2}$	1/6			$x^2 - x + \frac{1}{6}$	$x^2-x$
3	$\theta$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{2}x$	$x^3 - \frac{3}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{4}$
$\overline{4}$	$-1/30$	5		$x^4 - 2x^3 + x^2 - \frac{1}{30}$	$x^4 - 2x^3 + x$
$\mathbf 5$	$\theta$	$\overline{0}$	$\boldsymbol{0}$	$x^5 - \frac{5}{2}x^4 + \frac{5}{3}x^3 - \frac{1}{6}x$	$x^5 - \frac{5}{2}x^4 + \frac{5}{2}x^2 - \frac{1}{2}$
6	'42		$-3$	$x^6 - 3x^5 + \frac{5}{2}x^4 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 + \frac{1}{42}$	$x^6 - 3x^5 + 5x^3 - 3x$

**Table 1:**  $B_n$ ,  $E_n$ ,  $G_n$ ,  $B_n(x)$  and  $E_n(x)$  for  $0 \le k \le 6$ .

<span id="page-11-2"></span>By elementary manipulations of the relevant generating functions, we get

(5.6) 
$$
E_n(0) = \frac{1}{n+1} G_{n+1}, \qquad E_n(\frac{1}{2}) = 2^{-n} E_n \qquad (n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots).
$$

The Euler polynomial analogue of Theorem 1 can now be stated as follows.

**Theorem 3.** For integers  $n \geq 1$  and real numbers  $a, b > 0$  we have

<span id="page-12-0"></span>(5.7) 
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} \frac{(a)_l(b)_{n-l}}{(a+b)_n} E_l(x) E_{n-l}(x) = \frac{4}{n+1} B_{n+1}(x) - \frac{2}{n+1} \sum_{l=0}^{n+1} {n+1 \choose l} \frac{(a)_l + (b)_l}{(a+b)_l} E_l(0) B_{n+1-l}(x)
$$

As in the case of Theorem 1, this result follows from a higher-order convolution identity that will be proved later. As a special case of  $(5.7)$ , for  $a = b = 1$ , we get the following Euler polynomial analogue of Matiyasevich's identity:

$$
(n+2)\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} E_l(x) E_{n-l}(x) = 4(n+2)B_{n+1}(x) - 4\sum_{l=0}^{n+1} {n+2 \choose l} B_l(x) E_{n+1-l}(0).
$$

This identity was earlier obtained as Corollary 2 in [\[3\]](#page-19-2).

2. As we develop a formalism parallel to that involving the Bernoulli symbol, we note that the analogue of  $B_n$  is  $E_n(0)$ . Thus, we define the *Euler symbol*  $\mathcal E$  by

(5.8) 
$$
\mathcal{E}^n = E_n(0), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,
$$

and elementary manipulation of the generating function [\(5.3\)](#page-11-0) gives

(5.9) 
$$
E_n(x) = (x + \mathcal{E})^n, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, ....
$$

The analogue to the uniform symbol  $U$  defined in Section 3 is the uniform discrete symbol  $V$  with generating function

(5.10) 
$$
e^{z\mathcal{V}} = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}e^{z},
$$

or equivalently defined by

<span id="page-12-5"></span><span id="page-12-2"></span><span id="page-12-1"></span>
$$
f(x + V) = \frac{f(x) + f(x + 1)}{2}
$$

for an analytic function  $f$ ; this is a discrete analogue of  $(3.5)$ . With a change of variable we have for any real  $u$ ,

(5.11) 
$$
f(x+u\nu) = \frac{1}{2}f(x) + \frac{1}{2}f(x+u),
$$

which is analogous to  $(3.10)$ , and which will be just as useful. Next, by multiplying  $(5.3)$ , setting  $x = 0$ , with  $(5.10)$ , we see that in analogy with  $(3.8)$  and  $(3.9)$  we have  $\mathcal{E} + \mathcal{V} = 0$  in the sense that

$$
(5.12) \t\t f(x+\mathcal{E}+\mathcal{V}) = f(x),
$$

or in other words,

(5.13) 
$$
f(x) = g(x + V) \iff g(x) = f(x + \mathcal{E}).
$$

3. The functional equations [\(5.4\)](#page-11-1) and [\(5.11\)](#page-12-2) give rise to the definition of the discrete forward difference operator  $\delta_u$  defined by

<span id="page-12-3"></span>(5.14) 
$$
\delta_u f(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(x + u)}{2}.
$$

Thus, in particular, we have  $\delta_1 E_n(x) = x^n$  and by [\(5.11\)](#page-12-2),

<span id="page-12-4"></span>(5.15) 
$$
\delta_u f(x) = f(x + u\mathcal{V}).
$$

In analogy to [\(3.12\)](#page-6-0) we now compute

$$
2\delta_{u_1}\delta_{u_2}f(x) = \frac{f(x) + f(x + u_2)}{2} + \frac{f(x + u_1) + f(x + u_2 + u_1)}{2}
$$
  
= 
$$
\frac{f(x) + f(x + u_1 + u_2)}{2} + \frac{f(x) + f(x + u_1)}{2}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{f(x) + f(x + u_2)}{2} - f(x),
$$

which gives the operator identity

<span id="page-13-0"></span>(5.16) 
$$
\delta_{u_1+u_2} = 2\delta_{u_1}\delta_{u_2} - \delta_{u_1} - \delta_{u_2} + 1.
$$

Similarly, one obtains

(5.17) 
$$
\delta_{u_1+u_2+u_3} = 4\delta_{u_1}\delta_{u_2}\delta_{u_3} - 2\delta_{u_1}\delta_{u_2} - 2\delta_{u_1}\delta_{u_3} - 2\delta_{u_2}\delta_{u_3} + \delta_{u_1} + \delta_{u_2} + \delta_{u_3}.
$$

In general, using the notation  $\delta_J$ , with the same meaning as in [\(3.14\)](#page-6-4), where again  $J \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}$ , we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.** For even  $k \geq 2$  we have

<span id="page-13-1"></span>(5.18) 
$$
\delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} = 1 - \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{|J|=j} (-2)^{j-1} \delta_J,
$$

and for odd  $k \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-13-6"></span>(5.19) 
$$
\delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} = \sum_{j=1}^k \sum_{|J|=j} (-2)^{j-1} \delta_J.
$$

These identities can be proved by straightforward induction, with [\(5.16\)](#page-13-0) as induction beginning. Using notation from [\(3.16\)](#page-7-3), we now obtain the following result.

**Lemma 4.** Let  $u_1 + \cdots + u_k = 1$ . Then for even  $k \geq 2$  we have

<span id="page-13-4"></span>(5.20) 
$$
(n+1) (x + u_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \dots + u_k \mathcal{E}_k)^n = \sum_{j=1}^k (-2)^j \sum_{|J|=j} (x + \mathcal{B} + (u\mathcal{E})_{\overline{J}})^{n+1},
$$

and for odd  $k \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-13-5"></span>(5.21) 
$$
(x+u_1\mathcal{E}_1+\cdots+u_k\mathcal{E}_k)^n=\sum_{j=1}^k(-2)^{j-1}\sum_{|J|=j}(x+\mathcal{E}_0+(u\mathcal{E})_{\overline{J}})^n,
$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_0, \ldots, \mathcal{E}_k$  are independent Euler symbols.

*Proof.* Comparing [\(5.14\)](#page-12-3) with [\(3.11\)](#page-6-5), we get the operator identity  $\Delta_u = 2\delta_u - 2$ , and thus for even  $k\geq 2$  we have with [\(5.18\)](#page-13-1),

(5.22) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} = \sum_{j=1}^k (-2)^j \sum_{|J|=j} \delta_{J}.
$$

Since  $u_1 + ... u_k = 1$ , we have by [\(3.18\)](#page-7-1),

(5.23) 
$$
\Delta_{u_1 + \dots + u_k} f(x) = f'(x + \mathcal{U}).
$$

Now let

<span id="page-13-3"></span><span id="page-13-2"></span>
$$
f(x) := (x + \mathcal{B} + u_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \cdots + u_k \mathcal{E}_k)^{n+1},
$$

and apply  $(5.22)$  to this function. On the left-hand side, using  $(5.23)$ , the symbols U and B cancel each other, and we get the left-hand side of  $(5.20)$ . To obtain the right-hand side, we first note that for any  $i = 1, \ldots, k$  we have by [\(5.15\)](#page-12-4),

(5.24) 
$$
\delta_{u_i} f(x) = (x + \mathcal{B} + (u\mathcal{E})_{\{1,\ldots,k\}\setminus\{i\}})^{n+1},
$$

having used the notation in [\(3.16\)](#page-7-3) and the fact that the symbols V and  $\mathcal{E}_i$  cancel each other. As in  $(3.19)$ , the coefficients  $u_i$  have to match for this cancellation to apply. Successively applying [\(5.24\)](#page-14-0) and using the notation [\(3.14\)](#page-6-4), we get

<span id="page-14-0"></span>
$$
\delta_J f(x) = (x + \mathcal{B} + (u\mathcal{E})_{\overline{J}})^{n+1}
$$

.

This, combined with [\(5.22\)](#page-13-2), completes the proof of [\(5.20\)](#page-13-4).

The proof of  $(5.21)$  is very similar: Instead of  $(5.22)$  use  $(5.19)$  and apply it to

$$
f(x) := (x + \mathcal{E}_0 + u_1 \mathcal{E}_1 + \cdots + u_k \mathcal{E}_k)^n.
$$

While the right-hand side is evaluated as before, for the left-hand side we use [\(5.15\)](#page-12-4) with  $u = 1$ .

4. We are now ready to state and prove the main result of this section.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $k \geq 0$  integers and  $a_1, \ldots, a_k$  positive parameters. Then for even  $k \geq 2$  we have

<span id="page-14-1"></span>
$$
(5.25) \sum_{l_1+\dots+l_k=n} {n \choose l_1,\dots,l_k} \frac{(a_1)_{l_1}\dots(a_k)_{l_k}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_n} E_{l_1}(x)\dots E_{l_k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(-2)^j}{n+1}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{|J|=j} \sum_{\substack{l_0+l_1+\dots+l_k=j\\l_{k-j}=n+1}} {n+1 \choose l_0,\dots,l_{k-j}} \frac{(a_{i_{j+1}})_{l_1}\dots(a_{i_k})_{l_{k-j}}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_{n+1-l_0}} B_{l_0}(x) E_{l_1}(0)\dots E_{l_{k-j}}(0),
$$

and for odd  $k \geq 1$ ,

<span id="page-14-2"></span>
$$
(5.26) \sum_{l_1+\dots+l_k=n} {n \choose l_1,\dots,l_k} \frac{(a_1)_{l_1}\dots(a_k)_{l_k}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_n} E_{l_1}(x)\dots E_{l_k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^k (-2)^{j-1}
$$

$$
\times \sum_{|J|=j} \sum_{\substack{l_0+l_1+\dots \\ l_{k-j}=n}} {n \choose l_0,\dots,l_{k-j}} \frac{(a_{i_{j+1}})_{l_1}\dots(a_{i_k})_{l_{k-j}}}{(a_1+\dots+a_k)_{n-l_0}} E_{l_0}(x) E_{l_1}(0)\dots E_{l_{k-j}}(0).
$$

For  $k = 2$ , the identity [\(5.25\)](#page-14-1) reduces to Theorem 3. In the special case  $a_1 = \cdots = a_k = 1$ , the identities [\(5.25\)](#page-14-1) and [\(5.26\)](#page-14-2) reduce to Theorems 2 and 3, respectively, in [\[3\]](#page-19-2). Other special cases can be found in Section 6.

Proof of Theorem 4. The proof is almost identical to that of Theorem 2: We expand the powers on both sides of [\(5.20\)](#page-13-4) and [\(5.21\)](#page-13-5) using the multinomial theorem, and then compute the expectation on both sides by way of  $(4.12)$ , having chosen  $u_1, \ldots, u_k$  as in [\(4.8\)](#page-9-3).

The left-hand sides of [\(5.25\)](#page-14-1) and [\(5.26\)](#page-14-2) are obtained just as in the expansion [\(4.13\)](#page-10-3), with Euler instead of Bernoulli symbols and polynomials, and having used  $(5.9)$  in place of  $(3.2)$ . The right-hand sides are expanded as in  $(4.14)$ , with the appropriate exponent and with "Bernoulli" replaced by "Euler" where appropriate. Applying  $(4.12)$  then completes the proofs of both identities.

# 6. Some further identities

In this final section we state and prove some further consequences of our main results from Sections 2, 4 and 5, respectively.

6.1. Consequences of Theorem 1. In addition to the two identities in Corollary 4, we can obtain one more consequence of Corollary 3 by multiplying both sides of [\(2.9\)](#page-3-2) by a and then taking the limit as  $a \to \infty$ . Then all the terms in the sum on the left disappear, with the exception of the  $l = n - 1$  term. On the right, the fraction in the sum tends to 1 for  $l \geq 2$ , and to 2 for  $l = 1$ . Putting everything together, we get the following consequence.

**Corollary 5.** For integers  $n \geq 1$  we have

(6.1) 
$$
\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} B_{l} B_{n-l}(x) = n(x-1)B_{n-1}(x) - (n-1)B_{n}(x).
$$

For  $x = 0$  this is Euler's identity  $(1.2)$ , but it is also a special case of identity (5.11.2) in [\[12\]](#page-20-19).

We can obtain even more consequences from Theorem 1 by setting  $a = b = \varepsilon$ and then taking the limit as  $\varepsilon \to \infty$ , or by considering the terms in [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) as power series in  $\varepsilon$ . We begin with the first case.

Corollary 6. For integers  $n \geq 1$  we have

<span id="page-15-1"></span>
$$
(6.2)\ \ \sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} \frac{1}{2^{l}} B_{l} B_{n-l}(x) = \frac{n}{2^{n}} (2x-1) B_{n-1}(2x) - \frac{n-1}{2^{n}} B_{n}(2x) - \frac{n}{4} B_{n-1}(x).
$$

*Proof.* With  $a = b = \varepsilon$ , the following limits are obvious:

$$
\lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{(\varepsilon)_l(\varepsilon)_{n-l}}{(2\varepsilon)_n} = \frac{1}{2^n}, \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{2\varepsilon(\varepsilon)_l}{(2\varepsilon)_{l+1}} = \frac{1}{2^l}, \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon \to \infty} \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(2\varepsilon+1)(2\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{4}.
$$

Hence we have

<span id="page-15-0"></span>(6.3) 
$$
\frac{1}{2^n} \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} B_l(x) B_{n-l}(x) = \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} \frac{1}{2^l} B_l B_{n-l}(x) + \frac{n}{4} B_{n-1}(x).
$$

The sum on the left has a well-known evaluation (see  $(50.11.2)$  in  $[12]$ ):

$$
\sum_{l=0}^{n} {n \choose l} B_l(x) B_{n-l}(x) = n(2x-1)B_{n-1}(2x) - (n-1)B_n(2x).
$$

This, with [\(6.3\)](#page-15-0), immediately gives [\(6.2\)](#page-15-1).  $\square$ 

We note that  $(6.3)$  can also be obtained as a special case of identity  $(6.1)$  in [\[3\]](#page-19-2). For the next statement, again using  $a = b = \varepsilon$ , we need the second-order harmonic numbers, defined by  $H_0^{(2)} := 0$  and

$$
H_n^{(2)} := \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{1}{j^2} \qquad (n \ge 1).
$$

We can now prove the following result.

Corollary 7. For integers  $n \geq 1$  we have

<span id="page-16-1"></span>(6.4) 
$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (H_{n-1} - H_{l-1}) \frac{B_l(x)}{l} \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} = \sum_{l=1}^{n} {n \choose l} \left( H_l + \frac{1}{l} \right) \frac{B_l}{l} B_{n-l}(x) + n B_{n-1}(x) + \frac{1}{2} \left( H_{n-1}^2 + 3 H_{n-1}^{(2)} \right) B_n(x).
$$

*Proof.* Setting  $a = b = \varepsilon$  in [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) and dividing both sides by  $\varepsilon$ , we have to expand the following terms. First, for  $1 \leq l \leq n-1$  we have

<span id="page-16-0"></span>(6.5)

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{(a)_l(b)_{n-l}}{(a+b)_n} = \frac{(\varepsilon+1)\dots(\varepsilon+l-1)(\varepsilon+1)\dots(\varepsilon+n-l-1)}{2(2\varepsilon+1)\dots(2\varepsilon+n-1)}
$$

$$
= \frac{(l-1)!(n-l-1)!}{2(n-1)!} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{j}\right)^n \prod_{j=1}^{n-l-1} \left(1+\frac{\varepsilon}{j}\right) \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1+\frac{2\varepsilon}{j}\right)^{-1}
$$

$$
= \frac{(l-1)!(n-l-1)!}{2(n-1)!} \left(1+(H_{l-1}+H_{n-l-1}-2H_{n-1})\varepsilon+O(\varepsilon^2)\right).
$$

Next, for  $l\geq 1$  we get

<span id="page-16-2"></span>(6.6) 
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{a(b)_l + b(a)_l}{(a+b)_{l+1}} = \frac{(\varepsilon + 1) \dots (\varepsilon + l - 1)}{(2\varepsilon + 1) \dots (2\varepsilon + l)} = \frac{1}{l} \left( 1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{l} \right)^{-1} \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \frac{1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{j}}{1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{j}}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{l} \left( 1 - \frac{2\varepsilon}{l} + \dots \right) \prod_{j=1}^{l-1} \left( 1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{j} + \dots \right)
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{l} \left( 1 - \left( H_l + \frac{1}{l} \right) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2) \right),
$$

where we have used the fact that  $H_{l-1} + 2/l = H_l + 1/l$  . Next, we have

(6.7) 
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \frac{ab}{(a+b+1)(a+b)} = \frac{1}{2(1+2\varepsilon)} = \frac{1}{2} (1 - 2\varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2)).
$$

Finally, we collect on the right the terms left out in the two sums, namely

$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{(a)_n}{(a+b)_n} \right) B_n(x).
$$

We expand

$$
2\frac{(a)_n}{(a+b)_n} = \frac{2(\varepsilon)_n}{(2\varepsilon)_n} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon+j}{2\varepsilon+j}
$$
  
= 
$$
\prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{j}\right) \left(1 + \frac{2\varepsilon}{j}\right)^{-1} = \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \left(1 - \frac{\varepsilon}{j} + \frac{2\varepsilon^2}{j^2} + \dots\right)
$$
  
= 
$$
1 - H_{n-1}\varepsilon + \left(2H_{n-1}^{(2)} + \sum_{1 \le j < k \le n-1} \frac{1}{jk}\right) \varepsilon^2 + O(\varepsilon^3).
$$

Now the double sum in the last term can clearly be written as  $(H_{n-1}^2 - H_{n-1}^{(2)})/2$ , and thus

<span id="page-17-0"></span>(6.8) 
$$
\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left( 1 - 2 \frac{(a)_n}{(a+b)_n} \right) = H_{n-1} - \left( \frac{3}{2} H_{n-1}^{(2)} + \frac{1}{2} H_{n-1}^2 \right) \varepsilon + O(\varepsilon^2).
$$

If we substitute [\(6.5\)](#page-16-0)–[\(6.8\)](#page-17-0) into [\(2.5\)](#page-3-0) and let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ , we recover the polynomial analogue of Miki's identity. Finally, if we equate the coefficients of  $\varepsilon$ , we immediately get [\(6.4\)](#page-16-1) after multiplying both sides by  $-1$  and exploiting symmetry in [\(6.5\)](#page-16-0). □

6.2. Consequences of Theorem 2. We restrict our attention to the case  $k = 3$ and  $a_1 = a_2 = a_3 = \varepsilon$ . Furthermore, to avoid double indices, we set  $i = l_1$ ,  $j = l_2$ ,  $l = l_3$  on the left, and  $i = l_0$ ,  $j = l_1$ ,  $l = l_2$  on the right of [\(4.1\)](#page-8-0). Then we get, after dividing by n!,

<span id="page-17-1"></span>(6.9) 
$$
\sum_{i+j+l=n} \frac{(\varepsilon)_i(\varepsilon)_j(\varepsilon)_l}{(3\varepsilon)_n} \frac{B_i(x)}{i!} \frac{B_j(x)}{j!} \frac{B_l(x)}{l!} = 3 \sum_{i+j+l=n} \frac{\varepsilon(\varepsilon)_j(\varepsilon)_l}{(3\varepsilon)_{j+l+1}} \frac{B_i(x)}{i!} \frac{B_j}{j!} \frac{B_l(x)}{l!} + 3 \sum_{i+j=n-1} \frac{\varepsilon^2(\varepsilon)_j}{(3\varepsilon)_{j+2}} \frac{B_i(x)}{i!} \frac{B_j}{j!} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{(3\varepsilon)_3} \frac{B_{n-2}(x)}{(n-2)!},
$$

valid for all  $n \geq 2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . This will be the basis for the various results in this subsection, and also immediately gives [\(4.2\)](#page-8-1).

For a first easy consequence we let  $\varepsilon \to \infty$  on both sides of [\(6.9\)](#page-17-1). Then the limit of the four fractions involving  $\varepsilon$  are easily seen to be  $3^{-n}$ ,  $3^{-j-l-1}$ ,  $3^{-j-2}$ , and  $3^{-3}$ , respectively. Thus, after multiplying both sides by  $3<sup>n</sup>n!$ , we immediately get the following result.

**Corollary 8.** For integers  $n \geq 2$  we have

(6.10) 
$$
\sum_{i+j+l=n} {n \choose i,j,l} B_i(x) B_j(x) B_l(x) = \sum_{i+j+l=n} {n \choose i,j,l} 3^i B_i(x) B_j B_l
$$

$$
+ n \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose i} 3^i B_i(x) B_{n-1-i} + n(n-1) 3^{n-3} B_{n-2}(x).
$$

For the next consequence of  $(6.9)$  we set  $x = 0$ , for greater simplicity of the statement. The proof is tedious, and we leave the details to the interested reader.

**Corollary 9.** For integers  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$
\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{i+j+l=n \ i,j,l \ge 1}} \frac{B_i}{i} \frac{B_j}{j} \frac{B_l}{l} = \sum_{\substack{i+j+l=n \ i,j,l \ge 1}} {n-1 \choose i-1} \frac{B_i}{i} \frac{B_j}{j} \frac{B_l}{l} + \sum_{l=1}^{n-2} {n-1 \choose l+1} \frac{B_l}{l} \frac{B_{n-l-1}}{n-l-1}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (3H_{n-1} - 2H_{l-1} + \frac{1}{n}) \frac{B_l}{l} \frac{B_{n-l}}{n-l} - 2 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {n-1 \choose l-1} (2H_l + \frac{1}{l}) \frac{B_l}{l} \frac{B_{n-l}}{n-l}
$$
  
+ 
$$
\frac{n-1}{6} B_{n-2} + \left( \frac{1}{(n-1)n} - 3 \right) B_{n-1} - 2 \left( \frac{2}{n} H_{n-1} + H_{n-1}^2 + 2H_{n-1}^{(2)} + \frac{3}{n^2} \right) \frac{B_n}{n}
$$

This can be seen as a third-order analogue of Miki's identity. Note the difference in complexity between this result and the third-order analogue of Matiyasevich's identity given in  $(4.2)$ . See also  $[3, (6.5)]$  for a third-order "Miki analogue" for Bernoulli polynomials. To prove Corollary 9, one can use a similar method as in the proof of Corollary 7, and proceed as follows:

.

- Collect the "edge" and "corner" terms in the sums of [\(6.9\)](#page-17-1).
- Divide both sides of [\(6.9\)](#page-17-1) by  $\varepsilon^2$ .
- Expand the various fractions involving  $\varepsilon$  in a similar way as in [\(6.5\)](#page-16-0) and [\(6.6\)](#page-16-2).
- Equate the constant terms (i.e., let  $\varepsilon \to 0$ ) to obtain a first identity.
- Equate the coefficients of  $\varepsilon$  to obtain a second identity.

Interestingly, in this case the first identity turns out to be equivalent to [\(1.3\)](#page-2-0), Miki's original identity. The second one is Corollary 9.

6.3. Consequences of Theorems 3 and 4. Given the similarities between Theorems 1 and 3, it is clear that Euler analogues of Corollaries 2–7 could easily be derived; recall that an analogue of Corollary 1 is already stated following Theorem 3. Here we restrict ourselves to only a few more consequences; we also skip the proofs which are again similar to the proof of Corollary 7.

Corollary 10. For integers  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n-2} \frac{E_l(x)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l-1}(x)}{n-l-1} = 4 \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} {n-2 \choose l-1} H_{l-1} \frac{B_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} \frac{E_l(0)}{l} + 2H_{n-2} \frac{E_{n-1}(x)}{n-1} + 4\frac{H_{n-1}}{n-1} \frac{E_n(0)}{n},
$$

and for  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (H_{n-1} - H_{l-1}) \frac{E_l(x)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} = \frac{1}{2} \left( H_{n-1}^2 + 3H_{n-1}^{(2)} \right) \frac{E_n(x)}{n} + \sum_{l=1}^n {n-1 \choose l-1} \left( H_{l-1}^2 + 3H_{l-1}^{(2)} \right) \frac{B_{n+1-l}(x)}{n+1-l} \frac{E_l(0)}{l} + \frac{1}{n} \left( H_n^2 + 3H_n^{(2)} \right) \frac{E_{n+1}(0)}{n+1}.
$$

Finally, to obtain third-order analogues of Miki's identity, we start with [\(5.26\)](#page-14-2) for  $k = 3$  and follow the outline described after Corollary 9. This leads to the following identities.

**Corollary 11.** For integers  $n \geq 2$  we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left( \frac{E_l(x)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} - \frac{E_l(0)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l}(0)}{n-l} \right)
$$
  
= 
$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j+l=n \ i,j,l \ge 1}} {n-1 \choose i} E_i(x) \frac{E_j(0)}{j} \frac{E_l(0)}{l} + 2H_{n-1} \frac{E_n(x)}{n},
$$

and

$$
\frac{1}{3} \sum_{\substack{i+j+l=n \ i,j,l \ge 1}} \frac{E_i(x)}{i} \frac{E_j(x)}{j} \frac{E_l(x)}{l} = -2 \left( H_{n-1}^2 + 2H_{n-1}^{(2)} \right) \frac{E_n(x)}{n} \n+ \sum_{\substack{i+j+l=n \ i,j,l \ge 1}} \binom{n-1}{i} \left( H_{j-1} + H_{l-1} - 3H_{j+l-1} \right) E_i(x) \frac{E_j(0)}{j} \frac{E_l(0)}{l} \n+ \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} \left( 3H_{n-1} - H_{l-1} - H_{n-l-1} \right) \left( \frac{E_l(x)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l}(x)}{n-l} - \frac{E_l(0)}{l} \frac{E_{n-l}(0)}{n-l} \right).
$$

Using the special values [\(5.6\)](#page-11-2), numerous identities involving Genocchi and/or Euler numbers could also be obtained.

## 7. Final remarks

1. By choosing different values of the parameters in Theorems 1–4, many more identities for Bernoulli and Euler numbers and polynomials could be obtained, some relatively simple, and others of increasing complexity. We have shown in this paper that the original identities of Miki and Matiyasevich and their various extensions are special cases of very general class of identities.

2. This is not the first common extension of the identities of Miki and Matiyasevich. In fact, using generating functions, Dunne and Schubert [\[6\]](#page-20-9) recently proved the following result.

**Theorem 5** (Dunne and Schubert). For any integer  $n \geq 2$  and real  $p \geq 0$  we have

<span id="page-19-3"></span>
$$
(7.1) \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l)_p (2n-2l)_p \frac{B_{2l}}{2l} \frac{B_{2n-2l}}{2n-2l} = 2B_{2n} \frac{\Gamma(2n+2p)}{(2n)!} \sum_{l=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+l)\Gamma(p+1)}{\Gamma(2p+l+1)} + \frac{2\Gamma(p+1)}{(2n)!} \sum_{l=1}^{n} {2n \choose 2l} \frac{\Gamma(p+2l)\Gamma(2p+2n)}{\Gamma(2p+2l+1)} B_{2l} B_{2n-2l}.
$$

The case  $p = 0$  gives Miki's identity, while for  $p = 1$  we get

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{n} B_{2l} B_{2n-2l} = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{l=1}^{n} {2n+2 \choose 2l+2} B_{2l} B_{2n-2l} + 2n B_{2n},
$$

which is equivalent to Matiyasevich's identity.

The identity [\(7.1\)](#page-19-3) actually follows from Theorem 1 if we take  $a = b = p$  and  $x = 0$ , then replace n by 2n and extract the end terms in the sums. After some manipulations we then get

<span id="page-19-4"></span>
$$
(7.2) \quad \sum_{l=1}^{n-1} (2l)_p (2n-2l)_p \frac{B_{2l}}{2l} \frac{B_{2n-2l}}{2n-2l} = \frac{\Gamma^2(p)}{(2n)!} ((2p)_{2n} - 2(p)_{2n}) + \frac{2\Gamma(p+1)}{(2n)!} \sum_{l=1}^n {2n \choose 2l} \frac{\Gamma(p+2l)\Gamma(2p+2n)}{\Gamma(2p+2l+1)} B_{2l} B_{2n-2l}.
$$

Comparing [\(7.1\)](#page-19-3) with [\(7.2\)](#page-19-4) shows that after some simplification we have

$$
\sum_{l=1}^{2n-1} \frac{\Gamma(p+l)}{\Gamma(2p+l+1)} = \frac{\Gamma(p)}{\Gamma(2p+1)} - \frac{\Gamma(p+2n)}{p\Gamma(2p+2n)}.
$$

This last identity can be proved independently, for instance by manipulating the integral representation of Euler's beta function.

#### **REFERENCES**

- <span id="page-19-0"></span>[1] M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, Handbook of Mathematical Functions, National Bureau of Standards, 1964.
- <span id="page-19-1"></span>[2] T. Agoh, Convolution identities for Bernoulli and Genocchi polynomials. Electron. J. Combin. 21 (2014), #P1.65, 14pp.
- <span id="page-19-2"></span>[3] T. Agoh and K. Dilcher, Higher-order convolutions for Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 419 (2014), no. 2, 1235–1247.
- <span id="page-20-10"></span><span id="page-20-4"></span>[4] W. Chu, Reciprocal formulae for convolutions of Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. Rend. Mat. Appl. (7) **32** (2012), no. 1-2, 17-73.
- [5] K. Dilcher, L. Skula, and I. Sh. Slavutskii, Bernoulli Numbers. Bibliography (1713-1990), Queen's Papers in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 87, Queen's University, Kingston, Ont., 1991. Updated on-line version: <http://www.mathstat.dal.ca/~dilcher/bernoulli.html>.
- <span id="page-20-9"></span>[6] G. V. Dunne and C. Schubert, Bernoulli number identities from quantum field theory and topological string theory. Commun. Number Theory Phys. 7 (2013), no. 2, 225–249.
- <span id="page-20-14"></span>[7] R. Durrett, Probability: Theory and Examples, Wadsworth & Brooks/Cole, Pacific Grove, Ca, 1991.
- <span id="page-20-13"></span>[8] A. Erdélyi, W. Magnus, F. Oberhettinger, and F. G. Tricomi, *Higher transcendental func*tions, Vol. I. Based, in part, on notes left by Harry Bateman. McGraw-Hill Book Company, Inc., New York-Toronto-London, 1953.
- <span id="page-20-11"></span><span id="page-20-7"></span>[9] I. M. Gessel, Applications of the classical umbral calculus. Algebra Universalis 49 (2003), 397–434.
- <span id="page-20-1"></span>[10] I. M. Gessel, On Miki's identity for Bernoulli numbers. J. Number Theory 110 (2005), 75–82.
- [11] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, Concrete Mathematics, Addison-Wesley Publ. Co., Reading, MA, 1989.
- <span id="page-20-19"></span>[12] E. R. Hansen, A Table of Series and Products, Prentice-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ, 1975.
- <span id="page-20-17"></span>[13] N. L. Johnson and S. Kotz, Distributions in Statistics: Continuous Multivariate Distributions, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1972.
- <span id="page-20-18"></span><span id="page-20-2"></span>[14] C. Jordan, *Calculus of finite differences*, 2nd ed., Chelsea Publ. Co., New York, 1950.
- <span id="page-20-6"></span>[15] E. Lukacs, A characterization of the gamma distribution. Ann. Math. Statist. 26 (1955), 319–324.
- [16] Yu. Matiyasevich, Identities with Bernoulli numbers. <http://logic.pdmi.ras.ru/~yumat/personaljournal/identitybernoulli/bernulli.htm>
- <span id="page-20-5"></span><span id="page-20-3"></span>[17] H. Miki, A relation between Bernoulli numbers. J. Number Theory 10 (1978), no. 3, 297–302.
- <span id="page-20-0"></span>[18] N. E. Nörlund, Vorlesungen über Differenzenrechnung, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1924.
- [19] F. W. J. Olver et al. (eds.), NIST Handbook of Mathematical Functions, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2010. Online companion: <http://dlmf.nist.gov/>.
- <span id="page-20-8"></span>[20] H. Pan and Z.-W. Sun, New identities involving Bernoulli and Euler polynomials. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 113 (2006), no. 1, 156–175.
- <span id="page-20-12"></span>[21] G.-C. Rota and B. D. Taylor, The classical umbral calculus. SIAM J. Math. Anal. 25 (1994), no. 2, 694–711.
- <span id="page-20-16"></span>[22] P. Sun and T. M. Wang, A probabilistic interpretation to umbral calculus. J. Math. Res. Exposition 24 (2004), no. 3, 391–399.
- <span id="page-20-15"></span>[23] J. B. Walsh, Knowing the Odds. An Introduction to Probability, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2012.

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Dalhousie University, Halifax, Nova Scotia, B3H 4R2, Canada

E-mail address: dilcher@mathstat.dal.ca

Department of Mathematics, Tulane University, New Orleans, LA 70118 E-mail address: cvignat@tulane.edu