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## PREFACE

I composed Before Babel to fill a hole that persists after two centuries of dry academic work on Proto-Indo-European. It seems a shame that nobody has composed a sample of reconstructed text with any literary merit. Schleicher's fable is clever, but his outdated theories of the proto-language were comically Indo-centric, and his little fable does not do justice to the poetic power of the language.

I looked no further than Genesis and Psalms to find suitable texts. I hope you find my light-hearted "translations" of the tales more substantial than Schleicher's fablet, and more authentic than Dṇghū's shameless calques on Latin models. They prove the point that the proto-language, though poor in abstraction, lent itself to narration and poetry.

I also take the opportunity to promote the unprovable theory that the tale of the Flood alludes to a conflict between ethnic groups that worshiped different gods, and that the name Noah (נוח) derives from Indo-European *Nah²u. Might Cain (קין), Abel (הבל), and Eve (חוה) also have Indo-European origins?

The tales themselves use symbols that are not characteristically Semitic. Sacred trees and evil serpents are staples of Indo-European myth. Could the tales be Hebrew retellings of IndoEuropean fables?

In fond tribute to the web site Early Indo-European Online, created by the Linguistics Research Center of the University of Texas, I have structured my materials in similar fashion. Besides the annotated tales, the reader will find a précis of my grammatical conventions and a downloadable mini-lexicon, confined to well-attested roots.

Before the reader has a chance to sniff out my distaste for the too-fashionable and over-developed theory of laryngeals, I will freely confess it. Too many different phenomena have been explained by resort to laryngeals, and these theoretical explanations defy rigorous statistical validation via inter-branch correlations. I minimize the use of obscure notation, and will further vent my opinions in the notes on orthographic conventions.

Readers will find the syntax somewhat unorthodox. I was obliged to reinvent complex constructions by imitating models from recorded languages, and to violate canonical SOV word order at every turn. I invite the readers to do better, in the knowledge that some of you will succeed.

## Bertrand C Barrois

Falls Church, Virginia, USA
E-mail: BBarrois@verizon.net


In the beginning, God created the Heavens and the Earth.
protemō $\mathbf{q}^{\text {woret }}$ Deivós nebhesa dheĝhom(m)-qwe

- pro-tem- $\bar{o}=$ first - inst-sg of superlative used as adverb. (Superlative suffixes include *temo, mo, isto, istimo.)
- $q^{w}$ or-et $=$ he made -- weak-grade aorist from root * $q^{w} e r>$ Sanskrit akarat
- deivós = god, divine -- nom-sg, derivative of root *dyeu (sky)
- nebh-es-a = heavens, clouds -- acc-pl of abstract neuter *nebh-ës
- The suffix of abstract neuters alternates between ${ }^{\circ} o s$ in strong (NAV) cases and ${ }^{2} e s$ in weak cases, yielding genus/genera $=\gamma \varepsilon v o \varsigma / \gamma \varepsilon v \varepsilon(\sigma) \alpha=$ janas/janasi, etc.
- dheĝhom $(\mathrm{m})=$ Earth -- acc-sg $(\mathrm{F})>\hat{g} d h o m(m)>$ Greek $\chi \theta o v \alpha$, Sanskrit $k \check{s} a ̄ m$, Latin humus, Slavic zeme. The root is reduced to $\hat{g} h . m$ in weak cases, hence Vedic $j m$-.

The Earth was unformed and void,
dheĝhōm ne-dhiçtá vana-au bhevet

- dheĝhōm / dheĝhom-s $=$ the Earth -- nom-sg, feminine as if personified. The nom-sg ending on $\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{R} / \mathrm{L} / \mathrm{A}-$ stems is routinely suppressed, but compensatory lengthening takes place.
- dhiç-ta / diĝ-dha = formed-- nom-sg (F), past passive participle of root *dhi(n) $\hat{g} h>$ Latin ficta. (This verb literally means to shape dough, or to build with mortar, but figurative derivatives include German dichten, to compose poetry.)
- vana / euna = empty
- $\mathrm{au}=$ but, whereas-- enclitic conjunction used to express opposition or contrast between simple or strictly parallel elements
- bhev-et = it was -- imperfect of *bheu, connoting temporary state
and upon the depths was darkness,
bhudhnoisu-qwe temos bhevet
- bhudh-noisu $=$ bottom, fundus, $\pi v \theta \mu \eta v--$ loc-pl > Sanskrit budhnesu
- tem-os = darkness -- nom-sg of abstract neuter *tem-ës
- reg $^{\mathrm{w}}$-os $=$ darkness, $\varepsilon \rho \varepsilon \beta$ os -- yet another abstract neuter
and the spirit was passing over the waters.


## ut' an.mós udéni upéri peret

- ute $=$ and then, and so -- conjunction used to join complex clauses
- an(?)mos / an(?)los = anima, $\alpha v \varepsilon \mu o \varsigma, ~ a n i l a m, ~ a n a ̄ l ~(O l d ~ I r i s h) ~$
- Synonym: ātman, $\alpha \tau \mu o \varsigma, \bar{e} b m<{ }^{2} a t$
- Synonym: $\pi v \varepsilon \nu \mu \alpha$ < *pneus
- udén-i = water -- loc-sg of R/N-stem root * vëd-r/n
- upéri = over, super -- comparative particle opposed to *upo
- per-et / pi-per-t = it was passing -- imperfect of root *per

And now God said, "Let there be light," and (so) there was light. nūn-qwe voqwet Deivós -- bhevoit leuks ute bhuvet leuks

- nūn = now
- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}=$ and -- enclitic conjunction used in simple lists
- $\quad$ voqw-et $=$ he said -- weak-grade aorist of root *veq
- bhev-oi-t = let there be -- optative of root *bheu
- leuks $=$ light - nom-sg, feminine as if personified

And he saw that the light was good,
videt- $q^{\text {we }} \mathbf{q}^{\text {wod }}$ kalya

- $\quad$ vid-et $=$ he saw -- weak-grade aorist of root * veid
- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{od}=$ that - subordinating conjunction
- kalya $=$ good, beautiful -- nom-sg $(\mathrm{F})>$ Greek $\kappa \alpha \lambda \lambda \eta$
and he separated the light from the darkness.
skidet-q"e leuk.m apo temesës
- $\quad$ skid-et $=$ he separated - weak-grade aorist of root ${ }^{*} s k i(n) d$
- $\quad$ skeid-s $(t)=$ he separated -- strong-grade S-aorist of same root
- Synonym: *çrei $(n)=$ separate, sieve $>\kappa \rho \bar{\imath} v \omega$, cerno, crībrum, hridder. Easily confused with antonymns: $\kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha \nu v v \mu l$, śrayati $=$ mix
- apo temes-ës = from the darkness -- Sanskrit fails to distinguish abl-sg from gen-sg for athematic nouns, but the particle serves to clarify the usage.

The light he called day, but the darkness he called night.
Hnomnyet-qwe leuk.m dinom temos-au nokt.m

- Hnomn-y-et = named -- factitive-denominative verb
- dino-m = day -- modeled on Sanskrit dinas, Russian день. May connote division. (Synonyms derive from *dyu, aus, dheghh, with reference to sky or burning heat.)
- nokt-m = night -- acc-sg, O-grade of root in NAV cases, E-grade in "weak" cases. (Hittite nekut suggests *neqt, but this should yield $v \varepsilon \underline{\pi} \tau$ vice $v v \underline{\kappa} \tau$ in Greek. )

And there was evening, and there was morning, a first day.

## vesqweros ausos-qwe bhuvetëm-- dinos p.r.mos

- vesqweros / vesperos = evening
- aus-os = dawn, eos, aurora -- nom-sg of abstract neuter *aus-ës
- bhuv-etëm = they were -- 3rd-dual of weak-grade aorist
(Exact form of secondary ending is uncertain: tem, tom, tām.)
- p.r.mos $=$ first

Now God said, "Let there be a firmament amid the waters, nūn-q"e voqwet -- bhevoit açmōn udéni medhyöi

- aç-mōn = firmament -- Means sky in Indo-Iranian, but stone, anvil elsewhere.
- medhyoi $/$ medhyei $=$ amid - loc-sg of adjective $>$ Sanskrit madhye
to stand between the waters above and the waters below."


## staHtévei inter vedōr uperi vedōr-q ${ }^{\text {we }} \mathbf{e ~ u p o ~}$

- staH-tév-ei $=$ in order to stand -- dative of supine $>$ Latin statu
- inter $=$ between - particle employed when a mere locative won't suffice
- vedōr = waters -- acc-sg (N). According to one currently fashionable theory, *vedōr connotes waters collectively, *vod.r the substance.

And it was so. And God called the firmament heavens.

## bhuvet -- ute açmon(m) Hnomnyet nebhesa

- The concept of a stable foundation could be conveyed by *stabhos, which yields Germanic stab and Sanskrit stambhati, and is related to $* s t a H=$ stand.

And there was evening, and there was morning, a second day. vesq ${ }^{\text {weros ausos-q }}{ }^{\text {we bhuvetëm -- dinos anteros }}$

- an-ter-os / al-ter-os $=$ another, second -- serves as ordinal of $* d v o$

And God said, "Let the waters come together, and let the dry land appear."
nūn- $\mathbf{q}^{\text {we }} \mathbf{v o q}^{\text {wet }}$-- son-g"emoit vedōr ute bhanyoit tersa

- son / som = together -- particle used here as prefix

- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ en-y-oi-t = let it come -- optative > Latin veniat, Greek $\beta \alpha \iota v o r$
- bhan-y-oi-t = let it appear -- optative of thematic root *bhan-yë
- bhaH-yē-t = let it appear -- optative of athematic root *bhaH
- These two roots have been confused. In Greek, $\varphi \alpha l v=$ appear, $\varphi \eta=$ announce; but in Sanskrit vice-versa. Latin $f \bar{a}=$ announce, as in Greek; whereas Germanic bann < banja = announce, as in Sanskrit.
- tersa = dry land, terra -- nom-sg, feminine as if personified

And he called the dry land earth, but the waters around seas.
Hnomnyet-qwe t.r.sam dheĝhōm(m) vedōr-au ambhi moria

- ambhi $=$ around - particle
- moria $/$ maria $=$ seas, maria -- nom-pl $(\mathrm{N})$
(Ambiguous back vowel: Latin mar, Gaelic muir < *mor)
And he saw that they were good.
videt-que $q^{\text {wod }}$ kalya
- kalya = good, beautiful -- nom-pl $(\mathrm{N})$ to agree with *moria

Then God said, "Let the dry land bear green plants, and grains, and fruits."
ute voqwet -- bheroit t.r.sa bholya ĝ.rH.na karpa-qwe

- $\quad$ bher-oi-t $=$ let it bear -- optative $>$ Latin ferat
- bhol-ya / dhal-ya $=$ folia, $\varphi v \lambda \lambda \alpha, \theta \alpha \lambda \lambda \alpha--\operatorname{acc}-\mathrm{pl}(\mathrm{N})$
- g.r.r.na = grāna, corn, зерно
- karpa $=$ fruits, harvest

And it was so, and he saw that they were good.
bhuvet ute vevoide $q^{\text {wod }}$ kalya
And there was evening, and there was morning, a third day.
vesq ${ }^{\text {w }}$ eros ausos-qwe bhuvetëm -- dinos treitos

- trei-tos $=$ third - ordinal of $*$ treis

Then God said, "Let there be two great lights in the heavens ute voqwet -- bhevoitëm meg.lō leukē nebhessu

- meg.lō = great -- nom-dual of *meg.lo-
- leuk $\bar{e}=$ lights -- nom-dual of *leuk-
- nebh-es-su $=$ in the heavens -- loc-pl of abstract neuter *nebh-ës
to set apart the day from the night, sonter-dheHtévei dinom nektét
- dheH-tév-ei = in order to put -- verbal noun from root *dheH
- son-ter $=$ apart - comparative particle opposed to *son / som
- apo nektës = from the night -- substitute for abl-sg
(Current theory predicts E-grade of root in the "weak" cases.)
to measure the days and months and years, meHtévei dinons meHnesa samróns-qwe
- meH-tév-ei $=$ in order to measure, from root *meH
- meHn-es-a = months, moons -- acc-pl of abstract neuter, from a related root
- sam-rons = summers -- acc-pl
and he put stars into the heavens to light the earth
Hster.ns-qwe dheHt en nebhesa luktévei dheĝhom(m)
- Hster.ns / Hsternons / Hsterlons = stars, stella, aster -- acc-pl
- dheH-t = he put, he did -- athematic aorist
- luk-tév-ei = in order to light -- with weak-grade of root

And there was evening, and there was morning, a fourth day.
vesq"eros ausos-q${ }^{\text {we }}$ bhuvetëm -- dinos $q^{\text {weturtos }}$

- $q^{\text {wetur-tos }}=$ fourth -- ordinal of $* q^{w} e t v o ̄ r$

Then God said, "Let the waters generate living things,
nūn-qwe voqwet -- ĝneHskoit vedōr gweivons

- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ eivons $=$ alive -- acc-pl of adjective
- gneH-sk-oi-t = let it generate -- optative of an inchoative-iterative verb form, modeled on Latin root gnasc. The basic root is simply $* \hat{g} e n$.
and let birds fly in the heavens."
petoiënt-qwe Hvéyes nebhessu
- pet-oi-ënt $=$ let them fly --3 rd-pl optative $>$ Latin petant
- Hveyes $=$ birds - nom-pl of *Hveis $>$ Vedic ves, Latin avis

And he made great serpents and fish and filled the water,


- $\quad \mathrm{og}^{w h i n s ~} /$ ong $^{w} h i n s=$ serpents, ophidians -- acc-pl
- peiskons $=$ fishes - attested only in Latin \& Germanic

- em-p.lH.-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ filled - S-aorist, modeled on Greek $\varepsilon v-\varepsilon-\pi \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon$
and he made birds and flies and wasps and filled the air,
$q^{w}$ oret-qwe Hvéins mūskans-qwe vespans-qwe em-p.lH.s(t)-qwe an.mom
- mūskans = flies, midges -- acc-pl (F)
- vespans / vopsans = wasps (F)
and he made and beasts and reptiles and filled the earth.

- ĝhvēr.ns / gwhēr.ns = wild beasts, ferus, $\theta \eta \rho$, звьрь -- acc-pl
- $\quad$ serpont.ns $=$ reptiles - acc-pl of present active participle

And there was evening, and there was morning, a fifth day.
vesq"eros ausos-qwe bhuvetëm -- dinos penqwetos

- penqwe-tos $=$ fifth - ordinal of $* p^{\text {pen }}{ }^{w} e$

Then God said, "Let the earth generate living things:
nūn-q"e voqwet -- ĝneHskoit dheĝhōm gweivons
wild beasts and cattle and crawling things.
ĝhvēr.ns peçuns-qwe (s)negons-qwe

- peçuns = cattle -- acc-pl (M/F)
- (s)negons $=$ snakes, nagas -- acc-pl

And he saw that they were good.
videt- $q^{\text {we }} \mathbf{q}^{\text {wod }}$ kalyoes/oi

- kalyoes $/$ kalyoi $=$ good, beautiful, -- nom-pl $(M)$

Then God said, "Let us make a man in our likeness,


- $\quad q^{\text {wer }}$-oi-më $(\mathrm{n})=$ let us make -- 1st-pl optative
- vīrom / virom = man -- specifically male
- som-lom = similar -- acc-sg, adjective derived from *som (together)
- n.s-bhos $=$ to us > Latin nōbis
so that he might rule the birds, the cattle, the wild beasts, and the crawling things." ute reĝoit Hvéins peçuns-qwe ĝhvēr.ns serpont.ns-qwe
- reĝ-oi-t = let him rule

And then he made humans like unto himself, men and women, ute (d) ĝh.m.n.ns svoei som.lons $q^{w}$ oret -- vīróns $\mathbf{g}^{w} \cdot n a n s-q^{w} \mathbf{e}$

- (d)ĝh.m.n.ns = homines -- acc-pl, derived from *dheĝhom
(Skeleton root gets vocalized as homines, gumans, žmones, doīni)
- $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$.nans $=$ women $-\mathrm{acc}-\mathrm{pl}$ of A-stem feminine

And he said to them, "Go and multiply,
voq ${ }^{\text {w }}$ et-q"e - ite augsete-qwe

- ite = go -- 2-pl imperative
- augs-ete / aug-éy-ete = wax, augment -- The latter is properly causative.
and rule the fish of the sea, and the birds of the air, and the beasts of the earth.
reĝete-qwe peisk.ns moréisyo avéins-qwe an.mosyo ĝhvēr.ns-qwe (d)ĝhmosyo
- The pseudo-adjectival genitives ending in *syo are non-restrictive in this context, hence follow the nouns that they modify. Restrictive modifiers normally precede.

Behold, I have given you green plants and seeds and fruit as food."
veidete -- yusbhos dhalya seHmena-qwe karpa-qwe svō paHtum dedoHa

- yusbhos / vosbhos = to you -- dat-pl of personal pronoun
- seH-men- $\mathrm{a}=$ seeds - acc- $\mathrm{pl}(\mathrm{N})$ of verbal noun from root $*$ seH (to sow)
- $s v o \overline{/ s v e i}=$ so, as -- cf. Old Latin seī, Oscan $s v a e$, Gothic $s w o \bar{o}$, Greek ` $\omega \varsigma$
- paH-tum / paH-dhrom / paH-dhlom = food, fodder -- acc-sg of supine of root *paH > Sanskrit pitum, Latin panem, pābulum
- Synonym: $g^{w}$ roHm.n $=\beta \rho \omega \mu \alpha$, grāmen, "voramen"
- de-doH-Ha = I have given -- 1-sg perfect from root *doH. The perfect expresses completed action that bears upon the present, unlike the aorist of narration.

And there was evening, and there was morning, a sixth day.
vesqweros ausos-qwe bhuvetëm -- dinos sekstos

- seks-tos $=$ sixth - ordinal of *seks

And thus the heavens and the earth were finished.
ute kom-q ${ }^{\text {w}}$.r.toes/oi bhuvont nebhesa dheĝhōm-qwe

- The prefix *kom emphasizes the perfective aspect of the verb. Perfective prefixes are rare in Greek and Sanskrit, but common in all other branches.
- $q^{w}$.r.-toes $/ q^{w} \cdot r$. -toi $=$ made - nom- pl of perfect passive participle from root $* q^{w} e r$

But on the seventh day, there was an end of the works and God rested, alyo sept.mom dinom verĝo(n)om antom est ute çoieto Deivós

- sept.m-os $=$ seventh - ordinal of *sept.m
- verĝo(n)om = of the works -- O-stem gen-pl ending varies: $\bar{o} m / \bar{o} n \bar{m} / \bar{o} s o m$
- çoi-eto = lay down -- weak-grade middle aorist from root *çei
- logh-eto = lay down -- Middle voice serves to distinguish lie/lay from lay/layed.

And he said, "Let the seventh day be holy."
eti voq ${ }^{\text {wet }}$-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$-- sept.mos dinos yaĝyos syēt

- eti = and also -- used interchangeably with *ute
- yaĝyos = holy, worthy of worship > Greek ` $\alpha \gamma l o \varsigma$
- s-yē-t = let it be -- athematic optative from root *es, connoting permanent state


God had made the heavens and the earth,

## Deivós $q^{w}{ }^{\text {eq}}{ }^{\text {worere nebhesa dheĝhom(m)-qwe }}$

- $q^{\text {we }}-q^{w}$ or-e $=$ he had made - - 3 -sg perfect used in anterior sense
but no trees had grown,
n' avaugér alyo dréves
- dréves $=$ trees $(\mathrm{F})--$ nom-pl of root $*$ d.r.v
- $\quad$ doru $=\operatorname{wood}(\mathrm{N})--$ from same root
- av-aug-ér = they had grown -- 3-pl perfect from root *aug
and no rain clouds had watered the ground, n' udvodér-qwe ombhroes/oi dheĝhom(m)
- ud-vod-ér $=$ they had watered --3-pl perfect from root *ved
- ombhroes / ombhroi = clouds -- related to root *nebh
there was no tiller of the fields, $n^{\prime}$ ' est-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ aratōr aĝro(n)om
- es-t = there was -- imperfect of root *es. Present tense would be *es-ti.
- ara-tōr $=$ tiller, arator -- nom-sg, from root * HerH (plough, oar)
- aĝro(n)om = of the fields
but now a mist came and watered the ground.
ute nūn miĝhla gwomet udet-qwe dheĝhom(m)
- $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ om-et $=$ it came -- weak-grade aorist > Sanskrit agamat, Greek $\varepsilon \beta \eta$
- miĝhla $=$ mist -- nom-sg from root *mi(n)gh, connoting both urination and rain
- ud-et = watered -- weak-grade aorist from root *ved $/ u(n) d$

God formed a man from clay and blew into his nostrils,
dhiĝhet Deivós vīróm gloioet bhloHt vīróei en mukter.ns

- dhiĝh-et = formed -- weak-grade aorist from root *dhi(n) $\hat{g} h$
- dheik-s(t) -- alternative strong-grade S -aorist > Latin finxit
- gloioet = from clay -- abl-sg
- bhloH-t = he blew, inflated -- athematic aorist from root *bhoH
- vīróei = to the man -- dat-sg
- muk-ter.ns = nostrils - acc-pl of agent-noun *muktēr from root $* m u(n) g$ (The verb ordinarily connotes release of breath, but here the intake.)
and blessed him, and the man came alive.
bhlaĝhs(t)-q"e vīróm ute gweiv.s(t) vīrós
- bhlaĝh-s $(\mathrm{t})=\mathrm{S}$-aorist of root *bhlagh or blagh
- $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{eiv}-\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})=$ came alive -- strong-grade S -aorist modeled upon ajīvišīt

And God planted a garden in[to] the east, and there he put the man
ghordhom dheHt Deivós en austrom toter-qwe vīróm stelyet

- ghordom = garden, hortus
- aus-trom = east -- acc-sg, related to *aus-ës (dawn) from root *aus (burn). Opposed to * vesqwero / vespero / vestero (evening, west).
- to-ter $=$ thither (dynamic)
- tosmi $=$ there (static)
- stel-y-et = installed -- Imperfect -- Factitive verb *stel-yë has no distinct aorist. Yields Greek $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \varepsilon$, with altered meaning.

And from the ground he made to grow
trees pleasant to the eye and fruits sweet to the tongue,
augéyet ( $\mathbf{d}$ ) ĝhomoet druns svāduns oqwoei karpa-qwe svaduá denĝhévei

- aug-éy-et = made to grow -- Causative verb *aug-éyë has no distinct aorist.
- $\quad(\mathrm{d})$ ĝhomoet $=$ from the ground, humus
- druns = trees -- acc-pl
- svāduns = sweet, pleasant -- acc-pl of U-stem adjective
- denĝhév-ei / linĝó-ei = to the tongue, licker -- dat-sg
and put a giver-of-life tree into the middle of the garden,
eti dreum- $\boldsymbol{q}^{\mathbf{w}}{ }^{\text {e }}$ ayu-doHtōr.m medhyöi en ghordhom dheHt
- ayu / aiv = life, health
- doH-tōr = giver -- acc-sg of agent-noun
and a tree giver-of-vision
dreum- ${ }^{\text {we }}{ }^{\text {e visti-doHtōr.m }}$
- vis-ti / vid-ti = vision -- combining form of I-stem verbal noun
- ĝnoH-ti = knowledge -- combining form of I-stem verbal noun
- There is no known semantic distinction between I-stem and U-stem variants. The I-stem yields Greek nouns in $\sigma \iota \varsigma<\tau \iota \varsigma$, and Latin nouns with extended stem tion. The U-stem yields Latin and Sanskrit supines, as well as some Germanic words in $t<p u$.

And God put the man in the garden as tiller,

## ute dheHt Deivós vīróm en ghordhom aratrō

- $\operatorname{arH}-\mathrm{tro}=$ as arator - instrumental of role or specification
and he commanded the man, saying,


## deiçs(t) vīróei, veqwons --

- deiç-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ he commanded -- S-aorist $>$ dixit, adhaikšīt, $\varepsilon \delta \varepsilon ı \xi \zeta \varepsilon$
- diç-et -- alternative weak-grade aorist (unattested) from same root
- $\quad$ veq-ons $=$ saying -- present active participle of root *veq
"You may eat the fruit of all the trees, ap' alnoibhos druōm estum karpa maghesi
- apo $=$ from -- Inserted to clarify usage, because abl-pl has merged with dat-pl.
- al-n-oibhos = from all -- abl-pl of *al-no (all), closely related to *al-yo (other)
> Old Latin olle, Germanic all, Gaelic uile
- es-tu-m / ed-tu-m = eating -- nom-sg, supine of root *ed
- magh-esi $=$ thou mayest, thou canst -- 2-sg present indicative
but do not eat (however) from the vision-tree.
n' ede-au ap' visto-druës
If you will eat of her, you will die."


## $q^{\text {wo}}$ osvō edēsi apo taës, to-svō m.r.tos esēsi

- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{O}-\mathrm{svo} . .$. to-svo$=$ if ... then -- fanciful reinvention of conditional conjunctions. The conjunctions coined by recorded languages are not authentic models. Greek uses $\varepsilon \iota \ldots \alpha v$, Sanskrit yad ... yad, German wenn ... so, Gothic ibai ... pan, Russian если ... то, and Latin si ...
- ed-ēsi = thou shalt eat -- Feasible condition expressed via subjunctive.
- es-ēsi = thou shalt be -- Yields Latin future eris.
- apo taës = from her -- substitute for abl-sg of A-stem demonstrative.
(Trees are feminine, especially when personified, as is this unique tree.)
- m.r.-tos $=$ dead - past passive participle of root *mor-yë

Then God said, "It is not good for man to be alone."
ute voqwet Deivós -- ne-vesū qu${ }^{\text {wod }}$ vīrós vidhvos syēt

- vesū / su = happy, good, eu -- inst-sg used as adverb
- $\quad$ vidhvos $=$ widower, batchelor $(\mathrm{M})$

So out of the ground, God made the beasts and the birds, ute ĝhvēr.ns Hvéins-qwe qworet Deivós (d)ĝhomoet

- $\quad(\mathrm{d})$ ĝhomoet $=$ from the humus - abl-sg of O-stem variant
- Unusual OVS word order driven by focus.
and he brought them to the man,
bhoret-qwe vīróei
and the man gave them names
ute vīrós toibhos Hnomena doHt
- toibhos = to them -- dat-pl
- Hnomena = names -- acc-pl of neuter noun. (The initial laryngeal left traces in many branches: Greek оvодота, Russian имена, Old Irish ainmanna.)
- doH-t = he gave -- athematic aorist. Default SOV word order.
to the cattle of the field and the birds of the air and the beasts of the plain, pecúbhos aĝrosyo Hvibhós-qwe an.mosyo ĝhverbhós-qwe p.l.téusyo
- p.l.t-éu-syo $=$ of the plain -- pseudo-adjective from root *p.l.t-u (not to be confused with participle $* p . l H .-$ to $=$ filled $)$
but there was no fitting beloved for the man,


## n' est alyo sata kāra vīróei

- alyo = but -- conjunction used to oppose complex clauses
- sata = satisfactory
- kāra = beloved, whore

So God caused the man to sleep, and pulled out a bone, ute supéyet vīróm Deivós osti deuks( $\mathbf{t}$ )-que

- sup-éy-et = he caused to sleep -- Imperfect
(Causative form has no distinct aorist.)
- osti / kostim = bone (N) or rib (F) -- acc-sg
- deuk-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ he pulled - - S-aorist modeled upon Latin duxit
and closed the wound in the chest with hide
(s)tegs(t)-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ v.l.nom bhreusöi ( $s$ )kutō-bhi
- ( s$)$ teg-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ covered, hid - strong-grade S -aorist $>$ Latin texit, Greek $\varepsilon \sigma \tau \varepsilon \xi \varepsilon$
- v.l.nom = wound, vulnus -- acc-sg. Latin remodels this as an abstract neuter. (The skeleton root gets vocalized as vuln, ov $\lambda$, vran.)
- bhreusoi / bhreusei $=$ in the breast -- loc-sg
- $(\mathrm{s}) k u t o ̄-b h i=$ with hide -- instrumental construct
and formed a woman from the bone and brought her to the man
$q^{\text {woret }} \mathbf{q}^{\text {we }}$ ap' ostéis $g^{\text {wénam bhoret-qwe vīróei }}$
- ap' ostéis = from bone -- substitute for abl-sg

And the man said, "She is the bone from my bone and the flesh from my flesh."
ute voqwet vīrós -- sā tod ósti me(n)oet ostéyet tod krovi-qwe meoet krovéyet

- sos, sā, tod / yos, yā, yod = he, she, it -- nom-sg pronouns
- me(n)oet $=$ from my -- abl-sg of possessive adjective $>$ Old Latin meōd (The proper genitive form of the pronoun defies reconstruction.)
- krovis / kroivos = flesh, blood, к $\rho \varepsilon \alpha \varsigma-$ - nom-sg
- Semantic distinctions: *kroiv / krovyo refers to raw flesh, *memso to edible meat, *snēyu / senu / sneuro to sinew, * ${ }^{\hat{g} h}$ horno to gut-string, ${ }^{*} s$-kuto to leather hide.

Therefore shall a man leave his father and mother,
tosmoet linéqweti vīrós patér.m mātér.m-qwe

- tosmoet $=$ therefore - abl-sg of extended root *tosmo $>$ Sanskrit tasmāt
- $\quad$ linéq-eti $=$ he shall leave - 3rd-sg subjunctive from root $* l i(n) q>$

Sanskrit rinákati, with accented nasal infix, but Latin future linquet

- patér.m mātér.m-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}=$ father and mother -- acc-sg. Accent shifts in weak cases.
but cleave to his wife, so that they be yoked.


## gw.náei au adi gloiēti ute yugóm bhevōnti

- Pun: *gloiós connotes glue as well as the clay of which men are made.
- gloi-èti = he shall cleave -- subjunctive of root *glëi
- yugóm = yoke, junction -- nom-sg (N)
- bhev-ōnti $=$ they shall become -- subjunctive of root *bheu

They shall love and they shall stick.
lubhyōntoi leipōntoi-qwe

- Missing verse, redisovered by telepathic communication with ancient authors.
- lubh-y-ōntoi = they shall love -- from root *leubh-yë
- leip-ōntoi $=$ they shall stick -- from root *leip



## EXPULSION

## (Genesis III)

They were naked, but they knew it not.
But the serpent was more knowing ...

They were naked, but they knew it not. nog".tō estëm alyo ne ĝnoHtëm

- $n^{n}{ }^{w} . t o ̄=$ naked -- nom-dual -- This adjective resembles a participle.
- gnoH-tëm = they knew -- 3rd-dual athematic aorist

But the serpent was more knowing than other beasts.
au alyo(n)ōm ĝhverōm ĝnoHteros

- vīs-og ${ }^{w} h i s=$ poison-opidian -- combining form of $* v \bar{s} s o m(\mathrm{~N})$
- ĝnoH-ter-os = more knowing -- comparative

He said to the woman, "Has God forbidden eating from the trees in the garden?


- proti $=$ against
- de-doiç-e = he has commanded -- perfect
- es-tum / ed-tum = eating -- acc-sg of verbal noun from root *ed
- apo $=$ from -- particle used with genitive as substitute for ablative

And the woman said to the serpent, "We may eat the fruit of the trees, voqwet gwéna ogwhéyei -- karpa druōm estum maghovës(i)

- magh-ovës(i) = we may -- 1st-dual present indicative
but he has forbidden one tree in the middle, alyo proti-dedoiçe oinam dreum medhyöi
- $\quad$ oinam $=$ one - acc-sg (F)
nor should we even touch it, lest indeed we become mortal."
ne tangōvës(i) eti svō-ne m.r.tō ghe bhevōvës(i)
- tang-ōvës(i) = we shall touch -- 1st-dual subjunctive
- bhev-ōvës(i) = we shall be -- 1st-dual subjunctive
- Sanskrit uses a hodgepodge of primary and secondary endings in the subjunctive, but Greek uses primary endings consistently. We shall follow the Greek model.
- svō-ne = lest, so not
- ghe -- because the serpent is asserting something surprising

But the serpent said to the woman,
voqwet alyo ogwhis gw.náei --
"You will not perish. God knows that having eaten from that tree, ne gwdhinéHetës ghe -- Deivós ĝnoHti qwod (ed)edvosē apo taës druës

- This verb should be in the middle voice, to avoid confusion with destroy, but the authentic 2 nd-dual middle endings are unknown, so we would have to substitute the plural. The use of active voice dodges that problem.
- $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{dhiH}$-sy-etës = you will perish, decline -- 2 nd-dual S-future of root ${ }^{*} \mathrm{~g}^{w} d h i H$ $>$ Greek $\varphi \theta l \sigma \varepsilon \tau \sigma v$ (active) or $\varphi \theta l \sigma \varepsilon \sigma \theta o v$ (middle)
- $g^{w}$ dhinéH-etës = you shall perish, decline -- 2nd-dual subjunctive with nasal infix > Greek $\varphi \theta_{l \underline{v} \eta \tau o v, ~ S a n s k r i t ~ k s ̌ i n ̌ a ̄ t h a s ~}^{\text {an }}$
- (ed)edvosē = having eaten -- nom-dual of perfect active participle.

Reduplication seems optional, because it is semantically superfluous.
The nom-sg suffixes are $v \bar{s} /$ vons, with "weak" form $u s$, and feminine usia.
you will see with your eyes, and you will become gods, and you will know good and evil.


- veid-sy-etës = you will see -- S-future
- bheu-sy-etës = you will be -- S-future
- ĝnoH-sy-etës = you will know -- S-future
- vesum = good -- acc-sg (N)
- deusom= bad, evil, dys -- ordinarily a prefix, but here remodeled as an adjective

The woman having seen that the tree bears good fruit and is pleasing to the eyes, $g^{\text {wéna ( }}$ (ve)vidusia $q^{\text {wod }}$ bhereti dreus su-karpa eti oqwoibhos svādus est

- bher-eti $=$ bears - present of root *bher
- tol-y-eti $=$ bears - present of root $*$ tol-yë $/ t . l H$.
and desiring for knowledge, she grasped an apple and devoured it, ĝnoHtim $\hat{g} h o r y o n t a-q^{w} e ~ l a b h e t ~ g{ }^{\text {wroHt}}{ }^{\text {rewe }}$ ablom
- ghhory-ont-a = desiring -- nom-sg (F) of present active participle of root * $\hat{g} h e ̈ r-y e ̈ ~$ > Doric Greek $\chi \alpha l \rho o v \tau l$, Sanskrit haryanti, Latin horiuntur
- labh-et $=$ she grasped -- weak-grade aorist of root *la(m)bh>Greek $\varepsilon \lambda \alpha \beta \varepsilon$
- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ roH-t $=$ devoured -- athematic aorist $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \beta \rho \omega$
- ablom / amlom / malom $=$ apple -- Unaspirated $* b$ is rare.
and she gave an apple to the man, and he bit.


## doHt-qwe sa ablom vīróei bheids(t)-qwe sos

- bheid-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ he bit - strong-grade S-aorist of root $* b h i(n) d>$ Sanskrit abhaitsit
- bhidh-et $=$ he bit - alternative weak-grade aorist $>$ Latin fidit

and they saw, and they knew their nakedness, ute videtëm ĝnoHtëm-q ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathbf{e}$ svom nog.tum and they sewed clothes from leaves. sivetëm- $q^{w} \mathbf{e}$ vestra apo dhalyobhos
- siv-etëm $=$ they sewed
- ves-tra = clothes -- acc-pl (N)
- apo dhalyobhos $=$ from leaves -- abl-pl

Having heard the sound of feet in the garden,
(ke)kusvosē pedōm svonom ghordhöi

- pedōm = of feet -- gen-pl, with E-grade root in the "weak" cases
- (ke)kus-vos- $\overline{\mathrm{e}}=$ having heard -- perfect active participle of root *Hkous
the man and the woman hid themselves in the shade between the trees.
kudhonto vīrós gwéna-qwe en skotóm drusú medhyöi
- kudh-onto = they hid themselves -- 3rd-pl middle aorist of root *keudh
- en = into (dynamic) -- The static sense could be conveyed by the locative case, but the dynamic preposition into demands the accusative.
- $\quad$ skotom $=$ shade - acc-sg $(\mathrm{M})$

But God called out to the man, "Where art thou?"
ute ĝhvet Deivós vīróei -- $\mathbf{q}^{\text {wosmi essi ? }}$

- ghv-et = called out -- weak-grade aorist of root * $\hat{g} h v e-y \ddot{e}$
- $q^{\text {wosmi }}=$ where? - cf. * $^{w}$ oter $=$ whither?

And the man said, "I have heard a sound -- it was you walking through the garden, voq $^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{et}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}^{\text {vīrós -- svonom kekousa -- tu ghordhoi t.rH. ĝhonĝheHs }}$

- svonom = sound -- acc-sg
- ke-kous-a $=$ I have heard -- 1st-sg perfect of root *Hkous $>\alpha \kappa о г к \alpha$
- $\mathrm{tu}=$ thou -- used for special emphasis in context
- ghonĝheH-s = you were walking -- 2 nd-sg reduplicated imperfect > Sanskrit ajahās, Germanic gangis (reduplicated present)
- gheHs = you walked -- athematic aorist > Sanskrit ahās
- t.rH. $=$ through -- particle -- vocalized as $\operatorname{tr} \bar{a}$ in Latin
and I have been afraid, because I am naked, and so I have hidden."
bhebhoia $q^{\text {wosmoet nogw.tos esmi ute kekudha }}$
- bhe-bhoi-Ha = I have been afraid -- 1-sg perfect of root *bhei
- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ osmoet $=$ because, why? -- abl-sg of extended root $* q^{\mathrm{w}}$ osmo
- $\quad$ esmi $=\mathrm{I}$ am -- 1 st-sg present
- ke-kudh-Ha $=\mathrm{I}$ have hidden -- 1st-sg perfect $>$ Greek $\kappa \varepsilon \kappa v \theta \alpha$

And God said, "Who hath told thee that thou art naked?
$v^{\prime} q^{w}$ et Deivós -- $\mathbf{q}^{\text {wis }}$ dedoiçe $\mathbf{q}^{\text {wod }}{ }^{\text {nogw.tos essi }}$

- $\mathrm{q}^{\text {wis }}=$ who? $(\mathrm{M} / \mathrm{F})$
- $q^{w}$ od = what? (N)
- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ oteros $=$ which? $(\mathrm{M})$

Hast thou eaten from the forbidden tree?"
edesta apo proti-diçtaës druës

- ed-es-ta / ed-ed-ta $=$ you have eaten $=2$ nd-sg perfect of root $*$ ed
- diç-taës $=$ commanded -- gen-sg $(\mathrm{F})$

And God said to the woman, "What hast thou done?"
voqwet-qwe Deivós gw. $^{w}$ náei -- $q^{w}$ od dhedheHta?

- dhe-dheH-ta = you have done -- 2nd-sg perfect
(Compare *dhi-dheH-si reduplicated present.)
So the woman said, "The serpent hath mislead me, and I have indeed eaten."

- de-douk-e = he has pulled -- 3rd-sg perfect of root *deuk > Germanic tŏh / tŏg
- ed-ed-a $=$ I have eaten -- 1st-sg perfect

So God said to the serpent,
voqwet-qwe Deivós ogwhéyei
"As thou hast done this, so thou shalt be lower than the cattle and the beasts.
svō-q ${ }^{w e}$ dhidheHta ute pecévōm ĝhvērōm-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$ niteros esēsi

- ni-ter-os $=$ nether - comparative formed from particle ${ }^{n} n i$

Upon thy belly thou shalt crawl, and humus shalt thou eat, forever.
(s)negēsi breusöi edēsi-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$ (d) g ghomóm en aivons aivōm

- (s)neg-ēsi = thou shalt crawl
- bhreusoi / bhreusei = on breast or belly -- loc-sg
- aivons = ever, ætates, eons -- acc-pl from root *ayu /aiv = life, health
- en aivons aivōm $=\varepsilon v \tau o l \varsigma \alpha l \omega v \alpha \varsigma \tau \omega v \alpha l \omega v \omega v$

I shall put enmity between thee and the woman, between thy sons and her sons.
dusm.n enter g'énam tve-que $^{\text {we }}$ dhidheHōmi enter tvons suHtóns svons-qwe suHtóns

- dus-m.n = enmity, badness -- acc-sg (N) verbal noun of *dus /dvis
- tve = thee
- dhi-dheH-ōmi = I shall put -- 1st-sg present indicative or subjunctive
- enter $=$ between (dynamic) -- cf. *medhi (static)
- tvons ... svons = thy ... his/her -- acc-pl of possessive adjectives
- suH-tons / suH-nons = sons -- acc-pl, participles of $*$ suH = to be fertile

He shall step on your head, but thou shalt hurt his heel."
tvod kapot ëpi steibēti sperneuti-qwe svam persnam-au gwhenēsi

- kapot $=$ head -- acc-sg $(\mathrm{N})$-- O-grade in NAV cases, E-grade in "weak" cases
- epi / opi / ana = upon, onto (dynamic) -- with object in accusative
- steibh-ēti $=$ he shall step -- subjunctive $>$ Greek $\sigma \tau \varepsilon ı \beta \eta \imath$
- sper-neu-ti = he spurns -- indicative
- sper-név-eti $=$ he shall spurn -- subjunctive
- $\mathrm{au}=$ but - enclitic conjunction used to oppose simple elements
- persnam = heel -- acc-sg
- $\mathrm{g}^{\text {when-ēsi }}=$ thou shalt injure $>$ Sanskrit hanasi, Latin de-fendas

And God said to the woman,

"I will multiply the pain of the womb, and in pain shalt thou bring forth children. g'herbhosyo $^{\text {gwolóm augéyōmi eti sununs } g^{w} o l o ́-b h i ~ t o k y e ̄ s i ~}$

- $g^{w h} h e r b h o s y o ~=~ o f ~ t h e ~ w o m b ~--~ g e n-s g ~$
- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ olóm = pain -- acc-sg, derived from verbal root * $g^{w} e l-y \ddot{e}$
- $g^{\text {woló-bhi }}=$ with pain -- instrumental construct
- tok-y-ēsi = thou shalt bring forth - 2nd-sg subjunctive of *tek-yë $>$ Greek $\tau \iota \kappa \tau \eta \iota \varsigma$
yet thou shalt love the man, and he shall rule over you."
alyo lubhyēsi vīróm ute sos tve reĝēti
And God said to the man,
voqwet-q"e vīróei
"Because thou hast listened to the woman, and hast eaten from the tree,

- çe-çlu-ta $=$ thous hast listened - - 2 nd-sg perfect
therefore the humus shall be cursed.
tosmoet (d)ĝhomóm dus-diçtom esēti
In sharp toil (instr.) thou shalt till forever;
$\mathbf{a c ̧ ( r )} \mathbf{(}) \bar{u}$ v.r.go-bhi arayēsi en aivons aivōm
- aç-u / aç-ru = sharp, acute
thorns shall grow from the soil, and thou shalt eat the harvest of the fields.
tornoes/oi (d)ĝhomoet augsōnti ute edēsi aĝrosyo karpa
- tornoes/oi = thorns -- nom-pl
- *aĝros connotes a field into animals may be driven, not necessarily arable.

In sweat, thou shalt have a share, svëid(r)o-bhi bhunĝēsoi

- sveido / svoid-ro = sweat, sudor
- bhunĝ-ēsoi / bhrug ${ }^{\text {w }}$-ēsoi $=$ thou shalt have a share -- 2nd-sg middle subjunctive.
- Two roots have been confused: (1) Sanskrit bhunákte, Latin fungitur, and (2) Latin fruitur, fructus, Germanic brūkeb.
until thou returnest to the soil
dō-qu"om vertēsoi (d) ĝhomóei
- dō-q${ }^{w}$ om $=$ until -- Inversion of ${ }^{*} q^{w} o n-d \bar{o}=$ when?
- $\quad$ vert-ēsoi $=$ thou shalt turn

Thou art a human from humus, and to humus thou goest."
(d) ̂gh.mōn (d)̂̂homoet essi ute (d)ĝhomóm ghe eisi

- This elegant pun sounds much better in P.I.E. than in Hebrew.

Then the man called the woman Eve, because she is the ancestress of all. ute Hnomnyet vīros $g^{w}$ enam Hava, $q^{w}$ osmoet eia toutōm mātēr

- The name Hava (חוה) resembles the Hebrew root for life (חי) but could just as easily derive from the P.I.E. root *Hav> Latin avus, Gothic awo.

And God gave them garments of hide. ute doHt Deivós tobhōm (s)kutosyo vestra

- tobhōm = to them -- dat-dual
- $(\mathrm{s})$ kutosyo $=$ hide, leather -- gen-sg

And then he said, "The man has become like one of $u s$, knowing good and evil, $v^{\text {voqu }}{ }^{\text {et-qwe }}$-- bhebhúve oinoei n.sōm som.los, vesum deusom-qwe ĝnoHnts

- Unredacted polytheism -- God is speaking to his sons -- more in Chapter VI
- bhe-bhuv-e $=$ he has become -- perfect > Sanskrit babhūva, Greek $\pi \varepsilon \varphi v \kappa \varepsilon$
- oinoei $=$ to one -- dat-sg
- n.s-ōm / nos-tr-ōm = of us, of our -- cf. Greek ` $\eta \mu \omega v<{ }^{\wedge} n s m o \bar{m}$
so lest he grab fruit from the tree and become immortal, svō-ne ghrebhnuyēt karpa apo druës ute bhevoit-qwe ne-m.r.tós
- ghrebh-nu-yē-t = that he might grab -- optative expresses potentiality
- Synonyms: *ghrebh $=l a(m) b h=g h e(n) d=e m$
- Another pun: Each of these words connotes both grasp and understand.
but rather live forever, gweivoit-au en aivons

let us drive him from the garden into the fields." aĝoimë(n) tom ghordhoet en aĝróns

And God placed a guardian in the east, stolet-qwe speçtōr.m auströi

- aĝ-oi-më(n) = let us drive -- 1st-pl optative > Latin agāmus
- $\quad * a \hat{g}$ originally referred to driving goats, hence $* a \hat{g} y o s>\alpha l \xi$
- $\quad$ speç-tōr $=$ guardian -- agent of *speç-yë
- aus-tr-oi / aus-tr-ei = east -- loc-sg, derived from verb root *aus = burn
carrying a torch, and barring the path to the tree.
bhloĝom bherons -- anti-staHns-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ druéi pont.m
- anti $=$ in front, ante
- bhloĝom = torch, $\varphi \lambda$ o $\xi$-- also related to English black (charred)
- pont. $m$ = path -- This word traveled far. The Germanic branch received it in a form that clearly bypassed Grimm's Law. The Sanskrit form is panthan.


The tale of Cain and Abel scrambles the historical progression from hunter-gatherers, to nomadic herdsmen, to sedentary farmers. After killing his brother, Cain reverts to hunting, and his alleged prior occupation as tiller is forgotten in Chapter VI, where Noah is described as the first tiller.

Conflicts between farmers and herdsmen occur even today, so I have given Cain a motive: He wanted to put idle Abel to work in the fields.

Adam and Eve lay together and conceived a son.
Atta Hava-qwe son-çoionto ute suHnéum ĝneHtëm

- Atta = Adam, Daddy
- Hava = Eve, Ancestress -- possible related to Hebrew חוה
- son-çoi-onto = they lay together -- 3rd-pl middle of weak-grade aorist. (This is a euphemism. The plain term for sexual intercourse is *yëbh / yobh-yö.)
- suH-néum / suH-tóm / suH-nóm = son -- acc-sg, from root *suH = to give birth
- gneH-tëm / ĝon-etëm = conceived, begot -- thematic or athematic aorist

And Eve said -- I have acquired a son from God.
voqwet-qwe Hava -- $q^{w}{ }^{\text {w }}$ q $^{\text {w }}$ riHa suHnéum deivóet

- The original Hebrew text uses the verb QNH (קנה) connoting purchase, but the Septuagint and Vulgate translate it as $\varepsilon \kappa \tau \eta \sigma \alpha \mu \eta v<\kappa \tau \alpha \circ \mu \alpha l$ and possedi. The name QYN (קין) seems to be connected, but the medial Y seems out of place.
- $q^{\text {we }}-q^{\text {wriH }}$-Ha $=$ I have bought --1 st-sg perfect of root $* q r i(n) H>$ English hired

And Eve named the son Cain after the bitter price in the garden
ute Hnomnyet Hava suHneum Qwoinom ap’ açréus qwoinoet ghordhoi

- $q^{\text {woinom }}=$ price, $\pi о \imath v \eta$, цъна -- $\operatorname{acc}-\mathrm{sg}(\mathrm{M})$

And in the second summer, she bore his brother.
ute anteröi samröi-qwe bhrātr.m toket

- bhrā'tr.m = brother -- acc-sg
- tok-et $=$ she bore - weak-grade aorist of root *tek $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \tau \varepsilon \kappa \varepsilon$ (The literal meaning of this verb is to flow from a source.)

And Eve named the brother Abel after the sweet fruit in the garden.
ute Hnomnyet Hava bhrātr.m Ablom apo svadéus karpoet ghordhöi

- The name Abel (הבל) has no known Hebrew root.
- ablom / amlom / mālom = apple -- acc-sg
- Apples are native to the region around the Black Sea.

Abel became a keeper of sheep, but Cain a tiller.

## Ablos ovéyōm paHstōr bhuvet $Q^{\text {woinos au aratōr }}$

- ovéyōm = of sheep -- gen-pl
- paHs-tōr $=$ shepherd -- nom-sg, from *paHs, variant of $* p a H=$ feed

Years having passed, yēroes/oi (te)tokvóses

- yēroes / yōroes = years -- nom-pl
- (te)tok-vós-es = having passed, flowed -- nom-pl of perfect active participle.
(This is a nominative absolute construction. Honi soit qui mal y pense.)
Cain brought to the Lord the harvest of the field,
bhoret Qwoinos Potéyei aratósyo karpons
- arH-tósyo $=$ of the [arable] field -- gen-sg
but Abel brought a firstling of his flock.


## Ablos au peçévōm gwerbhom

- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$.r.bhom / g${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$.l.bhom = womb, newborn, calf -- acc-sg > Sanskrit garbham, Slavic žrebę, Greek $\beta \rho \varepsilon \varphi o v / \delta \varepsilon \lambda \varphi v v$, Germanic kalb

And God smiled upon Abel and his gifts, but turned from Cain.
smeis(t) Deivós ëpi Ablom donóns-qwe vortet-au apo $Q^{\text {wwinoet }}$

- smei-s(t) .. ëpi = smiled upon

To Cain he said -- Why are you angry?
Qwoinóei voq ${ }^{\text {wet }}$-- $\mathbf{q}^{\text {wosmoet }}$ anĝhus essi?

- $q^{\text {wosmoet }}=$ why, whence? -- abl-sg of extended root ${ }^{*} q^{\mathrm{w}}$ osmo
- anĝhus = angry, anxious, narrow
- g $^{w}$.r.H-us = heavy, (fig.) sad

If you act well, then you will win.
$\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{0}$-svō su-aĝsesoi, to-svō venēsoi

- Fanciful reinvention of conditional conjunctions. Feasible conditionals may be expressed with the future indicative or the subjunctive, but contra-factual conditionals are better expressed by the optative in both clauses.
- $\mathrm{su}=$ well, eu -- prefix
- aĝ-sy-esoi = you will act, conduct thyself -- 2nd-sg middle S-future > Sanskrit *aj-sya-ati > akšyāti <, Greek *ag-s-ei(t) > $\alpha \xi \bar{\varepsilon}$ l
- ven-ēsoi = you will win -- 2nd-sg middle subjunctive $>$ Latin venēris
- $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t}) \cdot \mathrm{rH} . \mathrm{g}(\mathrm{h})$-sy-esor $=$ you will be cared for -- 2nd-sg passive S-future > Germanic sorg, Sanskrit sūrkš, Greek $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \rho \gamma$, Russian сторож

If you act badly, then you will have bad intent, and evil will rule you, so rule yourself.
$\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{0}$-svō dus-aĝsyesoi, to-svō dus-venskēsi, eti mëlos tve reĝsyeti, ute reĝgoiso sve

- dus = bad, dys -- prefix
- vol-y-ēsi = you will want -- 2nd-sg subjunctive
- vensk-ēsi = you will wish -- 2nd-sg subjunctive -- contrast with venēsoi
- $\mathrm{H}-\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w} h} .1 . \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{y} \overline{-}-\mathrm{si}=$ you will desire $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \theta \varepsilon \lambda \eta \sigma \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, Slavic желаешь
- melos / molos = evil, misfortune, malus
- reĝ-sy-eti $=$ it will rule -- 3rd-sg S-future
- reĝ-oi-so = rule thyself -- 2nd-sg optative used as middle-voice imperative
- $\quad$ sve $=$ self - technically redundant with middle voice, but added for emphasis

Then Cain said to his brother -- Come -- Let the two of us go to the field.
ute $Q^{\text {woin }}$ oinos bhrātrei voqwet -- gweme -- iyēvë(n) aratóm

- $\quad g^{w} e m-e ~ / ~ g{ }^{\text {w }}$ en-y-e = come -- 2nd-sg imperative
- i-yē-vë(n) = let us go -- athematic optative (with mood sign yē vice oi)
- The verb *ei can take a destination as direct object.

The two having entered the field, the senior slew the junior.

## (y)eyvosē aratóm, gwhonet senyōs yunyos.m

- (y)ei-vos-ē = having gone to -- nom-dual, nominative absolute
- gwhon-et = he slew -- weak-grade aorist > Latin de-fendit
- sen-yōs = senior -- nom-sg comparative
- yun-yos-m = junior -- acc-sg comparative
- Comparative suffixes include *yos, tero.

And God said to Cain -- Where is thy brother?
voq ${ }^{\text {wet }}$ Deivós -- $q^{\text {wosmi bhrāter? }}$
But Cain said -- I don't remember. Is it I who am my brother's keeper?
$v^{\text {voq }}{ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{et}$-au $\mathbf{Q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ oinos -- ne memena -- esmi eĝo orbhosyo paHstōr?

- orbhosyo $=$ of the orphan -- gen-sg. (Cain is being sarcastic. Orphans were always put to hard work to earn their keep.)
- me-men-Ha = I have remembered -- 1st-sg perfect of root *men
- $\quad$ ego $=I--$ Subject pronoun is included for special emphasis.

And God said -- The blood of thy brother calls from the earth that has drunk it.

## voqwet-qwe Deivós -- bhrātrës esar ĝhvéyeti pibusës aratóet

- esar < esH-R/N = blood -- nom-sg (N), declines like *vod-R/N
- ĝhvéyeti / ĝheveti = call out > Sanskrit hvayati / huvati, Russian зовёт
- pib-us-ës = having drunk -- gen-sg same as abl-sg, to agree with antecedent -perfect active participle of root * poH , commonly reduplicated as *pib/bib

Whenever thou shalt till the field, it shall not feed thee.

## $q^{w}$ on-dō aratóm kersēsi, ton-dō tve ne paHyēti

- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ on-dō ... ton-dō = when ... then
- kers-ē-si = thou shalt till -- root *kers > English harrow, horse.
- paH-yē-ti $=$ it shall feed -- athematic subjunctive resembles optative
- Irony: *paHyēti echoes *paHstor.

So thou shalt flee and wander around the earth.
ute bheugēsi ambhi-ĝhenĝheHyēsi-qwe dheĝhom(m)

- bheug-è-si = thou shalt flee > Latin fugas, Greek $\varphi \varepsilon v \gamma \eta \imath \varsigma$ (dialectical root)
- ĝhe(n)-ĝheH-yē-si = thou shalt walk > Sanskrit jahāyāsi, Gothic gangais, cf. Baltic root ženg


The tale of Noah apparently alludes to the catastrophic Black Sea Flood of 5600 BCE. The legendary history was not scientifically confirmed until the 1980s, when geologists got permission from the Soviet regime to take sediment samples. The evidence remains controversial, but I take Ryan \& Pitman's theory as fact.

After the end of last ice age, around 5800 BCE , the sea level rose until the Mediterranean spilled over the thin strip of land separating it from the Pontine -- until then a landlocked freshwater lake -- cutting a narrow channel and filling it with saltwater. By the best estimates of geologists, the water level would have risen 80 meters in two years, and the shoreline would have receded very slowly, allowing the inhabitants to walk to safety with their animals, although boats might have been lifesavers for those who attempted to wait out the flood on high ground and soon found themselves surrounded by rising water.

The preamble tells of a rivalry between "sons of the gods" and "sons of the ground". Lest you have any doubt that gods means sky-gods, read the tale of Babel closely. The gods descend to punish the uppity builders of a tower, most likely a fortified burg on high ground. I read this as an an allusion to ethnic warfare between the Proto-Aryans and their neighbors around the Black Sea, so I have reimagined the Nephelim as Proto-Aryans who fancied themselves nepotes of the sky-god.

The Semitic authors of Genesis saw themselves as the survivors, and took literary revenge by having both sides drown, but they named the legendary boatman Noah -- which makes no sense as a Hebrew word, but perfect sense as the P.I.E. word Nahus.


Men had multiplied on the ground, vīroes/oi (d)ĝhémi avaugér
and had begotten daughters, ĝeĝonér-qwe dhughátr.ns
and the sons of God had seen ute deivósyo suHnéves vevidér
that the daughters of men were fair, q $^{\text {wod vīro(n)om dhughátres kalyaes }}$
and had desired them and chosen wives ute lelasér ĝeĝusér-qwe $\mathbf{g}^{\text {wénans }}$
and begotten Nepotes.
ĝeĝonér-qwe nepöt.ns
They were the mighty ones.
toi Hneres bhuvont

- av-aug-ér = they had multiplied -- 3rd-pl perfect, in anterior (pluperfect) sense
- dhughátr.ns / dhúktr.ns = daughters -- acc-pl
- gee-ĝon-ér = they had begotten
- ve-vid-ér = they had seen
- le-las-ér = they had [sexually] desired (Root *las-yë yields Sanskrit lašati, Greek $\lambda \imath \lambda \alpha ı \varepsilon \tau \alpha l, \lambda \alpha \sigma \tau \eta$.)
- gee-ĝus-ér = they had tasted, chosen
- nepot.ns / nepet.ns = grandsons, nephews -- acc-pl (Sanskrit treats the acc-pl as a "weak" case, hence possibly E-grade.)
- toi / yoi = they -- Demonstrative and relative usage varies by branch.
- Hneres $=\alpha \nu \eta \rho$, nara -- connotes strength (viz. Gaelic nert)

Then said the Lord, "Man is of flesh and blood.
nūn-qwe voqwet Deivós -- vīrós krovyos

- $\quad$ voqw-et $=$ he said -- weak-grade aorist

He is mortal, but he shall live for a hundred years."
m.r.tós esti alyo g"eivēti ç.ntom yērons

- m.r.-tós -- The distinction between dead and mortal is routinely confused.

And God saw that earth-men and sky-men were enemies,


- (d)ĝhom-ik-oes = earth-men -- Alternatively: * $\hat{g} d h o m . l o i>\chi \theta \alpha \mu \alpha \lambda o l$
- deiv-ik-oes = sky-men, god-men
- dus-món-es = enemies

So he was angry, and he said,


- anĝh-stoi = was angry, anxious -- 3rd-sg middle S-aorist
- (Schleicher's fable uses *agh-nu-toi in the present tense.)

I shall destroy the earth-men and beasts, crawling things and birds.
noç(e)yōmi vīróns (d)ĝhomikons ĝhvēr.ns-qwe (s)negons Hvéins-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{w}}$

- noç(e)y-ōmi = I shall destroy

But God loved Noah.
alyo Nahu-potim Deivós lubhyet

- Root *naHu < **neh²u -- possible source of Hebrew name NWḤ (נוח)
$>$ Greek vã̃s, vif-; Sanskrit nāus, nāv-
- Nahu-potis $=$ Noah, ship-master
- lubh-y-et = he loved -- Imperfect

The Earth stank into God's nose,
(s)mordet dheĝhōm Deivóei en nasom

- (s)mord-et $=$ stank -- weak-grade aorist of root *(s)merd $>$ Latin merda
- Alternatively ${ }^{*} p \bar{u}$, but this root can also connote purify.
> Latin putēre and putāre, Sanskrit pūyati and puñāti
- en nāsom = into the nose -- acc-sg
and he saw that blood had flowed on the Earth.
vevoide-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{e q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ od krovis susréve ( $(\mathrm{d}) \hat{g} h e m i$
- su-srév-e $=$ it had flowed -- perfect of root ${ }^{\text {s }}$ sreu $>$ Latin rivus
- krovis / esar = blood

Then God said to Noah,
ut' voqwet Deivós Nahu-potéyei
I am thinking of putting an end to all living things,
tong(e)yō antum gweivons toutons

- tong(e)y- $\bar{o}=\mathrm{I}$ am thinking -- 1st-sg present indicative
- $\quad$ antum $=$ end $--\operatorname{acc}-$ sg of supine (Root is homophonous with $* a n=$ breathe)
for the earth is full of violence.
svō dheĝhōm gwhentéus p.lH.na
- gwhen-téu-s = of violence killing, injury -- gen-sg of supine > Latin de-fens $\bar{u}$
- p.lH.na $=$ full -- nom-sg (F) -- vocalized as Latin plēna, Sanskrit pūrňū


Painting by Simon de Myle (circa 1570)

So make a ship from (with) wood,
$q^{\text {were nūn nāhéum dórū-bhi }}$

- nāhéum / nāv.m = ship, navis -- acc-sg, from verbal root *(s)naH = swim
- dórū-bhi $=$ with wood - instrumental construct
and smear it with glue.
limpe-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ gleio-bhi
- limpe = smear -- imperative
- Synonyms: *ong ${ }^{\text {w }}$, *selbh / selp

The beam should be 300 cubits long, and 30 cubits high.
bhalanĝs tri-ç.ntom olénans d.IH.ĝhos syēt, tri-dec.m-qwe olénans ordhos

- bhalanĝs / bhalaĝnos = beam -- nom-sg
- d.lH.ĝhos = long -- vocalized as Sanskrit dīrghas, but Greek $\delta o \lambda l \chi o \varsigma$
- dec. $m=$ ten
- olénans = cubits -- acc-pl (F)
- ordhos $=$ high, arduus, o 0 Oos -- nom-sg

Cover it with a thatch, and put in a door.
(s)keve (s)tegō-bhi, dheHe-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ dhvor.m

- (s)kev-e = cover -- imperative
- (s)tegō-bhi $=$ with a thatch - instrumental construct
- dhvor. $\mathrm{m}=$ door $--\mathrm{acc}-\mathrm{sg}>$ Latin fōrum (Theory predicts O-grade in the NAV cases.)

I shall flood the land to kill all living things, upo-plevōmi t.r.sam ute ktenyōmi gweivons toutons

- Why is God speaking in the Subjunctive instead of the Future? The Subjunctive expresses what shall be, by way of injunction, whereas the Future expresses what will be, by way of prediction. But God is in a unique position. His will shall be.
- upo-plev-ōmi $=\mathrm{I}$ shall flood -- 1st-sg subjunctive of root *plev-éyë (Compound modeled upon German über-flutet, Sanskrit upa-plavati.)
- en-unéd-emi $=\mathrm{I}$ shall inundate -1 st-sg subjunctive of $* u(n) d /$ ved, with the Sanskrit-style nasal infix: unédmi
- kten-y-ōmi $=$ I shall kill > Greek $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \tau v \omega \mu \iota$
- toutons $=$ all (especially people) -- acc-pl

But you will swim on top of the water, tu au udéni uperi (s)naHyēsi

- udéni $=$ in/on the water -- loc-sg, weak grade of root *vod-R/N
- (s)naH-yē-si $=$ thou shalt swim -- 2nd-sg subjunctive of root *(s)naH
together with wife, and sons, and daughters-in-law.

- som / kom = together with
- $g^{w} . n a \bar{a}=$ with wife -- inst-sg
- suHnubhís $=$ with sons - inst-pl (accent on ending)
- snusabhís = with daughters-in-law (accent on ending)

Of every living thing, bring a pair into the ship, so that they should not die:
bhere touto(n) $\bar{m} \mathbf{m}$ g'wivo(n) $^{\mathrm{o} m}$ yugóm en nāheum, svō-ne m.r.toes/oi syēnt

- yugom = yoke, pair, couple -- acc-sg (N)
- The synthetic form *mor-y-oi-ëntoi is unspeakably cumbersome.


Horses, ducks, geese, and even hens had not been domesticated by 5800 BCE. Herewith the likely manifest of * peçeves worth saving from the rising waters

- aĝyos / capros / ghaidos = goat
- ovis $/ \mathrm{ag}^{\mathrm{w}}$ nos $=$ sheep, lamb
- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ ous $/$ stauros $=$ cow, steer
- porços $/$ sūs = pork, sow
- çvōn = hound, canis
of walking and running, flying and crawling things, ĝhenĝhontōm dromontōm-qwe, petontōm serpontōm-que
- ...ont-ō'm -- gen-pl of present active participle
- dromons = running
- petons = flying
of male and female animals, wild and tame.
orĝhilōm dhēlevōm-qwe, ĝhverōm damo(n)ōm-que
- The gen-pl ending does not always draw the accent. O-stems bear static accent.
- dhēmena = female (sucklable)
- dhēlévia $=$ female (with nipples)
- orĝhilos = male (with op $\quad$ ıєऽ)
- peselos = male ( with penis)
- v.rsen $=$ male $($ Greek $\alpha \rho \sigma \eta v)$
- damos = tame animal

Bring your food: grains and beans and fruits and roots.
bhere yusterom paHtum -- g.rH.na bhabha-qwe karpa vrāda-qwe

- yus-ter-om / vos-ter-om = your -- acc-sg, comparative used as possessive adj.
- yusmōm = your -- gen-pl > Greek ` $\nu \mu \omega v$
- bhabha = beans -- nom-pl
- $\quad$ vrāda $=$ roots, worts, rhiza

And Noah did what God had directed.
dheHt Nahu-potis quod dedoiçe Deivós
Then God commanded Noah, ute diçset Deivós Nahu-potéyei

- deiç-set $=$ he said -- strong-grade S -aorist
"Go into the ship, with your household,
ité nāheum vëiçō som
- veiços / voiços = household
- domos / demos = house, people

I have seen that thou art righteous.
vevoida $q^{w o d}$ rektós essi

- rek-tós / regús = righteous

Bring with thee seven pairs of all clean animals,
bhere tve-som çventōm sept.m yugá

- çventós = pure -- from root *çundh (cultic)
- putós $=$ pure - from root $* p \bar{u}$ (cultic)
- niqwtós = washed -- from root *ni(n) $g^{w}$
- klustós = washed -- from root *klud
and one pair of unclean animals, ne-çvento(n)ōm-qwe oinom yugóm
and seven pairs of the birds, Hveyōm-qwe sept.m yugá
male and female,
v.rsen dhēlu-qwe
- Even birds must have had nipples back then.
- The Septuagint says $\alpha \rho \sigma \varepsilon v \kappa \alpha \iota \theta \tilde{\eta} \lambda v$, without case endings.
that their kinds should live on the cleansed earth.
ute gweivoiënt ĝenesa niqwtai (d) ĝhemi
- $\quad$ niq ${ }^{w t a i}=$ washed $--\operatorname{loc}-\mathrm{sg}(\mathrm{F})$ to agree Earth (F)
- ĝen-es-a $=$ kinds, genera -- nom-pl of abstract neuter *gen-ës
- $g^{\text {w}}$ eiv-oi-ënt $=$ that they should live - optative $>$ Latin vivant

The clouds will bring rain to the earth for many days and nights, ombhroes/oi (d)ĝhméi v.r.sam bherōnti monĝhons dinons nokt.ns-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{e}$

- monĝhons = many -- acc-pl
- poluns = poly -- acc-pl -- from root $*$ p. $l \mathrm{lH} .=$ to fill
- v.r.sam $=$ rain - acc-sg $(\mathrm{F})>$ Latin rōrem, Greek $F \varepsilon \rho \sigma \eta \nu$, Sanskrit varšam
and all living things shall die.
ute moryōntoi gweivoes/oi toutoes/oi
- mor-y-ōntoi $=$ they shall die - - subjunctive middle

And Noah did what the Lord had commanded.
ute dheHt Nahu-potis q ${ }^{\text {wod dedoiçe Deivós }}$

The clouds came, and the rain came down, and the sea rose,


- or-set $=$ it rose -- active in Greek and Sanskrit, passive in Latin
and the earth became invisible, dheĝhōm-qwe ne-vidmena bhuvet
- vid-men- $\mathrm{a}=$ visible -- present passive participle of root * veid
- bhuv-et = it became, fuit -- weak-grade aorist
but the ship swam.
nāheus-au snaHs(t)
- $\quad$ snaH-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ it swam $>$ Greek $\varepsilon v \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$, Sanskrit asnāsīt
- pleu-s $(\mathrm{t})=$ it floated $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \pi \lambda \varepsilon v \sigma \varepsilon$ (active voice)
- pleu-sto $=$ it floated $>$ Sanskrit aplošta (middle voice)

The sea conquered the whole earth
mori solvom dheĝhom(m) soĝhet

- solvom $=$ whole, entire - acc-sg $>$ Latin sōlum, Sanskrit sarvam
- soĝh-et $=$ took possession $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \sigma \chi \varepsilon$ (active voice)
- sek-seto $=$ conquered - Sanskrit asakšata (middle voice)
and covered the mountains twenty cubits deep.


## (s)toget gworans viçënti olénans bhudhnō

- (s)tog-et / (s)teg-set = hid, covered $>$ English thatch
- (s)kov-et = hid, covered > English sky
- g $^{\mathrm{w}}$ orans $=$ mountains -- acc-pl (F)
- viçënti = twenty

And all living things on the dry land perished, moryontoi gweivontes toutoes/oi tersai
and beasts, birds, and serpents were no more.
ne bhuvont-qwe ĝhvēres avéyes-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$ e serpontes-q ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathbf{e}$

But God remembered Noah and the cattle, alyo memenet Deivós Nahu-potim peçéum-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$

- me-men-et $=$ he remembered - reduplicated aorist
so a wind came, and the clouds left,
ut' ventós gwomet ombhroi-au liqwont
- ventós / veH-tós / véH-tor = wind
- $\quad$ liqw${ }^{w}$-ont $=$ they left -- weak grade aorist $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \lambda ı \pi \varepsilon$, Sanskrit aricat, but Latin līquit shows strong grade
and after a hundred days, the sea subsided, ç.ntom dinons poster ni-sdetoi mori
- ni-sd-etoi $=$ sat down -- weak-grade aorist (middle voice)
and the ship sat upon a high place.
ute sodetoi nāheus ëp' ordhóm
- ëpi $=$ upon $>$ Latin $o b$

And in the tenth month, the mountains appeared.
ute deçmöi meHnesi bhanont gworaes

- bhan-ont $=$ they appeared - - from root *bhan $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \varphi \eta v \varepsilon$
- bhaH-sont $=$ they appeared - from root $* b h a H>$ Sanskrit $a b h a \overline{s i ̄ t}$

Now Noah let loose a bird,
nūn luset Hveim Nahu-potis

- luet $/$ lūset $=$ he let loose - alternative aorists from root $* l \bar{u}>$ Greek $\varepsilon \lambda v \sigma \varepsilon$
but the bird nested upon a dry place and did not return,
alyo ni-sdetoi Hveis ëpi seus(k)om ne vortetoi-qwe
- seusom / seuskom / sesq ${ }^{\text {w }}$ om $=$ dry -- acc-sg
- vort-etoi $=$ he returned
so he let loose a second bird,
ut' anterom Hvéim luset
but this one returned carrying a leaf,
sos au vortetoi bholyom bherons
- sos / yos = he
so he knew that the sea had left.
ut' vevoide $q^{\text {wod }}$ liq ${ }^{\text {wet }}$ mori

So Noah and his wife and sons and wives and cattle left the ship,
ute liq ${ }^{\text {wont }}$ nāheum Nahu-potis gwéna-qwe suHnéves-qwe snusáes-qwe peçéves-qwe
and God commanded, "Go forth and multiply and fill the earth."
diçset-qwe Deivós -- ité augsete-qwe p.lH.néHte-qwe dheĝhom(m)

- p.l.néH-te -- with nasal infix > Sanskrit prnāāta
- p.lH.-ete $=$ fill $--2-\mathrm{pl}$ imperative $>$ Latin com-plēte

Then Noah built an altar, and made burnt sacrifices, ute Nahu-potis yago-stëlom dhikset dhūméyet-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$

- yago = worship, hagio -- combining form
- stelom / stolom = stela, stall, stool
- dhūm-éy-et $=$ he smoked -- causative-denominative verb
- Terms for construction: *dhi(n)gh applies to mortar, and *teks to carpentry or textiles, but *str_ng $(h)$ seems less specific and spawns diverse variants in many branches: strike, strong, stringo/strictus, $\sigma \tau \rho \alpha \gamma \gamma \omega$, stru(v)o/structus.
and God having smelled the pleasant smoke,
Deivós-qwe svādum dhūmóm (od)odvōs
- (od)od-vōs = having smelled -- nom-sg of past active participle of root *od
he said in his breast, "I shall not flood the dry land again, and kill the living things


Men are evil, but the earth will always remain, vīróes/oi duséves s.ńti, alyo dheĝhōm manēti en aivons

- s.ńti = they are -- 3rd-pl of athematic root *es, with weak grade in plural
- $\quad$ man-ēt $=$ it shall remain
when you sow and harvest, summer and winter."


## $q^{\text {won }}$-dō (se)seHēsi karpēsi-qwe samróm ĝheimróm-q ${ }^{\text {we }}$

- (se) $\mathrm{seH}-\mathrm{e} \mathrm{s}=$ thou sowest --2 nd-sg subjunctive of root $*$ seseH $>$ Latin seres
- gheim-rom $=$ winter - acc-sg, with optional suffix to match *sam-rom

So Noah became the first tiller of the fields.
ute Nahu-potis p.r.mos aratōr bhuvet
Now God said, "Let us make a pact:

## nūn-qwe voqwet Deivós -- paçoimedha

- The following verbs are all 1 st-pl middle optatives:
- paç-oi-medha $=$ let us make a pact > Latin pangāmus, Greek $\pi \eta \gamma v v o \iota \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$, but Sanskrit paç and Gothic fah attest unvoiced *ç.
- bheidh-oi-medha $=$ let us trust > Latin fidāmus, Greek $\pi \varepsilon \iota \theta o ı \mu \varepsilon \theta \alpha$

Do not eat bloody flesh,
n' edete krovyom memsom

- krovyom = raw, cruor, к $\rho \varepsilon F \alpha \varsigma$
- memsom = meat, mensa

Lest the blood shed by you be remembered as a sin unto you. svō-ne yusbhis ĝhu(s)tóm esar aghesē yusbhos smeroitor

- aghesē / agesē = sin, ache, awe -- inst-sg of abstract neuters. (These two roots have been thoroughly confused. English ache < acan matches Greek $\alpha \gamma \sigma \varsigma$ and Sanskrit $\bar{a} g a$ phonetically, whereas awe < agis matches $\alpha \chi o \varsigma$ and aghas.)
- ghu-tom / ghhus-tom = poured -- acc-sg (N) of past passive participle.
(The root could be either * $\hat{g} h e u$ or $* \hat{g} h u(n) d$. The former yields Greek $\chi \varepsilon F$ and Sanskrit $h u$; the latter Latin fund and Germanic giut.)
- smeroitor / me-mor-oi-tor = it might be remembered -- 3rd-sg passive optative > Latin memorātur, Sanskrit smareta (middle voice)

And I too shall not flood the land again eĝo eti $n$ ' anterō upo-plevōmi tersam

When you shall see the bow after the rain,
$q^{\text {w }}$ on-dō poster v.r.sam bhugóm veidēte

- bhugóm = bow -- acc-sg

Then it shall be a token of the covenant."
ton-dō paçtéus deiçm.n esēti

- deiç-m.n $=$ token -- nom-sg $(\mathrm{N})$ of verbal noun


The men of the earth knew one language but few words. (dh)ĝhomikoes/oi oinam denĝhúm pava-au vërdha ĝnoHnt

- pava $=$ few -- acc-pl (N)
- verdha / vordha = words, verba -- acc-pl (N)
- ĝnoH-ënt $=$ they knew -- athematic aorist $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \gamma v \omega \varepsilon v$

They migrated from the east, and they settled in the plain.

## t.rH.sont austroet viçsënt-qwe p.l.tum

- t.rH.-sënt $=$ they transited $>$ Sanskrit $a t a \overline{r i s ̌ i ̄ t ~}$
- p.l.tum / p.l.H-tum = plain, flat -- acc-sg > Greek $\pi \lambda \alpha \tau v \varsigma$, Sanskrit prtus
- veiç-sënt $=$ they settled -- strong-grade S-aorist of root *veiç > Sanskrit avekšīt

And formed clay and baked it and said,
gloiom dheiksënt peqwisënt-qwe voqwont-qwe

- dheik-sënt $=$ they formed - S-aorist of root *dhi(n)gh > adhaikšīt, finxit
- $\quad$ peqw-sënt $=$ they baked - S-aorist of root *pëq $>$ apaksǐit, coxit $\quad$ (Note that Latin converts ${ }^{*} p e n q^{\mathrm{w}} e>$ quinque, ${ }^{*} p e q^{w}>$ coqu in $\mathrm{P} . . . \mathrm{Q}$ contexts.)


> "Come, let us build ourselves a city, $\mathbf{g}^{\text {w}}$ emete dhidheHyēmë(n) vestum
and a tower with its head in the heavens, ordhom bhorĝhóm-q ${ }^{\text {w }} \mathbf{e}$ kapetē nebhessu
that we may become famous, ute clutoes/oi bhevoimë
lest we be scattered over the earth.
svō-ne st.rH.toes/oi syēmë(n) t.rH. dheĝhom(m)

The Priest-King of Mohenjo Daro

- dhi-dheH-yē-më(n) = let us make, let us do -- 1st-pl optative $>$ Greek $\tau \iota \theta \varepsilon i ̃ \mu \varepsilon v$
- vestum = city, $\alpha \sigma \tau v-$ - acc-sg, supine of *ves = to remain, dwell > English was
- bhorĝhóm = tower, burg, forctis -- acc-sg (M)
- kapetē $=$ head, caput -- inst-sg, E-grade in "weak" cases $>$ Latin capite
- st.rH.-toes / st.rH.-toi = scattered -- past passive participle of root *st.rH. (Skeleton root gets vocalized as Old Latin strātoi, but Sanskrit stīrtās.)
- The compound passive (participle + *es) ultimately adopted in Latin is less cumbersome than the synthetic form: *st.rH.-nu-yē-medha

Then the gods went down to see the man-made city and the tower nūn-qwe niter eisënt Deivói derçtévei vīrōis $q^{w}$.r.tóm vestum bhorĝhóm-qwe

- ni-ter = down, nether
- ei-sënt $=$ they went -- strong-grade S-aorist $>$ Greek $\eta l \sigma \alpha v$, Sanskrit aišus
- derç-tévei $=$ to see - dat-sg of supine from root *derç

And they said, "Behold, they are one people,
voq ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ ont- $\mathbf{q}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathbf{e}$-- derçete, oina touta s.ńti

- touta $=$ people, ethnos $>$ Latin $t o ̄ t u s$, Old English $p \bar{e} o d, \ldots$
and they speak one language,
oinam denĝhúm veqwonti
- Tongue is feminine when used in the specific sense of language.
- $\quad$ veq-onti $=$ they speak -3 rd-pl present $>$ Doric $F \varepsilon \pi \sigma v \tau \iota$, Latin vocant
they have become like us, and this is only the first of their deeds;
n.sbhos som.loes/oi bhebhuvér eti svo(n)ōm dheHmenōm p.r.ma esti
- dheHmenōm $=$ of deeds -- gen-pl $>$ Greek $\theta \varepsilon \mu \alpha \tau \omega v$
- bhe-bhuv-ér $=$ they have become -- 3rd-pl perfect > Latin fuērunt
whatever they want to do, they attain.
$q^{w}$ od dheHtum volyonti, tod dheHtum maghonti
- dheH-tum = the doing -- acc-sg of supine
- vol-y-onti = they want -- 3rd-pl present of root * vël-yö
- magh-onti $=$ they can, they may - 3rd present of root $*$ magh $>$ Greek $\mu \eta \chi \ldots$
- nonç-ontoi $=$ they attain, "carry off" -- 3rd-pl middle present of root *no(n)ç

Come, let us climb down and fell the tower,
g$^{\mathrm{w}}$ emoimë(n) -- ni-skandoimë(n) çadyoimë(n)-qwe bhorĝhóm

- $\quad$ skand-oi-më $(\mathrm{n})=$ let us climb $>$ Latin scandamus, Sanskrit skandema
- çad-y-oi-më(n) = let us fell > Latin caedamus, Sanskrit śadayama
and mix-up language to the humans,
miskoimë(n)-qwe denĝhúm (d)ĝhomikoibhos
- misk-oi-më(n) = let us mix
that one may not grasp the speech of others."
ute ne ghrebhnuyēt oinos alyo(n)ōm voqwóm

So the gods scattered the humans through the earth, to east and west,
ute st.rH.sënt deivoes/oi (d)ĝhomikons t.rH. dheĝhom(m) -- austroei vesq ${ }^{\text {weroei-qwe }}$

- st.rH.-sënt $=$ they scattered $>$ Greek $\varepsilon \sigma \tau o \rho \sigma \varepsilon$
- st.rH.-et -- alternative aorist > Sanskrit astarat
and left the city.
ut' vestum liqwont
Its name shall be Babel.
Hnom.n Bhalbhal esēti


Map of Indo-European migrations created by D Bachmann
(Wikimedia Commons)

## ADAM'S PSALM

Psalm 104 (in traditional Hebrew and modern Protestant numbering) or 103 (in the Septuagint, Vulgate, and King James Version) is a uniquely beautiful composition that bears testimony to the Creator through nature and echoes the early chapters of Genesis. This psalm is unusual in employing no abstract vocabulary, and this feature makes it uniquely apt for demonstrating the poetic potential of the proto-language.

The "translation" recovers the terse character of the original Hebrew text. The style was spoiled in the Septuagint by the wordiness of Greek, which uses articles and particles to tiresome excess.

The psalm is first presented as a whole, in two dialects side-by-side -- one biased to Greek \& Sanskrit as usual, the other some sort of Proto-Latin. Alternative choices of words are shown in parenthesis. The annotation shows roots in bare stem form, with minimal grammatical commentary.


| bhlaĝhoit an.los tom Sont.m Dĝhems-pótim ō Dĝhems-póti Dyeu-páter meĝistos essi tu lukē-bhi çlevesē-qwe vestós tu dyeum tetonta svō skevom tu bh.l.ĝnons vod.r (s)tetogta tu nebhesa yunéksi svō rotom tu HveHtobhis ambhi-perHsi svō petrobhis tva v.rH.dha HveHtōr bherti (...) tu paHur bh.l.ĝont dhidhēsi bhlaĝhmon.m | bhlaghāt anima eiom Sontem Ĝhom-potim ō Ĝhom-poti Dyu-pater megistos ess tu luke clutate-qwe vestos tu dyum tetenesta svei skevōd tu trabens aqwam tetegesta tu ombhrons yunges svei rotōd tu ventois ambhi-trās svei petrois tva verdha ventos bhert tu ognim bhlegontem dhakyes bhlaghmenem |
| :---: | :---: |
| tu dheĝhom(m) bhudhnóm adi dhidhēta ute sa ne tremoito (tresoito) <br> (s)tetogta tam mori-bhi svō vestrō vedōr k.l.noisu uper staHt açuës tvoet v.rH.dhoet apo bhogweto tontroet apo bhogweto <br> H.r.s.nt gworeyes ni-sdontoi-qe p.l.téves adi diçtóm stolóm eti ne-t.rH.menom morgom dhidheHta ute n-anterom upo-plevēti mori tersam | tu ĝhomom bhundhom adi dhedhakesta ut eia ne tremāt tetegesta eiam marīd svē vestrōd aq ${ }^{\text {w }}$ a kolnoisu super stāt acūd tvōd verdhōd apo bhūget tonetrōd apo bhūget orsont ardhoi sup-sedontor-qe plānoi adi dictom stlokom eti ne-trāmenom margenem dhedhakesta ute n -anterōd sub-mezgēt mari tersam |
| bhreuna loukoisu ghevonti çloinoisu srevonti (rinéHnti) p.l.téves ĝhverbhós poHm.n didoH.ńti eçvoes terstum satyontoi Hvéyes veiçonti ambhi garyonti osdoisu medhyöi unétsi k.l.nons ap' v.r.saës tersa tvois bhuktóis (doHtóis) satá | bhunes loukoisu ghundont cleinons enter reivont plānoi ${ }_{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\text {wh }}$ herebhos pōti(one)m (di)dānt ecvoi terstum satyontor avīs veicont ambhi kanont osdoisu medhiōd undes kolnons vrosād tersa tvois bhruktois (donois) satis |
| augéyesi peçúbhos dhalya ĝ.rH.na-qe ute arayēt (kersoit) vīrós aĝróns ute bheryēt karpa apo tersaës poHm.n yestóm ute çerd sperghoit Hbhrūs-qe smeyoito bh.l.ĝéyoito-qwe paHtum-qe ute $q^{\text {werpom Hnerom syēt }}$ | augéyes pecubhos bholya ĝrāna-qe ut arēt vīros aĝrons ute bherāt karpa tersād pōti(one)m bhervitam ute cord sperghāt bhruns-qe meisātor bholgātor-qwe pādhlom ute $q^{\text {worpos nertom syēt }}$ |
| Pergonos meĝ.loes dreuns polHu unésti bh.rH.ĝaes aiĝoes-qwe augsonti Hvéyes nisdóns medhyöi dhidheH.ńti (s)trozdoes perqwoisu veiçonti açrons karkarons ghaidoes kteionti eti çasobhos bhorĝhoes s.ńti | Vorsānos magnons druns plēnōd undet bhrāĝenoi aigoloi-qwe augsont avīs nisdons medhiōd dhidhent trozdoi perqwoisu veicont ardhons karekons ghaidoi ksinont eti casobhos bhorktoi sont |


| meHns.m dhidheHta meHtévei samrons saHul (saHvelyos) vesqwerom gnoHti temos dhidheHta ute bhevoit nokts $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ on-dō ghrabhoet $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$.mskónti ghvēres visvoes v.l.qikoes memsoet ap' vertonti reudonti-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ e deivoet pre(ç)skóntes qon-dō saHul H.r.skétoi austeröi ton-dō i.ńti çeubdhum (çeitum) (lēgdhum) vīrós-au aĝróns eiti verĝeti-qwe do vesq ${ }^{\mathrm{w}}$ erom | mēnsem dhedhakesta metūi ves(ro)ns soul vesperom ĝnōsket temedhrons dhedhakesta ute bhevāt nokts $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ on-dō ghrabhōd $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ enyont $\mathrm{g}^{\text {whēres toutoi }}$ luq ${ }^{\text {wikiki memsōd ab-vertont }}$ reudont-qwe deivōd precontes qon-dō soul oryetor austrei ton-dō eiont cubhitum vīros-au aĝrons eit verget-qwe ad vesperom |
| :---: | :---: |
| ō Dyeus-páter tva verĝa monĝha m.ntī-bhi tvā solvom vevorkta dheĝhōm tvo(n)ōm ktiménōm p.1H.ná $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{eivo}(\mathrm{n})$ ōm p.1H.ná tosmi au meĝ.lom mori esti ne-rēdhomnōm dĝhuvōm p.lH.nóm $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ eivo(n)ōm meĝ.lōm meinōm-qe meĝ.loes ogwhiyes nāHeves-qe tosmi snaH.ńti dhidheHta ute skandoient v.l.moisu | ō Dyu-pater tva vorga plēra mentīd tvād olnom vevorgesta tersa tvo(s)ōm (k)seimenōm plēna $\mathrm{g}^{\text {weivo(s) }}$ ōm plēna toteri au magnom mari est ne-rēmenōm piskōm plēnom $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ eivo(s) o m magnōm meinōm-qe magnoi anguyes nāves-qe toteri nāvont dhedhakesta ute skandānt volvoisu |
| visvoes tubhi derçonti bhogons didoHsi samroisu qon-dō didoHsi ton-dō ghrebhonti (emonti) qon-dō deiçesi ĝhestom ton-dō bhrūsoes su-p.l.néHentoi qon-dō Hbhrūm ap’ vertesi ton-dō anĝhontoi qon-dō dh.mom lambhesi (...) ton-dō m.r.tum i.ńti qon-dō pneum.n st.rH.néusi ton-dō nevō ĝneHtóes novyétoi-qwe tersa | toutoi tubhi dercont bhraktons (di)dās samroisu qon-dō (di)dās ton-dō ad-ghendont (kapyont) qon-dō dices deksterom ton-dō venteroi su-plēyontor qon-dō bhrunt.m ab vertes ton-dō anĝhontor qon-dō an.lom ab-ghendes (kapyes) ton-dō mortum eiont qon-dō an.mom sternes ton-dō novōd ĝnāskontor novéyetor-qwe tersa |
| çlutós syēt potis en aivons aivōm satós syēt potis svoisu verĝoisu dheĝhōm derçeti tremeti-qwe $\mathrm{g}^{\text {worins }}$ tanékti ute toi dhūmonti $g^{w}$.rH.emi potim svō d.lH.ghū gweivōmi seng ${ }^{\text {wh }}$ ōmi potéyei svō d.lH.ghū aivōmi svādus svō medhu syēt voqs me(n)os ĝhoryōmi potim linq"yēnt dúseves apo dĝhmës ne-reĝéves-qwe ne bhevōnti (manōnti) bhlaĝhoit an.los Dĝhems-potim Dyeu-patér.m ĝhvéye svod yaĝyom Hnom.n | clutos syēt potis en aivons aivōm satis syēt potis svois urgois ghomos dercet tremet-qwe ocrins tanget ut eioi dhūmont $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ rātōm potim svei longhōd $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ eivōm kanōm potī̀ svei longhōd aivōm svādua svē meli syēt voqs mēa ghoryōm potim linqā̄nt maloi ghomed ne-rektoi-qwe ne bhevānt (manānt) bhlaghāt anima Ĝhom-potim Dyu-patrem en-voqwe svod vērom nomen |

## bhlaĝhoit an.los tom Sont.m Dĝhems-pótim <br> $\overline{0}$ Dĝhems-póti Dyeu-páter meĝistos essi

- bhlaĝh / bhlagh = to bless, brahman, flamen, благо
- an(?)lo / an(?)mo = breath, soul, wind
- tom Sont.m = him who is (acc) = YHWH (יהוה)
- Dĝhems-pótis = Earth Master (gen-nom)
- Dyeus-patē = Sky Father (nom-nom)


## tu lukē-bhic çlevesē-qwe vestós

- luk = light
- çlu-ës = glory, к $\lambda \varepsilon о \varsigma$, слава
- ves $=$ to clothe, vest


## tu dyeum tetonta (tetenesta) svō skevom

- tetonta $=$ tetenesta $=$ tendisti
- skevo = covering, sky
- $\quad s v o \overline{/} / \mathrm{sve}=$ like, as -- Syntax varies when used as preposition. Greek casts its object into same case as the referent, whereas Latin uses the ablative of specification.


## tu bh.l.ĝnons (trabens) vod.r (s)tetogta (tetegesta)

- bh.l.g.g-no = beam, Balken, fulcrum, $\varphi \alpha \lambda \alpha \gamma \xi$, болозно
- trab = beam, thorpe
- $\quad(\mathrm{s})$ teg $=$ to cover, hide
- $(\mathrm{s})$ tetogta $=$ tetegesta $=$ tegisti
tu nebhesa yunéksi svō rotom
- $\mathrm{yu}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{g}=$ to yoke
- roto $=$ chariot


## tu HveHtorbhis ambhi-persi svō petrobhis

- vē-tor / vē-to / ven-to = wind (either subject or object of veH= to blow)
- perH = to pass -- reduplicated in Sanskrit
- pet-ro = wing


## tva v.rH.dha HveHtōr bherti (...)

- v.rH.dho = verb, word, $\mathrm{F}^{\mathrm{P} \eta \mu \alpha}$
- Synonyms connoting to carry or transport: bher, tol-yë, por-yë, veghh, ne(n)ç, ...
tu bh.l.ĝont.m ogním (paHur) dhidhēsi bhlaghmon.m
- Pun on homophony between flagrans $/ \varphi \lambda \varepsilon \gamma \omega v$ and brahman/flamen
tu dheĝhom(m) bhudhnóm adi dhedhēta (dhedhakesta)
- bhudh-no = bottom, Boden, fundus
- dhedhēta $=$ dhedhakesta $=$ fhefhakeste $=$ fēcisti
ute sa ne tremoito (tresoito)
- trem / tres $=$ tremble, $\tau \rho \varepsilon \mu \varepsilon ı / \tau \rho \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$


## (s)tetogta tam mori-bhi svō vestrom

vedōr k.l.noisu uper staHt
açuës tvoet v.rH.dhoet apo bhogweto (bhuget)

- k.l.no = hill, collis, клон
- açu / açru = sharp, acute, acrid
- $\quad \mathrm{bhog}^{\mathrm{w}}=$ to fear, $\varphi о \beta \varepsilon \varepsilon \iota$
- $\quad$ bhug $=$ to flee, $\varphi \varepsilon \cup \gamma \varepsilon ı$


## tontroet apo bhogweto

- ton-tro $=$ thunder, tonitrus


## H.r.s.nt gworeyes (açroi) (ardhoi) ni-sdontoi-qe p.l.téves (plānoi)

- H.r. = to rise, oritur, opvvor
- $g^{\mathrm{w}}$ ori $=$ mountain, giri, гора
- ardho = high, arduus, o $\rho \theta$ os
- açro = high, ocris, $\alpha \kappa \rho о \varsigma$


## adi diçtóm stolóm (stlokom)

- stolo / stloko = locus


## eti ne-t.rH.menom morgom dhidheHta

- t.rH. = pass through, transit
- $\quad$-meno $=-$ able
ute n-anterom upo-plevēti (sub-mezĝēti) mori tersam
- sub-mez $\hat{g}=$ submerge


## bhreuna (bhunes) loukoisu ghevonti (ghundonti)

- bhreun = spring, Brunnen, $\varphi \rho \varepsilon \alpha \rho-$ an R/N stem
- $\quad$ bhun $=$ font - possibly altered to avoid confusion with front (forehead)
- louko = clearing, lea, lūcus
- gheu / ghund = to pour, giessen, fundere, $\chi \varepsilon F \varepsilon \iota$
çloinoisu srevonti (rinéHnti)
- çloino = incline, lean
- $\quad$ sreu $=$ to flow, stream, ${ }^{`} \rho \varepsilon F \varepsilon \iota$
- rei / ri(n)H = to flow, run
- Latin rīvus could derive from either root.


## p.l.téves ĝhverbhós poHm.n (potionem) didoH.ńti (dant) <br> eçvoes terstum satyontoi

- terstu $=$ thirst, dryness (supine form used as adjective)


## Hvéyes veiçonti ambhi

garyonti osdoisu medhyöi

- $\quad$ osdo $=$ branch, Ast


## unétsi k.l.nons ap' v.r.saës

tersa tvois bhuktóis (bhruktois) satá

- bhug $>$ bhukto $=$ functus (cf. break, fractus)
- bhrug $^{w}>$ bhrukto $=$ fructus


## augéyesi pecúbhos dhalya ĝ.rH.na-qe <br> ute arayēt (kersoit) vīrós aĝróns <br> ute bheryēt karpa apo tersaës

poHm.n yestóm (potionem bhervitam) ute çerd sperghoit Hbhrūs-qe smeyoito (mirāt) bh.l.géyoito-qwe

- yesto = yeasted, brewed
- spergh $=$ to spring, $\sigma \pi \varepsilon \rho \chi \varepsilon ı$
- $\mathrm{Hbhru}=$ brow, front, o甲pus
- smei $=$ to smile, miratur, смеется
paHtum-qe (paHdhrom) ute $q^{\text {werpom Hneróm syēt }}$
- paHtu / paHdhro = food, pabulum
- $\mathrm{q}^{\mathrm{w}}$ erpo = body, mid-riff, corpus
- Hnero $=$ strong (cf. Hner $=\alpha \nu \eta \rho$ )


## Pergonos (Vorsanos) meg.loes dreuns polHu unésti (undes)

bh.rH.ĝaes aiĝoes-qwe (aigoloi) augsonti

- I have heretically reimagined Pergonos and Vorsanos as sons of Dyeu-pater.
- Pergonos = Parjanya, Perkunas, Fjorgun -- a lesser rain-god
- Vorsanos = Ouranos -- a lesser sky-god
- bh.rH.ğo = birch, fraxinus, береза
- aiĝo = oak


## Hvéyes nisdóns medhyöi dhidheH.ńti

(s)trozdoes perqwoisu veiçonti

- (s)trozdo = thrush, turdus, $\sigma \tau \rho o v \theta o \varsigma$
açrons karkarons (karekons) ghaidoes kteionti
eti çasobhos bhorĝhoes s.ńti
- karkaro / kareko = hard, craggy, stony
- ghaido = wild goat, haedus
- ktei $=$ to possess or dwell, $\kappa \tau \alpha \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$
- bhorĝho / bhorgho = high place, refuge
- çaso = hare
meHns.m dhidheHta meHtévei samrons
saHul (saHvelyos) vesq"erom ĝnoHti
temos (temedhrons) dhidheHta ute bhevoit nokts
- temedhro = tenebra
- $\quad$ vesqwero = west, vesper, вечер
$\mathbf{q}^{\text {won }}$-dō ghrobhoet $\mathbf{g}^{\mathbf{w} . m s k o ́ n t i}$ ĝhvēres visvoes (toutoi)
- ghrobho = lair, grave
- $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$.msk $=\beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon \iota$-- iterative of $\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{em} / \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ en-yo
- olno / touto = all, total
- solvo $/$ visvo $=$ all, whole, solus, Flбos
v.l.q"ikoes memsoet ap' vertonti reudonti-qwe deivoet pre(ç)skóntes
- memso $=$ meat, mensa
qon-dō saHul H.r.skétoi austeröi
ton-dō i.ńti çeubdhum (çeitum) (lēgdhum)
- austero = east (cf. dawn)
- çeubh = to lie down, cubere
- çei = to lie down, $\kappa \varepsilon \iota \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$
- $\quad$ leg $=$ to lie down, $\lambda \varepsilon \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha \downarrow$
vīrós-au aĝróns eiti
verĝeti-qwe do (ad) vesq ${ }^{\text {werom }}$
- verĝ / verg = to work, F $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \varepsilon \tau \alpha 1$


## $\overline{0}$ Dyeus-páter tva verĝa monĝha

- monĝho $/$ mongho $=$ many, много, maha $($ cf. me $\hat{g}=$ mickle, magnus, $\mu \varepsilon \gamma \alpha \lambda о \varsigma)$
m.ntī-bhi tvā solvom vevorĝta (veverĝesta)
- $\quad$ menti $=$ mind


## dheĝhōm tvo(n)ōm ktiménōm p.lH.ná <br> $\mathbf{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$ eivo(n)ōm p.lH.ná

- ktei-m.n = possession, home, $\kappa \tau \varepsilon \mu \mu \alpha$
tosmi (toteri) au meg.lom mori esti
ne-rēdhomnōm dgwhuvōm p.lH.nóm g'eivo(n) $^{\mathbf{o}} \mathrm{m}$ meg. $10 \bar{m}$ meinōm-qe
- rēdh / rē = reason, count, rētur/ratio, $\alpha \rho 1 \theta \mu \mathrm{os}$
- meino $=$ minor, from verb root $m i(n) H=$ to diminish
meg.loes ogwhiyes nāHeves-qe tosmi snaH.ńti
dhidheHta ute skandoient v.l.moisu (volvoisu)
- $\quad$ skand = jump, scandere
- v.l.na / v.l.ma = wave, Welle, волна, ūrmī


## visvoes tubhi derçonti

- $\quad$ derç $=$ to look, $\delta \varepsilon \rho \kappa \varepsilon \tau \alpha ı$


## bhogons didoHsi samroisu

## qon-dō didoHsi

ton-dō ghrebhonti (...)

- There are many verbs connoting take, seize, have, grasp, and figuratively understand, but none of them is preserved in more than three branches, and any nuanced distinctions among them are unknown: em, la(m)bh, ghe(n)d, ghrebh, kap-yë, ghabh-yë
- $\quad$ bhogo $=$ share of food <to break $=$ Sanskrit bhajati >bhaga $(\mathrm{cf}$. Greek $\varphi \alpha \gamma)$
- bhougo $=$ share of food $<$ to make use $=$ Sanskrit bhunákti $>$ bhoga
- bhrukto $=$ share of food $<$ to make use $=$ Latin frangit $>$ fractus
qon-dō deiçesi ĝhestom
ton-dō bhrūsoes su-p.l.néHentoi
qon-dō Hbhrūm ap' vertesi
ton-dō anĝhontoi
- anĝh = be anxious
qon-dō dh.mom lambhési (...)
ton-dō m.r.tum i.ńti
qon-dō pneum.n st.rH.néusi
ton-dō nevō ĝneHtóes
- nevō $=$ anew -- adverbial use of instrumental case


## novyétoi-qwe tersa

çlutós syēt potis en aivons aivōm
satós syēt potis svoisu vergoisu
dheĝhōm derçeti tremeti-qwe
$g^{\text {worins }}$ tanékti (tanget) ute toi dhūmonti
 seng"hōmi (kanōm) potéyei svō d.lH.ghū (longōd) aivōmi svadévia svō medhu (meli) syēt voqs me(n)os

- gw.r.H -- This root has two meanings, both with reference to the throat:
- (1) he swallows, devours = жрёт, vorat, $\beta \iota \beta \rho о \omega \sigma \kappa \varepsilon ı$, giráti
- (2) he sings praise = жрёт, grātitur, gūrdháyati, grňāti
- sengwh = to sing, `o $\mu \varphi \eta$
- $\mathrm{kan}=$ to sing, hen, cantat


## ghhoryōmi potim

- ĝhor-yë = to desire, horitur, $\chi \alpha 1 \rho \varepsilon \iota$
linq"yēnt duséves apo dĝhmës
ne-regéves-q ${ }^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{e}$ (ne-reçtoi) ne bhevōnti (manōnti)
bhlaĝhoit an.los Dĝhems-potim Dyeus-patér.m
ĝhvéye svod yaĝyom Hnom.n
- ghvéy / gheu = to call upon, зовёт


## ORTHOGRAPHY AND GRAMMAR



In the course of composing Before Babel in a reconstructed language, I was obliged to make numerous arbitrary decisions about orthography, grammar, and usage. Since this is not a scholarly work, I shall not bother to defend them. Herewith a rundown of my idiosyncratic conventions and a more-or-less mainstream précis of grammar ...


## P.I.E. ROOT TEMPLATES

- The basic root structure was Consonant-Vowel-Consonant (CVC), in which the "V" could be a pure vowel, a diphthong, or a liquid (hence, CEC, CEUC, CRC), and the "C" could also be a cluster (hence, SCR_NC).
- Rare initial clusters included PT, PN, KN, and the permutable "thorn" clusters: KT = TK, GD = DG, GDh = DGh, ...
- Many roots were prefixed with an erratically preserved ("mobile") S, and some were internally nasalized, but these capricious modifications rarely served to differentiate quasi-homophonous roots.
- Certain sequences of voice and aspiration were originally forbidden (especially D_G, D_Gh, and Dh_K) as well as roots containing two consonants of the identical class (e.g., labials, dentals, palato-velars, labio-velars, or liquids). However, these uncommon sequences could be generated via reduplication or by operation of Grassmann's Law.
- Taking these constraints and unequal letter frequencies into account, we may estimate that the root template would generate only 600-900 roots, without regard to distinctions among the basic pure vowels. The template could generate a larger number of roots by making distinctions among pure vowels, or by making more use of the rarer phonemes.


## ORTHOGRAPHIC CONVENTIONS



- The hypothetical laryngeals are simply written $H$ where they serve to generate dialectical vowel prefixes (e.g., o-vo $\alpha, \alpha-\sigma \tau \eta \rho, \varepsilon-\rho \varepsilon \beta o \varsigma)$, or to "close" CV roots (e.g., *dheH, doH, $s t a H)$. The opaque notation $h^{1}, h^{2}, h^{3}$ might be clarified by writing $h^{e}, h^{a}, h^{o}$ instead, but linguists continue to debate the number of distinct laryngeals and their correspondence to Semitic counterparts, עע ח ה.
- Colored vowels are commonly supposed to derive from laryngeals (i.e., $A<* h^{2}$ ), but the three basic vowels are simply written $E / A / O$ in accord with pronunciation, because the bare largyngeals are unspeakable. How would you say $* h^{2}-r-h^{2}$ without actual vowels?
- Germanic and Balto-Slavic merged the two back vowels, and Sanskrit merged all three basic vowels, but Latin and Greek preserve the three-way distinction, the ratio in roots (though not stems or endings) being roughly $\mathrm{E}: \mathrm{A}: \mathrm{O}=44: 33: 22 \%$. Pokorny's lexicon gives one the impression that $E$ 's were overwhelming most common, but whatever the origin of colored vowels, $A$ 's could not have been rare. Comparison of paired cognates between Latin and Greek shows roughly $70 \%$ agreement, or as much as $80 \%$ agreement when allowances for routine $E / O$ gradation are made, and this implies that majority rule is a fairly reliable way to identify original $A$ 's.
- Ambiguous vowels: The colored vowel $A$ is identified on the basis of classical evidence, unless Greek and Latin disagree. In the absence of other evidence, a colored vowel may be suspected from the absence of telltale $E / O$ gradation. Vowels of variable or uncertain grade are written $\ddot{E} / O ̈$.
- CAC roots (e.g., svad) are problematic because there is no appropriate interior slot for the ${ }^{*} h^{2}$ laryngeal in the structural template. Such roots are written with $A / \bar{A}$ in accord with actual pronunciation.
- The semi-vowels are written $I / U$ in diphthongs or zero-grade contexts, but $V / Y$ when they serve as consonants without syllabic value.
- Long vowels inside CVC roots are attributed to routine $v \underset{r}{\text { - }} d h \bar{\imath}$ gradation, but long vowels elsewhere may arise in other ways: $\bar{o}<o e$.
- The unvoiced velars are written $C ̧ / K / Q^{w} / C ̧ V$, the voiced velars $\hat{G} / G / G^{w} / \hat{G} V$, and the aspirated velars $\hat{G} h / G h / G^{w} h / \hat{G} h V$. The evidence for a four-way distinction among unvoiced velars is very strong, but the $G / \hat{G}$ distinction is shaky.
- Velars are left unmarked by default in the absence of clear evidence to the contrary, to wit, transformation to sibilants in satem languages or to labials in certain centum languages. (Sanskrit muddled the putative $G / \hat{G}$ distinction by palatizing both, at least before erstwhile front vowels. Consequently, only Balto-Slavic evidence can be fully trusted to distinguish $G / G h$ from $\hat{G} / \hat{G} h$. Dillemmas arise when Balto-Slavic and IndoPersian disagree.)
- The syllabic consonants, traditionally written $R / L /(M / N$, are written idiosyncratically here, with dots to either side as potential insertion points for vowels. Thus, roots written in skeleton form as $C . R . C$ may fill out as $C \ddot{E} R C$ or $C R \ddot{E} C$. Liquids combined with laryngeals -- written.$R H$. and.$L H$. between consonants -- may yield $\bar{i} r / \bar{u} r$ in Sanskrit, but $r \bar{e} / r \bar{a}$ in Latin, and $\rho \eta / \rho \omega$ in Greek. (Two-sided combinations such as $\delta \underline{\delta \lambda \imath \chi o \varsigma, ~}$ $\sigma \tau о \rho \varepsilon v v v \mu l, \kappa \varepsilon \rho \alpha v v v \mu \iota, \beta \alpha \lambda \alpha v o \varsigma$ also reflect uncontroversial laryngeals, but Latin culmus,

- Pronunciation -- Those of us not privileged to have been born an Arya in a previous life find it difficult to pronounce the voiced aspirates $B h / D h / G h / G^{w} h$. One will not incur bad karma by pronouncing them as $B \beta / D \partial / G \gamma / F w$. In order to maintain the $C ̧ / K$ distinction, one may pronounce $C ̧$ as $\check{S}$, and likewise $\hat{G}$ as $\check{Z}$.



## PRÉCIS OF GRAMMAR

My grammatical conventions are biased toward Greek and Sanskrit, but they eschew certain clearly dialectical features of this recognized sub-family:

- Grassmann's Law -- deaspiration of the initial phoneme in doubly aspirated contexts such as dheighhos > Greek $\tau \varepsilon \iota \chi o \varsigma$ and Sanskrit dehas
- Past-tense augments, used to mark the Imperfect and the Aorist
- Initial vowels of laryngeal origin, when unique to Greek



## SYNTACTIC CONVENTIONS

- Word order follows the rule of focus-first, which overrides default SOV order.

Restrictive adjectives and possessives are assumed to precede the nouns they modify, but non-restrictive and complex modifiers are placed in trailing position to avoid hideous left-branching structures. We have pompous priests and ancient bards to thank for the convoluted syntactic structures that torment us today.

- Conjunctions are indispensable. It is difficult to say much of interest without them. The pedigreed enclitics $q^{w} e, a u, v \bar{e}$ are used with simple, strictly parallel elements; whereas ute, eti, alyo, $s v \bar{o}, q^{w} o d$ are favored with complex elements such as clauses, and they are usually placed in leading position.
- Particles have come to play a triple role as prefixes, prepositions, and adverbs. They are used sparingly here to clarify the usage of noun cases, and they are often associated with the verb instead.

Particles used as Adverbs, Prepositions, and Prefixes

| Basic Form | Meaning | Suffixed Form | Meaning |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| per, pro | before | proter, proti | against |
| (s)upo | below, down | (s)uper(i) | above, up |
| en | in, into | enter | between |
| vi | apart | viter | against |
| ni | down | niter | lower than |
| pos | behind, after | poster | behind, after |
| ana, nō | on, onto, upward | anti | against, in front |
| apo, po | from | apter | after |
| ud | out | utter | outside |
| som | together, with | sonter | asunder |
| kom | together, with | konter | against |
| ecs, eg | out, ex |  |  |
| epi, ob | on |  |  |
| ambhi | about |  |  |
| adi, adhi | to |  |  |
| t.r.H | through, across |  |  |
| bhi | (instrumental) |  |  |
| medhi | amid, between |  |  |
| do, de | to, from |  |  |



## CONJUGATION OF VERBS
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- Tenses and moods -- Greek and Sanskrit display an elaborate system of verb inflections, which may be partly dialectical, since these two branches constitute a recognized subfamily. The tenses include Present, Imperfect, Future, Perfect, and several different Aorist formations; whereas the moods include Indicative, Subjunctive, and Optative, at least in the Present tense. The aspectual distinctions among past-tense formations are muddled in Sanskrit, but they have been either maintained or sharpened in Greek.
- Suffixes and infixes -- The Rix-Cogwill theory lays out the conjugation classes of the Present tense, but it does not provide a convincing account of their aspectual differences, which are speculatively attributed to Aktionsart. Besides causative, factitive, denominative, and inchoative-iterative classes, there are enigmatic nasal infixes and suffixes. The nasal elements were used promiscuously in Latin and Sanskrit, but they are applied
sparingly here. They may have served to disambiguate verbs with homophonous roots (e.g., *ves $=$ dwell or clothe, ${ }^{*} p u H=$ purify or putrefy, *gh.r.bh $=$ grab or groove) but each branch made its own choices. There may also have been subtle aspectual distinctions among the various Aorist formations, but they are now obscure, so one must lean on attested forms.
- Voice -- There were Active and Middle voices in Greek and Sanskrit; but there is an RPassive in Latin, Celtic, and Hittite. The Middle voice was properly used in a reflexive sense, but it was also used (quite superfluously) with many inherently intransitive verbs. In the absence of a rule, one is obliged to follow attested forms.
- Personal endings -- Last but not least, endings, 'nuff said.

So now, in painful detail ...
Present-Tense Classes of a Model Verb (3rd-sg \& 3rd-pl, Active)

| Class | Indicative | Subjunctive | Optative |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thematic | deuk-eti <br> (Sanskrit Class-1) | deuk-ēti <br> deuk-ōnti | deuk-oi-t <br> deuk-oi-ent |
| Stative, Fientive, Factitive <br> (Sanskrit Class-4) | deuk-y-eti <br> deuk-y-onti | deuk-y-ēti <br> deuk-y-ōnti | deuk-y-oi-t <br> deuk-y-oi-ent |
| Causative <br> (Sanskrit Class-10) | duk-éy-eti <br> duk-éy-onti | duk-éy-ēti <br> duk-éy-ōnti | duk-éy-oi-t <br> duk-éy-oi-ent |
| Inchoative-Iterative <br> (Sanskrit Class-6) | du(k)-sk-éti <br> du(k)-sk-ónti | du(k)-sk-ēti <br> du(k)-sk-ōnti | du(k)-sk-ói-t <br> du(k)-sk-ói-ent |
| Thematic + Nasal Infix <br> (Sanskrit Class-6) | dunk-éti <br> dunk-ónti | dunk-ēti <br> dunk-ōnti | dunk-ói-t <br> dunk-ói-ent |
| Athematic <br> (Sanskrit Class-2) | deuk-ti <br> duk-ńti, -énti | deuk-e-ti <br> deuk-e-nti | duk-yē-t <br> duk-yē-nt |
| Accented Nasal Infix <br> (Sanskrit Class-7) | dunék-ti <br> dunk-ńti, -énti | dunék-eti <br> dunék-enti | dunk-yē-t <br> dunk-yē-nt |
| Nasal Suffix NU <br> (Sanskrit Class-5) | duk-néu-ti <br> duk-nu-ńti, -énti | duk-neu-eti <br> duk-neu-enti | duk-nu-yē-t <br> duk-nu-yē-nt |

- Definitions
- Causative verbs signify to cause an action.
- Inchoative/inceptive verbs signify to begin an action.
- Stative/essive verbs signify to possess a quality.
- Fientive verbs signify to acquire a quality.
- Factitive verbs signify to impart a quality, or to make into a thing. (They may be described as deadjectival or denominative.)
- Other denominative verbs signify to function as a thing.
- The suffix -skë- yields prccháti $=p o(r)$ scit and gacchati $=\beta \alpha \sigma \kappa \varepsilon l$, as well as inchoatives in Latin, iteratives in Greek and Hittite, and the -ish in English.
- Suffixes reconstructed as $-y \ddot{e}-,-h^{l} y \ddot{e}-,-e h^{I}$-, and -eyë- convey a spectrum of stative, fientive, factitive, and causative meanings, but they get mixed-up semantically as well as phonetically, and no branch makes a four-way distinction. (Greek $\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \lambda \omega<\sigma \tau \varepsilon \lambda \underline{\imath} \omega$ and $\beta \alpha \imath v \omega<\beta \alpha v \iota \omega$ versus коғєळ; Latin -venio, -caveo; Sanskrit -ya-, -áya-; and Slavic -i(и), -е̌- (Б) all show two-way distinctions; whereas Germanic weak verbs display a single suffix -j-.)
- There are further denominative verbs bearing the widespread suffix $-\bar{a}-<e h^{2}$. Hittite uses the manifestly laryngeal suffix -ahh- on denominative verbs.
- Many (but not all) Class-6 verbs in Sanskrit, characterized by their accented thematic vowel, are nasalized in the Latin fashion: limpáti $=$ rumpit and pimiçáti $=$ piŋjk-te $=$ pingit, but not tudáti $=$ tundit.
- There is some correlation between the use of Class-7 nasal infixes in Sanskrit and nasal infixes in Latin: rinákti $=$ linquit, chinátti $=s c i n d i t, \quad$ bhinátti $=$ findit, pinásti $=$ pinsit, bhunákte $=$ fungitur. (Given the paucity of examples, the statistical significance of this correlation bears further analysis.)
- With few exceptions, the nasal suffix applied to CVC roots in Sanskrit \& Greek is -nu-, but with $\mathrm{CV}(\mathrm{H})$ roots $-n \bar{a}$ - or simply $-n$ - (e.g., $\varphi \theta l v \varepsilon \imath, \kappa \lambda \imath v \varepsilon l, \kappa \tau \varepsilon \imath v \varepsilon \imath$ ). The suffix -n $\bar{a}-$ could alternatively be regarded as an infix: CVnéH. These elements have no discernable meaning.
- The Slavonic suffix -нж- ( $n \varnothing$ ) attests *non, but Lithuanian -nuo- attests *nō. (Modern Slavic languages pronounce -no- as -nu-, but don't be fooled.) These suffixes are used exclusively on perfective verbs.
- The Germanic languages use nasal suffixes on a few denominative verbs, signifying to-act-like-a-(noun) and to-become-(adjective).
- Hittite uses an infix -nin- and a suffix -nu-, both with causative significance.


## Aorist and Perfect Forms of a Model Verb (3rd-sg \& 3rd-pl, Indicative Active)

| Model | Them. Aorist | Sig. Aorist | Perfect | Participle | Supine |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sanskrit <br> \& Greek | é-duk-et <br> é-duk-ont | é-deuk-s(t) <br> é-deuk-sënt | de-dóuk-e <br> de-duk-ér | duk-tó | dēuk-tu |
| Latin | deuk-et <br> deuk-ont | duk-set <br> duk-sënt | de-duk-e <br> de-duk-ér | duk-tó | duk-tu |

- There is a modest but statistically significant correlation between the form of the Aorist (Thematic vs. Sigmatic) between any pair of classical languages.
- Latin contrasts strong grade in the Present with weak grade in Thematic Aorists (e.g., venit/vēnit), or weak grade in the Present with Sigmatic Aorists (dīcit/dixit). In Greek and Sanskrit, vice versa.
- In Sanskrit as well as Latin, verbs that use any sort of nasal infixes or closures in the Present show weak grade there. Nasal infixes are rare in Greek.


## Reconstructed Personal Endings

|  | Active-1 | Active-2 | S-Aorist Active | Perfect Active | Middle-1 | Middle-2 | Passive |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-sg | $\overline{\mathrm{o}}$, mi | o-m | sëm | $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{e}$ | ai $<\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{oi}$ | $\mathrm{a}<\mathrm{h}^{2} \mathrm{O}$ | ar $<\mathrm{h}^{2}$ or |
| 2-sg | e-si | e-s | s(s) | ta < th ${ }^{2} \mathrm{e}$ | e-soi | e-so | e-sor |
| $3-\mathrm{sg}$ | e-ti | e-t | $\mathrm{s}(\mathrm{t})$ | e | e-toi | e-to | e-tor |
| 1-du | o-vës(i) | o-vë(n) | svë(n) | vé(n) | o-vedhoi | o-vedha | o-vor |
| 2-du | e-tës | e-tëm | stëm | tëm | (use plural forms instead) |  |  |
| 3-du | e-tës | e-tëm | stëm | tëm |  |  |  |
| 1-pl | e-mës(i) | o-më(n) | smë(n) | mé(n) | o-medhoi | o-medha | o-mor |
| 2-pl | e-te | e-te | ste | té | e-dhvoi | e-dhvem | e-dhumor |
| 3-pl | o-nti | o-nt | sënt | ér | o-ntoi | o-nto | o-ntor |

Note: $\ddot{e}$ denotes a vowel of variable or uncertain grade or length.

- Primary endings were used with the Present and Future Indicative and also the Subjunctive; secondary endings with the Imperfect and the Optative.
- Athematic roots or suffixes may add either énti or ṇti (written .ńti) in the 3rd-pl.
- There may have been a distinction between the 2nd-dual and 3rd-dual endings, but idiosyncratic variations among languages frustrate identification of the vowel. Those languages that preserve the secondary endings of the dual contrast them as follows: Sanskrit tham/tām, and Greek $\tau o v / \tau \eta v$, but Slavonic flips the contrast to $t a / t e<* t o / t e$.
- The optional final ( $n$ ) on 1st-pl and 1st-dual secondary endings is modeled on Greek $\mu \varepsilon v$ and Hittite weni, but Sanskrit omits it.
- The S-aorist endings employed in various languages are highly idiosyncratic. Vedic and Slavonic suggest that the 2nd-sg and 3rd-sg may not have borne any ending at all, but Classical Sanskrit and Greek inserted vowels to make ss and st easier to pronounce. The tense sign could also be combined with Perfect endings to yield sa, sta, se, ... ser.
- Sanskrit invented Middle forms for the S-Aorist and the Perfect, but they have no support from Greek.


## Participles and Verbal Nouns

| Type | Suffix | Comments |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Present Active | o-nt- | formed from 3rd-pl |
| Present Passive | o-meno- | often conveys "-able" |
| Perfect Active | vōs, us- | reduplicated |
| Past Passive | tó- or nó- | always athematic |
|  | tu- or ti- | focus on action |
| Infinitive (M or F) | mṇ, men- | focus on result |
| Verbal noun (N) | tor, tér, tr- | focus on actor |
| Human agent (M) | tro-,tlo-, <br> dhro-, dhlo- <br> focus on meansInstrument (N) |  |



## SEMANTIC PROPERTIES OF VERB CLASSES

One daring claim of the Rix-Cowgill theory contends that telic verbs (i.e., those that express actions with natural endpoints) tended to form root aorists, whereas atelic verbs tended to form root presents, and that the nasal elements in the present and the sigmatic element in the aorist served the purpose of aspect-switching. By this token, nasalized presents should go with root aorists, and root presents with S -aorists. (It should be understood that the original root formations eventually became thematic.)

In his last published work, Lexikon der Indogermanischen Verben, the late Helmut Rix was more circumspect than others who try to speak in his name. James Clackson, for one, misuses the LIV data to mount a flawed defense of the claim in question. He tallies the data and notes, "Nasal infix presents of the type linekw ${ }^{w}$ are overwhelmingly found beside root aorist formations." However, he neglects to tally the counter-examples in order to establish that infixed presents are disproportionately found beside root aorists. And yet he dares to conclude, "The nasal infix is used in late PIE to form imperfective present stems from telic verbs."

Consider the data: Infixed presents are abundant in Latin, but not in Sanskrit. If we arrange the pedigreed verbs (excluding those with V-suffixed and reduplicated perfects) to look for correlations, we see that Latin data tend to refute Clackson's first statement. Do you perceive a correlation between verb classes and Aktionsart? I do not.

| LATIN | Them. Aorist | Sig. Aorist |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Root Present | ag/ēg, cap/cēp, ed/ēd, em/ēm, faci/fēc, fodi/fōd, fru/fru, fugi/fūg, gradi/grad, $\mathrm{i} / \overline{1}, ~ l o q u / l o q u, ~ l u / l u$, ru/ru, su/su, spu/spu, sed/sēd, scab/scāb, stat/stet, veni/vēn, vert/vert, vide/vīd, | auge/aux, coqu/cox, clep/cleps, dīc/dix, dūc/dux, frīg/frix, lūd/lūs, mane/mans, reg/rex, scrïb/scrips, sculp/sculps, speci/spex, torq/tors, trah/trax, trūd/trūs, turge/turs, sūg/sūx, teg/tex, ūr/uss, urge/urs, verg/vers, veh/vex, vīv/vix, |
| Infixed Present | fend/fend, frang/frēg, fund/füd, hend/hend, linqu/liqu, rump/rūp, scand/scand, | ang/anx, find/finx, <br> jung/funx,  <br> jung/junx, ling/linx, <br> mung/munx, ning/minx, <br> plang/planx, ningu/nix, <br> string/strinx, ungu/unx,  |

Tense, Mood, Aspect, Aktionsart (and all that)

| Tempus | Present, Past, Future (self-explanatory) <br> Aorist $=$ customary tense of narration, usually remote past <br> Future $=$ predictive, can also express feasible conditions |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mood | Indicative $=$ usual mood of discourse or narration <br> Subjunctive $=$ injunctive, potential, or conditional <br> Optative $=$ expresses first- and third-person imperatives, <br> wishes, purposes, and contra-factual conditions |
| Aspect | Imperfective $=$ habitual, progressive, or stative <br> Perfective $=$ expresses completed action <br> Anterior $=$ expresses completed actions that bear upon <br> subsequent states, or occur prior to subsequent events |
| Aktionsart | Telic $=$ closed-ended, may be either punctual or durative <br> Atelic $=$ open-ended, may be either durative or stative |

- The Perfect "tense" is actually Present-Anterior. It expresses completed actions that bear upon the present. For example, has seen means knows.
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- Stem classes and case endings -- There are two distinct declensions. The athematic declension encompasses I, U, A, and consonantal ("C") stems, and the thematic or O-stem declension, which has idiosyncratic case endings.
- Accent and gradation -- Current theory maintains that the athematic declension employed kinetic accent and dynamic vowel grade. The evidence is contradictory and confusing, because recorded languages have generally fixed the position of the accent, although they may show persistent vowel gradation. In deference to current consensus, we follow paradigms that allow for these phenomena.
- Gender -- the joy of sex. A majority of inanimate nouns are masculine by default, but derivational suffixes determine gender. Trees and insects tend to be feminine, but substances tend to be neuter. Things of nature are feminine (e.g., the Earth, Light, Night) when personified as a goddess.


## Reconstructed Case Endings

| Case | Singular |  | Dual |  | Plural |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Athem. | O-stem | Athem. | O-stem | Athem. | O-stem |
| Voc | \# | e | H | $\mathrm{oH}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ | es | oes, oi |
| Nom | s, - | os | H | $\mathrm{oH}>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ | es, os, s | oes, oi |
| Acc | m | om | H | oH $>\overline{\text { o }}$ | ns | ons |
| Gen | es, os, s | osyo | us | ous | ōm | o(?) $\overline{\text { ōm }}$ |
| Abl | es, os, s | oet > $\overline{\text { ot }}$ | bhōm | obhōm | bhos | oibhos |
| Dat | ei | oei $>\overline{\text { ōi }}$ | bhōm | obhōm | bhos | oibhos |
| Inst | $\mathrm{eH}>\overline{\mathrm{e}}$ | oH $>\overline{\mathrm{o}}$ | bhōm | obhōm | bhis | ōis |
| Loc | i | oi, ei | us | ous | su | oisu |

- The nom-sg ending on R, L, M, N, and A-stems (sonants) is generally suppressed, but compensatory lengthening takes place: tors $>t \bar{o} r$, etc.
- Recorded languages struggle to make distinctions among the nom-sg, gen-sg, and nom-pl endings -- all three being variations upon es/os/s. Kinetic accent and/or dynamic gradation help to distinguish the gen-sg.
- The gen-sg ending, generically written $\ddot{e} s$, is realized in various grades, and even in null grade: évës >eus, éyës >eis.
- The loc-sg ending $i$ is thought to be a late development, and it never draws the kinetic accent, hence udéni vice udní ("in the water").
- The nom-pl ending oi employed in Italo-Celtic and Greek was presumably borrowed from the pronominal declension. Latin seems to have had provincial dialects that favored $\bar{o} s<o e s$ over $\bar{l}<o i$.
- The inst-pl ending was simplified from oibhis to ōis at some stage. The former appears abundantly in Vedic texts, but the latter is attested in many branches.
- The dat/abl-pl ending is almost too similar to the inst-pl ending, but Germanic and Slavic have $-m$ - wherever Sanskrit and Italo-Celtic have - $b h$-. One school of thought argues that the dat-pl ending was properly mos, and the inst-pl ending bhis. (This theory is not half so amusing as my own waggish idea that the substitution was due to a stuffed-up nose. As the Elephant's Child said to the Crocodile who stretched his nose into a modern trunk in Rudyard Kipling's story, "You are hurtig be.")
- The inst-sg ending is ostensibly nothing but an elongated vowel, but instrumental constructs with bhi yield Greek $\varphi l(v)$ and Slavic $o m(b)$.
- The abl-sg ending $e t$ or $e d$ is attested by Hittite it. It is applied to all five stem classes in Old Latin, but only to the O-stem declension in Sanskrit.


## Kinetic Accent and Dynamic Gradation in Athematic Declensions

|  | Strong Form | Weak Form |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Father | patér- | patr-' |
| Brother | bhrā'ter- | bhrā'tr- |
| Tree (F) | dréu- | dru- ${ }^{-}$ |
| Wood (N) | dóru | dórv- |
| Sky | dyéu- | div-' |
| Ship | naHéu- | naHu-' |
| Son | suHnéu- | suHnu-' |
| Dog | çvón- | çun-' |
| Door | dvór- | dur-' |
| Earth | dhéghom- | (d) $\mathrm{ghhm}^{\prime}$ |
| Generic | $\mathrm{Co}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}$ - <br> Cē'C- <br> CéuC- <br> CénC- | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CeC-' } \\ & \mathrm{CeC}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{CuC}^{\prime} \\ & \mathrm{CnC} \mathbf{-}^{\prime} \end{aligned}$ |
| Suffixed | CV'C-os $\mathrm{CV}^{\prime} \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{tu}$, ti-CV'C-m CV'C-tor-CVC-tér- | CV'C-es- <br> CVC-éu, éi, téu, téi- <br> CVC-mén- <br> CV'C-tor- <br> CVC- tr- ${ }^{-}$or -tri'- |
| R/N-stem | védor or vód-r | védn- or udn-' |

－O－stems bear static accent，either on the root or the stem．
－The NAV cases（except possibly for the acc－pl）are considered＂strong＂cases．The principle of kinetic accent is that the accent advances toward the end in＂weak＂cases，but it recedes to the root in the voc－sg．
－The professional terminology is designed to bewilder：acrostatic，proterokinetic， hysterokinetic，amphikinetic，etc．Why not say leapfrog？
－The＂weak＂form of U／I－stems is ostensibly $C V C$－éu，éi ，but the suffix gets reduced to ú，í before the endings bhos，bhis，su．The accent is unaffected．
－In Greek and Sanskrit，contra Ringe，the＂weak＂form of the suffix tér is $t r-$＇before vowels，but $t r^{\prime}$－before bhos，bhis，su．
－Theories about water，and about $\mathrm{R} / \mathrm{N}$－stems generally，are in flux．Recent theories， subject to incessant revision，argue for a distinction between water the substance and bodies thereof，but the newer theories are not necessarily less fishy．
－A good reference on this topic is Don Ringe＇s From Proto－Indo－European to Proto－ Germanic，but his presentation is doctrinaire，and the reader should take the details with salt．（He was probably wrong to conflate tree with wood．）Wikipedia，that dubious modern oracle，throws its weight behind Ringe＇s paradigms．

The application of these rules to＂tree＂and＂wood＂－－key words in the tales－－is tricky．Sanskrit and Greek make a clear distinction：drus vs $d \bar{a} r u$ ，and $\delta \rho \tilde{v} \varsigma ~ v s ~ \delta o ́ \rho v . ~ S a n s k r i t ~ d e c l i n e s ~ t h e s e ~ n o u n s ~$ as regular U－stems，but Greek declines them differently from U－stem adjectives，which are included for comparison．Neither language shows kinetic accent on these words．

Declensions of＂Tree＂and＂Wood＂in Sanskrit \＆Greek

| Case | per Ringe | Tree | Tree | Wood | Adjective |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nom－sg | ＊dóru | drus | $\delta \rho u ̃ \varsigma$ | ठópv | $\beta$ 人pús |
| acc－sg | ＊dóru | drum | ठ¢ṽv | ठópv | $\beta \alpha \rho$ v́v |
| gen－sg | ＊dréus | dros（ăus） | ঠриós | סov́pos | $\beta$ роє́Fos |
| dat－sg | ＊drévei | drave（avăi） | ס识 | סoúpı | $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ F ı$ |
| inst－sg | ＊drúh ${ }^{1}$ | druna，dṛvā |  |  |  |
| loc－sg | ＊drévi | drāu |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| nom－pl | ＊dóruh ${ }^{2}$ | dravas | סри́عऽ | סоṽ $\alpha$ | 阝аре́Fє¢ |
| acc－pl | ＊dóruh ${ }^{2}$ | drūs（uns） | $\delta \rho u ̃ ¢ ~(v v ¢) ~$ | ठoṽp $\alpha$ | ＝nom－pl |
| gen－pl | ＊drévoHom | drūnām | ¢ $¢$ vóv | రov́pov | $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ F \omega v$ |
| dat－pl | ＊drúmos | drubhyas |  |  |  |
| inst－pl | ＊drúbhi | drubhis |  |  |  |
| loc－pl | ＊drúsu | drusu | סрuбí | סov́ $\varepsilon^{\circ} \sigma \sigma$ ı | $\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ \sigma ı$ |

Umlaut：$\delta o v \rho$＜$\delta o \rho F$ ．Digamma was lost in classic Greek：$\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon \imath_{\varsigma}<\beta \alpha \rho \varepsilon ́ F \varepsilon \varsigma$.

The declension of "sky" demonstrates kinetic accent and dynamic gradation more clearly, and the classical languages agree closely.

## Declension of "Sky" in Sanskrit \& Greek

| Case | per Clackson | Sanskrit | Greek |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| nom-sg | *dyeu-s | dyaus | Z\&ũs |
| acc-sg | *dyeu-m | dívam | $\Delta \mathrm{i}$ F $\alpha$ |
| gen-sg | *div-és | divás | $\Delta$ ¢ós |
| dat-sg | *div-éi | divé | $\Delta \mathrm{L}$ í |
| inst-sg | *div-éh ${ }^{1}$ | divā |  |
| loc-sg | *dyév-i | diví |  |



## DERIVATIONAL SUFFIXES

- Common adjectival suffixes include -ó, -tó, -nó, -ló, -kó, -liko, -yo, and -u.
- Another common suffix appearing on both nouns and adjectives is -ró.
- Comparatives and superlatives are formed with -yos/isto or -tero/temo.
- Nouns possessing qualities can be made from adjectives with -mon or -mén. (Compare verbal nouns formed with -mn or occasionally -mo.)
- The only widely attested suffix for expressing abstract qualities as nouns is -ës. Dialectical suffixes include -tāti (F), -osti (F), and -tvo (N).
- Animate agent nouns are routinely made from verbs with -tor or -tér.
- Inanimate instruments are expressed with -tro, -tlo, -dhro, -dhlo (N)
- The common diminutive suffixes are unaccented -ko and -lo.
- Feminine forms bear the suffixes -ia or simply -a<h2.


The standard reference work of Indo-European lexicography is Julius Pokorny's hypertrophic Indogermanisches Etymologisches Wörterbuch, which lists 2,222 suspected roots. Many users conclude that Pokorny set low thresholds for phonetic and semantic matching. It is difficult to discredit any given entry by objective criteria, but many of them are very doubtful.

An even more fantastical work is Calvert Watkins's American Heritage Dictionary of IndoEuropean Roots. The few of his fanciful etymologies that can be verified serve to make the point that a given root can spawn derivatives with very diverse meanings.

Unlike these bloated horrors, my conservative mini-lexicon is confined to roughly 700 adequately attested roots, plus variants and derivatives. It is apparent that Pokorny chose to err on the side of including doubtful items, whereas I choose to exclude them. I rate the items in terms of the number of major branches of the Indo-European tree that attest them, but there is no sound way to identify and discount inter-branch loans.

I have split off the most obvious Greco-Latin loanwords, but roughly one-quarter of the remaining items are thinly attested, being found in no more than two branches. Such items are particularly suspect when the branches in question are in geographic or cultural contact. It is even evident that the Balto-Slavic branch must have been in cultural contact with the Indo-Persian branch at some point.

A significant number of the thinly attested roots are found only in Greek and Sanskrit, which are thought to constitute an eastern sub-family on account of their virtually identical verb systems. These items should be deemed dialectical.

Some even more thoroughly attested items are suspect for a different reason. Just as animals have legs, so do their names, and plants can travel too. Such items should be deemed post-P.I.E. Wanderwoerter unless their distribution is nearly universal.

Roots found in only one branch are effectively lost, because there is no sound way to distinguish them from roots newly coined within that branch. There is no way to know how many valid roots have been dismissed for lack of a second attestation.

It is possible to tell the damnedest lies with statistics, and bloated compilations that include unknown amounts of spurious material furnish the raw material for mischief. One might hope that a well-scrubbed database of indisputably inherited roots would provide reliable material for statistical investigations of phonological or grammatical phenomena. For example, it might be possible to test selected claims of laryngeal theory and the Rix-Cowgill theory of verb classes by examining inter-branch correlations.

It is difficult to make the proper phonetic distinctions between quasi-homophonous roots. The working vocabularies of recorded languages typically comprise 3,000 roots, but the monosyllabic template does not generate quite so many distinct roots unless all essential phonetic distinctions are taken into account. Unfortunately, the key phonetic distinctions have sometimes been effaced in the attesting branches. Distinctions among pure vowels, among velar sub-classes, and among aspiration states are most frequently elusive.

It is also difficult to make the proper semantic distinctions among quasi-synonymous roots. There are too many alternative terms for fire, flame, and burning; for grasping, capture, taking, and having; and so forth.

Topical arrangement highlights the preoccupations of P.I.E. culture: family, farming, fishing, food, fire, fighting, religion, rule, and crafts. The working vocabulary of the proto-language must have been rich in specialized terms, but only a fraction of the specialized terms can be recovered.

The full mini-lexicon takes the form of two downloadable Excel files: (1) sorted root list without supporting attestations, and (2) a topically arranged spreadsheet of attestations, along with my snide, amateurish, and speculative comments. I am perpetually revising these files as I come to regret my prior follies. ${ }^{1}$ A simplified version of the root list is appended below, without notes on reliability or distribution.

## Sorted Root List

```
MEANING
hear, acoustic
man, strong, andro-
star
blow like wind
remain, dwell > was
want
free, liber (cf. people, grow)
nine
darkness, erebos
belch, eructate
red, ruddy, erythro-
brow, front
tooth < eating
```

RECONSTRUCTED FORMS
(a)kous
(a)ner
(a)ster
(a) veH
(a) ves
(e) $\mathrm{g}^{w h . I . H}$
(e)leudh-ro
(e)nev.m
(e)regw-ës-
(e)reug
(e)rudh-ro
(o)bhrū
(o)dont

[^0]navel
rain, cloud, umbra
mist, fog, rain < micturate
nail
name
reach, arrive (cf. REG)
run, flow
apple
stone, hammer, anvil
sharp, pointed, high, acrid, sour
to
drive, act
driven animal, goat
field, acre
axle, shoulder
awe, fear
ache, pain; sin, distress
axe
lamb, agnus
heat, burn, kindle
oak
early, morning
bronze, ore
eternity, eon, ever
life, health
young
nourish, aliment > old, tall, full-grown
other, but, otherwise
all
white
elk, fawn, hind
alder
around, both
end
other, second
breathe > soul, anima
onto, until, ante, anti
duck, anet
narrow > anxious
ankle, joint, corner
asuras, aesir
water, riverbank
reach, attain, touch, apt
from, after
water
arm, joint
white, silver, clear
earth, pasture, tillage
rowing, oar
plough, oar
(o)mbh-lo
(o)mbh-ro
(o)miĝh-lo
(o)nogh
(o)nomen
(o)reig
(o)ri(n)H
abl-o, aml-o, māl-o (?)
ac-mon
ac-u, -ru, -ri, -ro
adi, adhi
aĝ
aĝ > āĝ-o, aĝ-yo, aiĝ
aĝ > aĝ-ró
aĝ > aks-i, -lo
agh-ës, ag-ës-
agh-o, ag-o
agwesi, aksi, aski (?)
$a^{w}{ }^{w n}$-o
aidh $>\mathrm{i}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{dh}$
aiĝ-o, aiĝolo, aĝōlo (?)
air, ayer
ais, ayes
aiv > aiv-ó
aiv $>$ ayu
aiv > yov-en, yun-ko
al > al-tó
al > al-yo, al-tero
al > ol-no > ol-lo
albh-o
alc-yo
aln-o
ambhi
an > an-tó
an > an-yo, an-tero
an(ə) > an-mo, -lo
ana, anu, anti, na
anat-í, ant-í
anĝh-u
angw-lo, angu-lo
ans-u
āp
āp, (y)ap (?)
apo, ap-ter
aq-o
ar > ar-mo, ar-dhro
arĝ-u
arH > ar-tó
arH > rē ... -tro, -dhro, -mo
arH > rō ... -tro, -dhro, -dhlo

```
arrow
bear, ursus
righteous, ready
noble, aryan, aristo-
autumn, harvest
however (enclitic)
wax, eke, augment
burn
dawn, aurora
dawn, east
spring, vernal
away
bird
grandparent, uncle (mother's side)
big, strong, good
flame, torch, char, black, bleach
beam, phalanx
blow, inflate
blue, flavus, white
bladder, follis, phallos
bloom, flora, folia, phylla
burg, barrow, fort, high place
bright
boil, brew, yeast
birch (cf. bright)
bean, fava
beech
announce, show, appear
beard, barba
barley, farina
thick, fat, pachy-
beaver (cf. brown)
good, well-being, better
run, frighten
fear
fidelity, bide, federate
bind
bear, carry
be (fientive), become
alert, wake; notice
bow, bend
flee, frighten
bee
split, fission, bite
bless > priest, brahman, flamen
bake, prepare food
bore, split
bare, barefoot
brother
break
```

arq-o
artk-o > arkt-o > .r.kt-o
art-o
ār-yo
asen-
au
aug-éyo, auks, vaks-yo
aus > aus, eus, ves
aus > aus-ës-
aus $>$ aus-tero
aus > ves-ër, ves-ro
avo, au
av-í > vi
av-o
bel-o, bol-sko (?)
bh.l.ĝ
bh.l.ĝ-no > bhalanĝ
bh.l.H > bhlē-s, bhlē-w
bh.I. $\mathrm{H}>$ bhlē-vo, bhēl-o
bh.I.H > bhol-yo, bhlē-dhro
bh.l.H > bhol-yo, bhlō-ës, bhlō-mo
bh.r.ĝh > bhorĝ-o
bh.r.ĝ-o
bh.r.v
bh.rH.ĝ-o
bha-bha, bha-ko
bhāg-o
bhaH, bhan-yo
bhardh-a/o
bhars
bhe(n)ĝh-u
bhebher-o
bhed-ro
bhegw-yo
bhei
bheidh
bhendh
bher
bheu, bhū
bheudh
bheuĝ(h)
bheug-yo
bheu-ko
bhi(n)d
bhlagh > bhlagh-món
bhog
bhor
bhos-o
bhrāter
bhre(n) $\hat{g}$

```
grumble, thunder
fry, roast
well, spring
belly, breast
partake, use, brook, fruct-
brow, front
partake, function
bottom, fundus
goat, buck, bull
short, fast, brevi-, brachy-
stalk, straw, reed
hundred
heart
have faith or trust, put-heart
herd, crowd, troop
separate, sieve, riddle
mix, cook
fall, cause downfall
shitty, bad
cold
toil, tire
hoof
goat, boar, deer (cf. hoof)
hare
hate, battle, enemy
lie down
horn
gray, hoary
incline, (h)lean
arse, tail, thigh, buttock
hear, listen
heard-of, renown, fame
branch, plough
shell, conch
shoulder, hip
whistle
white
sacrifice, housel, sanctify, purify
dog, hound
green, cyan, black
long, dolicho-
look
tree, wood, oak
tears
brother-in-law (husband's brother)
tame, domestic
to, from
ten
finger, digit < ten
day < division
```

bhrem
bhresĝ
bhreu-R/N
bhreus-o
bhrūgw
bhruH
bhu(n)ĝ
bhudh-no, -men
bhūĝ-o, bhuk-ko
bregwh-u, mregwhu
c.l.m-o > colmo, calamo
c.n.tom
c.r.d
c.r.d-dheH
c.r.dh-o
c.r. $\mathrm{H}>$ crei $>$ crei-dhro
c.r.H $>$ cri(n)H, c.r.H-nu
cad-(é)yo
cak-o
cal-dho
cam(n)H, cam-yo
cap-o
cap-ró
cas-o
cat ... -ro, -ru
cei
cer-no, -o
cēr-o
cli(n)H > cloi-nó
cloun-i
clu(n)H, cl(n)u
clu(n)H > cluH-ës
cōk-o
congh-o
cub-o
cveis
cveit-o, cveid-o
cven-dh > cundh, cventó
cvon, cun
cvyan-o, cān-o, cēn-o, cein-o (?)
d.IH.gh-u
d.r.c
d.r.v > doru, dreu
d-acr-u
daiver, daigwer
dam-o
de, do
dec.m
dec-to-lo
dei > di-no, dei-no, -mo, -to (?)

```
point out, teach
right
house, timber
household, people
tongue (cf. call out)
tooth < eating
tear
pull, tug, tow
right, duty
sleep > dream
pull, drag, draw, hold tight
dare, brave
daddy, uncle
divide
foliage, thallo-
burn
day
do, put
suck, suckle > teat
run, flow
yesterday
humus, ground (feminine)
human, chthonian, of the ground
fish, ichthys
decline, phthisis
dough, mortar, shape, wall
bad, evil, deception
daughter
smoke > breath, soul
smoke > dusk, dust, fuscus
door
give
run
work or fight together
town, fence, fort
mal-, dys- (prefix)
hatred, enemy
two
god < sky
day < sky
god < sky-father
out of, ex
horse
eat, feed
tooth < eating
hedgehog
I (nominative form)
go
seek, ask
that (is,ea,id)
```

deic
deks-o, deks-tero
dem $>$ dem-dhro $>$ dem-bhro
dem > dem-o, dom-o, dom-u
d-en-ĝhu
dent < ed-ont
der
deuk
dh.l.gh
dh.r.+ > dhrei, dhroim, dhroum (?)
dh.r.ĝh
dh.r.s
dhadh-o, dhei-o
dhail
dhal-yo
dheĝh
dheĝh > dheĝh-ó
dheH
dheH, dhei, dhēl (?)
dheu
dh'ĝhes-ter > ĝhester
dh'ĝhom > ĝdhom
dh'ĝhom > ĝhomon
dh'ĝhū > ĝdhū
dh'g ${ }^{w h i ̄ ~>~ g w d h i(n) H ~}$
dhi(n)ĝh
dhreug
dhughater > dhukter
dhuH > dhū-mó
dhuH > dhū-sko
dhver, dūr
doH
drom
drough
dū-no
dus
dus-men, -món
dvo
dyu > deiv-ó
dyu > dyeu, div
dyu > dyu-patér
ecs, eĝ
ecv-o, eq-o
ed
ed $>$ od-ont $>$ dent
eĝh-i
eĝo, eĝho
ei
ei > ei-sk
ei-o, yo, yosmo
ice
elk, fawn, hind
wound, ulcer
buy, seize
in, into
sword
boar
atop, around
goat, sheep, aries
be (perm.)
blood
and even, and also
breath, soul, atman
heart, veins
wide, broad
sister-in-law (brother's wife)
graph, scribe, carve (cf. groove)
grow old and gray, geronto-
grain
call, cry out
call, cry out
indeed (enclitic)
cold
kin, kind
son-in-law
beget, be born
chin, cheek (feminine)
knee (neuter)
chew (cf. jaw)
taste, choose
dig, grave, groove (cf. carve)
grab, grip, grasp (fig. understand)
go, approach
give, have, take
goat
goose, gander
get, grasp
defecate
go, walk, leg
gape, yawn
winter
yellow, gold
desire, yearn, greed
yesterday
hand
pour, libate
call, cry out (cf. tongue)
smooth, glabrous
ground, earth (feminine)
man, of the ground
eis
el > el-ni-o, el-it (?)
elc-ës-
em
en, en-ter
ens-i
eper-o = vepr-i (?)
epi $>$ ob
eri-o, arvi-ko, vervi-ko (?)
es
esa-R/N
eti
ētm-én, -ó
èt-ro, ēt-or
eur-u
g.lou(s)
g.r.bh > grabh
ĝ.r.H > ĝer, ĝrē-u
ĝ.rH.n > ĝërn-o, ĝrān-o
gal > gal-yo ...
gar > gar-yo ...
ge, ghe
gël-dho, -u
ĝen > ĝen-ës, ĝen-t
ĝen > ĝen-ró, -tó
ĝen > ĝnā-tó
ĝen-u
ĝen-u
ĝeu
ĝeus
gh.r.bh
gh.r.bh
gh.r.dh-yo
ghabh-(é)yo
ghaid-o
ĝhans, -ro
ghe(n)d
ghed
ĝheH, ĝhen-ĝheH
ĝhei, ĝhai, ĝhan-yo (?)
ĝheim ... -ro
ĝhel ... -u, -to, -ro
gher-yo > gher-no
ghester $=$ dh'ghes-ter
ĝhes-to, ĝhes-ro
ĝheu, ĝheud, ĝhu(n)d (?)
ĝheu, ĝhve-yo (?)
ghladh-o
ĝhom = dh'ĝhom
ĝhomen = dh'ĝhomen

```
garden, fence
gut-string, yarn, hernia
gorse, horror (hair standing on end)
stranger, guest
glow
wild animal, ferox, thera
cleave, split
clay, cleave, glue
can, know
peg, nail, comb, tooth
bird calls (echoic)
throat > swallow, cry out
acorn
calf, womb, globus, brephos, delphys
heavy, difficult, serious, sad
throat > praise, call out
mountain
throat > swallow, devour
live, alive
kill, torment, hurt
come, go
woman, wife
want
decline, phthisis
kill, harm, smite, strike
burn, heat > warm
animal's den
granule, grind, frendere, hordeum
cow
bow
island
hill-top, rise
bald
circle, ring
cart, harrow; to plough
black
beloved, friend
hailstone
one-eyed, blind
healthy, hale, whole
forest, heath
beautiful, kallo
curve, bend, bow, camber
sing, throat, hen
hemp
head
capture
hard, harsh, stony
fruit, harvest
hollow > cup, bowl
```

ghordh-o
ĝhorn-o
ghors-yo
ghost-i
ĝhvel
ĝhvēr = gwhēr
gleubh > skulp
gloi ... -o, -no, -to
ĝnoH
ĝombh-o
gran-o, grak-o, grū
gw.l._ > gol, glu
gw.IH.nd, gw.IH.n-o
$g^{w}$.r.bh-o $=$ gw$^{w} . l . b h-u$
gw.r.H > guru, grav, $\beta a \rho u$
$g^{w}$.r. $\mathrm{H}>$ g $^{\mathrm{w}} . r$.(n) $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}}$.rH.dh-yo
$g^{w} . r . H>g^{w}$ ora, giri
$g^{w}$.r. $\mathrm{H}>$ vora, $\beta \rho \omega$
$g^{w}$ eiv, gwigw $^{\text {w }}$ o
gwel-yo
gwem, gwen-yo, gw.N-sko
gwen-a
$g^{w h} . I . H$
gwh'dhi(n)H = dh'gwhi(n)H
$g^{w h e n}$
gwher > gwhër-mo $^{\text {w }}$
gwhōlyo
gwhre(n)dh
$\mathrm{g}^{\mathrm{w}} \mathrm{OV}$
$g^{w} y-0$
k.l.mo > kolmo, holm
k.l.no > koll, klon, kolon
k.l.v-o > kalvo
k.r.gh-o > krengho, kerko
k.r.s
k.r.sn-o
kā ... -ro, -ra, -mo, -ma (?)
kagh-lo
kaik-o
kail-o
kait-o
kal-yo
kamb
kan
kaneb
kap-ot, kap-olo
kap-yo
kar > kar-dho, kar-kar-o
karp-o
kau > kauk-o, kauc-o

```
hew wood
hollow > bone, reed, stalk
high, heap
hind (hornless)
limp, march
skeleton
cherry, red fruit tree
hart, cerf (cf. horn)
hide, conceal
(h)lade, load, place
clamor, (h)laugh
close, exclude > (key)
steal, thieve
clean
shinbone, ham
gnat, (h)nit
nut
hook, claw
hip, coccyx, vertebra
split wood
neck
with, together; against
war, army, reprisal
hazel
bone, rib
sense > hear, acoustic
sense > pay attention, show
heap, accumulate
bird calls, croak, cough
onion, garlic, (h)ramp
meat, raw, blood
with
kill, harm, cten- (cf. phthisis)
possess, reside > home
grasp, catch
hare
bark, bay, weep, howl, babble
left
salmon, lox
lake, puddle, hole
desire, lust, wanton
reach, climb, surmount
lip
lie, lay
light, easy, swift
let, allow, lenient
lick (cf. tongue = *denĝhu)
grease, glue, adhere
lumbar
long
```

kau > kau-yo, kau-dh
kau > kav-o, kau-lo
kauk-o
kem-o
keng
kenk-lo
keremus-o
kër-vo
keudh, kūdh
klādh
klag, klak
klāv-i, klau-dh (?)
klep-t
klūd-o
knā-mo, kon-mo
kneid-a
knū > (k)nūd, (k)nūk
kog-...
koks-o
kol
kols-o
kom, kon-ter
kor-yo
kosel-o
kost-??
kou > (a)kou-s
kou > kov-eyo, s-kou-yo
koup-yo
kra..., k.r.k
krems-o
krov-yo, krov-i, kroiv-o
ksun
kten-yo
kti(n) > ktoi-mo, kti-tu
la(m)bh
lagus-o, algus-o (?)
laH
laiv-o, lav-yo
laks-o
lak-u, lag-u
las-yo
lë(n)ĝh
leb-a
legh
$l^{l} \mathrm{~g}^{w h} \mathrm{~h}$
leH > lēd, lēn (?)
leiĝh
leip
lendh-o
lëngh-o < d.IH.gh-o

| desire, love, enjoy | leubh-yo |
| :---: | :---: |
| people, free, grow | leudh-o |
| tell a lie | leugh |
| light, illumination | leuk |
| leave | li(n)q |
| linen | līn-o |
| play | loid, lusd (?) |
| wash, lye, lather | lou |
| foliage, leaf | loubh-o |
| lea | louk-o |
| loosen, solve, dissolve | lū, lūs |
| louse | lūs |
| soft, mild < milled | m.l._ > m.l.-dho, m.l.-du |
| mill | m.l._ > m.l.-yo |
| milk | m.l.g > lact-o, galakt (?) |
| dead, death, murder | m.r._> m.r.-tó, m.r.-dhro |
| die | m.r._ > m.r.-yó |
| forget | m.r.s |
| not | mā |
| can, may | magh |
| boy, girl | maghu, maqu |
| neck, mane | man-yo |
| mark, margin, border, road | marg-o |
| ocean | mari, mori (?) |
| mare | mark-o |
| mother | mātér |
| me (oblique form) | me |
| mix, mingle | me(n)gh |
| crush | me(n)k |
| honey, mead | medh-u, mel-i |
| amid, middle | medhy-o |
| much, big, mega- | meĝ-o, meĝ-lo, moĝ-no |
| measure (cf. moon, month) | meH > med, met, mēr |
| moon, month | meH > mēn-s, men-ōt |
| measure, merit | $\mathrm{meH}>$ mēr-éyo |
| mix, mingle | meisk = meiks |
| deception, evil, mal | mel, mol, mal |
| multi, melior, $\mu \alpha \lambda \alpha$ | mel, mol, mal |
| blue-black, painted | melan-ó |
| meat | mems-o, mens-o |
| remain | men |
| remember, mind | men > me-men, men-ti |
| boy, girl (cf. mortal) | meryo-ko |
| submerge, plunge | mesg > merg |
| micturate, uninate | $\mathrm{mi}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{g} \mathrm{h}$ |
| mist, fog, rain | mi(n)ĝh > miĝh-lo |
| diminish > minor | mi(n)H > mein-o |
| wage, reward | misd-o |
| speak incoherently | mluH |
| mutual exchange, common | moin |


| fur, hide much, many | mois-o <br> mongh-o |
| :---: | :---: |
| soon | moqs |
| ant, pis-mire (cf. worm) | morvi-ko |
| marrow, brain | mosĝ-o > marg-o |
| moss, wet | mos-o, mosk-o |
| mucus, blow the nose, nostril | $\mathrm{mu}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{g}>$ muk-ter |
| mute, mystery, mutter | mūd-yo |
| moo | mūk, mūg-yo |
| mouse | mūs |
| fly, midge, mosquito | mūs-a, musk-a |
| granny, nanny | nan-a, ann-a |
| water | nār-o |
| nose | nās-o |
| not, un- | ne |
| attain, carry | ne(n)c, no(n)c |
| fog, heaven | nebh > nebh-ës, nebh-lo, ombh-ro |
| kidney | negwh-ro |
| lead | nei |
| nephew, grandson | nep-ōt |
| man, strong, andro- | ner-o |
| need, be necessary, use | neud |
| nine | nev.m = enev.m |
| new | nev-o |
| wash, clean | $n i(n) \mathrm{g}^{w}$ |
| nether, down | ni, ni-ter |
| nest < sit down | ni-sd-ó |
| navel | nobh > nobh-o, ombh-lo |
| kill, harm, be noxious | noc ... -(é) yo |
| nail | nogh > nogh-lo, onogh |
| naked | nogw ... -ó, -nó, -tó |
| night | nokt, neqwt |
| name | nomen = onomen |
| we, us (oblique forms) | nos, n.smo |
| now | nūn |
| eight | oct.m, octo |
| swift | ōc-u |
| smell | od-(é)yo |
| fire | ogn-i |
| one | oin-o |
| forearm, elbow, ulna | olena |
| raw, uncooked | ōm-0 |
| shoulder | oms-0 |
| anoint | ongw, ong |
| snake, eel, ophidian | ongwh-i, ogwh-i |
| eye | oq-o |
| raise, rise, ortho, arduous | or ... -yo, -nu, -sko, -dho |
| eagle, erne | or > or-no, or-lo |
| orphan, heir, worker | orbh-o |
| testicle, male | orĝh-i |

```
arse, tail, thigh, buttock
mouth, oral
branch, twig
ash tree
bone, rib
aurochs, bison
ear
sheep, ewe
egg (cf. bird = AVI)
fill
full, complete
plural, poly-
folk, plethora, plebs
feel, palm, finger
field, flat, plain
before,...
first, prime
pass through
fasten (fig. binding promise)
fall, fall upon
feed > fodder, pabulum
feed > shepherd
fire
father
few, small, child
livestock, property
chest, wing, flank
axe (weapon)
skin, pelt
five
cook, digest
fart
oak, fir
heel
last year
penis
fly, dart
fern
feather, wing
paint, color
pound > sand, dust
pound > pestle
fat (substance)
fish
beat; weep, complain (fig. beat breast)
plait
swim, float, flow
flea
sneeze, pneuma
foot
```

ors-o
ōs
osd-o
os-ko, os-no, os-i (?)
ost-i
our-o
ous
ov-i
ōvi-o, oi-o (?)
p.l. $\mathrm{H}^{1}>$ p.l.(n) H
p.l. $\mathrm{H}^{1}>$ plē-nó, plē-tó
p.l. $\mathrm{H}^{1}>$ pol-ú
p.l. $\mathrm{H}^{1}>$ pol-yo, pol-ko, plē-dho
p.I. $\mathrm{H}^{2}>$ pāl, pala-ma, pāl-ko (?)
p.I. $\mathrm{H}^{2}>$ plā-nó, p.l.-tó, p.l.-tú
p.r._ > per, pro, prai
p.r._ > per-mo, prī-mo
p.r.H > pera, por-tó
pac, pa(n)g
pad
paH ... -dhro, -dhlo, -no
paH > paHs
paH-v-R/N
patér
pau ... -ró, -ko
pecu
pekt-o
pelecu
pel-to, pel-no
penqe
peq
perd
perq-o
persn-a
peruti
pes > pes-no, pes-lo, pes-ës-
pet
pet > pern, papart, pterid ...
pet > pet-tro
pi(n)ĝ, pi(n)c, peic, poic
pi(n)s > pē(n)s-o
pi(n)s $>$ pis-tlo
piH-v-R/N
pisk-o, pisk-i
pla(n)g, plāk
plec-t
pleu
plūs-a
pneu-s, sneu-s
pod

```
drink
pale, pallid, fallow
foal, young
path, bridge (2)
piglet, farrow
after, post
master, husband
ask, request
be friends, be at peace
freeze
against
sneeze
fight with fists, puncture
purify, cleanse
foul, stink, rot, pus
make, create
worm
body, midriff
cut, shorten
and (enclitic)
four
who, what
price, penalty
circle, wheel
buy
regulate, direct, reach
ruler, rex, reich
righteous, upright
reach, arrive
darkness, erebos (cf. ARĜU)
discuss, reason, converse, count
item of property
tear, cut, scratch
ride
rigid (cf. REG)
rope, rod
weep, wail, roar
red, ruddy, erythro-
belch, eructate
run, flow
wheel, ride, chariot
rip, rob, rive, rift, bereave
care, love, respect, guard, sorrow
seek, follow
sun
salt
summer
understanding
satisfied, perfect
sit, set
poH, pib, pi(n)
pol-o
pōl-o
pont-o
porc-o
pos, pos-ter
pot-i
prec-sk
prei
preus ... -to, no, vo
pro-ter, pro-ti
pter, ster
pu(n)g
puH ... pu-tā, pu-ro, pu(n)H
puH ... pu-téyo, pu-yo, pu-lo
q.r._ > qer
q.r.m-i = v.r.m-i
q.r.p-o
q.r.t = s-k.r.t
qe
qetv.r
qo, qi
qoin-a
qol-o, qoql-o
qri(n)H
reg
reg > rēg, rēg-én
reg > reg-u
reg > rëig > rënk (?)
regw-ës-
reH, rē-dh
rei
reic
reidh
reig-ó
rësg-o
rëud
rëudh-o, -ro
rëug
ri(n)H, ri-nu
ro-tó
ru(m)p, roubh
s.r.gh, st.r.g, st.rH.g (?)
sāg
saH-v-L/N, sāvel-yo
sal
sam-ro
sap-yo
sa-tó
sed > sed-(é)yo, si-sd
```

| be victorious | seĝh |
| :---: | :---: |
| sow > seed | seH > sē-men, sē-tó, se-s- |
| cut, saw, section | sek |
| six | seks, sveks |
| willow, sallow | selk-o |
| salve (cf. slip, lipo-) | selp-ës-, solp-i |
| half | sēmi |
| sing | sëng ${ }^{\text {wh }}$ |
| old, senior | sen-o |
| seven | sept.m |
| seek, follow; say; see | seq |
| serpent, reptile | serp-ent |
| dry, sere, sec | seus-o, seus-ko > ses-qo |
| cut, cleave | s-k.l.p |
| cut, shear | s-k.r._ |
| cut, sharp | s-k.r.p |
| cut, shear, shorten | s-k.r.t |
| hollow out | skabh |
| left | skaiv-o, skav-yo |
| jump, climb | skand |
| cover, contain (fig. sky) | s-keu |
| hide, leather | s-keu > s-ku-tó |
| cut, skin, scythe, schizo- | ski(n)d |
| shit, sharn, scato- | sko-R/N |
| shadow, shade | skot-o |
| slack, relax | s-lāg, langu |
| slip, slime, slide, slick, lapse | s-leim, leib, lab |
| cheek, chin, mustache | s-mac-ro |
| smile, smirk | s-mei > smeil, meid, meir |
| remember | s-mer, me-mor |
| stink | s-merd |
| small | s-mol-o |
| berry | s-mor-o |
| mess, mud, smut | s-mūd-o |
| swim | s-naH |
| swim > boat | s-naH > naH-u |
| snake, snail | s-neg-o |
| spin, sew > needle | s-neH > s-nē-dhlo |
| sinew, nerve (cf. sew) | s-neH > s-nēv-R/N > s-neu-ró |
| snow | s-neigwh |
| marry | s-neu > neubh, numbh |
| daughter-in-law | s-neu > s-nus-a/o/u |
| whole, intact, safe | solv-0 |
| with, together; without, hetero | som, son-ter |
| same, all | som-lo |
| that (M/F/N nominative) | sos, sa, tod |
| jump, hurry, be eager, frog | s-p.r.gh > s-pre(n)gh, s-pru(n)g |
| look | s-pec |
| spit, spew | s-peiv > spyū, stiv, ptu |
| spur, ankle > spurn, kick | s-per(n) |

```
spray, sprinkle (cf. strew)
spleen
foam (cf. spit)
arse, tail, thigh, buttock
whale
nose
stream, flow
star, stella, aster
scatter, strew, extend
stand, stop
establish, support
steer, taurus, bull
thatch, cover
step, stamp, trample
stick, puncture, prod
climb, march
(st)locus, stall, stela, stool
resound (cf. thunder)
strong, strict
scrape, shave
arrow
thrush, starling, stroutho-
storm, turbulence, twirl
self, own (cf. TU)
suck, suckle
sow, swine, hog
be fertile, give birth > son
sweet, pleasant
father-in-law (husband's father)
sweat
sleep
sister
so, as, thus
sound, swan
swarthy, black
sew
suffer, bear, carry
speak, interpret, loquacious
rub, abrade, attrite
pull, drag, touch
through, cross, terminus
thorn
twist, turn, torque > spindle
thirsty, dry, terrain
touch, take
thaw, digest
silent, quiet, tacit
heel, sole, flat surface
daddy, uncle
silent, quiet
```

s-per-yo
s-plēĝh-én
s-poim-o
s-pūg-a
s-qal-o
sren
s-reu > sreu-mo, reiv-o
st.r._ > ster-lo, ster-no
st.r.H > st.r(n)H, st.rH.(nu)
staH
staH > stabh, stambh
s-taur-o
s-teg-o
steib
s-teig
steigh
stel-o
s-ton
strë(n)g(h) (?)
streig
strēl-o
s-tro-sd-o
s-tver-mo
su, sv-o
suĝh, suc
suH
suH > suH-nú, -nó, -tó
svād-u
svecur-o
sveid > svoid-ó, -ró
svep
svesor
svō, svei
svon
svord-o
syu > seiv
t.I.H > tlā, tol-yo, tul-yo (?)
t.l.q
t.r._ > ter-yo
t.r.gh
t.r. H > ter, trā
t.r.n-o
t.r.q
t.r.s-o
ta(n)g
taH
tak-o
tāl-o, talamo
tat-o, att-o, tei-o
taus-o

```
thick, dense
flow, rush
beget (fig. flow from source)
weaving, carpentry, techno, textile
axe
dark, darkness, tenebra
cut
tense, stretch
heat, tepid
people, total population
possess > home
kill, harm, cten-
that (oblique)
think, imagine
thunder
house, beam, thorpe
three
tremble
shake
thrust
push, strike, beat, thud
thou, thee, thy
swelling, tumor, thumb
out of
udder
womb, entrails, belly
upward
accustom, learn, teach
oxen
tall, high
under, over, above, up
oven
and then, and thus, so that
wave (cf. wool)
moist, cloud
wool, hair, curl (cf. waves)
drag, pull (cf. helix)
wound
fox
wolf
root, wort, radix
speak > word, verb
speak > rhetor
worm
height, surface, upwards
rain, dew (from on high)
male
become, turn
wield power, ruler; well, valid, prevail
wane, want, waste, vanish, vacuum, vast
```

```
wet > wave
wet > water, wave
alert, wake
convey, weight
or (enclitic)
blow, wind
weave
dwell > village, wick, eco-
see > wit, know
we (nominative form)
win, love
wish
boar
wasp
speak
be aware
work, urge, energy
true, trusted, verity
evening, vesper, west
spring, vernal < burn
clothe > vestment
remain, dwell > was > settlement
price, sale, wares, goods, vend
well, good, eu-
year, old age, veteran
be victorious
apart, against
twenty
widow, batchelor
forest, wood
manly, virile, heroic
poison, medicine
all, same, iso
vow
wax
want, will
vomit
you (oblique forms)
rye
worship, adore, holy, hagio-
copulate
twin
daughter-in-law (brother's wife)
liver, roe
year, hour, season
boil, brew, yeast, juice, zyme
wheat, barley, zea
young (having life)
yoke
you (nominative and extended forms)
```

ved $>\mathrm{u}(\mathrm{n}) \mathrm{d}$
ved $>$ vod-R/N
veĝ
veĝh
veH
veH > vē-to, vē-ter, ven-to
vei = vebh
veic > vic-ó
veid
vei
ven
ven > ven-sko
vepr-i = eper-o
veps-a, vesp-a
veq
ver-(é)yo
verg
vēr-o
ves > veq-ero, vesp-ero, vest-ero
ves > ves-ër, ves-ro, ves-no
ves > ves-ti, -tro
ves > ves-tu, aбtu
vesn-o
vesu, su-
vet-ës-
vi(n)k
vi, viter
vīc.nti < dvīc.nti
vidhev-a/o
vidhu
vī-ró
vīs-ó
visv-o
vog $^{w h}$-(é)yo = eugh
voks-o
vol-yo
vom-(é)yo
vos, usmo
vrūĝhi-o, rūghi-o
yag
yebh-yo
yem-o
yenater
yeq-R/N
yēr-o, yōr-o
yes, yeus, yūs (?)
yev-o
yov-en, yun-ko < aiv
yu(n)g > yug-ó
yu, yusmo
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