

Engineer pioneer plants respond to and affect geomorphic constraints similarly along water-terrestrial interfaces world-wide

Dov Jean-François Corenblit, Andreas Baas, Thorsten Balke, Tjeerd Bouma, François Fromard, Virginia Garófano-Gómez, Eduardo González, Angela M. Gurnell, Borbála Hortobágyi, Frédéric Julien, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Dov Jean-François Corenblit, Andreas Baas, Thorsten Balke, Tjeerd Bouma, François Fromard, et al.. Engineer pioneer plants respond to and affect geomorphic constraints similarly along water-terrestrial interfaces world-wide. Global Ecology and Biogeography, 2015, 24 (12), pp.1363-1376. 10.1111/geb.12373. hal-01229045

HAL Id: hal-01229045 https://hal.science/hal-01229045v1

Submitted on 10 Jul2024

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.





King's Research Portal

DOI: 10.1111/geb.12373

Document Version Early version, also known as pre-print

Link to publication record in King's Research Portal

Citation for published version (APA):

Corenblit, D., Baas, A., Balke, T., Bouma, T., Fromard, F., Garófano-Gómez, V., González, E., Gurnell, A. M., Hortobágyi, B., Julien, F., Kim, D., Lambs, L., Stallins, J. A., Steiger, J., Tabacchi, E., & Walcker, R. (2015). Engineer pioneer plants respond to and affect geomorphic constraints similarly along water-terrestrial interfaces world-wide. *GLOBAL ECOLOGY AND BIOGEOGRAPHY*, *24*(12), 1363-1376. https://doi.org/10.1111/geb.12373

Citing this paper

Please note that where the full-text provided on King's Research Portal is the Author Accepted Manuscript or Post-Print version this may differ from the final Published version. If citing, it is advised that you check and use the publisher's definitive version for pagination, volume/issue, and date of publication details. And where the final published version is provided on the Research Portal, if citing you are again advised to check the publisher's website for any subsequent corrections.

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the Research Portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognize and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the Research Portal for the purpose of private study or research.
You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the Research Portal

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact librarypure@kcl.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 Engineer pioneer plants respond to and affect geomorphic constraints

2 similarly along water-terrestrial interfaces worldwide

- 3
- 4 Dov Corenblit^{1,2*,} Andreas Baas³, Thorsten Balke⁴, Tjeerd Bouma⁵, François
- 5 Fromard^{6,7}, Virginia Garófano-Gómez^{1,2}, Eduardo González^{6,7,8}, Angela M. Gurnell⁹,
- 6 Borbála Hortobágyi^{1,2}, Frédéric Julien^{6,7}, Daehyun Kim¹⁰, Luc Lambs^{6,7}, J. Anthony
- 7 Stallins¹⁰, Johannes Steiger^{1,2}, Eric Tabacchi^{6,7} and Romain Walcker^{6,7}
- 8
- ¹ Université Clermont Auvergne, Université Blaise Pascal, GEOLAB, BP 10448, 63000 Clermont-
- 10 *Ferrand, France;* ²*CNRS, UMR 6042, GEOLAB Laboratoire de géographie physique et*
- 11 environnementale, 63057 Clermont-Ferrand, France; ³Department of Geography, King's College
- 12 London, London, WC2R 2LS, United Kingdom; ⁴Institute of Biology and Environmental Science, Carl
- 13 von Ossietzky University Oldenburg, Carl-von-Ossietzky-Str. 9-11, D-26129 Oldenburg, Germany;
- ⁵Royal Netherlands Institute for Sea Research (NIOZ), Post Box 140, 4400 AC Yerseke, Netherlands;
- ⁶Université de Toulouse, INP, UPS, 118 Route de Narbonne, 31062 Toulouse, France; ⁷CNRS, UMR
- 16 5245, ECOLAB Laboratoire Ecologie Fonctionnelle et Environnement, 31062 Toulouse, France ;
- ⁸Department of Biological Sciences, University of Denver, F.W. Olin Hall, 2190 E. Iliff Ave. 80208-9010,
- 18 Denver, CO, USA; ⁹School of Geography, Queen Mary University of London, United Kingdom;
- ¹⁰ ¹⁰ University of Kentucky, Department of Geography, Lexington, KY USA 40506, USA.
- 20
- 21 **Keywords:** biogeomorphic ecosystem, biogeomorphic succession, ecosystem
- 22 engineer, plant trait, eco-evolutionary dynamics, niche construction, river, mangrove,
- 23 salt marsh, coastal dune
- 24 **Running-title:** Biogeomorphic feedbacks along water-terrestrial interfaces
- 25 *Corresponding author: Dov Corenblit, Université Clermont Auvergne, UBP, 4 rue
- Ledru, 63057 Clermont-Ferrand Cedex 1, France; CNRS, UMR 6042, GEOLAB –
- Laboratoire de géographie physique et environnementale, 63057 Clermont-Ferrand,
- 28 France. E-mail : dov.corenblit@univ-bpclermont.fr
- 29 Number of words in the abstract: 239
- 30 Number of words in main body of the paper: 5865
- 31 Number of references: 75

33 ABSTRACT

- 34 **Aim:** Within river and coastal ecosystems worldwide, water, wind and sediment flows
- 35 generate a shifting landscape mosaic composed of bare substrate, pioneer and
- 36 mature vegetation successional stages. Pioneer-plant species that colonise these
- 37 ecosystems at the land-water interface have developed specific traits to
- 38 environmental constraints (response traits) and are able to modify habitat conditions
- by modulating geomorphic processes (effect traits). Changes in the geomorphic
- 40 environment under the control of engineer plants often feed back to organism traits
- 41 (feedback traits), and thereby ecosystem functioning, leading to eco-evolutionary
- 42 dynamics. Here we explain the joint foundations of fluvial and coastal ecosystems
- 43 according to feedbacks between plants and the geomorphic environment.
- 44 **Location:** Dynamic river and coastal ecosystems worldwide.
- 45 Method: Drawing from a pre-existing model of 'fluvial biogeomorphic succession', we
- 46 propose a conceptual framework showing that fluvial and coastal 'biogeomorphic
- 47 ecosystems' are functionally similar due to eco-evolutionary feedbacks between
- 48 plants and geomorphology.
- 49 **Results:** The relationships between plant traits and their geomorphic environments
- 50 within the different fluvial and coastal biogeomorphic ecosystems are identified and
- classified within a framework of biogeomorphic functional similarity according to three
- 52 criteria: (i) pioneer plants develop specific responses to the geomorphic environment;
- 53 (ii) engineer plants modulate the geomorphic environment; (iii) geomorphic changes
- ⁵⁴ under biotic control within biogeomorphic ecosystems feed back to organisms.
- 55 Main conclusions: The conceptual framework of functional similarity proposed here 56 will improve our capacity to analyse, compare, manage and restore fluvial and 57 coastal biogeomorphic ecosystems worldwide by using the same protocols based on 58 the three criteria and the four phases of the biogeomorphic succession model.
- 59

60

61 INTRODUCTION

The geomorphic heterogeneity and variability of fluvial and coastal ecosystems (i.e. 62 rivers, coastal and estuarine salt marshes and mangroves, coastal dunes) makes 63 them among the most dynamic and productive ecosystems over extensive linear 64 stretches of the Earth surface. These ecosystems at the interface between land and 65 water (Fig. 1) encompass an enormous diversity of physical configurations, and 66 species life forms and assemblages, reflecting the regional and local geological, 67 geomorphic and bioclimatic settings. However, they also share common features 68 reflecting the relation between plant dynamics and the geomorphic environment. 69 The structure and function of any physically disturbed fluvial or coastal ecosystem 70 (e.g. meso to macrotidal conditions along the coast, piedmont to plain river reaches) 71 result from feedbacks between plant dynamics and motion of water, wind, and 72 sediment. Based on the strong feedbacks between plants and geomorphology, Balke 73 74 et al. (2014) recently termed fluvial and coastal ecosystems 'biogeomorphic 75 ecosystems' (BE), implying that ecosystem structure and function (i.e. habitat properties and species assemblages; matter and energy fluxes) are emergent 76 properties of plant-geomorphic feedbacks. These feedbacks exist because of the 77 ability of plants to adjust their characteristics to a geomorphologically-dynamic 78 environment by genotypic or phenotypic adaptation, enhancing connectedness (i.e. 79 80 degree to which the integrity of an ecosystem is controlled through internal feedbacks between small and large scale processes), and resistance and resilience (i.e. ability 81 of the system to recover from physical disturbances) (see Holling, 1973). The BEs we 82 define here relate exclusively to 'geomorphologically dynamic ecosystems', which are 83 unstable and subject to frequent and regular physical disturbance. The BE concept is 84 directly related to the 'fluvial biogeomorphic succession' (Corenblit et al., 2007, 85 2009a), which encompasses four phases of matter and energy organization in space 86 and time (i.e. geomorphic, pioneer, biogeomorphic, ecological; Fig. 2). Each phase is 87 linked to different time- and space-limited ecosystem structures and functions and is 88 characterized by a specific set of interactions and feedbacks between plants and 89

90 geomorphology.

The *geomorphic phase* is the rejuvenation phase following a flood, storm or tsunami, during which landform properties and stability are mainly defined by hydrodynamic and aerodynamic forces and intrinsic sediment cohesiveness. During this phase, the geomorphic environment controls plant diaspore dispersal (Fig. 2). During the *pioneer phase,* vegetation recruitment occurs on newly formed bare sediment surfaces, and the geomorphic environment controls seed germination and seedling survival and growth (Fig. 2). During the *biogeomorphic phase,* strong feedbacks occur between plant and geomorphic dynamics as morphological and biomechanical
characteristics of plants strongly interact with substrate cohesion and geomorphic
flows of matter and energy. In the absence of major physical disturbances, changes
in the geomorphic environment under the control of and resulting feedback on plants,
result in the stabilization of the ecosystem during the *ecological phase* where biotic
interactions dominate (Fig. 2).

104 It has been suggested that the 'fluvial biogeomorphic succession' model is relevant to dynamic rivers (Davies and Gibling, 2013; Gurnell, 2014; Bätz et al., 2015), and also 105 coastal (Kim, 2012; Balke et al., 2014), and terrestrial BEs (e.g. lateral moraines, 106 Eichel et al., 2013), implying that it could be a useful common foundation for 107 investigating many geomorphically dynamic ecosystems. However, this wide range of 108 applicability does not imply that the quantity and intensity of interactions of plant -109 geomorphology feedbacks are the same in each BE because: (i) many different taxa 110 and floristic assemblages are observed according to local and regional settings; (ii) at 111 the same location, divergent trajectories in plant community assemblages can occur 112 during the biogeomorphic succession, reflecting variations in initial biological and 113 physical conditions; (iii) the duration and spatial extension of each phase of the 114 biogeomorphic succession varies with the disturbance regime; (iv) different feedback 115 loops exist between plants and geomorphology and related biogeomorphic stability 116 117 according to the disturbance regime and plant characteristics.

Although BEs differ widely taxonomically around the world, comparable constraints 118 can lead to convergent patterns of adaptive *traits* developing across taxa, as implied 119 by the functional framework of adaptive CSR strategies proposed by Grime (2001). A 120 'trait' is any morphological, biomechanical, physiological or phenological feature, 121 measurable at the individual level, from the cell to the whole-organism (Violle et al., 122 2007). Many pioneer plant species have homologous traits optimizing their capacity 123 124 for reproduction, survival and growth (i.e. fitness) within areas exposed to water, wind and sediment flows (Hesp, 1991; Bornette et al., 2008). This does not mean that all 125 126 co-occurring species have the same characteristics; alternative strategies may co-127 occur to cope with a stress, causing a few response trait-groups to co-inhabit a 128 specific habitat (Stallins, 2005; Puijalon et al., 2011).

We suggest that fluvial and coastal BEs are functionally similar as a result of dominant feedback mechanisms between the geomorphic environment and plant

response, effect and *feedback* traits. Here, *response traits* are any plant attributes

132 that provide an adaptive response to water or wind flow, sediment erosion,

transportation and deposition, and lead to successful dispersal, recruitment,

134 establishment and reproduction. *Effect traits* are morphological and biomechanical

plant traits that induce a significant effect on the geomorphic environment. Within

136 BEs, response and effect traits are strongly linked and may coincide because

137 successful colonization is a prerequisite for plants to affect the geomorphic

- environment and create biogeomorphic feedbacks. For example, a multi-stemmed
- 139 flexible morphology may increase the capacity of a plant to resist hydrodynamic
- 140 forces (response) while also affecting sediment fluxes and topography (effect). We
- 141 define *feedback traits* as those that provide a response to the modification they
- induce in the geomorphic dimensions of their niche.

Based on a critical review of ecological and geomorphological investigations of fluvial and coastal ecosystems across the world, we highlight below how different engineer (*sensu* Jones, 2012) pioneer plants respond to wind, water and sediment flows and affect geomorphic processes in a similar way, leading to an enhanced understanding of the role of plant traits in geomorphologically-dynamic ecosystems that opens new research perspectives.

The trait-based approach we propose here to define a BE is founded on three key 149 150 criteria related to the geomorphic setting and to the nature of its relation with plants (Fig. 3): (1) plants must have developed specific response traits to the geomorphic 151 152 environment and its disturbances; (2) they must display effect traits that control the geomorphic environment; (3) they must display feedback traits to these biotic-153 controlled geomorphic changes. In the presence of a biogeomorphic ecosystem 154 155 sensu stricto, all three criteria have to apply to the plants. In this paper the term 'trait' (response, effect and feedback) will be used as recommended by Violle et al. (2007) 156 specifically at the level of individuals. However, responses, effects and feedbacks 157 can relate to varying spatio-temporal levels including individuals (i.e. plastic and 158 evolutionary adjustments of traits), populations (i.e. changes in survival-mortality 159 ratio, age structure, cover) and communities (i.e. adjustments in short and long-term 160 161 floristic assemblages and biodiversity).

162 CRITERION 1: RESPONSE TRAITS OF PIONEER PLANTS TO THE 163 GEOMORPHIC ENVIRONMENT

164 BEs are unstable and subjected to a physical disturbance regime

Most fluvial and coastal BEs consist of unconsolidated sediment and are subjected to 165 a natural disturbance regime (i.e. variations in river water flow, tidal currents and 166 waves, or wind), incorporating both low to medium magnitude variations in 167 hydrodynamic and aerodynamic forces, and also less predictable medium to high 168 magnitude exceptional fluctuations during extreme events (Naiman et al., 2008). 169 Within rivers, the disturbance regime corresponds to seasonal variations in water 170 level and velocity and medium intensity flow pulses, and isolated intense flood 171 events. Within salt marshes and mangroves, it corresponds to daily and seasonal 172 variations in tidal water level and isolated storm or tsunami events. Within coastal 173

- dunes, it relates to seasonal variations in extratropical and tropical storm tracks,
- mean wind velocity and direction, and isolated storm and tsunami events (Balke *et*
- 176 *al.*, 2014).

177 Plant assemblages and their corresponding functional structure within BEs vary along

- gradients of exposure to these physical disturbances (Fig. 4), and also along
- 179 gradients of stress related to anoxia, salinity, drought or competition. Within fluvial
- 180 ecosystems these gradients are superimposed onto transverse gradients of
- 181 hydrogeomorphic connectivity and topography from the channel to the floodplain
- (Bornette *et al.*, 2008; Fig. 4a). Within salt marshes and mangroves they are
- superimposed onto gradients of wave energy, tide influence, micro-topography and
- salinity from the seashore to inland (Thom, 1967; Fig. 4b, c). Within coastal dunes
- they are superimposed onto gradients of exposure to aerodynamic and
- 186 hydrodynamic forces, topography and salinity from the shoreline to inland (Stallins &
- 187 Parker, 2003; Hesp & Martínez, 2008; Kim & Yu, 2009; Fig. 4d).

188 The disturbance regime acts as an environmental filter of response traits

- 189 Engineer plant response traits adapt over the long term to the most regular
- 190 component of the physical disturbance regime (Lytle & Poff, 2004; Naiman et al.,
- 191 2008). At the establishment stage, selection among the pool of species reflects
- response traits that favour high net productivity, dispersal, reproduction and survival
- rates. Many pioneer riparian and coastal species share equivalent response traits
- 194 (e.g. sexual/vegetative reproduction; body and seed size) related to their morphology,
- 195 physiology and phenology (Table 1). Plant trait optimization to water, wind and
- sediment flows does not necessarily result in convergence, but may also cause
- divergence of traits based on the disturbance regime, resulting in contrasts in the way
- 198 pioneer plants and flows interact and modulate geomorphic processes and landforms
- (Bouma *et al.*, 2005, 2013; Stallins, 2005). At the earlier stages of the biogeomorphic
- succession, and in comparison to biological disturbances such as grazing and
- bioturbation by animals, the disturbance regime represents the pre-eminent selection
- pressure for riparian and coastal plants (1 in Fig. 3). It acts as a strong environmental
- filter of response traits throughout the biogeomorphic succession (Fig. 2; Table 1).

Pioneer plants can respond to physical disturbances and sustain viable populations
through resistance and resilience mechanisms (Table 1), where resistance is the
capacity of the plant to maintain its structure or biomass during disturbances, and
resilience is the capacity of the plant to restore its structure or biomass after
disturbances. In many cases high frequency disturbances of low to medium intensity
are essential for the expression of response traits favouring plant resistance and
resilience within fluvial and coastal BEs.

211 Plant response traits during the geomorphic phase

212 During the geomorphic phase, the geomorphic environment controls the biotic compartment (Fig. 2), especially diaspore dispersal, which is a crucial process that 213 may coincide with predictable (seasonal) hydrogeomorphic or aerodynamic 214 conditions that guarantee successful recruitment. Recruitment of pioneer populations 215 in BEs requires the coincidence of diaspore release with adequate abiotic conditions. 216 217 Phenological response traits of many plant species are intimately coupled to the periodicity and intensity of hydrogeomorphic constraints (Bornette et al., 2008; Maun, 218 219 2009; Balke, 2013; Table 1). In order to cope with the inherently stochastic nature of the geomorphic phase, pioneer engineer plants generally employ opportunistic 220 221 strategies (sensu Grime, 2001; Table 1). Diaspores are mostly produced in very large numbers and can remain viable for a long period. Their production and release are 222 usually well synchronized with the disturbance regime and climate patterns. For 223 example, within temperate river environments seed production and release by 224 riparian *Populus* and *Salix* spp. coincides with the period following predictable annual 225 floods (Lytle & Poff, 2004; Stella et al., 2006) so that their small, buoyant seeds are 226 transported by water and wind to newly-formed bare sediment surfaces. In coastal 227 environments, diaspores (seeds, rhizomes, stolons, roots and branches) are mainly 228 229 hydrochorous (Table 1). They are mobilized and transported by water, usually during 230 floods and storms (Maun, 2009), and they maintain their capacity to germinate and sprout after transportation in salty water (Guja et al., 2010). Within mangroves formed 231 232 by Rhizophora and Avicennia spp., massive propagule production occurs during the wet season when salinity is low (Fernandes, 1999). Within coastal dune BEs, certain 233 annual species release large quantities of seeds during the period with the highest 234 availability of bare moist coastal substrates that are required for seed germination 235 (Wagner, 1964). 236

237 Plant response traits during the pioneer phase

The transition toward more vegetated states that accompanies amelioration of the

239 harsh abiotic environment is highly variable because initial habitat conditions strongly

- affect initial plant establishment, and the transition requires adequate physical
- conditions related to combinations of morphological, biomechanical and physiological
- response traits (Table 1), and also proximity to a diaspore source or dispersal
- pathway. In rivers (Cooper et al., 2003), salt marshes and mangroves (Balke et al.,
- 244 2014), dynamic interactions between numerous fluctuating climatic and
- 245 geomorphologic parameters lead to multiple possible pathways of seedling
- recruitment on bare surfaces that are only colonised in sufficient numbers every few
- 247 years. Recruitment success can change with quite small variations in
- 248 hydrogeomorphic parameters. Similarly, in dune settings, seedling recruitment
- 249 depends upon the contrasts in wave energy under winter and summer wave regimes

- and the net balance between seasonal patterns of sediment erosion and deposition
 with subsidies from seaweed and other organic wrack debris enhancing the likelihood
- of seedling recruitment (Davidson-Arnott & Law, 1990).

Once seeds and propagules (e.g. rhizomes, stolons, roots) of pioneer engineer 253 species reach a freshly exposed, bare surface they germinate or anchor almost 254 immediately, whether on alluvial bars within fluvial BEs (Gom & Rood, 1999), on mud 255 256 flats within mangroves (Guja et al., 2010) or on the upper beach within coastal dune BEs (Maun, 2009). Many riparian (e.g. *Populus* and *Salix* spp.), salt marsh (e.g. 257 258 Spartina and Puccinellia spp.) and mangrove tree (Sonneratia and Avicennia spp.) species are highly clonal. The ability to easily resprout is a major advantage for 259 colonizing areas heavily disturbed by extreme disturbance events. 260

During the early stage of the biogeomorphic succession, emerging seedlings or 261 sprouts remain highly exposed to fluctuating hydrodynamic and aerodynamic forces, 262 sediment dynamics and substrate moisture (Mahoney & Rood, 1998; Bouma et al., 263 2009; Balke et al., 2014). Following germination, rooting anchorage may develop 264 265 very quickly ensuring a strong, early mechanical and physiological resistance to hydrodynamic or aerodynamic forces, sediment burial or to stress induced by ground 266 and soil water fluctuation (Westelaken & Maun, 1985; Guilloy et al., 2011). For 267 example, many vivipar propagules of mangrove trees have pre-formed roots that 268 ensure almost immediate anchoring and morphological plasticity is already important. 269 Balke et al. (2013) showed that sediment burial increases shoot growth and erosion 270 increases root growth of mangrove tree seedlings, increasing their survival chances 271 according to the disturbance regime. Seedling growth rate is also crucial. Balke et al. 272 (2014) identified two conditions for successful recruitment within fluvial and coastal 273 BEs: (i) the coincidence of dispersal events with sufficient hydrodynamic or 274 275 aerodynamic force to bring an adequate number of diaspores to suitable sites; (ii) a 276 sufficiently long period for seedlings to germinate and establish that is free of destructive disturbances. This window of opportunity can be a few days to a few 277 278 months in fluvial BEs and a few hours to a few days within salt marshes, mangroves and coastal dunes (Balke et al., 2014). Therefore, colonization events can potentially 279 be predicted when plant response trait information on germination, root growth and 280 plant stability are linked to environmental variables such as water level, wind speed 281 and salinity. 282

283 Plant response traits during the biogeomorphic phase

284 Plants that are adapted to unstable and fluctuating geomorphic environments have

- high phenotypic variability and plasticity, including modulation of above and
- belowground biomass allocation, architecture, and the biomechanical and
- 287 physiological properties of organs, which ensure their resistance to water flow and

wind, sediment erosion, burial and sand abrasion (Bornette *et al.*, 2008; Maun, 2009;
Table 1). Trait changes result from trade-offs between the need to resist abrasive and
tractive mechanical forces, prolonged submersion, and sediment erosion and burial;
to acquire resources; and to adapt their reproductive strategy (clonal *vs.* sexual) to
disperse and establish efficiently.

Response traits that support resistance to mechanical constraints are mainly 293 294 morphological and biomechanical, including strengthening tissues; stiff stems; prop, stilt and kneed roots; small and streamlined leaves and canopies; brittle stems with 295 296 breaking points (Bouma et al., 2005; Bornette et al., 2008; Maun, 2009; Table 1). Pioneer plants are highly resilient to damage. For example, they can resprout from 297 damaged stumps and rhizomes (Nzunda et al., 2007; Moggridge & Gurnell, 2009), or 298 they can show a plastic morphological and biomechanical response (i.e. 299 thigmomorphogenesis) to repetitive mechanical forces from water or wind, increasing 300 their resistance to breakage and uprooting. Response trait variations can express a 301 trade-off between tolerance (e.g. large stem cross-section, production of 302 strengthening tissues, increase in root biomass) and avoidance (e.g. increase in stem 303 flexibility, aerial biomass reduction, morphological reconfiguration within the fluid) 304 (Puijalon et al., 2011), and can have major consequences for a plant's ability to fit to 305 306 disturbance (Bouma et al., 2005; Stallins, 2005; Gurnell, 2014). In the case of 307 absence of major disturbances, the biogeomorphic phase can be followed by the ecological phase where biotic interactions (e.g. competition) are dominant and 308 physical disturbances rare. 309

310 CRITERION 2: EFFECT TRAITS OF ENGINEER PLANTS THAT MODULATE THE 311 GEOMORPHIC ENVIRONMENT

- 312 Within BEs, the control of ecosystem structure and function by engineer plants is
- achieved through durable modification of the habitat (2 in Fig. 3). Three main
- categories of effects of engineer plants on their geomorphic environment can be
- identified and are explored further below: (i) increase in sediment retention and
- 316 cohesiveness; (ii) fluid stress divergence; and (iii) physicochemical modification and
- 317 biogenic accumulation.

318 Increase in sediment retention and cohesion

- In fluvial and coastal BEs, the roots and rhizomes of plants increase sediment
- 320 cohesiveness (Polvi *et al.*, 2014), offering protection against erosion, particularly
- 321 where pioneer plants have dense root systems and flexible, flattening or creeping
- 322 canopies. A very-well developed literature demonstrates how such engineer plants
- 323 obstruct water and wind flows, reducing shear stresses at the ground surface and
- trapping matter 'within-site' (within their canopy) and 'off-site' (downstream or

downwind of the vegetation stand). Within-site effects on sediment trapping and the 325 extent of downstream or downwind deposition vary with canopy structure, fluid 326 properties, and sediment transport (Bouma et al., 2013; Nardin & Edmonds, 2014). 327 Individual woody plants or isolated herbaceous patches locally impact sediment 328 transport forming small hummocks or coppice dunes. Isolated groups of dense 329 ligneous and herbaceous perennials form pioneer islands and discontinuous benches 330 at river channel margins (Gurnell et al., 2012); large hummocks within salt marshes 331 (Bouma et al., 2009); islands and platforms within mangroves (Fromard et al., 2003); 332 and large coppice dunes, incipient foredunes or parabolic dunes within coastal dune 333 systems (Baas, 2007; Hesp & Martínez, 2008). At larger spatial and temporal scales, 334 335 between catastrophic floods, storms and tsunamis, engineer plants interact with sediment transport to create large stabilized vegetated islands and floodplains in 336 337 fluvial BEs, and plain dunes and inter-tidal stabilized flats in coastal BEs. Pioneer biogeomorphic units also induce off-site effects by protecting downstream and 338 downwind areas and allowing further recruitment. This is illustrated, for example, by 339 the way in which pioneer islands colonized by Populus nigra and Salix spp. within the 340 high-energy Tagliamento river (Northern Italy) enhance seedling and sapling survival 341 in sheltered areas (Moggridge & Gurnell, 2009). 342

Topographic changes induced by engineer plants can reflect species-specific 343 344 morphology, biomechanics and growth patterns, as illustrated by experiments with tamarisk (Tamarix spp.) and cottonwood (Populus fremontii) disposed within a mobile 345 sand-bed flume (Manners et al., 2015), where the shrubby morphology of tamarisk 346 resulted in greater reductions in near-bed velocities and sediment flux rates. In 347 another flume experiment the spatial pattern of salt marsh sediment erosion and 348 deposition was observed to vary with morphological and biomechanical effect traits 349 and growth patterns of Spartina anglica, Puccinellia maritima and Salicornia 350 procumbens (Bouma et al., 2013). Furthermore, Perry & Berkeley (2009) showed that 351 the planting of *Rhizophora mucronata* in SW Indian Ocean mangroves led to 352 structural changes, particularly an increase in fine sediment and organic-matter in the 353 intertidal substrate. Krauss et al. (2003) found that fine sediment accretion rates 354 355 varied with root morphology in Micronesian mangrove forests, particularly with the prop roots of Rhizophora spp., root knees of Bruguiera gymnorrhiza, and 356 357 pneumatophores of Sonneratia alba. Lastly, in coastal dunes of the USA Pacific Northwest, Zarnetske et al. (2012) observed that dune shape varies with the ability of 358 359 certain species (Elymus mollis, Ammophila arenaria and A. breviligulata) to trap sand and their growth habit in response to sand deposition (see also Maun, 2009; Pelletier 360 361 et al., 2009).

362 Fluid stress divergence

Resistant engineer plants also induce turbulent scouring in their surroundings. Such 363 stress divergence plays a major role in increasing landscape complexity and diversity 364 and forming newly-exposed bare substrate locally during the biogeomorphic phase. 365 366 Pioneer trees that establish on river gravel bars induce sediment scours upstream and laterally (Gurnell et al., 2005). Within coastal BEs colonized by vegetation, 367 368 entrenched channels are formed through erosion between laterally expanding and aggregating tussocks and vegetated levees (Temmerman et al., 2007). D'Alpaos et 369 370 al. (2007) noted that vegetation controls tidal drainage network formation and geometry according to the combined effects of within-site sediment binding and off-371 site flow diversion and concentration by plants. Furthermore, dune topography 372 controlled in part by dune-building plants can also redirect future overwash and 373 shape local patterns of erosion as well as accretion (Davidson-Arnott & Law, 1990). 374

375 The combination of local and downstream or downwind protective-accretive and off-

- 376 site erosive effects of plants control spatial and temporal self-organization of BEs
- mainly during the biogeomorphic phase (Temmerman *et al.*, 2007; Bouma *et al.*,
- 2009, 2013; Kim, 2012; Corenblit *et al.*, 2015). It has been further suggested that the
- pattern of sediment trapping and erosion corresponds to a biogeomorphic scale-
- 380 dependent feedback. Such feedbacks occur within ecosystems when the landform
- pattern is reinforced and maintained by a positive feedback in resource acquisition at
- the local scale (within-site) and when an inhibiting feedback occurs at a larger scale
- 383 (off-site, at the margins). Evidence for the landscape consequences of scale-
- dependent feedbacks in BEs is especially strong for rivers (Gurnell, 2014) and salt
- marshes (Temmerman *et al.*, 2007; Bouma *et al.*, 2009, 2013), although
- biogeomorphic self-organization also occurs within sand-dune systems (Baas, 2007).

387 Physicochemical modification and biogenic accumulation

Plants induce physicochemical modification of the habitat and biogenic accumulation
 within BEs. Such engineer effects in different fluvial and coastal BEs enhance local
 biochemical activity improving ecosystem processes and ambient conditions within
 engineered sites.

For example, in high energy rivers, Bätz *et al.* (2015) showed how organic matter input within stabilized pioneer landforms enhance the transition from landforms dominated by fresh sediment deposits towards soil-covered biogeomorphic units such as floodplains. Within salt marshes and mangroves, where the tidal range and the minerogenic sediment input are limited, engineer plants alter the topography through peat-like substrate formation. Morris *et al.* (2002) suggested that coastal engineer plants can control their relative elevation through biomass modulation in order to keep up with sea-level rise. Several studies have also shown that many salt
marshes and mangroves are able to maintain their surface elevation within the intertidal zone over long periods of sea level rise through the modulation of root and aerial
biomass production by plants, and associated peat formation and vertical landbuilding (Larsen & Harvey, 2010; Marani *et al.*, 2013). Furthermore, fixed dune
systems are characterized by the existence of soil catenas that reflect feedbacks
between sediment characteristics, topography, drainage conditions and vegetation

406 (Maun, 2009).

407 CRITERION 3: FEEDBACK TRAITS ASSOCIATED WITH BIOTIC-CONTROLLED 408 GEOMORPHIC CHANGES

- Geomorphic changes that occur under biotic control during the biogeomorphic phase
- 410 feed back into the ecosystem at varying levels (i.e. individual, population and
- 411 community; 3 in Fig. 3). Changes in individual traits, population parameters and
- 412 community properties are not just a passive response to initial habitat conditions.
- 413 During succession, pioneer engineer plants, by controlling landform construction,
- 414 affect gradients of strategies, population and community dynamics within BEs.

Individual and population plant response to sediment accretion they enhance

Many pioneer engineer plant species that establish within fluvial and coastal BEs 416 417 require sediment burial to enhance their anchorage, to favour more vigorous growth, and to increase their chances of reaching sexual maturity (Maun, 2009; Corenblit et 418 419 al., 2014). One or more plant individuals that initiate formation of an embryo fluvial or coastal island, a small shadow dune, or a tussock, can exploit the freshly deposited 420 sediment by developing adventitious roots and rhizomes to stabilize a viable 421 population in a geomorphologically-unstable environment (Maun, 2009; Rood et al., 422 2011), and lead at the micro- to meso-scales to a positive feedback of landform 423 construction, vegetation growth (i.e. feedback traits) and population demographic 424 stabilization. This is exemplified by *Populus* and *Salix* spp. within river environments 425 (Corenblit et al., 2014; Gurnell, 2014), subspecies of Spartina patens within salt 426 marshes (Wolner et al., 2013) and Avicennia germinans in mangroves (Fromard et 427 al., 2003). This is also well exemplified in coastal dunes by grass species. For 428 example, Zarnetske et al. (2012) noted that aerial growth of pioneer engineer plants 429 430 is favored by sediment deposition they enhance in coastal dunes of the Pacific Northwest of the USA. Vertical canopy growth was observed to be stimulated within a 431 few weeks following burial, and the dune building capacity of engineer species was 432 linked to a specific biogeomorphic feedback between plant growth and architecture, 433 and sediment deposition. 434

Through spatially explicit feedbacks between vegetation and topography, the 435 diversity of plant traits can canalize patterns of plant establishment and persistence in 436 BEs and lead to different biogeomorphic domains of stability (Stallins, 2005; Corenblit 437 et al., 2009a; Wolner et al., 2013; Vinent and Moore, 2015). For example, in coastal 438 dunes where overwash forcing is more frequent, plants displaying horizontal growth 439 440 in response to sediment burial (i.e. 'burial tolerant stabilizers') are reinforced because they enhance a flat topography with low resistance that promotes the likelihood of 441 overwash. Where overwash disturbance is less frequent, plants with vertical growth 442 are favoured by sediment burial (i.e. 'landform builders') since they promote positive-443 relief topographies. Ammophila arenaria produces dense vertical tillers when buried, 444 445 which favor its development and the development of tall narrow foredunes, while the less dense lateral growth of A. breviligulata builds shorter but wider foredunes. 446

447 Plant community response to geomorphic changes

448 Sediment accretion and related topographic aggradation under the control of engineer plants also control plant assemblages at community level through the 449 450 exclusion of species by burial, the decrease of exposure to disturbance and vegetation shading (Corenblit et al., 2014, 2015). Within rivers and coastal BEs, it is 451 the combination of sediment accretion, topographic rise and vegetation growth that 452 453 leads to the main changes in the physicochemical properties of the habitat and in floristic assemblages during the biogeomorphic phase (Tabacchi et al., 2000; Gurnell, 454 2014). For example, when foredunes develop within coastal dunes, they lower the 455 amount of sand and salt spray transported inland, facilitating the incursion of woody 456 vegetation in their lee-protection. At the same time, as control by physical constraints 457 diminishes, biogeochemical controls become prominent, with organic matter 458 459 accumulation and shifts in habitat diversity from a horizontal (within the habitat mosaic) to a vertical (soil to canopy) development (Bätz et al., 2015). 460

461 Eco-evolutionary feedbacks

Engineer species certainly change selection pressures within the environment (Wright *et al.*, 2012). Key parameters of the physical environment within BEs are strongly controlled by the effect traits displayed by pioneer engineer plants. We suggest that the long-term history of adaptive changes related to ecological and evolutionary feedbacks between organism response, effect and feedback traits, and geomorphic dimensions lead to the emergence of BEs as self-organized adaptive ecosystems *sensu* Holling (1973).

Therefore, the geomorphic gradients and associated community assembly rules and
 functional structure that are observed within fluvial and coastal BEs, need to be
 considered as emergent properties of short-term (ecological) and long-term (eco-

evolutionary) top-down and bottom-up abiotic-biotic feedbacks (Corenblit et al., 472 2015). Recent palaeontological studies (e.g. Davies & Gibling, 2013) have shown 473 that the evolutionary trajectory of engineer plant traits and many other passenger 474 taxa (microorganisms, fauna and flora) has been modulated over the long term within 475 fluvial BEs by the niche-constructing activity of engineer plants and the resulting 476 network of diffuse co-evolution among the different taxa (Corenblit et al., 2014, 2015). 477 478 Consequently, eco-evolutionary (sensu Erwin, 2008) concepts such as niche 479 construction (Odling-Smee et al., 2003) certainly represent a useful framework for analyzing feedbacks between organisms and geomorphology within fluvial and 480 coastal BEs. 481

482 FUTURE RESEARCH TASKS

The proposed model of biogeomorphic functional similarity of plant response, effect and feedback traits has the potential to become an operational framework for the articulation of future research priorities of fresh- and saltwater-terrestrial interface systems. This global model of biogeomorphological ecosystem (BE) functioning is also conceived to contribute to the improvement of management and restoration strategies. In order to achieve these goals, we list below future tasks to be investigated for each of the three criteria that define BEs.

490 Criterion 1: defining the window of opportunity of engineer species

491 The habitat conditions leading to successful germination and growth of key engineer 492 species must be quantified in situ. The quantification of the factors affecting recruitment of plants within fluvial and coastal environments has begun a long time 493 ago. The 'recruitment box' model for fluvial systems of Mahoney & Rood (1998) and 494 the homologous model of a 'window of opportunity' for all four biogeomorphic 495 ecosystems proposed by Balke et al. (2014) emerged from previous studies. They 496 are both useful operational conceptual frameworks for analyzing the relationship 497 between environmental variability and vegetation recruitment during the pioneer 498 phase of the biogeomorphic succession. The hierarchy of the same local and 499 regional factors affecting plant dispersal, germination, initial growth and survival in 500 different world locations must be established. Response traits that provide an 501 advantage must be identified and quantified simultaneously in situ and ex situ in 502 503 controlled conditions to isolate the key factors (e.g. Guilloy et al., 2011; Balke et al., 2014). Quantitative comparison between different BEs will lead to a formal definition 504 505 of the worldwide envelope of environmental conditions leading to successful recruitment of engineer plant species that can modulate their geomorphic 506 environment. The frequency histogram of the number (and related functional status) 507 of recruited engineer species along geomorphic niche dimensions, such as for 508

- 509 example mean duration and frequency of disturbances, will be a useful tool for
- 510 identifying functional groups of response to geomorphic constraints.
- 511 It is also necessary to quantify thresholds of resistance of colonizing engineer plants
- to the mechanical and physiological constraints imposed by water and wind within
- 513 BEs. This remains challenging because of dynamic interactions between the fluid, the
- sediment and the plant (Corenblit *et al.*, 2007) and because of the latter's high
- 515 phenotypic variability and plasticity. Quantifying these thresholds will also require *ex*
- 516 *situ* flume experiments using key engineer species.

517 Criterion 2: linking plant traits and landform properties

- 518 Establishing quantitative understanding of the relation between engineer plant
- response, effect and feedback traits, and landform geometry, dynamics and
- 520 physicochemical properties is also a priority. Effects of engineer plants on
- 521 geomorphology must be quantified by considering causal linkages with resulting
- 522 feedback traits. We consider that the geometrical and physicochemical properties of
- 523 each category of small to large scale coastal and fluvial landforms (e.g. pioneer
- 524 fluvial or mangrove islands, hummocks, copies dunes and foredunes) are modulated
- across the world by the same basic processes but according to specific traits of the
- 526 local pioneer engineer plant species. These landforms that develop under the control
- of engineer plants thus exhibit a large range of possible deviations in size, shape,
- texture, physicochemical characteristics, resistance and resilience relative to their
- theoretical physical state. Such deviations are likely to be biologically functional for
- the engineer species and potentially for passenger species. Therefore, it is an
- important goal to test the hypothesis of engineered landform functionality at the
- global scale (Corenblit *et al.*, 2015). This will be achieved by analyzing correlations
- between plant growth performance and type of reproduction, and the frequency
- histogram of landform properties such as relative elevation, exposure to disturbances
- (Bertoldi *et al.*, 2011) and physicochemical properties (Bätz *et al.*, 2015). Ultimately,
- the correspondence between genetic variability of engineer plant species and
- 537 landform properties must be analyzed to establish a genetic basis of the variation of
- 538 landform geometry and dynamics.
- 539 Another important research objective related to criterion 2 is to test the effects of
- 540 plant trait diversity on the function of landform construction and ecosystem
- 541 stabilization. Plant functional traits enhancing sediment cohesiveness and trapping
- often combine at the community level and form functional units with varying sediment
- 543 stabilization and trapping capacities (Corenblit *et al.*, 2009b). Population thresholds
- of sediment stabilization and trapping might be overridden by the combination of
- 545 different traits at the community scale. The combination of varying traits, and thus
- varying genomes, is likely to increase the stability of the biogeomorphic function of

sediment trapping and landform construction. The presence of different functional

- 548 types and genomes may potentially also lead to the persistence of fluctuating
- 549 biogeomorphic conditions over larger areas (Stallins, 2005). These relationships
- 550 between trait diversity and functional stability of BEs require further investigation
- 551 worldwide.

552 Criterion 3: testing the hypothesis of niche construction

Landform construction during the biogeomorphic succession and related variation in 553 mean trait value and vegetation assemblage are viewed here as an emergent 554 property of ecosystems originating from ecological (10^{-1} to 10^{3} years) and 555 evolutionary (>10⁴ years) feedbacks between genes, organisms and the geomorphic 556 environment (for more details see Corenblit et al., 2014, 2015). We acknowledge that 557 formal evidence of this statement is lacking but we stress that the validation of the 558 hypothesis of eco-evolutionary dynamics within BEs has become a priority (e.g. 559 Jones, 2012; Matthews et al., 2014). The proposed models of biogeomorphic 560 succession and biogeomorphic functional similarity at a global scale will help in 561 562 testing the limits of the niche construction hypothesis because they offer a conceptual framework that helps to establish a causal relationship between selection of plant 563 564 traits (response) according to the physical environment and the effects of plant traits on the physical environment. 565

566 **Biogeomorphic ecosystem management and restoration**

We also stress the opportunity presented by developing this worldwide model of 567 biogeomorphic functional similarity for the restoration and management of BEs. The 568 identification and quantification of key traits leading to establishment of viable 569 populations of engineer species should become a priority for restoration in relation to 570 their increase of ecosystem stability, specifically in the context of global change. The 571 identification and 'use' of target response, effect and feedback traits associated with 572 engineer plant species may represent a more efficient solution than the taxonomic 573 approach for 'manipulating' BE resistance and resilience in the context of global 574 environmental change. Comprehension and quantification of the natural dynamics of 575 BEs to restore their dynamic biogeomorphic equilibrium according to the reciprocal 576 dependency between engineer plant traits, independently from their biogeographic 577 578 origin (i.e. native or exotic species) and a changing physical disturbance regime, offers great perspectives for orienting BEs gradually toward suitable target ecological 579 580 states. The use of engineer species traits in such an ecological engineering context may promote sustainable restoration of services to society such as buffering against 581 erosion and inundation (e.g. Byers et al., 2006; Crain & Bertness, 2006; Temmerman 582 et al., 2013). In the context of global environmental change, the guestion of which 583

level (i.e. genes, population, community, landscape) should be manipulated willcertainly become crucial.

586 **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

587 We acknowledge the French research projects (i) AAP-MSH 'CONSILPOP' financed

by "La Maison des Sciences Humaines" (MSH USR CNR 3550), Clermont-Ferrand,

589 France and (ii) "Waters & Territories. Water of the territory and territory of water: the

restitution of fluvial dynamics and natural services provided to society at stake -

591 GALE&T", financed by the French Ministry of Ecology, Environment, Sustainable

592 Development and Planning (MEEDDAT) and the French National Centre of Scientific

593 Research (CNRS).

595 **REFERENCES**

- Abell, R., Thieme, M.L., Revenga, C., Bryer, M., Kottelat, M., Bogutskaya, N., Coad, B., Mandrak, N., Balderas, S.C., Bussing, W., Stiassny, M.L.J., Skelton, P., Allen, G.R.,
- 597 Mandrak, N., Balderas, S.C., Bussing, W., Silassny, M.L.J., Skellon, P., Allen, G.R., 598 Unmack, P., Naseka, A., Ng, R., Sindorf, N., Robertson, J., Armijo, E., Higgins, J.V.,
- Heibel, T.J., Wikramanayake, E., Olson, D., López, H.L., Reis, R.E., Lundberg, J.G.,
- Sabaj Pérez, M.H. & Petry, P. (2008) Freshwater ecoregions of the world: a new map of
- biogeographic units for freshwater biodiversity conservation. *Bioscience*, **58**, 403-414.
 Digital media at: www.feow.org.
- Baas, A.C.W. (2007) Complex systems in aeolian geomorphology. *Geomorphology*, **91**, 311-331.
- Balke, T. (2013) Establishment of biogeomorphic ecosytems. A study on mangrove and salt
 marsh pioneer vegetation. PhD thesis. Radboud University, Nederlands.
- Balke, T., Webb, E.L., van den Elzen, E., Galli, D., Herman, P.M.J. & Bouma, T.J. (2013)
 Seedling establishment in a dynamic sedimentary environment: A conceptual framework
 using mangroves. *Journal of Applied Ecology*, **50**, 740-747.
- Balke, T., Herman, P.M.J. & Bouma, T.J. (2014) Critical transitions in disturbance-driven
 ecosystems: identifying Windows of Opportunity for recovery. *Journal of Ecology*, **102**,
 700-708.
- 613 Bätz, N., Verrecchia, E.P. & Lane, S.N. (2015) Organic matter processing and soil evolution 614 in a braided river system. *Catena*, **126**, 86-97.
- Bertoldi, W., Gurnell, A.M. & Drake, N.A. (2011) The topographic signature of vegetation
 development along a braided river: results of a combined analysis of airborne lidar, color
 air photographs, and ground measurements. *Water Resources Research*, 47, W06525,
 1:13.
- Bornette, G., Tabacchi, E., Hupp, C., Puijalon, S. & Rostan, J.C. (2008) A model of plant
 strategies in fluvial hydrosystems. *Freshwater Biology*, **53**, 1692-1705.
- Bouma, T.J., De Vries, M.B., Low, E., Peralta, G., Tánczos, I.C., van de Koppel, J. &
 Herman, P.M.J. (2005) Trade-offs related to ecosystem engineering: a case study on
 stiffness of emerging macrophytes. *Ecology*, **86**, 2187-2199.
- Bouma, T.J., Friedrichs, M., Van Wesenbeeck, B.K., Temmerman, S., Graf, G. & Herman,
 P.M.J. (2009) Density-dependent linkage of scale-dependent feedbacks: a flume study on
 the intertidal macrophyte *Spartina anglica*. *Oikos*, **118**, 260-268.
- Bouma, T.J., Temmerman, S., van Duren, L.A., Martini, E., Vandenbruwaene, W., Callaghan,
 D.P., Balke, T., Biermans, G., Klaassen, P.C., van Steeg, P., Dekker, F., van de Koppel,
 J., de Vries, M.B. & Herman, P.M.J. (2013) Organism traits determine the strength of
 scale-dependent bio-geomorphic feedbacks: a flume study on three intertidal plant
 species. *Geomorphology*, **180–181**, 57-65.
- Byers, J.E., Cuddington, K., Jones, C.G., Talley, T.S., Hastings, A., Lambrinos, J.G., Crooks,
 J.A. & Wilson, W.G. (2006) Using ecosystem engineers to restore ecological systems. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **21**, 493-500.
- Cooper, D.J., Andersen, D.C. & Chimner, R.A. (2003) Multiple pathways for woody plant
 establishment on floodplains at local to regional scales. *Journal of Ecology*, **91**, 182-196.
- 637 Corenblit, D., Davies, N.S., Steiger, J., Gibling, M.R. & Bornette, G. (2015) Considering river 638 structure and stability in the light of evolution: feedbacks between riparian vegetation and 639 hydrogeomorphology. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **40**, 189-207.

- 640 Corenblit, D., Steiger, J., González, E., Gurnell, A.M., Charrier, G., Darrozes, J., Dousseau,
 641 J., Julien, F., Lambs, L., Larrue, S., Roussel, E., Vautier, F. & Voldoire, O. (2014) The
- biogeomorphological life cycle of poplars during the fluvial biogeomorphological
- succession: a special focus on *Populus nigra* L. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **39**, 546-563.
- 645 Corenblit, D., Steiger, J., Gurnell, A.M. & Naiman, R.J. (2009a) Plants intertwine fluvial
 646 landform dynamics with ecological succession and natural selection: a niche construction
 647 perspective for riparian systems. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **18**, 507-520.
- 648 Corenblit, D., Steiger, J., Gurnell, A.M., Tabacchi, E. & Roques, L. (2009b) Control of
 649 sediment dynamics by vegetation as a key function driving biogeomorphic succession
 650 within fluvial corridors. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **34**, 1790-1810.
- Corenblit, D., Tabacchi, E., Steiger, J. & Gurnell, A.M. (2007) Reciprocal interactions and
 adjustments between fluvial landforms and vegetation dynamics in river corridors: a
 review of complementary approaches. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **84**, 56-86.
- 654 Crain, C.M. & Bertness, M.D. (2006) Ecosystem engineering across environmental gradients: 655 implications for conservation and management. *Bioscience*, **56**, 211-218.
- D'Alpaos, A., Lanzoni, S., Marani, M., Bonometto, A., Cecconi, G. & Rinaldo, A. (2007)
 Spontaneous tidal network formation within a constructed salt marsh: observations and
 morphodynamic modelling. *Geomorphology*, **91**, 186-197.
- Davidson-Arnott, R.G.D. & Law, M.N. (1990) Seasonal patterns and controls on sediment
 supply to coastal foredunes, Long Point, Lake Erie. *Coastal Dunes: Form and Process*(ed. by K.F. Nordstrom, N.P. Psuty and R.W.G. Carter), pp. 177-200. Willey.
- Davies, N.S. & Gibling, M.R. (2013) The sedimentary record of Carboniferous rivers:
 continuing influence of land plant evolution on alluvial processes and Palaeozoic
 ecosystems. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **120**, 40-79.
- Eichel, J., Krautblatter, M., Schmidtlein, S. & Dikau, R. (2013) Biogeomorphic interactions in
 the Turtmann glacier forefield, Switzerland. *Geomorphology*, **201**, 98-110.
- 667 Erwin, D.H. (2008) Macroevolution of ecosystem engineering, niche construction and 668 diversity. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **23**, 304-310.
- Fernandes, M.E.B. (1999) Phenological patterns of *Rhizophora* L., *Avicennia* L. and
 Laguncularia Gaertn. f. in Amazonian mangrove swamps. *Hydrobiologia*, **413**, 53-62.
- Fromard, F., Vega, C. & Proisy, C. (2003) Coastal evolution and mangrove dynamics in
 French Guiana over the last fifty years. A case study based on aerial and satellite remote
 sensing data and field survey. *Marine Geology*, **208**, 265-280.
- Giri, C., Ochieng, E., Tieszen, L.L., Zhu, Z., Singh, A., Loveland, T., Masek, J. & Duke, N.
 (2011) Status and distribution of mangrove forests of the world using earth observation satellite data. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **20**, 154-159.
- 677 Gom, L.A. & Rood, S.B. (1999) The discrimination of cottonwood clones in a mature grove 678 along the Oldman River in southern Alberta. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, **77**, 1084-1094.
- Grime, J.P. (2001) *Plant Strategies, Vegetation Processes, and Ecosystem Properties.* John
 Wiley & Sons Ltd, Chichester.
- Guilloy, H., González, E., Muller, E., Hughes, F.M.R. & Barsoum, N. (2011) Abrupt drops in
 water table level influence the development of *Populus nigra* and *Salix alba* seedlings of
 different ages. *Wetlands*, **31**, 1249-1261.
- Guja, L.K., Merritt, D.J. & Dixon, K.W. (2010) Buoyancy, salt tolerance and germination of
 coastal seeds: implications for oceanic hydrochorous dispersal. *Functional Plant Biology*,
 37, 1175-1186.
- 687 Gurnell, A. (2014) Plants as river system engineers. *Earth Surface Processes and* 688 *Landforms*, **39**, 4-25.
- Gurnell, A., Tockner, K., Edwards, P. & Petts, G. (2005) Effects of deposited wood on
 biocomplexity of river corridors. *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, **3**, 377-382.

- Gurnell, A.M., Bertoldi, W. & Corenblit, D. (2012) Changing river channels: the roles of
 hydrological processes, plants and pioneer fluvial landforms in humid temperate, mixed
 load, gravel bed rivers. *Earth-Science Reviews*, **111**, 129-141.
- Hesp, P.A. & Martínez, M.L. (2008) Transverse dune trailing ridges and vegetation
 succession. *Geomorphology*, **99**, 205-213.
- Hesp, P.A. (1991) Ecological processes and plant adaptations on coastal dunes. *Journal of Arid Environments*, **21**, 165-191.
- Holling, C.S. (1973) Resilience and stability of ecological systems. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 4, 1-23.
- Jones, C.G. (2012) Ecosystem engineers and geomorphological signatures in landscapes.
 Geomorphology, **157–158**, 75-87.
- Kim, D. & Yu, K.B. (2009) A conceptual model of coastal dune ecology synthesizing spatial
 gradients of vegetation, soil, and geomorphology. *Plant Ecology*, **202**, 135-148.
- Kim, D. (2012) Biogeomorphic feedbacks drive dynamics of vegetation-landform complex in
 a coastal riparian system. *Ecosphere*, **3**, art. 74, 1-16.
- Krauss, K.W., Allen, J.A. & Cahoon, D.R. (2003) Differential rates of vertical accretion and
 elevation change among aerial root types in Micronesian mangrove forests. *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*, **56**, 251-259.
- Larsen, L.G. & Harvey, J.W. (2010) How vegetation and sediment transport feedbacks drive
 landscape change in the everglades and wetlands worldwide. *The American Naturalist*,
 176, E66-E79.
- Lytle, D.A. & Poff, N.L. (2004) Adaptation to natural flow regimes. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **19**, 94-100.
- Mahoney, J.M. & Rood, S.B. (1998) Streamflow requirements for cottonwood seedling
 recruitment An integrative model. *Wetlands*, **18**, 634-645.
- Manners, R.B., Wilcox, A.C., Kui, L., Lightbody, A.F., Stella, J.C. & Sklar, L.S. 2015. When
 do plants modify fluvial processes? Plant-hydraulic interactions under variable flow and
 sediment supply rates. *Journal of Geophysical Research Earth Surface*, **120**, 325-345.
- Marani, M., Da Lio, C. & D'Alpaos, A. (2013) Vegetation engineers marsh morphology
 through multiple competing stable states. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **110**, 3259-3263.
- Martínez, M.L., Psuty, N.P. & Lubke, R.A. (2004) A perspective on coastal dunes. *Coastal Dunes, Ecology and Conservation* (ed. by M.L. Martínez and N.P. Psuty), pp. 3-10.
 Ecological Studies, Vol. 171. Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Matthews, B., De Meester, L., Jones, C.G., Ibelings, B.W., Bouma, T.J., Nuutinen, V., van de
 Koppel, J. & Odling-Smee, J. (2014) Under niche construction: an operational bridge
 between ecology, evolution, and ecosystem science. *Ecological Monographs*, 84, 245263.
- Maun, M.A. (2009) *The Biology of Coastal Sand Dunes*. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Moggridge, H.L. & Gurnell, A.M. (2009) Controls on the sexual and asexual regeneration of
 Salicaceae along a highly dynamic, braided river system. *Aquatic Sciences*, **71**, 305-317.
- Morris, J.T., Sundareshwar, P.V., Nietch, C.T., Kjerfve, B. & Cahoon, D.R. (2002) Responses
 of coastal wetlands to rising sea level. *Ecology*, **83**, 2869-2877.
- Naiman, R.J., Latterell, J.J., Pettit, N.E. & Olden, J.D. (2008) Flow variability and the
 biophysical vitality of river systems. *Comptes Rendus Geoscience*, **340**, 629-643.
- Nardin, W. & Edmonds, D.A. (2014) Optimum vegetation height and density for inorganic
 sedimentation in deltaic marshes. *Nature Geoscience*, **7**, 722-726.
- Nzunda, E.F., Griffiths, M.E. & Lawes, M.J. (2007) Multi-stemmed trees in subtropical coastal
 dune forest: survival strategy in response to chronic disturbance. *Journal of Vegetation Science*, **18**, 693-700.

- Odling-Smee, F.J., Laland, K.N. & Feldman, M.W. (2003) *Niche construction: the neglected process in evolution.* Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, USA.
- Pelletier, J.D., Mitasova, H., Harmon, R.S. & Overton, M. (2009) The effects of interdune
 vegetation changes on eolian dune field evolution: a numerical-modeling case study at
 Jockey's Ridge, North Carolina, USA. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, 34, 12451254.
- Perry, C.T. & Berkeley, A. (2009) Intertidal substrate modification as a result of mangrove
 planting: impacts of introduced mangrove species on sediment microfacies characteristics.
 Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science, **81**, 225-237.
- Polvi, L.E., Wohl, E. & Merritt, D.M. (2014) Modeling the functional influence of vegetation
 type on streambank cohesion. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*, **39**, 1245-1258.
- Puijalon, S., Bouma, T.J., Douady, C.J., van Groenendael, J., Anten, N.P.R., Martel, E. &
 Bornette, G. (2011) Plant resistance to mechanical stress: evidence of an avoidance–
 tolerance trade-off. *New Phytologist*, **191**, 1141-1149.
- Rood, S.B., Goater, L.A., Gill, K.M. & Braatne, J.H. (2011) Sand and sandbar willow: a
 feedback loop amplifies environmental sensitivity at the riparian interface. *Oecologia*, **165**, 31-40.
- Stallins, J.A. & Parker, A.J. (2003) The influence of complex systems interactions on barrier
 island dune vegetation pattern and process. *Annals of the Association of American Geographers*, 93, 13-29.
- Stallins, J.A. (2005) Stability domains in barrier island dune systems. *Ecological Complexity*,
 2, 410-430.
- Stella, J.C., Battles, J.J., Orr, B.K. & McBride, J.R. (2006) Synchrony of seed dispersal,
 hydrology and local climate in a semi-arid river reach in California. *Ecosystems*, 9, 1200 1214.
- Tabacchi, E., Lambs, L., Guilloy, H., Planty-Tabacchi, A.-M., Muller, E. & Décamps, H.
 (2000) Impacts of riparian vegetation on hydrological processes. *Hydrological Processes*, **14**, 2959-2976.
- Temmerman, S., Bouma, T.J., Van de Koppel, J., Van der Wal, D., De Vries, M.B. &
 Herman, P.M.J. (2007) Vegetation causes channel erosion in a tidal landscape. *Geology*,
 35, 631-634.
- Temmerman, S., Meire, P., Bouma, T.J., Herman, P.M.J., Ysebaert, T. & De Vriend, H.J.
 (2013) Ecosystem-based coastal defence in the face of global change. *Nature*, **504**, 7983.
- Thom, B.G. (1967) Mangrove ecology and deltaic geomorphology: Tabasco, Mexico. *Journal* of *Ecology*, **55**, 301-343.
- UNEP-WCMC (2013) *Global Distribution of Saltmarsh*. Unpublished dataset. UNEP World
 Conservation Monitoring Centre, Cambridge, UK.
- Vinent, O.D. & Moore, L.J. (2015) Barrier island bistability induced by biophysical
 interactions. *Nature Climate Change*, 5, 158-162. DOI: 10.1038/NCLIMATE2474.
- Violle, C., Navas, M.L., Vile, D., Kazakou, E., Fortunel, C., Hummel, I. & Garnier, E. (2007)
 Let the concept of trait be functional! *Oikos*, **116**, 882-892.
- Wagner, R.H. (1964) The ecology of *Uniola paniculata* L. in the dune-strand habitat of North
 Carolina. *Ecological Monographs*, **34**, 79-96.
- Westelaken, I.L. & Maun, M.A. (1985) Reproductive capacity, germination and survivorship
 of *Lithospermum caroliniense* on Lake Huron sand dunes. *Oecologia*, **66**, 238-245.
- Wolner, C.W.V., Moore, L.J., Young, D.R., Brantley, S.T., Bissett, S.N. & McBride, R.A.
 (2013) Ecomorphodynamic feedbacks and barrier island response to disturbance: insights
 from the Virginia Barrier Islands, Mid-Atlantic Bight, USA. *Geomorphology*, **199**, 115-128.

Wright, J.T., Gribben, P.E., Byers, J.E. & Monro, K. (2012) Invasive ecosystem engineer
 selects for different phenotypes of an associated native species. *Ecology*, **93**, 1262-1268.

Zarnetske, P.L., Hacker, S.D., Seabloom, E.W., Ruggiero, P., Killian, J.R., Maddux, T.B. &
 Cox, D. (2012) Biophysical feedback mediates effects of invasive grasses on coastal dune shape. *Ecology*, **93**, 1439-1450.

796

797 Biosketch

798

- The biogeomorphic research group which has collaborated for this article is
- interested in studying feedbacks between plant dynamics and geomorphology within
- various fluvial and coastal ecosystems around the world. All authors contributed
- substantially in writing the article according to their experience of rivers (D. Corenblit,
- E. González, V. Garófano-Gómez, A.M. Gurnell, B. Hortobágyi, F. Julien, L. Lambs,
- J. Steiger, E. Tabacchi), salt marshes and mangroves (T. Balke, T. Bouma, D. Kim,
- F. Fromard, R. Walcker) and coastal dunes (A. Baas, J.A. Stallins).

807 Tables

Table 1. Developmental sequence of biogeomorphic ecosystems (BEs) during the biogeomorphic succession and related plant
functional traits. The functional structure of a BE is shaped by a set of plant species specifically adapted to geomorphic
disturbances and to stress. Such species are related, for example within temperate fluvial BEs, to the genera *Alnus, Populus, Salix,*and *Tamarix*; within tropical mangrove BEs to *Avicennia, Ceriops, Rizophora and Sonneratia*; within temperate salt marsh BEs to *Juncus, Puccinellia, and Spartina*; and within temperate dune BEs to *Ammophila, Cakile, Panicum,* and *Uniolo.* Many species of
these genera developed similar functional response traits specifically related to regular and ordinary variations in hydrodynamic and
aerodynamic forces and also to a certain range of energy pulses and their subsequent consequences on sediment erosion,

transportation and deposition. Here, the common and specific functional traits of the four different BEs are listed and related to each

816 biogeomorphic succession phase.

Biogeomorphic succession phase	Duration of the BS phase	Main characteristics	Main geomorphic processes and landform formation	Main ecological processes	Common and specific plant functional traits
Geomorphic	Continuous at a local scale within certain locations (e.g. entrenched channels); enhanced by high frequency disturbances (e.g. convex bar formation during progressive meander migration in rivers) and tides within dynamic coastal zones Punctual at a larger scales; driven by low frequency floods and storms or tsunamis	Biogeomorphic rejuvenation and bare surface formation; BE structured mainly by geomorphic processes	Fluvial BEs: landform erosion and alluvial bar formation Salt marsh and mangrove BEs: landform erosion and tidal mudflat deposition Coastal dune BEs: beach/dune erosion and beach accretion	Diaspore dispersal; diaspore and organic matter deposition on open bare sediments	Common: short lifespan; production of numerous buoyant seeds and propagules; seed release synchronized with the flow regime Salt marsh and mangrove: vivipary and floating diaspores (longer lifespan in salty water)

Pioneer	Few days to few months	Establishment of bare surfaces. Geomorphic processes exert a strong and unidirectional control on organisms, filtering community composition	Fluvial BEs: alluvial bar formation Salt marsh and mangrove BEs: tidal mudflat formation Coastal dune BEs: beach accretion	Recruitment Germination and sprouting on open bare sediments; seedling/resprout	Common: rapid root anchoring; clonal growth from drifting debris and propagules; seedling tolerance to submersion and sediment burial
Biogeomorphic	Few years to few decades	Population establishment; engineer plants control geomorphology and respond to changes in geomorphic environment; overall strong feedbacks between biota and the physical environment	Common: sediment accretion within and downstream/downwind vegetation patches Fluvial BEs: pioneer vegetated islands and benches Salt marsh BEs: tussocks, hummocks, vegetated tidal mudflat Mangrove BEs: vegetated tidal mudflat Coastal dune BEs: coppice dunes, hummocks, foredune, parabolic dune	Dominance of engineer plants; facilitation for some other taxa; taxa exclusion; resource grab uptake in a more and more stabilizing habitat	Common: high growth rate; rapid underwater shoot extension; tolerance to sediment burial and prolonged submersion; control of aboveground and belowground biomass allocation; changes in stem and root morphology and physiology in sediment deposit; shallow rooting; stem buoyancy Fluvial BEs: allocation to belowground biomass and branch sacrifice during the dry season; adaptation to hydrodynamics forces: high bending stability, flexible stems, narrow leaf shape, muti-stemmed resprouting from roots and shoots; brittle twig bases Salt marsh BEs: aerenchyma tissue for transferring oxygen from the atmosphere to submerged roots; tolerance to salt: succulence improving water retention, salt exclusion at the roots; salt excretion with glands. Mangrove BEs: adaptations to long submersion in salty water: aerenchyma tissue for transferring oxygen from the atmosphere to submerged roots; pneumatophores; salt excretion and

					exclusion; salt sequestration into leaves periodically sheded; adaptation to hydrodynamics forces: spreading horizontal roots, prop and stilt roots, kneed roots Coastal dune BEs: anatomical adaptation to sediment abrasion
Ecological	Few decades to few centuries	Older ecological succession (post- pioneer to mature stabilized stands); BE dominantly structured by biotic interactions	Fluvial BEs: vegetated floodplains and mature islands Salt marsh BEs: raised vegetated tidal mudflat Mangrove BEs: raised vegetated tidal mudflat Coastal dune BEs: stabilized parabolic and plain vegetated dunes	Plant succession Increase of biotic interaction (e.g. competition and positive interactions) such as for example symbiosis) Pedogenesis	Common: development of competitive traits (e.g. high size) to access resources more efficiently and reproduce in a stabilized environment

818 Figure legends

- **Figure 1.** Global distribution of distinct fluvial and coastal biogeomorphic ecosystems
- (BEs). (a): River abundance by ecoregion defined from low (light blue) to high (dark
- blue) (Abell et al., 2008), photo: J. Steiger; (b): salt marshes distribution (UNEP
- WCMC, 2013), photo: T. Balke; (c): mangroves distribution (Giri et al., 2011), photo:
- T. Balke; (d): coastal dunes distribution (Martínez *et al.*, 2004), photo: J.A. Stallins.
- **Figure 2.** Conceptual model of biogeomorphic succession (*sensu* Corenblit *et al.*,
- 2007, 2009a). Interactions between the physical (squares) and biological (circles)
- compartments are shown for each phase (inspired from Odling-Smee et al., 2003).
- Arrows indicate an interaction with its intensity schematized by the size of line. The
- influence of engineering plants on the physical compartment is represented by a dark
- color within the squares. Physical changes related to early stages of the
- 830 biogeomorphic phase correspond to sediment accretion and topographical raise;
- those associated with late stages of the biogeomorphic phase and to the ecological
- 832 phase to changes in physicochemical properties of the soil.
- **Figure 3.** Criteria related to the geomorphic setting and the nature of its relation with
- plant traits that a certain ecosystem has to satisfy in order to be identified as a
- biogeomorphic ecosystem (BE). Criterion 1: pioneer plants developed specific
- responses to the geomorphic environment (response traits); criterion 2: the
- geomorphic and physicochemical environment is modulated by engineer plants
- 838 (effect traits); criterion 3: geomorphic changes under plant control feed back to
- 839 organisms (feedback traits).
- **Figure 4.** Exposure gradients to hydrogeomorphic and aerodynamic disturbances in
- 841 fluvial and coastal biogeomorphic ecosystems (BEs). Hydrogeomorphic disturbance
- is represented in terms of water level variations for all the ecosystems and has
- 843 different impacts depending on the specific biogeomorphic succession phase
- 844 (represented in the line at the bottom of each ecosystem). The correspondence with
- the model of biogeomorphic succession (Fig. 2) is shown at the bottom of the figure.
- 846

Figures

Figure 1.

850 Color fig.

852 Figure 1.











0°

180° W

853

70° S

180° E

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

860 Figure 4.	
---------------	--

861 Color fig.862

