



**HAL**  
open science

# Measure contraction properties of Carnot groups

Luca Rizzi

► **To cite this version:**

| Luca Rizzi. Measure contraction properties of Carnot groups. 2016. hal-01218376v2

**HAL Id: hal-01218376**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01218376v2>**

Preprint submitted on 23 Feb 2016 (v2), last revised 21 May 2016 (v3)

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# MEASURE CONTRACTION PROPERTIES OF CARNOT GROUPS

RIZZI LUCA

ABSTRACT. We prove that any corank 1 Carnot group of dimension  $k + 1$  equipped with a left-invariant measure satisfies the MCP( $K, N$ ) if and only if  $K \leq 0$  and  $N \geq k + 3$ . This generalizes the well known result by Juillet for the Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}_{k+1}$  to a larger class of structures, which admit non-trivial abnormal minimizing curves.

The number  $k + 3$  coincides with the geodesic dimension of the Carnot group, which we define here for a general metric space. We discuss some of its properties, and its relation with the curvature exponent (the least  $N$  such that the MCP( $0, N$ ) is satisfied). We prove that, on a metric measure space, the curvature exponent is always larger than the geodesic dimension which, in turn, is larger than the Hausdorff one. When applied to Carnot groups, our results improve a previous lower bound due to Rifford. As a byproduct, we prove that a Carnot group is ideal if and only if it is fat.

## 1. SUMMARY OF THE RESULTS

Let  $(X, d)$  be a length space, that is a metric space such that  $d(x, y) = \inf_{\gamma} \ell(\gamma)$  for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $\ell(\gamma)$  denotes the length of  $\gamma$  and the infimum is taken over all rectifiable curves from  $x$  to  $y$ . Throughout this article we assume that  $(X, d)$  has *negligible cut loci*, i.e. for any  $x \in X$  there exists a negligible set  $\mathcal{C}(x)$  and a measurable map  $\Phi^x : X \setminus \mathcal{C}(x) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ , such that the curve  $\gamma(t) = \Phi^x(y, t)$  is the unique minimizing geodesic from  $x$  with  $y$ . Moreover, let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure such that  $0 < \mu(\mathcal{B}(x, r)) < +\infty$  for any  $r > 0$ , where  $\mathcal{B}(x, r)$  is the metric ball of radius  $r$  centered in  $x$ . A triple  $(X, d, \mu)$  satisfying the assumptions above is called a *metric measure space*. Any complete Riemannian manifold, equipped with its Riemannian measure, provides an example.

For any set  $\Omega$ , we consider its geodesic homothety of center  $x \in X$  and ratio  $t \in [0, 1]$ :

$$(1) \quad \Omega_t := \{\Phi^x(y, t) \mid y \in X \setminus \mathcal{C}(x)\}.$$

For any  $K \in \mathbb{R}$ , define the function

$$(2) \quad s_K(t) := \begin{cases} (1/\sqrt{K}) \sin(\sqrt{K}t) & \text{if } K > 0, \\ t & \text{if } K = 0, \\ (1/\sqrt{-K}) \sinh(\sqrt{-K}t) & \text{if } K < 0. \end{cases}$$

**Definition 1** (Ohta<sup>1</sup> [16]). Let  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N > 1$ , or  $K \leq 0$  and  $N = 1$ . We say that  $(X, d, \mu)$  satisfies the *measure contraction property* MCP( $K, N$ ) if for any  $x \in M$  and any measurable set  $\Omega$  with  $0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$  (and with  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(x, \pi\sqrt{N-1/K})$  if  $K > 0$ )

$$(3) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) \geq \int_{\Omega} t \left[ \frac{s_K(td(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})}{s_K(d(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})} \right]^{N-1} d\mu(z), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

where we set  $0/0 = 1$  and the term in square bracket is 1 if  $K \leq 0$  and  $N = 1$ .

---

CNRS, CMAP ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE, AND ÉQUIPE INRIA GECO SACLAY ÎLE-DE-FRANCE, PARIS  
*E-mail address:* [luca.rizzi@cmap.polytechnique.fr](mailto:luca.rizzi@cmap.polytechnique.fr).

*Date:* February 23, 2016.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53C17, 53C22, 35R03, 54E35, 53C21.

<sup>1</sup>Ohta defines the measure contraction property for general length spaces, possibly with non-negligible cut loci. Under our assumptions, this simpler definition is equivalent to Ohta's, see [16, Lemma 2.3].

In this setting, the measure contraction property is a global control on the evolution of the measure of  $\Omega_t$ . The function  $s_K$  comes from the exact behavior of the Jacobian determinant of the exponential map on Riemannian space forms of constant curvature  $K$  and dimension  $N$ , where (3) is an equality. On a complete  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifold  $M$  equipped with the Riemannian measure, the MCP( $K, n$ ) is equivalent to  $\text{Ric} \geq K$ . (see [16]). Thus, the measure contraction property is a synthetic replacement for Ricci curvature bounds on more general metric measure spaces, and is actually one of the weakest. It has been introduced independently by Ohta [16] and Sturm [21]. See also [20, 21, 13] for other (stronger) synthetic curvature conditions, including the popular geometric curvature dimension condition CD( $K, N$ ). An important property, shared by all these synthetic conditions, is their stability under (pointed) Gromov-Hausdorff limits.

It is interesting to investigate whether the synthetic theory of curvature bounds can be applied to sub-Riemannian manifolds. These are an interesting class of metric spaces, that generalize Riemannian geometry with non-holonomic constraints. Even though sub-Riemannian structures can be seen as Gromov-Hausdorff limits of sequences of Riemannian ones with the same dimension, these sequences have Ricci curvature unbounded from below (see example in [17]). In general, this is due to the fact that the limit  $(X, d)$  of a convergent Gromov-Hausdorff sequence of complete,  $n$ -dimensional Riemannian manifolds with curvature bounded below has Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_H(X) \leq n$  (see [9, Section 3.10]), but the Hausdorff dimension of sub-Riemannian structures is always strictly larger than their topological one. For this reason a direct analysis is demanded.

In this paper we focus on Carnot groups. In the following, any Carnot group  $G$  is considered as a metric measure space  $(G, d, \mu)$  equipped with the Carnot-Carathéodory distance  $d$  and a left-invariant measure  $\mu$ . The latter coincides with the Popp [15, 7] and with the Hausdorff one [1], up to a constant rescaling. All of them coincide with the Lebesgue measure when we identify  $G \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  in a set of exponential coordinates.

**1.1. The Heisenberg group.** In [10], Juillet proved that the  $2d + 1$  dimensional Heisenberg group  $\mathbb{H}_{2d+1}$  does not satisfy the CD( $K, N$ ) condition, for any value of  $K$  and  $N$ . On the other hand, it satisfies the MCP( $K, N$ ) if and only if  $K \leq 0$  and  $N \geq 2d + 3$ .

The number  $\mathcal{N} = 2d + 3$ , which is the lowest possible dimension for the synthetic condition MCP( $0, N$ ) in  $\mathbb{H}_{2d+1}$ , is surprisingly larger than its topological dimension ( $2d + 1$ ) or the Hausdorff one ( $2d + 2$ ). This is essentially due to the fact that, letting  $\Omega = \mathcal{B}(x, 1)$ , we have  $\Omega_t \subset \mathcal{B}(x, t)$  strictly, and

$$(4) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) \sim \kappa_1 t^{2d+3}, \quad \text{while} \quad \mu(\mathcal{B}(x, t)) \sim \kappa_2 t^{2d+2},$$

for  $t \rightarrow 0^+$  and some constants  $\kappa_1$  and  $\kappa_2$ , see [10, Remark 2.7].

**1.2. Corank 1 Carnot groups.** Our first result is an extension of the MCP results of [10] to any corank 1 Carnot group. Observe that these structures have negligible cut loci.

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $(G, d, \mu)$  be a corank 1 Carnot group of rank  $k$ . Then it satisfies the MCP( $K, N$ ) if and only if  $K \leq 0$  and  $N \geq k + 3$ .*

*Remark 1.* We stress that, in general, corank 1 Carnot groups admit non-trivial abnormal minimizing curves (albeit not strictly abnormal ones). In particular they are not all ideal.

**1.3. The geodesic dimension.** The geodesic dimension was introduced in [3] for sub-Riemannian structures. We define it here in the more general setting of metric measure spaces (which, we recall, are assumed to have negligible cut loci).

**Definition 3.** Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space. For any  $x \in X$  and  $s > 0$ , define

$$(5) \quad C_s(x) := \sup \left\{ \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t^s} \frac{\mu(\Omega_t)}{\mu(\Omega)} \mid \Omega \text{ measurable, bounded, } 0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty \right\},$$

where  $\Omega_t$  is the homothety of  $\Omega$  with center  $x$  and ratio  $t$  as in (1). We define the *geodesic dimension* of  $(X, d, \mu)$  at  $x \in X$  as the non-negative real number

$$(6) \quad \mathcal{N}(x) := \inf\{s > 0 \mid C_s(x) = +\infty\} = \sup\{s > 0 \mid C_s(x) = 0\},$$

with the conventions  $\inf \emptyset = +\infty$  and  $\sup \emptyset = 0$ .

Roughly speaking, the measure of  $\mu(\Omega_t)$  vanishes at least as  $t^{\mathcal{N}(x)}$  or more rapidly, for  $t \rightarrow 0$ . The two definitions in (6) are equivalent since  $s \geq s'$  implies  $C_s(x) \geq C_{s'}(x)$ .

*Remark 2.*  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  does not change if we replace  $\mu$  with any commensurable measure (two measures  $\mu, \nu$  are commensurable if they are mutually absolutely continuous, i.e.  $\mu \ll \nu$  and  $\nu \ll \mu$ , and the Radon-Nikodym derivatives  $\frac{d\mu}{d\nu}, \frac{d\nu}{d\mu}$  are locally essentially bounded).

The geodesic dimension  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  is a local property. In fact, for sufficiently small  $t > 0$ , the set  $\Omega_t$  lies in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $x$ . The next theorem puts it in relation with Hausdorff dimension  $\dim_H(B)$  of a subset  $B \subseteq X$  (see [5] for reference).

**Theorem 4.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space. Then, for any Borel subset  $B$*

$$(7) \quad \sup\{\mathcal{N}(x) \mid x \in B\} \geq \dim_H(B).$$

The next result appears in [3, Proposition 5.49], and we give a self-contained proof. A measure on a smooth manifold is smooth if it is defined by a positive smooth density.

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space defined by an equiregular sub-Riemannian or Riemannian structure, equipped with a smooth measure  $\mu$ . Then*

$$(8) \quad \mathcal{N}(x) \geq \dim_H(X) \geq \dim(X), \quad \forall x \in X,$$

and both equalities hold if and only if  $(X, d, \mu)$  is Riemannian.

*Remark 3.* For an equiregular (sub-)Riemannian structure, the Hausdorff measure is commensurable with respect to any smooth one [14]. This is no longer true in the non-equiregular case [8]. By choosing the Hausdorff measure instead of a smooth one, one obtains, a priori, a different geodesic dimension  $\mathcal{N}(x)$ .

*Remark 4.* The positivity assumption on  $\mu$  is essential to describe the equality case. For example, if  $X = \mathbb{R}$  with the Euclidean metric and  $\mu = x^2 dx$ , we have  $\mathcal{N}(x) = 1$  for  $x \neq 0$  and  $\mathcal{N}(x) = 3$  for  $x = 0$ . Clearly  $dx$  and  $x^2 dx$  are not commensurable.

**1.4. A lower bound for the MCP dimension.** If  $(X, d, \mu)$  satisfies the MCP( $K, N$ ), then  $N \geq \mathcal{N}(x)$  at any point. We give here a general statement for metric measure spaces (which, we recall, are always assumed to have negligible cut loci).

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space, with geodesic dimension  $\mathcal{N}(x)$ , that satisfies the MCP( $K, N$ ), for some  $K \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $N > 1$  or  $K \leq 0$  and  $N = 1$ . Then*

$$(9) \quad N \geq \sup\{\mathcal{N}(x) \mid x \in X\}.$$

The following definition was given originally in [17] for Carnot groups.

**Definition 7.** Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a metric measure space that satisfies the MCP( $0, N$ ) for some  $N \geq 1$ . Its *curvature exponent* is

$$(10) \quad N_0 := \inf\{N > 1 \mid \text{MCP}(0, N) \text{ is satisfied}\}.$$

When  $(X, d, \mu)$  does not satisfy the MCP( $0, N$ ) for all  $N \geq 1$ , we set  $N_0 = +\infty$ .

If  $N_0 < +\infty$ , then the MCP( $0, N_0$ ) is satisfied. Theorem 6 implies that  $N_0 \geq \mathcal{N}$ . It may happen that  $N_0 > \mathcal{N}$  strictly, as in the following example.

*Example 1* (Riemannian Heisenberg). Consider the Riemannian structure generated by the following global orthonormal vector fields, in coordinates  $(x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ :

$$(11) \quad X = \partial_x - \frac{y}{2}\partial_z, \quad Y = \partial_y + \frac{x}{2}\partial_z, \quad Z = \partial_z.$$

Being a Riemannian structure,  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ . In [17] it is proved that, when equipped with the Riemannian volume, it satisfies the MCP(0, 5). With the same computations it is easy to prove that the MCP(0,  $5 - \varepsilon$ ) is violated for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , so its curvature exponent is  $N_0 = 5$ .

**1.5. Back to Carnot groups.** In [17] Rifford studied the measure contraction properties of general Carnot groups. It may happen that  $N_0 = +\infty$ , that is the MCP(0,  $N$ ) is never satisfied. However, if the Carnot group is ideal (i.e. it does not admit non-trivial abnormal minimizing curves), we have the following result.

**Theorem 8** (Rifford [17]). *Let  $(G, d, \mu)$  be a Carnot group. Assume it is ideal. Then it satisfies the MCP(0,  $N$ ) for some  $N > 1$ . In particular its curvature exponent  $N_0$  is finite.*

The proof of the above result is based on a semiconcavity property of the distance for ideal structures, which does not hold in general. Nevertheless, Theorem 2 shows that the above statement can hold even in presence of non-trivial abnormal minimizers. In general, nothing is known on the finiteness of  $N_0$ , but we have the following lower bound.

**Theorem 9** (Rifford [17]). *Let  $(G, d, \mu)$  be a Carnot group. Assume it is geodesic with negligible cut loci. Then its curvature exponent  $N_0$  satisfies*

$$(12) \quad N_0 \geq N_R := Q + n - k,$$

where  $Q$  is the Hausdorff dimension,  $n$  is the topological one, and  $k$  is the rank of the horizontal distribution.

For Carnot groups, the geodesic dimension  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \mathcal{N}$  is clearly constant. In particular  $N_0 \geq \mathcal{N}$ , by Theorem 6. This lower bound improves (12), as a consequence of the following.

**Theorem 10.** *A Carnot group is ideal if and only if it is fat<sup>2</sup>. In this case,  $\mathcal{N} = N_R$ . If a Carnot group is not fat, then  $\mathcal{N} > N_R$ .*

*Remark 5.* Since fat Carnot groups do not admit non-trivial abnormal curves, the first part of Theorem 10 can be restated as follows: a Carnot group admits a non-trivial abnormal curve if and only if it admits a non-trivial abnormal minimizer (see Section 2).

*Example 2* (Engel group). Consider the Carnot group in dimension 4, generated by the following global orthonormal left-invariant vector fields in coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$(13) \quad X_1 = \partial_1, \quad X_2 = \partial_2 + x_1\partial_3 + x_1x_2\partial_4.$$

The Engel group is a metric space with negligible cut loci (see Remark 7). It has rank 2, step 3, dimension 4 and growth vector (2, 3, 4). Its Hausdorff dimension is  $Q = 7$ . The geodesic dimension is  $\mathcal{N} = 10$  (see Section 7.4), while  $N_R = 9$ . This is the lowest dimensional Carnot group where  $\mathcal{N} > N_R$ .

Checking whether the Engel group satisfies the MCP(0,  $\mathcal{N}$ ) should be possible, at least in principle, as expressions for the Jacobian determinant are known [6].

---

<sup>2</sup>A sub-Riemannian structure  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is *fat* if for all  $x \in M$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $X(x) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_x + [X, \mathcal{D}]_x = T_x M$ . It is *ideal* if it is complete and does not admit non-trivial abnormal minimizers.

**1.6. Open problems.** As a consequence of the formula for  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  in the (sub-)Riemannian setting (see Section 7), for any corank 1 Carnot group we have

$$(14) \quad \mathcal{N} = k + 3.$$

Thus, Theorem 2 can be restated saying that *for any corank 1 Carnot group, the curvature exponent is equal to the geodesic dimension*. Moreover, for  $\mathbb{H}_{2d+1}$ , this gives  $\mathcal{N} = 2d + 3$ , and coincides with the “mysterious” integer originally found by Juillet.

The class of corank 1 Carnot groups includes non-ideal structures (see Remark 1). We do not know whether other non-ideal Carnot groups enjoy some MCP(0,  $N$ ). It is not even known whether general Carnot groups have negligible cut loci (this is related with the Sard conjecture in sub-Riemannian geometry [19, 11]). However, if they do, it is natural to expect the curvature exponent to be equal to the curvature dimension.

**Conjecture.** *Let  $(X, d, \mu)$  be a Carnot group. Assume that it has negligible cut loci. Then the geodesic dimension coincides with the curvature exponent.*

Preliminary results (using sub-Riemannian curvature techniques, in collaboration with D. Barilari) seem to provide evidence to the above claim for some step 2 Carnot groups.

**Structure of the paper.** In Section 2 we collect some preliminaries of sub-Riemannian geometry and Carnot groups. In Section 3 we characterize the minimizers of corank 1 Carnot groups. In Section 4, 5, 6 we prove Theorems 2, 4, 6 respectively. In Section 7 we recall the formula for the geodesic dimension on general sub-Riemannian structures, we prove Theorem 5 and we discuss the Engel example. In Section 8 we prove Theorem 10.

**Acknowledgments.** I warmly thank D. Barilari for many fruitful discussions, and the anonymous referee for many useful comments. This research was supported by the ERC StG 2009 “GeCoMethods”, contract n. 239748, by the iCODE institute (research project of the Idex Paris-Saclay), by the ANR project SRGI and by the SMAI project BOUM.

## 2. SUB-RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

We present some basic results in sub-Riemannian geometry. See [2, 18, 15] for reference.

**2.1. Basic definitions.** A sub-Riemannian manifold is a triple  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$ , where  $M$  is a smooth, connected manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{D}$  is a vector distribution of constant rank  $k \leq n$  and  $g$  is a smooth metric on  $\mathcal{D}$ . We always assume that the distribution is bracket-generating. A *horizontal curve*  $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  is a Lipschitz continuous path such that  $\dot{\gamma}(t) \in \mathcal{D}_{\gamma(t)}$  for almost any  $t$ . Horizontal curves have a well defined *length*

$$(15) \quad \ell(\gamma) = \int_0^1 \sqrt{g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t))} dt.$$

The *sub-Riemannian (or Carnot-Carathéodory) distance* is defined by:

$$(16) \quad d(x, y) = \inf\{\ell(\gamma) \mid \gamma(0) = x, \gamma(1) = y, \gamma \text{ horizontal}\}.$$

By the Chow-Rashevskii theorem, under the bracket-generating condition,  $d : M \times M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is finite and continuous. A sub-Riemannian manifold is complete if  $(M, d)$  is complete as a metric space. In this case, for any  $x, y \in M$  there exists a minimizing geodesic joining the two points. In place of the length  $\ell$ , one can consider the *energy functional* as

$$(17) \quad J(\gamma) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 g(\dot{\gamma}(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) dt.$$

It is well known that, on the space of horizontal curves with fixed endpoints, the minimizers of  $J(\cdot)$  coincide with the minimizers of  $\ell(\cdot)$  with constant speed. Since  $\ell$  is invariant by reparametrization (and in particular we can always reparametrize horizontal curves in such a way that they have constant speed), we do not lose generality in defining *geodesics* as horizontal curves that are locally energy minimizers between their endpoints.



**2.2. Hamiltonian.** We define the *Hamiltonian function*  $H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$(18) \quad H(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^k \langle \lambda, X_i \rangle, \quad \lambda \in T^*M,$$

for any local orthonormal frame  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  for  $\mathcal{D}$ . Here  $\langle \lambda, \cdot \rangle$  denotes the dual action of covectors on vectors. The cotangent bundle  $\pi : T^*M \rightarrow M$  is equipped with a natural symplectic form  $\sigma$ . The Hamiltonian vector field  $\vec{H}$  is the unique vector field such that  $\sigma(\cdot, \vec{H}) = dH$ . In particular, the *Hamilton equations* are

$$(19) \quad \dot{\lambda}(t) = \vec{H}(\lambda(t)), \quad \lambda(t) \in T^*M.$$

If  $(M, d)$  is complete, any solution of (19) can be extended to a smooth curve for all times.

**2.3. End-point map.** Let  $\gamma_u : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be a horizontal curve joining  $x$  and  $y$ . Up to restriction and reparametrization, we assume that the curve has no self-intersections. Thus we can find a smooth orthonormal frame  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  of horizontal vectors fields, defined in a neighborhood of  $\gamma_u$ . Moreover, there is a *control*  $u \in L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)$  such that

$$(20) \quad \dot{\gamma}_u(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i(t) X_i(\gamma_u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } t \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $\mathcal{U} \subset L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)$  be the open set such that, for  $v \in \mathcal{U}$ , the solution of

$$(21) \quad \dot{\gamma}_v(t) = \sum_{i=1}^k v_i(t) X_i(\gamma_v(t)), \quad \gamma_v(0) = x,$$

is well defined for a.e.  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Clearly  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ . We define the *end-point map* with base  $x$  as  $E_x : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow M$  that sends  $v$  to  $\gamma_v(1)$ . The end-point map is smooth on  $\mathcal{U}$ .

**2.4. Lagrange multipliers.** We can see  $J : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as a smooth functional on  $\mathcal{U}$  (we are identifying  $\mathcal{U}$  with a neighborhood of  $\gamma_u$  in the space of horizontal curves starting from  $x$ ). A minimizing geodesic  $\gamma_u$  is a solution of the constrained minimum problem

$$(22) \quad J(v) \rightarrow \min, \quad E_x(v) = y, \quad v \in \mathcal{U}.$$

By the Lagrange multipliers rule, there exists a non-trivial pair  $(\lambda_1, \nu)$ , such that

$$(23) \quad \lambda_1 \circ D_u E_x = \nu D_u J, \quad \lambda_1 \in T_y^*M, \quad \nu \in \{0, 1\},$$

where  $\circ$  denotes the composition and  $D$  the (Fréchet) differential. If  $\gamma_u : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  with control  $u \in \mathcal{U}$  is an horizontal curve (not necessarily minimizing), we say that a non-zero pair  $(\lambda_1, \nu) \in T_y^*M \times \{0, 1\}$  is a *Lagrange multiplier* for  $\gamma_u$  if (23) is satisfied. The multiplier  $(\lambda_1, \nu)$  and the associated curve  $\gamma_u$  are called *normal* if  $\nu = 1$  and *abnormal* if  $\nu = 0$ . Observe that Lagrange multipliers are not unique, and a horizontal curve may be both normal *and* abnormal. Observe also that  $\gamma_u$  is an abnormal curve if and only if  $u$  is a critical point for  $E_x$ . In this case,  $\gamma_u$  is also called a *singular curve*. The following characterization is a consequence of the Pontryagin Maximum Principle [4].

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $\gamma_u : [0, 1] \rightarrow M$  be an horizontal curve joining  $x$  with  $y$ . A non-zero pair  $(\lambda_1, \nu) \in T_y^*M \times \{0, 1\}$  is a Lagrange multiplier for  $\gamma_u$  if and only if there exists a Lipschitz curve  $\lambda(t) \in T_{\gamma_u(t)}^*M$  with  $\lambda(1) = \lambda_1$ , such that*

- if  $\nu = 1$  then  $\dot{\lambda}(t) = \vec{H}(\lambda(t))$ , i.e. it is a solution of Hamilton equations,
- if  $\nu = 0$  then  $\sigma(\dot{\lambda}(t), T_{\lambda(t)}\mathcal{D}^\perp) = 0$ ,

where  $\mathcal{D}^\perp \subset T^*M$  is the sub-bundle of covectors that annihilate the distribution.

In the first (resp. second) case,  $\lambda(t)$  is called a *normal* (resp. *abnormal*) *extremal*. Normal extremals are integral curves  $\lambda(t)$  of  $\vec{H}$ . As such, they are smooth, and characterized by their *initial covector*  $\lambda = \lambda(0)$ . A geodesic is normal (resp. abnormal) if admits a normal (resp. abnormal) extremal. On the other hand, it is well known that the projection  $\gamma_\lambda(t) = \pi(\lambda(t))$  of a normal extremal is locally minimizing, hence it is a normal geodesic (see [2, Chapter 4] or [15, Theorem 1.5.7]). The *exponential map* at  $x \in M$  is the map

$$(24) \quad \exp_x : T_x^*M \rightarrow M,$$

which assigns to  $\lambda \in T_x^*M$  the final point  $\pi(\lambda(1))$  of the corresponding normal geodesic. The curve  $\gamma_\lambda(t) := \exp_x(t\lambda)$ , for  $t \in [0, 1]$ , is the normal geodesic corresponding to  $\lambda$ , which has constant speed  $\|\dot{\gamma}_\lambda(t)\| = \sqrt{2H(\lambda)}$  and length  $\ell(\gamma|_{[t_1, t_2]}) = \sqrt{2H(\lambda)}(t_2 - t_1)$ .

**Definition 12.** A sub-Riemannian structure  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is *ideal* if it is complete and does not admit non-trivial abnormal minimizers.

**Definition 13.** A sub-Riemannian structure  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is *fat* (or *strong bracket-generating*) if for all  $x \in M$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $X(x) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_x + [X, \mathcal{D}]_x = T_x M$ .

The definition of ideal structures appears in [17, 18], in the equivalent language of singular curves. We stress that fat sub-Riemannian structures admit no non-trivial abnormal curves (see [15, Section 5.6]). In particular, complete fat structures are ideal.

**2.5. Carnot groups.** A *Carnot group*  $(G, \star)$  of *step*  $s$  is a connected, simply connected Lie group of dimension  $n$ , such that its Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$  is stratified of step  $s$ , that is

$$(25) \quad \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s,$$

with

$$(26) \quad [\mathfrak{g}_1, \mathfrak{g}_j] = \mathfrak{g}_{1+j}, \quad \forall 1 \leq j \leq s, \quad \mathfrak{g}_s \neq \{0\}, \quad \mathfrak{g}_{s+1} = \{0\}.$$

The *group exponential map*  $\exp_G : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow G$  associates with  $V \in \mathfrak{g}$  the element  $\gamma_V(1)$ , where  $\gamma_V : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  is the integral line, starting at  $\gamma_V(0) = e$ , of the left invariant vector field associated with  $V$ . Since  $G$  is simply connected and  $\mathfrak{g}$  is nilpotent,  $\exp_G$  is a smooth diffeomorphism. Thus, the choice of a basis of  $\mathfrak{g}$  induces coordinates on  $G \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$ , which are called *exponential coordinates*.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the left-invariant distribution generated by  $\mathfrak{g}_1$ , with a left-invariant scalar product  $g$ . This defines a sub-Riemannian structure  $(G, \mathcal{D}, g)$  on the Carnot group. For  $x \in G$ , we denote with  $L_x(y) := x \star y$  the left translation. The map  $L_x : G \rightarrow G$  is a smooth isometry. Any Carnot group, equipped with the Carnot-Carathéodory distance  $d$  and the Lebesgue measure  $\mu$  of  $G = \mathbb{R}^n$  is a complete metric measure space  $(X, d, \mu)$ . Haar, Popp, Lebesgue and top-dimensional Hausdorff measures are left-invariant and proportional.

### 3. CORANK 1 CARNOT GROUPS

A corank 1 Carnot group is a Carnot groups of step  $s = 2$ , with  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_1 = k$  and  $\dim \mathfrak{g}_2 = 1$ . In exponential coordinates  $(x, z)$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k \times \mathbb{R}$ , they are generated by the following set of global orthonormal left-invariant frames

$$(27) \quad X_i = \partial_{x_i} - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^k A_{ij} x_j \partial_z, \quad i = 1, \dots, k,$$

where  $A$  is a  $k \times k$  skew symmetric matrix. Observe that

$$(28) \quad [X_i, X_j] = A_{ij} \partial_z, \quad i, j = 1, \dots, k.$$





Finally, for the coordinate  $z$  we obtain

$$(39) \quad \begin{aligned} z &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^1 h_x^*(s) A x(s) ds = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^d \int_0^1 h_x^i(s) \alpha_i J x^i(s) ds \\ &= \frac{1}{2p_z} \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 \int_0^1 (1 - \cos(\alpha_i p_z s)) ds = \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 \left( \frac{\alpha_i p_z - \sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{2\alpha_i p_z^2} \right). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 15.** *The Jacobian determinant of the exponential map is*

$$(40) \quad J(p_x, p_z) = \frac{2^{2d}}{\alpha^2 p_z^{2d+2}} \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 \prod_{j \neq i} \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_j p_z}{2}\right)^2 \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_i p_z}{2}\right) \left( \sin\left(\frac{\alpha_i p_z}{2}\right) - \frac{\alpha_i p_z}{2} \cos\left(\frac{\alpha_i p_z}{2}\right) \right),$$

where  $\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^d \alpha_i$  is the product of the non-zero singular values of  $A$ . If  $p_z = 0$ , the formula must be taken in the limit  $p_z \rightarrow 0$ . In particular  $J(p_x, 0) = \frac{1}{12} \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 \alpha_i^2$ .

*Proof.* For any matrix with the following block structure

$$(41) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} B & v \\ w^* & \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where the only constraint is that  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  is a one-dimensional block, we have

$$(42) \quad \det(M) = \theta \det(B) - v^* \operatorname{cof}(B) w,$$

where  $\operatorname{cof}$  denotes the matrix of cofactors. More in general, let

$$(43) \quad M = \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & & & v_0 \\ & B_1 & & v_1 \\ & & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & & B_d & v_d \\ w_0^* & w_1^* & \dots & w_d^* & \theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $B_0, \dots, B_d$  are square blocks of arbitrary (possibly different) dimension,  $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $v_i, w_j$  are column vectors of the appropriate dimension. In this case we have

$$(44) \quad \det(M) = \theta \prod_{i=0}^d \det B_i - \sum_{i=0}^d \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \det B_j \right) v_i^* \operatorname{cof}(B_i) w_i.$$

If  $B_i = a_i I + b_i J$ , then  $\operatorname{cof}(B_i) = B_i$ . If we also assume that  $B_0 = 1$ ,  $v_0 = w_0 = 0$ , we have

$$(45) \quad \det(M) = \theta \prod_{i=1}^d \det B_i - \sum_{i=1}^d \left( \prod_{j \neq i} \det B_j \right) v_i^* B_i w_i.$$

From Lemma 14, the differential of the exponential map has the above form, with

$$(46) \quad B_0 = \frac{\partial x^0}{\partial p_x^0} = 1, \quad B_i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial p_x^i} = \frac{\sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{\alpha_i p_z} I + \frac{\cos(\alpha_i p_z) - 1}{\alpha_i p_z} J,$$

$$(47) \quad v_i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial p_z} = \frac{\alpha_i p_z \cos(\alpha_i p_z) - \sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{\alpha_i p_z^2} I p_x^i + \frac{1 - \cos(\alpha_i p_z) - \alpha_i p_z \sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{\alpha_i p_z^2} J p_x^i,$$

$$(48) \quad w_i = \frac{\partial z}{\partial p_x^i} = \frac{\alpha_i p_z - \sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{\alpha_i p_z^2} p_x^i,$$

$$(49) \quad \theta = \frac{\partial z}{\partial p_z} = \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 \left( \frac{2 \sin(\alpha_i p_z) - \alpha_i p_z - \alpha_i p_z \cos(\alpha_i p_z)}{2\alpha_i p_z^3} \right).$$

The result follows applying formula (45) and observing that, for  $i = 1, \dots, d$ , we have

$$(50) \quad \det(B_i) = \frac{4 \sin^2(\alpha_i p_z / 2)}{(\alpha_i p_z)^2},$$

$$v_i^* B_i w_i = \frac{\alpha_i^2 \|p_x^i\|^2}{(\alpha_i p_z)^5} (\alpha_i p_z - \sin(\alpha_i p_z)) (\alpha_i p_z \sin(\alpha_i p_z) + 2 \cos(\alpha_i p_z) - 2). \quad \square$$

**Lemma 16** (Characterization of the cotangent injectivity domain). *Consider the set*

$$(51) \quad D := \left\{ \lambda = (p_x, p_z) \in T_e^* G \text{ such that } |p_z| < \frac{2\pi}{\alpha_d} \text{ and } Ap_x \neq 0 \right\} \subset T_e^* G.$$

Then  $\exp_e : D \rightarrow \exp_e(D)$  is a smooth diffeomorphism and  $\mathcal{C}(e) := G \setminus \exp_e(D)$  is a closed set with zero measure.

*Proof.* Since all geodesic are normal and  $(G, d)$  is complete, each point of  $G$  is reached by at least one minimizing normal geodesic  $\gamma_\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ , with  $\lambda = (p_x, p_z) \in T_e^* G$ . If  $|p_z| > 2\pi/\alpha_d$  and  $Ap_x \neq 0$ , then  $\gamma_\lambda$  is a strictly normal geodesic (i.e. not abnormal) with a conjugate time at  $t_* = 2\pi/\alpha_d |p_z| < 1$ . Strictly normal geodesics lose optimality after their first conjugate time (see [2]), hence  $\gamma_\lambda(t)$  is not minimizing on  $[0, 1]$ . On the other hand, if  $Ap_x = 0$ , for any value of  $p_z$  we obtain the same abnormal geodesic (see Remark 6). It follows that  $\exp_e : \bar{D} \rightarrow G$  is onto (the bar denotes the closure). Thus,  $\exp_e : D \rightarrow \exp_e(D)$  is onto and  $\mathcal{C}(e) = G \setminus \exp_e(D) = \exp_e(\partial D)$  has zero measure.

We now prove that, if  $\lambda \in D$ , then  $\gamma_\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow G$  is the unique geodesic joining its endpoints. In fact, assume that there are two covectors  $\lambda = (p_x, p_z)$  and  $\bar{\lambda} = (\bar{p}_x, \bar{p}_z) \in D$ , such that  $\exp_e(\lambda) = \exp_e(\bar{\lambda})$ . Since the two geodesics have the same length,  $\|p_x\| = \ell(\gamma_\lambda) = \ell(\gamma_{\bar{\lambda}}) = \|\bar{p}_x\|$ . Using Lemma 14, we have  $p_x^0 = \bar{p}_x^0$  and

$$(52) \quad \|x^i\|^2 = 4 \|p_x^i\|^2 \operatorname{sinc}(\alpha_i p_z)^2 = 4 \|\bar{p}_x^i\|^2 \operatorname{sinc}(\alpha_i \bar{p}_z)^2, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d,$$

where  $\operatorname{sinc}(w) = \sin(w)/w$  is positive and strictly decreasing on  $[0, \pi)$ . Since  $Ap_x, A\bar{p}_x \neq 0$ , there exist two non-empty set of indices  $I, \bar{I} \subset \{1, \dots, d\}$  such that, for  $i \in I$  (resp.  $\bar{I}$ ) we have  $\|p_x^i\|^2 \neq 0$  (resp.  $\|\bar{p}_x^i\|^2 \neq 0$ ). Since  $\alpha_i p_z, \alpha_i \bar{p}_z < \pi$ , by (52), we have  $I = \bar{I}$ .

Assume now that  $\bar{p}_z > p_z$ . Then by (52)  $\|\bar{p}_x^i\|^2 > \|p_x^i\|^2$  for all  $i \in I$ . In particular

$$(53) \quad \|\bar{p}_x\|^2 = \|\bar{p}_x^0\|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \|\bar{p}_x^i\|^2 > \|p_x^0\|^2 + \sum_{i \in I} \|p_x^i\|^2 = \|p_x\|^2,$$

which is a contradiction. Analogously if  $\bar{p}_z < p_z$ , with reversed inequalities. Thus  $p_z = \bar{p}_z$ . Using now the equations for the coordinate  $x^i$  of Lemma 14 we observe that

$$(54) \quad \left[ \frac{\sin(\alpha_i p_z)}{\alpha_i p_z} I + \frac{\cos(\alpha_i p_z) - 1}{\alpha_i p_z} J \right] (\bar{p}_x^i - p_x^i) = 0, \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, d.$$

The  $2 \times 2$  matrix on the left hand side is invertible (since if  $\alpha_i p_z < \pi$ ), hence also  $\bar{p}_x = p_x$ . Thus  $\exp_e : D \rightarrow \exp_e(D)$  is invertible.

Finally, no point  $\lambda \in D$  can be critical for  $\exp_e$ . In fact, from Lemma 15 we have that  $J(p_x, p_z) = \sum_{i=1}^d \|p_x^i\|^2 f_i(p_z)$ , where each  $f_i(p_z) > 0$  for  $p_z < 2\pi/\alpha_d$ . In particular  $J(p_x, p_z) = 0$  if and only if  $Ap_x = 0$ . But this closed set was excluded from  $D$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 17.** *For any  $x \in G$ , let  $\mathcal{C}(x) := L_x \mathcal{C}(e)$ , where  $L_x : G \rightarrow G$  is the left-translation. There exists a measurable map  $\Phi^x : G \setminus \mathcal{C}(x) \times [0, 1] \rightarrow G$ , given by*

$$(55) \quad \Phi^x(y, t) = L_x \exp_e(t \exp_e^{-1}(L_x^{-1}y)),$$

such that  $\Phi^x(y, t)$  is the unique minimizing geodesic joining  $x$  with  $y$ .

The next key lemma and its proof are a simplified version of the original concavity argument of Juillet for the Heisenberg group [10, Lemma 2.6].

**Lemma 18.** *Let  $g(x) := \sin(x) - x \cos(x)$ . Then, for all  $x \in (0, \pi)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,*

$$(56) \quad g(tx) \geq t^N g(x), \quad \forall N \geq 3.$$

*Proof.* The condition  $N \geq 3$  is necessary, as  $g(x) = x^3/3 + O(x^4)$ . It is sufficient to prove the statement for  $N = 3$ . The cases  $t = 0$  and  $t = 1$  are trivial, hence we assume  $t \in (0, 1)$ . By Gronwall's Lemma the above statement is implied by the differential inequality

$$(57) \quad g'(s) \leq 3g(s)/s, \quad s \in (0, \pi).$$

In fact, it is sufficient to integrate the above inequality on  $[tx, x] \subset (0, \pi)$  to prove our claim. The above inequality reads

$$(58) \quad f(s) := (3 - s^2) \sin(s) - 3s \cos(s) \geq 0, \quad s \in (0, \pi).$$

To prove it, we observe that  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f'(s) = s(\sin(s) - s \cos(s)) \geq 0$  on  $(0, \pi)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 19.** *For all  $(p_x, p_z) \in D$ , we have the following inequality*

$$(59) \quad \frac{J(tp_x, tp_z)}{J(p_x, p_z)} \geq t^2, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

*Proof.* Apply Lemma 18 to the explicit expression of  $J$  from Lemma 15, and the standard inequality  $\sin(tx) \geq t \sin(x)$  for all  $x \in [0, \pi]$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ .  $\square$

#### 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2

The proof combines the arguments of [10] and the computation of the Jacobian determinant of [1] for contact Carnot groups, extended here to the general corank 1 case.

**4.1. Step 1.** We first prove that the MCP(0,  $N$ ) holds for  $N \geq k + 3$ . By left-translation, it is sufficient to prove the inequality (3) for the homothety with center equal to the identity  $e = (0, 0)$ . Let  $\Omega$  be a measurable set with  $0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ .

By Lemma 16, up to removing a set of zero measure,  $\Omega = \exp_e(A)$  for some  $A \subset D \subset T_e^*G$ . On the other hand, by Corollary 17, we have

$$(60) \quad \Omega_t = \exp_e(tA), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1],$$

where  $tA$  denotes the set obtained by multiplying by  $t$  any point of the set  $A \subset T_e^*G$  (an Euclidean homothety). Thus, for all  $t \in [0, 1]$  we have

$$(61) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) = \int_{\Omega_t} d\mu = \int_{tA} J(p_x, p_z) dp_x dp_z$$

$$(62) \quad = t^{k+1} \int_A J(tp_x, tp_z) dp_x dp_z \geq t^{k+3} \int_A J(p_x, p_z) dp_x dp_z = t^{k+3} \mu(\Omega),$$

where we used Corollary 19. In particular  $\mu(\Omega_t) \geq t^N \mu(\Omega)$  for all  $N \geq k + 3$ .

**4.2. Step 2.** Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . We prove that the MCP(0,  $k + 3 - \varepsilon$ ) does not hold. Let  $\lambda = (p_x, 0) \in D$ . By Lemma 15, and recalling that  $J > 0$  on  $D$ , we have

$$(63) \quad J(tp_x, 0) = t^2 J(p_x, 0) < t^{2-\varepsilon} J(p_x, 0), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

By continuity of  $J$  and compactness of  $[0, 1]$ , we find an open neighborhood  $A \subset D$  of  $\lambda$  such that  $J(t\lambda) < t^{2-\varepsilon} J(\lambda)$ , for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In particular, for  $\Omega = \exp_e(A)$ , we obtain

$$(64) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) < t^{k+3-\varepsilon} \mu(\Omega), \quad t \in [0, 1].$$

**4.3. Step 3.** To prove that  $\text{MCP}(K, N)$  does not hold for  $K > 0$  and any  $N > 1$ , we observe that spaces verifying this condition are bounded, while  $G \simeq \mathbb{R}^n$  clearly is not. Finally, assume that  $(G, d, \mu)$  satisfies  $\text{MCP}(K, N)$  for some  $K < 0$  and  $N < k + 3$ . Then the scaled space  $(G, \varepsilon^{-1}d, \varepsilon^{-Q}\mu)$  (where  $\varepsilon > 0$  and  $Q = k + 2$  is the Hausdorff dimension of  $(G, d)$ ) verifies  $\text{MCP}(\varepsilon^2 K, N)$  for [16, Lemma 2.4]. But the two spaces  $(G, d, \mu)$  and  $(G, \varepsilon^{-1}d, \varepsilon^{-Q}\mu)$  are isometric through the dilation  $\delta_\varepsilon(x, z) := (\varepsilon x, \varepsilon^2 z)$ . In particular  $(G, d, \mu)$  satisfies the  $\text{MCP}(\varepsilon K, N)$  for all  $\varepsilon > 0$ , that is

$$(65) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) \geq \int_\Omega t \left[ \frac{s_{\varepsilon K}(td(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})}{s_{\varepsilon K}(d(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})} \right]^{N-1} d\mu(z), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Taking the limit for  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ , we obtain that  $(G, d, \mu)$  satisfies the  $\text{MCP}(0, N)$  with  $N < k + 3$ , but this is false by the previous step (these are the same arguments of [10]).  $\square$

## 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 4

Assume that  $B$  is bounded. In particular,  $\mu(B) < +\infty$ . For any  $k > 0$  let  $\mathcal{H}^k$  denote the  $k$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure on  $(X, d)$ . Let  $k < \dim_H(B)$ , then  $\mathcal{H}^k(B) = +\infty$ . By [5, Theorem 2.4.3], there exists an  $x \in B$  such that

$$(66) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\mu(\mathcal{B}(x, t))}{t^k} < +\infty.$$

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded measurable set with  $0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ , and let  $\Omega_t$  be its homothety with center  $x$ . We have  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(x, R)$  for some  $R > 0$ , and  $\Omega_t \subseteq \mathcal{B}(x, tR)$ . In particular

$$(67) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{k-\varepsilon}} \frac{\mu(\Omega_t)}{\mu(\Omega)} \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{k-\varepsilon}} \frac{\mu(\mathcal{B}(x, tR))}{\mu(\Omega)} = 0, \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0.$$

Since this holds for any bounded  $\Omega$ , we have  $C_{k-\varepsilon}(x) = 0$  for all  $k < \dim_H(B)$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By definition of geodesic dimension  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \sup\{s > 0 \mid C_s(x) = 0\} \geq k$ . Thus, for any  $k < \dim_H(B)$  we have found  $x \in B$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(x) \geq k$ , which implies the statement.

If  $B$  is not bounded, consider the increasing sequence of bounded sets  $B_j := B \cap \mathcal{B}(x, j)$ , with  $j \in \mathbb{N}$ , and observe that  $\dim_H(B_j)$  is a non-decreasing sequence for  $j \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

## 6. PROOF OF THEOREM 6

By contradiction, assume that  $N < \sup\{\mathcal{N}(x) \mid x \in M\}$ . In particular there exists  $x \in X$  such that  $\mathcal{N}(x) > N$ . Let  $\Omega \subset X$  be a bounded, measurable set such that  $0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ , and with  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(x, \pi\sqrt{N-1}/K)$  if  $K > 0$ . By the  $\text{MCP}(K, N)$  we have

$$(68) \quad \frac{\mu(\Omega_t)}{\mu(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_\Omega t \left[ \frac{s_K(td(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})}{s_K(d(x, z)/\sqrt{N-1})} \right]^{N-1} d\mu(z), \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

We have  $\Omega \subset \mathcal{B}(x, R\sqrt{N-1})$  for some sufficiently large  $R$  (with  $R < \pi/\sqrt{K}$  if  $K > 0$ ). Consider the functions  $s_K(t\delta)/s_K(\delta)$ , for  $\delta \in (0, R)$ . By explicit inspection using (2) we find a constant  $A_{K,R} > 0$  (independent on  $\delta$ ) such that

$$(69) \quad s_K(t\delta)/s_K(\delta) \geq A_{K,R}t, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1], \quad \forall \delta \in (0, R).$$

Thus we have

$$(70) \quad \frac{\mu(\Omega_t)}{\mu(\Omega)} \geq \frac{1}{\mu(\Omega)} \int_\Omega A_{K,R}^{N-1} t^N d\mu(z) = A_{K,R}^{N-1} t^N, \quad \forall t \in [0, 1].$$

Let  $\mathcal{N}(x) - N = 2\varepsilon > 0$ . We have

$$(71) \quad \limsup_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{1}{t^{\mathcal{N}(x)-\varepsilon}} \frac{\mu(\Omega_t)}{\mu(\Omega)} \geq \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{A_{K,R}^{N-1}}{t^{\mathcal{N}(x)-\varepsilon-N}} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{A_{K,R}^{N-1}}{t^\varepsilon} = +\infty.$$

In particular  $C_{\mathcal{N}(x)-\varepsilon}(x) = +\infty$ , where  $C_s(x)$  is defined in (5). This is a contradiction since  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \inf\{s > 0 \mid C_s(x) = +\infty\}$ .  $\square$

## 7. FORMULA FOR THE GEODESIC DIMENSION

We recall some of the results of [3] and we prove that the definition of geodesic dimension given in this paper coincides with the one of [3] for sub-Riemannian structures.

**7.1. Flag of the distribution and Hausdorff dimension.** Let  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  be a fixed (sub-)Riemannian structure. The *flag of the distribution* at  $x \in M$  is the filtration of vector subspaces  $\mathcal{D}_x^1 \subseteq \mathcal{D}_x^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq T_x M$  defined as

$$(72) \quad \mathcal{D}_x^1 := \mathcal{D}_x, \quad \mathcal{D}_x^{i+1} := \mathcal{D}_x^i + [\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^i]_x,$$

where  $[\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}^i]_x$  is the vector space generated by the iterated Lie brackets, up to length  $i + 1$ , of local sections of  $\mathcal{D}$ , evaluated at  $x$ . We denote with  $s_x$  the *step of the distribution* at  $x$ , that is the smallest (finite) integer such that  $\mathcal{D}_x^{s_x} = T_x M$ .

We say that  $\mathcal{D}$  is *equiregular* if  $\dim \mathcal{D}_x^i$  are constant for all  $i \geq 0$ . In this case the step is constant and equal to  $s$ . The *growth vector of the distribution* is

$$(73) \quad (d_1, \dots, d_s), \quad d_i := \dim \mathcal{D}^i.$$

**Theorem 20** (Mitchell [14]). *Let  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  an equiregular (sub-)Riemannian structure. Then its Hausdorff dimension is given by the following formula:*

$$(74) \quad \dim_H(M) = \sum_{i=1}^s i(d_i - d_{i-1}), \quad d_0 := 0.$$

**7.2. Flag of the geodesic and geodesic dimension.** Let  $\gamma_\lambda : [0, \varepsilon] \rightarrow M$  be a normal geodesic, with initial covector  $\lambda$ , and  $x = \gamma(0)$ . Let  $T \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D})$  any horizontal extension of  $\gamma$ , that is  $T(\gamma(t)) = \dot{\gamma}(t)$  for all  $t \in [0, \varepsilon]$ . The *flag of the geodesic* is the filtration of vector subspaces  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^1 \subseteq \mathcal{F}_\lambda^2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq T_x M$  defined by

$$(75) \quad \mathcal{F}_\lambda^i := \text{span}\{\mathcal{L}_T^j(X)|_x \mid X \in \Gamma(\mathcal{D}), \quad j \leq i - 1\} \subseteq T_x M, \quad i \geq 1,$$

where  $\mathcal{L}$  denotes the Lie derivative. By [3, Section 3.4], this definition does not depend on the choice of the extension  $T$ , but only on the germ of  $\gamma(t)$  at  $t = 0$ . In particular, it depends only on the initial covector  $\lambda \in T_x^* M$ . We define the *geodesic growth vector* as

$$(76) \quad \mathcal{G}_\lambda := (k_1, \dots, k_i, \dots), \quad k_i := \dim \mathcal{F}_\lambda^i.$$

We say that  $\gamma_\lambda$  is *ample* (at  $t = 0$ ) if there is a smallest integer  $m \geq 1$  such that  $\mathcal{F}_\lambda^m = T_x M$ . In this case the growth vector is constant after its  $m$ -th entry, and  $m$  is called the *geodesic step*. Different initial covectors may give different growth vectors (possibly associated with non-ample geodesics when  $\gamma$  is abnormal). The *maximal geodesic growth vector* at  $x$  is

$$(77) \quad \mathcal{G}_x^{max} := (k_1^{max}, \dots, k_i^{max}, \dots), \quad k_i^{max} := \max\{\dim \mathcal{F}_\lambda^i \mid \lambda \in T_x^* M\}.$$

**Theorem 21.** *The set  $\mathcal{A}_x \subset T_x^* M$  of initial covectors such that the corresponding geodesic is ample, and its growth vector is maximal is an open, non-empty Zariski subset.*

In particular, the generic normal geodesic starting at  $x$  has maximal growth vector and the minimal step  $m(x)$ . For a fixed  $x \in M$ , consider the following number:

$$(78) \quad \mathcal{N}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} (2i - 1)(k_i^{max} - k_{i-1}^{max}), \quad k_0^{max} := 0.$$



**Theorem 22.** *Let  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  be a sub-Riemannian manifold, equipped with a smooth measure  $\mu$ . Assume that, as a metric measure space  $(M, d, \mu)$ , has negligible cut loci. Let  $x \in M$ , and let  $\Omega$  be any measurable, bounded subset with  $0 < \mu(\Omega) < +\infty$ . Then there exists a constant  $C(\Omega) > 0$  such that*

$$(79) \quad \mu(\Omega_t) \sim C(\Omega)t^{\mathcal{N}(x)}, \quad t \rightarrow 0^+.$$

Equation (78) is the *definition* of geodesic dimension given in [3]. As a consequence of Theorem 22, it coincides with the one given in this paper, when specified to sub-Riemannian structures. In this case, to compute  $\mathcal{N}(x)$ , it is sufficient to compute the growth vector for the generic geodesic, and use (78). Theorems 21, 22 are proved in [3], and are based on a deep relation between the geodesic growth vector and the asymptotics of the exponential map on a general sub-Riemannian manifold.

**7.3. Proof of Theorem 5.** If  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is Riemannian, for any point  $x \in M$  we have  $\mathcal{G}_x = (\dim(M))$  for any non-trivial initial covector and  $\mathcal{N}(x) = \dim(M) = \dim_H(M)$ .

If  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is sub-Riemannian (with  $k = \text{rank } \mathcal{D} < n$ ), and equiregular of step  $s$ , let  $q_i := d_i - d_{i-1}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and  $p_i := k_i^{\max} - k_{i-1}^{\max}$ , for all  $i = 1, \dots, m(x)$ . Observe that  $m(x) \geq s \geq 2$ . By Mitchell's formula (74), and (78), we have

$$(80) \quad \dim_H(M) = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{q_1} + \underbrace{2 + \dots + 2}_{q_2} + \dots + \underbrace{s + \dots + s}_{q_s},$$

$$(81) \quad \mathcal{N}(x) = \underbrace{1 + \dots + 1}_{p_1} + \underbrace{3 + \dots + 3}_{p_2} + \dots + \underbrace{2m(x) - 1 + \dots + 2m(x) - 1}_{p_{m(x)}}.$$

Both sums have a total of  $n$  terms, in fact

$$(82) \quad \sum_{i=1}^s q_i = \sum_{i=1}^s d_i - d_{i-1} = d_s = n, \quad \sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} p_i = \sum_{i=1}^{m(x)} k_i^{\max} - k_{i-1}^{\max} = k_{m(x)}^{\max} = n.$$

Moreover  $q_1 = p_1 = k < n$ . The terms of (81), after the  $k$ -th, are strictly greater than the ones of (80). Thus,  $\mathcal{N}(x) > \dim_H(M) > \dim(M)$ .  $\square$

**7.4. The Engel group.** We discuss more in detail the Engel group introduced in Example 2. This is the Carnot group, in dimension  $n = 4$ , generated by the following global orthonormal left-invariant vector fields in coordinates  $(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R}^4$

$$(83) \quad X_1 = \partial_1, \quad X_2 = \partial_2 + x_1\partial_3 + x_1x_2\partial_4.$$

It is a rank 2 Carnot group of step 3, with  $\mathfrak{g}_1 = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\}$  and

$$(84) \quad \mathfrak{g}_2 = [X_1, X_2] = \partial_3 + x_2\partial_4, \quad \mathfrak{g}_3 = [X_2, [X_1, X_2]] = \partial_4,$$

where we omit the linear span. In particular, by left-invariance,  $\mathcal{D}^1 = \mathfrak{g}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^2 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$  and  $\mathcal{D}^3 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_3$ . The growth vector of the distribution is  $(2, 3, 4)$ . By Mitchell's formula (74) for the Hausdorff dimension we have  $Q = 2 + 2 + 3 = 7$ .

Let us compute the geodesic growth vector. As we will see, it is sufficient to choose the curve  $\gamma(t) = e^{tX_2}(e)$  (this is a normal geodesic, by Lemma 23). Using the definition, we obtain  $\mathcal{F}^1 = \text{span}\{X_1, X_2\}$  and, omitting the linear span,

$$(85) \quad \mathcal{F}^2 = [X_2, X_1] = \partial_3 + x_2\partial_4, \quad \mathcal{F}^3 = [X_2, [X_2, X_1]] = \partial_4.$$

This gives the maximal possible geodesic growth vector, hence

$$(86) \quad \mathcal{G}_x^{\max} = (2, 3, 4), \quad \forall x \in G.$$

In particular, using (78) we obtain  $\mathcal{N} = 2 + 3 + 5 = 10$ .

*Remark 7.* We stress that the Engel group has negligible cut loci, hence it falls into the class of metric measure spaces considered in this article. This follows from the fact that in step 3 Carnot groups all minimizing geodesics are normal and, in particular, the set of points reached by strictly abnormal geodesics, starting from the origin, has zero measure (see [22, Theorem 5.4] and [11, Theorem 1.5] for an independent proof).

## 8. PROOF OF THEOREM 10

Let  $(G, \mathcal{D}, g)$  be a Carnot group of step  $s$  and dimension  $n$ . We identify  $\mathfrak{g} = T_e G$  (and its subspaces) with the vector space of left-invariant vector fields. In particular  $\mathcal{D} = \mathfrak{g}_1$ .

**8.1. Step 1: Estimates in the fat case.** We remind that a sub-Riemannian structure  $(M, \mathcal{D}, g)$  is *fat* (or *strong bracket-generating*) if for any  $x \in M$  and  $X \in \mathcal{D}$ ,  $X(x) \neq 0$ , then  $\mathcal{D}_x + [X, \mathcal{D}]_x = T_x M$ . It is well known that fat structures does not admit non-trivial abnormal minimizers [2, 15, 18]. If  $G$  is a fat Carnot group of rank  $k$ , then the geodesic growth vector of any non-trivial geodesic is

$$(87) \quad \mathcal{G}_x^{max} = (k, n), \quad \forall x \in G.$$

By (78) we have  $\mathcal{N} = k + 3(n - k) = 3n - 2k$ . On the other hand, the Hausdorff dimension is  $Q = k + 2(n - k) = 2n - k$ . Moreover, from (12), we have  $N_R = Q + n - k = 3n - 2k$ . This proves that on a fat Carnot group  $\mathcal{N} = N_R$ .

To prove the inequality  $\mathcal{N} > N_R$  when  $G$  is not fat, let  $\mathcal{G}^{max} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_m)$  be the maximal geodesic growth vector, with geodesic step  $m \geq s \geq 2$ . Let  $q_i := d_i - d_{i-1}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$  and  $p_i := k_i - k_{i-1}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, m$ . Since  $d_0 = k_0 = 0$  by convention and  $d_s = k_m = n$ , we have  $n = \sum_{i=1}^s q_i = \sum_{i=1}^m p_i$ . Thus, from (78), we obtain

$$(88) \quad \mathcal{N} = \sum_{i=1}^m (2i - 1)p_i = \sum_{i=2}^m (2i - 2)p_i + n.$$

On the other hand, for  $N_R = Q + n - k$  and using Mitchell's formula (74), we obtain

$$(89) \quad N_R = \sum_{i=1}^s i q_i + n - k = \sum_{i=2}^s i q_i + n.$$

Arranging the terms as we did in the proof of Theorem 5, we write

$$(90) \quad \mathcal{N} - n = \underbrace{2 + \dots + 2}_{p_2} + \underbrace{4 + \dots + 4}_{p_3} + \dots + \underbrace{2m - 2 + \dots + 2m - 2}_{p_m},$$

$$(91) \quad N_R - n = \underbrace{2 + \dots + 2}_{q_2} + \underbrace{3 + \dots + 3}_{q_3} + \dots + \underbrace{s + \dots + s}_{p_s}.$$

Both sums have  $n - k = \sum_{i=2}^m p_i = \sum_{i=2}^s q_i$  entries. Moreover  $m > 2$  (if  $m = 2$ , then  $\mathcal{F}^2 = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus [X, \mathfrak{g}_1] = T_e M$  for some  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , which is a contradiction if  $G$  is not fat). Then the entries of (90), after the  $p_2$ -th one, are strictly greater than the corresponding ones of (91), and  $\mathcal{N} > N_R$ .

**8.2. Step 2: Ideal = Fat.** To conclude the proof of Theorem 10, we prove that any ideal Carnot group is fat. Denote with  $\text{ad}_X : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  the linear map:

$$(92) \quad \text{ad}_X(Y) := [X, Y] = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} e_*^{-tX} Y(\gamma_X(t)),$$

where  $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX}(x)$  is the integral curve of  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  starting from  $x \in G$ .

**Lemma 23.** *Let  $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX}(x)$  be the integral curve of the left-invariant vector field  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , starting from  $x$ . Then  $\gamma_X$  it is a normal geodesic. It is also an abnormal geodesic if and only if there exists a non-zero  $\lambda \in T_e^* G$  such that*

$$(93) \quad \langle \lambda, \text{ad}_X^i(\mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle = 0, \quad \forall i = 0, \dots, s - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $X_1, \dots, X_k$  be a basis of left-invariant vector fields. Clearly,  $X = \sum_{i=1}^k u_i X_i$  for a constant control  $u \in L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)$ . By left-invariance we can set  $x = e$ . A well known formula for the differential of the end-point map [2, 18]  $D_u E_e : T_u \mathcal{U} \simeq \mathcal{U} \rightarrow T_{\gamma(1)} G$ , gives

$$(94) \quad D_u E_e(v) = \int_0^1 e_*^{(1-t)X} \sum_{i=1}^k v_i(t) X_i(\gamma(t)) dt, \quad \forall v \in L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k).$$

We first prove that  $\gamma_X(t)$  is a normal geodesic. Consider the covector  $\eta \in T_e G$  such that  $\langle \eta, X_i \rangle = u_i$  and  $\langle \eta, W \rangle = 0$  for all  $W \in \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_s$ . Then

$$(95) \quad \langle (e^{-X})^* \eta, D_u E_e(v) \rangle = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^k v_i(t) \langle \eta, e_*^{-tX} X_i(\gamma(t)) \rangle dt = (u, v)_{L^2([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k)} = D_u J(v).$$

Thus  $\gamma_X(t)$  with control  $u$  satisfies the normal Lagrange multiplier rule with covector  $\eta_1 = (e^{-X})^* \eta \in T_{\gamma(1)}^* G$ , and is a normal geodesic. By definition  $\gamma_X(t)$  is also abnormal if and only if there exists a  $\lambda_1 \in T_{\gamma(1)}^* G$  such that  $\lambda_1 \circ D_u E_e = 0$ . That is, if and only if there exists  $\lambda = (e^X)^* \lambda_1 \in T_e^* G$  such that

$$(96) \quad 0 = \langle \lambda, e_*^{-X} D_u E_e(v) \rangle = \int_0^1 \sum_{i=1}^k v_i(t) \langle \lambda, e_*^{-tX} X_i(\gamma(t)) \rangle dt, \quad \forall v \in L^\infty([0, 1], \mathbb{R}^k).$$

This is true if and only if  $\langle \lambda, e_*^{-tX} Y \rangle = 0$  for any  $Y \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Since all the relevant data are analytic,  $t \mapsto \langle \lambda, e_*^{-tX} Y \rangle$  is an analytic function of  $t$ . Hence it vanishes if and only if all its derivatives at  $t = 0$  are zero, and this condition coincides with (93).  $\square$

**Lemma 24.** *Let  $G$  be a Carnot group of step  $s \leq 2$ .  $G$  is ideal if and only if it is fat.*

*Proof.* The implication fat  $\Rightarrow$  ideal is trivial. Then, assume that  $\mathfrak{g}_1$  is not fat, i.e. there exists  $X \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus [X, \mathfrak{g}_1] \subsetneq T_e G$ . Hence there exists  $\lambda \neq 0 \in T_e^* G$  such that

$$(97) \quad 0 = \langle \lambda, \mathfrak{g}_1 \rangle = \langle \lambda, \text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle.$$

By Lemma 23,  $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX}(e)$  is a normal and abnormal geodesic. In particular, a sufficiently short segment of it is a minimizing curve.  $\square$

We learned the following fact by E. Le Donne. For the reader's convenience we provide a simple proof here, which is similar to the one in [12].

**Lemma 25.** *Let  $G$  be a Carnot group of step  $s \geq 3$ . Then there exists a non-zero  $X \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that the integral curve  $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX}(e)$  is a normal and abnormal geodesic.*

*Proof.* If there exists a  $X \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$  such that  $\text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subsetneq \mathfrak{g}_2$ , using the same argument of Lemma 24, we show that  $\gamma_X(t)$  is abnormal and normal. Let  $q_i := \dim \mathfrak{g}_i$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, s$ . Then assume that, for any  $X \neq 0 \in \mathfrak{g}_1$ , we have  $\text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{g}_2$ . This implies  $q_2 \leq q_1 - 1$ . Consider a basis  $Y_1, \dots, Y_{q_2}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_2$  and a basis  $Z_1, \dots, Z_{q_3}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}_3$ . Let  $\lambda \in T_e^* G$  such that  $\langle \lambda, Z_i \rangle = 0$  if  $i > 1$  and  $\langle \lambda, Z_1 \rangle = 1$ , while  $\langle \lambda, \mathfrak{g}_i \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \neq 3$ . Consider the linear maps  $A_i := \lambda \circ \text{ad}_{Y_i} : \mathfrak{g}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , for  $i = 1, \dots, q_2$ . We have  $\dim \ker A_i \geq q_1 - 1$ . Moreover

$$(98) \quad \dim(\ker A_1 \cap \ker A_2) = \dim \ker A_1 + \dim \ker A_2 - \dim(\ker A_1 + \ker A_2)$$

$$(99) \quad \geq 2(q_1 - 1) - q_1 = q_1 - 2.$$

After a finite number of similar steps we arrive to

$$(100) \quad \dim(\ker A_1 \cap \dots \cap \ker A_{q_2}) \geq q_1 - q_2 \geq q_1 - (q_1 - 1) = 1.$$

Thus let  $X \neq 0 \in \ker A_1 \cap \dots \cap \ker A_{q_2}$ . We show that  $\gamma_X(t) = e^{tX}(e)$ , which is a normal geodesic, verifies the abnormal characterization of Lemma 23 with covector  $\lambda$ . Since  $\text{ad}_X^i(\mathfrak{g}_1) \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_{i+1}$ , we have  $\langle \lambda, \text{ad}_X^i(\mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle = 0$  for all  $i \neq 2$  by construction of  $\lambda$ . Finally,

$$(101) \quad \langle \lambda, \text{ad}_X^2(\mathfrak{g}_1) \rangle = \langle \lambda, \text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}_2) \rangle = 0,$$

where in the last passage we used the fact that  $\text{ad}_X(\mathfrak{g}_1) = \mathfrak{g}_2$ , that the latter is generated by the  $Y_i$ , and the definition of  $X$ . Then  $\gamma_X(t)$  is abnormal by Lemma 23.  $\square$

To conclude the proof, recall that Carnot groups are complete. Since fat structures do not admit non-trivial abnormal curves [15, Section 5.6], fat  $\Rightarrow$  ideal. Moreover, ideal  $\Rightarrow$  step  $s \leq 2$  (Lemma 25). On the other hand, ideal and step  $s \leq 2 \Rightarrow$  fat (Lemma 24).  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, and U. Boscain. On the Hausdorff volume in sub-Riemannian geometry. *Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations*, 43(3-4):355–388, 2012.
- [2] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, and U. Boscain. Introduction to Riemannian and sub-Riemannian geometry (Lecture Notes). <http://webusers.imj-prg.fr/~davide.barilari/notes.php>. v11/07/15.
- [3] A. Agrachev, D. Barilari, and L. Rizzi. Curvature: a variational approach. *Memoirs of the AMS (to appear)*.
- [4] A. Agrachev and Y. L. Sachkov. *Control theory from the geometric viewpoint*, volume 87 of *Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004. Control Theory and Optimization, II.
- [5] L. Ambrosio and P. Tilli. *Topics on analysis in metric spaces*, volume 25 of *Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2004.
- [6] A. A. Ardentov and Y. L. Sachkov. Conjugate points in nilpotent sub-Riemannian problem on the Engel group. *J. Math. Sci. (N. Y.)*, 195(3):369–390, 2013. Translation of *Sovrem. Mat. Prilozh.* No. 82 (2012).
- [7] D. Barilari and L. Rizzi. A formula for Popp’s volume in sub-Riemannian geometry. *Anal. Geom. Metr. Spaces*, 1:42–57, 2013.
- [8] R. Ghezzi and F. Jean. Hausdorff volume in non equiregular sub-Riemannian manifolds. *Nonlinear Anal.*, 126:345–377, 2015.
- [9] M. Gromov. *Metric structures for Riemannian and non-Riemannian spaces*. Modern Birkhäuser Classics. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, english edition, 2007. Based on the 1981 French original, With appendices by M. Katz, P. Pansu and S. Semmes, Translated from the French by Sean Michael Bates.
- [10] N. Juillet. Geometric inequalities and generalized Ricci bounds in the Heisenberg group. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (13):2347–2373, 2009.
- [11] E. Le Donne, R. Montgomery, A. Ottazzi, P. Pansu, and D. Vittone. Sard property for the endpoint map on some Carnot groups. *Annales de l’Institut Henri Poincaré (C) Non Linear Analysis (to appear)*, 2015.
- [12] E. Le Donne and S. Nicolussi Golo. Regularity properties of spheres in homogeneous groups. *ArXiv e-prints*, Sept. 2015.
- [13] J. Lott and C. Villani. Ricci curvature for metric-measure spaces via optimal transport. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 169(3):903–991, 2009.
- [14] J. Mitchell. On Carnot-Carathéodory metrics. *J. Differential Geom.*, 21(1):35–45, 1985.
- [15] R. Montgomery. *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, volume 91 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [16] S.-i. Ohta. On the measure contraction property of metric measure spaces. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 82(4):805–828, 2007.
- [17] L. Rifford. Ricci curvatures in Carnot groups. *Math. Control Relat. Fields*, 3(4):467–487, 2013.
- [18] L. Rifford. *Sub-Riemannian Geometry and Optimal Transport*. SpringerBriefs in Mathematics. Springer, 2014.
- [19] L. Rifford and E. Trélat. Morse-Sard type results in sub-Riemannian geometry. *Math. Ann.*, 332(1):145–159, 2005.
- [20] K.-T. Sturm. On the geometry of metric measure spaces. I. *Acta Math.*, 196(1):65–131, 2006.
- [21] K.-T. Sturm. On the geometry of metric measure spaces. II. *Acta Math.*, 196(1):133–177, 2006.
- [22] K. Tan and X. Yang. Subriemannian geodesics of Carnot groups of step 3. *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.*, 19(1):274–287, 2013.