

Neural correlates of auditory-somatosensory interaction in speech perception

Takayuki Ito, Vincent Gracco, David J Ostry

► To cite this version:

Takayuki Ito, Vincent Gracco, David J Ostry. Neural correlates of auditory-somatosensory interaction in speech perception. IMRF 2015 - 16th International Multisensory Research Forum, Jun 2015, Pise, Italy. hal-01214668

HAL Id: hal-01214668 https://hal.science/hal-01214668v1

Submitted on 12 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Neural correlates of auditory-somatosensory interaction in speech perception

Takayuki Ito^{1,2}, Vincent L. Gracco^{3,2}, David J. Ostry^{3,2}

1 CNRS, Gipsa-lab, Univ. Grenoble-Alpes, 2 Haskins Laboratories, 3 McGill University takayuki.ito@gipsa-lab.grenoble-inp.fr

Introduction

Speech perception is known to rely on both auditory and visual information. However, sound specific somatosensory input has been shown also to influence speech perceptual processing (to et al ²⁰⁰⁹⁾. In the present study we addressed further the relationship between somatosensory information and speech perceptual processing by addressing the hypothesis that the temporal relationship between orofacial movement and sound processing contributes to somatosensory-auditory interaction in speech perception. We examined **changes in event-related** potentials in response to synchronous (simultaneous) and asynchronous (90 ms lag and lead) somatosensory and auditory stimulation compared to that observed for unisensory auditory and somatosensory stimulation alone.

Method

- Participants: 12 native speakers of American English (right-handed)
- ERP recording:
- Event-related potentials recording from 64 scalp sites. - Five stimulus conditions :
- Somatosensory stimulation alone (SOMA)
- Auditory stimulation alone (AUD)
- Three combined somatosensory and auditory stimuli (90ms lead, Simult, 90ms lag)
- 100 responses per condition.
- Stimulus presentation order was randamized.

Stimulations:

Auditory: The synthesized sound between "head" and "had" Somatosensory: Single cycle of 3 Hz sinusoidal skin stretch



Timing of auditory and so sensory stimulations

Subject's task

Identify whether the speech sound was "head". 68.5% were identified as "head". - Gaze at a fixation point

Data analysis

- Pre-preprocessing: - Band-pass filtering (0.5-50 Hz)
- Re-referencing to the average across all electrodes.
- Bias levels adjustment relative to the pre-stimulus amplitude (-200 to -100 ms).
- Removal of exceptionally large responses (over ± 150 mV)



should be equivalent to the paired ERP (**Pair**), if neural responses to each of the unisensory stimuli are independent

Auditory analysis: (1) Align the data at the auditory onset



(3) Correlation analysis between N1 or P2 peaks and perceptual judgement of speech sound

Discussion

- A 200 ms of temporal asyncrony is considered to be the effective time-window for multisensory integration (Meredith et al. 1987, Van Wassenhove et al. 2007). It is consistent with our results that dynamic modulation of the electrophysiological response was consistently induced at a temporal asyncrony within a 200 ms range
- A dynamical modulation of multisensory processing at an electrocortical level was found at a range of 100 ms. The current finding suggests cortical processing is sensitive to temporal factors even within the time range at which events are behaviorally judged simultaneous.
- Given that articulatory motion generally precedes acoustic output of speech(Moosh mer et al. 2013)**, the** en orofacial somatosensory inputs and acoustic output in temporal relationship betw speech production might drive the neural process of somatosensory-auditory interaction. Articulatory onset Acoustic onset



Since multiple subcortical and cortical locations are involved in auditory-somatosensory interactions in non-speech processing (Stein and Meredith, 1993; Foxe et al., 2002; Lü 2005; Murray et al., 2005; Schürmann et al., 2006; Shore and Zhou, 2006; Lakatos et al., 2007; Beauchamp et al., 2 mp et al., 2008), the present results reflect the contribution of different and distributed cortical sites in the somatosensory-auditory interaction in speech



Experimental setup

Behavioral control:

simultaneously

by having participants identify when the

two stimuli were perceived as occurring

The average periods of simultaneity oc-

curred 210.6 ± 3.1 ms lead and 148.0 ±

tion relative to the auditory stimulation

3.9 ms lag of somatosensory stimula-



Chrs

UNIVERSITÉ DE STANDELE UNIVERSITY

90 ms lag

200

400 [ms]

Pair

--- Sum

Global Field Power

200





Peak amplitude

(1) Dynamical modulation according to stimulus timing in the periods of 160-220 ms (2) Multisensory depression in the periods of 220-280 ms regardless of onset-time differences

Auditory analysis



(1) The modulation of peak amplitude of auditory event-related potensials was obserbed in 90 ms lead condition, but not in 90 ms lag condition (2) The peak amplitude correlated weakly, but reliably with the participant's judgement of speech sounds

Summary

- (1) Cortical activity associated with somatosensory-auditory interaction was observed in event related potentials.
- (2) Event related potentials associated with somatosensory-auditory interaction were modulated in response to changes in the relative timing of somatosensory and auditory stimulation.
- (3) The results demonstrate a dynamic modulation of somatosensory-auditory convergence and suggest the contribution of somatosensory information for speech processing process is dependent on the specific temporal order of sensory inputs in speech production.

Acknowledgement

The research leading to these results has received funding from Notional Institute on Deafness and Other Communication Disorders, NIH, (R01DC012502 and R21DC013915); the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013 Grant Agreement no. 339152). We thank to Alexis Johns and Johns and Johns and Johns and Johns and Johns and Perprocessing.

Results

Somatosensory analysis 90 ms lead Simultaneous

(((Haskins Laboratories)))