



**HAL**  
open science

## **Influence of housing and season on pubertal development, boar taint compounds and skin lesions of male pigs**

Armelle Prunier, Armelle Brillouët, Elodie Merlot, Marie-Christine Meunier-Salaün, Céline Tallet

### ► **To cite this version:**

Armelle Prunier, Armelle Brillouët, Elodie Merlot, Marie-Christine Meunier-Salaün, Céline Tallet. Influence of housing and season on pubertal development, boar taint compounds and skin lesions of male pigs. *Animal*, 2013, 7 (12), pp.2035-2043. 10.1017/S1751731113001596 . hal-01210497

**HAL Id: hal-01210497**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01210497>**

Submitted on 29 May 2020

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Influence of housing and season on pubertal development, boar taint compounds and skin lesions of male pigs

A. Prunier<sup>1,2†</sup>, A. Brillouët<sup>1,2</sup>, E. Merlot<sup>1,2</sup>, M. C. Meunier-Salaün<sup>1,2</sup> and C. Tallet<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INRA, UMR1348 PEGASE, F-35590 Saint-Gilles, France; <sup>2</sup>Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1348 PEGASE, F-35000 Rennes, France

(Received 15 May 2013; Accepted 17 July 2013; First published online 19 September 2013)

*Rearing entire pigs may lead to meat quality and welfare problems in relation to pubertal development. A better knowledge of the sources of variation of pubertal development, behaviour and boar taint is needed before generalizing entire male pigs. From 84 days of age, entire male pigs were reared in groups of 10 either in a conventional (C, 1 m<sup>2</sup>/animal, slatted floor) or an enriched (E, 2.5 m<sup>2</sup>/animal, straw bedding, outdoor run) housing during spring or autumn and fed ad libitum (n = 10/housing/season). Mounting behaviour was observed for 3 h during the third (M3), fourth (M4) and fifth (M5) months of age. The total number of skin lesions was counted on both sides of the pigs 1 day before the behavioural recordings. The time spent in the outdoor run was also recorded during 3 days per month. The animals were slaughtered at 161 ± 1 days of age (122 ± 9 kg live weight). Blood samples were collected at 89 (M3), 119 (M4) and 152 (M5) days of age and at slaughter for the testosterone and oestradiol measurements. The testes were collected at slaughter, freed from the surrounding tissues and weighed. The fat samples were collected for the androstenone and skatole concentration measurement. Plasma testosterone and oestradiol-17β (oestradiol), fat androstenone and skatole and weight of the testes did not differ between the housing systems. Plasma testosterone (8.3 v. 3.9 nmol/l, P < 0.05) and oestradiol (12.0 v. 9.2 pmol/l, P < 0.1) at M3, fat skatole (0.124 v. 0.043, P < 0.03) and weight of the testes (587 v. 512 g, P < 0.05) were higher in the autumn than in the spring trial, suggesting that the pubertal development was accelerated. The number of received mounting behaviours was slightly higher in the autumn (P = 0.08) trial and was markedly higher in the E than in the C environment (P < 0.003). Skin lesions were more numerous in the C than in the E housing at M4 and M5 and in the spring than in the autumn trial at M3 and M4 (P < 0.05). Fat androstenone and the number of performed mounting behaviours were significantly correlated between each other and with numerous indicators of the pubertal development (P < 0.05). The number of skin lesions was correlated with plasma testosterone and live weight (P < 0.05). Overall, this study suggests the effect of season on sexual development, the effect of the housing system on behaviour, and demonstrates the links between sexual hormones, behaviour and boar taint.*

**Keywords:** pig, season, housing, testosterone, androstenone

## Implications

Finding solutions to rear entire male pigs without drawbacks on the meat quality and welfare during fattening are essential for the EU pig industry that is engaged in a process of stopping surgical castration. The present study evaluates the housing system and the season as two possible sources of variation of pubertal development, behaviour and boar taint. We found a positive effect of enriching the environment on the number of skin lesions but not on the other parameters. Decreasing day length seems to accelerate the pubertal development. The mechanisms underlying the pubertal variations in testicular activity and behaviour appear more clear.

## Introduction

In numerous countries, male piglets are routinely castrated to avoid the problems of sexual odours (= boar taint) in the meat (Fredriksen *et al.*, 2008). In addition, rearing entire male pigs may induce welfare problems during the fattening period in relation to mounting behaviour and presumed aggressiveness (von Borell *et al.*, 2009). However, surgical castration has numerous economic, environmental and ethical drawbacks (EFSA, 2004). Surgically castrated pigs have a lower feed efficiency, which increases the cost of production and the environmental impact. Fat deposition is favoured at the expense of protein deposition, which decreases carcass leanness and hence its value. Furthermore, surgical castration is ethically questionable because it generates severe physiological and behavioural signs of pain

† E-mail: Armelle.Prunier@rennes.inra.fr.

(Hay *et al.*, 2003; Prunier *et al.*, 2005). Therefore, surgical castration is highly debated in the European countries, and numerous stakeholders in the pig industry have committed themselves to abandon this practice by 2018, provided that solutions regarding the boar taint problem are found.

Boar taint is mainly due to two compounds, androstenone and skatole, in which concentrations of fat increase during the pubertal development (Zamaratskaia and Squires, 2009). Both compounds are under the control of genetic and environmental factors that may influence the pattern of pubertal development (acceleration or retardation) or the level of testicular androgen production for a given stage of pubertal animals. Of the environmental factors, only the influence of season or light duration and the influence of the social environment have been studied to the best of our knowledge. Even if some results demonstrate the opposite (Berger *et al.*, 1980), most studies lead to the conclusion that decreasing day length and short days stimulate puberty attainment and testicular activity of the mature boars (Claus *et al.*, 1983; Andersson *et al.*, 1998). However, no clear effect of season or artificial light regimen was found on the fat levels of androstenone in the peripubertal boars (Andersson *et al.*, 1998; Zamaratskaia *et al.*, 2004). In addition, unexpected lower levels were observed during short days than during long days (Fredriksen *et al.*, 2006).

The social environment may also influence these compounds. The influence of the contacts with females or with only males has been evaluated. Rearing boars with gilts resulted in an increased fat concentration of androstenone compared with rearing only male boars, but this effect depended on the age/live weight of the male boars at slaughter probably because its effect is mediated by an acceleration of the pubertal development and not by an increased production of androstenone by the testes for a given stage of pubertal development (Patterson and Lightfoot, 1984; Zamaratskaia *et al.*, 2005). Social stress by mixing unfamiliar entire male boars during rearing seemed to increase fat androstenone at slaughter (Fredriksen *et al.*, 2006). Variations in the level of fat androstenone between pens were shown to be positively related to those in aggression level and variations within pens to the social rank, with the dominant pigs having higher levels of androstenone (Giersing *et al.*, 2000). Therefore, any way of reducing the aggression and competition levels between pigs could also lead to a decrease in fat androstenone. As reviewed by de Weerd and Day (2009), the presence of large amounts of enrichment materials may reduce competition and aggression in the stable groups of pigs. Therefore, an impact of enriching the environment on boar taint can be expected. These hypotheses have not been tested in male pigs.

Consequently, the aim of the present study was to determine the effects of season and housing on the pubertal development (plasma levels of testicular hormones, sexual behaviour, weight of the testes), number of skin lesions and fat levels of boar taint compounds (androstenone and skatole). The skin lesions were used as a measure of aggressions performed by individual pigs as developed by Turner *et al.* (2006).

We hypothesized that season influences the pubertal development, and enriching the environment (space, outdoor run, straw) decreases the aggression and competition levels and hence reduces boar taint.

## Material and methods

### *Animals, housing and experimental design*

The experiment was conducted following the French guidelines for animal care and use. The scientist responsible for the experiment (A Prunier, INRA France) had an agreement (level I) delivered by the veterinary services of the French Ministry of Agriculture (licence no. 04742). People taking care of the animals or collecting the samples had an agreement (level II) delivered by the veterinary services of the French Ministry of Agriculture (Veterinary School, 44307 Nantes, France). The protocol was examined by an ethical committee (Comité régional d'Ethique en Expérimentation Animale de Bretagne) and received the authorization b-2009-AP01.

A total number of 40 intact (uncastrated) male pigs (Large White × Landrace dams inseminated with Pietrain semen) were studied at the experimental farm of PEGASE (35590 Saint-Gilles, France). The experiment was conducted in two trials corresponding to two seasons, from March to June for the spring trial and from September to December for the autumn trial ( $n=20$ /season). The male pigs originated from 11 (spring) and 12 (autumn) litters. At  $26.0 \pm 0.8$  days of age (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation), animals were weaned, selected and housed in separate pens according to their future housing system. The selection was done to balance the live weight and litter origin. The animals were transferred to the experimental unit at  $75.0 \pm 0.8$  days of age. In the experimental unit, the pigs were housed in two independent rooms (one per housing system), each consisting of two pens separated by a corridor (see details in Tallet *et al.*, 2013). In each system, one pen was occupied by 10 experimental pigs and the other pen by 10 non-experimental contemporary castrated male pigs. Therefore, the experiment included 10 pigs/season/housing. The conventional (C) pens had a concrete slatted floor ( $1.0 \text{ m}^2/\text{pig}$ ) and a temperature fixed at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . The enriched (E) pens were on a straw bedding ( $1.3 \text{ m}^2/\text{pig}$ ) with an access to a roofed outdoor run (solid concrete without straw,  $1.2 \text{ m}^2/\text{pig}$ ). The minimal and maximal ambient temperatures were recorded daily. In C pens and in the indoor part of E pens, artificial light was provided between 0800 h and 1700 h. In the outdoor area, the light duration increased from 1133 to 1552 h for the spring trial, whereas it decreased from 1239 to 0827 h for the autumn trial (values are calculated from the time of sunrise and sunset in Saint-Gilles located  $48.108^\circ\text{N}$ ). The pigs had free access to water and received *ad libitum* standard diets for growing and finishing pigs. The animals were slaughtered at the abattoir of the experimental unit at  $160.1 \pm 1.2$  days of age. From weaning to slaughter, the pigs did not undergo any social mixing in order to limit the agonistic interactions. For a sanitary reason independent of the experience (lameness), one pig in the E housing was excluded from the experiment at 110 days of age.

**Table 1** Time schedule of the experiment indicating age (mean  $\pm$  1 day) of the pigs at each event or measure

Measure	Start	Month 3	Month 4	Month 5 slaughter
Transfer to the experimental unit	75			
Live weight		75, 84, 97	112, 125	139, 145, 154
Skin lesions		78, 85, 96	106, 117, 126	138, 146, 155
Mounting behaviour		79, 86, 97	107, 118, 127	139, 147, 156
Time spent outdoors		80, 87, 99	108, 120, 129	137, 148, 158
Plasma testosterone and oestradiol		89	119	152
Fat androstenone and skatole, testes weight				161

### Behavioural and body recordings

Live weight was measured at the transfer to the experimental building, regularly during the experimental period (Table 1) and the day before slaughter. The time spent in the outdoor run for E pigs was recorded using a telemetric apparatus for 24 h at about 10-day intervals, choosing days with no intervention on the animals (Table 1). The pigs were identified by a transponder (HDX Texas Instrument Allflex BRO) implanted in the right ear before entry into the finishing pens. A receptive antenna (Panel Reader Allflex) was located in the passage between the indoor pen and the outdoor run and connected by a telemetry to a computer (Bobillier, INRA internal development). The location of each pig (outdoors or not) was recorded every 5 s allowing the calculation of the time spent indoors/outdoors by each animal for each observational day.

Skin lesions were counted every  $10 \pm 2$  days from 3 days after the transfer in the experimental unit, that is, three times per month of age for each pig (Table 1). A trained observer inspected both sides of the pig's body. All skin lesions with a length above 2 cm were counted. Round lesions (diameter  $> 2$  cm) were counted separately, but none of them were depicted. A group of at least three small lesions (length  $< 2$  cm) within a diameter of 2 cm was counted as one lesion. Means concerning the same month of age were calculated for statistical analysis.

The mounting behaviour was observed the day after the skin lesions were counted. One mounting behaviour was counted when the pig had its front legs on another pig irrespective of the position of the pig performing the behaviour. Two trained observers carried out the observations. Before the observations, the animals were marked with a number (0 to 9 in each group) on their back using a special pen. At 0845 am, each observer entered a room and walked slowly in the corridor in front of the pens for 5 min so that the animals could get used to her presence. Then, each pen was observed for 1 h, alternatively during the 5 min periods. After 1 h, the observers exchanged the rooms and started the same protocol again. A total of 1 h of observation was carried out for each pen. Each observer noted (PsionWorkabout, Psion PLC, London, UK) the occurrence of each mounting behaviour on a hand-held PC and identified the performer of the behaviour and the receiver. As the outdoor area of the enriched housing was not visible, the pens were videotaped using cameras (SONY PC25-2230 P 1/3, Tokyo, Japan) linked

to a multiplex (Advanced Technology Video DPX9 PAL, Washington, USA) and to a recorder (Panasonic TL 500, Osaka, Japan). The videotapes were then analysed for sexual behaviour with the same time schedule. Data were transferred to The Observer XT9 (Noldus, The Netherlands) to calculate the total number of actions performed by each animal for each series of observation. Taking into account that the mounting behaviour is a rare event, all data (i.e. 9 h of observation per pen) were summed up for the statistical analysis.

### Sample collection and measures

Blood was collected with EDTA from the anterior vena cava at about 3, 4 and 5 months of age in the home pen (Table 1). The sampling procedure took less than 2 min. Additional blood samples were collected at slaughter during exsanguination. After centrifugation, plasma was collected and stored at  $-20^{\circ}\text{C}$  until the analysis. Testosterone and oestradiol were assessed by the 1125 radio-immunoassays (testosterone: Immunotech, Prague, Czech Republic; oestradiol: ORION Diagnostica Corporation, Espoo, Finland). For testosterone, the detection threshold was 0.3 nmol/l, intra-assay CV was 4.1% at 2.6 nmol/l and inter-assay CV was 9.4% at 1.7 nmol/l. For oestradiol, the detection threshold was 9.2 pmol/l, intra-assay CV was 5.0% at 1020 pmol/l and inter-assay CV was 9.7% at 1800 pmol/l.

At slaughter, the testes of the male pigs were collected, freed from the surrounding tissues and weighed. The samples of subcutaneous fat were taken at the level of the last rib for determination of androstenone and skatole concentrations. They were measured using an HPLC according to the procedures described by Batorek *et al.* (2012). Concentrations were expressed per gram of the lipid fraction from the adipose tissue. The detection limits were 0.24  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for androstenone and 0.03  $\mu\text{g/g}$  for skatole, and these values were assigned to the pigs with levels below those limits.

### Statistical analyses

For all analyses, the animal was considered as the statistical unit. Normality of the data was checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test using the SAS<sup>®</sup> software (SAS 8). When necessary, a log transformation was applied to normalize the data ( $P \rightarrow \log(P+1)$ ) for mounting behaviour and skin lesions, ( $P \rightarrow \log(P)$ ) for androstenone, testosterone and oestradiol at most ages. The influence of age on the time spent outdoors for E pigs was analysed within the season using the Mixed

procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup>, with animal as a random effect and age as a fixed effect. The influence of season (influence of the trial = fixed effect) on the time spent outdoors was analysed within the ages using the GLM procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup>. Live weight, testicular weight, mounting behaviour, fat level of androstenone, number of skin lesions within each month of age and plasma levels of hormones within each month were analysed by analysis of variance using the GLM procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup>. The model included the fixed effects of season and housing and the season × housing interaction. Whenever possible, live weight was introduced as a covariate. When the season × housing interaction was not significant ( $P > 0.1$ ), it was removed from the statistical model. A similar procedure was applied to live weight. As it was not possible to normalize the skatole levels for analysing the housing or season effects, a non-parametric test was applied (Mann–Whitney test using the Anostat software). For the same reason, a non-parametric test for a related series (Friedman test using the Anostat software) was applied to analyse the influence of stage at sampling on hormones. To test the existence of relationships between parameters, the Pearson correlations were calculated using the Corr procedure of SAS<sup>®</sup>.


All results were presented as arithmetic means ± standard errors even when a logarithmic transformation or a non-parametric test was used for the statistical analysis. They were calculated from raw data using Excel<sup>®</sup>.

## Results


Minimal and maximal ambient temperatures measured indoors displayed more variations in the E than in the C housing (Figure 1). Overall, the minimal and maximal temperatures measured indoors during 24 h were lower in the E than in the C housing. Temperatures observed outdoors in the E housing demonstrated even more pronounced variations with an overall trend for an increase over time during the spring trial and for a decrease over time during the autumn trial (Figure 2).

Within each age, the time spent outdoors was higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the autumn than in the spring trial, except for the last observation ( $P > 0.1$ ). In addition, the time spent outdoors increased with age in both the seasons ( $P < 0.001$ ), but the increase occurred later in the spring than in the autumn trial (Figure 2). Indeed, the E pigs spent more than 12 h/day outdoors and were exposed to the natural light from 129 days of age in the spring and from 87 days of age in the autumn trial.

Live weight was slightly higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in the autumn than in the spring trial at transfer to the experimental unit (spring:  $30.8 \pm 1.2$ , autumn:  $34.4 \pm 0.8$  kg) and during the experimental period until 154 days of age (spring:  $113.1 \pm 2.2$ , autumn:  $119.0 \pm 1.8$  kg). However, the difference was no more significant at slaughter (spring:  $120.5 \pm 1.6$ , autumn:  $123.4 \pm 1.3$  kg,  $P = 0.33$ ). In contrast, live weight did not differ between the housing systems.




**Figure 1** Variations in daily minimal ( $T_{\min}$ ) and maximal ambient temperatures ( $T_{\max}$ ) for both the housing systems and seasons (spring, autumn) recorded indoors. E = enriched, C = conventional.



**Figure 2** Variations in daily minimal ( $T_{\min}$ ) and maximal ( $T_{\max}$ ) temperatures recorded outdoors and in time spent outdoors (hours out, means ± s.e.m.) in the enriched system for both seasons (spring, autumn).

### Testicular hormones, testis development and boar taint compounds

Irrespective of the parameter, the interaction between housing and season was never significant ( $P > 0.05$ ). Plasma oestradiol and testosterone did not differ between the housing systems at any age ( $P > 0.1$ , data not shown). At 3 months, the concentrations of both hormones were higher



**Figure 3** Influence of the season (spring v. autumn) on plasma concentrations of testosterone and oestradiol at various ages and at slaughter (means  $\pm$  s.e.m.). \*,<sup>†</sup>Difference between means is significant at  $P < 0.05$  and  $P = 0.07$ , respectively.


in the autumn than in the spring trial (testosterone:  $8.3 \pm 1.5$  v.  $3.9 \pm 0.5$  nmol/l,  $P < 0.05$ ; oestradiol:  $12.0 \pm 1.7$  v.  $9.2 \pm 0.0$  pmol/l,  $P = 0.07$ , Figure 3), but the difference was no more significant at older ages ( $P > 0.1$ ). The influence of live weight was significant only at 5 months and for testosterone: the hormone concentration decreased with live weight ( $P = 0.01$ ). There was an effect of the stage of sampling (Figure 3) on both hormones ( $P < 0.01$ ), with a significant increase ( $P < 0.05$ ) between M3 and M5 for both hormones, between M4 and M5 for oestradiol and between M5 and slaughter for both hormones.

Weight of both the testes increased with live weight at slaughter ( $P < 0.02$ ). It did not vary between the housing systems (C:  $539 \pm 25$  g v. E:  $561 \pm 27$  g,  $P > 0.1$ ) but was higher in the autumn than in the spring trial ( $587 \pm 27$  v.  $512 \pm 22$  g,  $P < 0.05$ ). Similarly, the ratio between testis weight and live weight was higher in the autumn trial ( $4.76 \pm 0.20$  v.  $4.24 \pm 0.17$  g/kg,  $P < 0.05$ ).


Fat androstenedione was not influenced by any factor of the model ( $P > 0.1$ ) and averaged  $1.85 \pm 0.34$   $\mu$ g/g. Skatole was not influenced by the housing system ( $P > 0.1$ ) but was higher in the autumn than in the spring trial ( $0.124 \pm 0.044$  v.  $0.043 \pm 0.005$   $\mu$ g/g,  $P < 0.03$ ).

#### Mounting behaviour

About 60% of the pigs performed at least one mounting behaviour during the 9 h of observation, with a maximum of 46 mounting behaviours in one pig (Figure 4). The distribution of the number of pigs among behavioural classes was not normal ( $P < 0.05$ ). The distribution of pigs receiving mountings was more evenly distributed (Figure 4). Indeed,



**Figure 4** Distribution of the pigs according to the number of mounting behaviours that they have performed or received.



**Figure 5** Influence of the housing system (enriched v. conventional) and of the season (spring v. autumn) on the number of mounting behaviours that were received by the pigs (means  $\pm$  s.e.m.). \*\*,<sup>†</sup>Difference between means is significant at  $P < 0.01$  and  $P = 0.10$ , respectively.

about 80% of the pigs received at least one mounting behaviour; the distribution of pigs between the classes was normal ( $P > 0.1$ ) and the maximum number of received mounting behaviours was 22.

The live weight (mean calculated from the weights at transfer into the experimental building and at slaughter) introduced as a covariate as well as the interaction between housing and season had no significant effect on the given or received mounting behaviours ( $P > 0.2$ ). The mounting behaviours that were performed (data not shown) tended to be more frequent in the E than in the C housing system ( $P = 0.10$ ) but were similar in both the seasons ( $P = 0.88$ ). The number of received behaviours (Figure 5) was higher in the E than in the C housing system ( $P < 0.003$ ) and tended to be lower in the spring than in the autumn trial ( $P < 0.08$ ).


#### Skin lesions

Irrespective of the age, the interaction between housing and season was never significant ( $P > 0.1$ ). The influence of live weight (mean calculated from the measures during each month of observation) was significant at M3 ( $P < 0.0006$ ) and M4 ( $P < 0.02$ ); the number of lesions increased with live weight. The lesions were significantly more numerous in the C than in the E housing at M4 ( $P < 0.005$ ) and M5 ( $P < 0.02$ ), whereas the seasonal effect was significant at

M3 ( $P < 0.003$ ) and M4 ( $P < 0.02$ ) with less skin lesions in the spring than in the autumn trial (Figure 6).

*Relationships between variables*

Correlations (Table 2) were calculated between the measures at slaughter and means calculated from M3 to M5. Fat skatole was significantly correlated only with fat androstenone and with the mean plasma testosterone. Fat androstenone and the number of performed mounting behaviours were significantly correlated between each other and with numerous indicators of the pubertal development: ratio of the testicular weight to the live weight, plasma oestradiol at slaughter, mean plasma testosterone and oestradiol calculated during the rearing period (from M3 to M5). The mean number of skin lesions was significantly correlated with the mean live weight and with the mean plasma testosterone.



**Figure 6** Influence of the housing system (enriched v. conventional) and of the season (spring v. autumn) on the number of skin lesions at various ages (means  $\pm$  s.e.m.) \*\*\*, \*\*, <sup>†</sup>Difference between means is significant at  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.05$  and  $P = 0.10$ , respectively).

**Discussion**

To the best of our knowledge, this experiment is the first one to integrate physiological and behavioural indicators of the pubertal development and to demonstrate simultaneously the influence of the season and housing system. Although the number of groups of pigs is relatively low, our results suggest that season has a slight effect on the pubertal development that seems to be accelerated during autumn compared with spring but without clear consequences on boar taint. The experiment also suggests that enriching the environment stimulates sexual behaviour but decreases the occurrence of skin lesions, especially at older ages. In addition, our data indicate, for the first time to our knowledge, a large use of the outdoor run when available and a sharp increase in the plasma sexual hormones at slaughter.

*Use of the outdoor run*

From about 130 days of age in the spring trial and 90 days of age in the autumn trial, pigs from the enriched environment spent more than 12 h per day outdoors. This is surprising as there was no straw for foraging or sleeping in the outdoor run. Pigs increased the time spent outdoors with age, but this was delayed in the spring compared with the autumn trial probably because of a lower ambient temperature at night. When pigs are growing, their body reserves and the levels of external fat increase so that they can adapt more easily to low ambient temperature and the lower critical temperature of the zone of thermo-comfort decreases. Therefore, our finding emphasizes the preference of growing pigs for fresh air and natural light once they have no difficulty to maintain their internal temperature.

**Table 2** Pearson correlations between parameters measured at slaughter or means calculated for the 3 months of observation

	Andro <sup>2</sup>	Skato <sup>3</sup>	Ratio <sup>4</sup>	sl-oestra <sup>5</sup>	sl-testo <sup>6</sup>	m-BWei <sup>7</sup>	m-oestr <sup>8</sup>	m-testo <sup>9</sup>	m-les <sup>10</sup>	rMountB <sup>11</sup>	pMountB <sup>12</sup>
sl-BWei <sup>1</sup>	0.02	-0.05	0.04	-0.15	0.03	0.96***	-0.09	-0.30 T	0.2	0.15	-0.11
Andro		0.40*	0.44**	0.39*	0.23	0.12	0.43**	0.42**	0.22	0.18	0.39*
Skato			0.30 <sup>†</sup>	0.27 <sup>†</sup>	0.21	-0.01	0.31 <sup>†</sup>	0.33*	0.22	-0.04	0.28 <sup>†</sup>
Ratio				0.37*	0.29 <sup>†</sup>	0.17	0.61***	0.61***	0.31 <sup>†</sup>	0.31 <sup>†</sup>	0.39*
sl-oestra					0.69***	-0.15	0.67***	0.39*	0.11	0.16	0.39*
sl-testo						0.03	0.35*	0.44**	0.21	-0.02	0.18
m-BWei							-0.05	-0.2	0.33*	0.21	-0.1
m-oestra								0.51***	0.23	0.21	0.36*
m-testo									0.35*	0.17	0.37*
m-les										0.02	0.02
rMountB											0.16

<sup>1</sup>Body live weight at slaughter.  
<sup>2</sup>Log(fat androstenone at slaughter).  
<sup>3</sup>Log(fat skatole at slaughter).  
<sup>4</sup>Testis weight/live weight at slaughter.  
<sup>5</sup>Log(plasma oestradiol at slaughter).  
<sup>6</sup>Log(plasma testosterone at slaughter).  
<sup>7</sup>Mean body live weight (= (live weight at transfer to the experimental building + live weight at slaughter)/2).  
<sup>8</sup>Log(mean plasma oestradiol between M3, M4, M5).  
<sup>9</sup>Log(mean plasma testosterone between M3, M4, M5).  
<sup>10</sup>Log(mean number skin lesions between M3, M4, M5).  
<sup>11</sup>Log(number of total received mounting behaviours).  
<sup>12</sup>Log(number of total performed mounting behaviours).  
 \*\*\*, \*\*, <sup>†</sup>Correlation between parameters is significant at  $P < 0.001$ ,  $P < 0.01$ ,  $P < 0.05$  and  $P = 0.10$ , respectively.

### *Indicators of the pubertal development*

It has been demonstrated that the ratio between the testis and body weight increases rapidly during the pubertal development of male pigs to reach a plateau value of about 5 mg/kg live weight in mature boars (Allrich *et al.*, 1982; Prunier *et al.*, 1987). This value is reached at about 5 months of age in the European breeds of pigs and coincides with the onset of sperm production, the maximal number of Leydig cells, and the maximal concentrations of plasma testosterone and oestradiol (Allrich *et al.*, 1982; Lee *et al.*, 1987). Therefore, the ratio of the testis weight and body weight can be considered as a good indicator of the pubertal development. Our data show high correlations ( $>0.60$ ) between this ratio at slaughter and the mean concentrations of oestradiol and testosterone during the rearing period, suggesting that those concentrations could be used as the predictors of the stage of pubertal development reached some weeks later. Correlations between the testis weight/body weight ratio and hormone concentrations measured at slaughter are much lower, especially for testosterone ( $r=0.29$ ). This phenomenon may be explained by the fact that stress has a great impact on the testosterone level at slaughter (see below) and that differences in the level of stress perceived by the pigs may mask differences because of pubertal development.

### *Influence of the season on pubertal development and skin lesions*

We found seasonal differences for some parameters: higher plasma concentration of testosterone (significant) and oestradiol (tendency) at 3 months of age, higher number of mounting behaviours performed during the rearing period (tendency) and higher weight of the testes at slaughter (significant) in the autumn compared with the spring trial. This suggests that the pubertal development of the animals was accelerated during the second trial. Of the factors that could explain such difference between the trials, variation in the light duration may play a key role. Indeed, it was demonstrated that decreasing day length has a positive influence on the testicular activity of domestic (Claus *et al.*, 1983; Weiler *et al.*, 1996) and wild boars (Mauget and Boissin, 1987). In our experiment, the earlier pubertal development was not accompanied by higher levels of fat androstenone as expected from most of the literature (Andersson *et al.*, 1998; Zamaratskaia *et al.*, 2004).

Our data show that skatole was higher in the autumn than in the spring trial. As androstenone and skatole fat levels are both dependent on the pubertal development and are positively correlated (e.g. Lundstrom *et al.*, 1988; Zamaratskaia *et al.*, 2004), similar effects of environmental variations on both compounds were expected. However, it is often not the case (e.g. Fredriksen *et al.*, 2006) probably because of some particularities of skatole: half-life in fat is short (some hours instead of some days for androstenone), production is highly dependent on nutritional factors and the substance can be reabsorbed from faeces through the skin in contrast to androstenone (EFSA, 2004). In our experiment, the effect of season on fat skatole could be related to a difference in the

level of cleanliness, which is known to influence the skatole levels (Hansen *et al.*, 1995).

The fact that the seasonal influence was similar in both the housing systems is surprising as exposure to light was very different in the two housing systems. Boars in the enriched environment were largely exposed to the natural day light, especially during the last 40 days, as they spent most of their time in the outdoor run, whereas boars in the conventional environment were exposed only to the artificial light in which the duration was fixed to 12 h during the whole experimental period. Therefore, the difference between seasons should be attributed, at least partly, to a difference existing before the entry of the animals into the experimental building, which was lit by the natural light through a window. Such phenomenon emphasizes that the environment of the male pigs before 3 months of age may be very important for the time course of the pubertal development.

We observed a higher number of skin lesions in the autumn trial during the experiment, although the difference was not significant during the last month of the experiment. Such difference may be due to, at least partly, the earlier pubertal development, as the sexual hormones stimulate aggressive behaviour (see discussion below).

### *Influence of the housing system on pubertal development and skin lesions*

The housing system had no clear effect on either the plasma hormone levels or the testis weight or boar taint compounds. However, our results show that the number of skin lesions was influenced by the housing system. It was reduced in the enriched environment during the experiment, although the difference was not significant during the third month of age. Previous results showed that enriching the environment, especially with straw bedding, allows a reduction in aggressive behaviours and in skin lesions (Van de Weerd and Day, 2009). Observation of the agonistic behaviour of the pigs from the same experiment did not confirm a reduction in those behaviours in the enriched housing compared with the conventional one (Tallet *et al.*, 2013). However, it should be emphasized that all types of agonistic behaviours were included in our observations and that the environment may influence only the more severe acts (biting) leading to lesions.

Enriching the environment had a significant effect on the number of received mounting behaviours. This may be detrimental to the welfare as pointed out by Rydhmer *et al.* (2006) who found more bites at the skin inspection in pigs being mounted and who suggested a higher risk of leg problems. The reason for the higher number of mounting behaviour in the enriched environment is not known but may be related to an overall higher level of activity that was observed by Tallet *et al.* (2013). It is likely that more space and the presence of straw had stimulated the behavioural activity in the enriched environment (Van de Weerd and Day, 2009). We did not observe a significant effect of the environment on the number of performed mounting

behaviours probably because of the very high variability of this parameter. Such variability between pigs was already shown by Rydmer *et al.* (2006) who observed that almost half of the pigs did not perform mounting behaviours, whereas the average number calculated in the others was higher than two.

#### *Relationship between live weight, pubertal development and skin lesions*

Our data do not show any significant correlation between live weight during rearing or at slaughter, and any indicator of pubertal development, suggesting that live weight *per se* is not an important determinant of the pubertal development when animals are fed *ad libitum*.

Our results demonstrate the existence of a significant positive correlation between the number of skin lesions and the live weight in accordance with the previous data in pigs (Olesen *et al.*, 1996; Turner *et al.*, 2006). We also observed a significant positive correlation between the skin lesions and plasma testosterone. This is in agreement with a positive influence of male sexual hormones on aggressiveness, provided that male pigs with the highest levels of aggressiveness are also those that received the highest number of aggressions in response to their own attacks. This is in good agreement with the lower levels of aggressive behaviours in groups of pigs after suppression of the testicular hormones due to surgical castration (Cronin *et al.*, 2003) or due to vaccination against GnRH (Cronin *et al.*, 2003; Baumgartner *et al.*, 2010). Such relationship between androgens and aggressive behaviour has been demonstrated in numerous species including humans (Soma *et al.*, 2008).

We also observed significant positive correlations between performed sexual behaviours and rearing levels of plasma oestradiol and testosterone. This is consistent with the role played by oestradiol and testosterone in controlling the sexual behaviour of male pigs (Hemsworth and Tilbrook, 2007). We did not observe any relationship between the received sexual behaviours and rearing levels of plasma steroids as should be expected.

#### *Increase of sexual hormones at slaughter*

Our data show an increase of about four times in testosterone and two times in oestradiol between the last blood sample in the home pen and the blood sample at slaughter, 9 days later. Such variation cannot be explained by pubertal maturation, which is associated with increases of much lower amplitude for similar ages (Allrich *et al.*, 1982; Martin *et al.*, 1984). This might have been induced by the stress around slaughter. Indeed, exposition to a stressor such as an unknown boar (Liptrap and Raeside, 1978) or an injection of ACTH (Bilandzic *et al.*, 2012) is able to induce a rapid increase in testosterone of similar amplitude. Therefore, caution is needed to interpret the concentrations of testosterone and oestradiol from the blood samples drawn at slaughter or in any stressful situation.

#### *Relationship between boar taint compounds and other parameters*

Androstenone is produced by the testes in an increasing amount during pubertal development (Zamaratskaia and Squires, 2009). It is stored in the fat tissue and its concentration increases during the pubertal development. Our data show significant correlations between fat androstenone and the plasma levels of oestradiol measured during rearing and at slaughter as well as the plasma level of testosterone measured during rearing in agreement with the previous experiments (Zamaratskaia *et al.*, 2004; Grindflek *et al.*, 2011). Low correlation between plasma testosterone at slaughter and fat androstenone in our data may be explained by the high impact of stress on plasma testosterone, whereas a potential impact of stress on fat androstenone might be delayed, taking into account the delay in the increase between plasma and fat as shown after hCG stimulation (Bonneau *et al.*, 1982).

#### **Conclusion**

Our experiment suggests that pubertal development is accelerated when animals grow during autumn. Interestingly, this difference was not accompanied by a difference in fat androstenone, despite a positive correlation between the levels of sexual hormones during rearing and the levels of boar taint compounds at slaughter. Therefore, the variability in the time course of pubertal development was sufficient to influence boar taint, but the amplitude of the variation due to the seasonal effect was probably not sufficient for influencing androstenone at slaughter. In addition, the housing environment did not seem to clearly influence the pubertal development and boar taint.

Our results suggest a dual effect of enriching the environment on the welfare of entire male pigs. It should have a positive effect in reducing the number of skin lesions, especially in older pigs, but a negative effect in stimulating the mounting behaviour, and hence increasing the risk for leg problems. Furthermore, the variation in the time course of the pubertal development owing to season could be sufficient to influence the number of skin lesions during the third and fourth months of age.

#### **Acknowledgements**

This research was funded by two projects: the blank agronomy project ANR-09-BLAN-0083 ANDROPIG (French National Research Agency) and the EC project ALCASDE (DG SANCO). We thank M. Génissel, F. Guérin, M. Lefèbvre, C. Guérin, E. Bobillier, A.M. Mounier, H. Demay, B. Carrissant, M. Alix, J. Liger and J.F. Rouaud for their technical help.

#### **References**

- Allrich RD, Christenson RK, Ford JJ and Zimmerman DR 1982. Pubertal development of the boar: testosterone, estradiol-17 $\beta$ , cortisol and LH concentrations before and after castration at various ages. *Journal of Animal Science* 55, 1139–1146.

- Andersson H, Rydhmer L, Lundstrom K, Wallgren M, Andersson K and Forsberg M 1998. Influence of artificial light regimens on sexual maturation and boar taint in entire male pigs. *Animal Reproduction Science* 51, 31–43.
- Batorek N, Škrlep M, Prunier A, Louveau I, Noblet J, Bonneau M and Čandek-Potokar M 2012. Effect of feed restriction on hormones, performance, carcass traits, and meat quality in immunocastrated pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 90, 4593–4603.
- Baumgartner J, Laister S, Koller M, Pflutzner A, Grodzycycki M, Andrews S and Schmoll F 2010. The behaviour of male fattening pigs following either surgical castration or vaccination with a GnRF vaccine. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 124, 28–34.
- Berger T, Mahone JP, Svoboda GS, Metz KW and Clegg ED 1980. Sexual maturation of boars and growth of swine exposed to extended photoperiod during decreasing natural photoperiod. *Journal of Animal Science* 51, 672–678.
- Bilandzic N, Simic B and Kmetc I 2012. Effect of three-day ACTH administration on concentrations of cholesterol, cortisol, progesterone, testosterone and LH in the boars. *Slovenian Veterinary Research* 49, 123–132.
- Bonneau M, Meusydessolle N, Leglise PC and Claus R 1982. Relationships between fat and plasma androstenone and plasma testosterone in fatty and lean young boars during growth and after hCG stimulation. *Acta Endocrinologica* 101, 119–128.
- Claus R, Schopper D and Wagner HG 1983. Seasonal effects on steroids in blood plasma and seminal plasma of boars. *Journal of Steroid Biochemistry* 19, 725–729.
- Cronin GM, Dunshea FR, Butler KL, McCauley I, Barnett JL and Hemsworth P 2003. The effects of immuno- and surgical-castration on the behaviour and consequently growth of group-housed, male finisher pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 81, 111–126.
- de Weerd HAV and Day JEL 2009. A review of environmental enrichment for pigs housed in intensive housing systems. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 116, 1–20.
- EFSA 2004. Opinion of the Scientific Panel on Animal Health and Welfare on a request from the Commission related to welfare aspects of the castration of piglets. *The EFSA Journal* 91, 1–18.
- Fredriksen B, Lium BM, Marka CH, Mosveen B and Nafstad O 2008. Entire male pigs in farrow-to-finish pens – effects on animal welfare. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 110, 258–268.
- Fredriksen B, Lium BM, Marka CH, Heier BT, Dahl E, Choinski JU and Nafstad O 2006. Entire male pigs in a farrow-to-finish system. Effects on androstenone and skatole. *Livestock Science* 102, 146–154.
- Giersing M, Lundstrom K and Andersson A 2000. Social effects and boar taint: significance for production of slaughter boars (*Sus scrofa*). *Journal of Animal Science* 78, 296–305.
- Grindflek E, Meuwissen THE, Aasmundstad T, Hamland H, Hansen MHS, Nome T, Kent M, Torjesen P and Lien S 2011. Revealing genetic relationships between compounds affecting boar taint and reproduction in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 89, 680–692.
- Hansen LL, Larsen AE and Hansenmoller J 1995. Influence of keeping pigs heavily fouled with feces plus urine on skatole and indole concentration (boar taint) in subcutaneous fat. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section a-Animal Science* 45, 178–185.
- Hay M, Vulin A, Génin S, Sales P and Prunier A 2003. Assessment of pain induced by castration in piglets: behavioral and physiological responses over the subsequent 5 days. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 82, 201–218.
- Hemsworth PH and Tilbrook AJ 2007. Sexual behavior of male pigs. *Hormones and Behavior* 52, 39–44.
- Lee KH, Diekman MA, Moss GE and Allrich RD 1987. Pituitary gonadotropins, hypothalamic gonadotropin-releasing hormone, and testicular traits of boars exposed to natural or supplemental lighting during pubertal development. *Biology of Reproduction* 36, 1164–1169.
- Liptrap RM and Raeside JI 1978. A relationship between plasma concentrations of testosterone and corticosteroids during sexual and aggressive behaviour in the boar. *Journal of Endocrinology* 76, 75–85.
- Lundstrom K, Malmfors B, Malmfors G, Stern S, Petersson H, Mortensen AB and Sorensen SE 1988. Skatole, androstenone and boar taint in boars fed 2 different diets. *Livestock Production Science* 18, 55–67.
- Martin TE, Baker B Jr, Miller HW and Kellogg TF 1984. Circulating androgen levels in the developing boar. *Theriogenology* 21, 357–365.
- Mauget R and Boissin J 1987. Seasonal changes in testis weight and testosterone concentration in the European wild boar (*Sus scrofa L.*). *Animal Reproduction Science* 13, 67–74.
- Olesen LS, Nygaard CM, Friend TH, Bushong D, Knabe DA, Vestergaard KS and Vaughan RK 1996. Effect of partitioning pens on aggressive behavior of pigs regrouped at weaning. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 46, 167–174.
- Patterson RLS and Lightfoot AL 1984. Effect of sex grouping during growth on 5-alpha androstenone development in boars at 3 commercial slaughter weights. *Meat Science* 10, 253–263.
- Prunier A, Caritez JC and Bonneau M 1987. Genital tract development and 5-alpha androstenone concentration pattern during growth in male and female pigs of European and Chinese breeds. *Annales De Zootechnie* 36, 49–58.
- Prunier A, Mounier AM and Hay M 2005. Effects of castration, tooth resection, or tail docking on plasma metabolites and stress hormones in young pigs. *Journal of Animal Science* 83, 216–222.
- Rydhmer L, Zamaratskaia G, Andersson HK, Algers B, Guillemet R and Lundstrom K 2006. Aggressive and sexual behaviour of growing and finishing pigs reared in groups, without castration. *Acta Agriculturae Scandinavica Section A, Animal Science* 56, 109–119.
- Soma KK, Scotti MAL, Newman AEM, Charlier TD and Demas GE 2008. Novel mechanisms for neuroendocrine regulation of aggression. *Frontiers in Neuroendocrinology* 29, 476–489.
- Tallet C, Brillouet A, Meunier-Salaün MC, Paulmier V, Guérin C and Prunier A 2013. Effects of neonatal castration on social behaviour, human-animal relationship and feeding activity in finishing pigs reared in a conventional or an enriched housing. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 145, 70–83.
- Turner SP, Farnworth MJ, White IMS, Brotherstone S, Mendl M, Knap P, Penny P and Lawrence AB 2006. The accumulation of skin lesions and their use as a predictor of individual aggressiveness in pigs. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 96, 245–259.
- Van de Weerd HA and Day JEL 2009. A review of environmental enrichment for pigs housed in intensive housing systems. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science* 116, 1–20.
- von Borell E, Baumgartner J, Giersing M, Jäggin N, Prunier A, Tuytens FAM and Edwards SA 2009. Animal welfare implications of surgical castration and its alternatives in pigs. *Animal* 3, 1488–1496.
- Weiler U, Claus R, Dehnhard M and Hofacker S 1996. Influence of the photoperiod and a light reverse program on metabolically active hormones and food intake in domestic pigs compared with a wild boar. *Canadian Journal of Animal Science* 76, 531–539.
- Zamaratskaia G and Squires EJ 2009. Biochemical, nutritional and genetic effects on boar taint in entire male pigs. *Animal* 3, 1508–1521.
- Zamaratskaia G, Babol J, Andersson H and Lundstrom K 2004. Plasma skatole and androstenone levels in entire male pigs and relationship between boar taint compounds, sex steroids and thyroxine at various ages. *Livestock Production Science* 87, 91–98.
- Zamaratskaia G, Babol J, Andersson HK, Andersson K and Lundstrom K 2005. Effect of live weight and dietary supplement of raw potato starch on the levels of skatole, androstenone, testosterone and oestrone sulphate in entire male pigs. *Livestock Production Science* 93, 235–243.