

Low degradable protein supply to increase nitrogen efficiency in lactating dairy cows and reduce environmental impacts at barn level

Nadège Edouard, Mélynda Hassouna, Paul Robin, Philippe Faverdin

▶ To cite this version:

Nadège Edouard, Mélynda Hassouna, Paul Robin, Philippe Faverdin. Low degradable protein supply to increase nitrogen efficiency in lactating dairy cows and reduce environmental impacts at barn level. Animal, 2016, 10(2), pp.212-220. 10.1017/S1751731115002050. hal-01209292

HAL Id: hal-01209292 https://hal.science/hal-01209292v1

Submitted on 28 May 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- Low degradable protein supply to increase nitrogen efficiency in lactating dairy 1
- 2 cows and reduce environmental impacts at barn level
- N. Edouard ^{1, 2}, M. Hassouna ^{3, 4}, P. Robin ^{3, 4} and P. Faverdin ^{1, 2} 3

- ¹ INRA, UMR1348 Physiologie, Environnement et Génétique pour l'Animal et les 5
- Systèmes d'Elevage, F-35590 Saint Gilles, France 6
- 7 ² Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1348 Physiologie, Environnement et Génétique pour
- l'Animal et les Systèmes d'Elevage, F-35000 Rennes, France 8
- 9 ³ INRA, UMR1069 Sol Agro et hydrosystème Spatialisation, F-35000 Rennes, France
- 10 ⁴ Agrocampus Ouest, UMR1069 Sol Agro et hydrosystème Spatialisation, F-35000
- 11 Rennes, France

12

Corresponding author: Nadège Edouard. nadege.edouard@rennes.inra.fr 13

14

Short title: Nitrogen excretion and gas emissions in dairy cow 15

- **Abstract** 17
- 18 Generally, less than 30% of dairy cattle's nitrogen intake is retained in milk. Large
- 19 amounts of nitrogen are excreted in manure, especially in urine, with damaging
- 20 impacts on the environment. This study explores the effect of lowering dietary
- 21 degradable nitrogen supplies - while maintaining metabolisable protein - on dairy
- cows' performance, nitrogen use efficiency and gas emissions (NH₃, N₂O, CH₄) at 22
- barn level with tied animals. Two dietary N concentrations (CP: 12 %DM for LowN: 18 23
- 24 %DM for HighN) were offered to two groups of three lactating dairy cows in a split-
- 25 plot design over four periods of two weeks. Diets were formulated to provide similar

26	metabolisable protein supply, with degradable N either in deficit or in excess (PDIN of
27	84 and 114 g/kgDM for LowN and HighN respectively). Cows ingested 0.8 kg DM/d
28	less on the LowN diet, which was also 2.5% less digestible. Milk yield and
29	composition were not significantly affected. N exported in milk was 5% lower (LowN:
30	129 gN/d; HighN: 136 gN/d; $P < 0.001$) but milk protein yield was not significantly
31	affected (LowN: 801 g/d; HighN: 823 g/d; $P = 0.10$). Cows logically ingested less
32	nitrogen on the LowN diet (LowN: 415 gN/d; HighN: 626 gN/d; P < 0.001) resulting in
33	a higher N use efficiency (N milk / N intake; LowN: 0.31; HighN: 0.22; $P < 0.001$). N
34	excreted in urine was almost four times lower on the LowN diet (LowN: 65 gN/d;
35	HighN: 243 gN/d; $P < 0.001$) while urinary urea N concentration was 8-fold lower
36	(LowN: 4.6 g/L; HighN: 22.9 g/L; P < 0.001). Ammonia emission (expressed in g/h in
37	order to remove periods of the day with potential interferences with volatile molecules
38	from feed) was also lower on the LowN diet (LowN: 1.03 g/h/cow; HighN: 1.25
39	g/h/cow; P < 0.05). Greenhouse gas emissions (N ₂ O and CH ₄) at barn level were not
40	significantly affected by the amount of dietary N. Offering low amounts of degradable
41	protein with suitable metabolisable protein amounts to cattle improved nitrogen use
42	efficiency and lowered ammonia emissions at barn level. This strategy would
43	however need to be validated for longer periods, other housing systems (free stall
44	barns) and at farm level including all stages of manure management.
45	
46	Keywords: dairy cattle, nitrogen balance, urea, ammonia, greenhouse gas
47	
48	Implications
49	In dairy systems, many farmers tend to secure the protein supply with "over

supplementation" to maximise milk production per cow, leading to higher feed costs

and N excretion. In a context of volatile input-output prices (Insee, 2015), together with a societal demand to reduce environmental impacts, more efficient feeding strategies are required. This study shows that better nitrogen use efficiency can be achieved for dairy cattle fed with low amounts of degradable protein, leading to reduced impacts on the environment, with a modest reduction in milk protein in the short term.

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

51

52

53

54

55

56

Introduction

In Europe, animal production has been, and still is, encouraged to become more productive (public policy measures such as tariffs and export subsidies) in order to meet a growing consumer demand: e.g. the demand for meat and milk in 2050 is projected to grow by 73% and 58% respectively compared with 2010 (FAO, 2011). Intensive systems are often characterised by high inputs of nitrogen, which are mostly excreted. This is not without consequence for global environmental and health issues related to the emission of greenhouse gases (GHG) and other pollutants like ammonia (NH₃; European Environment Agency, 2009, Gerber et al., 2013). Dairy cattle consume high amounts of soybean meal (Institut de l'élevage, 2011), mainly imported from South-America and other parts of the world (Peyraud et al., 2012), known to be associated with land use change and concomitant GHG emissions (Gerber et al., 2013, Pellerin et al., 2013). Generally less than 30% of the N intake is retained in the produced milk, and the remainder is typically excreted roughly equally between faeces and urine (Castillo et al., 2000, Calsamiglia et al., 2010). In urine, nitrogen is principally found in the form of urea, which is rapidly hydrolysed to ammonium (NH₄⁺) by urease enzymes present in faeces and soil. This ammonium may then volatilise in the form of ammonia depending on temperature

76	and air velocity. Alternatively, it may be oxidised into nitrites and nitrates through a
77	two-step nitrification process, leading to emissions of intermediate compounds such
78	as nitric oxides (NO _x) and nitrous oxide (N₂O; Petersen <i>et al.</i> , 2013). Reducing
79	nitrogen excretion hence appears critical to reduce environmental impacts.
80	Lowering the amount of nitrogen consumed by the animals generally results in a
81	higher proportion of N exported to milk and decreased N excretion (Castillo et al.,
82	2000, Kebreab et al., 2001). In the INRA feeding system, the metabolisable protein
83	(PDIE, protein digested in the small intestine when rumen-fermentable energy is
84	limiting; INRA, 2007) is used to calculate animal protein balance, assuming an
85	efficiency of 64% to transform PDIE into milk protein. Moreover, the requirements in
86	terms of degradable nitrogen for microbial protein in the rumen are considered to be
87	fulfilled when PDIN (protein digested in the small intestine when rumen-fermentable
88	nitrogen is limiting; INRA, 2007) equals or exceeds PDIE concentration in the diet.
89	Two strategies could then be used to reduce N excretion: 1/ improve protein use
90	efficiency by decreasing metabolisable protein supplies in relation to energy from the
91	diet (PDIE / UFL, milk feed unit, 1 UFL = 7.106MJ of net energy for lactation; INRA,
92	2007); 2/ favour urea reuse by a deficit in degradable protein in the diet ((PDIN $-$
93	PDIE) / UFL). A decline of PDIE intake was shown to reduce milk yield
94	(Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014) and milk protein concentration (Monteils et al.,
95	2002) with a curvilinear response (Vérité and Delaby, 2000). On the other hand,
96	reducing PDIN available for microbes stimulates urea reuse for microbial synthesis
97	(Reynolds and Kristensen, 2008), resulting in lower N excreted in urine without
98	affecting protein synthesis and animal performance (Cutullic et al., 2013), but with a
99	risk of decreasing microbial activity. Moreover, a lower amount of highly degradable
100	protein in the diet has been shown to modify the partition of N excreted between

101	urine and faeces, likely due to more efficiently captured N in the rumen (Kebreab <i>et</i>
102	al., 2001).
103	The reduction of urinary N excretion should result in lower amounts of urea in
104	manure likely to volatilise in the form of ammonia (Dijkstra et al., 2013). Moreover,
105	transformation from ammonium to nitrate via nitrification is a source of $N_2\text{O}$
106	(Chadwick et al. 2011); a variation of ammonium in manure could therefore influence,
107	even if marginally, N_2O emissions at the building level. Finally, methane (CH_4)
108	emissions from animal housing are mainly caused by enteric fermentation, sensitive
109	to rate of OM degradability, type of VFA produced and efficiency of microbial
110	synthesis (Monteny et al., 2006). A reduction in N content of grass due to low N
111	fertilisation was also predicted to increase enteric CH ₄ emissions, through
112	modification of rumen degradation characteristics and associated changes in the
113	carbohydrate composition and degradability of the feed (Bannink et al., 2010). The
114	potential benefit from lowering dietary nitrogen supplies in terms of ammonia and
115	nitrous oxide emissions could therefore be counter-balanced by increased methane
116	emissions.
117	This study therefore explores the effect of lowering PDIN supplies from a theoretical
118	excess to a theoretical deficiency, while maintaining PDIE, on dairy cows' N use
119	efficiency and performance as well as gas emissions (NH $_3$, N $_2$ 0, CH $_4$) at barn level.
120	From current knowledge in the literature, we hypothesised that, due to a high
121	possibility of urea reuse for microbial synthesis in lactating dairy cows, a deficit in
122	PDIN supply in the diet will 1/ induce higher N use efficiency without detrimental
123	consequences on animal performance (dry matter intake and digestibility, milk
124	production and composition, etc.); 2/ decrease N excretion, especially in urine,
125	resulting in lower urinary urea content as well as lower ammonia and nitrous oxide

emissions from manure. With the will to avoid pollution swapping, methane emissions
were also monitored to ensure that the modulation of the diet composition was not
associated with higher methane production at barn level.
Material and Methods

Rennes, France, from September to October 2008.

133

Treatments, animals, experimental rooms and experimental design
Treatments consisted of total mixed rations offering either low (LowN; CP: 12% DM,
84 g PDIN/kgDM) or high (HighN; CP: 18% DM, 114 g PDIN/kgDM) dietary
degradable nitrogen. The rations were composed of a 80:20 mixture of maize
silage:concentrate and were given ad libitum (constant access to the trough; refusal
maintained between 0.05 up to 0.10 of feed offered) and individually twice a day (\approx
8:00am and 6:00pm). Diets were formulated to provide similar PDIE concentrations
(96 and 99 g PDIE/kg DM for LowN and HighN respectively), but with degradable N
either in deficit or in excess (PDIN/PDIE of 0.87 and 1.15 for the LowN and HighN
respectively; INRA, 2007). Diet compositions are given in Table 1. Six Holstein dairy
cows in late lactation (221 \pm 32 days in milk) were used for the experiment (Mean
Live Weight = 617 ± 13 kg). All were in their second or later lactation and were not
pregnant. Three of them were fitted with a rumen cannula; fistulated and non-
fistulated cows were kept apart throughout the experiment to account for potential
gas losses through the cannula. Each group was housed in a mechanically ventilated
and hermetic experimental room (temperature \approx 18 °C) with negative air-pressure so
as to ensure unique and constant air extraction through the extraction duct. Air
renewal was achieved by the controlled air-conditioning system. The two rooms were
equipped with tied stalls and mattresses covered with sawdust (except during urine
and faeces collection), which were renewed daily. The cows had continuous access
to water and were milked on site twice a day.
The experiment followed a split-plot design with two groups of cows (fistulated and
non-fistulated cows), two treatments (LowN and HighN), and two experimental rooms
(A and B) during four periods of two weeks (Table 2). All the cows received the two
diets and were housed successively and conversely in the two experimental rooms to

account for possible biases due to ventilation systems. For each period of two weeks, the first four days were used to accustom the cows to their diet; animal performances (DM intake, milk yield and composition) were measured from days 5 to 14. From days 8 to 11, urine and faeces were totally collected to estimate diet digestibility and N excretion. Gaseous measurements were continuously taken from days 5 to 14 so as to compare periods with and without manure collection. The cows were weighed at the beginning and the end of the experiment.

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

Measurements and analyses

Feed sampling and intake Offered and refused feed was weighed precisely and sampled every day to determine DM concentration (80°C, 48 h) in order to assess individual cow DM intake. From days 8 to 11 of each period, samples of feed were collected daily: concentrate was dried (80°C during 48 h), maize silage (offered and refused) was freeze dried. Average samples of concentrate and maize silage per period, and per cow for refusals, were then ground with a 3-blade knife mill through a 0.8 mm screen. The OM concentration was determined by ashing for 6 h at 500 °C. Total N concentration was assessed by the Dumas method (Association Française de Normalisation, 1997). Feed and refusals ADF, NDF, and ADL were analysed using the method described by van-Soest et al. (1991), using a neutral detergent solution containing α-amylase without sulphite, on a Fibersac analyzer (Ankom Technology, Fairport, NY), and were corrected for ash concentration. Water intake was recorded individually every day by monitoring mechanical water meters. Dietary PDIE and PDIN concentrations were calculated from the diet ingredients chemical composition (Table 1), and predicted rumen degradability of CP and intestinal digestibility of rumen undegraded diet CP (INRA, 2007).

184	On day 10 of each period, rumen liquor was collected from fistulated cows at 8:00am
185	(just before the morning ration distribution) and again at 9:00am, 10:00am, 11:00am,
186	12:00pm, 2:00pm, 4:00pm and 6:00pm (just before evening ration distribution) to
187	assess pH kinetics. Samples were then frozen to determine $N-NH_4^+$ concentrations
188	later (Berthelot colour reaction method).
189	Milk yield and composition Individual milk yield was monitored each day throughout
190	the experiment. Morning and evening milk samples were collected three times a
191	week (each Monday, Wednesday and Friday) to analyse for true protein and fat via
192	infrared analysis using a Milkoscan 605 (Foss Electric, Hillerød, Denmark). An
193	additional fresh milk sample was collected in the morning and evening of day 10 of
194	each period to assess individual milk total N (Kjeldahl method).
195	Digestibility, faeces and urine Digestibility ([intake – faecal output] / intake) was
196	estimated from days 8 to 11 for each period. Faeces were collected individually in the
197	gutter behind the animals, and were weighed daily. Animals were equipped with
198	harnesses to collect urine separately. Urine was acidified with 500 ml of $H_2SO_4\ 20\%$
199	to prevent ammonia volatilisation and weighed daily. Samples were collected every
200	day for each cow, and average samples were frozen every week in order to analyse
201	faecal DM, OM, and total-N (Dumas method; Association Française de
202	Normalisation, 1997). Urea-N was assessed in urine using colorimetric enzymatic
203	reaction with a multi-parameter analyser (KONE Instruments 200 Corporation,
204	Espoo, Finland).
205	Uremia In order to depict urea variations in relation to the diet, uremia was assessed
206	just before and 3 hours after the morning ration distribution. Even if urea
207	concentrations in blood and milk are correlated when measured at short time
208	intervals (Gustafsson and Palmquist, 1993), blood was preferred to milk as it was

easier to sample at both times. Blood samples were collected from the tall vein once
per period (day 10) at 8:00am and at 11:00am to assess plasmatic uremia (KONE
Instruments 200 Corporation, Espoo, Finland).
Gas emissions measurements Air samples were continuously collected in each
isolated room at air entrance and air extraction ducts so as to calculate a gradient.
Measurements of gas concentrations were performed on the last 10 days of each
period, therefore including periods with and without urine and faeces collection. An
infra-red photo acoustic analyser (INNOVA model 1312, Air Tech Instruments,
Ballerup, Denmark) was used coupled with a sampler-doser (INNOVA 1303). Air
samples were withdrawn from the experimental rooms into the analyser through 3
mm PTFE (Teflon \circledR) sampling lines protected with dust filters, insulated and heated
to avoid water condensation within the sampling tubes. The analyser was fitted with
six filters, enabling the concentrations of six gases (NH $_3$, CO $_2$, CH $_4$, N $_2$ O, SF $_6$ and
$\ensuremath{H_2O}\xspace$) to be sequentially measured. The analyser sampled air with a 2 min interval
between measurements, each location being analysed during 15 min, and a
computer was used to record concentrations. The instrument was span-calibrated
with known concentrations by the manufacturer before the experiment. The
instrument internally corrected for signal interferences from gases measured (optical
filters/detection limit: NH_3 973/0.2 ppm; CO_2 982/1.5 ppm; CH_4 969/0.4 ppm; N_2O
985/0.03 ppm). However, for NH_3 , non-compensated interferences were detected by
unexpected variations in gas concentration (two important peaks in NH₃
concentrations associated with feeding phases). As was recently highlighted by
Hassouna et al. (2013) under the same experimental conditions, these NH_3
oscillations were due to interferences with ethanol and acetic acid emitted by mixed
rations (maize silage in particular). We noticed that 6 h after the meal distribution the

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

quantity of feed remaining in the trough was close to the quantity of refusals (0.05-0.10 of feed offered); from that time, interference was probably negligible. NH₃ emission measurements were therefore only considered between 12:00am and 7:00am and between 2:00pm and 5:00pm. The flow rate in each experimental room was determined with the tracer ratio method using the constant dosing approach (Baptista et al., 1999). Sulphur hexafluoride (SF₆) was continuously injected through PTFE sampling lines directly in the air entrance duct equipped with a mixing system to homogenise air and SF₆. The amount of SF₆ released at each time step was determined by the sampler-doser (INNOVA 1303). Ventilation rate (Q, in m³/h/cow) was calculated as a function of time (t) from the rate of tracer release (φT) in m³/h and the indoor tracer concentration (CT inside) in mg/m³ after correction for the background concentration of the tracer (CT outside) (Demmers et al., 2001): Indoor (ambient) and outdoor (air entrance) temperature and moisture were monitored using thermo-hygrometers while collecting air samples. Temperature, moisture, flow rates and gas concentrations were expressed as mean values per h. Gas emissions were calculated by multiplying the ventilation rate (m³/h/cow) by gas concentrations (air extraction concentrations corrected by air entrance concentrations, in mg/m³). Methane and nitrous oxide emissions were expressed as cumulated gas emissions per cow per day; ammonia emissions per cow were averaged at the hourly rate for the periods of the day when interferences were expected to be negligible (i.e. between 12:00am and 7:00am and between 2:00pm and 5:00pm).

258 Statistical analyses 259 For each period, only the last 10 days were considered for measurements, as the first 4 days were used to adapt the animals to experimental conditions. For animal 260 261 performance and N balance variables, data was averaged per period for each 262 individual (N = 24) in order to overcome the temporal correlation between daily 263 measurements. Statistics were performed using the linear procedure of SAS 9.4 (PROC GLM; SAS Enterprise Guide v6.1 2013, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) 264 265 following the statistical model below: 266 $Y_{iiklm} = \mu + Nconc_i + Room_i + Period_k + Group_l + Cow(Group)_{m(l)} + e_{iiklm}$ where Y_{ijklm} is the studied variable; μ is the average; Nconc_i is the diet degradable N 267 268 concentration (LowN or HighN, 1 df); Room; is the experimental room housing the 269 cows (A or B, 1 df); Period_k is the period of two weeks (1 to 4, 3 df); Group_l is the group of cows (fistulated cows vs. normal cows, 1 df); Cow(Group)_{m(l)} is the cow 270 271 nested in the group of cows (4 df); and eiklm is the error associated with each Yiklm. In order to account for the split-plot design, the factor Group, was specifically tested 272 273 against the Cow(Group) $_{m(l)}$ error. Hourly (NH₃) and daily gas emissions (N₂O, CH₄, CH₄/kg DMI) were averaged per 274 275 experimental room and per period, identifying excreta (urine + faeces) collection and 276 no-collection periods (N = 16), and compared using the linear procedure of SAS 9.4 (PROC GLM; SAS Enterprise Guide v6.1 2013, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA) 277 278 following the statistical model below: 279 $Y_{ijklmn} = \mu + Nconc_i + Collection_i + Room_k + Period_i + Group_m + (Nconc x Collection)_n +$ 280 **e**iiklmn 281 where Y_{iiklmn} is the studied variable; μ is the average; Nconc_i is the diet degradable N 282 concentration (LowN or HighN, 1 df); Collection; is the excreta collection status (yes

or no, 1 df); Room_k is the experimental room housing the cows (A or B, 1 df); Period_l
is the period of two weeks (1 to 4, 3 df); Group_m is the group of cows (fistulated or
not, 1 df); and e_{ijklmn} is the error associated with each Y_{ijklmn}.

Because of confounding effects, the interactions Nconc x Room and Nconc x Group
could not be tested in either model.

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

Results and Discussion

Maintained animal performances and improved N use efficiency Compared with the HighN diet, animals that were fed low amounts of degradable N ingested 0.8 kg DM/d less (P < 0.001) with a 2.5% reduction in DM digestibility (P < 0.001) 0.001; Table 3); however, during the 8 weeks of experimentation, all the cows gained weight (+14 \pm 5 kg). Nitrogen excreted in milk was 5% lower (P < 0.001), but milk protein secretion was only 3% lower (P = 0.10; Table 3), the difference probably being due to non-protein nitrogen content, higher in the HighN diet. Neither milk yield (P = 0.13) nor milk composition (P = 0.16) and P = 0.23 for fat and protein concentration respectively) were significantly affected by N supply; only milk fat yield was reduced on the LowN diet (difference of 40 g/d, P = 0.02; Table 3). These results corroborate those of Cutullic et al. (2013) where a reduction of degradable protein supply in the diet (-10 g (PDIN-PDIE)/UFL; 12% CP) did not significantly affect milk yield and protein milk yield for dairy cows compared with a balanced diet (PIDE = PDIN). When both PDIN and PDIE supplies are reduced, the decrease in milk and milk protein yields is generally more important, (e.g. -2 kg/d and -13 to 18% nitrogen in milk; Monteils et al., 2002, Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014). In the present study, PDIE concentrations of the diets were deliberately maintained equivalent between treatments even if a small reduction in PDIE supply came from the decrease in DM

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

intake. A deficit of PDIE (under the threshold of 100 g PDIE/UFL) is known to rapidly lead to important drops in performance (Vérité and Delaby, 2000). Moreover, in order to obtain an optimal microbial protein synthesis and a satisfying diet digestibility, microbes need a minimal and balanced amount of fermentable energy and degradable protein in the rumen. Dairy cattle can tolerate a deficit of PDIN (down to (PDIN – PDIE)/UFL = -8 g/unit UFL for low milk production; INRA, 2007) as microbes in the rumen are able to use a certain amount of NH₄⁺-N recycled in the form of urea. On the other hand, the excess of PDIN for the HighN diet ((PDIN – PDIE)/UFL = 15 g/unit UFL) resulted in higher amounts of nitrogen excreted compared with the LowN diet, especially in urine (P < 0.001, Table 3). As cattle consumed much less nitrogen in the low N diet (-33%) and exported quite similar quantities of nitrogen in milk (-5%), they achieved considerably improved N use efficiency (from 22% up to 31%; P < 0.001), which is consistent with the literature (Huhtanen and Hristov 2009). Moreover, as both milk protein concentration and yield were not significantly affected by the diet (P > 0.05, Table 3), it seemed that the animals were able to achieve a better use of nutrients with a lower amount of feed ingested, as illustrated by the food conversion ratio that tended to be higher for the LowN diet (1.24 vs. 1.22, P = 0.05, Table 3). This could represent a certain economy for farmers and act as compensation for the slight loss in milk production (Frank and Swensson, 2002). For example, changing the diet from 16% to 14% CP by replacing soybean meal and urea by grains was estimated to bring a benefit of 12 €/cow/year, provided balanced supplies of PDIN and PDIE were maintained (taking into account milk yield and milk protein concentration reductions in winter; Pellerin et al., 2013). The recent inflation of nitrogen-rich feed prices (Insee, 2015) should encourage farmers to promote low

333	these farms (Pellerin et al., 2013).
334	
335	Partitioning of nitrogen excretion and consequences for ammonia emissions
336	As expected, nitrogen excreted in urine was substantially decreased with the LowN
337	diet ($P < 0.001$), representing as little as 30% of the total N excreted in manure (urine
338	+ faeces) vs. 60% for the HighN diet. On the other hand, the amount of N excreted in
339	faeces was not significantly modified ($P = 0.36$, Table 3). This observation is
340	consistent with the literature for diets varying in CP concentration (Castillo et al.,
341	2000, Monteils et al., 2002, Cantalapiedra-Hijar et al., 2014). As already mentionned
342	by Kebreab et al. (2001), the proportion of N excreted in faeces in relation to N intake
343	increased (from 26% to 38%) when animals were fed diets with lower amounts of
344	highly degradable protein.
345	Ruminal kinetics showed a peak of NH_4^+ -N in rumen liquor after the morning ration
346	distribution. Ruminal NH ₄ ⁺ -N concentration was 4 times higher for the HighN diet at
347	09:00am (76 and 396 mg/L for the LowN and the HighN diets respectively, $P < 0.001$,
348	RMSE = 44; Figure 1) and stayed more elevated throughout the day ($P < 0.001$).
349	Despite this peak, ruminal pH was not significantly affected by treatment, either
350	before or after the meal ($P > 0.05$). Urinary and blood urea concentrations were
351	considerably lower for the LowN diet ($P < 0.001$, Table 3) leading to a large drop in
352	urinary urea excretion (47 g/d vs. 385 g/d for the LowN and HighN diets respectively,
353	P < 0.001). The clearance rate of urea (volume of blood cleared per unit of time) can
354	be calculated as the ratio between urinary urea excretion (g/d) and blood urea
355	concentration (g/L). In the present study, the clearance rate of urea was almost twice
356	as high for the HighN diet (36 L/h) as it was for the LowN diet (20 L/h). These values

degradable N diets, even more so if it results in improved protein self-sufficiency on

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

370

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

are consistent with recent studies reporting that urea clearance was reduced from 41 to 27 L/h when the diet CP concentration of lactating cows decreased from 17% to 13% DM (Kristensen et al., 2010) and from 35 to 25 L/h for decreasing urea ruminal infusions (Rojen et al., 2011). The large drop in urinary urea excretion for the LowN diet was moreover accompanied by an important decrease in terms of the proportion of urea N in urine (34% of total urinary N) compared to the HighN diet (76% of total urinary N). Nitrogen deficiency in the LowN diet therefore led to nitrogen saving in the kidney (Rojen et al., 2011). As discussed by Kohn et al. (2005), keeping urea in the blood may enable herbivores to recycle greater amounts of N in the gut compared with omnivores, which explains how herbivores can survive on low amounts of lowquality protein. As expected, hourly NH₃ emissions were significantly decreased on the LowN diet compared with the HighN diet (respectively 1.03 and 1.25 g $NH_3/h/cow$, P = 0.01, Table 4). The 18% reduction does not seem very important given the large reduction in urine volumes (1.5 times lower, P < 0.001) and urinary urea concentration (divided by 5, P < 0.001) for the LowN diet vs. the HighN diet and when compared with the literature. In controlled conditions, ammonia emission from manure (measured in flux chambers) was for example 3 times lower when dietary CP decreased from 21% to 15% (Burgos et al., 2010). At the dairy barn level, based on a large range of diets, ammonia emissions were predicted to be reduced by 80% with a grass-based diet with balanced amounts of rumen degradable protein (Monteny et al., 2002). However, as reported by Powell et al. (2008), ammonia emissions from tie-stall dairy barns, where narrow gutter scrapers remove manure once or twice a day, are usually lower than from free-stall barns where the emitting surface is much larger. In the present study, the small reduction in ammonia emissions could therefore be viewed

as the result of the interaction between diet and a low emitting housing system. On the other hand, the non-reduced ammonia emissions when excreta were collected and acidified either as a single factor (P = 0.44, N = 16) or in interaction with the N concentration of the diet (P = 0.12, N = 16) are surprising. However, the calculation of mean hourly emissions excluding feeding periods - because of interferences - could limit these interpretations.

388

382

383

384

385

386

391

392

393

394

395

396

397

398

399

400

401

402

403

404

405

406

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

Unaccounted N (not recovered either in manure or in milk) was 66 and 94 g N/d/cow for the LowN and the HighN diets respectively, representing around 15% of N intake for both treatments. These proportions are high but not unexpected when compared with the literature. As pointed out by Cheng et al. (2011), the nitrogen balance technique is difficult to implement and often leads to overestimations of N retention. In a meta-analysis, Spanghero and Kowalski (1997) recorded an average N balance of 39 g/d (ranging from -57 up to 205 g/d), representing 8% of N intake and 1/3 of N contained in milk. More recently, Klevenhusen et al. (2010) reported N balances of up to 26% of N intake (maize diet, 21% CP), and even if the average value reported in the review of Spek et al. (2013) is only 2% of N intake (for EU trials), the high standard deviation of 31 (N = 68) suggests high variations. In the present study, considering that the cows were adult and non-pregnant, N retention could only be linked to N accretion related to the energy balance of the animals. Calculations of the animals' energy balance (based on UFL intake, mean body weight and fat corrected milk yields as described in INRA, 2007) for each treatment, using the value of 6 g N retained per UFL reported by Faverdin and Vérité (1998), make it possible to estimate N retention in cows of 19 and 20 g N/d/cow respectively for the LowN and the HighN diets. Nitrogen balances corrected for N retention were therefore 47 and 74 g N/d/cow, representing about 11% of N intake. Consequently, a significant part of N ingested was probably lost during the recovery process or because of errors associated with the measurement of N concentrations in the various samples. Volatile N losses during urine collection should be prevented by the addition of a strong acid (here H₂SO₄ 20%). In contrast, underestimation of faecal N by incomplete collection of material or volatile losses of ammonia during collection and subsequent drying of the samples could be important (e.g. -15% N in faecal samples after drying

415	compared to fresh faeces; Spanghero and Kowalski 1997). Echoing the words of
416	Reynolds and Kristensen (2008), mysteries of N balance still need to be solved
417	today.
418	
419	Consequences of N dietary manipulation for GHG emissions
420	The dietary treatment did not affect N_2O ($P=0.92$) and CH_4 emissions either
421	expressed in g/d or in g/kg DM intake (respectively $P = 0.65$ and $P = 0.41$; Table 4).
422	We could not identify any group effect (fistulated cows v s. normal cows) in measured
423	emissions of any of the gases concerned, suggesting that losses through the cannula
424	could not be detected, even for methane.
425	Urinary N is the principal source of N_2O emissions (Dijkstra $\it{et~al.}$, 2013). In this
426	experiment, urine and faeces were deposited on small areas (gutters) and stayed for
427	short periods in the house before being scraped twice daily. Consequently, $N_2\text{O}$
428	emissions were very low (daily averages between 0.07 and 1.86 mg/m³) and
429	variations were difficult to detect for the photo acoustic IR gas analyser (detection
430	limit of 0.05 mg/m³).
431	Methane emissions at room level measured in this paper are rather high (> 550
432	gCH ₄ /d and > 26 gCH ₄ /kgDMI) when compared with the literature (19-22 gCH ₄ /kgDMI
433	for grass and maize silage diets varying in CP concentration measured in respiratory
434	chambers, Reynolds et al., 2010b). In the present study, we cannot exclude that part,
435	even if marginal, of the methane at room level was produced by manure. Moreover,
436	gaseous emissions result from the product between gas concentrations and the
437	ventilation rate in the experimental room, both being associated with high
438	uncertainties (about 30% for the estimation of gas emissions from livestock;

439	Scholtens <i>et al.</i> , 2004). Biases being most likely the same for both treatments, they
440	should not affect the major conclusions of this study.
441	Bannink et al. (2010) reported that both observed and predicted enteric CH_4
442	emissions decreased with an increased dietary N:OM ratio, even if, as discussed by
443	the authors, variations in DMI may have affected these results. However, in the
444	literature, most papers were not able to link either the amount of total or digestible
445	protein consumed, nor N fertilisation levels to methane emissions (Reynolds et al.,
446	2010a, Podesta et al., 2013), which would be consistent with our results that dietary
447	N modulation did not affect CH ₄ emissions.
448	More generally, efforts to mitigate an emission can sometimes lead to higher
449	emissions of other pollutants, or of the same pollutant at a different stage; this is
450	referred to as pollution swapping (Monteny et al., 2006). Reduced gas formation at
451	animal or manure level in the barn might be partially compensated by increased
452	gaseous emissions from manure in the following step of manure management
453	(Dijkstra et al., 2011). In the future, more attention should be given to GHG and
454	ammonia mitigation options at farm level to account for effects on C and/or N flows
455	and associated gas emissions, considering all possible interactions at every stage of
456	the manure management continuum (Petersen et al., 2013).
457	

458

459

460

461

462

463

Conclusion

In the literature, most of the papers show that with less than 14% CP in the diet, animal performance is severely reduced. This study demonstrates that dairy cattle can tolerate, at least for periods of two weeks, important deficits of degradable protein supply in maize based diets (down to 84 gPDIN/kgDM, 12% CP) without an important drop in performances if metabolisable protein is maintained close to the

threshold of 100 gPDIE/UFL. The LowN diet might have favoured urea reuse for microbial synthesis, compensating the deficit in degradable N in the rumen for milk protein production. Excess intake of degradable nitrogen on the HighN diet (114 g PDIN/kgDM, 18% CP) involved, on the contrary, higher uremia, resulting from greater ruminal NH₃ production by microbes. This additional N was then lost in the form of urea through urine, leading to increased NH₃ emissions. Offering low amounts of degradable protein to cattle can therefore generate a win-win situation. On one hand, low supplies of degradable N contributed to higher N use efficiency, at least on the short term, with a limited reduction of performance that could be compensated by lower amounts of feed ingested (potential economic gain). On the other hand, impacts on the environment could be reduced via lower ammonia emissions (acidification, eutrophication, human health, etc.). This strategy would however need to be validated for longer periods of time, other housing systems (e.g. free stall barns) and at farm level, including all stages of the manure management continuum.

478

479

480

481

482

483

484

464

465

466

467

468

469

470

471

472

473

474

475

476

477

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the staff from the INRA unit PEGASE both at the dairy experimental farm for taking care of the animals and taking the measurements. and at the laboratory for their lab analyses. Many thanks also to Luc Delaby for precious advice on statistics and to anonymous reviewers for their helpful comments on earlier versions of the manuscript.

486	References
487	Association Française de Normalisation 1997. Aliments des animaux - Dosage de
488	l'Azote - Méthode par combustion (DUMAS) - NF V18–120. Association
489	Française de Normalisation, Saint-Denis-La-Plaine, France.
490	Bannink A, Smits MCJ, Kebreab E, Mills JAN, Ellis JL, Klop A, France J and Dijkstra
491	J 2010. Simulating the effects of grassland management and grass ensiling on
492	methane emission from lactating cows. Journal of Agricultural Science 148, 55-
493	72.
494	Baptista FJ, Bailey BJ, Randall JM and Meneses JF 1999. Greenhouse Ventilation
495	Rate: Theory and Measurement with Tracer Gas Techniques. Journal of
496	Agricultural Engineering Research 72, 363-374.
497	Burgos SA, Embertson NM, Zhao Y, Mitloehner FM, DePeters EJ and Fadel JG
498	2010. Prediction of ammonia emission from dairy cattle manure based on milk
499	urea nitrogen: Relation of milk urea nitrogen to ammonia emissions. Journal of
500	Dairy Science 93, 2377-2386.
501	Calsamiglia S, Ferret A, Reynolds CK, Kristensen NB and van Vuuren AM 2010.
502	Strategies for optimizing nitrogen use by ruminants. Animal 4, 1184-1196.
503	Cantalapiedra-Hijar G, Peyraud JL, Lemosquet S, Molina-Alcaide E, Boudra H,
504	Noziere P and Ortigues-Marty I 2014. Dietary carbohydrate composition
505	modifies the milk N efficiency in late lactation cows fed low crude protein diets.
506	Animal 8, 275-285.
507	Castillo AR, Kebreab E, Beever DE and France J 2000. A review of efficiency of
508	nitrogen utilisation in lactating dairy cows and its relationship with environmental
509	pollution. Journal of Animal and Feed Sciences 9, 1-32.

510	Chadwick D, Sommer S, Thorman R, Fangueiro D, Cardenas L, Amon B and
511	Misselbrook T 2011. Manure management: Implications for greenhouse gas
512	emissions. Animal Feed Science and Technology 166–167, 514-531.
513	Cheng L, Kim EJ, Merry RJ and Dewhurst RJ 2011. Nitrogen partitioning and isotopic
514	fractionation in dairy cows consuming diets based on a range of contrasting
515	forages. Journal of Dairy Science 94, 2031-2041.
516	Cutullic E, Delaby L, Edouard N and Faverdin P 2013. Rôle de l'équilibre en azote
517	dégradable et de l'alimentation protéique individualisée sur l'efficience
518	d'utilisation de l'azote. Rencontres Recherches Ruminants 20, 53-56.
519	Demmers TGM, Phillips VR, Short LS, Burgess LR, Hoxey RP and Wathes CM 2001.
520	Validation of ventilation rate measurement methods and the ammonia emission
521	from naturally ventilated dairy and beef buildings in the United Kingdom. Journa
522	of Agricultural Engineering Research 79, 107-116.
523	Dijkstra J, Oenema O and Bannink A 2011. Dietary strategies to reducing N excretion
524	from cattle: implications for methane emissions. Current Opinion in
525	Environmental Sustainability 3, 414-422.
526	Dijkstra J, Oenema O, van Groenigen JW, Spek JW, van Vuuren AM and Bannink A
527	2013. Diet effects on urine composition of cattle and N_2O emissions. Animal 7,
528	292-302.
529	European Environment Agency 2009. EMEP/EEA air pollutant emission inventory
530	guidebook 2009- Technical guidance to prepare national emission inventories.
531	FAO 2011. World Livestock 2011 – Livestock in food security. Rome, FAO.
532	Faverdin P and Vérité R 1998. Use of milk urea concentration as an indicator of
533	protein nutrition and nitrogen losses in dairy cows. In Rencontres autour des
534	Recherches sur les Ruminants 5, pp. 209-212.

535	Frank B and Swensson C 2002. Relationship between content of crude protein in
536	rations for dairy cows and milk yield, concentration of urea in milk and ammonia
537	emmisions. Journal of Dairy Science 85, 1829-1838.
538	Gerber PJ, Steinfeld H, Henderson B, Mottet A, Opio C, Dijkman J, Falcucci A and
539	Tempio G 2013. Tackling climate change through livestock – A global
540	assessment of emissions and mitigation opportunities. Food and Agriculture
541	Organization of the United Nations (FAO), Rome.
542	Gustafsson AH and Palmquist DL Diurnal Variation of Rumen Ammonia, Serum Urea
543	and Milk Urea in Dairy Cows at High and Low Yields1. Journal of Dairy Science
544	76, 475-484.
545	Hassouna M, Robin P, Charpiot A, Edouard N and Méda B 2013. Infrared
546	photoacoustic spectroscopy in animal houses: Effect of non-compensated
547	interferences on ammonia, nitrous oxide and methane air concentrations.
548	Biosystems Engineering 114, 318-326.
549	Huhtanen P and Hristov AN 2009. A meta-analysis of the effects of dietary protein
550	concentration and degradability on milk protein yield and milk N efficiency in
551	dairy cows. Journal of Dairy Science 92, 3222-3232.
552	Inra 2007. Alimentation des bovins, ovins et caprins - Besoins des animaux - Valeur
553	des aliments - Tables INRA 2007. Quae, Versailles, France.
554	Insee 2015. http://www.insee.fr/fr/bases-de-donnees/bsweb/default.asp
555	Institut de l'élevage 2011. Observatoire de l'alimentation des vaches laitières. 15 des
556	principaux systèmes d'élevage décrits sous forme de fiches.
557	Kebreab E, France J, Beever DE and Castillo AR 2001. Nitrogen pollution by dairy
558	cows and its mitigation by dietary manipulation. Nutrient Cycling in
559	Agroecosystems 60, 275-285.

560	Klevenhusen F, Kreuzer M and Soliva CR 2010. Enteric and manure-derived
561	methane and nitrogen emissions as well as metabolic energy losses in cows fed
562	balanced diets based on maize, barley or grass hay. Animal 5, 1-12.
563	Kohn RA, Dinneen MM and Russek-Cohen E 2005. Using blood urea nitrogen to
564	predict nitrogen excretion and efficiency of nitrogen utilization in cattle, sheep,
565	goats, horses, pigs, and rats. Journal of Animal Science 83, 879-889.
566	Kristensen NB, Storm AC and Larsen M 2010. Effect of dietary nitrogen content and
567	intravenous urea infusion on ruminal and portal-drained visceral extraction of
568	arterial urea in lactating Holstein cows. Journal of Dairy Science 93, 2670-2683.
569	Monteils V, Jurjanz S, Blanchart G and Laurent F 2002. Nitrogen utilisation by dairy
570	cows fed diets differing in crude protein level with a deficit in ruminal
571	fermentable nitrogen. Reproduction Nutrition Development 42, 545-557.
572	Monteny GJ, Smits MCJ, van Duinkerken G, Mollenhorst H and de Boer IJM 2002.
573	Prediction of ammonia emission from dairy barns using feed characteristics Part
574	II: Relation between urinary urea concentration and ammonia emission. Journal
575	of Dairy Science 85, 3389-3394.
576	Monteny G-J, Bannink A and Chadwick D 2006. Greenhouse gas abatement
577	strategies for animal husbandry. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment 112,
578	163-170.
579	Pellerin S, Bamière L, Angers D, Béline F, Benoît M, Butault JP, Chenu C, Colnenne-
580	David C, De Cara S, Delame N, Doreau M, Dupraz P, Faverdin P, Garcia-
581	Launay F, Hassouna M, Hénault C, Jeuffroy MH, Klumpp K, Metay A, Moran D,
582	Recous S, Samson E, Savini I and Pardon L 2013. Quelle contribution de
583	l'agriculture française à la réduction des émissions de gaz à effet de serre ?

584	Potentiei d'attenuation et cout de dix actions techniques. Rapport d'etude,
585	INRA, France, 454p.
586	Peyraud JL, Cellier P, Donnars C and Réchauchère O 2012. Les flux d'azote liés aux
587	élevages, réduire les pertes, rétablir les équilibres. INRA (France).
588	Petersen SO, Blanchard M, Chadwick D, Del Prado A, Edouard N, Mosquera J and
589	Sommer S 2013. Manure management for greenhouse gas mitigation. Animal 7
590	266-282.
591	Podesta SC, Hatew B, Klop G, Van Laar H, Kinley RD, Bannink A and Dijkstra J
592	2013. The effect of nitrogen fertilization level and stage of maturity of grass
593	herbage on methane emission in lactating cows. Proceedings of the 5th
594	Greenhouse Gas in Animal Agriculture Conference. Advances in Animal
595	Biosciences 4, 272.
596	Powell JM, Broderick GA and Misselbrook TH 2008. Seasonal Diet Affects Ammonia
597	Emissions from Tie-Stall Dairy Barns. Journal of Dairy Science 91, 857-869.
598	Reynolds CK and Kristensen NB 2008. Nitrogen recycling through the gut and the
599	nitrogen economy of ruminants: An asynchronous symbiosis. Journal of Animal
600	Science 86, E293-E305.
601	Reynolds CK, Mills JAN, Crompton LA, Givens DI and Bannink A 2010a. Ruminant
602	nutrition regimes to reduce greenhouse gas emissions in dairy cows. In
603	proceedings of the 3rd EAAP International Symposium on Energy and Protein
604	Metabolism and Nutrition (ed. Crovetto GM), pp. 427-437. Wageningen
605	Academic Publishers, the Netherlands.
606	Reynolds CK, Crompton LA, Mills JAN, Humphries DJ, Kirton P, Relling AE,
607	Misselbrook TH, Chadwick DR and Givens DI 2010b. Effects of diet protein
608	level and forage source on energy and nitrogen balance and methane and

609	nitrogen excretion in lactating dairy cows. In proceedings of the 3rd EAAP
610	International Symposium on Energy and Protein Metabolism and Nutrition (ed.
611	Crovetto GM), pp. 463-464. Wageningen Academic Publishers, the
612	Netherlands.
613	Rojen BA, Theil PK and Kristensen NB 2011. Effects of nitrogen supply on inter-
614	organ fluxes of urea-N and renal urea-N kinetics in lactating Holstein cows.
615	Journal of Dairy Science 94, 2532-2544.
616	Scholtens R, Dore CJ, Jones BMR, Lee DS and Phillips VR 2004. Measuring
617	ammonia emission rates from livestock buildings and manure stores - part 1:
618	development and validation of external tracer ratio, internal tracer ratio and
619	passive flux sampling methods. Atmospheric Environment 38, 3003-3015.
620	Spanghero M and Kowalski ZM 1997. Critical analysis of N balance experiments with
621	lactating cows. Livestock Production Science 52, 113-122.
622	Spek JW, Dijkstra J, van Duinkerken G, Hendriks WH and Bannink A 2013.
623	Prediction of urinary nitrogen and urinary urea nitrogen excretion by lactating
624	dairy cattle in northwestern Europe and North America: A meta-analysis. Journal
625	of Dairy Science 96, 4310-4322.
626	van-Soest PJ, Robertson J and Lewis B 1991. Methods of dietary fiber, neutral
627	detergent fiber, and nonstarch polysaccharides in relation to animal nutrition.
628	Journal of Dairy Science 74, 3583–3597.
629	Vérité R and Delaby L 2000. Relation between nutrition, performances and nitrogen
630	excretion in dairy cows. Annales de Zootechnie 49, 217-230.
631	

633

Table 1 Ingredients and nutrient composition of the two experimental diets varying in crude

protein concentration fed to lactating dairy cows

Component, % DM unless noted	LowN ¹	HighN ¹			
Ingredient					
Maize silage	79.7	79.5			
Soybean meal mix ²	4.8	12.3			
Soybean meal formaldehyde-treated	5.8	3.0			
Mix concentrate ³	8.5	2.9			
Urea	0.0	1.3			
Minerals	1.0	1.0			
Nutrients					
DM, % fresh matter	41.3	41.5			
OM	94.6	93.5			
Starch	30.5	27.9			
CP	12.2	17.6			
NDF	39.2	39.3			
ADF	19.6	19.5			
ADL	1.78	1.71			
Fat	3.25	3.14			
Ca	0.47	0.46			
Р	0.28	0.29			
Feeding value					
UFL/kgDM⁴	0.97	0.96			
PDIE, g/kgDM⁵	96.4	99.0			
PDIN, g/kgDM ⁶	83.9	114.2			
(PDIN – PDIE)/UFL	-12.8	15.8			

⁶³⁴ LowN and HighN diets correspond to 12% and 18% of crude protein respectively

644

^{635 &}lt;sup>2</sup> Soybean meal mix composed of 98% soybean meal and 2% of molasses

⁶³⁶ Mix concentrate is composed of 25% wheat, 25% maize, 25% barley, 20% beet pulp, 3% molasses,

^{637 1%} vegetable oil, 1% NaCl

^{638 &}lt;sup>4</sup> UFL: Amount of net energy for milk production contained in 1 kg of a reference barley (87% of dry

matter, 2,700 kcal of metabolisable energy), based on INRA feeding system (INRA, 2007)

^{640 &}lt;sup>5</sup> PDIE: True protein absorbable in the small intestine when rumen-fermentable energy is limiting in the

rumen based on INRA feeding system (INRA, 2007)

^{642 6} PDIN: True protein absorbable in the small intestine when rumen-fermentable nitrogen is limiting in

the rumen based on INRA feeding system (INRA, 2007)

645 Table 2 Description of the split-plot experimental design (LowN and HighN diets correspond to

12% and 18% of crude protein respectively)

	'	,	Period		
Room		1	2	3	4
Α	Cows	Non-fistulated	Non-fistulated	Fistulated	Fistulated
	Diet	HighN	LowN	HighN	LowN
В	Cows	Fistulated	Fistulated	Non-fistulated	Non-fistulated
	Diet	LowN	HighN	LowN	HighN

Table 3 Influence of diet degradable N concentration on components of animal production, milk composition and nitrogen balance in late-lactating cows over the last 10 days of experimentation (least square mean, probability and root mean squared error of the model; N = 24)

	LowN ¹	HighN ¹	RMSE	<i>P</i> -value
DM intake kg/d	20.6	21.4	0.4	< 0.001
DM digestibility %	69.4	71.9	1.1	< 0.001
Milk yield kg/d	25.6	26.1	8.0	0.13
Milk fat g/kg	42.1	43.3	2.0	0.16
Milk fat yield g/d	1075	1115	38	0.02
Milk protein g/kg	31.4	31.7	0.6	0.25
Milk protein yield g/d	801	823	30	0.10
Water intake L/d	66.5	72.1	2.9	< 0.001
Urine L/d	10.3	16.8	1.6	< 0.001
Food conversion ratio	1.24	1.22	0.03	0.05
(Milk yield / DM Intake)	1.24	1.22	0.03	0.03
N balance g/d	66	94	16	< 0.001
N intake g/d	415	626	10	< 0.001
N milk g/d	129	136	4	< 0.001
N faeces g/d	159	163	10	0.36
N urine g/d	65	243	19	< 0.001
N use efficiency %	31	22	1	< 0.001
Urinary urea g/L	4.6	22.9	1.1	< 0.001
Blood urea g/L ²	0.10	0.45	0.20	< 0.001

⁶⁵² LowN and HighN diets correspond to 12% and 18% of crude protein respectively.

648

649

650

651

^{653 &}lt;sup>2</sup> Mean of two samples (before and 3 h after the morning ration distribution)

657

658

Table 4 Influence of diet degradable N concentration on ammonia and greenhouse gas emissions in late-lactating cows housed in tied stall barns (least square mean, probability and root mean squared error of the model; N = 16)

	LowN ¹	HighN ¹	RMSE	<i>P</i> -value
NH ₃ ² g/h/cow	1.03	1.25	0.15	0.01
N₂O g/d/cow	0.76	0.76	0.18	0.92
CH₄ g/d/cow	586	567	74	0.65
CH₄ g/kg DM intake	26.6	28.2	3.4	0.41

¹ LowN and HighN diets correspond to 12% and 18% of crude protein respectively. 659

² Ammonia emission measurements were only considered during periods of the day when 660 661 interferences were expected to be negligible (i.e. between 12:00am and 7:00am and between 2:00pm 662 and 5:00pm), and were averaged at an hourly scale.

Figure captions 663

Figure 1 Ruminal liquor NH₄+-N concentration (mg/l) in late-lactating cows fed two 664 diets (open circles for the LowN diet at 12% CP; full squares for the HighN diet at 665 18% CP); statistical differences between diets are indicated: ***P < 0.001 666 667