# A criterion for p-henselianity in characteristic p 

Zoé Chatzidakis, Milan Perera

## To cite this version:

Zoé Chatzidakis, Milan Perera. A criterion for p-henselianity in characteristic p. Bulletin of the Belgian Mathematical Society - Simon Stevin, 2017, 24 (1), pp.123-126. 10.36045/bbms/1489888817. hal-01199511

## HAL Id: hal-01199511

## https://hal.science/hal-01199511

Submitted on 15 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# A criterion for $p$-henselianity in characteristic $p$ 

Zoé Chatzidakis*and Milan Perera


#### Abstract

Let $p$ be a prime. In this paper we give a proof of the following result: A valued field ( $K, v$ ) of characteristic $p>0$ is $p$-henselian if and only if every element of strictly positive valuation if of the form $x^{p}-x$ for some $x \in K$.


## Preliminaries

Throughout this paper, all fields have characteristic $p>0$. First we recall some definitions and notations. Let $\mathcal{O}_{v}:=\{x \in K \mid v(x) \geq 0\}$ be the valuation ring associated with $v$. It is a local ring, and $\mathcal{M}_{v}:=\{x \in K \mid$ $v(x)>0\}$ is its maximal ideal. Let $\bar{K}_{v}:=\mathcal{O}_{v} / \mathcal{M}_{v}=\left\{\bar{a}=a+\mathcal{M}_{v} \mid a \in \mathcal{O}_{v}\right\}$ be the residue field (or $\bar{K}$ when there is no danger of confusion). We let $K(p)$ denote the compositum of all finite Galois extensions of $K$ of degree a power of $p$.

A valued field $(K, v)$ is $p$-henselian if $v$ extends uniquely to $K(p)$. Equivalently (see [1], Thm 4.3.2), if it satisfies a restricted version of Hensel's lemma (which we call $p$-Hensel lemma) : $K$ is $p$-henselian if and only if every polynomial $P \in \mathcal{O}_{v}[X]$ which splits in $K(p)$ and with residual image in $\bar{K}_{v}[X]$ having a simple root $\alpha$ in $\bar{K}_{v}$, has a root $a$ in $\mathcal{O}_{v}$ with $\bar{a}=\alpha$. Furthermore, another result (see [1], Thm 4.2.2) shows that $(K, v)$ is $p$-henselian if and only if $v$ extends uniquely to every Galois extension of degree $p$.

The aim of this note is to give a complete proof of the following result:
Theorem. Let $(K, v)$ be a valued field. $(K, v)$ is $p$-henselian if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{v} \subseteq\left\{x^{p}-x \mid x \in K\right\}$.

[^0]This result was announced in [3], Proposition 1.4, however the proof was not complete. The notion of $p$-henselianity is important in the study of fields with definable valuations, and in particular it is important to show that the property of $p$-henselianity is an elementary property of valued fields.

The proof we give is elementary, and uses extensively pseudo-convergent sequences and their properties. Recall that a sequence $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa} \in K^{\kappa}$ indexed by an ordinal $\kappa$ is said to be pseudo-convergent if for all $\alpha<\beta<\gamma<\kappa$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(a_{\beta}-a_{\alpha}\right)<v\left(a_{\gamma}-a_{\beta}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A pseudo-convergent sequence $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is called algebraic if there is a polynomial $P$ in $K[X]$ such that $v\left(P\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)<v\left(P\left(a_{\beta}\right)\right)$ ultimately for all $\alpha<\beta$, i.e:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exists \lambda<\kappa \forall \alpha, \beta<\kappa \quad(\lambda<\alpha<\beta) \Rightarrow v\left(P\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)<v\left(P\left(a_{\beta}\right)\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, it is called transcendental.
We assume familiarity with the properties of pseudo-convergent sequences, see [2] for more details, and in particular Theorem 3, Lemmas 4 and 8.

## Proof of the theorem

First, we prove a lemma in order to restrict our study to immediate extensions:

Observation. Let $(K, v)$ be a valued field and $(L, w)$ be a Galois extension of degree a prime $\ell$. Then, if $(L, w) /(K, v)$ is residual or ramified, $w$ is the unique extension of $v$ to $L$.

Proof. The fundamental equality of valuation theory (see [1], Thm 3.3.3) tells us that if $L$ is a Galois extension of $K$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
[L: K]=e(L / K) f(L / K) g d \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e(L / K)$ is the ramification index, $f(L / K)$ the residue index, $g$ the number of extensions of $v$ to $L$ and $d$, the defect, is a power of $p$.

Thus, as $\ell$ is a prime, if $e(L / K) f(L / K)>1$, then necessarily $g=d=1$, and in particular, $v$ has a unique extension to $L$.

Now, let us prove the result announced in the preliminaries:
Theorem. Let $\left(K, \mathcal{O}_{v}\right)$ be a valued field of characteristic $p$. Then, $\left(K, \mathcal{O}_{v}\right)$ is $p$-henselian if and only if $\mathcal{M}_{v} \subseteq K^{(p)}:=\left\{x^{p}-x \mid x \in K\right\}$.

Proof. The forward direction is an immediate application of the $p$-Hensel Lemma.
Conversely, assume that $\mathcal{M}_{v} \subseteq K^{(p)}:=\left\{x^{p}-x \mid x \in K\right\}$. Every Galois extension of $K$ of degree $p$ is an Artin-Schreier extension, i.e is generated over $K$ by a root $a$ of a polynomial $X^{p}-X-b=0$, with $b \in K \backslash K^{(p)}$. The previous observation gives us the result when $K(a) / K$ is not immediate. Let $L$ be an immediate Galois extension of degree $p$ and $\tilde{v}$ an extension of $v$ to $L$ (hence with the same value group $\Gamma$ and residue field $\bar{L}=\bar{K}$ as $K$ ). We can write $L=K(a)$ where $a^{p}-a=b \in K \backslash K^{(p)}$.

Step 1: (Claim) The set $C=\left\{v\left(x^{p}-x-b\right) \mid x \in K\right\}=v\left(K^{(p)}-b\right)$ is contained in $\Gamma_{<0}$ and has no last element.

First observe that $C \subseteq \Gamma_{\leq 0}$ : if $v\left(c^{p}-c-b\right)>0$, then the equation $X^{p}-X+\left(c^{p}-c-b\right)$ has a root in $K$, so that $(a-c) \in K$ : contradiction. Let $\gamma \in \Gamma, d \in K$ such that $v\left(d^{p}-d-b\right)=\gamma$. As $L / K$ is immediate there is $c \in K$ such that $\tilde{v}(a-(d+c))>\tilde{v}(a-d)$. If $\tilde{v}(a-d)=0$ then $\tilde{v}(a-(d+c))>0$ and $\left((d+c)^{p}-(d+c)-b\right)=(d+c-a)^{p}-(d+c-a)$ in $\mathcal{M}_{v}$, which as above give a contradiction. Hence $\tilde{v}(a-d)<0$, and from $d^{p}-d-b=(d-a)^{p}-(d-a)$, we deduce that $\gamma=p \tilde{v}(a-d)<0$, and $v\left((d+c)^{p}-(d+c)-b\right)=p(\tilde{v}(a-(d+c)))>\gamma$. This shows the claim.
Step 2: We extract a strictly well-ordered increasing and cofinal sequence from $C$. If we write $P(X):=X^{p}-X-b$, we get a sequence $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ in $K$ such that the sequence $\left\{v\left(P\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right)\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is stricly increasing and cofinal in $C$. Thus, the sequence $\left\{P\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is pseudo-convergent (with 0 one of its limits). As $v\left(P\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)<0$, we have $v\left(a_{\beta}-a_{\alpha}\right)=\frac{1}{p} v\left(P\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)=\gamma_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha<\beta<\kappa$. Thus, the sequence $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is also pseudo-convergent. Furthermore, $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ has no limit in $K$ : if $l \in K$ is a limit of $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ then $P(l)$ is a limit of $\left\{P\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$. As $\left\{v\left(P\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right)\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is cofinal in $C, v(P(l))$ would be a maximal element of $C$ : contradiction.

Step 3: (Claim) Let $P_{0}(X) \in K[X]$, and assume that $v\left(P_{0}\left(a_{\alpha}\right)\right)$ is strictly increasing ultimately. Then $\operatorname{deg}\left(P_{0}(X)\right) \geq p$.
We take such a $P_{0}$ of minimal degree, assume this degree is $n<p$, and will
derive a contradiction. One consequence of Lemma 8 in [2] is that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(P_{0}\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right)=\delta^{\prime}+\gamma_{\rho} \text { ultimately for } \rho<\kappa \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta^{\prime}$ is the ultimate valuation of $P_{0}^{\prime}\left(a_{\rho}\right)$ and $\gamma_{\rho}$ is the valuation of $\left(a_{\sigma}-a_{\rho}\right)$ for $\rho<\sigma<\kappa$ (which does not depend on $\sigma$ as $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is pseudo-convergent). We write $P(X)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} h_{i}(X) P_{0}(X)^{i}$ with $\operatorname{deg}\left(h_{i}\right)<n, \forall i \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$. Then, $\left\{h_{i}\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is ultimately of constant valuation, and we let $\lambda_{i}$ be this valuation. As $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ has no limit in $K$, it is easy to see that $n>1$, so that $m<p$. By Lemma 4 in [2], there is an integer $i_{0} \in\{1, \ldots, m\}$ such that we have ultimately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall i \neq i_{0} \quad\left(\lambda_{i}+i \delta^{\prime}\right)+i \gamma_{\rho}>\left(\lambda_{i_{0}}+i_{0} \delta^{\prime}\right)+i_{0} \gamma_{\rho} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, ultimately:

$$
\begin{equation*}
p \gamma_{\rho}=v\left(P\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right)=v\left(\sum_{i=0}^{m} h_{i}\left(a_{\rho}\right) P_{0}\left(a_{\rho}\right)^{i}\right)=\lambda_{i_{0}}+i_{0}\left(\delta^{\prime}+\gamma_{\rho}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have ultimately $\left(p-i_{0}\right) \gamma_{\rho}=\lambda_{i_{0}}+i_{0} \delta^{\prime}$. As $p>m \geq i_{0}$, the left hand side of the equation increases strictly monotonically with $\rho$. But the right hand side is constant: it has no dependence in $\rho!$ We have a contradiction, thus $n=p$.

Step 4: Clearly, $\left\{a_{\rho}\right\}_{\rho<\kappa}$ is of algebraic type. By Theorem 3 in [2], if $a_{\infty}$ is a root of $P$, we get an immediate extension $\left(L^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right)=\left(K\left(a_{\infty}\right), v^{\prime}\right)$. Let $a_{\infty}=a$, we have ( $\left.K(a), v^{\prime}\right)$ isomorphic to ( $\left.K(a), \tilde{v}\right)$. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall Q \in K_{p}[X] \quad \tilde{v}(Q(a))=v^{\prime}(Q(a))=v\left(Q\left(a_{\rho}\right)\right) \text { ultimately } \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows the uniqueness of $\tilde{v}$ and concludes the proof of the theorem.
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