



HAL
open science

Adaptation strategies of breeders facing global change in harsh conditions

Luming Ding, Shikui Dong, Ibrahim Daoud, Enzo Fasioli, Ruijun Long, Véronique Alary, Fernando Coronato, Mona Abdelzaher, Adel Aboul Naga, Alejandro Schweitzer, et al.

► To cite this version:

Luming Ding, Shikui Dong, Ibrahim Daoud, Enzo Fasioli, Ruijun Long, et al.. Adaptation strategies of breeders facing global change in harsh conditions. 22. International Grasslands Congress, Charles Sturt University. AUS., Sep 2013, Sydney, Australia. pp.2024. hal-01198210

HAL Id: hal-01198210

<https://hal.science/hal-01198210>

Submitted on 3 Jun 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Proceedings
22nd International Grassland Congress
15-19 September 2013

***REVITALISING GRASSLANDS TO SUSTAIN
OUR COMMUNITIES***

Editors

David L Michalk

Geoffrey D Millar

Warwick B Badgery

Kim M Broadfoot

Cataloguing in publication

Revitalising Grasslands to Sustain our Communities:
Proceedings 22nd International Grassland Congress /
Chief Editor David L Michalk on behalf of the 22nd
International Grassland Congress,
Organising Committee

Print ISBN: 978-1-74256-543-9 (3 volumes)

Web ISBN: 978-1-74256-542-2

First published 2013

All rights reserved.

Nothing in this publication may be reproduced, stored in a computerised system or published in any form or in any manner, including electronic, mechanical, reprographic or photographic, without prior written permission from:

The International Grassland Congress Continuing Committee <http://www.internationalgrasslands.org>

The individual contributions in this publication and any liabilities arising from them remain the responsibility of the authors

The publisher is not responsible for possible damages that could be a result of content derived from this publication

**Publisher New South Wales Department of Primary
Industry, Kite St., Orange New South Wales,
Australia**

**Printed by PBA Printers in conjunction
with CSIRO Publishing**

Layout design: Helen Gosper

22nd International Grassland Congress, Organising Committee

President

Professor David Kemp, Charles Sturt University, Orange, New South Wales, Australia

Coordinator, Scientific Program and Chief Editor

Dr David Michalk, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Orange, New South Wales, Australia

Coordinator, Sponsorship

Dr Bob Clements, former Chair of the IGC Continuing Committee, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

Coordinator, Satellite Meetings and Tours

Emeritus Professor Ted Wolfe, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia

Executive Officer

Dr Warwick Badgery, NSW Department of Primary Industries, Orange, New South Wales, Australia

Treasurer

Dr Karl Behrendt, Charles Sturt University, Orange, New South Wales, Australia

Committee Members

Dr Hugh Dove, CSIRO Division of Plant Industries, Canberra, Australian Capital Territory, Australia

Dr Alison Southwell, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia

Dr Michael Friend, Charles Sturt University Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia

Dr Rex Stanton, Charles Sturt University, Wagga Wagga, New South Wales, Australia

International Fundraising

Dr James O'Rourke, Chadron, NE, USA

Many colleagues were called upon to aid in various ways, we thank them all.

Sponsors and Supporters

Platinum

New South Wales Department of Primary Industries
Australian Centre International Agricultural Research (ACIAR)
Howard Memorial Trust

Gold

Commonwealth Scientific & Industrial Research organisation
Future Farm Industries Cooperative Research Centre
Crawford Fund

Silver

Australian Wool International (AWI)
Meat & Livestock Australia (MLA)
Charles Sturt University
PGG Wrightsons Seeds
US Bureau of Land Management
Dairy Australia
AusAID

Bronze

Global Development Group
Tropical Grassland Society
Noble Foundation
NZ Grassland Association
University of Sydney, Faculty of Agriculture & Environment

Supporters

University of Western Australia /Centre Legumes In Mediterranean Agriculture
University of Sydney, Dairy Research Foundation
Council of Australasian Weed Societies (CAWS)
Stapledon Memorial Trust
Harry Stobbs Memorial Fund
Xstrata Coal
AgResearch New Zealand
Philanthropists

Climate-smart <i>Brachiaria</i> grasses for improving livestock production in East Africa <i>Appolinaire Djikeng, Idupulapati M Rao, Donald Njarui, Mupenzi Mutimura, John Caradus, Sita R Ghimire, Linda Johnson, Juan A Cardoso, Monday Ahonsi, Segenet Kelemu</i>	1333
Identifying target traits for forage grass breeding under a changing climate in Norway using the BASGRA model <i>Mats Höglind, Tomas Persson and Marcel van Oijen</i>	1335
Fermentation parameters of kikuyu grass (<i>Pennisetum clandestinum</i>) by <i>in vitro</i> gas production technique (IVGPT) <i>A Marín, P Giraldo and L A Giraldo</i>	1337
Crop production simulation and analysis of climate scenarios based on the APSIM model for the long term run of the western Loess Plateau <i>Xuan Yang and Yuying Shen</i>	1339
Photosynthetic and growth response of <i>Triodia pungens</i> to elevated CO ₂ concentration <i>Lisa Xian, Susanne Schmidt, Fernanda Dreccer and Paul Memmott</i>	1341
Impacts on and adaptation of livestock production systems to climate change	
Impacts of climate change on livestock systems: What we know and what we don't know <i>M Howden, S Schroeter, S Crimp and C Stokes</i>	1343
Adaptation to climate change in mixed crop-livestock farming systems in developing countries <i>Philip K Thornton and Mario Herrero</i>	1355
Adapting livestock production systems to climate change in Nepal: Challenges and opportunities <i>DD Poudel, RP Thakur, T Duex, G Blakewood, A Singh, A DeRamus, B Chapagain, K Acharya, S Adhikari, RB Gramling and N Sharma</i>	1362
Adaptation strategies of breeders facing global change in harsh conditions <i>Luming Ding, Shikui Dong, Ibrahim Daoud, Enzo Fasioli, Ruijun Long, Véronique Alary, Fernando Coronato, Mona Abdelzaher, Adel Aboul Naga, Alejandro Schweitzer, Thierry Bonaudo and Jean François Tourrand</i>	1368
Planet at risk from grazing animals? <i>Albrecht F Glatzle</i>	1370
Making decisions to identify forage shrub species for versatile grazing systems <i>Jason Emms, Philip E Vercoe, Steve Hughes, Peter Jessop, Hayley C Norman, Tanya Kilminster, Andrew Kotze, Zoey Durmic, Nathan Phillips and Dean K Revell</i>	1372
Effects of fibrolytic enzymes on <i>in vitro</i> ruminal fermentation and methane production from <i>Panicum maximum</i> (Wild Guinea grass- Ecotype A) and rice straw (<i>Oryza sativa</i>) <i>Thakshala Seresinhe, R Mayes and NE Odongo</i>	1374
<i>In vitro</i> screening of tropical forages for low methane and high ammonia generating potential in the rumen <i>Thakshala Seresinhe and A N F Perera</i>	1376
The effectiveness of greenhouse gas abatement strategies for the dairy industry in south-western Victoria, Australia <i>Mao-Heng Kuo and Brendan R Cullen</i>	1378
Radiant temperature of cattle according to rangeland environment and breed <i>Luciano A González, Anne-Sophie Clerc and Christopher J O'Neill</i>	1380
Methane emissions by lactating ewes grazing Italian ryegrass <i>Jean Victor Savian, Armino Barth Neto, Radael Marinho Três Schons, Leonardo Barreto Maass, Diego Bitencourt de David, Teresa Cristina Moraes Genro, Cimélio Bayer and Paulo César de Faccio Carvalho</i>	1382
Effect of time of access to pasture and the provision of a total mixed ration on the performance and methane production of high yielding dairy cows <i>Joyce Mufungwe, S Mark Rutter, Robert G. Wilkinson, Jim A Huntington and Liam A Sinclair</i>	1384
Effects of different feed additives on methane emissions from beef cattle <i>P Giraldo, A Marín and L A Giraldo</i>	1386
Assessment of methane production by goats under intermittent grazing <i>Naomi C Meister, Nailson L S Lemos, Fernando O Alari, Victor C Silva, William Koury Filho and Ana C Ruggieri</i>	1388
Management of nitrogen and other nutrients in production systems	
Soil quality, biology and plant-soil interactions	
Benefits and uses of nematodes in grassland soils <i>Michael J Wilson</i>	1390

Plenary papers: Revitalising grasslands to sustain our communities



Adaptation strategies of breeders facing global change in harsh conditions

Luming Ding^A, Shikui Dong^B, Ibrahim Daoud^C, Enzo Fasioli^D, Ruijun Long^A, Véronique Alary^E, Fernando Coronato^F, Mona Abdelzaher^G, Adel Aboul Naga^G, Alejandro Schweitzer^D, Thierry Bonaudo^H and Jean François Tourrand^I

^A ICTPEM, Lanzhou University, No. 222, Tianshui South Road, Lanzhou, 73000, Gansu Province, People's Republic of China

^B School Environmental Sciences., Beijing Normal University, No 19, Xingjiekou Waidajie, Haidian Dist., Beijing, People's Republic of China

^C Matruh Governorate, Marsa Matruh, Egypt

^D UNPA, Lisandro de la Torre, 860, Río Gallegos, Santa Cruz, Argentina

^E Cirad/Icarda/ARC-APRI, 15 G. Radwan Ibn El-Tabib Street, Giza, 11th Floor, PO Box 2416, Cairo, Egypt

^F CENPAT, Bv. Almirante Brown 2915, Puerto Madryn, Chubut, Argentina

^G Agricultural Research Center – APRI, Dokki, Cairo, Egypt

^H AgroParisTech, 12 rue Claude Bernard, 75005, Paris, France

^I Cirad, Av. Agropolis, Bat. 4, Bur. 127, Montpellier, 34398, France

Contact email: dsk@irs.bnu.edu.cn

Keywords: Breeder society, rangeland management, adapted systems, global change, livestock public policies.

Introduction

Global changes impact breed societies through complex and diverse factors such as global economics, climate change, management and degradation of rangelands, societal demands especially from youth, and new technologies including information and communication technologies. The main objective of this research developed by Livestock farming and local development network (LiFLod, <http://liflod.org/>) network is to better understand the mental models of local stakeholders for global change and adaptation strategies. Our hypothesis is that in harsh conditions breeders with diverse objectives adopt more or less similar strategies when faced with global change.

Methods

The three case studies

The research was developed in three contrasting pastoral contexts with harsh condition:

- The Qilian mountains located at the North-East of Tibetan Plateau, along the Silk Road in Central China. The winter and the beginning of spring are very cold, yet the breeds of yak, sheep and goats are well adapted. The feeding systems are based on the transhumance into the highlands during the summer. Reducing the rangeland degradation and, at the same time, increasing the productivity of the herds are the two main challenges for all the ethnicities with livelihoods based on livestock activity.
- The Bedouin area along the Mediterranean coast in North-Western Egypt, between the Nile delta and the border of Libya. Between 1995 and 2011, sheep and goats breeders faced a very strong drought with an average annual rainfall less than 140mm. Due to the

very weak productivity, and serious rangeland degradation, feeding systems are mainly based on agricultural by-products and concentrates.

- Southern Patagonia in Argentina. It is a cold and dry area, with an annual rainfall of 120-150 mm, slightly increasing towards the Western and Southern part, near the Andean cordillera. The main challenge is the low productivity of the rangeland which can not feed more than one or two sheep per 10 hectares.

Data collection and analysis

In each of the three contexts, a sample of 20-25 local stakeholders including farmers, traders, agents of development agencies, association leaders, representatives, local policymakers, and some not associated with the livestock sector were identified. The choice of the stakeholders was made after a large literature review by the local team in partnership with the local institutions interacting with the livestock sector.

Each stakeholder was interviewed by a research team of three-to-five scientists from complementary disciplines, especially human sciences and farming sciences. According to the discussion and mental models of each stakeholder, the research team had to answer the four following questions: (1) Long and short-term history of local livestock sector; (2) Main factors of change both on family and community scales; (3) Main challenges for livestock and agricultural sectors; and (4) Different scenarios for the future and main determinant factors. The report was written by the team immediately after the interviews in order to capture the stakeholder discussions, and share and integrate them into the conclusions in the report. The set of reports is the database for each context. The reports were analyzed individually, by stakeholder group, by context, and by combining these 3 databases.

Results

The first result is the perception of breeders about global change. It is a complex set of different factors. Some have negative impacts, whereas others have good effects on the livestock sector. For example, the high demand of animal products increases the price and offers better access to the market. It is easy to sell at a good price. On the other hand, the drought and instability of the prices, and strict norms in food safety and production processes have negative impacts on the livestock sector. Some factors, as the new social demands of local people, especially the young, have at the same time both positive and negative impacts because they require changes in farming and marketing systems, while helping to improve life conditions.

The second result is the common adaptation strategy to improve the efficiency of use of local resources. Facing new constraints and opportunities, breeders aim to maintain or increase herd productivity, adding in a first phase, appropriated practices, especially in feeding and water management. For example, to produce irrigated forage or use by-products for feed to compensate for lack of forage due to droughts or to take advantage of new markets. If insufficient or unsustainable, they are able to change their farming systems by adopting more sustainable alternatives in the short or long-term according to need. One example is the migration of family members to off-farm jobs for additional income to the family. Another example is the development of irrigated agriculture for additional income, but also to feed the family or to profit from new market cash crops. In this way, according to local and global markets, some farmers invest in intensive livestock farming systems including fattening, feedlots, dairy production or intensive poultry production.

The third result is the new social demand which strongly impacts the livelihoods and life conditions in rangelands. In this context, young people and their parents wish to be better integrated into urban society, at least to achieve to similar pay and similar life conditions as in urban areas. This means better access to education, health services, communications and leisure, pensions for old farmers, and so on.

The fourth result is the major role of public policies to incite and help the livestock sector to find sustainable alternatives. These include: water infrastructure and feeding facilities in Egypt; subsidies to build equipment and intensify livestock farming systems in China; loan facilities to buy sheep and other inputs in Argentina; and social services, road infrastructure and a tendency towards environmental protection and land sparing in the three contexts.

Discussion and Conclusions

There are similarities between the mental models of breeders in the three contexts about the complexity of global change and the main factors of change. They focus on the multiple roles of livestock in reducing vulnerability, (Chambers 2006; Adger 2006), mainly in harsh contexts, (Ashley 1999), and their major roles in diversification and intensification processes (Duteurtre and Faye 2009). The alternative systems are based on better efficiency of resources available to family and community, especially scale to integrate feed production, purchase of by-products, appropriation of buildings and equipment, and more efficient social services, as mentioned Levin (1999, cited by Cumming *et al.* 2006). Among the drivers of future scenarios and the interactions between society and ecosystems, breeders including young people show a strong attachment to the land and pastoral culture. Social links, especially at the collective level, are particularly important in the governance of their resilience, consistent with previous findings (Folke *et al.* 2005; Janssen and Ostrom 2006). Consistent with Kammili *et al.* (2011), local and national public policies were found to be particularly relevant in this context.

References

- Adger WN (2006) Vulnerability. *Global Environmental Change* **16** (3), 268–281.
- Ashley S, Holden S, Bazeley P (1999). Livestock in Poverty-Focused Development. Crewkerne: Livestock in Development. Available from <http://www.smallsto-ck.info/referenace/LID/livestock.pdf>
- Cumming GS, Cumming DHM, Redman CL (2006) Scale mismatches in social-ecological systems: causes, consequences, and solutions. *Ecology and Society* **11**(1), 14.
- Duteurtre G, Faye B, (2009) L'élevage, richesse des pauvres : Stratégies d'éleveurs et organisations sociales face aux risques dans les pays du Sud. Editions Quae, Versailles, France, 286p.
- Folke C, Hahn T, Olsson P, Norberg J, (2005) Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources* **30**, 441–73
- Janssen MA, Ostrom E (2006) Editorial: Resilience, vulnerability, and adaptation: a cross-cutting theme of the International Human Dimensions Prog. on Global Environmental Change. *Global Environmental Change* **16**, 237-9.
- Kammili T, Hubert B, Tourrand JF (2011) A paradigm shift in livestock management: from resource sufficiency to functional integrity. 28th and 29th June 2008, Hohhot, China, Cardère Editeur, Lirac, France, 272p.