

De novo Assembly of the Chinese Bamboo-Partridge Genome and Comparison with Chicken

Gabriel Rognon, Diane Esquerre, Sylvain Foissac, Alain Vignal, Jean-Luc Coville, Bertrand Bed'Hom, Michèle Tixier-Boichard, Thomas Faraut

► To cite this version:

Gabriel Rognon, Diane Esquerre, Sylvain Foissac, Alain Vignal, Jean-Luc Coville, et al.. De novo Assembly of the Chinese Bamboo-Partridge Genome and Comparison with Chicken. Plant and Animal Genome (PAG), Jan 2015, San Diego, United States. hal-01194054

HAL Id: hal-01194054 https://hal.science/hal-01194054

Submitted on 5 Jun2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

De novo assembly of the Chinese bamboo-partridge genome and comparison with chicken

Gabriel Rognon¹, Diane Esquerré¹, Sylvain Foissac¹, Alain Vignal¹, Jean-Luc Coville², Bertrand Bed'hom², Michèle Tixier-Boichard² and Thomas Faraut¹

¹GenPhySE, INRA Toulouse, ²Gabi, INRA Jouy-en-Josas, France. Thomas.Faraut@toulouse.inra.fr

Context

History of chicken domestication at the genome thoracica), sister taxon of four Gallus species. level is not completely resolved, in particular The Bambusicola genome will is used, in this the possible contribution of Gallus species dif- project, as an outgroup for the genetic diversity ferent from Red junglefowl Gallus gallus. In the analysis performed on 4 wild gallus species. In context of a larger project (Domestichick), which order to pinpoint potential genome rearrangeaddresses the question of chicken domestication ments between the Bambusicola genome and the using molecular approaches, we undertook the Gallus gallus reference genome sequences, a de sequencing and de novo assembly of the Chi- *novo* genome assembly was performed. nese bamboo-partridge genome (Bambusicola

Bambusicola thoracicus





Project Overview

We used three different libraries (paired-end, 3 based on sequence similarities after mapping uskb and 6 kb mate-pairs), sequenced each at 50X ing the ngm mapper [1]. The corresponding coverage. Taking advantage of the close phy-sequences were then *de novo* assembled within logenetic relationship between Bambusicola and each chromosome. Following teh recomandathe chicken, confirmed by the ability to align tion made by the assemblathon competitions we more than 95% of the reads against the chicken tested 5 different assembly softwares : masurca genome with 95% identity, the reads were first [2], minia [3], platanus [4], soap [5] and velvet partitioned according to chicken chromosomes [6].

Methods

k-mer size selection

The selection of the best *k* value for the k-mers is an important feature of any de Bruijn graph-based assembly approach. The following graphs shows the influence of the *k* value on the distribution of *k*-mers. The last figure on the right shows the decomposition of the *k*-mer dstribution into homozygote *k*-mers (blue line), homozygote *k*-mers (green line) and erroneus *k*-mers (left pic). The value of k = 65 was selected for every chromosome.



Phylogeny of the genus Gallus and of Bambusicola.

Data

k= 65

Each library was sequenced at 47X sequence coverage

Pair-end	476,967,832 seq
Mate-Pair 3kb	490,807,312 seq
Mate-Pair 6kb	453,480,038 seq



 $k = 5^{\circ}$

Assembly software comparison

k = 21

Assembly software were first compared using only pair-end sequences for a single chromosome. With our data set, the masurca software showed a remarkable improvment (10-fold increase of the contig N50 value). The resulting assemblies were analysed using the REAPR pipeline [7]. Results are shown for chromosome10 (gga10).

	masurca(pe)	masurca(se)	minia	platanus	soap	velvet	
contigs (> 500 bp)	1 779	10 831	9 330	8 959	11 189	10 542	
total size (Mb)	20,06	19,66	17,02	17,47	15,67	14,44	
largest contig (kb)	140,8	59,6	35,14	37,56	35,14	38,68	
mean (kb)	8363	1518	766	437	460	413	
N50 (kb)	32,03	2,46	2,21	2,62	1,66	1,58	
L50	189	2022	2083	1807	2499	2227	
misassemblies	11	5	6	5	10	3	
(Re)aligned reads							
pe re-aligned (%)	99,13	96,88	96,45	98,83	99,17	99	
pe re-aligned concordant (%)	96,74	82.63	73.47	78.35	64.13	59.48	
mp aligned (%)	98.1	96.4	96.21	96.68	99.04	98.99	
mp aligned concordant (%)	73.23	12.47	3.63	10.93	5.73	6.29	
Alignment with the chicken genome							
non-aligned contigs	1250	6758	5690	5202	6041	5319	
genome fraction (%)	21,2	35,8	36,1	35,2	34,8	33,2	
longest alignment (kb)	115,13	30,38	31,8	27,84	30,37	34	
REAPR diagnostics							
error free bases (%)	80,6	63,9	55,7	52	50	48,11	

Final assembly

The resulting assembly totalizes 920 Mb of sequences assembled into scaffolds with a N50 scaffold length of 2.5 Mb. The resulting scaffolds were aligned to the chicken chromosomes. The extent of conservation between the newly assembled Bambusicola genome and the chicken genome are beginning to be analysed.

References

- Sedlazeck F, Rescheneder P and von Haeseler A (2013) NextGenMap: fast and accurate read mapping in highly polymorphic genomes. Bioinformatics 29:2790-2791.
- Zimin *et al.* (2013) The MaSuRCA genome assembler [2] Bioinformatics

Perspectives

- First comparison with the chicken genome indicate very few chromosomal rearrangements
- The undergoing work aims at analysing the candiate *evolutionnary disrupted* scaffolds in the ligth of the associated assembly diagnostics to be able to distinguish chimeric scaffolds from true evloutionnary disrupted scaffolds.

- Chikhi R and R G (2013) Space-efficient and exact de Bruijn graph representation based on a Bloom filter. *Algorithms for molecular biology* 8:22
- Kajitani et al. (2014) Efficient de novo assembly of [4] highly heterozygous genomes from whole-genome shotgun short reads. Genome research 24:1384-95
- [5] Luo et al. (2012) SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read de novo assembler. *GigaScience* 1:18
- Zerbino D and Birney E (2008) Velvet: algorithms for [6] de novo short read assembly using de Bruijn graphs. *Genome research* 18:821-9
- Hunt *et al.* (2013) REAPR: a universal tool for genome assembly evaluation. Genome biology 14:R47