

Ecosystem scenarios shape fishermen spatial behavior. The case of the Peruvian anchovy fishery in the Northern Humboldt Current system

Rocio de Abril Joo Arakawa, Arnaud Bertrand, Marilu Bouchon, Alexis Chaigneau, Hervé Demarcq, Jorge Tam, Monique Simier, Dimitri Gutierrez, Mariano Gutierrez, Marceliano Segura, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Rocio de Abril Joo Arakawa, Arnaud Bertrand, Marilu Bouchon, Alexis Chaigneau, Hervé Demarcq, et al.. Ecosystem scenarios shape fishermen spatial behavior. The case of the Peruvian anchovy fishery in the Northern Humboldt Current system. Progress in Oceanography, 2014, 128 (11), pp.60 - 73. 10.1016/j.pocean.2014.08.009 . hal-01190688

HAL Id: hal-01190688 https://hal.science/hal-01190688v1

Submitted on 2 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1	
1 2	Title: Ecosystem scenarios shape fishermen spatial behavior. The case of the Peruvian anchovy
2 3 3	fishery in the Northern Humboldt Current system.
$\frac{4}{5}$ 4	
65	Rocio Joo ^{a,b} , Arnaud Bertrand ^a , Marilu Bouchon ^b , Alexis Chaigneau ^c , Hervé Demarcq ^a , Jorge Tam ^b ,
8 6	Monique Simier ^a , Dimitri Gutierrez ^b , Mariano Gutierrez ^d , Marceliano Segura ^b , Ronan Fablet ^e ,
$^{9}_{10}7$	Sophie Bertrand ^a
11 8	^a Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Centre de Recherche Halieutique
$^{12}_{13}$ 9	Méditerranéenne et Tropicale, Avenue Jean Monnet, CS 30171, 34203 Sète Cedex, France.
$^{14}_{15}10$	^b IMARPE, Esquina Gamarra y General Valle S/N Chucuito, Callao, Lima, Perú.
1611	^c Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), UMR LEGOS, CNES/CNRS/IRD/UPS, 18
17 1812	Avenue Edouard Belin, 31400 Toulouse, France.
$^{19}_{20}13$	^d Facultad de Oceanografía y Pesquería, Universidad Nacional Federico Villareal, Calle Roma 350,
2114	Miraflores, Lima, Perú
22 2315	^e Institut Telecom/Telecom Bretagne, UMR 6285 LabSTICC, TOMS (Statistical Signal Processing
$^{24}_{25}16$	and Remote Sensing), Technopole Brest-Iroise – CS 83818, 29238 Brest Cedex 3, France.
²⁶ 17	
2818	E-mails:
²⁹ ₃₀ 19	
³¹ 20	Rocío Joo (Corresponding author)
3321	IMARPE, Esquina Gamarra y General Valle S/N Chucuito, Callao, Lima, Perú.
$^{34}_{35}22$	Tel/Fax: +(511) 441 32 23
3623 37	And Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), Centre de Recherche Halieutique
3824	Méditerranéenne et Tropicale, Avenue Jean Monnet, CS 30171, 34203 Sète Cedex, France.
$^{39}_{40}25$	Tel: +(33) 04 99 57 32 09
⁴¹ 26 42	Fax: +(33) 04 99 57 32 95
4327 44	
4528	E-mail: rocio.joo@gmail.com
46 4729	
$^{48}_{49}30$	Arnaud Bertrand
⁵⁰ 31	E-mail: <u>Arnaud.Bertrand@ird.fr</u>
52 3 2	
53 5433	Marilu Bouchon
⁵⁵ 34	E-mail: mbouchon@imarpe.gob.pe
5735	
58 5936	Alexis Chaigneau
⁶⁰ 37	E-mail: alexis.chaigneau@ird.fr
62	
юз 64	
65	

Hervé Demarcq
E-mail: herve.demarcq@ird.fr
Jorge Tam
E-mail: <u>jtam@imarpe.gob.pe</u>
Monique Simier
E-mail: Monique.Simier@ird.fr
Dimitri Gutierrez
E-mail: dgutierrez@imarpe.pe
Mariano Gutierrez
E-mail: MarianoG@unops.org
Marceliano Segura
E-mail: msegura@imarpe.gob.pe
Ronan Fablet
E-mail: ronan.fablet@telecom-bretagne.eu
Sophie Bertrand
E-mail: <u>Sophie.Bertrand@ird.fr</u>

Abstract

3

A major goal in marine ecology is the understanding of the interactions between the dynamics of $^{4}_{5}66$ the different ecosystem components, from physics to top predators. While fishermen are among 667 the main top predators at sea, almost none of the existing studies on ecology from physics to top 7 868 predators contemplate fishermen as part of the system. The present work focuses on the coastal 9 10⁹69 processes in the Northern Humboldt Current System, which encompasses both an intense climatic 1170 variability and the largest monospecific fishery of the world. From concomitant satellite, acoustic 12 1371 survey and Vessel Monitoring System data (~90000 fishing trips) for a ten-year period (2000-2009), $^{14}_{15}72$ we quantify the associations between the dynamics of the spatial behavior of fishermen, 1673 environmental conditions and anchovy (Engraulis ringens) biomass and spatial distribution. Using 17 1874 multivariate statistical analyses we show that environmental and anchovy conditions do 19 2075 significantly shape fishermen spatial behavior and present evidences that environmental 2176 fluctuations smoothed out along trophic levels. We propose a retrospective analysis of the study 22 2377 period in the light of the ecosystem scenarios evidenced and we finally discuss the potential use of ²⁴₂₅78 fishermen spatial behavior as ecosystem indicator.

Highlights

32

4892 ⁴⁹ 50</sub>93

⁵¹94 52

5395

 $5697 \\ 5797$

5898

⁵⁹ 6099

61 62 00

3383 Relationships between the environment, fish and fishermen were quantified in the Northern

³⁴₃₅84 Humboldt Current System.

3685 Ecosystem scenarios do shape fishing spatial behavior. 37

3886 Environmental fluctuations are smoothed out along trophic levels

³⁹₄₀87 We propose ecosystem scenarios and fishermen response to each of them.

41 42 4389 **Keywords**

 $^{4\,4}_{4\,5}90$ Environmental conditions; fish distribution; coastal processes; anchoveta *Engraulis ringens*;

 $^{4\,6}_{4\,7}91$ oxygen minimum zone; vessel monitoring system; fishers.

1. Introduction

 $54 \\ 5596$ Marine ecosystems are highly structured in space (Margalef, 1979) and pelagic ecosystems, where habitats are made of constantly moving water masses, are also highly dynamic in time (Levin, 1992; Fréon and Misund, 1999). Because those natural systems tend to be out of balance (Pimm, 1991), it is fundamental to consider their spatio-temporal variability to understand the relative contributions of bottom-up and top-down controls in their functioning (Matson and

Hunter, 1992; Gripenberg and Roslin, 2007; Polishchuk *et al.*, 2013). Also, in general, since each organism tends to feed on smaller organisms, the high-frequency environmental variations tend to smooth out along trophic levels (Mann and Lazier, 2006).

∮05 Observation limitations to examine such processes have been largely overcome in the last **ۇ**06 decades thanks to new technologies and ecosystem models. There are now, in several 1007 ecosystems, spatially explicit data on abiotic factors, prey and predator distribution and abundance 1**1**08 12 at high time resolution (Costa, 1993; Decker and O'Dor, 2003; Boyd et al., 2004; Rutz and Hays, 1309 2009; Bograd et al., 2010). Recent works studied the linkages between environmental conditions, $^{14}_{15}10$ prey distribution and predators' behavior in time and space. The predators studied included sea 1€11 17 mammals (Croll et al., 2005; Stevick et al., 2008; Cotté and Guinet, 2011; Hazen et al., 2011; 1\$12 Santora et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2012), seabirds (Embling et al., 2012; Santora et al., 2012; Thompson et al., 2012) and fish (Thompson et al., 2012; Embling et al., 2013).

Those studies identified critical processes and key areas that can be directly useful in conservation, marine spatial planning, or in the management of human activities in marine ecosystems. Nevertheless, while fishing represents world catches of ~89.8 million of tonnes per year (between 2006 and 2011; FAO, 2012) and competes directly with natural predators, none of those studies on spatial ecology from physics to top predators contemplated fishermen as part of the system. Admittedly, fishermen are peculiar top predators because they rely on technology and are driven by a distinct currency than that of natural predators. Nonetheless, in pelagic ecosystems water masses and fish schools are constantly moving (Swartzman *et al.*, 2008; Peraltilla and Bertrand, 2014). Thus, fishermen do face the same uncertainty on prey localization as natural predators do and their spatial behavior reflects their need for solving the same challenge. In that sense, it has been shown that fishermen in the Peruvian anchovy fishery deploy similar spatial foraging strategies to those of other animal predators (Bertrand *et al.*, 2007).

The Northern Humboldt Current System (NHCS) off Peru provides a great opportunity for studying the association between the dynamics of ecosystem components in a bottom-upcontrolled exploited ecosystem (Ayón *et al.*, 2008; Bertrand *et al.*, 2008a). The NHCS is characterized by an intense variability from secular to intra-seasonal timescales. Variations of the Eastern Pacific intertropical convergence zone or the Pacific decadal oscillation can impact the NHCS at inter-decadal and secular scales (Chavez *et al.*, 2003; Gutierrez *et al.*, 2009; Salvatecci *et al.*, 2012). At inter-annual scales, El Niño Southern Oscillation – characterized by relatively warm/cold El Niño / La Niña events – has a strong effect on the eastern south Pacific region (Colas *et al.*, 2008; Takahashi *et al.*, 2011; Dewitte *et al.*, 2012; among others). At seasonal and intraseasonal scales, the NHCS is mostly modulated by wave dynamics of equatorial origin and local wind forcing (Penven *et al.*, 2005; Echevin *et al.*, 2011, 2014; Dewitte *et al.*, 2012; Chaigneau *et*

4

104

139 al., 2013; Pietri et al., 2014). The resulting environmental scenarios directly determine the extent of 140the tridimensional anchovy habitat (Bertrand et al., 2004a, 2011), which in turn conditions fish **1**41 availability for the main predators in this system, the fishermen (Bertrand et al., 2008a). Besides, **1**42 the NHCS produces more fish per unit area than any other region in the world oceans and sustains **§**43 the world's largest monospecific fishery (Peruvian anchovy or anchoveta, Engraulis ringens). To **§**44 cope with the intense climatic variability, the management of the anchovy fishery is adaptive, i.e. 1⁹45 catch limits are re-assessed every ~6 months and opening and closure periods decided on the 1146 basis of daily monitoring of the ecosystem, the fish population and the fishery (Chavez et al., 1347 2008). The Peruvian Marine Research Institute (IMARPE) is in charge of this intense monitoring. It $^{14}_{15}48$ comprises satellite information on environmental conditions (e.g. sea surface temperature, 1∳49 17 chlorophyll-a and sea level anomaly, among others) at daily and weekly resolutions. Fish 1\$50 population distribution and biomass are monitored through scientific acoustic surveys (two to three ¹951 2051 2152 22 2353 times a year). The fishing activity is supervised through landing statistics, Vessel Monitoring System (VMS) and on-board observers reports (Bertrand et al., 2008b; Joo et al., 2011). The amount of available data makes the NHCS a highly appealing ecosystem for an integrated 24 2554 approach on ecosystem dynamics. In this highly-variable and data-rich ecosystem, Bertrand et al. 2§55 27 2§56 (2008a) analyzed how large-scale oceanic forcing, via Kelvin waves, affected the coastal ecosystem (from oceanography to fishermen). They pioneered the incorporation of fishermen as a ²9 3057 top predator for studying ecological dynamics and proposed contrasting scenarios of coastal ³158 32 3359 oceanography, anchovy distribution and fishing activity, during the passage of coastally trapped upwelling and downwelling Kelvin waves.

3€61 37 The present work focuses more closely on the coastal processes in the NHCS, and on the 3**8**62 spatial response of fishermen to varying environmental and anchovy conditions. In particular, we ³9 4063 explore and quantify the associations between the dynamics of three ecosystem compartments: 41 41 42 4365 environmental conditions (Environment), anchovy biomass and distribution (Anchovy) and fishermen spatial behavior (Fishermen), for a ten-year period (2000-2009). Data on Environment 44 4<u>5</u>66 and Anchovy were obtained from acoustic surveys performed by IMARPE and satellite 4**9**67 47 observations. Data on Fishermen were based on VMS data (~90000 fishing trips from 2000 to 4\$68 2009), processed with a state-space model so that the nature of the behavior in which fishermen 49 5**6**69 are engaged is known at each position (Joo et al., 2013). The existent evidence of bottom-up 5170 52 forcing in the NHCS (Ayón et al., 2008; Bertrand et al., 2008a) indicates that strong Environment-5371 Anchovy and Anchovy-Fishermen associations should be expected. Since Fishermen have shown 54 5**5**72 similar spatial foraging strategies to those of other predators (Bertrand et al., 2007), we 5973 57 hypothesize that – as for other predators – their spatial behavior responds to prey and 5§74 environmental conditions. Then, significant Environment-Fishermen associations are expected, 59 6075 though not as strong as for Anchovy and Fishermen (direct prey-predator relationship). The studied 61 62 76 decade does not encompass strong ENSO (El Niño Southern Oscillation) events. Season is thus 63

5

64 65

³⁴ 3560

177 expected to be the main scale of variability for environmental conditions. We tested for differences 178between two seasonal modes, summer and spring/winter, within each ecological compartment and **1**79 analyzed trends separately for each seasonal mode. We eventually propose ecosystem scenarios 180 based on the linkages evidenced between the three compartments and discuss the potential use of ∮81 fishermen spatial behavior as ecosystem indicator.

2. Materials and Methods

We focused on several time-periods from 2000 to 2009 such that concomitant data on the environment, fisheries acoustic and VMS were available. In total, 16 time-periods were available, 6 in austral summer and 10 in austral winter/spring (Table 1). Since only one value per time-period was obtained for each Anchovy descriptor, one representative value per timeperiod was computed for each Environment and Fishermen descriptor. This measure allowed for multivariate analyses involving the three compartments.

2.1. Environmental data

²492 ²693 ²⁷ ²894 ²995 ³196 ³² ³397 For each time-period, we produced a description of the environment as detailed in Table 2. We used sea surface temperature (SST) from the AVHRR sensor of NOAA satellites from 2000 to 2009. The chlorophyll-a (CHL) satellite data from 2000 to 2007 were obtained from the SeaWiFS sensor and from 2008 to 2009 from the MODIS sensor. In order to correct the MODIS CHL data ³⁴ 3598 from significant departures from the better calibrated SeaWIFS sensor, the seasonal and spatial 3€99 37 differences were previously computed during the common period between both sensors (2003-3200 2007) and then applied to the 2008-2009 MODIS data. All satellite data, initially at a spatial ³9₄01 ⁴202 ⁴203 resolution of 4 km and a weekly time resolution, were averaged the entire time period and the whole study area (from 3°S to 16°S and from the shore up to the 2500 m isobath) to obtain one representative value per time-period (Table 1). 44 4<u>3</u>04

4<u>2</u>05 47 4<u>2</u>06 To account for the vertical distribution of the oxygen minimum zone (OMZ), a critical parameter of the NHCS (Bertrand et al., 2011), we computed the depth of the oxycline (OXY), i.e. $^{49}_{5207}$ the depth at which the dissolved oxygen equals 2 mL L⁻¹. All measurements were made from 51208 52 Niskin bottles and CTD data sampled during IMARPE scientific surveys. For each time-period, the 5**2**09 mean oxycline depth was computed as a weighted average of monthly values, taking into account 5^{4}_{5210} the number of observations per month. Those observations correspond to a latitudinal range ⁵211 between 7°S and 16°S. A sharp change in oxygen concentration and oxygen minimum zone 5**2**12 intensity occurs at ca. 7°S (Fuenzalida et al., 2009; Bertrand et al., 2010). North of 7°S the intensity 59 6**2**13 of the OMZ is highly variable and depends on the dynamics of Tropical/Equatorial water masses.

6

ģ82

1<mark>9</mark>83

1**1**84 1<u>3</u>85

¹⁴186 1587 1687

63 64 65

214 The range 7°S - 16°S provides a more robust proxy of oxygen condition where most of the 215anchovy is distributed (i.e. not in Tropical/Equatorial water masses).

217 2.2. Anchovy data

7

218

216

Since 1983, IMARPE has been conducting on average two acoustic surveys per year to monitor fish population distribution and biomass. These surveys consist of parallel cross-shore transects of ~100 nm long, with a ~15 nm inter-transect spacing. Simrad (kongsberg Maritime AS, Norway) scientific echosounders working at several frequencies are used to estimate biomasses (see Gutiérrez et al., 2007; Castillo et al., 2009; Simmonds et al., 2009). An extensive midwatertrawl sampling completes the acoustic surveys for species identification. The nautical-areabackscattering coefficient (NASC, in m² mn⁻²), an index of fish biomass (Simmonds and MacLennan, 2005), is recorded at each georeferenced elementary distance sampling unit (EDSU) of 1 nm. From each acoustic survey, we extracted five descriptors of the spatial distribution of anchovy (Table 2): (i) the mean anchovy NASC, used as an index of fish biomass (s_A) ; (ii) an index of local biomass (s_A +), i.e. the mean anchovy NASC for the set of ESDUs with non-zero fish biomass; (iii) an index of spatial occupation (ISO), i.e. the percentage of ESDU with anchovy; and (iv) the center of gravity and the inertia of the distance to the coast of anchovy NASC (DC and I, respectively).

2.3. Fishermen spatial behavior

The pelagic fishery in the NHCS is mono-specific, since anchovy represents 95% of the total catches (Fréon et al., 2008). The anchovy fishing trips, which exclusively aim at fishing anchovy, constitute the world's largest mono-specific fishery (average annual catch of 6.8 millions of tonnes between 2000 and 2011; FAO, 2013). The fishing activity in the NHCS is monitored by IMARPE through landing statistics, VMS and on-board observers' data. Since 2000, VMS records precise geographical positions once an hour for the entire industrial fleet. Only fishing trips from the industrial fleet along the north-center (3°S-16°S) Peruvian coast were considered for the analysis (the southern region was excluded because of its lesser contribution to the catches and a different management policy). We report in Table 1 the number of fishing trips documented for each timeperiod.

Pre-processing of VMS data was performed as described in Bertrand et al. (2005, 2007) and Joo et al. (2011). We identified positions at sea or at port based on their distance to the nearest port and their speed. We considered each series of consecutive positions at sea as a trip. To select fishing trips only, we excluded trips with a minimum speed greater than 3 knots (indicating the impossibility of fishing activity). To exclude the few trips targeting other pelagic species (e.g.

252 jack mackerel), trips from vessels with fishing authorization for multiple species and lasting more 253 than 5 days were not considered (anchovy fishing trips typically last ~24 hours).

Eventually, for each fishing trip, the following global indicators were computed: the duration (Dur), the total distance traveled (Dist) and the maximum distance from the coast (Max.DC). Additionally, we used two metrics, k and sigma, that characterize the geometry of the fishermen 258 10 movements (Bertrand et al., in review). They are derived from the fit of a Generalized Pareto 1259 12 1360 random walk model to the move-length distribution displayed by fishing trip trajectories. This random walk model involves two parameters of interest: a shape parameter k which characterizes $^{14}_{1261}$ the movement diffusion (finite and light tails with normal diffusion patterns for k<0, and k between 0 1**2**62 17 and 0.5, respectively, and heavy tails with super diffusion patterns for k>0.5), and a scale īģ63 parameter sigma (where sigma>0) which relates to the average length of the moves used to 19264 2965 22 2366 search for fish. Super diffusion is characterized by the mixing of rare, long and relatively straight movements with movement bouts composed of short moves and high turning rates (Dubkov et al., 2008). Metrics k and sigma were computed for each vessel from the fishing trips performed within ²4 2<u>3</u>67 each time-period.

2268 27 2269 Another source of information regarding fishing activities comes from the program of on-²9 370 board observers 'Bitácoras de Pesca' deployed by IMARPE. For ~1% of the fishing trips, on-board 3⊉71 32 3**2**72 observers record the location and time of three main activities occurring during the trips: fishing, searching and cruising. In order to infer the activities for the remaining 99% of the fishing trips, a ³⁴ 3273 supervised hidden semi-Markov model was trained and validated using the on-board observer ³274 37 dataset (Joo et al., 2013). This model reached a mean accuracy of 80% in the determination of the 3275 correct activities from the VMS data. From the reconstructed sequence of fishing activities, we ³9 4776 computed four additional features: the proportion of time spent searching (Searching), fishing 4<u>9</u>77 42 4<u>2</u>78 (Fishing) and cruising (Cruising), and the time spent from the beginning of the trip until the first fishing set (Bef.Fishing). $^{4}_{4}\overset{4}{2}_{79}$

For the multivariate analyses, we computed a median per time-period over all fishing vessels for each descriptor.

2.4. Statistical analyses

A synoptic sketch of the statistical analyses between the ecosystem compartments is reported in Figure 1. After pre-processing the data, univariate statistics were computed for each variable to test for differences between seasonal modes and characterize overall tendencies and potentially remarkable time-periods. The Wilcoxon rank sum test (Wilcoxon, 1945) was performed

63 64 65

 $^{4}_{47}_{280}$

4**2**81

49 5282

5283 52 5**2**84

 $5^{4}_{5^{2}285}$

⁵286

5**2**87

59 6**8**88

290 for testing for mean differences between summer and winter/spring periods. This test is one of the 291 best non-parametric alternatives to the t-test when its assumptions are not met (at least 95% of 292 statistical power compared to the t-test when assumptions are met; Siegel, 1956). Trends were 293 computed using least trimmed squares robust regression (Rousseeuw, 1984). It is based on the 294 subset of h observations (out of n) whose least squares fit possesses the smallest sum of squared ź95 residuals. The parameter h is computed as n/2 + (p+1)/2 (Rouseeuw, 1984), where p is the number 296 10996 of explanatory variables (equal to 1 in our case).

1297 12 1398 For multivariate analyses, all variables were standardized (Z-scores). Since we first aimed $^{14}_{15}99$ at characterizing each compartment and then associations between them, we first carried out a 1900 Principal Component Analysis (PCA; Pearson, 1901; Husson et al., 2010) for each compartment at the scale of the time-periods. These PCAs identify the main modes of variability. For quantifying 1**3**02 the linear association between the first principal component of Environment, Anchovy and 2303 22 2304 Fishermen, we computed Kendall's Tau rank correlation coefficients (Kendall, 1938; Siegel, 1956) between each pair of first components. Kendall's Tau was preferred as correlation coefficient since ²4 2305 it allows computing asymptotically distribution-free confidence intervals (Hollander and Wolfe, 2§06 27 2807 1999).

²308 In addition to Kendall's tau, the overall association between each pair of compartments 3309 32 3310 (Environment and Anchovy, Anchovy and Fishermen, and Environment and Fishermen) was analyzed through RV coefficients (Escoufier, 1973). The RV coefficient is a multivariate 34 3311 generalization of the squared Pearson correlation coefficient. RV values range between 0 and 1, where 0 means no association and 1 means perfect association. Confidence intervals were computed using a bootstrap procedure (Claude, 2008) at a 90% confidence level. The significance of the RV coefficient was estimated by means of a permutation test (Heo and Gabriel, 1998).

³§12 37 3813 ³813 4814 ⁴815 42 4316 We also performed a multiple coinertia analysis (Chessel and Hanafi, 1996) between the $^{4}_{4}^{4}_{3}^{17}_{17}$ three PCAs. This is a multivariate method for coupling k tables (k>2) sharing the same rows 4§18 47 4819 (individuals or variables). The tables must be previously analyzed by separate inertia analyses (for instance, PCAs). With the multiple coinertia analysis, we aim at resorting a synoptic understanding 49 5820 of the three compartments by: (i) calculating a synthetic table representing the common structure 5321 52 of the PCAs, and (ii) projecting individuals (i.e. time-periods) and variables from the three 5**3**22 ecosystem compartments in a common space. Tables are weighted equally for the multiple 54 5**3**23 coinertia analysis. Finally, we performed a hierarchical clustering on the scores of the time-periods ⁵§24 in the synthetic table with a view to discriminating groups of time-periods.

Statistical analyses were performed with R software (R Core Team, 2013). Robustbase package (Rousseeuw et al., 2012) was used for least trimmed regression, FactoMineR package

9

17 1801

5825 59 6**3**26

61 62 27

(Husson *et al.*, 2013) was used for PCA and ade4 (Dray *et al.*, 2007) for multiple coinertia
 analyses, and the stats package (R Core Team, 2013) for the hierarchical clustering analysis.

3. Results

3.1. Univariate analyses

Series of environmental conditions are shown in Figure 2a-c. SST and CHL values were greater in summer than in winter/spring periods (p<0.001 for both variables) and exhibited increasing trends (p<0.01 for both variables) on winter/spring periods. The differences in SST and CHL between seasons were larger at the first half of the series than at the second half. For OXY no significant differences between seasons were observed and no trend was observed either. Still, the October - December 2003 time-period (denoted 2003/10-12 hereafter) was characterized by a remarkably deep oxycline.

Fish distribution also varied in time (Fig. 2d-h). The s_A + and s_A indexes differed significantly among seasonal modes (p<0.01) and an increasing trend (p<0.01) was observed for s_A + in winter/spring periods. Two periods presenting the greatest s_A (and s_A +) values lied out of the general trend: 2008/02-04 and 2002/02-03. The former period, 2008/02-04, along with 2003/10-12, were also characterized by the lowest ISO. Finally, 2000/06-07, 2000/10-11 and 2001/10-11 had the greatest DC and I features.

Since hundreds of fishing trips were comprised in each time-period (Table 1), we analyzed the temporal evolution of both the median values and the distribution of each Fishermen variable. In order to facilitate visualization, the values associated with the greatest occurrences are shown in Figure 3. The complete range of values is available in Figure A.1 in Appendix A.

Only Cruising showed significant differences between seasonal modes (p<0.01). Therefore, for Fishermen variables robust trends were computed for the whole series without discriminating between seasonal modes. Negative trends were observed for Dur, Dist, Bef.Fishing, Cruising and sigma (p<0.0001). By contrast, Searching, Fishing and k reported increasing trends (p<0.0001). 2001/10-11, 2003/10-12 and to a lesser extent 2002/10-11 presented the greatest values for Dur, Dist, Max.DC, Bef.Fishing and Cruising, and 2001/10-11 and 2003/10-12 presented the greatest variability for Dur, Dist, Max.DC, Bef.Fishing (cf. distributions in Fig. 3a,b,c,d). Conversely, in 2002/02-03, Dist, Max.DC, Bef.Fishing and Cruising were low and Fishing was high.

3.2 Multivariate Analyses

- 11
- 1

367

368

\$70

Significant correlations between variables and principal components are shown in Table 3. We also present the scores of each time-period for the first principal components (Fig. 4).

369 3.2.1. Environmental conditions

 $\frac{7}{3}71$ In the Environment PCA, the first principal component, which accounted for 75% of the 372 10 variance, was positively correlated with CHL and SST, and negatively with OXY (Table 3 and Fig. 1373 1274 1374 1375 1376 17 1377 1377 1377 1377 2378 2379 22 2380 A.2 in Appendix A). These relations mainly refer to the seasonal cycles. During summer, waters are warmer (greater SST values), and due to a reduced cloud cover, more light penetrates into the water column, what positively impacts the productivity at sea (higher CHL; Echevin et al., 2008). The thermocline and oxycline are also shallower during summer (lower OXY; Gutiérrez et al., 2011). The opposite phenomenon occurs during winter. The reported scores reflected the seasonality: all summer periods had greater scores than winter and spring periods (Fig. 4; p<0.001 for differences in scores between seasonal modes). Nonetheless, the intensity of the seasonality (difference summer – winter/spring) was reduced during the second half of the series. This was 24 2381 accounted for by fitting a linear regression to the scores and computing the sums of squared 2982 27 2883 2984 residuals for the first and second half of the series. Large differences were found (10.9 vs. 1.2, for first and second half, respectively; Table B). The second principal component represented 21% of the variance and was significantly correlated with OXY (Table 3). This component did not reflect a 3385 32 3386 significant seasonality. Although the temporal variability of the oxycline depth is partly related to the seasonal cycle, specific large scale forcing events such as downwelling Kelvin waves can deepen ³⁴ 3387 the oxycline (Gutiérrez et al., 2008). Within the studied period, 2003/10-12 and 2007/02-04 had the 3**9**88 37 lowest scores (deepest oxycline depth) for this component (Fig. 4).

3.2.2. Anchovy distribution

4391 42 4392 The first principal component, accounting for 60% of the variance, was positively correlated 44 4393 with s_A and s_A , and negatively correlated with DC and I (Table 3 and Figure A.3 in Appendix A). 4<u>9</u>94 47 4**8**95 This axis can be interpreted as an index of fish biomass and proximity to the coast. Scores reflected, although with less intensity, the seasonality observed in the first axis of the Environment 49 5**9**96 PCA (Fig. 4; p<0.01). A significant increasing trend over time was observed for this first component 5397 52 (p<0.01) through a linear regression. The second component, explaining 23% of the variance, was 5**3**98 significantly correlated to ISO (Table 3). It can be seen as fish evenness, and it should be 54_{5399} interpreted considering the scenarios in terms of s_A , s_A + and DC: high evenness is favorable to 5900 57 fishermen activity if the global biomass is high, since there is lot of anchovy everywhere. On the 5401 opposite, if the biomass is low, a high evenness means highly dispersed anchovy (low s_A +), which 59 6∯02 are difficult to catch (Bertrand et al., 2004b). The latter scenario corresponds to 2003/10-12, which 61 62 03 had by far the lowest score (Fig. 4).

63 64 65

404

406

405 3.2.3. Fishermen spatial behavior

407 Due to the relatively large number of variables for a small number of individuals, we 408 discarded from the multivariate analyses the variables presenting very strong correlations (r>0.9; á09 i.e. Dist., Bef.Fishing and Dur, all of them strongly correlated with Cruising). We also discarded 1<u>4</u>10 Searching since the proportions of the time spent Cruising, Fishing and Searching sum to one (no 1**4**11 12 variable should be expressed as a linear combination of others). Overall, we used Max.DC, 1**4**12 Fishing, Cruising, k and sigma. The other variables were still used as supplementary variables and $^{14}_{15}13$ projected in the PCA space (Fig. A.4 in Appendix A). The first principal component, explaining 71% 1**4**14 17 of the variance (Table 3), refers to fishermen activity budget, which indicates the investment in 1415 energy and time necessary for their activities (Altmann, 1974; Defler, 1995). High values on this ¹916 2016 2117 22 2417 2418 activity budget axis correspond to 'cheap foraging' (more time is allocated to searching and fishing than to cruising), while low values indicate 'expensive foraging' (more time is dedicated to cruising, longer distances are traveled, more time is spent before the first fishing set, farther distances from 24 2519 the coast are traveled). Scores showed an increasing linear trend (towards 'cheap foraging') with 2420 time (p<0.0001; Fig. 4). Those scores are coherent with the overall increasing 'efficiency' shown by 27 2**4**21 the fishermen through the years (i.e., increasing trend in daily catches and decreasing trend in the ²9 30²22 number of fishing days until the quota was filled, until the individual vessel quota system was 3423 32 3424 established; IMARPE, 2013). The second principal component (not shown) accounted for 23% of the variance (Table 3). It had significant correlations with only two variables (k and Max.DC) and ³⁴ 3525 could not be properly interpreted.

3426 3427 3427 3.2.4. Environment vs. Anchovy vs. Fishermen 3428

4429 42 4430 Regarding the association between the first principal components only, the activity budget component of Fishermen PCA was strongly correlated with the fish biomass and proximity 44 4<u>4</u>31 component of Anchovy PCA (0.65 ± 0.20 ; p<0.001). The latter was also correlated with the 4**4** 47 32 seasonal cycle component of Environment PCA (0.68 ± 0.18; p<0.0001). Likewise, the seasonal 4**4**33 cycle was positively correlated with activity budget (0.60 ± 0.25 ; p<0.001). However, none of these 49 5**4**34 correlations is statistically greater than the others, since their confidence intervals overlap. The 5435 52 three RV coefficients were also strong, i.e. Environment and Anchovy (0.61; p<0.001), Anchovy 5**4**36 and Fishermen (0.63; p<0.001) and Environment and Fishermen (0.56; p<0.01). Their 90% 54 5**4**37 confidence intervals overlapped: <0.28,0.65>, <0.37,0.63> and <0.29,0.59>, for Environment and 5938 5738 Anchovy, Anchovy and Fishermen, and Environment and Fishermen, respectively. Nevertheless, 5**4**39 those significant associations provide a powerful way to quantify the strength of the relationships 59 6∯40 between the ecosystem compartments.

- 62 63
- 64 65

442 A multiple coinertia analysis was applied to Environment, Anchovy and Fishermen PCAs 443 (Fig. 5; Table 4). The multiple coinertia space was composed of two axes, accounting for 73% and **4**44 17% of the variance each. The interpretation of the graphical projection of the variables on the 445 coinertia space (Fig. 5; left panel) is analogous to that on a PCA space (see Fig. A.2, A.3, A.4 in **4**46 Appendix A). High SST and CHL values were strongly related to high s_A + (local biomass), high **§**47 Fishing, low Cruising, low DC and I (distance from the coast of anchovy and its inertia). To a lesser extent, high SST and CHL were also related to high s_A and low Max.DC (distance to the coast of fishermen). Deep oxycline (OXY) was partly associated with low s_A and ISO (anchovy spatial occupation), and high Max.DC. Low ISO was also associated with high k (diffusivity of fishermen movements).

Regarding the time-periods, a hierarchical clustering analysis was performed. Based on the computed dendrogram (Fig. A.5 in Appendix A) and the explained variance (i.e. the percentage of total variance represented by the between-cluster variance; Husson *et al.* 2010; Table A.2 in Appendix A), the 4-cluster structure, which accounted for 80% of the explained variance, was retained. The time-periods that correspond to each cluster are represented by distinct colors in Figure 5 (right panel). Because of the great variability within each cluster, we characterized only one time-period per cluster. We chose the ones that stood out in the preceding analyses: 2008/02-04, 2002/02-03, 2003/10-12 (the only period in its cluster) and 2001/10-11. 2002/02-03 was characterized by a superficial OXY, very large ISO and s_A values, and to a lesser extent, high SST, CHL, s_A+ and Fishing values. 2008/02-04 was characterized by the greatest SST, CHL, s_A+ and Fishing, and low DC, I, Cruising and Max.DC values. 2003/10-12, on the contrary, corresponded to the deepest OXY, the lowest ISO, low s_A, the highest Cruising, high Max.DC and k. 2001/10-11 corresponded to the lowest SST, the highest I, a very high ISO, the highest Max.DC and low Fishing.

4. Discussion

4.1 Environment, Anchovy, Fishermen and the bottom-up transfer

In this study, we explored and quantified the associations between the dynamics of three ecosystem compartments (Environment, Anchovy and Fishermen) and showed that ecosystem scenarios – abiotic and biotic conditions – do shape fishing spatial behavior. Most of the existent literature in fisheries deduces fish abundance and distribution from catchability indicators, making the implicit assumption that fishing effort reflects fish conditions. Only a few works have studied the actual relationships between the patterns of fisheries and their target species (e.g., Hancock *et al.*, 1995; Bertrand *et al.*, 2004b, 2005, 2008b). Here, we used a richer dataset in terms of the amounts

of data - 16 concomitant fishing seasons and scientific acoustic surveys – and in the nature of the variables used. To cope with the uncertainties and complexities related to data from different sources, sampling methods and scales, the analyses were kept as simple as possible: the scale of analysis was constrained by the lowest resolution of the available data and exploratory methods were used.

A major drawback related to our dataset – as mentioned above – is that we did not have both summer and winter/spring periods for all years. Moreover, winter/spring periods did not always comprise the same months (e.g., 06-07 and 10-11). Therefore, the trends fitted should only be used for interpreting the variations experienced during the studied time-periods; not as increasing SST and CHL trends for the whole 2000-2009 decade. When compared with complete monthly 2000-2009 series, no linear trend in winter was found for SST nor CHL. However, we did find a reduction in the intensity of the seasonality in the second half of the decade, observed in Fig. 2a-b and Fig. 4.

Regarding the associations between ecosystem compartments, the three pairwise associations were statistically significant. Concerning point estimates, Environment-Anchovy and Anchovy-Fishermen links were the strongest compared with the least direct one (Environment-Fishermen) whatever the metric considered (RV and Kendall's tau). We cannot conclude on the superiority of the direct links since the confidence intervals overlapped. The computation of confidence intervals was strongly penalized by the low number of observations (Hollander and Wolfer, 1999). Gathering data from more time-periods could contribute to reduce confidence intervals and improve correlation estimates.

Nevertheless, our findings are consistent with a bottom-up transfer that was already
evidenced by Bertrand *et al.* (2008a) when analyzing the effect of upwelling and downwelling
Kelvin waves on several descriptors of the Peruvian coastal oceanography, anchovy distribution
and fishing fleet behavior. They characterized contrasting ecological scenarios that corresponded
to strong El Niño events (warm scenarios) and to average upwelling periods (cold scenarios).

Unlike Bertrand *et al.* (2008a), we focus on the coastal processes of the NHCS, and our studied period, 2000-2009, does not encompass strong El Niño events, but only 'weak' events (2002-03, 2004-05 and 2009-10). These events correspond to 'El Niño Modoki' or 'Central Pacific El Niño' that are distinct from the extraordinary warm events such as the ones from 1982-83 and 1997-98 (Takahashi *et al.*, 2011). When Central Pacific El Niño occurs, the conditions off Peru can even be slightly cooler than average (Dewitte *et al.*, 2012), far from the archetypal El Niño effects on the Peruvian ecosystem (Barber and Chavez, 1983; Alheit and Ñiquen, 2004; Bertrand *et al.*, 2004a, Ñiquen and Bouchon, 2004). The studied period therefore lies within the dominant

ecosystem state corresponding to an average 'upwelling dominant scenario' without extreme El Niño events (Bertrand *et al.*, 2008a). Indeed, 'El Niño Modoki' did not show to impact on fishermen behavior. In this context, the seasonal scale becomes the scale of greatest environmental variability.

523 An important contribution of our work concerns the Fishermen compartment. Based on 1824 statistics computed from an unprecedented rich dataset (spatially-explicit data from ~90000 fishing 1525 trips), we showed that Fishermen spatial behavior could be seen as a function of anchovy biomass 12 1**5**26 and distribution, and environmental conditions. Fishermen-Anchovy association (RV=0.63) $^{14}_{15}27$ suggested that, despite the available technology and all the economic drivers involved in fishermen 1528 behavior, uncertainty on prey location is a major driver of their spatial behavior. This finding 17 1**5**29 supports the evidence that fishermen deploy spatial foraging strategies comparable to that of other 1920 2530 2531 22 2532 animal predators (Bertrand et al., 2007). Fishermen-Environment association was not at all despicable (RV=0.56), showing that fishermen behavior is sensitive to changes in environmental conditions, most likely through transfer via Anchovy (RV=0.61 for Environment-Anchovy). The 24 25333 association between Environment and Fishermen is regarded as an indirect link, since Fishermen 2§34 27 2§35 are not directly shaped by the Environment: SST, CHL and OXY only affect Fishermen through their effects on anchovy biomass and distribution. Moreover, in the NHCS off Peru, there are no extreme weather conditions that could affect fishermen tactics and strategies directly.

Looking closely at the trends of the first principal components (Fig. 4), the strong seasonality observed for Environment (seasonal cycle component) is less pronounced for Anchovy (fish biomass and proximity) and almost unnoticeable for Fishermen (activity budget). Conversely, the marked increasing trend observed for Fishermen, seemed to be occluded for Anchovy and then for Environment by the seasonal variability. These results are regarded as empirical evidence of high-frequency environmental variations being smoothed out through trophic levels (Mann and Lazier, 2006; Di Lorenzo and Ohman, 2013). Future studies based on the analysis of longer time series, with more regularly-sampled time-periods (with at least one winter and one spring/summer period per year), could provide a definitive statistical demonstration of this result.

How environmental fluctuations influence population dynamics and animal behavior are questions that have been examined in several studies. McManus and Woodson (2012) showed that the more organism size and motility increase, the weaker are the relations between organism behavior and physical processes. Other studies (e.g. Miramontes and Rohani, 1998; Petchey, 2000; Greenman and Benton, 2003) showed transfer of environmental variability in *ex situ* and theoretical populations. Rouyer *et al.* (2012) showed, using empirical data, that mortality of larger fish lead to more prevalent short-term fluctuations in fish populations. However, to our knowledge, no work had shown empirical evidences of smoothed out transfer through several ecosystem

522

556 compartments, from the environment to top predators. Here we provide - for the first time -557 empirical evidence of (1) bottom-up and smoothed out transfer of the high-frequency **5**58 environmental fluctuations through the main compartments of an ecosystem (i.e. seasonal effect \$59 through Environment-Anchovy-Fishermen); and (2) magnification of low-frequency fluctuations by 560 trophic transfer (i.e. magnified temporal trends through Fishermen-Anchovy-Environment). 561 Furthermore, after the first half of the time-series, the scores of the first component of Environment 1⁹/₁62 and, to a lesser extent, Anchovy and then Fishermen, showed a weaker variability though 1563 continued showing a positive trend (Fig. 4). For each compartment, the variability - computed as 1564 the sum of squared residuals from a fitted linear regression - was greater during the first half of the $^{14}_{15}65$ studied series than after (Table A.3 in Appendix A). Moreover, variability values decreased when 1566 rising into the ecological levels. 17

4.2 Fishermen response to ecosystem scenarios

19 20 68 25 68 25 69 22 25 70 Based on the statistical analyses, we identified four ecosystem scenarios (Fig. 6). Each ²4₂571 ²572 ²573 scenario involved a distinct cluster in the coinertia space (Fig. 5, right panel). The first two scenarios corresponded to favorable ecosystem conditions and are associated with the timeperiods 2002/02-03 and 2008/02-04 while the third and fourth are less favorable and can be ²9 3574 illustrated by the time-periods 2003/10-12 and 2001/10-11. Here, we analyze and discuss those 3575 32 3576 scenarios taking into account that changes in biomass of organisms and in environmental conditions are not always simultaneous. Whereas unfavorable environmental conditions can trigger ³⁴ 3977 mortality and translate into low biomass almost instantaneously, favorable environmental 3§78 37 3§79 conditions will affect recruitment, producing increased population biomass with delay. By contrast, patterns of geographic distribution or organism movements may adjust rapidly to physical forcing ³9 4880 (Bertrand et al., 2008a).

4.2.1 The favorable scenarios

4<u>5</u>84 47 4<u>5</u>85 Scenarios I and II (Fig. 6, upper panels), illustrated by the time-periods 2002/02-03 and 2008/02-04, were characterized by relatively high SST (relatively to the range of temperatures 49 5**9**86 encompassed in our dataset), high primary production and a shallow oxycline. Under both 5**5**87 52 scenarios, anchovy was abundant and close to the coast. High SST and CHL values characterized 5**5**88 productive summertime conditions (Echevin et al., 2008; Gutiérrez et al., 2011) with cold coastal 54 5989 waters close to the coast that favored locally-concentrated anchovy biomass (Gutiérrez et al., ⁵§90 2007; Swartzman et al., 2008). Evidence of negative relation between anchovy acoustic biomass 5891 and oxycline depth was also shown by Bertrand et al. (2011). They suggested that anchovy is 59 6**9**92 capable of surviving in areas where the oxygen minimum zone is very shallow, where they escape 6<u>1</u> 6293 from predation by larger fish that need more oxygen, and forage on prey concentrated over a thin

12

ī 1**5**67

63 64 65

4581 42 4582

44 4§83

594 surface layer. The two scenarios described above represent favorable conditions for fishermen 595 because fish is easily catchable. Overall, fishermen had an efficient activity budget: more time was **5**96 spent fishing rather than cruising and vessels did not need to go far from the coast. Nevertheless, \$97 in 2008/02-04 (Fig. 6, right-upper panel), local biomass was higher, and highly concentrated near 598 the coast. Compared to 2002/02-03, this scenario was even more favorable for fishermen not only **5**99 in terms of activity budget but also in terms of movement geometry. Strong patchiness of anchovy 1800 led to more diffusive spatial behavior of fishermen (Bertrand *et al.*, 2005, 2007). Since anchovy 1601 12 1602 patches were very close to the coast, fishermen did not need to go far to fish, so the average length of the moves was very small. Because fish was very close and highly patchy, only a small $^{14}_{1903}$ proportion of time was spent cruising; most of the time they were searching and fishing (Fig. 3). 1**6**04 17 That translated into movements composed of a large proportion of small moves (searching ī́605 behavior within fish aggregation) and a small proportion of large moves (straight transit between 1906 2606 2607 22 2608 port and anchovy aggregation), creating a super diffusive, Lévy-like movement (Codling et al., 2008).

²4 2§09 ²610 27 2611 Conversely, in 2002/02-03 (Fig. 6, left-upper panel) anchovy was spread over more space and exhibited a lower local biomass than in 2008/02-04. That meant that, in spite of the overall availability of anchovy, it was not very patchy so it pushed fishermen to visit more patches to fill the ² 3612 vessel hold. Thus, the relationship rare-large-moves vs. numerous-small-moves was not observed; 3613 32 3614 instead moves were about the same length, producing a normally diffusive movement. Nonetheless, fish was close to the coast, so the average length of the moves was small. ³⁴ 3915

4.2.2 The unfavorable scenarios

³616 ³⁷ 3617 ³617 ⁴618 Scenarios III and IV (Fig. 6, lower panels), illustrated by the time-periods 2003/10-12 and 4619 42 4620 2001/10-11, were characterized by relatively low SST (relatively to the range of temperatures encompassed by our dataset), low primary production and deep oxycline. In both scenarios, 44 4921 anchovy was scarce. Low SST and CHL characterized winter conditions (Echevin et al., 2008; ⁴622 47 4623 Gutiérrez et al., 2011), associated to low local biomass (Gutiérrez et al., 2007).

49 5624 2003/10-12 was the only member of its cluster, indicating it was an extreme scenario 5625 52 among the ones analyzed in this work. In 2003/10-12, the extent of cold coastal upwelling waters 5**6**26 was very limited, and so was anchovy habitat (Bertrand et al., 2004a; Swartzman et al., 2008), 54 5**9**27 explaining the lowest ISO of the series. Although anchovy was not too far from the coast, it was ⁵628 very scarce (the lowest s_A value of the series), concentrated in very few spots and most likely deep 5629 (since oxycline was remarkably deep). This corresponded to an adverse scenario for fishermen. ⁵⁹ 6**6**30 For starters, fishermen went far from the coast and made fishing trips that lasted longer (Fig. 3) 61 62 31 due to the scarceness of anchovy and the difficulty to find them. They spent most of the time

cruising, and low time searching and fishing. Since the scarce anchovy was concentrated (low ISO), this led to super diffusive movements (less diffusive than in Scenario II). Fishermen made few large moves – 'large' compared to the 'small' ones – looking for a zone they presumed to be of high prey density, and many small moves within these zones trying to find attractive densities of prey to fish. Since fishermen went far from the coast looking for fish, the average length of moves (large and small altogether) was higher than in Scenario II.

1639 In 2001/10-11, the extent of cold coastal upwelling waters was high, and so was the area 12^{12}_{1640} occupied by anchovy (Bertrand et al., 2004a; Swartzman et al., 2008). Anchovy distribution was 1642164217even but extended very far from the coast in the form of spare aggregations. This was a difficult scenario for fishermen too, mostly expressed through the high variability in their behavior (the 17 1643 19 2644 2645 22 2645 22 2646 highest variability in most Fishermen variables; Fig. 3 and Fig. A.1 in Appendix A). In general, fishermen went farther from the coast looking for prey. Because scarce anchovy was distributed in many ESDUs, close and far from the coast, fishermen seemed to use normally diffusive movements, moving constantly between aggregations of dispersed anchovy. That spatial behavior 24 2947 produces inefficient activity budgets, since most of the time at sea was spent cruising between 2648 27 2649 aggregations and going far from the coast, rather than fishing/searching. Moreover and as a consequence, the average length of the moves was larger. ²950

It should be stressed that both unfavorable scenarios presented the greatest variability in fishermen spatial behavior among all the studied periods (greater in 2001/10-11 than in 2003/10-12; Fig. 3 and Fig. A.6 in Appendix A). It may evidence that in scenarios of high accessibility to prey, fishermen act more homogeneously, as it is easy for everyone to find fish and to catch it. But when conditions are difficult, each fisherman manages risks differently, and personal strategies count more. This type of behavior is likely to be enhanced in El Niño/La Niña conditions, for which a similar scenario-based analysis would be appealing.

4660 4.3 Fishermen behavior and ecosystem approach to fisheries 4661

This work provides a better understanding of how changes in environment and prey conditions shape fishermen behavior. We call it spatio-temporal or spatial behavior because it is characterized by spatial and temporal descriptors of fishing trips (e.g. distance traveled, maximum distance to the coast, duration of the fishing trip, time spent cruising, fishing, etc.).

As stated by Boyd *et al.* (2004), we intuitively think in terms of space and time dimensions partly because these are the easiest to measure but there are many other dimensions that characterize an animal's – or in this case human's – state. Some other variables may explain an

18

1⁸38

 $^{4}_{4}_{9}_{59}$

49 5**6**62

 $^{5}_{52}^{1}_{663}$

5664

54 5**9**65

⁵666 57 5667

⁵⁹ 6**6**68

61 62 69

670 important part of the behavioral variability, such as the vessel holding capacity, the personality of 671 the captain, oil and fish meal prices, technology improvements, government management **§**72 measures for specific seasons, company strategies and tactics (i.e. how many vessels to deploy **6**73 each day and in which zones, at which factories to land the fish), the degree of competition or 674 cooperation between fishing vessels. Taking into account these other variables would improve our **6**75 understanding of fishermen behavior, which is under the joint influence of economics, politics, 1⁸76 oceanographic and biological conditions (Wilen, 2004). A remaining challenge is the 1677 characterization of the collective behavior, since we always consider all fishing trips as 12 1**6**78 independent from the others (the same approach is usually taken in animal behavior; Boyd et al., $^{14}_{1979}$ 2004). Despite we did not consider all these potential factors, we have shown that prey availability 1680 and environmental conditions already play a great and significant role in shaping fishermen 17 1681 behavior, at least at the scale of average characteristics of fishing trips during two or three months 182 2682 periods.

2683 22 2684 In synthesis, this study showed how ecosystem scenarios shape fishermen spatial 24 2985 behavior. We also provided empirical evidences of bottom-up and smoothed out transfer of the 2686 high-frequency environmental fluctuations and magnification of low-frequency fluctuations along 27 2**6**87 the ecosystem compartments. Top predators are increasingly seen as integrators of the state of the 29 3688 ecosystem they inhabit (Boyd et al., 2006). In the need for information to support the ecosystem 3689 approach to fisheries (EAF; Browman and Stergiou, 2004; Garcia and Cochrane, 2005; Jennings, 3Ž 3690 2005), top predators could be used as indicators of the ecosystem they inhabit. Because 34 3§91 ecosystems are spatially heterogeneous, and spatial patterns and processes are important to 3692 ecosystem structure and function (Babcock et al., 2005), indicators on top predators should be 37 3693 spatially explicit for accounting for the spatial variations in the ecosystem. Here we have used ³9 4694 spatially explicit descriptors on fishermen behavior. In the bottom-up dynamics we described, the 4695 42 4696 first Fishermen component (i.e. activity budget) was significantly associated with the first components of Anchovy and Environment, and the ecological implications of these associations in ${}^{4}_{4}{}^{4}_{9}{}^{97}$ several ecosystem scenarios were described previously. Therefore, fishermen PCA scores could 4698 47 4699 be considered for use as ecosystem indicators of ecosystem scenarios. Interestingly, two specific fishermen movement characteristic features, namely Max.DC and k, might provide complementary 49 5000 characterization of the main variations on the other ecosystem compartments. However, to test for 57701 52 the robustness of such indicators it is necessary to rely on larger time series. Besides, strong El 5302 Niño events should be encompassed to cope with scales of variability in the NHCS that have not 54 5**3**03 been included in the studied period. Larger time series would also allow detecting reference points 5**6** 5704 for the statistical discrimination between ecosystem scenarios.

19

706 The pertinence of ecosystem indicators have been commonly examined through 707 multivariate methods (e.g. Link et al., 2002 and 2010) or through the discussion on their pertinence 2708 for effective management (Jennings, 2005). The use of a model – for instance, simplified food-web 209 models (Plitzko et al., 2012) could be a complementary approach to check the sensibility of data-**\$**10 constructed indicators. Those models would require several assumptions on relationships between 7 7 7 11 the considered ecosystem compartments and other predator-prey relationships that have not been 10⁹12 accounted for in this study. The interactions between fishermen; other top-predators competing for 1713 12 1314 the same prey (e.g. seabirds and mammals; Bertrand et al., 2012) and ecosystem scenarios (Pikitch et al., 2004; Hooker et al., 2011) should also be understood for implementing conservation $^{14}_{15}15$ plans and EAF management. For that reason, spatio-temporal data on top predators, including 1**Ø**16 17 fishermen, are key for fisheries ecology and sustainable management of the fisheries (Hinz et al., 1817 2013). 19

2**7**19 23 References

2\V018 21

²⁴/₂₅20 2\$21 27 Alheit, J., Ñiguen, M., 2004. Regime shifts in the Humboldt Current ecosystem. Progress in Oceanography 60, 201–222.

2**9** 29 29 Altmann, J., 1974. Observational study of behavior: sampling methods. Behaviour 49, 227-265.

30 3123 Ayón, P., Swartzman, G., Bertrand, A., Gutiérrez, M., Bertrand, S., 2008. Zooplankton and forage 3724 33 3725 fish species off Peru: Large-scale bottom-up forcing and local-scale depletion. Progress in Oceanography 79, 208–214. 35

3626373627392840284122943294329434304531Babcock, E. A., Pikitch, E. K., McAllister, M. K., Apostolaki, P., Santora, C., 2005. A perspective on the use of spatialized indicators for ecosystem-based fishery management through spatial zoning. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62, 469-476.

Barber, R.T., Chavez, F.P., 1983. Biological Consequences of El Niño. Science 222, 1203–1210.

Bertrand, A., Chaigneau, A., Peraltilla, S., Ledesma, J., Graco, M., Monetti, F., Chavez, F.P., 2011. Oxygen: a fundamental property regulating pelagic ecosystem structure in the coastal southeastern tropical Pacific. PloS one 6, e29558.

4732 48 4733 50 Bertrand, A., Segura, M., Gutierrez, M., Vasquez, L., 2004a. From small-scale habitat loopholes to 5734 decadal cycles: a habitat-based hypothesis explaining fluctuation in pelagic fish populations off 52 5**3**35 Peru. Fish and Fisheries 5, 296-316.

54 5\$36 Bertrand, S., Bertrand, A., Guevara-Carrasco, R., Gerlotto, F., 2007. Scale-invariant movements of 56 5737 fishermen: The same foraging strategy as natural predators. Ecological Applications 17, 331–337.

58 59

60 61

62

63 64

4

- Bertrand, S., Burgos, J.M., Gerlotto, F., Atiquipa, J., 2005. Lévy trajectories of Peruvian purse seiners as an indicator of the spatial distribution of anchovy (Engraulis ringens). ICES Journal of
 Marine Science 62, 477–482.
- Bertrand, S., Dewitte, B., Tam, J., Díaz, E., Bertrand, A., 2008a. Impacts of Kelvin wave forcing in
- the Peru Humboldt Current system: Scenarios of spatial reorganizations from physics to fishers. Progress in Oceanography 79, 278–289.
- Bertrand, S., Diaz, E., Lengaigne, M., 2008b. Patterns in the spatial distribution of Peruvian
 anchovy (Engraulis ringens) revealed by spatially explicit fishing data. Progress In Oceanography
 79, 379–389.
- ¹⁴⁷Bertrand, S., Diaz, E., Niquen, M., 2004b. Interactions between fish and fisher's spatial distribution ¹⁷ $_{18}^{7}$ 48and behaviour: an empirical study of the anchovy fishery of Peru. ICES Journal of Marine Science ¹⁹ $_{24}^{6}$ 4961, 1127–1136.
- 19
2061, 1127–1136.21
22Bertrand S., Joo R., Fablet R., in review. Generalized Pareto for a pattern-oriented random walk23
24modelling of organisms' move.24
26Bertrand, S., Joo, R., Smet, C.A., Tremblay, Y., Weimerskirch, H., 2012. Local depletion by a
- Bertrand, S., Joo, R., Smet, C.A., Tremblay, Y., Weimerskirch, H., 2012. Local depletion by a
 fishery can affect seabird foraging. Journal of Applied Ecology.
- ²⁹/₃₀54 Bograd, S.J., Block, B.A., Costa, D.P., Godley, B.J., 2010. Biologging technologies: new tools for
 ³755 conservation. Introduction. Endangered Species Research 10, 1–7.
- Boyd, I.L., Kato, A., Ropert-coudert, Y., 2004. Bio-logging science : sensing beyond the
 boundaries. Memoirs of National Institute of Polar Research 58, 1–14.
- Boyd, I.L., Wanless, S., Camphuysen, C. (Eds.), 2006. Top predators in marine ecosystems: their role in monitoring and management. Cambridge University Press.
- 4760 Browman, H.I., Stergiou, K.I., 2004. Marine Protected Areas as a central element of ecosystem⁴²/₄₃61 based management□ : defining their location, size and number. Marine Ecology Progress Series
 ⁴⁴/₄₅62 274, 269–303.
- ⁴⁶/₄₇63 Castillo, R., Peraltilla, S., Aliaga, A., Flores, M., Ballón, M., Calderón, J., Gutiérrez, M., 2009.
 ⁴⁹/₄₉64 Protocolo técnico para la evaluación acústica de las áreas de distribución y abundancia de recursos pelágicos en el mar peruano. Instituto del Mar del Perú.
- Chaigneau, A., Dominguez, N., Eldin, G., Vasquez, L., 2013. Near-coastal circulation in the
 Northern Humboldt Current System from shipboard ADCP data. Journal of Geophysical Research:
 Oceans 118, 5251-5266.
- ⁵⁷₅%69 Chavez, F., Bertrand, A., Guevara-Carrasco, R., Soler, P., Csirke, J., 2008. The northern Humboldt
- $^{59}_{60}70$ Current System: Brief history, present status and a view towards the future. Progress in
- 6771 Oceanography 79, 95–105.
- 63 64

40

- 772 Chavez, F., Ryan, J., Lluch-Cota, S., Niguen, M., 2003. From anchovies to sardines and back : **7**73 multidecadal changes in the Pacific Ocean. Science 299, 217-221. 2 ?74 Chessel, D., Hanafi, M., 1996. Analyses de la co-inertie de K nuages de points. Revue de \$75 Statistique Appliquee XLIV, 35–60. 6 776 Claude, J., 2008. Morphometrics with R. Springer. 8 **7**77 Codling, E.A., Plank, M.J., Benhamou, S., 2008. Random walk models in biology. Journal of the 1778 Royal Society Interface 5, 813-834. 12 1**7**79 14 Colas, F., Capet, X., Mcwilliams, J., Shchepetkin, a, 2008. 1997–1998 El Niño off Peru: A 1380 numerical study. Progress in Oceanography 79, 138-155. 16 1781 Costa, D.P., 1993. The secret life of marine mammals. Oceanography 6, 120–128. 18 1**7**82 20 Cotté, C., Guinet, C., 2011. The importance of a seasonal ice zone and krill density in the historical 2783 abundance of humpback whale catches in the Southern Ocean. Journal of Cetacean Research 22 2384 24 2585 and Management 3, 101-106. Croll, D., Marinovic, B., Benson, S., Chavez, F., Black, N., Ternullo, R., Tershy, B., 2005. From wind ²⁶ 2786 2787 2987 to whales: trophic links in a coastal upwelling system. Marine Ecology Progress Series 289, 117-130.
- Decker, C.J., O'Dor, R., 2003. A Census of marine life : unknowable or just unknown ? Un
 recensement de la vie marine : impossible à connaître ou simplement inconnu ? Oceanologica
 Acta 25, 179–186.
- Defler, T., 1995. The time budget of a group of wild woolly monkeys (*Lagothrix logatricha*).
 International Journal of Primatology 16, 107-120.
- ³⁹
 ⁴093 Dewitte, B., Vazquez-Cuervo, J., Goubanova, K., Illig, S., Takahashi, K., Cambon, G., Purca, S.,
 ⁴1/₄₂94 Correa, D., Gutierrez, D., Sifeddine, A., Ortlieb, L., 2012. Change in El Niño flavours over 1958–
 ⁴095 2008: Implications for the long-term trend of the upwelling off Peru. Deep Sea Research Part II:
 ⁴196 Topical Studies in Oceanography 77-80, 143–156.
- ⁴⁷⁹⁷ Di Lorenzo, E., Ohman, M.D., 2013. A double-integration hypothesis to explain ocean ecosystem
 ⁴⁸/₄998 response to climate forcing. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States
 ⁵⁰/₅₁99 of America 110, 2496–9.
 ⁵²/₅900 Dray, S., Dufour, A.B., Chessel, D., 2007. The ade4 package-II: Two-table and K-table methods. R
- $5\frac{5}{5}$ Dray, S., Dufour, A.B., Chessel, D., 2007. The ade4 package-II: Two-table and K-table methods. R News 7, 47-52.
- ⁵§02 Dubkov, A. A., Spagnolo, B., Uchaikin, V. V., 2008. Lévy Flight Superdiffusion: An Introduction.
 ⁵803 International Journal of Bifurcation and Chaos 18, 2649–2672.

60 61 62

62 63

- 804 Echevin, V., Albert, A., Lévy, M., Graco, M., Aumont, O., Piétri, A., Garric, G., 2014. Intraseasonal 805 variability of nearshore productivity in the Northern Humboldt Current System: The role of coastal 806 trapped waves. Continental Shelf Research 73, 14–30.
- 807 Echevin, V., Aumont, O., Ledesma, J., Flores, G., 2008. The seasonal cycle of surface chlorophyll 808 in the Peruvian upwelling system: A modelling study. Progress in Oceanography 79, 167–176.
- 8 **§**09 Echevin, V., Colas, F., Chaigneau, A., Penven, P., 2011. Sensitivity of the Northern Humboldt
- $^{1}_{1}$ Current System nearshore modeled circulation to initial and boundary conditions. Journal of
- 1**8**11 13 Geophysical Research: Oceans 116, C07002.
- Embling, C. B., Illian, J., Armstrong, E., van der Kooij, J., Sharples, J., Camphuysen, K. C. J.,
- Scott, B. E., 2012. Investigating fine-scale spatio-temporal predator-prey patterns in dynamic marine ecosystems: a functional data analysis approach. Journal of Applied Ecology 49, 481-492.
- ¹**§**12 ¹**§**13 ¹⁷**1§**14 ¹⁹ 2**§**15 ²¹**16** 2**§**17 ²**§**17 ²**§**18 ²⁵18 ²⁷2**§**19 Embling, C. B., Sharples, J., Armstrong, E., Palmer, M. R., Scott, B. E., 2013. Fish behaviour in response to tidal variability and internal waves over a shelf sea bank. Progress in Oceanography 117, 106–117.
- Escoufier, Y., 1973. Le traitement des variables vectorielles. Biometrics 29, 751–760.
- FAO, 2012. The state of world fisheries and aquaculture 2012. Rome.
- 29 3820 FAO, 2013. Global capture production 1950-2011.
- 31 3221 http://www.fao.org/figis/servlet/TabSelector?tb ds=Capture&tb mode=TABLE&tb act=SELECT&tb 3822 34 3523 3623 _grp=SPECIES. Accessed: 2013-10-03.
- Freón, P., Bouchon, M., Mullon, C., García, C., Ñiguen, M., 2008. Interdecadal variability of 3824 anchoveta abundance and overcapacity of the fishery in Peru. Progress in Oceanography 79, 401-38 3**8**25 412. 40
- 4826 Fréon, P., Misund, O.A., 1999. Dynamics of Pelagic Fish Distribution and Behaviour: Effects on $^{42}_{4827}$ Fisheries and Stock Assessment, 1st ed. Oxford.
- 44 4828 Garcia, S., Cochrane, K., 2005. Ecosystem approach to fisheries: a review of implementation 4§29 guidelines. ICES Journal of Marine Science 62, 311–318.
- 48 4**8**30 Greenman, J. V, Benton, T.G., 2003. The amplification of environmental noise in population ⁵831 ⁵² 5832 models: causes and consequences. The American Naturalist 161, 225-239.
- Gripenberg, S., Roslin, T., 2007. Up or down in space? Uniting the bottom-up versus top-down 5**8**33 55 paradigm and spatial ecology. Oikos 116, 181–188.
- 5834 5834 Gutiérrez, D., Bouloubassi, I., Sifeddine, A., Purca, S., Goubanova, K., Graco, M., Field, D.,
- 5**8**35 59 Méjanelle, L., Velazco, F., Lorre, A., Salvatteci, R., Quispe, D., Vargas, G., Dewitte, B., Ortlieb, L.,
- 6836 2011. Coastal cooling and increased productivity in the main upwelling zone off Peru since the mid- $^{61}_{62}37$ twentieth century. Geophysical Research Letters 38, n/a-n/a.
- 63 64 65

838 Gutiérrez, D., Enríguez, E., Purca, S., Quipúzcoa, L., Marguina, R., Flores, G., Graco, M., 2008. 839 Oxygenation episodes on the continental shelf of central Peru: Remote forcing and benthic 840 ecosystem response. Progress in Oceanography 79, 177–189.

4 841 Gutierrez, D., Sifeddine, A., Field, D.B., Ortlieb, L., Vargas, G., Chavez, F., Velazco, F., Ferreira, V.,

§42 Tapia, P., Salvatecci, R., Boucher, H., Morales, M., Valdes, J., Reyss, J.-L., Campusano, A.,

843 Boussafir, M., Mandeng-Yogo, M., Garcia, M., Baumgartner, T., 2009. Rapid reorganization in

1844 ocean biogeochemistry off Peru towards the end of the Little Ice Age. Biogeosciences 6, 835-848. 11

1845Gutiérrez, M., Swartzman, G., Bertrand, A., Bertrand, S., 2007. Anchovy (Engraulis ringens) and 13 1846 sardine (Sardinops sagax) spatial dynamics and aggregation patterns in the Humboldt Current 1847 1847 ecosystem, Peru, from 1983-2003. Fisheries Oceanography 16, 155–168.

1848 1848 202850 22 Hancock, J., Hart, P. J. B., Antezana, T., 1995. Searching behaviour and catch of horse mackerel (Trachurus murphyi) by industrial purse-seiners off south-central Chile. ICES Journal of Marine Science 52, 991–1004.

2851 24 2852 Hazen, E.L., Nowacek, D.P., St Laurent, L., Halpin, P.N., Moretti, D.J., 2011. The relationship among oceanography, prey fields, and beaked whale foraging habitat in the Tongue of the Ocean. PloS one 6, e19269.

262853285328543255325532563457365736573657365736573657365736594660Heo, M., Gabriel, K.R., 1998. A permutation test of association between configurations by means of the RV coefficient. Communications in Statistics - Simulation and Computation 27, 843–856.

Hinz, H., Murray, L.G., Lambert, G.I., Hiddink, J.G., Kaiser, M.J., 2013. Confidentiality over fishing effort data threatens science and management progress. Fish and Fisheries 14, 110–117.

Hollander, M., Wolfe, D. A., 1999. Nonparametric Statistical Methods, Second Edition. John Wiley & Sons. New York.

Hooker, S., Cañadas, A., Hyrenbach, K., Corrigan, C., Polovina, J., Reeves, R., 2011. Making 4861 43 4862 protected area networks effective for marine top predators. Endangered Species Research 13, 203-218.

4863 Husson, F., Josse, J., Le, S., Mazet, J., 2013. FactoMineR: Multivariate Exploratory Data Analysis $^{47}_{4864}$ and Data Mining with R. R package version 1.25. http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=FactoMineR.

49 5**8**65 Husson, F., Lê, S., Pagès, J., 2010. Exploratory Multivariate Analysis by Example Using R (Vol. 51_{5266} 20105550). CRC Press.

53 5**§**67 IMARPE, 2013. Recursos pelágicos.

5868 http://www.imarpe.pe/imarpe/index.php?id seccion=I01310400000000000000000

57 5**8**69 Jennings, S., 2005. Indicators to support an ecosystem approach to fisheries. Fish and Fisheries 6, 5870 60 212-232.

61 62

45

4

10

Joo, R., Bertrand, S., Chaigneau, A., Ñiquen, M., 2011. Optimization of an artificial neural network
 for identifying fishing set positions from VMS data: An example from the Peruvian anchovy purse
 seine fishery. Ecological Modelling 222, 1048–1059.

\$74 Joo, R., Bertrand, S., Tam, J., Fablet, R., 2013. Hidden Markov models \Box : the best models for forager movements? PLOS ONE 8, e71246.

§76 Kendall, M. G., 1938. A New Measure of Rank Correlation. Biometrika 30, 81–93.

Levin, S.A., 1992. The Problem of Pattern and Scale in Ecology. Ecology 73(6), 1943–1967.

Link, J.S., Brodziak, J.K.T., Edwards, S.F., Overholtz, W.J., Mountain, D., Jossi, J.W., Smith, T.D.,
 Fogarty, M.J., 2002. Marine ecosystem assessment in a fisheries management context. Canadian
 Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences 59, 1429–1440.

Link, J.S., Yemane, D., Shannon, L.J., Coll, M., Shin, Y.J., Hill, L., Borges, M.D.F., 2010. Relating
 marine ecosystem indicators to fishing and environmental drivers: an elucidation of contrasting
 responses. ICES Journal of Marine Science 67, 787–795.

Mann, K.H., Lazier, J.R.N., 2006. Dynamics of Marine Ecosystems. Biological-Physical Interactions
 in the Oceans, 3rd Edition. Backwell Publishing.

² $^{886}_{29}$ Margalef, R., 1979. The organization of space. Oikos 33, 152-159.

Matson, P.A., Hunter, M.D., 1992. Special Feature: The Relative Contributions to Top-Down and
 Bottom-Up Forces in Population and Community Ecology. Ecology 73, 723.

McManus, M.A., Woodson, C.B., 2012. Plankton distribution and ocean dispersal. The Journal of
 experimental biology 215, 1008–1016.

³891 Miramontes, O., Rohani, P., 1998. Intrinsically generated coloured noise in laboratory insect
 ³92 populations. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 265, 785–792.

⁴⁸⁹³ Ñiquen, M., Bouchon, M., 2004. Impact of El Niño events on pelagic fisheries in Peruvian waters.
 ⁴³/₄§94 Deep Sea Research Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 51, 563–574.

45
 4895 Pearson, K., 1901. On lines and planes of closest fit to systems of points in space. Philosophical
 47/4896 Magazine 2, 559–572.

Penven, P., Echevin, V., Pasapera, J., Colas, F., Tam, J., 2005. Average circulation, seasonal
 cycle, and mesoscale dynamics of the Peru Current System: A modeling approach. Journal of
 Geophysical Research: Oceans 110, C10021.

⁵§00 Peraltilla, S., Bertrand, S., 2014. In situ measurements of the speed of Peruvian anchovy schools.
 ⁵901 Fisheries Research 149, 92–94.

Petchey, O.L., 2000. Environmental colour affects aspects of single-species population dynamics.
 Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, Series B 267, 747–754.

63 64

65

24

- 904 Pietri, A., Echevin, V., Testor, P., Chaigneau, A., Mortier, L., Grados, C., Albert, A., 2014. Circulation 905 of the Peru upwelling system from glider data. Journal of Geophysical Research: Oceans 119, <u>9</u>06 2109-2120.
- 907 Pikitch, E.K., Santora, C., Babcock, E.A., Bakun, A., Bonfil, R., Conover, D.O., Dayton, P., 908 Doukakis, P., Fluharty, D., Heneman, B., Houde, E.D., Link, J., Livingston, P.A., Mangel, M., <u>ģ</u>09 McAllister, M.K., Pope, J., Sainsbury, K.J., 2004. Science 305, 346-347.

1910 Pimm, S.L., 1991. The balance of nature?: ecological issues in the conservation of species and 1**9**11 12 communities. University of Chicago Press.

- 1912 14 Plitzko, S.J., Drossel, B., Guill, C., 2012. Complexity-stability relations in generalized food-web 1913 models with realistic parameters. Journal of Theoretical Biology 306, 7-14. 16
- 1**9**14 18 Polishchuk, L. V., Vijverberg, J., Voronov, D.A., Mooij, W.M., 2013. How to measure top-down vs 1915 bottom-up effects: a new population metric and its calibration on Daphnia. Oikos 122, 1177–1186. 20
- 2916 R Core Team, 2013. A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for 22 2**9**17 Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria. URL http://www.R-project.org/.
- 2918 Rousseeuw, P.J., 1984. Least Median of Squares Regression. Journal of the American Statistical 26 2**9**19 Association 79, 871-880.
- 28 2920 Rousseeuw, P., Croux, C., Todorov, V., Ruckstuhl, A., Salibian-Barrera, M., Verbeke, T., Koller, M., 30 3121 Maechler, M., 2012. robustbase: Basic Robust Statistics. R package version 0.9-7. URL 3<u>9</u>22 33 http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=robustbase.
- ³⁴ 3923 Rouyer, T., Sadykov, A., Ohlberger, J., Stenseth, N.C., 2012. Does increasing mortality change the 3**9**24 37 response of fish populations to environmental fluctuations? Ecology letters 15, 658–65.
 - Rutz, C., Hays, G.C., 2009. New frontiers in biologging science. Biology letters 5, 289–292.
- 3825 3925 4926 4927 43 Salvatecci, R., Field, D.B., Baumgartner, T., Ferreira, V., Gutierrez, D., 2012. Evaluating fish scale preservation in sediment records from the oxygen minimum zone off Peru. Paleobiology 38, 52-78.
- 4**9**28 Santora, J. A., Field, J.C., Schroeder, I.D., Sakuma, K.M., Wells, B.K., Sydeman, W.J., 2012.
- 4929 Spatial ecology of krill, micronekton and top predators in the central California Current: Implications 47 4930 for defining ecologically important areas. Progress in Oceanography 106, 154–174.
- 49 5**9**31 Siegel, S., 1956. Nonparametric statistics for the behavioral sciences. McGraw-Hill, New York. 51
- 5**9**32 Simmonds, E.J., Gutiérrez, M., Chipollini, A., Gerlotto, F., Woillez, M., Bertrand, A., 2009. 53
- 5933 Optimizing the design of acoustic surveys of Peruvian anchoveta. ICES Journal of Marine Science 5934 1-8.
- 57 5**9**35 Simmonds, J., MacLennan, D., 2005. Fisheries Acoustics: Theory and Practice, 2nd ed. Blackwell ⁵936 Science Ltd.

Stevick, P. T., Incze, L. S., Kraus, S. D., Rosen, S., Wolff, N., Baukus, A., 2008. Trophic relationships and oceanography on and around a small offshore bank. Marine Ecology Progress Series 363, 15–28.

940 Swartzman, G., Bertrand, A., Gutiérrez, M., Bertrand, S., Vasquez, L., 2008. The relationship of
941 anchovy and sardine to water masses in the Peruvian Humboldt Current System from 1983 to
942 2005. Progress in Oceanography 79, 228–237.

Takahashi, K., Montecinos, A., Goubanova, K., Dewitte, B., 2011. ENSO regimes: Reinterpreting the canonical and Modoki El Niño. Geophysical Research Letters 38, n/a–n/a.

Thompson, S. A., Sydeman, W. J., Santora, J. A., Black, B. A., Suryan, R. M., Calambokidis, J.,
Bograd, S. J., 2012. Linking predators to seasonality of upwelling: Using food web indicators and
path analysis to infer trophic connections. Progress in Oceanography 101, 106–120.

8 Wilcoxon, F., 1945. Individual Comparisons by Ranking Methods. Biometrics Bulletin 1, 80–83.

Wilen, J.E., 2004. Spatial Management of Fisheries. Marine Resource Economics 19, 7–19.

Acknowledgements

We would like to express our gratitude to Pierre Lopez for the valuable support in editing the graphical material. We highly appreciated the discussions with Tristan Rouyer and David Nerini. This work is a contribution to the cooperative agreement between the Instituto del Mar del Perú (IMARPE), the Institut de Recherche pour le Développement (IRD), the Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) project 'Top Predators as Indicators of Exploited Marine Ecosystem dynamics' (TOPINEME), and the International Joint Laboratory DISCOH. R. Joo was financially supported by an ARTS grant from IRD and managed by Campus France.

Appendices

Appendix A. Supplementary figures and tables for the analyses.

Figure captions.

Figure 1. Synoptic sketch of the statistical analyses.

971 Figure 2. Univariate series of Environment and Anchovy variables: sea surface temperature or SST **9**72 (a), chlorophyll-a or CHL (b), oxycline depth or OXY (c), global biomass or s_A (d), local biomass or <u>9</u>73 s_{A}^{+} (e), index of spatial occupation or ISO (f), center of gravity of distance from the coast or DC (g), 974 inertia of DC or I (h). Blue points correspond to winter/spring time-periods, and red points 975 correspond to summer periods. Blue dashed lines indicate the significant winter/spring trends. **ģ**76 Here, the time-periods at the x axes are plotted at regular steps, but the trends were actually fitted 977 1077 considering the real intervals between time-periods. Standard deviations of Environment variables 1978 12 1979 are shown in Appendix A, Table A.1.

14 1980 1981 17 Figure 3. Marginal distributions and median by time-period for each Fishermen variable: fishing trip duration (a), distance traveled (b), maximum distance from the coast (c), absolute time from the 17 1982 1983 2083 2984 22 2985 beginning of the trip until the first fishing set (d), proportion of trip duration spent searching (e), proportion of trip duration spent fishing (f), proportion of trip duration spent cruising (g), shape parameter from random walk modeling (h), scale parameter from random walk modeling (i). In each subplot, the colors relate to the marginal distribution of the corresponding variable at each ²4 2986 2987 27 2988 time-period (i.e. column). Therefore, colors represent values between 0 and 1. Each colorbar is bounded by the minimum and the maximum value of the marginal distributions comprised in the subplot. Blue solid lines indicate significant trends (p<0.01) over the whole studied period. Here, 2989 3989 the time-periods at the x axes are plotted at regular steps, but the trends were actually fitted 3**9**90 32 3**9**91 considering the real intervals between time-periods. Complete ranges of values are shown in Appendix A; here, the values associated with the highest marginal distributions are shown. ³⁴ 3992

Figure 4. Time series of PCA scores for each ecosystem compartment. Percentage of explained variance are in parentheses at the top of each subplot. Red points correspond to summer timeperiods and blue points to winter and spring periods. At the right side of each subplot, a label is given to each principal component. (+) and (-) symbols indicate favorable and unfavorable conditions for Fishermen, respectively. *Oxycline depth follows the seasonal cycling. But some specific events such as downwelling Kelvin wave could deepen the oxycline. **This component is only useful when comparing similar scenarios in terms of s_A, s_A⁺ and DC.

Figure 5. Multiple coinertia analysis between Environment, Anchovy and Fishermen compartments. Left panel: projection of variables in the coinertia space. The definition of each variable is given in Table 2. Fishermen variables are in black, Anchovy in blue and Environment in red. Right panel: projection of time-periods in the coinertia space. Each period has three associated points, corresponding to the position of the period described by each compartment. The position of the label of each period corresponds to its location according to the synthetic table. Roman numerals and colors indicate the different clusters of time-periods.

65

63 64

1009	
1010	Figure 6. Idealized 3D representation of the ecological conditions in scenarios I (2002/02-03), II
10^{2}	(2008/02-04). III (2003/10-12) and IV (2001/10-11).
1012	The sun or clouds and their size represent the amount of SST which for this study. Tones of blue-
5 10/13	green color represent the amount of chlorophyll-a. Spots in dark yellow and red represent anchovy
7 1014	aggregations: the level of local aggregation is proportional to the darkness of the red color. Local
1015	aggregations, the level of local aggregation is proportional to the darkness of the red color. Local
1010 1010	aggregation is also represented by the amount of fishing trip. At the right, a table indicates the level of
4Ψ16 12	movements; each color is associated to a fishing trip. At the right, a table indicates the level of
1917 14.0	each variable for the given scenario. Levels can take values of:, -, + and ++ and are associated
1918	to the values taken by each variable in Figures 2 and 3.
10 19 17	
1020	
19 20	
21	
22	
24 25	
26	
27 28	
29	
30 31	
32	
33 34	
35 36	
37	
38 39	
40	
41 42	
43	
45	
46 47	
48	
49 50	
51	
52	
54 55	
56	
57 58	
59	
6U 61	
62 63	
64	

Time-period	Fishing trips
2000/06 - 07	5839
2000/10 - 11	5750
2001/03 - 04	7012
2001/07 - 08	865
2001/10 - 11	1612
2002/02 - 03	1368
2002/10 - 11	6409
2003/10 - 12	6262
2004/11 - 12	8983
2005/11 - 12	15252
2006/02 - 04	2990
2006/11 - 12	8593
2007/02 - 04	2395
2008/02 - 04	4611
2008/11 - 12	8604
2009/02 - 04	3151

Table 1: Number of fishing trips corresponding to each time-period.

Ecosystem	Variable		Data	Scale
compartment	acronym	Description	type	of data
	SST	Averaged sea surface temperature from 3°S to 16°S	AHVRR	Monthly
		and from the shore up to the 2500 m isobath (in $^{\circ}C$)		data
Environment	CHL	Averaged Chlorophyll-a from 3°S to 16°S	SEAWIFS and MODIS	Monthly
		and up to the 2500 m isobath (in mg.m ^{-3})		data
	OXY	Average of oxycline depth	CTD and NISKIN	Scientific
		from $7^{\circ}S$ to $16^{\circ}S$ (in m)		survey
	SA	Index of global fish acoustic biomass equal to	Output from acoustic surveys	Acoustic
		$\log((\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{NASC}_i/n) + 1) \text{ (in } m^2 \text{.nm}^{-2})$	(Bertrand et al., 2004)	survey
	s_{A^+}	Index of local fish biomass equal to	Output from acoustic surveys	A coustic
		$\log((\sum_{i=1}^{n} \text{NASC}_i/n) + 1), \forall \text{NASC} > 0 \text{ (in } \text{m}^2.\text{nm}^{-2})$	(Gutierrez et al., 2007)	survey
$\operatorname{Anchovy}$	ISO	Index of spatial occupation. Percentage of	Output from acoustic surveys	A coustic
		ESDU where anchovy is present $(NASC > 0)$	(Gutierrez et al., 2007)	survey
	DC	Center of gravity of the distance to the coast	Output from acoustic surveys	A coustic
		of the acoustically observed anchovy (in km)	(Gutierrez et al., 2007)	survey
		$DC = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (dc_i \log(NASC_i + 1)) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(NASC_i + 1)$		
	I	Inertia of DC (in km)	Output from acoustic surveys	A coustic
		$I = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (dc_i - DC)^2 \log(NASC_i + 1) / \sum_{i=1}^{n} \log(NASC_i + 1)$		survey
	Dur	Fishing trip duration (in hours)	Computed from VMS data	Fishing trip
	Dist	Distance traveled during a fishing trip (in nm)	Computed from VMS data	Fishing trip
	Max.DC	Maximum distance to the coast	Computed from VMS data	$\operatorname{Fishing}$
		during a fishing trip (in nm)		trip
	Searching	Proportion of fishing trip	Model output from VMS and	$\operatorname{Fishing}$
		duration spent searching	observers data (Joo et al., 2013)	trip
$\operatorname{Fishermen}$	$\operatorname{Fishing}$	Proportion of fishing trip	Model output from VMS and	$\operatorname{Fishing}$
		duration spent fishing	observers data (Joo et al., 2013)	trip
	Cruising	Proportion of fishing trip	Model output from VMS and	$\operatorname{Fishing}$
		duration spent cruising	observers data (Joo et al., 2013)	trip
	$\operatorname{Bef.Fishing}$	Absolute time from the beginning of the	Model output from VMS and	$\operatorname{Fishing}$
		trip until the first fishing set (in hours)	observers data (Joo et al., 2013)	trip
	k	Shape parameter from random	Model output from VMS data	Vessel moves
		walk modeling	(Bertrand et al. in review)	by season
	sigma	Scale parameter from random	Model output from VMS data	Vessel moves
		walk modeling	(Bertrand et al. in review)	by season

Table 2: Description of Environment, Anchovy and Fishermen variables.

Notes: NASC_i: nautical-area-backscattering coefficient at i^{th} georeferenced elementary distance sampling unit (ESDU). dc_i: distance to the coast at the i^{th} ESDU.

Ecosystem	Variables	Comp 1	onents
	CIII	1	2
	CHL	0.93	_
Environment	SST	0.94	—
	OXY	-0.71	-0.70
	$Explained \ variance$	75%	21%
	s_{A^+}	0.88	_
	s_A	0.84	—
Anchovy	DC	-0.87	_
v	Ι	-0.87	_
	ISO	_	0.94
	Explained variance	60%	23%
	Fishing	0.92	_
	k	0.70	-0.69
	Searching	0.67	_
	Dur	-0.70	_
Fishermen	Max.DC	-0.78	-0.59
	Bef.Fishing	-0.81	_
	Dist	-0.83	_
	sigma	-0.86	_
	Cruising	-0.93	_
	Explained variance	71%	23%

Table 3: Significant correlations between variables and their principal components (p < 0.05). The percentage of explained variance of each component at each compartment is showed in italic.

Variables	Axis 1 (73%)	Axis 2 (17%)
SST	0.89	0.03
CHL	0.84	0.01
OXY	-0.55	-0.64
s_A^+	0.86	0.02
s_A	0.80	0.37
ISO	-0.13	0.74
DC	-0.81	0.15
Ι	-0.81	0.12
Fishing	0.88	0.10
k	0.64	-0.62
Max.DC	-0.77	-0.35
sigma	-0.82	0.49
Cruising	-0.86	-0.05

Table 4: Correlations between variables and the multiple coinertia axes.



SCENARIOS











Figure4









Variable	Level
SST	++
CHL	**
OXY	÷.
5 _A	++
84*	
150	**
DC	
1	-
Max.DC	
Fishing	+
Cruising	
k	
sigma	12



Variable	Level
SST	+
CHL	++ :
OXY	S
5.	**
84*	**
tSO	-
DC	-
1	-
Max DC	
Fishing	
Cruising	-
8	
signa	1441



Variable	Level
SST	1
CHL	8.
OXY	
84.	
RAT.	
ISO	-
DC.	
t	÷.
Max.DC	.++ .
Fishing	
Cruising	
¥.	*
sigma	1



Variable	Level
\$\$7	-
CHL	÷.
OXY	
R _A	
SA*	
150	**
0C	
1	**
Max.DC	++
Fishing	-
Cruising	**
κ.	
sigma .	4

Supplementary Material Click here to download e-component: AppA.pdf