

Tree diversity does not always improve resistance of forest ecosystems to drought

Charlotte Grossiord, André Granier, Sophia Ratcliffe, Olivier Bouriaud, Helge Bruelheide, Ewa Chećko, David Ian Forrester, Seid Muhie Dawud, Leena Finér, Martina Pollastrini, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Charlotte Grossiord, André Granier, Sophia Ratcliffe, Olivier Bouriaud, Helge Bruelheide, et al.. Tree diversity does not always improve resistance of forest ecosystems to drought. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 2014, 111 (41), pp.14812-14815. 10.1073/pnas.1411970111. hal-01190150

HAL Id: hal-01190150 https://hal.science/hal-01190150

Submitted on 1 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

- 1 *Classification:* Biological Sciences (Major), Ecology (Minor)
- 2

3 Tree diversity does not always improve resistance of forest ecosystems to 4 drought 5 6 Short title: Diversity and drought resistance

7

8 Charlotte Grossiord,^a André Granier,^a Sophia Ratcliffe,^b Olivier Bouriaud, ^c Helge

9 Bruelheide,^{d, e} Ewa Chećko,^f David I. Forrester,^g Seid Muhie Dawud,^h Leena Finér,ⁱ Martina

Pollastrini, ^j Michael Scherer-Lorenzen, ^k Fernando Valladares, ^l Damien Bonal, ^{a,1} Arthur
 Gessler ^{m, n}

12

^a INRA, UMR 1137 Ecologie et Ecophysiologie Forestières, 54280 Champenoux, France. ^b 13 Institut für Spezielle Botanik und Funktionelle Biodiversität, University Leipzig, 04103 14 Leipzig, Germany. ^c University of Suceava, Forestry Faculty, 720229, Suceava, Romania. ^d 15 16 Institute of Biology / Geobotany and Botanical Garden, Martin Luther University Halle 17 Wittenberg, Am Kirchtor 1, 06108 Halle, Germany. ^eGerman Centre for Integrative 18 Biodiversity Research (iDiv), Deutscher Platz 5e, 04103 Leipzig, Germany. ^fUniversity of 19 Warsaw, Faculty of Biology, Bialowieza Geobotanical Station, 17-230 Bialowieza, Poland.^g 20 Chair of Silviculture, Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources, Freiburg University, 79108 Freiburg, Germany.^h University of Copenhagen, Department of Geosciences and 21 22 Natural Resource Management, Rolighedsvej 23, 1958 Copenhagen, Denmark.ⁱFinnish Forest Research Institute, P.O. Box 68, 80101 Joensuu, Finland. ^j University of Florence, 23

- 24 Dept. of Agri-food and Environmental Sciences, Section of Soil and Plant Science, 50144
- 25 Florence, Italy. ^kFaculty of Biology / Geobotany, University Freiburg, Germany. ¹ Museo
- 26 Nacional de Ciencias Naturales, MNCN, CSIC, E-28006 Madrid, Spain.^m Long-term Forest
- 27 Ecosystem Research (LWF), Swiss Federal Institute for Forest, Snow and Landscape
- 28 Research (WSL), 8903 Birmensdorf, Switzerland. ⁿ Berlin-Brandenburg Institute of Advanced
- 29 Biodiversity Research (BBIB), 14195 Berlin, Germany

30

31 *Keywords:* biodiversity, climate change, forest ecosystems, ecology

32 Significance statement

33 In the context of climate change, expected drier and warmer environmental conditions will 34 have drastic consequences on forest functions and services and may bring about important 35 drought-induced die-off events. Biodiversity promotes forest ecosystem performance and 36 resistance to insect pests and diseases, but whether or not diverse forests are also better 37 adapted to deal with drought stress remains unknown. Within our study network of 160 forest 38 stands across Europe, we found that mixed species forests are less exposed to drought stress 39 in some regions only. Therefore, managing forest ecosystems for high tree species diversity 40 does not necessarily assure improved resistance to the more severe and frequent drought 41 events predicted for the future.

42

43 Abstract

44 Climate models predict an increase in the intensity and frequency of drought episodes in the 45 Northern Hemisphere. Among terrestrial ecosystems, forests will be profoundly impacted by 46 drier climatic conditions with drastic consequences for the functions and services they supply. 47 Simultaneously, biodiversity is known to support a wide range of forest ecosystem functions 48 and services. However, whether biodiversity also improves the resistance of these ecosystems 49 to drought remains unclear. We compared soil drought exposure levels in a total of 160 forest 50 stands within five major forest types across Europe along a gradient of tree species diversity. 51 We assessed soil drought exposure in each forest stand by calculating the stand-level increase 52 in carbon isotope composition of late wood from a wet to a dry year ($\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$). $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ 53 exhibited a negative linear relationship with tree species diversity in two forest types, 54 suggesting that species interactions in these forests diminished the drought exposure of the 55 ecosystem. However, the other three forest types were unaffected by tree species diversity. We

- 56 conclude that higher diversity enhances resistance to drought events only in drought-prone
- 57 environments. Managing forest ecosystems for high tree species diversity does not necessarily
- 58 assure improved adaptability to the more severe and frequent drought events predicted for the
- 59 future.
- 60 \body

61 Biodiversity plays an important role in ecosystem functioning by promoting a wide range of 62 functions and services (1-3). This beneficial effect of biodiversity is determined by 63 mechanistic processes directly under the influence of species interactions: complementarity 64 among species for resource use through ecological niche partitioning and/or facilitation 65 processes increase ecosystem performance because resources are better shared among 66 neighboring species and are thus potentially more available (4). Previous studies have 67 demonstrated that, apart from enhancing performance, diverse terrestrial ecosystems may also 68 be more resilient and more resistant to biotic stresses such as insect pests or diseases (5, 6). 69 However, it remains unclear whether higher biodiversity also leads to improved resistance of 70 terrestrial ecosystems to the more frequent droughts expected in temperate regions (7). The 71 rare case studies published so far have shown contrasting results. Two reported that species in 72 more diverse ecosystems could be more resistant to drought stress (8, 9) while another 73 suggested that enhanced biodiversity could trigger higher exposure to drought (10). 74 Improving our understanding of how species diversity influences the resistance of terrestrial 75 ecosystems to a fluctuating climate is crucial.

76 More frequent and intense droughts will greatly affect the carbon and water cycles of the 77 terrestrial biosphere (11), in particular in forested ecosystems (12). Many societies around the 78 world rely on forests for essential services such as wood production, hunting or watershed 79 protection. We therefore urgently need to improve our knowledge of the physiological 80 response of these ecosystems to drier climatic conditions in order to propose new climatesmart management options. Forests, though influenced by local environmental conditions, 81 82 play a major role in the global carbon and water balance as they release into and assimilate 83 from the atmosphere huge amounts of CO₂ while losing water vapour through transpiration. 84 Tree species are known to vary widely in the ecological strategies they use to deal with 85 drought stress. It could therefore be expected that in highly diverse forests composed of tree

86 species with contrasting functional traits, limited water resources could be better partitioned 87 among the neighboring species as a result of complementarity and facilitation processes (4). 88 Such forests should be more resistant to deal with drought stress since the trees should be able 89 to maintain better access to diminishing water resources as the drought progresses. In 90 contrast, if the interacting species in a diverse forest have similar functional traits (i.e. 91 functional redundancy), ecological niche overlap (13) may lead to more stressful conditions 92 during drought than in pure stands due to lower water availability for each species. Carbon isotope composition in C₃ plant tissues (δ^{13} C) provides an integrated record of the 93 94 ratio of intercellular to atmospheric CO₂ concentrations during the period when the carbon 95 was fixed, and thus reflects the balance between net CO₂ assimilation and stomatal 96 conductance (14). Plants typically react towards drought stress by closing their stomata and 97 reducing carbon assimilation rates. However, leaf stomatal conductance is affected to a 98 greater extent than assimilation, causing a concomitant increase in δ^{13} C (14, 15). Therefore, 99 under soil drought conditions, δ^{13} C from organic material has been widely accepted as an 100 indicator of the intensity of drought exposure in plants (16, 17) (SI Appendix). If complementarity for water use is occurring among species, δ^{13} C values should increase less 101 102 between wet and dry soil conditions with increasing tree species diversity (i.e. a negative relationship). Inversely, if tree species occupy redundant ecological niches, δ^{13} C values should 103 104 either have a similar or higher increase between wet and dry conditions with increasing tree 105 species diversity (i.e. a null or positive relationship).

106 In a previous study, we analyzed the influence of drought on the relationship between tree 107 species diversity and the increase in stand-level carbon isotope composition between a wet 108 and dry year ($\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$) in boreal forests (10). Species diverse forests were shown to be more 109 affected by drought stress than less diverse ones (i.e. a positive relationship between $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ 110 and tree species diversity). In the present study, we have extended our research to five major

forest types across Europe which extends from northern hemi-boreal forests to southern 111 Mediterranean forests. Our objective was to test whether the relationship between $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ and 112 113 tree species diversity would be consistent across a large range of climatic and edaphic 114 conditions. At each of the five study sites, we selected a set of representative canopy trees in 115 21 to 42 forest stands varying in tree species diversity. For each site, we used a water balance 116 modeling approach to select one year within the last 14 years with high drought stress and one 117 "reference" year when no drought occurred (SI Appendix, Fig. S1 and S2). We measured the δ^{13} C in the tree rings of the selected canopy trees and calculated $\Delta \delta^{13}$ Cs for each stand. 118

119

120 Results

121 Within a given forest type, there was a large variability among species in $\Delta \delta^{13}$ C values (*SI* 122 *Appendix*, Fig. S3), pointing out that drought response highly varied across tree species. 123 Nevertheless, whatever the forest type, $\Delta \delta^{13}$ C always displayed positive values (*SI Appendix*, 124 Fig. S3), which is consistent with the considerable literature on the impact of drought on tree-125 or species-level δ^{13} C (16, 17). This result confirmed that the tree rings selected for the targeted 126 dry year coincided with years when all tree species clearly suffered from a severe and long-127 lasting water shortage.

128 Mean site $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ values were highly variable across the different forest types (Fig. 1). This 129 can be partly explained by the large differences in the intensity of drought stress during the 130 selected dry years across forest types (*SI Appendix*, Table S3). We found a wide variability in 131 $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ among forest stands within a given forest type (Fig. 2). The observed range of values 132 (typically around 2 ‰) suggested highly contrasting ecosystem-level carbon and water trade-133 offs among stands indicating highly contrasting soil water availability during the dry year. 134 Among the confounding factors that were included in the $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ statistical model 135 (competition intensity, light interception levels, microclimate and soil nutrient availability), in 136 the hemi-boreal and mountainous beech forest types only competition intensity explained part 137 of the large variability (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S4), the other factors being non-significant (*SI* 138 *Appendix*, Table S4). In the temperate beech and thermophilous deciduous forest types, 139 variations in $\Delta\delta^{13}C_s$ were only significantly correlated with tree species diversity (Fig. 2, 140 Table S4). Variations observed in the Mediterranean forest were not explained by tree 141 diversity, nor by any of the confounding factors (*SI Appendix*, Table S4).

142

143 Discussion

The positive relationship between $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ and tree species diversity that had been previously 144 145 observed for boreal forests (10) indicated a higher drought exposure in the more diverse 146 stands. This previous study suggested that drought stress could exacerbate competition for 147 water among neighboring tree species. However, in the present study, we found evidence that such a detrimental effect of tree species diversity cannot be generalized to European forest 148 149 types. Indeed, we observed either no relationship (hemi-boreal, mountainous beech, 150 Mediterranean forests) or negative relationships (temperate beech, thermophilous deciduous forests) between $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ and tree species diversity (Fig. 2). 151

152 The negative relationship between $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ and tree species diversity that we observed for 153 temperate beech and thermophilous deciduous forests implies that water availability was 154 higher in diverse stands than in pure ones under drought conditions. These observations are 155 consistent with other studies in which tree species diversity was found to reduce drought 156 stress (8, 9). Higher water availability in more diverse stands suggests niche partitioning 157 and/or facilitation processes among the interacting species. Below-ground partitioning may 158 occur when species that extend their root systems towards deeper soil layers coexist with others that occupy the superficial layers. Facilitation mechanisms such as hydraulic lift
whereby deeper rooting tree species take up water and redistribute it via their root system to
drier superficial soil layers are also known to take place in mixed forest ecosystems (18).
Higher functional diversity of the fungal community could also partially improve water
availability in more diverse stands (19). These underlying processes nevertheless remain
speculative and future research is needed to shed light on the relative contribution of these
potential processes (20).

166 For the three other forest types (hemi-boreal, mountainous beech and Mediterranean), the 167 relationship between $\Delta \delta^{13}$ C_s and tree species diversity was non-significant (Fig. 2), suggesting 168 that no net resource partitioning or facilitation processes were occurring. For these three forest 169 types, tree species diversity did not play an important role in modulating ecosystem-level 170 response to drought stress, despite strong functional differences among species in their 171 response to drought (SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Intra-specific and inter-specific interactions 172 therefore seem to have an equal influence on the level of drought exposure in these 173 ecosystems. The tree species that compose these forests may be "ecological equivalents" (21) 174 that use similar competitive strategies to deal with drought stress. However, for both the hemiboreal and mountainous beech forests, the variability among stands in $\Delta \delta^{13}$ C_s was partly 175 176 explained by competition intensity (i.e. basal area, SI Appendix, Fig. S4). Stands with higher basal area showed the greatest increase in $\Delta \delta^{13}$ C_s, and thus the strongest soil water limitation. 177 In these forest types, silvicultural practices controlling stand basal area may therefore be one 178 179 management option to improve resistance to drought.

Environmental conditions are highly variable along the North-South gradient considered in this study and could account for the inconsistency of the response among the different forest types. Negative relationships occurred in the temperate beech and thermophilous deciduous forests, the two sites that showed the highest mean drought stress intensity and the highest

drought stress frequency over the past 14 years (SI Appendix, Table S3). In contrast, drought 184 185 events in the boreal and hemi-boreal forests were moderate and rather rare (*SI Appendix*, 186 Table S3), and these are the sites where we observed positive relationships (10) or no effect of 187 species interaction. Thus, overall, the global pattern we found seems consistent with the 188 "stress-gradient hypothesis" (22, 23), though our study was not designed to test this 189 hypothesis. This hypothesis indeed suggests that facilitation and complementarity processes 190 should occur more frequently and should be more important under drier conditions while 191 competition should dominate under favorable ones. The Mediterranean forest was also 192 characterized by high drought stress intensity and frequency (SI Appendix, Table S3), but we 193 found no effect of species interactions. We suspect that the very shallow soils found at this site 194 prevented any chance for the establishment of below-ground complementarity processes such as root stratification. 195

196 We conclude that higher tree species diversity offers a greater resistance to drought events in 197 some forest types, but that this pattern cannot be generalized to all forest ecosystems. Forest 198 response is likely to be context-dependent. Local tree species associations are probably 199 interacting with local environmental conditions and this would explain the complexity of the 200 relationship between biodiversity and forest resistance to drought stress we observed across 201 Europe. Managing forest ecosystems for high tree species diversity does not necessarily 202 assure improved resistance to the more severe and frequent drought events predicted for the 203 future.

204

205 Materials and methods

Experimental sites. The sites used in this study are included in a permanent network of
stands established in 2011-2012 in existing mature forests in five European countries
(Germany, Poland, Romania, Italy, Spain) within the framework of the FP7-FunDivEurope

209 project (24). The study areas include highly variable climatic conditions and host Europe's 210 most important broadleaved and coniferous tree species (*SI Appendix*). In each site 21 to 42 211 forest stands (30 m \times 30 m) were selected for a total of 160 stands covering a gradient of tree species diversity, from pure to the local maximum of between three and five species per stand 212 213 (SI Appendix). Each level of tree species diversity was replicated approximately ten times, but 214 with different species compositions: the experimental set-up was not designed to separate the 215 effect of the presence of particular species or particular combinations of species from the 216 effect of tree species diversity. To minimize confounding factors among stands within a given 217 site, stands were selected for similar developmental stage, soil characteristics, slope, altitude, 218 past management practises, or canopy structure characteristics (24). This focuses the study on 219 rather average site conditions and is not suited to assess the influence of species diversity 220 across a wider range of environmental factors. With this design we aimed, however, to 221 eliminate other local factors that could have affected ecosystem functioning as much as tree 222 species diversity. Nevertheless, some variability among stands within a given site could not be 223 avoided and stand characteristics were included in the statistical analyses as confounding 224 factors. A detailed description of stand selection and characteristics can be found in SI 225 Appendix and (24). To characterize each stand's tree species diversity, we calculated the 226 Shannon diversity index of each stand with species basal area as a surrogate for abundance in 227 the equation (SI Appendix).

228

Selection of target years. In order to select a year with non-limiting soil water conditions during the growing season and a year with severe soil drought during the growing season, we used the water balance model BILJOU (25) to estimate the daily relative extractable water in the soil (REW, unitless) for each site during the period from 1997 to 2010 (*SI Appendix*, Fig. S1). Based on REW, a water stress index (25) was calculated and used to select the two years
(*SI Appendix*, Fig. S2).

235

236 Wood sample preparation and analyses. We selected a subsample of dominant and codominant trees per species and per stand to avoid confounding factors related to light 237 238 interception (*SI Appendix*). For each tree, we extracted one wood core at breast height and 239 carefully extracted the latewood for each selected year. Latewood sections from a given 240 species, a given year and a given stand were bulked and analyzed for δ^{13} C with a mass spectrometer. By selecting only the latewood, whose δ^{13} C mainly characterizes the 241 242 functioning of the trees during the second part of the growing season, we avoided potential 243 effects related to the remobilization of stored photosynthates from the previous growing 244 season (26) or to a favorable spring climate.

245

Stand-level carbon isotope composition. We calculated the stand-level δ^{13} C for each year using species-level δ^{13} C, with the sum of basal area of each species in each stand as the weighting factor for the contribution of each species (*SI Appendix*). $\Delta\delta^{13}$ Cs was then defined as the increase in stand-level δ^{13} C between the dry and the wet conditions and therefore characterizes the ecosystem-level physiological response to soil drought.

251

Characterization of confounding factors. The following stand characteristics were
measured in order to take them into account in the statistical model: leaf area index (i.e. light
regimes), soil C/N (i.e. nutrient availability), stand basal area (competition intensity) and
altitude (local climatic conditions).

256

257 **Data analyses.** For each site, linear mixed models were used to determine the fixed effects of 258 the Shannon diversity index and the confounding factors on $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ (*SI Appendix*, Table S4). 259 Interactions between the Shannon index and the confounding factors were initially integrated into the model but then were removed because none were significant. 260 261 262 Footnotes ¹To whom correspondence should be addresses. e-mail: <u>bonal@nancy.inra.fr</u> 263 264 Author contributions: DB, AG^a, and AG^{l,m} designed the research; OB, HB, EC, LF, MP, M S-L 265 and FV selected the sites; CG, DB, AG^a, AG^{m,n}, MP and SMD performed research; CG, DB, 266 AG^a and AG^{l,m} analyzed the data. All authors contributed to the writing of the paper. DB and 267

AG^{*m*,*n*} contributed to the supervision of the work in a similar manner and share a similar

269 position (latest) as co-authors.

270

268

271 The authors declare no conflict of interest.

272 This article contains supporting information online.

273

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. We are especially grateful to Bogdan Jaroszewicz, Fillipo 274 275 Bussotti, Timo Domish and all the site technicians for establishing the study stands and for 276 their logistical help. We thank Daniel Avăcăriței, Iulian Dănilă, Gabriel Duduman and Ionuț 277 Bărnoaiea for their help with the wood core sampling. We also thank Francois Geremia for the 278 wood sample preparation and Pierre Montpied for his advice on statistical analyses. We thank 279 the technical Isotope Platform of INRA Nancy and the Isotope Facility of UC Davis for the carbon isotope analyses. Two anonymous reviewers significantly contributed to the 280 281 improvement of a previous manuscript. The research leading to these results received funding

- from the European Union Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant
- agreement n° 265171. This research was conducted in the framework of the Laboratory of
- 284 Excellence ARBRE (ANR-12- LABXARBRE-01) supported by the French National Research
- 285 Agency. AG^{m,n} acknowledges financial support from the DFG (GE 1090/8-1). EC
- acknowledges financial support from the Polish Ministry of Science and Higher Education.

287

288 **References**

| 289 | 1. | Balvanera P, et al. (2006) Quantifying the evidence for biodiversity effects on |
|-----|----|--|
| 290 | | ecosystem functioning and services. <i>Ecol Lett</i> 9(10): 1146-1156. |
| 291 | 2. | Zavaleta ES, Pasari JR, Hulvey KB, Tilman GD (2010) Sustaining multiple ecosystem |
| 292 | | functions in grasslands communities requires higher biodiversity. Proc Natl Acad Sci |
| 293 | | USA 107(4): 1443-1446. |
| 294 | 3. | Hector A, Bagchi R (2007) Biodiversity and ecosystem multifunctionality. <i>Nature</i> |
| 295 | | 448(7150): 188-190. |
| 296 | 4. | Loreau M, et al. (2001) Biodiversity and ecosystem functioning: current knowledge |
| 297 | | and future challenges. Science 294(5543): 804-808. |
| 298 | 5. | Zhu Y, et al. (2000) Genetic diversity and disease control in rice. <i>Nature</i> 406(6797): |
| 299 | | 718-722. |
| 300 | 6. | Jactel H, Brockerhoff EG (2007) Tree diversity reduces herbivory by forest insects. |
| 301 | | <i>Ecol Lett</i> 10(9): 835-848. |
| 302 | 7. | IPCC (2007) Climate change 2007: Synthesis report. Contribution of Working Groups |
| 303 | | I, II and III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on |
| 304 | | Climate Change. eds Pachauri RK, Reisinger A (Cambridge University Press, |
| 305 | | Cambridge), p104. |
| 306 | 8. | Lebourgeois F, Gomez N, Pinto P, Mérian P (2013) Mixed stands reduce Abies alba |
| 307 | | tree-ring sensitivity to summer drought in the Vosges mountains, western Europe. For |
| 308 | | Ecol Manag 303: 61-71. |
| 309 | 9. | Pretzsch H, Schütze G, Uhl E (2013) Resistance of European tree species to drought |
| 310 | | stress in mixed versus pure forests: evidence of stress release by inter-specific |
| | | |

- 311
- facilitation. *Plant Biol* 15: 483-495.

| 312 | 10. | Grossiord C, Granier A, Gessler A, Jucker T, Bonal D (2014) Does Drought Influence |
|-----|-----|--|
| 313 | | the Relationship Between Biodiversity and Ecosystem Functioning in Boreal Forests? |
| 314 | | <i>Ecosystems</i> 17(3): 394-404. |
| 315 | 11. | Breshears DD, et al. (2005) Regional vegetation die-off in response to global-change- |
| 316 | | type drought. <i>Proc Nat Acad Sci USA</i> 102(42): 15144-15148. |
| 317 | 12. | Allen CD, et al. (2010) A global overview of drought and heat-induced tree mortality |
| 318 | | reveals emerging climate change risks for forests. <i>For Ecol Manag</i> 259:660-684. |
| 319 | 13. | Rosenfeld JS (2002) Functional redundancy in ecology and conservation. <i>Oikos</i> 98(1): |
| 320 | | 156-162. |
| 321 | 14. | Farquhar GD, Ehleringer JR, Hubick KT (1989) Carbon isotope discrimination and |
| 322 | | photosynthesis. Ann Rev Plant Physiol 40: 503-537. |
| 323 | 15. | Keitel C et al. (2003) Carbon and oxygen isotope composition of organic compounds |
| 324 | | in the phloem sap provides a short-term measure for stomatal conductance of |
| 325 | | European beech (Fagus sylvatica L.). Plant Cell Environ 26(7): 1157-1168. |
| 326 | 16. | Ehleringer JR, Cooper TA (1988) Correlations between carbon isotope ratio and |
| 327 | | microhabitat in desert plants. <i>Oecologia</i> 76(4): 562-566. |
| 328 | 17. | O'Leary MH (1995) Environmental effects on carbon fractionation in terrestrial plants. |
| 329 | | Stable Isotopes in the Biosphere. eds Wada E, Yoneyama T, Minigawa M, Ando T, Fry |
| 330 | | BD (Kyoto University Press, Kyoto) pp. 78-91. |
| 331 | 18. | Zapater M, et al. (2011) Evidence of hydraulic lift in a young beech and oak mixed |
| 332 | | forest using ¹⁸ O soil water labelling. <i>Trees</i> 25(5): 885-894. |
| 333 | 19. | Buée M, et al. (2011). Influence of tree species on richness and diversity of epigeous |
| 334 | | fungal communities in a French temperate forest stand. <i>Func Ecol</i> 4(1): 22-31. |
| | | |

| 335 | 20. Pretzsch H, et al. (2014) Mixed Norway spruce (<i>Picea abies</i> [L.] Karst) and European |
|-----|---|
| 336 | beech (<i>Fagus sylvatica</i> [L.]) stands under drought: from reaction pattern to |
| 337 | mechanism. <i>Trees</i> : 1-17 |
| 338 | 21. Goldberg DE, Werner PA (1983) Equivalence of competitors in plant communities: a |
| 339 | hypothesis and field experimental approach. Am J Bot 70:1098–1104. |
| 340 | 22. Bertness M, Callaway RM (1994) Positive interactions in communities. <i>Trends Ecol</i> |
| 341 | <i>Evol</i> 9(5): 191-193. |
| 342 | 23. He Q, Bertness MD (2014) Extreme stresses, niches, and positive species interactions |
| 343 | along stress gradients. <i>Ecology</i> 95(6): 1437-1443. |
| 344 | 24. Baeten L, et al. (2013) A novel comparative research platform designed to determine |
| 345 | the functional significance of tree species diversity in European forests. <i>Perspect</i> |
| 346 | <i>Plant Ecol Evol Syst</i> 15(5): 281-291. |
| 347 | 25. Granier A, Bréda N, Biron P, Villette S (1999) A lumped water balance model to |
| 348 | evaluate duration and intensity of drought constraints in forest stands. Ecol Model |
| 349 | 116(2-3): 269-283. |
| 350 | 26. Offermann CF, et al. (2011) The long way down - are carbon and oxygen isotope |
| 351 | signals in the tree ring uncoupled from canopy physiological processes? Tree Physiol |
| | |

352 31(10): 1088-1102.

353 Figure legends

Figure 1: Intensity of the physiological response to drought stress during the targeted dry year. Mean \pm SE of the increase in stand-level carbon isotope composition ($\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$, ‰) between the dry year and the year without water stress are shown for all stands with all tree species diversity levels for a given forest type. The increase in $\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$ between dry and wet conditions characterizes the intensity of drought stress to which the ecosystems were subjected.

360

Figure 2: Increase in stand-level carbon isotope composition in relation to tree species diversity for each forest type. Relationship between the increase in stand-level carbon isotope composition ($\Delta \delta^{13}C_s$, ‰) and the Shannon diversity index for the hemi-boreal, mountainous beech, temperate beech, thermophilous deciduous and Mediterranean forest types. Solid lines show the statistically significant relationships (*P* < 0.05). Corresponding equations, R² and *P*values are given for each significant relationship.

367