



HAL
open science

A compactness result for an equation with Holderian condition.

Samy Skander Bahoura

► **To cite this version:**

Samy Skander Bahoura. A compactness result for an equation with Holderian condition.. 2015.
hal-01187668v1

HAL Id: hal-01187668

<https://hal.science/hal-01187668v1>

Preprint submitted on 27 Aug 2015 (v1), last revised 18 Jan 2018 (v4)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A COMPACTNESS RESULT FOR AN EQUATION WITH HOLDERIAN CONDITION.

SAMY SKANDER BAHOURA

ABSTRACT. We give a blow-up analysis for Brezis-Merle problem with Dirichlet condition. As an application, we have a proof for Brezis-Merle Problem with Hölderian condition.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60 35B45 35B50

Keywords: blow-up, boundary, Dirichlet condition, a priori estimate, Hölder condition.

1. INTRODUCTION AND MAIN RESULTS

We set $\Delta = -(\partial_{11} + \partial_{22})$ on open set Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 with a smooth boundary.

We consider the following equation:

$$(P) \begin{cases} \Delta u = V(1 + |x|^{2\beta})e^u & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Here, we assume that:

$$0 \leq V \leq b < +\infty, \quad e^u \in L^1(\Omega) \text{ and } u \in W_0^{1,1}(\Omega),$$

and,

$$0 \in \partial\Omega, \quad \beta \in [0, 1/2).$$

We can see in [6] a nice formulation to this problem (P) in the sens of the distributions. This Problem arises in geometrical and physical problems, see for example [1, 2, 16, 17]. The above equation was studied by many authors, with or without the boundary condition, also for Riemannian surfaces, see [1-18], where one can find some existence and compactness results. In [5] we have the following important Theorem,

Theorem A(Brezis-Merle [5]).*For $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ two sequences of functions relative to (P) with,*

$$0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$$

then it holds,

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on a, b, K and Ω .

One can find in [5] an interior estimate if we assume $a = 0$, but we need an assumption on the integral of e^{u_i} , namely, we have:

Theorem B(Brezis-Merle [5]). For $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ two sequences of functions relative to the problem (P) with,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

then it holds;

$$\sup_K u_i \leq c,$$

with c depending on b, C, K and Ω .

When $a = 0$, the boundedness of $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i}$ is a necessary condition to work on the problem (P) as showed in [5] by the following counterexample.

Theorem C(Brezis-Merle [5]). There are two sequences $(u_i)_i$ and $(V_i)_i$ of the problem (P) with,

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dy \leq C,$$

such that,

$$\sup_{\Omega} u_i \rightarrow +\infty.$$

When $\beta = 0$, the above equation have many properties in the constant and the Lipschitzian cases:

Note that for the problem (P) ($\beta = 0$), by using the Pohozaev identity, we can prove that $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i}$ is uniformly bounded when $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$ and $\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A$ and Ω starshaped, when $a = 0$ and $\nabla \log V_i$ is uniformly bounded, we can bound uniformly $\int_{\Omega} V_i e^{u_i}$. In [14], Ma-Wei have proved that those results stay true for all open sets not necessarily starshaped.

In [8] ($\beta = 0$), Chen-Li have proved that if $a = 0$ and $\nabla \log V_i$ is uniformly bounded, then the functions are uniformly bounded near the boundary.

In [8] ($\beta = 0$), Chen-Li have proved that if $a = 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i}$ is uniformly bounded and $\nabla \log V_i$ is uniformly bounded, then we have the compactness result directly. Ma-Wei in [14], extend this result in the case where $a > 0$.

If we assume V more regular, we can have another type of estimates, a sup + inf type inequalities. It was proved by Shafrir see [15], that, if $(u_i)_i, (V_i)_i$ are two sequences of functions solutions of the previous equation without assumption on the boundary and, $0 < a \leq V_i \leq b < +\infty$, then we have the following interior estimate:

$$C \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) \sup_K u_i + \inf_{\Omega} u_i \leq c = c(a, b, K, \Omega).$$

One can see in [9] an explicit value of $C \left(\frac{a}{b} \right) = \sqrt{\frac{a}{b}}$. In his proof, Shafrir has used a blow-up function, the Stokes formula and an isoperimetric inequality, see [2]. For Chen-Lin, they have used the blow-up analysis combined with some geometric type inequality for the integral curvature.

Now, if we suppose $(V_i)_i$ uniformly Lipschitzian with A the Lipschitz constant, then, $C(a/b) = 1$ and $c = c(a, b, A, K, \Omega)$, see Brezis-Li-Shafrir [4]. This result was extended for Hölderian sequences $(V_i)_i$ by Chen-Lin, see [9]. Also, one can see in [12], an extension of the Brezis-Li-Shafrir result to compact Riemannian surfaces without boundary. One can see in [13] explicit form, $(8\pi m, m \in \mathbb{N}^*$ exactly), for the numbers in front of the Dirac masses when the solutions blow-up. Here, the notion of isolated blow-up point is used. Also, in [18], we have refined estimates near the isolated blow-up points and the bubbling behavior of the blow-up sequences.

In [7], we have some a priori estimates on the 2 and 3-spheres $\mathbb{S}_2, \mathbb{S}_3$.

Here we give the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary and a proof of Brezis-Merle Problem when $\beta \geq 0$.

The Brezis-Merle Problem (see [5]) is:

Problem. Suppose that $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ with $0 \leq V_i \leq b$ for some positive constant b . Also, we consider a sequence of solutions (u_i) of (P) relative to (V_i) such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} e^{u_i} dx \leq C,$$

is it possible to have:

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq C = C(b, \beta, C, V, \Omega)?$$

Here, we give a characterization of the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary and we give a proof of the Brezis-Merle Problem when $\beta \geq 0$. We extend the result of Chen-Li [8].

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b,$$

The condition $V_i \rightarrow V$ in $C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ is not necessary, but for the proof of the compactness for the Brezis-Merle problem we assume that:

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A.$$

We have the following characterization of the behavior of the blow-up points on the boundary.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\max_\Omega u_i \rightarrow +\infty$, where (u_i) are solutions of the problem (P) with:*

$$0 \leq V_i \leq b \text{ and } \int_\Omega e^{u_i} dx \leq C, \quad \forall i,$$

then, after passing to a subsequence, there is a function u , there is a number $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and N points $x_1, \dots, x_N \in \partial\Omega$, such that,

$$\partial_\nu u_i \rightarrow \partial_\nu u + \sum_{j=1}^N \alpha_j \delta_{x_j}, \quad \alpha_j \geq 4\pi, \text{ weakly in the sense of measure } L^1(\partial\Omega).$$

$$u_i \rightarrow u \text{ in } C_{loc}^1(\bar{\Omega} - \{x_1, \dots, x_N\}).$$

In the following theorem, we have a proof of the global a priori estimate which concern the problem (P). Here, we extend the result of Chen-Li and Ma-Wei ($\beta = 0$). The proof of Chen-Li and Ma-Wei [8,14], use the moving-plane method ($\beta = 0$).

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that (u_i) are solutions of (P) relative to (V_i) with the following conditions:*

$$\|\nabla V_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq A \text{ and } \int_\Omega e^{u_i} \leq C,$$

we have,

$$\|u_i\|_{L^\infty} \leq c(b, \beta, A, C, \Omega),$$

2. PROOF OF THE THEOREMS

Proof of theorem 1.1:

We have,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i d\sigma \leq C,$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that $\partial_\nu u_i > 0$. Thus, (using the weak convergence in the space of Radon measures), we have the existence of a positive Radon measure μ such that,

$$\int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_\nu u_i \varphi d\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\varphi), \quad \forall \varphi \in C^0(\partial\Omega).$$

We take an $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$ such that, $\mu(x_0) < 4\pi$. Without loss of generality, we can assume that the following curve, $B(x_0, \epsilon) \cap \partial\Omega := I_\epsilon$ is an interval. (In this case, it is more simple to construct the following test function η_ϵ). We choose a function η_ϵ such that,

$$\begin{cases} \eta_\epsilon \equiv 1, & \text{on } I_\epsilon, \quad 0 < \epsilon < \delta/2, \\ \eta_\epsilon \equiv 0, & \text{outside } I_{2\epsilon}, \\ 0 \leq \eta_\epsilon \leq 1, \\ \|\nabla \eta_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty(I_{2\epsilon})} \leq \frac{C_0(\Omega, x_0)}{\epsilon}. \end{cases}$$

We take a $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ such that,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = \eta_\epsilon & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the following estimate, see [3, 6, 17],

$$\|\nabla u_i\|_{L^q} \leq C_q, \quad \forall i \text{ and } 1 < q < 2.$$

We deduce from the last estimate that, (u_i) converge weakly in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$, almost everywhere to a function $u \geq 0$ and $\int_\Omega e^u < +\infty$ (by Fatou lemma). Also, V_i weakly converge to a nonnegative function V in L^∞ . The function u is in $W_0^{1,q}(\Omega)$ solution of :

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u = V e^u \in L^1(\Omega) & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

According to the corollary 1 of Brezis-Merle result, see [5], we have $e^{ku} \in L^1(\Omega)$, $k > 1$. By the elliptic estimates, we have $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$.

We can write,

$$\Delta((u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon) = (V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon - 2 \langle \nabla(u_i - u), \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle. \quad (1)$$

We use the interior estimate of Brezis-Merle, see [5],

Step 1: Estimate of the integral of the first term of the right hand side of (1).

We use the Green formula between $\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon$ and u , we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega} V e^u \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu} u \eta_{\epsilon} \leq 4\epsilon \|\partial_{\nu} u\|_{L^{\infty}} = C\epsilon \quad (2)$$

We have,

$$\begin{cases} \Delta u_i = V_i e^{u_i} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ u_i = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

We use the Green formula between u_i and $\tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}$ to have:

$$\int_{\Omega} V_i e^{u_i} \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} \partial_{\nu} u_i \eta_{\epsilon} d\sigma \rightarrow \mu(\eta_{\epsilon}) \leq \mu(I_{2\epsilon}) \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0, \quad \epsilon_0 > 0 \quad (3)$$

From (2) and (3) we have for all $\epsilon > 0$ there is $i_0 = i_0(\epsilon)$ such that, for $i \geq i_0$,

$$\int_{\Omega} |(V_i e^{u_i} - V e^u) \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + C\epsilon \quad (4)$$

Step 2: Estimate of integral of the second term of the right hand side of (1).

Let $\Sigma_{\epsilon} = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) = \epsilon^3\}$ and $\Omega_{\epsilon^3} = \{x \in \Omega, d(x, \partial\Omega) \geq \epsilon^3\}$, $\epsilon > 0$. Then, for ϵ small enough, Σ_{ϵ} is hypersurface.

The measure of $\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}$ is $k_2 \epsilon^3 \leq \mu_L(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}) \leq k_1 \epsilon^3$.

Remark: for the unit ball $\bar{B}(0, 1)$, our new manifold is $\bar{B}(0, 1 - \epsilon^3)$.

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \rangle| dx = \int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \rangle| dx + \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \rangle| dx. \quad (5)$$

Step 2.1: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon} \rangle| dx$.

First, we know from the elliptic estimates that $\|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_{\epsilon}\|_{L^{\infty}} \leq C_1/\epsilon^2$, C_1 depends on Ω

We know that $(|\nabla u_i|)_i$ is bounded in L^q , $1 < q < 2$, we can extract from this sequence a subsequence which converge weakly to $h \in L^q$. But, we know that we have locally the uniform convergence to $|\nabla u|$ (by Brezis-Merle theorem), then, $h = |\nabla u|$ a.e. Let q' be the conjugate of q .

We have, $\forall f \in L^{q'}(\Omega)$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u_i| f dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u| f dx$$

If we take $f = 1_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}}$, we have:

$$\text{for } \epsilon > 0 \exists i_1 = i_1(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}, \quad i \geq i_1, \quad \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u| + \epsilon^3.$$

Then, for $i \geq i_1(\epsilon)$,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} |\nabla u_i| \leq \text{mes}(\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}) \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + \epsilon^3 = \epsilon^3 (k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1).$$

Thus, we obtain,

$$\int_{\Omega - \Omega_{\epsilon^3}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 1) \quad (6)$$

The constant C_1 does not depend on ϵ but on Ω .

Step 2.2: Estimate of $\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx$.

We know that, $\Omega_\epsilon \subset\subset \Omega$, and (because of Brezis-Merle's interior estimates) $u_i \rightarrow u$ in $C^1(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})$. We have,

$$\|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})} \leq \epsilon^3, \text{ for } i \geq i_3 = i_3(\epsilon).$$

We write,

$$\int_{\Omega_{\epsilon^3}} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx \leq \|\nabla(u_i - u)\|_{L^\infty(\Omega_{\epsilon^3})} \|\nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon\|_{L^\infty} \leq C_1 \epsilon \text{ for } i \geq i_3,$$

For $\epsilon > 0$, we have for $i \in \mathbb{N}, i \geq \max\{i_1, i_2, i_3\}$,

$$\int_{\Omega} | \langle \nabla(u_i - u) | \nabla \tilde{\eta}_\epsilon \rangle | dx \leq \epsilon C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2) \quad (7)$$

From (4) and (7), we have, for $\epsilon > 0$, there is $i_3 = i_3(\epsilon) \in \mathbb{N}, i_3 = \max\{i_0, i_1, i_2\}$ such that,

$$\int_{\Omega} |\Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon]| dx \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0 + \epsilon 2C_1 (2k_1 \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} + 2 + C) \quad (8)$$

We choose $\epsilon > 0$ small enough to have a good estimate of (1).

Indeed, we have:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta[(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon] = g_{i,\epsilon} & \text{in } \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^2, \\ (u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

with $\|g_{i,\epsilon}\|_{L^1(\Omega)} \leq 4\pi - \epsilon_0$.

We can use Theorem 1 of [5] to conclude that there is $q > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{V_\epsilon(x_0)} e^{q(u_i - u)} dx \leq \int_{\Omega} e^{q(u_i - u)\tilde{\eta}_\epsilon} dx \leq C(\epsilon, \Omega).$$

where, $V_\epsilon(x_0)$ is a neighborhood of x_0 in $\bar{\Omega}$.

Thus, for each $x_0 \in \partial\Omega - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$ there is $\epsilon_{x_0} > 0, q_{x_0} > 1$ such that:

$$\int_{B(x_0, \epsilon_{x_0})} e^{q_{x_0} u_i} dx \leq C, \quad \forall i. \quad (9)$$

By the elliptic estimates, $(u_i \eta)_i$ is uniformly bounded in $W^{2,q_1}(\Omega)$ and also, in $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$. (Here, η is a cutuf function.)

Finally, we have, for some $\epsilon > 0$ small enough,

$$\|u_i\|_{C^{1,\theta}[B(x_0, \epsilon)]} \leq c_3 \quad \forall i.$$

We have proved that, there is a finite number of points $\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m$ such that the squence $(u_i)_i$ is locally uniformly bounded in $\bar{\Omega} - \{\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_m\}$.

Proof of theorem 1.2:

Without loss of generality, we can assume that 0 is a blow-up point. Also, by a conformal transformation, we can assume that $\Omega = B_1^+$, the half ball, and $\partial^+ B_1^+$ is the exterior part, a part which not contain 0 and on which u_i converge in the C^1 norm to u . Let us consider B_ϵ^+ , the half ball with radius $\epsilon > 0$.

The second Pohozaev identity applied around each blow-up point, see for example [14], gives :

$$\int_{B_\epsilon^+} \Delta u_i \langle x | \nabla u_i \rangle dx = - \int_{\partial^+ B_\epsilon^+} g(\partial_\nu u_i) d\sigma, \quad (10)$$

Thus,

$$\int_{B_\epsilon^+} V_i(1 + |x|^{2\beta}) e^{u_i} \langle x | \nabla u_i \rangle dx = - \int_{\partial^+ B_\epsilon^+} g(\partial_\nu u_i) d\sigma, \quad (11)$$

After integration by parts, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\epsilon^+} 2V_i(1 + (1 + \beta)|x|^{2\beta})e^{u_i} dx + \int_{B_\epsilon^+} \langle x|\nabla V_i \rangle e^{u_i} dx + \int_{\partial B_\epsilon^+} \langle \nu|x \rangle V_i e^{u_i} d\sigma = \\ = \int_{\partial^+ B_\epsilon^+} g(\partial_\nu u_i) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

Also, for u we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{B_\epsilon^+} 2V(1 + (1 + \beta)|x|^{2\beta})e^u dx + \int_{B_\epsilon^+} \langle x|\nabla V \rangle e^u dx + \int_{\partial B_\epsilon^+} \langle \nu|x \rangle V e^u d\sigma = \\ = \int_{\partial^+ B_\epsilon^+} g(\partial_\nu u) d\sigma, \end{aligned}$$

We use the fact that $u_i = u = 0$ on $\{x_1 = 0\}$ and u_i, u are bounded in the C^1 norm outside a neighborhood of 0 to obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + o(\epsilon)) \left(\int_{B_\epsilon^+} V_i e^{u_i} dx - \int_{B_\epsilon^+} V e^u dx \right) = \\ = \alpha_1 + o(\epsilon) + o(1) = o(1), \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

REFERENCES

- [1] T. Aubin. Some Nonlinear Problems in Riemannian Geometry. Springer-Verlag, 1998.
- [2] C. Bandle. Isoperimetric Inequalities and Applications. Pitman, 1980.
- [3] L. Boccardo, T. Gallouet. Nonlinear elliptic and parabolic equations involving measure data. J. Funct. Anal. 87 no 1, (1989), 149-169.
- [4] H. Brezis, YY. Li and I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. J.Funct.Anal.115 (1993) 344-358.
- [5] H. Brezis, F. Merle. Uniform estimates and Blow-up behavior for solutions of $-\Delta u = V(x)e^u$ in two dimension. Commun. in Partial Differential Equations, 16 (8 and 9), 1223-1253(1991).
- [6] H. Brezis, W. A. Strauss. Semi-linear second-order elliptic equations in L^1 . J. Math. Soc. Japan 25 (1973), 565-590.
- [7] Chang, Sun-Yung A, Gursky, Matthew J, Yang, Paul C. Scalar curvature equation on 2- and 3-spheres. Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations 1 (1993), no. 2, 205-229.
- [8] W. Chen, C. Li. A priori estimates for solutions to nonlinear elliptic equations. Arch. Rational. Mech. Anal. 122 (1993) 145-157.
- [9] C-C. Chen, C-S. Lin. A sharp sup+inf inequality for a nonlinear elliptic equation in \mathbb{R}^2 . Commun. Anal. Geom. 6, No.1, 1-19 (1998).
- [10] D.G. De Figueiredo, P.L. Lions, R.D. Nussbaum, A priori Estimates and Existence of Positive Solutions of Semilinear Elliptic Equations, J. Math. Pures et Appl., vol 61, 1982, pp.41-63.
- [11] Ding,W, Jost, J, Li, J, Wang, G. The differential equation $\Delta u = 8\pi - 8\pi h e^u$ on a compact Riemann surface. Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 2, 230-248.
- [12] YY. Li. Harnack Type Inequality: the method of moving planes. Commun. Math. Phys. 200,421-444 (1999).

- [13] YY. Li, I. Shafrir. Blow-up analysis for solutions of $-\Delta u = Ve^u$ in dimension two. Indiana. Math. J. Vol 3, no 4. (1994). 1255-1270.
- [14] L. Ma, J-C. Wei. Convergence for a Liouville equation. Comment. Math. Helv. 76 (2001) 506-514.
- [15] I. Shafrir. A sup+inf inequality for the equation $-\Delta u = Ve^u$. C. R. Acad.Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 315 (1992), no. 2, 159-164.
- [16] Nagasaki, K, Suzuki,T. Asymptotic analysis for two-dimensional elliptic eigenvalue problems with exponentially dominated nonlinearities. Asymptotic Anal. 3 (1990), no. 2, 173-188.
- [17] Tarantello, G. Multiple condensate solutions for the Chern-Simons-Higgs theory. J. Math. Phys. 37 (1996), no. 8, 3769-3796.
- [18] L. Zhang. Blowup solutions of some nonlinear elliptic equations involving exponential nonlinearities. Comm. Math. Phys. 268 (2006), no. 1, 105-133.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ PIERRE ET MARIE CURIE, 2 PLACE JUSSIEU, 75005, PARIS, FRANCE.

E-mail address: samybahoura@yahoo.fr