# Optimal decay of extremals for the fractional Sobolev inequality 

Lorenzo Brasco, Sunra Mosconi, Marco Squassina

## To cite this version:

Lorenzo Brasco, Sunra Mosconi, Marco Squassina. Optimal decay of extremals for the fractional Sobolev inequality. Calculus of Variations and Partial Differential Equations, 2016, 55, 10.1007/s00526-016-0958-y . hal-01184882

HAL Id: hal-01184882

## https://hal.science/hal-01184882

Submitted on 18 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# OPTIMAL DECAY OF EXTREMALS FOR THE FRACTIONAL SOBOLEV INEQUALITY 

LORENZO BRASCO, SUNRA MOSCONI, AND MARCO SQUASSINA

Abstract. We obtain the sharp asymptotic behavior at infinity of extremal functions for the fractional critical Sobolev embedding.
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## 1. Introduction and main result

Let $N>p>1$. In two seminal papers, T. Aubin [3] and G. Talenti [29] showed that the minimizers of the Sobolev quotient

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{p}=\inf _{u \in D^{1, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\{\|\nabla u\|_{L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}^{p}: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{N p /(N-p)} d x=1\right\}, \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

are given by the family of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}(x)=\mathcal{C} t^{\frac{p-N}{p}} U\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{t}\right), \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, t>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
U(x)=\mathcal{C}_{N, p}\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{p-N}{p}}, \quad \quad \mathcal{C}_{N, p}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-N} d x\right)^{\frac{N p}{p-N}}
$$

For the limit case $p=1$, the problem was investigated by H. Federer and W.H.Fleming in [13] and by V. G. Mazya in [24].

On one side, these results establish an enlightening connection between the theory of Sobolev spaces and the theory of classical isoperimetric inequalities. On the other side, they provide a very powerful tool for the study of second order partial differential equations

[^0]involving nonlinearities reaching the critical growth with respect to the Sobolev embedding. In the case $p=2$, these classification results were formally derived by G. Rosen in [27].

The variational problem (1.1) is related to the following equation involving the $p$-Laplace operator $\Delta_{p} u=\operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2} \nabla u\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\Delta_{p} u=|u|^{\frac{N p}{N-p}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, a nontrivial problem is that of proving that the only fixed sign solutions of this equation are precisely given by (1.2).

In the restricted class of radially symmetric fixed sign solutions to (1.3), this was shown by M. Guedda and L. Veron in [16]. Recently, in [30, Corollary 1.3] for the case $1<p \leq$ $2 N /(N+2)$, in [10, Theorem 1.2] for the case $2 N /(N+2)<p \leq 2$ and in [28, Theorem 1.1] for the case $2<p<N$, it was proved that any positive weak solution to (1.3) is radially symmetric and radially decreasing about some point, thus answering positively to the classification of constant sign solutions to (1.3).

The result by Aubin and Talenti, as well as previous results in the linear case $p=2$, strongly rely on the reduction of the problem to the study of the radial solutions to an ordinary differential equation which can be explicitly solved. More recently, the AubinTalenti result has been reproved (and generalized) in [8, Theorem 2] by means of very different techniques, based on Optimal Transport.
Let now $s \in(0,1), p>1$ and $N>s p$. The goal of this paper is to provide information about the asymptotic behavior at infinity of optimizers of the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{p, s}:=\inf _{u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\{\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y: \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{N p /(N-s p)} d x=1\right\} \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is related to the fractional Sobolev embedding, see for example [25, Theorem 1]. Here

$$
D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u \in L^{N p /(N-s p)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right): \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y<\infty\right\} .
$$

In the limit case $p=1$, the sharp constant above has been determined in [14, Theorem 4.1] (see also [6, Theorem 4.10]). The relevant extremals are given by characteristic functions of balls, exactly as in the local case.

Problem (1.4) for $p>1$ is now related with the study of the nonlocal problem

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=|u|^{\frac{N p}{N-s p}-2} u, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

where, formally, the operator $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}$ is defined on smooth functions as

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u(x)=2 \lim _{\varepsilon \searrow 0} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{\varepsilon}(x)} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p-2}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

up to a suitable normalization constant. This operator appears in some recent works like [2] and [19]. See also [11, 17, 18,20] and the references therein for some existence and regularity results.

In the linear case $p=2$, it is known by [9] that the family of functions

$$
U_{t}(x)=\mathcal{C} t^{\frac{2 s-N}{2}}\left(1+\left(\frac{\left|x-x_{0}\right|}{t}\right)^{2}\right)^{\frac{2 s-N}{2}} \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, t>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

is the only set of minimizers for the best Sobolev constant $\mathcal{S}_{2, s}$. It is also known [7] that, for a suitable positive constant $\mathcal{C}=\mathcal{C}(N, s)$, these are the only positive solutions of

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-\Delta)^{s} u=|u|^{\frac{N}{N-2 s}-2} u \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result in [7] is based upon the full equivalence between the weak solutions to (1.5) and the integral formulation

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{u(y)^{\frac{N+2 s}{N-2 s}}}{|x-y|^{N-2 s}} d y, \quad u \in L^{\frac{2 N}{N-2 s}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

on the validity of some Kelvin transform and on moving plane arguments applied to (1.6), in the spirit of [21].

Unfortunately, in the nonlocal and nonlinear case $p \neq 2$ there is no Kelvin transform and no equivalent integral representation result. Furthermore, even restricting to the class of radially symmetric functions, establishing a classification result for the optimizers of (1.4) seems very hard. We conjecture that these are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{t}(x)=\mathcal{C} t^{\frac{s p-N}{p}} U\left(\frac{x-x_{0}}{t}\right), \quad \mathcal{C} \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, t>0, x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this time

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(x):=\mathcal{C}_{N, p, s}\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{\frac{s p-N}{p}}, \quad \quad \mathcal{C}_{N, p, s}=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(1+|x|^{\frac{p}{p-1}}\right)^{-N} d x\right)^{\frac{N p}{s p-N}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that (1.7) and (1.8) are consistent with the case $p=2$ or $s=1$, in the last case we are back to the family of Aubin-Talenti functions (1.2) for the $p$-Laplacian operator.

In the main result of this paper, we prove that extremals for (1.4) have exactly the decay rate at infinity dictated by formula (1.8). Namely, we have the following.
Theorem 1.1. Let $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be any solution to (1.4). Then $U \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a constant sign, radially symmetric and monotone function with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} U(x)=C \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}$.
The building blocks of Theorem 1.1 are a weak $L^{q}$ estimate for the minimizers (Proposition 3.3), a Radial Lemma for Lorentz spaces (Lemma 2.8) and the fact that the function

$$
\Gamma(x):=|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\},
$$

is a weak solution of $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=0$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{r}$, for any $r>0$ (Theorem A.4). Then the crucial point will be constructing suitable barrier functions to be combined with a version of the comparison principle for $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}$ recently obtained in [18]. Observe that for $s=1$, the function $\Gamma$ above is nothing but the fundamental solution of the $p$-Laplacian.

We wish to stress that Theorem 1.1 also provides a very useful tool for the investigation of existence of weak solutions for the nonlocal Brezis-Nirenberg problem in a smooth bounded domain $\Omega$, i.e.

$$
\begin{cases}\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=\lambda|u|^{p-2} u+|u|^{\frac{N p-2(N-s p)}{N-s p}} u & \text { in } \Omega \\ u=0 & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash \Omega\end{cases}
$$

where $\lambda>0$. In fact, by means of (1.9), one can estimate truncations of $U_{t}$ via a suitable cut-off function in terms of the sharp constant $\mathcal{S}_{p, s}$ without knowing the explicit form of the optimizers. Such a procedure is new even for the local case. These estimates allow to apply mountain pass or linking arguments by forcing the min-max levels to fall inside a compactness range for the energy functionals, see [26] for more details.
Plan of the paper. In Section 2 we set all the notations, definitions and basic facts that will be needed throughout the paper. Then in Section 3 we prove existence of solutions for (1.4), together with some basic integrability properties. We also prove that extremals have to comparable to

$$
x \mapsto|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\},
$$

at infinity (Corollary 3.7). Then the exact behavior (1.9) is proved in Section 4. The paper ends with Appendix A, containing a rigourous computation of the fractional $p$-Laplacian of a power function.
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## 2. Preliminary results

2.1. Notation. In the following we will fix $s \in(0,1), p>1$ and $N$ as the dimension, letting for brevity

$$
p^{*}=\frac{N p}{N-s p} .
$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{S}^{N-1}$ will denote $\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:|x|=1\right\}$. For $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{N}$ measurable we denote by $|E|$ is Lebesgue measure, let $E^{c}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash E$ with $\chi_{E}$ its characteristic function. If $u: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is measurable we set

$$
[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}^{p}:=\int_{E \times E} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y, \quad[u]_{s, p}=[u]_{W^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}
$$

and for any $q>1$

$$
\|u\|_{q}:=\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{q} d x\right)^{1 / q} .
$$

2.2. Elementary inequalities. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$
J_{p}(t)=|t|^{p-2} t
$$

We will now consider some useful inequalities on the function $J_{p}$. First, consider the case $p \geq 2$. We recall that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(b)\right| \leq(p-1)\left(|a|^{p-2}+|b|^{p-2}\right)|a-b|, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p \geq 2, \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a consequence of the mean value Theorem. Notice also that $J_{p}$ is convex on $[0,+\infty[$ in this case, and the following consequences of convexity hold true

$$
\left|J_{p}(a+b)\right| \leq C_{p}\left(|a|^{p-1}+|b|^{p-1}\right), \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p \geq 2 .
$$

In [18, eq. (2.7)] it is also proved the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(a+b) \leq-2^{2-p} b^{p-1}, \quad a \in \mathbb{R}, b \geq 0, \quad p \geq 2 \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we consider the case $p \in[1,2]$. The well known subadditivity inequality reads

$$
\left|J_{p}(a+b)\right| \leq|a|^{p-1}+|b|^{p-1}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \quad p \in[1,2],
$$

or

$$
J_{p}(a+b) \leq J_{p}(a)+J_{p}(a), \quad a, b \geq 0, \quad p \in[1,2] .
$$

We also recall the well-known monotonicity inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(b)\right)(a-b) \geq c \frac{|a-b|^{2}}{\left(a^{2}+b^{2}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}, \quad a, b \in \mathbb{R} \backslash\{0\}, \quad p \in[1,2] . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we prove the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(a-b) \geq \max \left\{J_{p}(A)-J_{p}(A-b),\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)^{p-1}\right\}, \quad a \in[0, A], b \geq 0, p \in[1,2] . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We distinguish two cases. First suppose that $a \geq b / 2$. The function $t \mapsto J_{p}(t)-J_{p}(t-b)$ is readily seen to be decreasing on $[b / 2,+\infty[$, so that

$$
J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(a-b) \geq J_{p}(A)-J_{p}(A-b)
$$

in this case. On the other hand if $a<b / 2$ then since $J_{p}$ is odd and increasing we have

$$
J_{p}(a)-J_{p}(a-b) \geq J_{p}(b-a) \geq J_{p}\left(\frac{b}{2}\right)
$$

and thus (2.4) is proved.
2.3. Functional framework. We consider the space

$$
D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in L^{p^{*}}(\Omega): u \equiv 0 \text { in } \Omega^{c},[u]_{s, p}<+\infty\right\}, \quad D_{0}^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right):=D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

which is a Banach space with respect to the norm $[\cdot]_{s, p}$. Our first aim is to prove, under suitable regularity assumptions on $\partial \Omega$, that $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ is dense in $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $[\cdot]_{s, p}$. While this density result is well-known for bounded regular domains (see for example [12]), we will need to consider exterior unbounded domains in the following. Finally we will prove a comparison principle in a rather general space.
Theorem 2.1. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open set such that $\partial \Omega$ is compact and locally the graph of a continuous function. Then $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is the completion of $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$ with respect to the norm $[\cdot]_{s, p}$.
Proof. Let $u \in D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$. Reasoning on $u_{+}$and $u_{-}$separately (which still belong to $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ ), we can suppose that $u$ is nonnegative. Consider, for $\varepsilon>0$, the function $u_{\varepsilon}=(u-\varepsilon)_{+}$. Using the 1-Lipschitzianity of $t \mapsto(t-\varepsilon)_{+}$it is readily checked that

$$
\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{p} \leq|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}, \quad\left|u_{\varepsilon}(x)-u_{\varepsilon}(y)\right|^{p} \rightarrow|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}, \quad \text { a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^{2 N} .
$$

Therefore $u_{\varepsilon} \in D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and by dominated convergence $\left[u_{\varepsilon}\right]_{s, p} \rightarrow[u]_{s, p}$. This in turn implies that $u_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow u$ in $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ by uniform convexity of the norm. Now Chebyshev's inequality ensures that $\operatorname{supp}\left(u_{\varepsilon}\right)$ has finite measure, thus by Hölder's inequality we get $u_{\varepsilon} \in L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. This yields

$$
u_{\varepsilon} \in X_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega):=D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \cap L^{p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)
$$

and $\left[12\right.$, Theorem 6] ensures that $u_{\varepsilon}$ can be approximated, in the norm $[\cdot]_{s, p}$, by functions which belong to $C_{c}^{\infty}(\Omega)$.

We recall the following nonlocal Hardy inequality proved in [14, Theorem 2].
Proposition 2.2 (Hardy's inequality). Let $N>s p$. Then there exists $C=C(N, p, s)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{s p}} d x \leq C[u]_{s, p}^{p}, \quad \text { for every } u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then define a suitable space where a comparison principle holds true. For any $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ open set, we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega):=\left\{u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{p^{*}}(\Omega): \exists E \supset \Omega \text { with } E^{c} \text { compact, } \operatorname{dist}\left(E^{c}, \Omega\right)>0\right. \\
&\text { and } \left.[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}<+\infty\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We wish to point out that the definition above is given having in mind the case of $\Omega$ being an exterior domain, i.e. the complement of a compact set. Essentially, we consider functions $u$ which are regular in a slight enlargement of $\Omega$ and possibly rough far from $\Omega$.

Lemma 2.3. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $0<s<1$. For every $u \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, every $E \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ open set and every ball $B_{R} \subset E$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x \leq C[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}^{p}+C\left(\int_{B_{R}}|u|^{p-1} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C(N, p, s, R)>0$, blowing-up as $R \rightarrow 0$.
Proof. We assume that the right-hand side on (2.6) is finite, otherwise there is nothing to prove. For simplicity, we can suppose that $B_{R}$ is centered at the origin. Then by a standard compactness argument, we can obtain the interpolation inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}}|u|^{p} d x \leq \frac{C}{R^{s p}}[u]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}\right)}^{p}+\frac{C}{R^{\frac{N}{p-1}}}\left(\int_{B_{R}}|u|^{p-1} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some constant $C=C(N, p, s)>0$. In particular, we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x \leq \frac{C}{R^{s p}}[u]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}\right)}^{p}+\frac{C}{R^{\frac{N}{p-1}}}\left(\int_{B_{R}}|u|^{p-1} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We then take the smaller ball $B_{R / 2}$ (still centered at the origin), we have

$$
\int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \int_{B_{R / 2}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y d x \leq[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}^{p}<+\infty
$$

There holds

$$
|x-y| \leq \frac{3}{2}|x|, \quad x \in E \backslash B_{R}, y \in B_{R / 2}
$$

thus we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \int_{B_{R / 2}} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y d x & \geq c R^{N} \int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{|x|^{N+s p}} d x \\
& -c\left(\int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \frac{1}{|x|^{N+s p}} d x\right) \int_{B_{R / 2}}|u|^{p} d y
\end{aligned}
$$

In conclusion, the previous estimate proves

$$
\int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x \leq \frac{C}{R^{N}}[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}^{p}+\frac{C}{R^{s p}} \int_{B_{R / 2}}|u|^{p} d y
$$

We use (2.7) in the right-hand side, this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E \backslash B_{R}} \frac{|u|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x \leq C_{R}[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}^{p}+C_{R}\left(\int_{B_{R / 2}}|u|^{p-1} d y\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By summing up (2.8) and (2.9) we get the conclusion.
The next proposition shows that in the space $\widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$, the operator $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}$ is well defined.
Proposition 2.4. For any $u \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$, the operator

$$
D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \ni \varphi \mapsto\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle:=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y
$$

is well defined and belongs to the dual space $\left(D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$.
Proof. We proceed as in [18, Lemma 2.3]. Let $E \supset \Omega$ be such that $E^{c}$ is compact, $\operatorname{dist}\left(E^{c}, \Omega\right)>$ 0 and $[u]_{W^{s, p}(E)}<+\infty$. Since $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $\Omega^{c}$, we split the integral as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} & \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \\
& =\int_{E \times E} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}+2 \int_{\Omega \times E^{c}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y)) \varphi(x)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

By Hölder's inequality the first term is finite and defines a continuous linear functional on $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$. Let us focus on the second one. By using that $\varphi \equiv 0$ in $E^{c}$, we need to show that

$$
\varphi \mapsto \int_{\Omega} \varphi(x)\left(\int_{E^{c}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y\right) d x
$$

is a continuous linear functional on $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$. By means of Hardy's inequality (2.5), we get that convergence of $\left\{\varphi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \subset D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ implies strong convergence in $L^{p}(\Omega)$ of $\left\{|x|^{-s} \varphi_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Thus to prove the claim it suffices to show that

$$
x \mapsto|x|^{s} \int_{E^{c}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \in L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

Being $E^{c}$ compact and $\operatorname{dist}\left(E^{c}, \Omega\right) \geq \delta>0$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x-y| \geq C(1+|x|), \quad \text { for every } x \in \Omega, y \in E^{c} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $C=C(E, \Omega)>0$. Thus, for almost every $x \in \Omega$, we can estimate

$$
\left|\int_{E^{c}} \frac{|x|^{s} J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y\right| \leq C\left[\left|E^{c}\right| \frac{|u(x)|^{p-1}}{(1+|x|)^{\frac{N+s p}{p^{\prime}}}}+\frac{1}{(1+|x|)^{N+s(p-1)}} \int_{E^{c}}|u|^{p-1} d y\right] .
$$

The first term belongs to $L^{p^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ due to (2.6). For the second one this follows from a direct computation. This proves the claim and the proposition.
Definition 2.5. Let $u \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and $\Lambda \in\left(D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$. We say that $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u \leq \Lambda$ weakly in $\Omega$ if for all $\varphi \in D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega), \varphi \geq 0$ in $\Omega$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \leq\langle\Lambda, \varphi\rangle .
$$

Theorem 2.6 (Comparison principle in general domains). Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open set. Let $u, v \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ satisfy

$$
u \leq v, \quad \text { in } \Omega^{c} \quad \text { and } \quad\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u \leq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} v, \quad \text { in } \Omega .
$$

Then $u \leq v$ in $\Omega$.
Proof. It suffices to proceed as in [23, Lemma 9], we only need to prove that $w:=(u-v)_{+} \epsilon$ $D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ is an admissible test function. Clearly $w \equiv 0$ in $\Omega^{c}$ and $w \in L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. To estimate the Gagliardo seminorm, let $E \supset \Omega$ be such that $E^{c}$ is compact, $\operatorname{dist}\left(E^{c}, \Omega\right)>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{E \times E} \frac{|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y+\int_{E \times E} \frac{|v(x)-v(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y<+\infty . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{|w(x)-w(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y=\int_{E \times E} \frac{|w(x)-w(y)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y+2 \int_{\Omega \times E^{c}} \frac{|w(x)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y,
$$

and the first integral is finite due to

$$
|w(x)-w(y)|^{p} \leq 2^{p}\left(|u(x)-u(y)|^{p}+|v(x)-v(y)|^{p}\right)
$$

and (2.11). For the second one we use (2.10), and since $|w(x)|^{p} \leq C\left(|u(x)|^{p}+|v(x)|^{p}\right)$ we get

$$
\int_{\Omega \times E^{c}} \frac{|w(x)|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \leq C\left|E^{c}\right| \int_{\Omega} \frac{|u(x)|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x+C\left|E^{c}\right| \int_{\Omega} \frac{|v(x)|^{p}}{(1+|x|)^{N+s p}} d x .
$$

The last two terms are finite, due the definition of $\widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and (2.6).
Finally, for the reader's convenience we recall the following result from [18]. The proof is identical to the one of [18, Lemma 2.8] and we omit it.
Proposition 2.7 (Non-local behavior of $\left.\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}\right)$. Let $N>s p$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{N}$ be an open set such that $\partial \Omega$ is compact and locally the graph of continuous functions. Suppose that $u \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ solves $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=f$ for some $f \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega) \cap\left(D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega)\right)^{*}$, in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u, \varphi\right\rangle=\int_{\Omega} f \varphi d x, \quad \text { for every } \varphi \in D_{0}^{s, p}(\Omega) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v$ be a measurable function with compact support $K:=\operatorname{supp}(v)$ such that

$$
\operatorname{dist}(K, \Omega)>0, \quad \int_{\Omega^{c}}|v|^{p-1} d x<+\infty
$$

and define for a.e. Lebesgue point $x \in \Omega$ of $u$

$$
h(x)=2 \int_{K} \frac{J_{p}((u(x)-u(y))-v(y))-J_{p}(u(x)-u(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y .
$$

Then $u+v \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}(\Omega)$ and $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}(u+v)=f+h$ in weak sense.
2.4. Radial functions. For every measurable function $u: \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we define its distribution function

$$
\mu_{u}(t)=|\{x:|u(x)|>t\}|, \quad t>0 .
$$

Let $1 \leq q<\infty$ and $1 \leq \theta<\infty$, the Lorentz space $L^{q, \theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is defined by

$$
L^{q, \theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u: \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} \mu_{u}(t)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d t<+\infty\right\} .
$$

In the limit case $\theta=\infty$, this is defined by

$$
L^{q, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)=\left\{u: \sup _{t>0} t \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}<+\infty\right\},
$$

and we recall that this coincides with the weak $L^{q}$ space.
Lemma 2.8 (Radial Lemma for Lorentz spaces). Let $1 \leq \theta \leq \infty$ and $1 \leq q<\infty$. Let $u \in L^{q, \theta}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive and radially symmetric decreasing function. Then the following decay estimates holds true:

$$
0 \leq u(x) \leq\left(\frac{\theta \omega_{N}^{-\frac{\theta}{q}}}{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} \mu(t)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{\theta}}|x|^{-\frac{N}{q}}, \quad \text { if } \theta<\infty
$$

and

$$
0 \leq u(x) \leq\left(\omega_{N}^{-\frac{1}{q}} \sup _{t>0} t \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}\right)|x|^{-\frac{N}{q}}, \quad \text { if } \theta=\infty
$$

Proof. We start with the case $\theta<\infty$. First of all, we prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} \mu(t)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d t=\frac{N-\alpha}{\theta \omega_{N}^{\alpha / N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the exponent $\alpha<N$ given by the relation ${ }^{1}$

$$
\frac{\theta}{q}=\frac{N-\alpha}{N} .
$$

With a simple change of variable

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} \mu(t)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d t=\frac{1}{\theta} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\left(s^{1 / \theta}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d s \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we observe that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\int_{0}^{\infty} \chi_{\left\{u(x)^{\theta}>t\right\}}(s) d s}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x=\int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{\chi_{\left\{u(x)>t^{1 / \theta}\right\}}(s)}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x d s
$$

[^1]and by assumption we have
$$
\left\{x: u(x)>t^{1 / \theta}\right\}=\left\{x:|x|<\left(\frac{\mu\left(t^{1 / \theta}\right)}{\omega_{N}}\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}\right\}=: B_{R(t)}
$$
since the function $u$ is radially decreasing. Thus we arrive at
\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x & =\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\int_{B_{R(s)}} \frac{1}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x\right) d s \\
& =\omega_{N} \int_{0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{R(s)} \varrho^{N-1-\alpha} d \varrho d s=\frac{\omega_{N}}{N-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\mu\left(s^{1 / \theta}\right)^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N}}}{\omega_{N}^{\frac{N-\alpha}{N}}} d s \\
& =\frac{\omega_{N}^{\alpha / N}}{N-\alpha} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mu\left(s^{1 / \theta}\right)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

By using (2.14), we finally obtain

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{\theta-1} \mu(t)^{\frac{\theta}{q}} d t=\frac{N-\alpha}{\theta \omega_{N}^{\alpha / N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x
$$

which proves (2.13).
As for the decay estimate, thanks to (2.13) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
+\infty>\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{|u|^{\theta}}{|x|^{\alpha}} d x & =N \omega_{N} \int_{0}^{+\infty}|u(\varrho)|^{\theta} \varrho^{N-1-\alpha} d \varrho \\
& \geq N \omega_{N} \int_{0}^{R} u(\varrho)^{\theta} \varrho^{N-1-\alpha} d \varrho \geq N \omega_{N} u(R)^{\theta} \frac{R^{N-\alpha}}{N-\alpha},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\alpha$ is as above. By recalling that $(N-\alpha) / \theta=N / q$, we get the desired conclusion. For the case $\theta=\infty$, it is sufficient to observe that

$$
\sup _{t>0} t \mu(t)^{\frac{1}{q}}=\omega_{N}^{\frac{1}{q}} \sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}}|x|^{\frac{N}{q}} u(x) .
$$

Then the decay estimate easily follows.

## 3. Properties of extremals

3.1. Basic properties. We start with the following result.

Proposition 3.1. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $s \in(0,1)$ be such that $s p<N$. Then:

- the variational problem (1.4) admits a solution;
- for every $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ solving (1.4), there exist $x_{0} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $u: \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ constant sign monotone function such that $U(x)=u\left(\left|x-x_{0}\right|\right)$;
- every minimizer $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ weakly solves

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U=\mathcal{S}_{p, s}|U|^{p^{*}-2} U, \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N},
$$

that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}(U(x)-U(y))(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y=\mathcal{S}_{p, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|U|^{p^{*}-2} U \varphi d x \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $\varphi \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
Proof. Existence of a solution for (1.4) follows from the Concentration-Compactness Principle, see $[22$, Section I.4, Example iii)]. It is not difficult to show that every solution of (1.4) must have costant sign. Indeed, for every admissible $u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the function $|u|$ is still admissible and we have

$$
||u(x)|-|u(y)|| \leq|u(x)-u(y)|
$$

More important, the inequality sign is strict if $u(x) u(y)<0$, i.e. if $u$ changes sign. Radiality of the solutions then comes from the Pólya-Szegő principle for Gagliardo seminorms (see [1]), i.e. for every positive function $u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[u^{\#}\right]_{s, p}^{p} \leq[u]_{s, p}^{p} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $u^{\#}$ denotes the radially symmetric decreasing rearrangement of $u$. It is crucial to observe that inequality (3.2) is strict, unless $u$ is (up to a translation) a radially symmetric decreasing function, see [14, Theorem A.1].

Finally, if $U$ solves (1.4), then it minimizes as well the functional

$$
u \mapsto[u]_{s, p}^{p}-\mathcal{S}_{p, s}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}|u|^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}
$$

Equation (3.1) is exactly the relevant Euler-Lagrange equation associated with this functional, once it is observed that $U$ has unitary $L^{p^{*}}$ norm and constant sign.

Proposition 3.2 (Global boundedness). Let $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive solution of (1.4). Then we have $U \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.

Proof. Thanks to the properties of the minimizers contained in Proposition 3.1, it is enough to prove that $U \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. At this aim, we just need to show that $U \in L^{q\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $q>N /(s p)$. This would imply that

$$
U^{p^{*}-1} \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \text { for some } q>\frac{N}{s p}
$$

and thus $U \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ would automatically follow by [5, Theorem 3.8].
Let $M>0$ and $\alpha>1$, we set for simplicity $U_{M}=\min \{U, M\}$ and $g_{\alpha, M}(t)=t \min \{t, M\}^{\alpha-1}$. Then we insert in (3.1) the test function $\varphi=g_{\alpha, M}(U) \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. This yields

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}(U(x)-U(y))\left(g_{\alpha, M}(U(x))-g_{\alpha, M}(U(y))\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y=\mathcal{S}_{p, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}-p} U_{M}^{\alpha-1} U^{p} d x
$$

We now observe that if we set

$$
G_{\alpha, M}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g_{\alpha, M}^{\prime}(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}} d \tau
$$

by using [5, Lemma A.2] from the previous identity with simple manipulations we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} & \frac{\left|G_{\alpha, M}(U(x))-G_{\alpha, M}(U(y))\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \\
& \leq \mathcal{S}_{p, s}\left[K_{0}^{\alpha-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}} d x+\left(\int_{\left\{U \geq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U_{M}^{(\alpha-1)} U^{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

for some $K_{0}>0$ that will be chosen in a while. If we estimate from below the left-hand side by Sobolev inequality, we get ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\frac{p}{\alpha-1+p}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\right. & \left.\left(U^{p} U_{M}^{(\alpha-1)}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq K_{0}^{\alpha-1} \\
& +\left(\int_{\left\{U \geq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U_{M}^{(\alpha-1)} U^{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We now choose the parameters: we first take $\alpha>1$ such that

$$
p^{*}+(\alpha-1) \frac{p^{*}}{p}=q\left(p^{*}-1\right), \quad \text { i. e. } \quad \alpha=p q \frac{\left(p^{*}-1\right)}{p^{*}}-(p-1)
$$

where $q>N /(s p)$, then we choose $K_{0}=K_{0}(\alpha, U)>0$ such that

$$
\left(\int_{\left\{U \geq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \leq \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{p^{*}}{q\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\right)^{p}
$$

With this choice we can absorb the last term on the right-hand side of (3.3) and thus obtain

$$
\left(\frac{p^{*}}{q\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\right)^{p}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}} U_{M}^{(\alpha-1) \frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq 2 K_{0}^{\alpha-1}
$$

If we now take the limit as $M$ goes to $+\infty$, we finally get that $U \in L^{q\left(p^{*}-1\right)}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ for some $q>N /(s p)$, together with the estimate

$$
\left\|U^{p^{*}-1}\right\|_{q}^{q} \leq\left(2 K_{0}^{\alpha-1}\left(q \frac{p^{*}-1}{p^{*}}\right)^{p}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}}
$$

and thus the conclusion.
Proposition 3.3 (Borderline Lorentz estimate). Let $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive solution of (1.4). Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
U \in L^{q}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \quad \text { for every } q>\vartheta:=\frac{(p-1) N}{N-s p} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]Moreover, we have $U \in L^{\vartheta, \infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{t>0} t|\{U>t\}|^{\frac{1}{\vartheta}} \leq\|U\|_{p^{*}-1}^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p-1}} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We divide the proof in two parts: we first prove (3.4). Then we will use (3.4) to prove (3.5).

Part I: intermediate estimate. Given $0<\alpha<1$ and $\varepsilon>0$, we take the Lipschitz increasing function $g_{\varepsilon}:[0,+\infty) \rightarrow[0,+\infty)$ defined as

$$
g_{\varepsilon}(t)=\int_{0}^{t}\left[(\varepsilon+\tau)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}}+\frac{\alpha-1}{p} \tau(\varepsilon+\tau)^{\frac{\alpha-1-p}{p}}\right]^{p} d \tau .
$$

We insert in (3.1) the test function $\varphi=g_{\varepsilon}(U) \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. This gives

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}(U(x)-U(y))\left(g_{\varepsilon}(U(x))-g_{\varepsilon}(U(y))\right.}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y=\mathcal{S}_{p, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}-1} g_{\varepsilon}(U) d x .
$$

By defining

$$
G_{\varepsilon}(t):=\int_{0}^{t} g_{\varepsilon}^{\prime}(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}} d \tau=t(\varepsilon+t)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}},
$$

if we proceed as in the previous proof, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G_{\varepsilon}(U)^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} & \leq\|U\|_{\infty}^{p^{*}+\alpha-1}\left|\left\{U>K_{0}\right\}\right|+\left(\int_{\left\{U \leq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \\
& \times\left(\int_{\left\{U \leq K_{0}\right\}}\left(g_{\varepsilon}(U) U^{p-1}\right)^{\frac{p^{*}}{p}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $K_{0}>0$. Observe that we also used the previous Lemma to assure that $U \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. We now observe that, by construction,

$$
0 \leq g_{\varepsilon}(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t}(\varepsilon+t)^{\alpha-1} d \tau=\frac{1}{\alpha}\left[(\varepsilon+t)^{\alpha}-\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right]
$$

which implies

$$
0 \leq g_{\varepsilon}(t) t^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}\left[(\varepsilon+t)^{\alpha}-\varepsilon^{\alpha}\right] t^{p-1} \leq \frac{1}{\alpha}(\varepsilon+t)^{\alpha-1} t^{p}=\frac{1}{\alpha} G_{\varepsilon}(t)^{p} .
$$

Thus we arrive at

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G_{\varepsilon}(U)^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq\|U\|_{\infty}^{p^{*}+\alpha-1}\left|\left\{U>K_{0}\right\}\right|+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left(\int_{\left\{U \leq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} G_{\varepsilon}(U)^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}}
$$

The level $K_{0}=K_{0}(\alpha, U)>0$ is now chosen so that

$$
\left(\int_{\left\{U \leq K_{0}\right\}} U^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p^{*}-p}{p^{*}}} \leq \frac{\alpha}{2},
$$

which yields

$$
\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(U(U+\varepsilon)^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}}\right)^{p^{*}} d x\right)^{\frac{p}{p^{*}}} \leq 2\|U\|_{\infty}^{p^{*}+\alpha-1}\left|\left\{U>K_{0}\right\}\right|,
$$

for every $0<\alpha<1$. By taking the limit as $\varepsilon$ goes to 0 , we get the desired integrability (3.4).
Part II: borderline Lorentz estimate. We now prove (3.5). For any $t>0$ we let $g_{t}(s)=$ $\min \{t, s\}$, and define

$$
G_{t}(s)=\int_{0}^{s} g_{t}^{\prime}(\tau)^{\frac{1}{p}} d \tau=g_{t}(s)
$$

We test equation (3.1) with $g_{t}(U)$ and, thanks to [5, Lemma A.2] and Sobolev inequality we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S}_{p, s}\left\|g_{t}(U)\right\|_{p^{*}}^{p} & \leq\left[g_{t}(U)\right]_{s, p}^{p} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}(U(x)-U(y))\left(g_{t}(U(x))-g_{t}(U(y))\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y \\
& \leq \mathcal{S}_{p, s} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{p^{*}-1}} g_{t}(U) d x
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $U \in L^{p^{*}-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, by choosing $q=p^{*}-1$ in (3.4). Thus we conclude that

$$
\left.t|\{U>t\}|\right|^{\frac{1}{p^{*}}} \leq\left\|g_{t}(U)\right\|_{p^{*}} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} U^{p^{*}-1} g_{t}(U) d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \leq t^{\frac{1}{p}}\|U\|_{p^{*}-1}^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p}}
$$

This finally yields (3.5), after some elementary manipulations.
3.2. Decay estimates. As an intermediate step towards the proof of the asymptotic result (1.9), in this subsection we will prove that any (positive) solution of (1.4) verifies

$$
\frac{1}{C}|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} \leq U(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad|x|>1,
$$

for some $C=C(N, p, s, U)>1$, see Corollary 3.7 below.
In what follows, we will set for simplicity

$$
\Gamma(x)=|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)=\min \{1, \Gamma(x)\}=\min \left\{1,|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}\right\}, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following expedient result will be useful.
Lemma 3.4. With the notation above, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{C}|x|^{-N-s p} \leq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) \leq C|x|^{-N-s p}, \quad \text { for }|x|>R>1, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

in weak sense, for some $C=C(N, p, s, R)>1$. The constant blows-up as $R$ goes to 1 .
Proof. From Theorem A.4, we know that $\Gamma$ is a weak solution of $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=0$ in $B_{R}^{c}$ for any $R>1$. We then observe that the truncated function $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ can be written as

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)=\Gamma(x)-(\Gamma(x)-1)_{+} .
$$

Thus we apply Proposition 2.7 , with the choices

$$
\Omega=B_{R}^{c}, \quad u=\Gamma, \quad f \equiv 0, \quad v=-(\Gamma-1)_{+},
$$

This yields for $|x|>R$

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) & =2 \int_{B_{1}} \frac{J_{p}(\Gamma(x)-1)-J_{p}(\Gamma(x)-\Gamma(y))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \\
& =2 \int_{B_{1}} \frac{J_{p}(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))-J_{p}(1-\Gamma(x))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y . \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

We first prove the upper bound in (3.7). To this aim, by the monotonicity of $\Gamma$ we get

$$
(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))^{p-1}-(1-\Gamma(x))^{p-1} \leq(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))^{p-1} \leq \Gamma(y)^{p-1}, \quad|x|>R,|y| \leq 1 .
$$

Moreover

$$
|x-y| \geq \frac{R-1}{R}|x|, \quad \text { for all }|x|>R \text { and }|y|<1 .
$$

By spending these informations in (3.8), we obtain

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) \leq\left(\frac{R}{R-1}\right)^{N+s p} \frac{2}{|x|^{N+s p}} \int_{B_{1}} \Gamma(y)^{p-1} d y=\frac{C}{|x|^{N+s p}},
$$

as desired. Observe that we also used that $\Gamma \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$.
In order to prove the lower bound, we need to distinguish between the case $1<p<2$ and the case $p \geq 2$. If $p \geq 2$, then $J_{p}$ is a convex superadditive function on $[0, \infty)$. Thus we get

$$
J_{p}(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))-J_{p}(1-\Gamma(x)) \geq J_{p}(\Gamma(y)-1), \quad|x|>R>1>|y|
$$

As for the kernel, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x-y|<2|x|, \quad \text { if }|x|>|y|, \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

thus in conclusion from (3.8) we get

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) \geq \frac{2^{1-N-s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}} \int_{B_{1}}(\Gamma(y)-1)^{p-1} d y=\frac{C}{|x|^{N+s p}}
$$

By using again that $\Gamma \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ and that $\Gamma>1$ in $B_{1}$, this gives the lower bound in (3.7), in the case $p \geq 2$.

In the case $1<p<2$, we need to use (2.3), which gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{p}(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))-J_{p}(1-\Gamma(x)) & \geq C \frac{(\Gamma(y)-1)}{\left((\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))^{2}+(1-\Gamma(x))^{2}\right)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}} \\
& \geq C \frac{(\Gamma(y)-1)}{(\Gamma(y)-\Gamma(x))^{2-p}} \geq C \Gamma(y)^{p-1}\left(1-\frac{1}{\Gamma(y)}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By using this and (3.9) in (3.8), we get the desired lower bound for $1<p<2$ as well.
In order to prove a lower bound for positive radially decreasing solutions of (1.4), we need to focus on the auxiliary problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(R)=\inf _{u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)}\left\{[u]_{s, p}^{p}: u \geq \chi_{B_{R}}\right\} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 3.5. Let $1<p<\infty$ and $s \in(0,1)$ be such that $s p<N$. For any $R>0$, problem (3.10) has a unique solution $u_{R}>0$. Moreover, $u_{R}$ is radial, non-increasing and $u_{R} \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ solves in weak sense

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u_{R} & =0, \quad \text { in } B_{R}^{c}, \\
u_{R} & \equiv 1, \quad \text { in } B_{R}
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Proof. Existence of a solution follows easily by using the Direct Methods. Indeed, if $\left\{u_{n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset$ $D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ is a minimizing sequence, then a uniform bound on their Gagliardo seminorms entails a uniform bound on the $L^{p^{*}}$ norms, by Sobolev inequality. Thus we have weak convergence (up to a subsequence) in $L^{p^{*}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ to a function $u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. Moreover, the convergence is strong in $L^{p}$ on compact sets, as well. Thus the constraint $u_{n} \geq \chi_{B_{R}}$ passes to the limit and $u$ is a minimizer. Uniqueness follows from strict convexity of the Gagliardo seminorm.

All the other required properties of $u_{R}$ follows as in the proof of Proposition 3.1, we just show that $u_{R}$ saturates the constraint $u_{R} \geq \chi_{B_{R}}$. For simplicity, we set $\mathcal{E}(u)=[u]_{s, p}^{p}$. Then from [15, Remark 3.3] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{E}(\max \{u, t\})+\mathcal{E}(\min \{u, t\}) \leq \mathcal{E}(u), \quad \text { for every } u \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, $\min \left\{u_{R}, 1\right\}$ is still a minimizer and thus by uniqueness it coincides with $u_{R}$.
Thanks to Lemma 3.4, we can prove a decay estimate for the solution of (3.10).
Proposition 3.6. The solution $u_{1}$ of problem (3.10) with $R=1$ satisfies

$$
\frac{|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}}{C} \leq u_{1}(x) \leq C|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad \text { for }|x| \geq 1,
$$

for some constant $C=C(N, p, s)>1$.
Proof. We prove the two estimates separately.
Upper bound. We first observe that by using the scaling properties of the Gagliardo seminorm, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{I}(R)=R^{N-s p} \mathcal{I}(1) . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $R>1$, we set $u_{1}(R)=t \in(0,1)$. As in the previous proof, we set $\mathcal{E}(u)=[u]_{s, p}^{p}$. The function $\min \left\{u_{1}, t\right\} / t$ is admissible for problem (3.10) on $B_{R}$, then the minimality of $u_{R}$ gives

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\min \left\{u_{1}, t\right\}}{t}\right) \geq \mathcal{E}\left(u_{R}\right)=\mathcal{I}(R)=R^{N-s p} \mathcal{I}(1)
$$

thanks to (3.12). Similarly, we get

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\frac{\max \left\{u_{1}-t, 0\right\}}{1-t}\right) \geq \mathcal{E}\left(u_{1}\right)=\mathcal{I}(1) .
$$

then using the $p$-homogeneity of the energy and summing the previous two inequalities

$$
\mathcal{E}\left(\min \left\{u_{1}, t\right\}\right)+\mathcal{E}\left(\max \left\{u_{1}, t\right\}\right) \geq\left(t^{p} R^{N-s p}+(1-t)^{p}\right) \mathcal{I}(1) .
$$

Using the submodularity of Gagliardo seminorms (3.11) in the left-hand side and simplifying we get

$$
t^{p} R^{N-s p} \leq 1-(1-t)^{p}
$$

By recalling the definition of $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}(R)^{p} R^{N-s p} \leq 1-\left(1-u_{1}(R)\right)^{p} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and since $1-\left(1-u_{1}(R)\right)^{p} \leq p u_{1}(R)$ we get

$$
u_{1}(R) \leq p^{\frac{1}{p-1}} R^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}
$$

Lower bound. By using Proposition 2.7 with

$$
\Omega=B_{3}^{c}, \quad u=u_{1}, \quad f \equiv 0, \quad v=-\left(u_{1}-u_{1}(2)\right)_{+},
$$

the truncated function

$$
u=\min \left\{u_{1}, u_{1}(2)\right\}=u_{1}-\left(u_{1}-u_{1}(2)\right)_{+},
$$

satisfies weakly in $B_{3}^{c}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u(x) & =2 \int_{B_{2}} \frac{J_{p}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{1}(2)\right)-J_{p}\left(u_{1}(x)-u_{1}(y)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \\
& \geq 2 \int_{B_{1}} \frac{J_{p}\left(u_{1}(y)-u_{1}(x)\right)-J_{p}\left(u_{1}(2)-u_{1}(x)\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y .
\end{aligned}
$$

In the last passage we used that the integrand is nonnegative by the monotonicity of $u_{1}$. Recall that $u_{1} \equiv 1$ in $B_{1}$ and by (3.13) we have $u_{1}(2)<u_{1}(1)$. Then, it is readily checked that

$$
\left(u_{1}(1)-u_{1}(x)\right)^{p-1}-\left(u_{1}(2)-u_{1}(x)\right)^{p-1} \geq c \quad \forall|x| \geq 3
$$

for some constant $c=c\left(p, u_{1}(1)-u_{1}(2)\right)>0$. Since also $|x-y| \leq 2|x|$ for all $x \in B_{2}^{c}$ and $y \in B_{1}$, the previous discussion yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u(x) \geq \frac{2 c\left|B_{1}\right|}{(2|x|)^{N+s p}}=: \frac{c_{1}}{|x|^{N+s p}}, \quad|x| \geq 3 \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, from Lemma 3.4, for every $\varepsilon>0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}(\varepsilon \widetilde{\Gamma}(x)) \leq \frac{c_{2}}{|x|^{N+s p}} \varepsilon^{p-1}, \quad x \in B_{3}^{c} . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The function $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ is the same defined in (3.6). Now choose $\varepsilon>0$ as follows

$$
\varepsilon=\min \left\{u_{1}(3),\left(\frac{c_{1}}{c_{2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}\right\}
$$

so that by (3.14) and (3.15) it holds

$$
\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}(\varepsilon \widetilde{\Gamma}) & \leq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u, & & \text { in } B_{3}^{c}, \\
\varepsilon \widetilde{\Gamma} & \leq u, & & \text { in } B_{3} .
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

Therefore by Theorem 2.6 and the definition of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ we have

$$
\varepsilon|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} \leq u=u_{1}, \quad \text { in } B_{3}^{c} .
$$

In $B_{3} \backslash B_{1}$ the estimate is simpler to obtain, indeed

$$
|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} \leq 1 \leq \frac{u_{1}(x)}{u_{1}(3)}
$$

thus we get the conclusion.

Finally, we can prove the aforementioned decay estimate for solutions of (1.4).
Corollary 3.7 (Sharp decay rate). Let $U \in D^{s, p}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ be a positive radially symmetric and decreasing solution of (1.4). Then

$$
C\left(\inf _{B_{1}} U\right)|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} \leq U(x) \leq\left(\omega_{N}^{-\frac{1}{p^{*}}}\|U\|_{p^{*}-1}^{\frac{p^{*}-1}{p}}\right)^{\frac{p}{p-1}}|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}, \quad|x| \geq 1,
$$

for some constant $C=C(N, p, s)>0$.
Proof. The upper bound follows from the $L^{\vartheta, \infty}$ estimate of (3.5), combined with Lemma 2.8.
As for the lower bound, by the weak Harnack inequality for positive supersolution of $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}$ (see [18, Theorem 5.2]), we have

$$
\lambda:=\inf _{B_{1}} U \geq C\left(\int_{B_{2}} U^{p-1} d x\right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}}>0 .
$$

Then the function $\lambda u_{1}$ is a lower barrier for $U$. Thus the lower bound follows from Theorem 2.6 and Proposition 3.6.

## 4. Proof of the main result

In this section we still denote by $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ the truncated function defined by (3.6). Since both $U$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ are radially symmetric, we will systematically use the abuse of notation $U(x)=U(r)$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)=\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)$, for $r=|x|$.

Lemma 4.1. Suppose that

$$
U(R) \geq A \widetilde{\Gamma}(R), \quad \text { for some } R>2
$$

For any $\delta>0$ there exists $\theta=\theta(N, p, s, \delta, U)<1$ such that

$$
U(r) \geq(A-\delta) \widetilde{\Gamma}(r), \quad \text { for any } \theta R \leq r \leq R
$$

Similarly, if

$$
U(R) \leq B \widetilde{\Gamma}(R), \quad \text { for some } R>2
$$

then

$$
U(r) \leq(B+\delta) \widetilde{\Gamma}(r) \quad \text { for any } R \leq r \leq R / \theta
$$

Proof. Consider the first statement and let $\theta<1$ to be determined. $U$ is non increasing and

$$
U(r) \leq C r^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}},
$$

by Corollary 3.7. Then for any $\theta R \leq r \leq R$ it holds

$$
\frac{U(R)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)}-\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq U(R)\left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{R^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}}\left(R^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}-r^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}\right) \leq C\left(1-\theta^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}\right) .
$$

Therefore by hypothesis we get

$$
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \geq A-C\left(1-\theta^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}\right), \quad \text { for } r \in[\theta R, R]
$$

which gives the first claim. The proof of the other statement is similar: for any $R \leq r \leq R / \theta$ it holds

$$
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)}-\frac{U(R)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)} \leq U(R)\left(\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)}\right) \leq \frac{C}{R^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}}\left(r^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}-R^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}\right) \leq C\left(\theta^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}-1\right)
$$

which gives

$$
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq B+C\left(\theta^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}-1\right), \quad \text { for } r \in[R, R / \theta] .
$$

This completes the proof.
We are ready for the proof of the main result.
Theorem 4.2. There exists $C>0$ such that

$$
\lim _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} U(r)=C .
$$

Proof. In the following, the dependence of the various constants from $N, p$ and $s$ will be omitted. Moreover, we can suppose that $p \neq 2$, since for $p=2$ the function $U$ has an explicit expression. By virtue of Corollary 3.7 we readily have

$$
\frac{1}{C} \leq m:=\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)}=: M \leq C
$$

with $C$ depending on $U$ as well. Suppose by contradiction that $M-m>0$, and fix $0<\varepsilon_{0}<$ $(M-m) / 4$.

- Case $p>2$. There exists $R_{0}=R_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)>2$ such that

$$
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \geq m-\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \text { for } r \geq R_{0}
$$

and we can choose an arbitrarily large $R>R_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{U(R)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)} \geq M-\frac{M-m}{4}
$$

Consider $\delta=(M-m) / 4$. By Lemma 4.1, there exists $\theta<1$ so that for any such $R$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \geq \frac{M+m}{2}, \quad \text { for } r \in[\theta R, R] . \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $R$ can be chosen arbitrarily large, we can suppose $\theta R>R_{0}$. Consider, for any $0<\varepsilon<$ $(M-m) / 4$, the lower barrier $w(r)=g(r) \widetilde{\Gamma}(r)$ where $g$ is the following step function

$$
g(r)= \begin{cases}0, & \text { if } r<R_{0} \\ m-\varepsilon_{0}, & \text { if } R_{0} \leq r<\theta R \\ \frac{M+m}{2}, & \text { if } \theta R \leq r<\sqrt{\theta} R \\ m+\varepsilon, & \text { if } \sqrt{\theta} R<r\end{cases}
$$

It is easily seen that $w \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$. Moreover, by using (4.1), it is readily verified that $w \leq U$ in $B_{R}$. We claim that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$ and sufficiently large $R$, it holds

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w \leq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U, \quad \text { in } B_{R}^{c}
$$

This would end the proof, since Theorem 2.6 would yield $U \geq w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and then

$$
m=\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{N-s p}{p-1} U(r)=\liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \geq \liminf _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r)=m+\varepsilon
$$

giving a contradiction. The function $w-(m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}$ is supported in $B_{\sqrt{\theta} R} \Subset B_{R}$ and thus using Proposition 2.7 with

$$
\Omega=B_{R}^{c}, \quad u=(m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}, \quad f=\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}((m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}), \quad v=w-(m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma},
$$

and (3.7), for any $|x|>R$ it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) & =(m+\varepsilon)^{p-1}\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) \\
& +\int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R}} \frac{J_{p}((m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}(x)-w(y))-J_{p}((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y  \tag{4.2}\\
& \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N+s p}}+\int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
h(x, y)=J_{p}((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)))-J_{p}(w(y)-(m+\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}(x)) .
$$

We now decompose the last integral in (4.2) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R}} d y=\int_{B_{R_{0}}} d y+\int_{B_{\theta R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}} d y+\int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R} \backslash B_{\theta R}} d y \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and proceed to estimate each term separately.
Being $R_{0}=R_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $h$ universally bounded, it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R_{0}}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \leq\|h\|_{L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)} \frac{\omega_{N} R_{0}^{N}}{| | x\left|-R_{0}\right|^{N+s p}} \leq \frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}}(1-\theta)^{-N-s p}, \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we used that (recall that we are assuming $\theta R>R_{0}$ )

$$
\left||x|-R_{0}\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{R_{0}}{R}\right)|x| \geq(1-\theta)|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in B_{R}^{c}
$$

For the second integral in (4.3), we notice that for $y \in B_{\theta R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}$ and $x \in B_{R}^{c}$ we have

$$
h(x, y)=J_{p}((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)))-J_{p}\left((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))-\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right)
$$

Observe that by (2.1), with simple manipulations we get

$$
h(x, y) \leq c\left[(m+\varepsilon)^{p-2}+\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-2}\right]\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)^{p-1},
$$

for $x \in B_{R}^{c}, y \in B_{\theta R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}$ and $c=c(p)>0$. Therefore, since

$$
|x-y| \geq||x|-|y|| \geq|x|-\theta R \geq(1-\theta)|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in B_{R}^{c}, y \in B_{\theta R}
$$

recalling the definition of $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{\theta R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y & \leq \frac{C\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{(1-\theta)^{N+s p}|x|^{N+s p}} \int_{B_{\theta R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}} \frac{1}{|y|^{N-s p}} d y  \tag{4.5}\\
& \leq C \frac{\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{(1-\theta)^{N+s p}} \frac{(\theta R)^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}},
\end{align*}
$$

where $C=C(N, s, p, M+m)>0$. For the third integral in (4.3), for $y \in B_{\sqrt{\theta} R} \backslash B_{\theta R}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
h(x, y) & =J_{p}((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)))-J_{p}\left((m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))+\left(\frac{M-m}{2}-\varepsilon\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right) \\
& \leq J_{p}(\underbrace{(m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))}_{a})-J_{p}(\underbrace{(m+\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))}_{a}+\underbrace{\left(\frac{M-m}{4}\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)}_{b}),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\varepsilon<(M-m) / 4$. The inequality (2.2) thus gives

$$
h(x, y) \leq-2^{2-p}\left(\frac{M-m}{4}\right)^{p-1} \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)^{p-1} .
$$

Therefore, using

$$
|x-y| \leq 2|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash B_{R}, y \in B_{\sqrt{\theta} R}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R} \backslash B_{\theta R}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y & \leq-\frac{c(M-m)^{p-1}}{|x|^{N+s p}} \int_{B_{\sqrt{\theta} R}^{N+B_{\theta}}}|y|^{s p-N} d y  \tag{4.6}\\
& \leq-c \theta^{\frac{s p}{2}}\left(1-\theta^{\frac{s p}{2}}\right)(M-m)^{p-1} \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}},
\end{align*}
$$

for a constant $c=c(N, s, p)>0$. Gathering toghether the estimates (4.2), (4.4), (4.5) and (4.6) we proved

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) & \leq\left(C+\frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{(1-\theta)^{N+s p}}\right) \frac{1}{|x|^{N+s p}} \\
& -\left[c\left(1-\theta^{\frac{s p}{2}}\right)(M-m)^{p-1}-\frac{C\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{(1-\theta)^{N+s p}}\right] \frac{R^{s p} \theta^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So we can choose $\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}$ small enough (depending only on $N, p, s$ and $M-m$ ), so that the second term above is negative. Thus for any such a choice we have, for any $|x| \geq R$,

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) \leq \frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}}, \quad\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U(x)=U(x)^{p^{*}-1} \geq \frac{1}{C|x|^{N+\frac{s p}{p-1}}}
$$

where in the last estimate we used Corollary 3.7. Since $p>2$, for sufficiently large $R$ it holds

$$
\frac{1}{C|x|^{N+\frac{s p}{p-1}}} \geq \frac{R^{s p^{\frac{p-2}{p-1}}}}{C|x|^{N+s p}} \geq \frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}},
$$

and thus the claim follows.

- Case $1<p<2$. There exists $R_{0}=R_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)>2$ such that

$$
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq M+\varepsilon_{0}, \quad \text { for } r \geq R_{0}
$$

and we can choose an arbitrarily large $R>R_{0}$ such that

$$
\frac{U(R)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(R)} \leq m+\frac{M-m}{4}
$$

As before, we consider $\delta=(M-m) / 4$ in Lemma 4.1: there exists $\theta<1$ so that for any such $R$ it holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq \frac{M+m}{2}, \quad \text { for every } r \in[R, R / \theta] \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $U \in L^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, there exists $\bar{C}>0$ such that $U \leqq \bar{C} \widetilde{\Gamma}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, then for any $0<\varepsilon<$ $(M-m) / 4$ we consider the upper barrier $w(r)=g(r) \widetilde{\Gamma}(r)$, where

$$
g(r)= \begin{cases}\bar{C}, & \text { if } r<R_{0} \\ M+\varepsilon_{0}, & \text { if } R_{0} \leq r<R, \\ \frac{M+m}{2}, & \text { if } R \leq r<R / \sqrt{\theta} \\ M-\varepsilon, & \text { if } R / \sqrt{\theta}<r\end{cases}
$$

Again, it is easy to verify that $w \in \widetilde{D}^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$. Using (4.7), we can verify that $w \geq U$ in $B_{R / \theta}$. We claim that, for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$ and sufficiently large $R$, it holds

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w \geq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U, \quad \text { in } B_{R / \theta}^{c}
$$

This would end the proof, since the comparison principle of Theorem 2.6 would yield $U \leq w$ in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and then

$$
M=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} r^{\frac{N-s p}{p-1}} U(r)=\limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{U(r)}{\widetilde{\Gamma}(r)} \leq \limsup _{r \rightarrow+\infty} g(r)=M-\varepsilon
$$

which gives a contradiction. The function $w-(M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}$ is supported in $B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}} \Subset B_{R / \theta}$ and thus using again Proposition 2.7 with

$$
\Omega=B_{R / \theta}^{c}, \quad u=(M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}, \quad f=\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}((M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}), \quad v=w-(M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma},
$$

and (3.7), for any $|x|>R / \theta$ it holds

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) & =(M-\varepsilon)^{p-1}\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} \widetilde{\Gamma}(x) \\
& +\int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}}} \frac{J_{p}((M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}(x)-w(y))-J_{p}((M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)))}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y  \tag{4.8}\\
& \geq \frac{1}{C|x|^{N+s p}}+\int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y,
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
h(x, y)=J_{p}((M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)))-J_{p}(w(y)-(M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}(x)) .
$$

As above, we now decompose the last integral in (4.8)

$$
\int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}}} d y=\int_{B_{R_{0}}} d y+\int_{B_{R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}} d y+\int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}} \backslash B_{R}} d y
$$

and proceed to estimate each term separately.
Being $R_{0}=R_{0}\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ and $h$ universally bounded, as before we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R_{0}}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \geq-\frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where this time we used that (recall that we are assuming $R>R_{0}$ )

$$
\left||x|-R_{0}\right| \geq\left(1-\frac{R_{0}}{R} \theta\right)|x| \geq(1-\theta)|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in B_{R / \theta}^{c}
$$

For $y \in B_{R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}$ we have

$$
h(x, y)=J_{p}((M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)))-J_{p}\left((M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))+\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)\right)
$$

and by subaddivity of $\tau \mapsto \tau^{p-1}$, we get

$$
h(x, y) \geq-\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-1} \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)^{p-1} .
$$

Therefore, the analogous of (4.5) is now

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R} \backslash B_{R_{0}}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \geq-C\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-1} \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}}, \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and again $C=C(N, s, p, M+m)>0$. For the previous estimate we also used that

$$
|x-y| \geq||x|-|y|| \geq|x|-R \geq(1-\theta)|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in B_{R / \theta}^{c}, y \in B_{R} .
$$

For $y \in B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}} \backslash B_{R}$ and $x \in B_{R / \theta}^{c}$ we have
$h(x, y)=J_{p}(\underbrace{(M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))}_{a})-J_{p}(\underbrace{(M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x))}_{a}-\underbrace{\left(\frac{M-m}{2}-\varepsilon\right) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)}_{b})$.
Clearly

$$
0 \leq a=(M-\varepsilon)(\widetilde{\Gamma}(y)-\widetilde{\Gamma}(x)) \leq(M-\varepsilon) \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)=: A,
$$

so that (2.4) provides

$$
h(x, y) \geq \max \left\{(M-\varepsilon)^{p-1}-\left(\frac{M+m}{2}\right)^{p-1},\left(\frac{M-m}{2}-\varepsilon\right)^{p-1} 2^{1-p}\right\} \widetilde{\Gamma}(y)^{p-1}
$$

Proceeding as for (4.6) and using

$$
|x-y| \leq 2|x|, \quad \text { for } x \in B_{R / \theta}^{c}, y \in B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}}
$$

we thus obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}} \backslash B_{R}} \frac{h(x, y)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y \geq \frac{c}{|x|^{N+s p}} \int_{B_{R / \sqrt{\theta}} \backslash B_{R}}|y|^{s p-N} d y \geq c \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}}, \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a small constant $c$ depending only on $M$ and $m$. Gathering together the estimates (4.8), (4.9), (4.10) and (4.11), we proved

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) \geq-\frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}}+\left(c-C\left(\varepsilon+\varepsilon_{0}\right)^{p-1}\right) \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}} .
$$

in $B_{R / \theta}^{c}$. We can thus choose $\varepsilon_{0}$ and $\varepsilon$ small enough so that the second term above is positive. For any such choice we have, for any $|x| \geq R / \theta$,

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) \geq-\frac{C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)}{|x|^{N+s p}}+\frac{c}{2} \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}},
$$

and for sufficiently large $R$ so that $c R^{s p}>4 C\left(\varepsilon_{0}\right)$ it holds

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w(x) \geq \frac{c}{4} \frac{R^{s p}}{|x|^{N+s p}}
$$

By using Corollary 3.7 and the fact that $1<p<2$, for every $|x| \geq R / \theta$ we get

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U(x)=U^{p^{*}-1}(x) \leq \frac{C}{|x|^{N+\frac{s p}{p-1}}} \leq \frac{C \theta^{s p \frac{2-p}{p-1}}}{R^{s p^{\frac{2-p}{p-1}}|x|^{N+s p}} . . . ~ . ~}
$$

We thus conclude that $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} U \leq\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} w$ in $B_{R / \theta}^{c}$ for $R$ sufficiently large.

## Appendix A. Power functions

We have the following result on power functions.
Lemma A.1. Let $0<(N-s p) / p<\beta<N /(p-1)$. For every $R>0$, the function $x \mapsto|x|^{-\beta}$ belongs to $\widetilde{D}^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$.

Proof. A direct computation shows that $x \mapsto|x|^{-\beta}$ belongs to $L_{\text {loc }}^{p-1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right) \cap L^{p^{*}}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$, when $\beta$ is as in the statement. To show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[|x|^{-\beta}\right]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)}<+\infty, \quad \text { for } \frac{N-s p}{p}<\beta, \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

we compute

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{B_{R}^{c} \times B_{R}^{c}} \frac{\|\left. x\right|^{-\beta}-\left.|y|^{-\beta}\right|^{p}}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d x d y=\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \int_{R}^{+\infty} \int_{R}^{+\infty} \frac{\left|\varrho^{-\beta}-t^{-\beta}\right|^{p} \varrho^{N-1} t^{N-1}}{\left|\varrho \omega_{1}-t \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}} d \varrho d t d \omega_{1} d \omega_{2} \\
& \quad=2 \int_{R}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^{-\beta p} \varrho^{2 N-2}}{\varrho^{N+s p}} \int_{R}^{\varrho}\left|1-\left(\frac{t}{\varrho}\right)^{-\beta}\right|^{p} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{1} d \omega_{2}}{\left|\omega_{1}-\left(t \omega_{2}\right) / \varrho\right|^{N+s p}}\left(\frac{t}{\varrho}\right)^{N-1} d t d \varrho \\
& \quad=2 \int_{R}^{+\infty} \frac{\varrho^{-\beta p} \varrho^{2 N-1}}{\varrho^{N+s p}} \int_{R / \varrho}^{1}\left|1-\xi^{-\beta}\right|^{p} \xi^{N-1} \int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{1} d \omega_{2}}{\left|\omega_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}} d \xi d \varrho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us now prove that for $0<\xi<1$ it holds

$$
\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{1} d \omega_{2}}{\left|\omega_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}} \leq \frac{C}{(1-\xi)^{1+s p}} .
$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\xi \geq 1 / 2$, since for $0<\xi<1 / 2$ the integral is uniformly bounded. By rotational invariance, we have

$$
\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \times \mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{1} d \omega_{2}}{\left|\omega_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}}=\left|\mathbf{S}^{N-1}\right| \int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{2}}{\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}},
$$

where $\mathbf{e}_{1}=(1,0, \ldots, 0)$. By changing variable $\omega_{2}=(t, z)$ with

$$
t= \pm \sqrt{1-|z|^{2}}, \quad z \in B_{1}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}
$$

we therefore get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1}} \frac{d \omega_{2}}{\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}} & =\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \backslash B_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{1}\right)} \frac{d \omega_{2}}{\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}}+\int_{\mathbf{S}^{N-1} \cap B_{1}\left(\mathbf{e}_{\mathbf{1}}\right)} \frac{d \omega_{2}}{\left|\mathbf{e}_{1}-\xi \omega_{2}\right|^{N+s p}} \\
& \leq C\left(1+\int_{B_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{d z}{\left((1-\xi t)^{2}+\xi^{2}|z|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(1+\int_{B_{1}^{\prime}} \frac{d z}{\left((1-\xi)^{2}+\xi^{2}|z|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{(1-\xi)^{1+s p}} \int_{B_{\frac{\xi}{\prime}}^{1-\xi}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d y\right) \\
& \leq C\left(1+\frac{1}{(1-\xi)^{1+s p}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N-1}} \frac{1}{\left(1+|y|^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the claim. Taking into account that for $0<\xi<1$ it also holds

$$
\frac{\left|1-\xi^{-\beta}\right|^{p}}{|1-\xi|^{1+s p}} \leq C\left(\xi^{-\beta p}+|1-\xi|^{(1-s)-1}\right)
$$

we therefore get

$$
\left[|x|^{-\beta}\right]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)}^{p} \leq C \int_{R}^{+\infty} \varrho^{N-1-p(s+\beta)} d \varrho \int_{R / \varrho}^{1} \xi^{N-1}\left(\xi^{-\beta p}+|1-\xi|^{p(1-s)-1}\right) d \xi
$$

All the integrals are now explicitly computable and one can readily get (A.1).
Lemma A.2. Let $0<(N-s p) / p<\beta<N /(p-1)$. For every $R>0$, it holds

$$
\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s}|x|^{-\beta}=C(\beta)|x|^{-\beta(p-1)-s p} \quad \text { weakly in } B_{R}^{c}
$$

where the constant $C(\beta)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C(\beta)=2 \int_{0}^{1} \varrho^{s p-1}\left[1-\varrho^{N-s p-\beta(p-1)}\right]\left|1-\varrho^{\beta}\right|^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho, \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi(\varrho)=\mathcal{H}^{N-2}\left(\mathbf{S}^{N-2}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\left(1-2 t \varrho+\varrho^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d t . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
|x|^{-\beta(p-1)-s p} \in L^{(p *)^{\prime}}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right), \quad \text { for any } \beta>(N-s p) / p
$$

Then, by Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 2.4 it suffices to test the weak form of the equation with an arbitrary $\varphi \in C_{c}^{\infty}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$. For every such a $\varphi$ we consider the double integral

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)) d x d y .
$$

We observe that the integral is absolutely convergent, indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}} & \frac{\left|J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)\right|}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| d x d y \\
& =\int_{B_{R}^{c} \times B_{R}^{c}} \frac{\left|J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)\right|}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}|\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)| d x d y \\
& +2 \int_{B_{R}} \int_{\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)} \frac{\left|J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)\right|}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}|\varphi(y)| d x d y \\
& \leq\left[|x|^{-\beta}\right]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)}[\varphi]_{W^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)}+C\|\varphi\|_{L^{\infty}}|\operatorname{supp}(\varphi)| \int_{B_{R}}|x|^{-\beta(p-1)} d x,
\end{aligned}
$$

and both terms are finite, thanks to Lemma A.1. For $\delta>0$ we consider the conical set

$$
\mathcal{O}_{\delta}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}:(1-\delta)|x| \leq|y| \leq(1+\delta)|x|\right\},
$$

then by the Dominated Convergence Theorem

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta}^{c}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)) d y d x \\
\quad=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2 N}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)) d x d y
\end{aligned}
$$

We now observe that

$$
\int_{\mathcal{O}_{\delta}^{c}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}}(\varphi(x)-\varphi(y)) d y d x=2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}\left(\int_{\mathcal{K}_{\delta}(x)^{c}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y\right) \varphi(x) d x
$$

where for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$

$$
\mathcal{K}_{\delta}(x)=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{N}:(1-\delta)|x| \leq|y| \leq(1+\delta)|x|\right\},
$$

and of course $\mathcal{K}_{\delta}(x)=\mathcal{K}_{\delta}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $|x|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|$. We set

$$
f_{\delta}(x)=2 \int_{\mathcal{K}_{\delta}(x)^{c}} \frac{J_{p}\left(|x|^{-\beta}-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|x-y|^{N+s p}} d y, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \backslash\{0\},
$$

it is easily seen that $f_{\delta}$ is a radial function, homogeneous of degree $-\beta(p-1)-s p$ (see [4, Lemma 6.2]). Thus for $x \neq 0$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\delta}(x)=|x|^{-\beta(p-1)-s p} f_{\delta}(\omega), \quad \text { for } \quad \omega=\frac{x}{|x|} \in \mathbf{S}^{N-1} . \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set

$$
C(\beta ; \delta):=f_{\delta}(\omega)=2 \int_{\mathcal{K}_{\delta}(\omega)^{c}} \frac{J_{p}\left(1-|y|^{-\beta}\right)}{|\omega-y|^{N+s p}} d y, \quad \omega \in \mathbf{S}^{N-1}
$$

which is independent of the direction $\omega$, by radiality of $f_{\delta}$. By taking the average over $\mathbf{S}^{N-1}$ and proceeding as in [4, Lemma B.2], we get

$$
C(\beta ; \delta)=2 \int_{|\varrho-1| \geq \delta} \varrho^{N-1}\left|1-\varrho^{-\beta}\right|^{p-2}\left(1-\varrho^{-\beta}\right) \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho
$$

where $\Phi$ is defined in (A.3). We now decompose the integral defining $C(\beta ; \delta)$ and perform a change of variables, i.e.

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\beta ; \delta) & =-2 \int_{0}^{1-\delta} \varrho^{N-1}\left|1-\varrho^{-\beta}\right|^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho \\
& +2 \int_{1+\delta}^{\infty} \varrho^{N-1}\left|1-\varrho^{-\beta}\right|^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho \\
& =-2 \int_{0}^{1-\delta} \varrho^{N-1-\beta(p-1)}\left|\varrho^{\beta}-1\right|^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho \\
& +2 \int_{0}^{1 /(1+\delta)} \varrho^{-N-1}\left|1-\varrho^{\beta}\right|^{p-1} \Phi(1 / \varrho) d \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, observe that

$$
\Phi(1 / \varrho)=\varrho^{N+s p} \Phi(\varrho)
$$

thus the quantity $C(\beta ; \delta)$ can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(\beta ; \delta) & =2 \int_{0}^{1-\delta}\left(1-\varrho^{N-s p-\beta(p-1)}\right) \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho \\
& +2 \int_{1-\delta}^{1 /(1+\delta)} \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho
\end{aligned}
$$

Recall that $\varphi$ is supported in $B_{R}^{c}$, thus by using (A.4) we can estimate

$$
\left.\left|\int_{\Omega} f_{\delta} \varphi d x-C(\beta) \int_{\Omega}\right| x\right|^{-\beta(p-1)-s p} \varphi d x\left|\leq\|\varphi\|_{\infty} R^{-\beta(p-1)-s p}\right| \operatorname{supp}(\varphi)| | C(\beta ; \delta)-C(\beta) \mid
$$

In order to that $C(\beta ; \delta)$ converges to $C(\beta)$ as $\delta$ goes to 0 , we decompose the function $\Phi$ defined in (A.3) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Phi(\varrho) & =\int_{-1}^{1 / 2} \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\left(1-2 t \varrho+\varrho^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d t+\int_{1 / 2}^{1} \frac{\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{\left(1-2 t \varrho+\varrho^{2}\right)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d t \\
& =: \Phi_{1}(\varrho)+\Phi_{2}(\varrho)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we omitted the dimensional constant $\mathcal{H}^{N-1}\left(\mathbf{S}^{N-2}\right)$ for simplicity. If we use that

$$
1-2 t \varrho+\varrho^{2}=(\varrho-t)^{2}+\left(1-t^{2}\right) \geq \frac{3}{4}, \quad \text { if }-1 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}
$$

we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{1}(\varrho) \leq C, \quad 0<\varrho<1 \tag{A.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now consider $\Phi_{2}(\varrho)$, here we discuss separately the cases $0<\varrho<1 / 2$ and $1 / 2 \leq \varrho<1$. We observe that for $0<\varrho<1 / 2$ we have

$$
1-2 t \varrho+\varrho^{2}=(1-\varrho)^{2}+2 \varrho(1-t) \geq \frac{1}{4}, \quad \text { if } \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1
$$

Then we get again

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(\varrho) \leq C, \quad 0<\varrho<\frac{1}{2} \tag{A.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are left with the term $\Phi_{2}$ for $1 / 2 \leq \varrho<1$. With simple manipulations ${ }^{3}$ we can write it as

$$
\Phi_{2}(\varrho)=\frac{(2 \varrho)^{-\frac{N-1}{2}}}{(1-\varrho)^{1+s p}} \int_{0}^{\frac{\varrho}{(1-\varrho)^{2}}} \frac{\left(2-\frac{(1-\varrho)^{2}}{2 \varrho} \tau\right)^{\frac{N-3}{2}} \tau^{\frac{N-3}{2}}}{(1+\tau)^{\frac{N+s p}{2}}} d \tau
$$

In particular, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{2}(\varrho) \leq C(1-\varrho)^{-1-s p}, \quad 1>\varrho \geq \frac{1}{2} \tag{A.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (A.5), (A.6) and (A.7), we thus obtain

$$
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} 2 \int_{0}^{1-\delta}\left(1-\varrho^{N-s p-\beta(p-1)}\right) \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho=C(\beta),
$$

and observe that the latter is finite, thanks to (A.7). For the other integral, still by (A.5) and (A.7), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{1-\delta}^{1 /(1+\delta)} \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1} \Phi(\varrho) d \varrho & \leq C \lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{1-\delta}^{1 /(1+\delta)} \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1} d \varrho \\
& +C \lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{1-\delta}^{1 /(1+\delta)} \varrho^{s p-1}\left(1-\varrho^{\beta}\right)^{p-1}(1-\varrho)^{-1-s p} d \varrho \\
& \leq C \lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{1-\delta}^{1 /(1+\delta)}(1-\varrho)^{p-2-s p} d \varrho \\
& \leq \frac{C}{p-1-s p} \lim _{\delta \searrow 0}\left[\left(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}\right)^{p-1-s p}-\delta^{p-1-s p}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assumed for simplicity that $p-s-s p \neq 0$. If $p-1-s p>0$, the last term converges to 0 . If $p-1-s p<0$, we have

$$
\left(\frac{\delta}{1+\delta}\right)^{p-1-s p}-\delta^{p-1-s p} \simeq \delta^{p-1-s p}\left[(1+\delta)^{s p+1-p}-1\right] \simeq \delta^{p-s p}, \quad \text { as } \delta \searrow 0,
$$

and thus the integral converges to 0 again. Finally, the case $p-1-s p=0$ is treated similarly, we leave the details to the reader.

In conclusion, we get

$$
\lim _{\delta \searrow 0} \int_{\Omega} f_{\delta} \varphi d x=C(\beta) \int_{\Omega}|x|^{-\beta(p-1)-s p} \varphi d x
$$

as desired.

[^3]Remark A.3. The previous result was proved in [14, Lemma 3.1] for the limit case $\beta=$ $(N-s p) / p$. Our argument is different, since we rely on elementary estimates for the function $\Phi$, rather than on special properties of hypergeometric and beta functions like in [14].

Observe that the choice $\beta=(N-s p) /(p-1)$ is feasible in the previous results, since

$$
\frac{N-s p}{p}<\frac{N-s p}{p-1}<\frac{N}{p-1} .
$$

Moreover, with such a choice we have $C(\beta)=0$ in (A.2). Then from Lemmas A. 1 and A.2, we get the following.
Theorem A.4. For any $R>0, \Gamma(x)=|x|^{-\frac{N-s p}{p-1}}$ belongs to $\widetilde{D}^{s, p}\left(B_{R}^{c}\right)$ and weakly solves $\left(-\Delta_{p}\right)^{s} u=0$ in $B_{R}^{c}$.
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[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Observe that if $\theta>q$, then $\alpha<0$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ Here we use that

    $$
    G_{\alpha, M}(t) \geq \frac{p}{p+\alpha-1} t \min \{t, M\}^{\frac{\alpha-1}{p}}
    $$

[^3]:    ${ }^{3}$ We use the change of variables

    $$
    \tau=\frac{2 \varrho}{(1-\varrho)^{2}}(1-t)
    $$

