

Geometric conditions for multiple sampling and interpolation in the Fock space

Alexander Borichev, Andreas Hartmann, Karim Kellay, Xavier Massaneda

▶ To cite this version:

Alexander Borichev, Andreas Hartmann, Karim Kellay, Xavier Massaneda. Geometric conditions for multiple sampling and interpolation in the Fock space. Advances in Mathematics, 2017, 304, pp.1262-1295. 10.1016/j.aim.2016.09.019. hal-01184573v2

HAL Id: hal-01184573 https://hal.science/hal-01184573v2

Submitted on 14 Sep 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

GEOMETRIC CONDITIONS FOR MULTIPLE SAMPLING AND INTERPOLATION IN THE FOCK SPACE

A. BORICHEV, A. HARTMANN, K. KELLAY, X. MASSANEDA

ABSTRACT. We study multiple sampling, interpolation and uniqueness for the classical Fock spaces in the case of unbounded multiplicities. We show that, both in the hilbertian and the uniform norm case, there are no sequences which are simultaneously sampling and interpolating when the multiplicities tend to infinity. This answers partially a question posed by Brekke and Seip.

1. Introduction and main results

Sampling and interpolating sequences in Fock spaces were characterized by Seip and Seip-Wallstén in [10, 12] by means of a certain Beurling-type asymptotic uniform density. A consequence of these results is that no sequence can be simultaneously interpolating and sampling, hence there are no unconditional or Riesz bases of reproducing kernels neither. We refer the reader to the monograph [11] by K. Seip for an account on these problems. For a similar result in several variables see [5].

We would like to consider sampling and interpolation problems involving a finite, not necessarily bounded, number of derivatives at each point (Hermite type interpolation). The idea of derivative sampling and interpolation is well-known from the theory of band-limited functions.

Band-limited functions appear naturally in the framework of model spaces and their parent Hardy spaces (we refer to [9] for model spaces and their importance in operator theory). Generalized interpolation, a particular instance of which is derivative interpolation, in Hardy spaces

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 30D55, 46C07,46E22, 47B32, 47B35. Key words and phrases. Fock space, multiple interpolation, multiple sampling, Riesz basis.

First author was supported by Russian Science Foundation grant 14-41-00010. Fourth author was supported by the Generalitat de Catalunya (grant 2014 SGR 289) and the Spanish Ministerio de Ciencia e Innovación (project MTM2014-51834-P).

was thoroughly studied by Vasyunin [14] and Nikolski [8] in the late 1970-s and is characterized in terms of a generalized Carleson condition (see [9, Sections C3.2, C.3.3] for a comprehensive account).

For the case of sequences with uniformly bounded multiplicity in the classical Fock space a complete description of such derivative sampling and interpolating sequences space was given by Brekke and Seip [4]. Again, it turns out that there are no sequences which are simultaneously sampling and interpolating in this sense.

The natural question which then arises, and was already posed by Brekke and Seip, concerns the case when the multiplicities are unbounded. We give conditions on sampling and interpolation in that case. The conditions we obtain are of a somewhat different nature, but still imply that there are no sequences which are both interpolating and sampling, at least when the multiplicities tend to infinity.

We should emphasize that our results make sense when the multiplicities are large. For small multiplicities they essentially give no information.

The results of the following subsection concerning the Hilbert space case were earlier announced in the research note [3]. With respect to that research note, observe that the sufficient condition appearing in Theorem 1.1(b) has now a weaker and more natural formulation. We will also discuss the situation in the uniform norm in Subsection 1.2.

1.1. The Hilbert space case. For $\alpha > 0$, define the Fock space \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 by

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{2} = \{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{2}^{2} = \|f\|_{\alpha,2}^{2} := \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f(z)|^{2} e^{-\alpha|z|^{2}} dm(z) < \infty \}.$$

The constant α/π is chosen in such a way that $||1||_{\alpha,2} = 1$, dm is Lebesgue area measure.

The Fock space \mathcal{F}^2_{α} is a Hilbert space with inner product

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \frac{\alpha}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{C}} f(z) \overline{g(z)} e^{-\alpha |z|^2} dm(z).$$

The orthonormalization of the monomials gives the basis

$$e_k(z) = \frac{\sqrt{\alpha^k}}{\sqrt{k!}} z^k, \quad k \ge 0.$$

The reproducing kernel is $k_z(\zeta) = \sum_{k>0} e_k(\zeta) \overline{e_k(z)} = e^{\alpha \bar{z}\zeta}$, hence

$$\langle f, k_z \rangle = f(z), \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

It's easy to check that the translations

$$T_z f(\zeta) = T_z^{\alpha} f(\zeta) := e^{\alpha \bar{z} \zeta - \frac{\alpha}{2} |z|^2} f(\zeta - z), \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2,$$

act isometrically in \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 .

Let $\mathbf{k}_z = k_z / \|k_z\|_2$ be the normalized reproducing kernel at z. Note that $T_z 1 = \mathbf{k}_z$.

A sequence $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{C}$ is called *sampling* for \mathcal{F}^2_{α} if

$$||f||_2^2 \simeq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \frac{|f(\lambda)|^2}{k_\lambda(\lambda)} = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} |\langle f, T_\lambda 1 \rangle|^2, \quad f \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha^2,$$

and interpolating if for every $v=(v_{\lambda})_{\lambda\in\Lambda}\in\ell^2$, there exists $f\in\mathcal{F}^2_{\alpha}$ such that

$$e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|\lambda|^2}f(\lambda) = \langle f, T_{\lambda} 1 \rangle = v_{\lambda}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

For numerous results on the Fock space and operators acting thereon see the recent book by Zhu [15].

Let us now define sampling and interpolation for the case of higher multiplicity.

We deal with divisors X given as $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$, where Λ is a sequence of points in \mathbb{C} and $m_{\lambda} \in \mathbb{N}$ is the multiplicity associated with λ . We associate with each (λ, m_{λ}) the subspace

$$N_{\lambda}^{2} = N_{\lambda, m_{\lambda}}^{2} := \{ f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{2} : f(\lambda) = f'(\lambda) = \dots = f^{(m_{\lambda} - 1)}(\lambda) = 0 \}.$$

Definition. The divisor X is called sampling for \mathcal{F}^2_{α} if

$$||f||_2^2 \asymp \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||f||_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2/N_{\lambda}^2}^2 = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2, \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2,$$

and it is called interpolating for \mathcal{F}^2_{α} if for every sequence

$$v = (v_{\lambda}^{(k)})_{\lambda \in \Lambda, 0 \le k < m_{\lambda}}$$

such that

$$\|v\|_2^2 := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |v_{\lambda}^{(k)}|^2 < \infty$$

there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{F}^2_{\alpha}$ such that

$$\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle = v_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \qquad 0 \le k < m_{\lambda}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$
 (1)

Equivalently, X is interpolating if for every sequence $(f_{\lambda})_{{\lambda} \in {\Lambda}} \subset \mathcal{F}^{2}_{\alpha}$ satisfying

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{F}^{2}_{\alpha}/N_{\lambda}^{2}}^{2} < \infty$$

there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{F}^2_{\alpha}$ such that

$$f - f_{\lambda} \in N_{\lambda}^2, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

This is exactly the way generalized interpolation is defined in Hardy spaces (see [9, Section C3.2] for definitions and results).

An application of the open mapping theorem to the restriction operator

$$\mathcal{R}(f) = \left(\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle \right)_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \ 0 \le k < m_{\lambda}}$$

shows that the function $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^2$ such that (1) holds can always be chosen in such a way that $||f||_2 \leq C||v||_2$, for some C > 0 depending only on X. The minimal such C is called the *interpolation constant of* X, and it will be denoted by M_X .

Separation between points in Λ plays an important role in our results. Denote by D(z,r) the disc of radius r centered at z, D(r) = D(0,r).

Definition. A divisor X is said to satisfy the finite overlap condition if

$$S_X = \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda/\alpha})}(z) < \infty.$$
 (2)

If Λ is a finite union of subsets Λ_j such that for every j, the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha})$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_j$, are disjoint, then X satisfies the finite overlap condition. It is not clear whether the opposite is true.

The following two results give conditions for sampling and interpolation in the case of unbounded multiplicities. They are less precise than the results for the bounded case, in that they do not give characterizations. Still, the gap is sufficiently small to show that no divisor can be simultaneously sampling and interpolating when the multiplicities tend to infinity.

Theorem 1.1. (a) If X is a sampling divisor for \mathcal{F}^2_{α} , then X satisfies the finite overlap condition and there exists C > 0 such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C) = \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) Conversely, let the divisor X satisfy the finite overlap condition. There exists $C = C(S_X) > 0$ such that if for some compact subset K of \mathbb{C} we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K,$$

then X is a sampling divisor for \mathcal{F}^2_{α} .

A separation condition stronger than that in Theorem 1.1 (b) permits us to choose a subdivisor with the multiplicities tending to infinity:

Proposition 1.2. Let the divisor X be such that for every C > 0 there exists a compact subset K of \mathbb{C} satisfying

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^{2}} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K.$$

Then we can find a subset Λ' of Λ such that for every C > 0 there is a compact subset K of \mathbb{C} satisfying

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda', \, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K,$$

and

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda', |\lambda| \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty.$$

Theorem 1.3. (a) If X is an interpolating divisor for \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 , then there exists $C = C(M_X) > 0$ such that the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2}$ are pairwise disjoint.

(b) Conversely, if for some C > 0 the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are pairwise disjoint, then X is an interpolating divisor for \mathcal{F}_{α}^{2} .

Remark. It is easily seen that if X is a divisor such that for some C > 0 the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2}$ are pairwise disjoint, and if $\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty$, then X satisfies the finite overlap condition.

Corollary 1.4. Let the divisor X satisfy the condition $\lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty$. Then X cannot be simultaneously interpolating and sampling for \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 .

Thus, denoting by K_{λ} the orthogonal complement of N_{λ}^2 in \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 , we conclude that the Fock space \mathcal{F}_{α}^2 has no unconditional (Riesz) bases of subspaces K_{λ} , if $\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty$.

1.2. The $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ Fock space. Let

$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty} = \big\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{C}) : \|f\|_{\infty} = \|f\|_{\alpha,\infty} := \sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} |f(z)| e^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}|z|^2} < \infty \big\}.$$

In order to consider the corresponding L^{∞} sampling and interpolation problems, we associate to every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ the subspace

$$N_{\lambda}^{\infty} = N_{\lambda, m_{\lambda}}^{\infty} = \{ f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty} : f(\lambda) = f'(\lambda) = \dots = f^{(m_{\lambda} - 1)}(\lambda) = 0 \}.$$

A divisor X is called sampling for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$, if there exists L>0 such that

$$||f||_{\alpha,\infty} \le L \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||f||_{\mathcal{F}^{\infty}_{\alpha}/N^{\infty}_{\lambda}}.$$

In a similar way we define the generalized interpolation. The divisor X is called interpolating for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ if for every sequence $(f_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ with

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}/N_{\lambda}^{\infty}} < \infty,$$

there exists a function $f \in \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ such that

$$f - f_{\lambda} \in N_{\lambda}^{\infty}, \quad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

As usual, the norm of the interpolating function f is controlled by the ℓ^{∞} -norm of the sequence $(\|f_{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}/N_{\lambda}^{\infty}})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$. The minimal constant C such that we can always find an interpolating f with $\|f\|_{\alpha,\infty} \leq C \sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|f_{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}/N_{\lambda}^{\infty}}$, is called the interpolation constant of X, and will be denoted by M_X .

Theorem 1.5. (a) If X is a sampling divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ then there exists C > 0 such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C) = \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) Conversely, if for some C > 0 and a compact set K we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \ m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^{2}} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K,$$

then X is a sampling divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$.

This result is slightly stronger than Theorem 1.1 in that for the $p = \infty$ case any C > 0 is sufficient for sampling while for p = 2 we need $C > C(S_X)$.

- **Theorem 1.6.** (a) If X is an interpolating divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$, then there exists $C = C(M_X) > 0$ such that the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} C)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2}$ are pairwise disjoint.
 - (b) Conversely, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that if the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C_0)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are pairwise disjoint, then X is an interpolating divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$.

This result is slightly weaker than Theorem 1.4 in that for the p=2 case any C>0 is sufficient for interpolation while for $p=\infty$ we need $C\geq C_0$ for some absolute constant C_0 .

Corollary 1.7. Let the divisor X satisfy the condition $\lim_{|\lambda|\to\infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty$. Then X cannot be simultaneously interpolating and sampling for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$.

The proof of this Corollary is completely analogous to that of Corollary 1.4.

The problems of sampling and interpolation are linked to that of uniqueness, and thus to zero divisors. We say that X is a zero divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$ if $\cap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} N_{\lambda,m_{\lambda}}^{\infty} \neq \{0\}$. To our knowledge, there is no characterization of the zero divisors of the Fock space. Some conditions are discussed in [7, 15].

We will establish here a necessary condition for zero divisors which seems sharper than those known so far.

Theorem 1.8. Let X be a divisor. If there exists a compact subset K of \mathbb{C} such that

of
$$\mathbb{C}$$
 such that
$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha}) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K, \tag{3}$$
then X is not a zero divisor for $\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\infty}$.

1.3. The \mathcal{F}^p_{α} Fock spaces. By analogy to the Fock space \mathcal{F}^2_{α} , we can consider the Fock spaces \mathcal{F}^p_{α} consisting of entire functions f such that $f(z) \exp(-\alpha |z|^2/2)$ belongs to $L^p(\mathbb{C})$, 1 , and the corresponding sampling and interpolation problems. At the end of the paper we discuss how our results extend to 2 for which we obtainconditions on sampling (Theorem 8.1) similar to Theorem 1.1 and on interpolation (Theorem 8.2) similar to Theorem 1.6. As a consequence, for 2 we can obtain an analogue of Corollary 1.7.

It is of interest whether similar results are valid for 1 .

The plan of the paper is the following. In the next section we establish two geometrical results including Proposition 1.2 and the proof of Corollary 1.4. In Section 3 we prove the uniqueness Theorem 1.8. In Section 4 we collect some results on local L^2 estimates and the finite overlap condition. Sections 5 and 6 are devoted to the proofs of Theorems 1.1 and 1.3, respectively. Theorems 1.5 and 1.6 are proved in Section 7. Section 8 is devoted to the discussion of the case 2 .

Let us comment on the techniques used in this paper. One central result is that if a function of norm one on a disc has a small quotient norm with respect to functions vanishing up to a given order (adapted to the radius) at the center of the disc, then it will be small in a disc with a slightly smaller radius. In order to obtain such kind of results, we use the maximum principle and some estimates of the incomplete gamma function. This will indeed be the key for the necessity part of the interpolation result and the sufficiency part of the sampling result. Another key ingredient for sampling is a uniqueness result based on the

uniform redistribution of the point mass $\Delta(\log |f|)$ over discs centered at the zeros of f with radius adapted to the multiplicity, and measuring the radial growth of the total redistributed mass. The sufficiency of the interpolation part for p=2 is based on $\overline{\partial}$ -techniques by Hörmander requiring some subtle choices of the weight functions in order to fit to arbitrarily big multiplicities. The case $p = \infty$ adapts a clever trick by Berndtsson allowing to get uniform estimates for the solutions which are optimal in the (weighted) L^2 norm.

Throughout the remaining part of the paper, we suppose that $\alpha = 1$. The general case is dealt with in the same way. We define the measure

$$d\mu(z) = (1/\pi)e^{-|z|^2}dm(z).$$

Denote $\mathcal{F}^{\infty} = \mathcal{F}_{1}^{\infty}$, $\mathcal{F}^{2} = \mathcal{F}_{1}^{2}$. Furthermore, we use the following notations:

- $A \lesssim B$ means that there is an absolute constant C such that
- $A \approx B$ if both $A \lesssim B$ and $B \lesssim A$.

Acknowledgments. We are thankful to Philippe Charpentier, Daniel Pascuas and Stéphane Rigat for helpful discussions. We are grateful to the referee for interesting comments. The last section of our paper answers one of his questions.

2. Two geometrical results

2.1. An elementary result. We start with a simple geometric lemma that will allow us to deduce Corollary 1.4 from Theorems 1.3 and 1.1, and also play a rôle in the proof of Theorem 1.8 in the next section.

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that three discs $D_1 = D(Z_1, r_1)$, $D_2 = D(Z_2, r_2)$, $D_3 = D(Z_3, r_3)$ satisfy the property $D_1 \cap D_2 \cap D_3 \neq \emptyset$. Then there exist $1 \le i, j \le 3$, $i \ne j$ such that

- (a) $r_i + r_j |Z_i Z_j| \ge c \cdot \min(r_i, r_j),$ (b) $m(D_i \cap D_j) \ge c \cdot \min(r_i, r_j)^2;$

for some absolute constant c > 0, with m(A) being the area of A.

Proof. The proof is based on elementary geometry. Take $Z \in D_1 \cap$ $D_2 \cap D_3$. We can assume that each pair of discs intersects at exactly two different points. One of the angles between the vectors $\overrightarrow{ZZ_1}$, $\overrightarrow{ZZ_2}$, \overline{ZZ}_3 is at most $2\pi/3$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\angle Z_1 Z Z_2 \leq 2\pi/3$. Denote by W_1, W_2 two points of intersection of ∂D_1 and ∂D_2 . Either $\angle W_1 Z_1 W_2$ or $\angle W_1 Z_2 W_2$ is at least $\pi/3$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $\angle W_1 Z_1 W_2 \ge \pi/3$.

Now, either $Z_1 \in D_2$ or $|W_1 - W_2| \ge r_1$. In both situations, (a) and (b) follow immediately.

We are now ready to prove Corollary 1.4. For this, suppose that there is a divisor $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ which is simultaneously interpolating and sampling and such that $\lim_{|\lambda| \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = \infty$. By Theorem 1.3, there is a constant C_1 such that the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - C_1)$ are pairwise disjoint so that for every $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$, we have

$$\sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + \sqrt{m_{\lambda'}} - |\lambda - \lambda'| \le 2C_1. \tag{4}$$

Next, by Theorem 1.1, the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda} + C_1})$ cover the whole plane, so by Lemma 2.1 (a), we can find pairs (λ, λ') , $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$, $\lambda \neq \lambda'$ such that

$$2C_1 + \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + \sqrt{m_{\lambda'}} - |\lambda - \lambda'| \ge c \min(\sqrt{m_{\lambda}}, \sqrt{m_{\lambda'}}), \ m_{\lambda} \to \infty, \ m_{\lambda'} \to \infty,$$
 which contradicts (4).

2.2. **Proof of Proposition 1.2.** Choose an increasing sequence of positive numbers $(R_n)_{n\geq 1}$, such that

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus D(R_n) \subset \bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > n^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n). \tag{U_n}$$

Iteratively, on the step $s \geq 1$, we remove from Λ the subsets

$$\Lambda_s = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : |\lambda| > R_s + s, (s-1)^2 \le m_\lambda < s^2 \}.$$

Then

$$\lim_{\lambda \in \Lambda', |\lambda| \to \infty} m_{\lambda} = +\infty,$$

where $\Lambda' = \Lambda \setminus \bigcup_{s \geq 1} \Lambda_s$. It remains only to verify that the conditions (U_n) are still valid after each step s. Clearly, (U_n) , $n \geq s$, do not change. Now, for $1 \leq n < s$ we have

$$\mathbb{C}\backslash D(R_n) \subset \Big(\bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > n^2, \, \lambda \notin \Lambda_s} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n)\Big) \cup \Big(\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n)\Big). \tag{5}$$

Observe that if $\lambda \in \Lambda_s$, then $m_{\lambda} < s^2$ and for every $z \in D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$ we have $|z| \ge |\lambda| - \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} > R_s + s - s = R_s$. Hence,

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_s} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda} - n) \subset \mathbb{C} \setminus D(R_s). \tag{6}$$

By (U_s) , we have

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus D(R_s) \subset \bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > s^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - s)$$

$$\subset \bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > s^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n) \subset \bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > n^2, \lambda \notin \Lambda_s} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n). \quad (7)$$

Finally, (5)–(7) yield

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus D(R_n) \subset \bigcup_{m_{\lambda} > n^2, \, \lambda \notin \Lambda_s} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - n).$$

3. Zero divisors

Lemma 3.1. If $X = \{(\lambda_k, m_k)\}_{k>1}$ is a zero divisor for \mathcal{F}^{∞} , then

$$2\int_{D(R)} \sum_{k>1} \chi_{D(\lambda_k,\sqrt{m_k})}(z) \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) \le \pi R^2 + O(1), \qquad R \to \infty.$$

Proof. Assume that $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\infty}$ vanishes at λ_k of order m_k , $k \geq 1$. Then $\log |f|$ is a subharmonic function, not identically $-\infty$ and bounded above by

$$s(z) := \log ||f||_{\infty} + \frac{1}{2}|z|^2$$
.

In an inductive argument, we will construct a new subharmonic function h such that $\log |f| \le h \le s$ by redistributing the mass of $\Delta(\log |f|)$ of each $D(\lambda_k, \sqrt{m_k})$ uniformly on this disc.

Set $h_0 := \log |f|$. Then

$$h_0 = m_1 \log \left(\frac{|z - \lambda_1|}{\sqrt{m_1}} \right) + u_0,$$

where u_0 is subharmonic on \mathbb{C} and harmonic in some small neighbourhood of λ_1 (since the zeros of the entire function f are isolated). Define

$$v_1(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{|z - \lambda_1|^2 - m_1}{2} & \text{if } z \in D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1}) \\ m_1 \log\left(\frac{|z - \lambda_1|}{\sqrt{m_1}}\right) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then $v_1 \in C^1(\mathbb{C})$, it is harmonic outside $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$ and it has constant Laplacian 2 in $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$. Hence the total mass of the measure Δv_1 on \mathbb{C} is equal to $2m(D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})) = 2\pi m_1$, which corresponds to the total mass of the measure $\Delta(m_1(\log |z - \lambda_1|/\sqrt{m_1})) = 2\pi m_1 \delta_{\lambda_1}$.

Now set $h_1 = v_1 + u_0$, and restart the procedure for λ_2 , i.e. write

$$h_1 = m_2 \log \left(\frac{|z - \lambda_2|}{\sqrt{m_2}}\right) + u_1.$$

We construct v_2 as above and obtain $h_2 = v_2 + u_1$.

Iterating this procedure we obtain a sequence of subharmonic functions $(h_n)_n$. We claim that, for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, $(h_n(z))_n$ is increasing and

$$\log|f(z)| \le h_n(z) \le s(z).$$

As above we will give the argument just for the first step. The rest will follow by induction.

Let us begin by showing that $h_1(z) \leq s(z)$ for every $z \in \mathbb{C}$. This is clear when $z \notin D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$, since for these z we have not changed the function $(h_0 = h_1)$ outside $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$. This estimate holds also on $\partial D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$.

Consider the function $w_1 := v_1 + u_0 - s$. Then $\Delta(v_1 + u_0 - |z|^2/2) = \Delta u_0 \ge 0$ on $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$, since u_0 is subharmonic. Hence w_1 is subharmonic and it is non-positive on the boundary of $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$. Therefore, it is non-positive throughout this disc, yielding $h_1 = v_1 + u_0 \le s$ also in $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$.

The fact that $h_0 \leq h_1$ is almost obvious. Again, there is nothing to prove for z outside $D(\lambda_1, \sqrt{m_1})$. Inside the disc it remains to estimate $m_1 \log(|z - \lambda_1|/\sqrt{m_1})$ from above by $(|z - \lambda_1|^2 - m_1)/2$, which is clear, since $r \to m_1 \log(r/\sqrt{m_1})$ is concave, $r \to (r^2 - m_1)/2$ is convex, and these functions touch smoothly at $r = m_1$.

The pointwise limit h of the sequence $(h_n)_n$ is still subharmonic (because the sequence is locally eventually stable) and by construction it is comprised between $\log |f|$ and s(z). We also know that it is not identically equal to $-\infty$, since $\log |f|$ is not.

Since $h(z) \leq s(z)$, by Green's formula,

$$\int_{D(R)} \Delta h(z) \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) = -2\pi h(0) + \frac{1}{R} \int_{|z|=R} h(z) d|z|$$

$$\leq 2\pi \left(-h(0) + \log ||f||_{\infty} + \frac{R^2}{2} \right).$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{D(R)} \Delta h(z) \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) \ge 2 \int_{D(R)} \sum_{k \ge 1} \chi_{D(\lambda_k, \sqrt{m_k})}(z) \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z),$$

and the proof is complete.

3.1. **Proof of Theorem 1.8.** Let $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a zero divisor for \mathcal{F}^{∞} satisfying (3). Denote $E = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})}(z) > 1\}$. Choose R > 0 such that $K \subset D(R)$. Then, by Lemma 3.1, there exists A > 0 such that

$$\frac{\pi R^2}{2} + A \ge \int_{D(R)} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})}(z) \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z)$$

$$= \int_{D(R)} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) + \int_{D(R)\cap E} \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})}(z) - 1 \right] \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) + \int_{D(R)\setminus E} \left[\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})}(z) - 1 \right] \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z)$$

$$\ge \int_{D(R)} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) + \int_{D(R)\cap E} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) - \int_{K} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z)$$

$$\ge \frac{\pi R^2}{2} + \int_{D(R)\cap E} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) - m(K) \log R - c_1, \tag{8}$$

where c_1 depends only on the compact set K.

By Lemma 2.1 (b), the area of E is infinite. Choose R_0 such that $m(E \cap D(R_0)) \ge m(K) + 1$. For $R \ge R_0$ we have

$$\int_{D(R)\cap E} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z) \ge \int_{D(R_0)\cap E} \log \frac{R}{|z|} dm(z)$$

$$\ge (m(K) + 1) \log \frac{R}{R_0} \ge (m(K) + 1) \log R - c_2,$$

with c_2 depending only on R_0 . By (8) we get a contradiction, and the proof is completed.

4. Local L^2 estimates and the finite overlap condition Given $x \geq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ we define

$$\sigma_k(x) = \frac{1}{k!} \int_0^x y^k e^{-y} \, dy,$$
$$\omega_k(x) = e^{-x} \sum_{s=0}^k \frac{x^s}{s!}.$$

Then $\lim_{x\to+\infty} \sigma_k(x) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \omega_k(x) = 1$. Integration by parts gives $\sigma_k(x) + \omega_k(x) = 1$.

The following estimates on partial sums of exponentials (or the incomplete Gamma function) will be useful in what follows.

Lemma 4.1. (a) Given $t \ge 0$, there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k_0 > 0$ such that for every $k \ge k_0$

$$\sigma_k(k - t\sqrt{k}) \ge \varepsilon.$$

(b) Given $t \geq 0$, there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that for every $k \geq 0$

$$\omega_k(k+t\sqrt{k}) \ge \varepsilon.$$

(c) Given $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist t > 0 such that for every $k \ge t^2$

$$\sigma_k(m - t\sqrt{m}) \le \varepsilon \sigma_k(m), \qquad t^2 \le m \le k.$$

Proof. This lemma is essentially contained in [13]; alternatively, one can use the Stirling formula to evaluate the sums $\omega_k(x)$. Yet another proof of parts (a) and (b) uses the Poisson law and its approximation by the standard normal law via the central limit theorem.

To prove (c), we will check that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist t > 0 satisfying

$$(y - t\sqrt{y})^k e^{-(y - t\sqrt{y})} \le \varepsilon y^k e^{-y}, \qquad t^2 \le y \le k. \tag{9}$$

Assuming for the moment (9), and integrating from t^2 to $x \in [t^2, k]$, we get

$$\int_0^{x-t\sqrt{x}} u^k e^{-u} \frac{du}{1-t/(2\sqrt{y})} = \int_{t^2}^x (y-t\sqrt{y})^k e^{-(y-t\sqrt{y})} dy$$
$$\leq \varepsilon \int_{t^2}^x y^k e^{-y} dy,$$

where $u = y - t\sqrt{y}$. Since $y \ge t^2$, we have $1 - t/(2\sqrt{y}) \ge \frac{1}{2}$, and hence,

$$\int_0^{x-t\sqrt{x}} u^k e^{-u} du \le 2\varepsilon \int_{t^2}^x y^k e^{-y} dy,$$

which completes the proof.

To verify (9), we use that

$$\begin{split} t\sqrt{y} + k\log\left(\frac{y - t\sqrt{y}}{y}\right) &= t\sqrt{y} - \sum_{j \ge 1} \frac{kt^j}{jy^{j/2}} \\ &= -(k - y)\frac{t}{\sqrt{y}} - \frac{kt^2}{2y} - \sum_{j \ge 3} \frac{kt^j}{jy^{j/2}} \le -\frac{t^2}{2} \\ &\le \log \varepsilon \end{split}$$

for $t^2 \le y \le k$ and $t \ge \sqrt{2\log(1/\varepsilon)}$.

Lemma 4.2. For every $A \ge 0$ there exists C(A) > 0, n(A) > 0 such that for every $f \in \mathcal{F}^2$, $n \ge n(A)$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$, we have

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2 \le C(A) \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{n} - A)} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z).$$

Proof. Let $g = T_{-\lambda}f$. Our statement is equivalent to

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |\langle g, e_k \rangle|^2 \lesssim \int_{D(\sqrt{n}-A)} |g(z)|^2 d\mu(z), \tag{10}$$

Let

$$g = \sum_{k>0} a_k e_k.$$

We use that e_k are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\chi_{D(R)}d\mu$ and

$$\int_{D(R)} |e_k(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = \sigma_k(R^2).$$
 (11)

Therefore, (10) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} |a_k|^2 \lesssim \sum_{k \ge 0} \sigma_k((\sqrt{n} - A)^2)|a_k|^2.$$
 (12)

By Lemma 4.1 (a), for $n > k \ge k_0(A)$, we have

$$\sigma_k((\sqrt{n}-A)^2) \ge \sigma_k(k-2A\sqrt{k}) \ge \varepsilon(A)^2 > 0.$$

For every k such that $0 \le k < k_0(A), n \ge n(A, k)$ we have

$$\sigma_k((\sqrt{n}-A)^2) \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

Therefore, for $n \ge \max_{0 \le k < k_0(A)} [n(A, k)]$ we obtain

$$\sigma_k((\sqrt{n}-A)^2) \ge \min\left(\frac{1}{2}, \varepsilon(A)^2\right) > 0, \qquad k \ge 0,$$

and (12) follows.

Lemma 4.3. Let $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{{\lambda} \in \Lambda}$. The divisor X satisfies the finite overlap condition if and only if there exists C > 0 satisfying

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2 \le C \|f\|_2^2, \qquad f \in \mathcal{F}^2.$$
 (13)

Proof. Suppose that (13) holds. Given $z \in \mathbb{C}$, set $f = T_z 1$; we have $||f||_2 = 1$. Next,

$$|\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle| = |\langle T_z 1, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle| = |\langle e_0, T_{\lambda - z} e_k \rangle| = |T_{\lambda - z} e_k(0)|$$
$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{k!}} |\lambda - z|^k e^{-\frac{1}{2}|\lambda - z|^2}.$$

Denote $\rho_{\lambda} = |\lambda - z|$. Then (13) implies that

$$1 = \|f\|_{2}^{2} \gtrsim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \frac{\rho_{\lambda}^{2k}}{k!} e^{-\rho_{\lambda}^{2}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \rho_{\lambda}^{2} < m_{\lambda}} e^{-\rho_{\lambda}^{2}} \sum_{0 \le k \le \rho_{\lambda}^{2}} \frac{\rho_{\lambda}^{2k}}{k!}$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})}(z) \omega_{[\rho_{\lambda}^{2}]}(\rho_{\lambda}^{2}),$$

where $[\rho_{\lambda}^2]$ is the integer part of ρ_{λ}^2 . By Lemma 4.1 (b) with t=0 we conclude that

$$1 \gtrsim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda})}(z).$$

In the opposite direction, if X satisfies the finite overlap condition, we just apply Lemma 4.2.

5. Proof of Theorem 1.1

5.1. Necessary condition. Let $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be a sampling divisor. By Lemma 4.3 it satisfies the finite overlap condition. Suppose that for every C > 0

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + C) \neq \mathbb{C}.$$

Then there exists a sequence $(z_n)_n \subset \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\rho_n := \operatorname{dist}(z_n, \cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda})) \longrightarrow \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (14)

Set $f_n = T_{z_n} 1$. By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |\langle f_n, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2 \lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})} e^{-|z_n - w|^2} dm(w)$$

$$\lesssim \int_{\cup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})} e^{-|z_n - w|^2} dm(w) \lesssim \int_{|\zeta| \ge \rho_n} e^{-|\zeta|^2} dm(\zeta) \to 0, \quad (15)$$

and X cannot be sampling for \mathcal{F}^2 , which contradicts the hypothesis.

5.2. **Sufficient condition.** Let us start with a local estimate.

Lemma 5.1. Given $0 < \eta \le 1$ there exists $a(\eta) < \infty$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}^2$, $m \ge a(\eta)^2$, and if

$$\sum_{0 \le k < m} |\langle f, e_k \rangle|^2 \le \eta/2,$$

$$\int_{D(\sqrt{m})} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \le 1,$$

then

$$\int_{D(\sqrt{m}-a(\eta))} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) \le \eta.$$

Proof. Let

$$f = \sum_{k>0} a_k e_k.$$

By (11), $(e_k/\sqrt{\sigma_k(R^2)})_k$ is an orthonormal system with respect to the scalar product $\int_{D(R)} f\overline{g}d\mu$, and it remains only to verify that

$$\sum_{k\geq m} |a_k|^2 \sigma_k((\sqrt{m} - a(\eta))^2) \leq (\eta/2) \sum_{k\geq m} |a_k|^2 \sigma_k(m).$$

This inequality follows from Lemma 4.1 (c).

Now we are ready to complete the sufficiency part of the proof of Theorem 1.1. Suppose that there exists a sequence $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that $||f_n||_2 = 1$ and

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |\langle f_n, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2 \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Passing to a weakly convergent subsequence denoted again by $(f_n)_{n\geq 1}$ we have two possibilities: either (A) f_n converge weakly to $f\neq 0$ or (B) f_n converge weakly to 0.

- (A): In this case X is a zero divisor for $f \in \mathcal{F}^2$. Since $\mathcal{F}^2 \subset \mathcal{F}^{\infty}$, we obtain, by Theorem 1.8, that $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$ cannot be compact, thus contradicting the hypothesis.
- (B): In this case we define $\eta = (S_X + 1)^{-1}$. We set the constant $C = C(S_X)$ from the formulation of the theorem to be equal to $a(\eta)$ defined in Lemma 5.1. Denote $\Lambda_1 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda : m_{\lambda} > a(\eta)^2\}$. Then take

 $B = B(\eta)$ such that

$$\mathbb{C} \setminus D(B) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda} - a(\eta))$$

and obtain

$$1 = \int_{\mathbb{C}} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq \int_{D(B)} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda} - a(\eta))} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z).$$

Denote by Λ_2 the set of $\lambda \in \Lambda_1$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} |\langle f_n, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle|^2 \le (\eta/2) \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z).$$

By Lemma 5.1 we obtain that

$$1 \leq o(1) + \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda} - a(\eta))} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$+ \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_2} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda} - a(\eta))} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq o(1) + \eta \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_2} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda})} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + (2/\eta) \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda_1 \setminus \Lambda_2} \sum_{k=0}^{m_\lambda - 1} |\langle f_n, T_\lambda e_k \rangle|^2$$

$$\leq o(1) + \eta \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_\lambda})} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$\leq o(1) + \eta S_X \int_{C} |f_n(z)|^2 d\mu(z) = o(1) + \eta S_X, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

This contradiction completes the proof.

6. Proof of Theorem 1.3

6.1. Sufficient condition. Suppose that the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + C_{\Lambda})$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$ are pairwise disjoint for some $C_{\Lambda} > 0$.

Let $v=(v_{\lambda}^{(k)})_{\lambda\in\Lambda,0\leq k< m_{\lambda}}$ be a sequence with $\|v\|_{2}<\infty$ and let $(p_{\lambda})_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ be a sequence of polynomials such that

$$\langle p_{\lambda}, e_k \rangle = v_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda, \ 0 \le k < m_{\lambda},$$

and

$$||p_{\lambda}||_{\mathcal{F}^{2}/N_{0,m_{\lambda}}^{2}} = ||p_{\lambda}||_{\mathcal{F}^{2}}, \qquad \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||p_{\lambda}||_{\mathcal{F}^{2}/N_{0,m_{\lambda}}^{2}}^{2} < \infty.$$

Set $Q_{\lambda} = T_{\lambda}p_{\lambda}$, $r_{\lambda} = \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + C_{\Lambda}$, $D_{\lambda} = D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$, and $D'_{\lambda} = D(\lambda, r_{\lambda})$, so that the discs $(D'_{\lambda})_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are pairwise disjoint. Consider first the interpolating function

$$F(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} Q_{\lambda}(z) \eta(|z - \lambda| - r_{\lambda}),$$

where η is a smooth cut-off function on \mathbb{R} with

- supp $\eta \subset (-\infty, 0]$,
- $\eta \equiv 1$ on $(-\infty, -C_{\Lambda}]$,
- $|\eta'| \lesssim 1$.

Note that supp $F \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D'_{\lambda}$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |F(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm(z) \lesssim \sum_{\lambda} \int_{D'_{\lambda}} |Q_{\lambda}(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm(z) \leq \sum_{\lambda} \|Q_{\lambda}\|_2^2 < \infty.$$

Furthermore, F interpolates $(v_{\lambda}^{(k)})_{\lambda,k}$ on X, that is for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the function

$$F - \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} v_{\lambda}^{(k)} T_{\lambda} e_k$$

vanishes at λ of order at least m_{λ} .

We search for a holomorphic interpolating function of the form f=F-u. This leads to the $\bar{\partial}$ -equation $\bar{\partial}u=\bar{\partial}F$, which we solve using Hörmander's result [6, Theorem 4.2.1]: if ψ is a subharmonic function, then there exists u such that $\bar{\partial}F=\bar{\partial}u$ and

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u|^2 e^{-\psi} dm \le 4 \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\overline{\partial} F|^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{dm}{\Delta \psi}.$$
 (16)

We set $\psi(z) = |z|^2 + v(z)$, where

$$v(z) = \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} m_{\lambda} \left[\log \frac{|z - \lambda|^2}{m_{\lambda}} + 1 - \frac{|z - \lambda|^2}{m_{\lambda}} \right] \chi_{D_{\lambda}}(z).$$

Since

$$\log(1-t) + t \le 0, \qquad 0 \le t \le 1,$$

we have $v(z) \leq 0$. Next, supp $\overline{\partial} F \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (D'_{\lambda} \setminus D_{\lambda})$. Furthermore, for $z \in D'_{\lambda} \setminus D_{\lambda}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we have v(z) = 0 and

$$|\overline{\partial}F(z)| \lesssim |Q_{\lambda}(z)|.$$
 (17)

A direct computation yields

$$\Delta v = -4 + 4\pi m_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$$

on D_{λ} , where δ_{λ} is the unit mass at λ . Therefore,

$$\Delta \psi = 4\pi m_{\lambda} \delta_{\lambda}$$

on D_{λ} . Next,

$$\Delta \psi = 4 \text{ on } \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D_{\lambda}.$$
 (18)

By (16)-(18), we obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm \le \int_{\mathbb{C}} |u|^2 e^{-\psi} dm \le 4 \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\overline{\partial} F|^2 e^{-\psi} \frac{dm}{\Delta \psi}$$

$$\lesssim \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \int_{D_{\lambda}' \setminus D_{\lambda}} |Q_{\lambda}(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm(z) \lesssim \sum_{\lambda} \|Q_{\lambda}\|_{\mathcal{F}^2/N_{\lambda}^2}^2.$$

Thus, $f = F - u \in \mathcal{F}^2$

Finally, since in a neighbourhood of λ holds the estimate $e^{-\psi(z)} \approx e^{-v(z)} \approx |z - \lambda|^{-2m_{\lambda}}$ and u is analytic, the bound

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u(z)|^2 e^{-\psi(z)} dm(z) < \infty$$

forces u to vanish at order m_{λ} at λ , so that f interpolates the values $(v_{\lambda}^{(k)})_{\lambda \in \Lambda, 0 \le k < m_{\lambda}}$, i.e.:

$$\langle f, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle = \langle Q_{\lambda}, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle = v_{\lambda}^{(k)}, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda, \ 0 \le k < m_{\lambda}.$$

6.2. Necessary condition. Let $X = \{(\lambda, m_{\lambda})\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ be an interpolating divisor and assume that the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - A)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, m_{\lambda} > A^2}$ are not separated for some A > 0 to be chosen later on. Then there exist $\lambda, \lambda' \in \Lambda$ and $w \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$D(w,1) \subset D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - A + 1) \cap D(\lambda', \sqrt{m_{\lambda'}} - A + 1).$$

Since X is an interpolating divisor, there exists $f \in \mathcal{F}^2$ such that

$$f \in N_{\lambda, m_{\lambda}}^{2},$$

$$f - T_{w}1 \in N_{\lambda', m_{\lambda'}}^{2},$$

$$||f||_{2} \leq M_{X}.$$

By Lemma 5.1,

$$\int_{D(\lambda,\sqrt{m_{\lambda}}-A+1)} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + \int_{D(\lambda',\sqrt{m_{\lambda'}}-A+1)} |(f-T_w 1)(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$= o(1) \cdot M_X^2, \qquad A \to \infty,$$

and hence,

$$\int_{D(w,1)} |f(z)|^2 d\mu(z) + \int_{D(w,1)} |(f - T_w 1)(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

$$= o(1) \cdot M_X^2, \qquad A \to \infty.$$

On the other hand,

$$\int_{D(w,1)} |(T_w 1)(z)|^2 d\mu(z)$$

is a positive constant. This gives a contradiction when $A > A(M_X)$.

7. Proofs of Theorems 1.5 and 1.6

Let us start with some technical lemmas. First of all, given $m \ge 1$, the function $\varphi(t) = \varphi_m(t) = t^2/2 - m \log t$ decreases on $(0, \sqrt{m})$ and increases on $(\sqrt{m}, +\infty)$.

Lemma 7.1. Given $m \geq 1$,

(a) for every a > 0,

$$\varphi(\sqrt{m} + a) \le \varphi(\sqrt{m}) + a^2$$

(b) for every a > 0,

$$\varphi(\sqrt{m} - a) \ge \varphi(\sqrt{m}) + a^2, \qquad m \ge a^2.$$

Proof. Since $\varphi'(\sqrt{m}) = 0$, $\varphi''(t) < 2$, $t > \sqrt{m}$, and $\varphi''(t) > 2$, $0 < t < \sqrt{m}$, we have

$$\varphi(\sqrt{m} + a) - \varphi(\sqrt{m}) = \int_{\sqrt{m}}^{\sqrt{m} + a} \varphi'(t) dt < 2 \int_0^a s ds = a^2$$

and

$$\varphi(\sqrt{m} - a) - \varphi(\sqrt{m}) = -\int_{\sqrt{m} - a}^{\sqrt{m}} \varphi'(t) dt > 2\int_0^a s ds = a^2, \quad m \ge a^2.$$

Lemma 7.2. Given $\delta > 0$ there exist $\varepsilon, \eta > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\infty}$, $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, $m \geq \delta^2$, and $||f||_{\mathcal{F}^{\infty}/N_{0,m}^{\infty}} < \varepsilon$, then

$$|f(z)| \le (1 - \eta)e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad |z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta.$$

Proof. Let h be an entire function such that the function $g:z\to z^mh(z)$ belongs to \mathcal{F}^∞ and

$$||f - z^m h||_{\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

Then, using that $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, we obtain

$$|z^m h(z)| \le |f(z) - z^m h(z)| + |f(z)| \le (1 + \varepsilon)e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence,

$$|h(z)| \le (1+\varepsilon) \exp \varphi(|z|), \quad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

where, as above, $\varphi = \varphi_m$. Set $|z| = \sqrt{m}$ and use Lemma 7.1 (b) to get

$$|h(z)| \le (1+\varepsilon)e^{\varphi(\sqrt{m})-\varphi(\sqrt{m}-\delta)}e^{\varphi(\sqrt{m}-\delta)} \le (1+\varepsilon)e^{-\delta^2}e^{\varphi(\sqrt{m}-\delta)}.$$

We will have

$$|h(z)| \le (1 - \varepsilon - \eta)e^{\varphi(\sqrt{m} - \delta)}, \qquad |z| = \sqrt{m},$$

as soon as we choose ε, η small enough so that

$$(1+\varepsilon)e^{-\delta^2} \le 1 - \varepsilon - \eta.$$

By the maximum principle, the above estimate holds then for all $|z| \le \sqrt{m}$. Using that $\varphi(|z|) \ge \varphi(\sqrt{m} - \delta)$ for $|z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta$ we finally see that

$$|h(z)| \le (1 - \varepsilon - \eta) \exp \varphi(|z|), \qquad |z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta,$$

and

$$|f(z)| \le |z^m h(z)| + \varepsilon e^{|z|^2/2} \le (1 - \eta)e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad |z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta.$$

Lemma 7.3. Given $\beta > 0$, there exist $\varepsilon, \delta > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}^{\infty}$, $||f||_{\infty} \leq 1$, $m \geq \delta^2$, and $||f||_{\mathcal{F}^{\infty}/N_{0,m}^{\infty}} < \varepsilon$, then

$$|f(z)| \le \beta e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad |z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta.$$

Proof. We argue as in the proof of Lemma 7.2.

Lemma 7.4. Let $\delta > 0$. If $m \ge 1$ and f is a function analytic in D(R) and continuous up to $\partial D(R)$, $R = \sqrt{m} + \delta$, satisfying $f(0) = f'(0) = \ldots = f^{(m-1)}(0) = 0$ and $|f(Re^{it})| \le e^{R^2/2}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi]$, then

$$|f(z)| \le e^{\delta^2} e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad |z| \le R.$$

Proof. Let $f(z) = z^m g(z)$. The function g is holomorphic in D(R) and continuous up to $\partial D(R)$. By the maximum principle,

$$\log |g(z)| \le \varphi(R), \qquad |z| \le R.$$

By Lemma 7.1 (a), and since \sqrt{m} is the point of minimum for φ , we have

$$\log|g(z)| \le \varphi(\sqrt{m}) + \delta^2 \le \varphi(|z|) + \delta^2, \qquad |z| \le R,$$

and hence,

$$|f(z)| \le e^{\delta^2} e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad |z| \le R.$$

7.1. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Sufficient condition. Suppose that there exists a sequence $(f_n)_n$ such that $||f_n||_{\infty} = 1$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\sup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|f_n\|_{\mathcal{F}^{\infty}/N_{\lambda}^{\infty}} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Passing to a subsequence converging uniformly on compact subsets and denoted again by (f_n) we have two possibilities: either (A) the sequence $(f_n)_n$ converges to $f \neq 0$ or (B) the sequence (f_n) converges to 0.

- (A): In this case X is a zero divisor for \mathcal{F}^{∞} . Then, by Theorem 1.8, the set $\mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$ cannot be compact, thus contradicting the hypothesis.
- (B): In this case we recall our assumption that for a compact set K we have

$$\Omega = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, m_{\lambda} > C^2} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K.$$

Next, since $(f_n)_n$ tends to 0,

$$|f_n(z)| < \frac{1}{2}e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad z \in K, \ n \ge n_0.$$

On the other hand, applying Lemma 7.2 to $T_{-\lambda}f_n$ on $D(\sqrt{m_{\lambda}}), \lambda \in \Lambda$, we obtain that

$$|f_n(z)| < (1 - \eta(C))e^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad z \in \Omega, \ n \ge n_0,$$

Hence, $||f_n||_{\infty} < 1$, $n \ge n_0$, and we arrive at a contradiction.

7.2. Proof of Theorem 1.5. Necessary condition. The argument is completely analogous to that in the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1.1. Once again, we choose $(z_n)_n$ satisfying (14) and set $f_n = T_{z_n} 1$,

$$g_{\lambda,n} = \sum_{k=0}^{m_{\lambda}-1} \langle f_n, T_{\lambda} e_k \rangle T_{\lambda} e_k, \qquad \lambda \in \Lambda.$$

By (15),

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|g_{\lambda,n}\|_2^2 \to 0, \qquad n \to \infty,$$

and since $||h||_{\infty} \leq C||h||_2$ for $h \in \mathcal{F}^2$, we have

$$\max_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|g_{\lambda,n}\|_{\infty} \to 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

Since $g_{\lambda,n} - f_n \in N_{\lambda}^{\infty}$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, we obtain that X cannot be sampling for \mathcal{F}^{∞} and our proof is completed.

7.3. **Proof of Theorem 1.6.** Here, we need an additional construction.

Lemma 7.5. Given $q, a \geq 1$, there exists a function $y_{q,a} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$, $y_{q,a}(z) = y_{q,a}(|z|)$ such that $z \mapsto y_{q,a}(z) - 2q^2 \log |z|$ is \mathcal{C}^2 -smooth at 0,

$$y_{q,a}(z) = |z|^2, |z| \ge q + a,$$

and

$$\Delta y_{q,a}(z) \ge \frac{4a}{(q+2a-|z|)^2}, \qquad |z| < q+a.$$
 (19)

Proof. Consider

$$\gamma(t) = \frac{a}{(q+2a-t)^2}$$

and set

$$b = \int_{D(q+a)} \gamma(|z|) \, dm(z) \le \frac{2\pi (q+a)^2}{q+2a}. \tag{20}$$

Solve the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta g(z) = 4\gamma(|z|) - \frac{4b}{\pi(q+a)^2}, & |z| < q+a, \\ g(z) = 0, & |z| = q+a. \end{cases}$$

Then g(z) = g(|z|),

$$\int_{D(q+a)} \Delta g(z) \, dm(z) = 0,$$

and by Green's formula, $\nabla g = 0$ on $\partial D(q + a)$. Next, set

$$h(z) = q^2 \left[\log \left| \frac{z}{q+a} \right|^2 + 1 - \left| \frac{z}{q+a} \right|^2 \right], \quad |z| \le q+a.$$

Then $h = \nabla h = 0$ on $\partial D(q + a)$, and

$$\Delta h = -\frac{4q^2}{(q+a)^2} + 4\pi q^2 \delta_0$$

on D(q+a).

Finally, we set

$$y_{q,a}(z) = \begin{cases} |z|^2 + g(z) + h(z), & |z| \le q + a, \\ |z|^2, & |z| > q + a. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $y_{q,a} \in \mathcal{C}^1(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})$ and $z \mapsto y_{q,a}(z) - 2q^2 \log |z|$ is \mathcal{C}^2 -smooth at 0. It remains to verify (19). In fact, using (20) and the fact that $q, a \geq 1$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4}\Delta y_{q,a}(z) \ge 1 + \gamma(|z|) - \frac{b}{\pi(q+a)^2} - \frac{q^2}{(q+a)^2}$$
$$\ge \gamma(|z|) = \frac{a}{(q+2a-|z|)^2}, \qquad |z| < q+a.$$

Proof of Theorem 1.6. We start with the sufficiency part. Suppose that the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} + 6)$, $\lambda \in \Lambda$, are pairwise disjoint. Denote $\Lambda = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$. Let $(\rho_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of data with $\sup_{n \geq 1} \|\rho_n\|_{\infty} \leq 1$. We want to find functions f_N such that

$$f_N - \rho_n \in N_{\lambda_n}^{\infty}, \qquad 1 \le n \le N,$$

and $||f_N||_{\infty} \leq M$, $N \geq 1$. A normal family argument will then complete the proof.

Set a = 3, $m_n = m_{\lambda_n}$, $r_n = \sqrt{m_n} + a$, $D_n = D(\lambda_n, r_n)$, $D'_n = D(\lambda_n, r_n + a)$, $D''_n = D(\lambda_n, r_n + a + 1)$,

$$F_N(z) = \sum_{1 \le n \le N} \rho_n(z) \tilde{\eta}(|z - \lambda_n| - r_n),$$

with $\tilde{\eta}$ is a smooth cut-off function on \mathbb{R} with

- supp $\tilde{\eta} \subset (-\infty, a]$,
- $\tilde{\eta} \equiv 1$ on $(-\infty, 0]$,
- $|\tilde{\eta}'| \lesssim 1$.

Then

$$\operatorname{supp} \overline{\partial} F_N \subset Q_N = \bigcup_{1 \le n \le N} D'_n \setminus D_n.$$

Next, using Lemma 7.5, we define

$$\psi_N(z) = |z|^2 + \sum_{1 \le n \le N} \left[y_{q(n),a}(z - \lambda_n) - |z - \lambda_n|^2 \right],$$

where $q(n) = \sqrt{m_n}$ (by construction, the function $y_{q(n),a}(z - \lambda_n) - |z - \lambda_n|^2$ vanishes outside D_n). Then

$$\begin{cases} \Delta \psi_N(z) = 4, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \le n \le N} D_n, \\ \Delta \psi_N(z) \ge \frac{4a}{(\sqrt{m_n} + 2a - |z - \lambda_n|)^2}, & z \in D_n, \ 1 \le n \le N. \end{cases}$$

Given $\zeta \in Y_N = \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \le n \le N} D_n''$, we define

$$w_{N,\zeta}(z) = \frac{1}{|z - \zeta|^3 + 2a}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Furthermore, we set

$$\Omega_N = \frac{1}{2} \chi_{Q_N}.$$

We have

$$\frac{\Delta w_{N,\zeta}(z)}{w_{N,\zeta}(z)} = 9 \frac{|z - \zeta|(|z - \zeta|^3 - 2a)}{(|z - \zeta|^3 + 2a)^2}.$$

An elementary calculation (consider separately the cases $t \leq 8/3$ and t > 8/3) shows that

$$9\frac{t(t^3 - 2a)}{(t^3 + 2a)^2} \le 2, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Next,

$$9\frac{t(t^3 - 2a)}{(t^3 + 2a)^2} \le \frac{9}{t^2}, \qquad t \ge 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\frac{\Delta w_{N,\zeta}(z)}{w_{N,\zeta}(z)} \le \min\left(2, \frac{4a}{|z - \zeta|^2}\right),$$

and we obtain that on the whole plane,

$$\Delta w_{N,\zeta} \le w_{N,\zeta} (\Delta \psi_N - 4\Omega_N). \tag{21}$$

Indeed, for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq N} D_n$ we have $\Delta \psi_N - 4\Omega_N \geq 2$ and for $z \in D_n$, $1 \leq n \leq N$, we have

$$\frac{4a}{|z-\zeta|^2} \le \frac{4a}{(\sqrt{m_n} + 2a - |z-\lambda_n|)^2}$$

because $|\zeta - \lambda_n| \ge \sqrt{m_n} + 2a + 1$, $|z - \lambda_n| \le \sqrt{m_n} + 2a$.

Now, we use a remarkable result by Berndtsson [1, Theorem 4] (see also [2]): the solution u_N of the equation $\overline{\partial}u_N=\overline{\partial}F_N$ minimizing the integral

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-\psi_N(z)} \, dm(z)$$

satisfies the inequality

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-\psi_N(z)} w_{N,\zeta}(z) \, dm(z) \le \int_{\mathbb{C}} |\overline{\partial} F_N(z)|^2 e^{-\psi_N(z)} \frac{w_{N,\zeta}(z)}{\Omega_{N,\zeta}(z)} \, dm(z)$$

under condition (21), for every $\zeta \in Y_N$. Since supp $\overline{\partial} F_N \subset Q_N$ and $\Omega_N = \frac{1}{2}\chi_{Q_N}$ we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{C}} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-\psi_N(z)} w_{N,\zeta}(z) \, dm(z) \le 2 \int_{Q_N} |\overline{\partial} F_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} w_{N,\zeta}(z) \, dm(z).$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\int_{D(\zeta,1)} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} \, dm(z)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \int_{D'_n \setminus D_n} |\overline{\partial} F_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} \frac{dm(z)}{|z - \zeta|^3 + 2a} \leq C$$

for an absolute constant C. Next, $\overline{\partial} F_N$ vanishes on $D(\zeta, 1)$, and, hence, u_N is analytic in $D(\zeta, 1)$. By the mean value property applied to the function $u_N(z) \exp(|\zeta|^2/2 - z\overline{\zeta})$, we have

$$|u_N(\zeta)|^2 e^{-|\zeta|^2} \le C_1 \int_{D(\zeta,1)} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm(z).$$

Thus,

$$|u_N(\zeta)|^2 e^{-|\zeta|^2} \le C_1.$$

To extend this estimate to $\zeta \in \bigcup_{1 \leq n \leq N} D''_n$, we just apply Lemma 7.4 to the functions $u_N + \rho_n - F_N$ analytic in D''_n and use that u_N and, hence, $u_N + \rho_n - F_N$ vanish of order m_n at λ_n , $1 \leq n \leq N$.

It remains to set $f_N = F_N - u_N$. Then

$$|f_N(z)| \le Ce^{|z|^2/2}, \qquad z \in \mathbb{C},$$

with C independent of $N \geq 1$ and

$$f_N - \rho_n \in N_{\lambda_n}^{\infty}, \qquad 1 \le n \le N.$$

Finally, for the necessity part, the argument is completely analogous to that in the proof of the necessity part of Theorem 1.3. Instead of Lemma 5.1 we use Lemma 7.3.

8. The case
$$\mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{p}$$
, 2

In this section, we formulate and sketch the proofs of the following two results. Let 2 .

Theorem 8.1. (a) If X is a sampling divisor for \mathcal{F}^p_{α} , then X satisfies the finite overlap condition and there exists C > 0 such that

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C) = \mathbb{C}.$$

(b) Conversely, let the divisor X satisfy the finite overlap condition. There exists $C = C(S_X) > 0$ such that if for some compact subset K of \mathbb{C} we have

$$\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda, \, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^{2}} D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} - C) = \mathbb{C} \setminus K,$$

then X is a sampling divisor for \mathcal{F}_{α}^{p} .

- **Theorem 8.2.** (a) If X is an interpolating divisor for \mathcal{F}^p_{α} , then there exists $C = C(M_X) > 0$ such that the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} C)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda, m_{\lambda} > \alpha C^2}$ are pairwise disjoint.
 - (b) Conversely, there exists $C_0 > 0$ such that if the discs $\{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}/\alpha} + C_0)\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$ are pairwise disjoint, then X is an interpolating divisor for \mathcal{F}^p_{α} .

The proofs work essentially the same way as for p=2 or $p=\infty$. We start with two auxiliary results replacing Lemmas 7.3 or 5.1, and 7.4. Again we restrict ourselves to $\alpha=1$.

8.1. Two lemmas.

Lemma 8.3. Given $0 < \beta < 1$, there exist $\delta > 0$ such that if $f \in \mathcal{F}^p$, $||f||_p \le 1$, $m \ge \delta^2$, and $||f||_{\mathcal{F}^p/N^p_{0,m}} < \beta/2$, then

$$\int_{|z|<\sqrt{m}-\delta} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z) \le \beta.$$

Proof. Let h be an entire function such $||f-z^mh||_p^p < \beta/2$. Then, using that $||f||_p \le 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{|z| < \sqrt{m}} |z^m h(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} \, dm(z) \le 2.$$

Hence, by the mean value theorem applied to the function $\gamma(r) = r \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{it})|^p dt$, we get for some $\sqrt{m} - 1 < R < \sqrt{m}$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |h(Re^{it})|^p dt \le 2\frac{e^{p\varphi(R)}}{R},$$

where $\varphi(s) = \varphi_m(s) = s^2/2 - m \log s$. Using the subharmonicity of $|h|^p$, and thus that the concentric means are increasing, we deduce that

$$\int_{|z| < \sqrt{m} - \delta} |z^m h(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z)
= \int_0^{\sqrt{m} - \delta} e^{-p\varphi(r)} \int_0^{2\pi} |h(re^{it})|^p dt \, rdr \le 2 \int_0^{\sqrt{m} - \delta} e^{p\varphi(R) - p\varphi(r)} \frac{rdr}{R}.$$

Using successively Lemma 7.1 (a) and (b), we obtain that

$$\int_0^{\sqrt{m}-\delta} e^{p(\varphi(R)-\varphi(r))} \frac{rdr}{R} \le c(p) \int_0^{\sqrt{m}-\delta} e^{p(\varphi(\sqrt{m})-\varphi(r))} dr$$

$$\le c(p) \int_\delta^\infty e^{-ps^2} ds.$$

This can be made arbitrarily small for an appropriate choice of $\delta = \delta(p, \beta)$, which yields the result.

Lemma 8.4. There exists C > 0 such that if $m \ge 1$ and f is a function analytic in D(R) and continuous up to $\partial D(R)$, $R = \sqrt{m} + 1$, satisfying $f(0) = f'(0) = \ldots = f^{(m-1)}(0) = 0$, then

$$\int_{|z| < \sqrt{m}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} \, dm(z) \le C \int_{\sqrt{m} < |z| < R} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} \, dm(z).$$

Proof. Set $f(z) = z^m g(z)$, where the function g is holomorphic in D(R) and continuous up to $\partial D(R)$. Let

$$\int_{\sqrt{m}<|z|< R} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} \, dm(z) = 1.$$

Then, as in the proof of Lemma 8.3, for some $\sqrt{m} < R_1 < R$ we have

$$\int_0^{2\pi} |g(R_1 e^{it})|^p dt \le \frac{e^{\varphi(R_1)}}{R_1}.$$

Arguing as in the proof of Lemma 8.3, we get

$$\int_{|z| \le \sqrt{m}} |f(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} \, dm(z) \le \int_0^{\sqrt{m}} e^{p\varphi(R_1) - p\varphi(r)} \, dr.$$

By Lemma 7.1 (a) we have $\varphi(R_1) \leq \varphi(\sqrt{m}) + 1$, and thus with Lemma 7.1 (b) we can control the right hand side by a uniform constant.

8.2. Proof of Theorem 8.1.

8.2.1. Necessary condition. Let us begin with the finite overlap condition. We will do this proof in two steps. First we establish a weaker overlap condition (with a smaller radius $\sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - C$), and then use a geometric argument to switch to our finite overlap condition.

Fix $\beta = \int_{|z| \le 1}^{\infty} e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z)$, and let δ be the corresponding parameter from Lemma 8.3. Suppose that there exists z_n such that

$$M_{X,\delta+1}(z_n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda,\sqrt{m_\lambda} - \delta - 1)}(z_n) \ge n.$$

Let λ be a point in Λ with $|z_n - \lambda| < \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - \delta - 1$. Set $m = m_{\lambda}$. By translation we can assume that $\lambda = 0$ and $|z_n| < \sqrt{m} - \delta - 1$. Observe that

$$\int_{|z| \le \sqrt{m} - \delta} |T_{z_n} 1(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z) \ge \int_{D(z_n, 1)} |T_{z_n} 1(z)|^p e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z)
= \int_{|z| \le 1} e^{-p|z|^2/2} dm(z) = \beta.$$

By contraposition, from Lemma 8.3 we deduce that $||T_{z_n}1||_{\mathcal{F}^p/N_{\lambda}^p} \ge \beta/2 > 0$. This being true for every $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - \delta - 1)$ meeting z_n we get $\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} ||T_{z_n}1||_{\mathcal{F}^p/N_{\lambda}^p} \to +\infty$. This contradiction establishes the following weak overlap condition:

$$\sup_{z \in \mathbb{C}} \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - \delta - 1)}(z) < \infty. \tag{22}$$

We have to pass to discs with radius $\sqrt{m_{\lambda}}$. Suppose the finite overlap condition is not true but we have (22). Let w_n be a sequence such that

$$M_{X,0}(w_n) := \sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \chi_{D(\lambda,\sqrt{m_\lambda})}(w_n) \ge n.$$

Suppose that there are at least n/2 points $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$ contain w_n and have radii less than $10(\delta + 1)$. Then, since the quotient norms of $T_{w_n}1$ at λ are minorated uniformly for those λ , the sum of the p-th powers of these quotient norms tends to infinity, which is impossible.

It remains the case when there are at least n/2 points $\lambda \in \Lambda$ such that the discs $D(\lambda, \sqrt{m_{\lambda}})$ contain w_n and have radii at least $10(\delta+1)$. We can assume that at least n/40 of these points λ are in an angle Γ with vertex at w_n and with opening $\pi/10$, say $\Gamma = \{\zeta : |\arg(\zeta - w_n)| < \pi/20\}$. Set $w'_n = w_n + 2(\delta + 1)$. Then $\lambda \in \Gamma \cap \Lambda$, $|\lambda - w_n| < \sqrt{m_{\lambda}}$ and $\sqrt{m_{\lambda}} \geq 10(\delta + 1)$ imply together that $|\lambda - w'_n| < \sqrt{m_{\lambda}} - \delta - 1$ (we use here that $R \geq 10$, $|\theta| < \pi/20 \implies |Re^{i\theta} - 2| < R - 1$). Thus, $M_{X,\delta+1}(w'_n) \geq n/40$ in contradiction to (22).

To get the second necessary condition, we argue as in Subsection 7.2 to get

$$\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|g_{\lambda,n}\|_2^2 \to 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

Then, since p > 2,

$$\left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|g_{\lambda,n}\|_p^p\right)^{1/p} \lesssim \left(\sum_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \|g_{\lambda,n}\|_2^2\right)^{1/2} \to 0, \qquad n \to \infty.$$

8.2.2. Sufficient condition. Suppose $||f||_p = 1$, $\sum_{\lambda} ||f_n||_{\mathcal{F}^p/N_{\lambda}^p}^p \to 0$, $n \to +\infty$. Passing to a subsequence we can assume that f_n converges weakly to f. If $f \neq 0$ then Λ is a zero divisor in $\mathcal{F}^p \subset \mathcal{F}^{\infty}$, and we get a contradiction.

Otherwise f_n goes weakly to 0. In order to repeat the reasoning of Subsection 5.2 (with appropriate change to the quotient norm), we use again Lemma 8.3 (which replaces here Lemma 5.1).

8.3. Proof of Theorem 8.2.

8.3.1. *Necessity*. One can argue like in Subsection 6.2 using the above Lemma 8.3 instead of Lemma 5.1.

8.3.2. Sufficiency. This uses the case $p=+\infty$. Starting from data $(f_{\lambda}) \in \ell^p(\mathcal{F}^p/N_{\lambda}^p) \subset \ell^{\infty}(\mathcal{F}^{\infty}/N_{\lambda}^{\infty})$ we construct the smooth interpolating function F which is in the right weighted L^p space. We then solve the $\bar{\partial}$ -problem as for $p=\infty$ and reach the inequality

$$|u_N(\zeta)|^2 e^{-|\zeta|^2} \leq \int_{D(\zeta,1)} |u_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} dm(z)$$

$$\leq 2 \sum_{1 \leq n \leq N} \int_{D'_n \setminus D_n} |\overline{\partial} F_N(z)|^2 e^{-|z|^2} \frac{dm(z)}{|z - \zeta|^3 + 2a}.$$

Since $\overline{\partial}F_N \in L^p(e^{-p|z|^2/2})$, and p > 2, we observe $|\overline{\partial}F_N(z)|^2e^{-|z|^2} \in L^{p/2}(dm)$. Hence, the right hand side is a convolution of an $L^{p/2}$ function with an L^1 function, which, by Young's inequality, is in $L^{p/2}(dm)$. Thus, the left hand side is an $L^{p/2}$ -function in ζ (uniformly controlled in N).

It remains to extend the estimate obtained here to the union of some discs as at the end of the proof of Theorem 1.6. Instead of Lemma 7.4, we use here Lemma 8.4.

References

- [1] B. Berndtsson, Uniform estimates with weights for the $\overline{\partial}$ -equation, J. Geom. Anal. 7 (1997) 195–215.
- [2] B. Berndtsson, J. Ortega-Cerdà, On interpolation and sampling in Hilbert spaces of analytic functions, J. Reine Angew. Math. 464 (1995), 109–128.
- [3] A. Borichev, A. Hartmann, K. Kellay, X. Massaneda, Multiple sampling and interpolation in the classical Fock space, Zapiski Nauchn. Semin. POMI 434 (2015), 53–56, J. Math. Sci. 215 (2016), no. 5, 574–576.
- [4] S. Brekke, K. Seip, Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann–Fock space III, Math. Scand. **73** (1993) 112–126.
- [5] K. Gröchenig, E. Malinnikova, *Phase space localization of Riesz bases for* $L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, Rev. Mat. Iberoam. **29** (2013) 115–134.
- [6] L. Hörmander, Notions of Convexity, Prog. Math. 127, Birkhäuser, 1997.

- [7] B. Ya. Levin, Lectures on entire functions, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, 150, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1996.
- [8] N. Nikolski, Bases of invariant subspaces and operator interpolation, Trudy Matem. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 130 (1978) 50–123 (Russian); English translation: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., Issue 4 (1979) 55–132.
- [9] N. Nikolski, Operators, Functions, and Systems: an Easy Reading. Vol. 2, Math. Surveys Monogr., 93, AMS, Providence, RI, 2002.
- [10] K. Seip, Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann– Fock space I, J. Reine Angew. Math. **429** (1992) 91–106.
- [11] K. Seip, Interpolating and Sampling in Spaces of Analytic Functions, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 2004.
- [12] K. Seip, R. Wallstén, Density theorems for sampling and interpolation in the Bargmann–Fock space II, J. Reine Angew. Math. 429 (1992) 107–113.
- [13] F. G. Tricomi, Asymptotische Eigenschaften der unvollständigen Gammafunktion, Math. Z. **53** (1950) 136–148.
- [14] V. I. Vasyunin, Unconditionally convergent spectral decompositions and interpolation problems, Trudy Matem. Inst. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 130 (1978) 5–49 (Russian); English translation: Proc. Steklov Inst. Math., Issue 4 (1979) 1–53.
- [15] K. Zhu, Analysis on Fock spaces, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, 263, Springer, New York, 2012.

A.Borichev: Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, 13453 Marseille, France

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: alexander.borichev@math.cnrs.fr}$

A.HARTMANN: UNIVERSITÉ DE BORDEAUX, IMB, 351 COURS DE LA LIBÉRA-TION, 33405 TALENCE, FRANCE

E-mail address: Andreas.Hartmann@math.u-bordeaux.fr

K.Kellay: Université de Bordeaux, IMB, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence, France

E-mail address: karim.kellay@math.u-bordeaux.fr

X.Massaneda: Universitat de Barcelona, Departament de Matemàtiques i Informàtica, Gran Via 585, 08007-Barcelona, Spain

 $E ext{-}mail\ address: xavier.massaneda@ub.edu}$