



FIXED POINTS OF IMPLICIT CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

Mihai Turinici

► To cite this version:

Mihai Turinici. FIXED POINTS OF IMPLICIT CONTRACTION MAPPINGS. Analele Stiintifice Ale Universitatii "Al. I. Cuza" Din Iasi Matematica, 1976, XXII (2), pp.177-180. hal-01180316

HAL Id: hal-01180316

<https://hal.science/hal-01180316>

Submitted on 25 Jul 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

FIXED POINTS OF IMPLICIT CONTRACTION MAPPINGS

BY

MIHAI TURINICI

1. In this note, the notion of "implicit contraction mapping" of a complete metric space into itself is introduced and some fixed point theorems for such a class of mappings are presented.

Let R be the real axis, R_+ the positive half-axis, $D = R_+^0$ the strict positive half-axis (i.e. $R_+ = [0, \infty[, D =]0, \infty[$), $S \neq \emptyset$ an arbitrary set and $\emptyset \neq P \subset S$ an arbitrary fixed subset.

Definition 1.1. A function $f : D \times D \times R_+ \times R_+ \times R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow S$ will be said to have property (P), if it satisfies

A. Global conditions:

- a.1) $t, u > 0, v, w, p, q \geq 0 \implies f(t, u, v, w, p, q) = f(t, u, w, v, p, q) = f(t, u, v, w, q, p)$
- a.2) $u, v > 0, 0 \leq p \leq u + v, f(u, v, v, u, p, 0) \in P \implies u \leq v$
- a.3) $w > 0 \implies f(w, w, 0, 0, w, w) \in S \setminus P$

B. Local conditions: $\forall r > 0, \exists a(r) \in]0, r[$ such that

- b.1) $u, v \in [r, r + a(r)[, u \leq v, 0 \leq p \leq u + v \implies f(u, v, v, u, p, 0) \in S \setminus P$
- b.2) $t, p, q \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u \in [r, r + a(r)[, v, w \in]0, a(r)[\implies f(t, u, v, w, p, q) \in S \setminus P$
- b.3) $t, p \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u, v, q \in]0, a(r)[\implies f(t, u, v, r, p, q) \in S \setminus P.$

Definition 1.2. Let $f : D \times D \times R_+ \times R_+ \times R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow S$ be a given function and let (X, d) be a complete metric space. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ will be called an implicit contraction mapping (abbreviated: i. c. m.) with respect to f , if

- (1.1) $f(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty), d(x, Ty), d(y, Tx)) \in P$
for all $x, y \in X, Tx \neq Ty$.

Our main result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies*

(1.2) *T is an i. c. m. with respect to f*

(1.3) *f has the property (P).*

Then, the following conclusions hold:

(1.4) *T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$*

(1.5) *$T^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, $\forall x \in X$.*

Proof. First, we prove the uniqueness of the fixed point of T . Let $u, v \in X$ be such that $u = Tu$, $v = Tv$, $u \neq v$. From (1.1) and a.3) we obtain

(1.6) $P \ni f(d(u, v), d(u, v), 0, 0, d(u, v), d(u, v)) \in S \setminus P$,

a contradiction. Therefore, $u = v$; i.e., the fixed point of T is unique. Now we prove the existence. Take any $x \in X$ and consider the sequence $\{T^n x; n \geq 0\}$. If $T^n x = T^{n+1} x$ for some n , then the conclusion follows. Assume that $T^n x \neq T^{n+1} x$, $\forall n \geq 0$. From (1.1) we have, $\forall n \geq 1$

(1.7) $f(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^{n+1} x), 0) \in P$;

and, on the other hand

(1.8) $d(T^{n-1} x, T^{n+1} x) \leq d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)$.

From (1.7), (1.8) and a.2) we obtain, $\forall n \geq 1$:

(1.9) $d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x) \leq d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x)$;

i.e., the sequence $\{d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x); n \geq 0\}$ decreases.

Let $r = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x)$ and assume that $r > 0$. One can find some rank $n(r) \geq 1$, such that

(1.10) $n \geq n(r) \implies d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x) \in [r, r + a(r)]$.

Taking into account (1.8), (1.9), (1.10) and b.1) we have, $\forall n \geq n(r)$

(1.11) $f(d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^n x), d(T^n x, T^{n+1} x), d(T^{n-1} x, T^{n+1} x), 0) \in S \setminus P$,

which contradicts (1.7) for $n \geq n(r)$. Therefore $r = 0$.

Suppose that $\{T^n x; n \geq 0\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and two sequences of natural numbers $\{m(j); j \geq 1\}$ and $\{n(j); j \geq 1\}$, $m(j) < n(j)$, $\forall j \geq 1$, $m(j) \rightarrow \infty$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$ such that

$$d(T^{m(j)}x, T^{n(j)}x) \geq \varepsilon, \text{ while } d(T^{m(j)}x, T^{n(j)-1}x) < \varepsilon, \forall j \geq 1.$$

For the sake of simplicity, we shall write m, n , instead of $m(j), n(j)$, respectively.

Now, since $d(T^kx, T^{k+1}x) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we can find $j(\varepsilon) \geq 1$, such that

$$(1.12) \quad 0 < d(T^{n-1}x, T^n x) \leq d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x) < \\ (1/3)a(\varepsilon) < a(\varepsilon) < \varepsilon, \forall j \geq j(\varepsilon).$$

On the other hand, from the triangle inequality we have, $\forall j \geq 1$

$$(1.13) \quad d(T^m x, T^n x) - d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x) - d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq \\ d(T^{m+1}x, T^{n+1}x) \leq \\ d(T^m x, T^n x) + d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x),$$

$$(1.14) \quad d(T^m x, T^n x) \leq d(T^m x, T^{n-1}x) + d(T^{n-1}x, T^n x),$$

$$(1.15) \quad d(T^m x, T^n x) - d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x) \leq d(T^m x, T^{n+1}x) \leq \\ d(T^m x, T^n x) + d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x),$$

$$(1.16) \quad d(T^m x, T^n x) - d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x) \leq d(T^n x, T^{m+1}x) \leq \\ d(T^m x, T^n x) + d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x).$$

From (1.12)–(1.16) it easily follows, $\forall j \geq j(\varepsilon)$

$$(1.17) \quad d(T^{m+1}x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^m x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^n x, T^{m+1}x) \in \\ [\varepsilon - a(\varepsilon), \varepsilon + a(\varepsilon)], \quad d(T^m x, T^n x) \in [\varepsilon, \varepsilon + a(\varepsilon)].$$

Now, (1.1), (1.12), (1.17) and b.2) give us, for $j \geq j(\varepsilon)$

$$(1.18) \quad P \ni f(d(T^{m+1}x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^m x, T^n x), d(T^m x, T^{m+1}x), \\ d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^m x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^n x, T^{m+1}x)) \in S \setminus P,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $\{T^n x; n \geq 0\}$ is a Cauchy sequence.

Since (X, d) is complete, $T^n x \rightarrow z$, for some $z \in X$. We have two possibilities:

i) There exists a sequence of natural numbers $\{k(n); n \geq 0\}$, $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, such that $T^{k(n)}x = z$. Then, $T^{k(n)+1}x = Tz$. Letting n tends to ∞ and using the fact that $\{T^{k(n)+1}x; n \geq 0\}$ is a subsequence of $\{T^n x; n \geq 0\}$, we get $z = Tz$.

ii) There exists $n_0 \in N$ such that $n \geq n_0 \implies T^n x \neq z$. Suppose that $z \neq Tz$; then $r := d(z, Tz) > 0$. We can find $n(r) \in N$ such that, $\forall n \geq n(r)$

$$(1.19) \quad 0 < d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x), d(T^n x, z) < (1/3)a(r) < a(r) < r.$$

On the other hand, from the triangle inequality we have, $\forall n \geq 1$

$$(1.20) \quad r - d(T^n x, z) \leq d(T^n x, Tz) \leq r + d(T^n x, z).$$

From (1.19), (1.20) we obtain, $\forall n \geq n(r)$

$$(1.21) \quad d(T^n x, Tz) \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[.$$

Now, (1.1), (1.19), (1.21) and b.3) give us, for $n \geq n(r)$

$$(1.22) \quad P \ni f(d(T^{n+1}x, Tz), d(T^n x, z), d(T^n x, T^{n+1}x), \\ r, d(T^n x, Tz), d(z, T^{n+1}x)) \in S \setminus P,$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $z = Tz$, which completes the proof. Q. E. D.

2. In this paragraph, we give some corollaries of the preceding main result. Let R_+ , D , S , P be defined as before.

Definition 2.1. A function $f : D \times D \times R_+ \times R_+ \rightarrow S$ will be said to have property (P), if it satisfies

A. Global conditions:

- a.1) $t, u > 0, v, w \geq 0 \implies f(t, u, v, w) = f(t, u, w, v),$
- a.2) $u, v > 0, f(u, v, v, u) \in P \implies u \leq v,$
- a.3) $w > 0 \implies f(w, w, 0, 0) \in S \setminus P$

B. Local conditions: $\forall r > 0, \exists a(r) \in]0, r[$ such that

- b.1) $u, v \in [r, r + a(r)[, u \leq v \implies f(u, v, v, u) \in S \setminus P,$
- b.2) $t \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u \in [r, r + a(r)[, v, w \in]0, a(r)[\implies \\ f(t, u, v, w) \in S \setminus P,$
- b.3) $t \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u, v \in]0, a(r)[\implies f(t, u, v, r) \in S \setminus P.$

Definition 2.2. Let $f : D \times D \times R_+ \times R_+$ be a given function. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ will be called an i. c. m. with respect to f , if

$$(2.1) \quad f(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y), d(x, Tx), d(y, Ty)) \in P, \\ \forall x, y \in X, Tx \neq Ty.$$

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

- (2.2) T is an i. c. m. with respect to f
- (2.3) f has the property (P).

Then, the following conclusions hold:

- (2.4) T has a unique fixed point $z \in X$
- (2.5) $T^n x \rightarrow z$ as $n \rightarrow \infty, \forall x \in X.$

Proof. Clearly, the preceding theorem is applicable.

Q. E. D.

Definition 2.3. A function $f : D \times D \rightarrow S$ will be said to have property (P) , if it satisfies

A. Global conditions

$$a.1) \quad u, v > 0, f(u, v) \in P \implies u \leq v,$$

$$a.2) \quad w > 0 \implies f(w, w) \in S \setminus P$$

B. Local conditions: $\forall r > 0, \exists a(r) \in]0, r[$ such that

$$b.1) \quad u, v \in [r, r + a(r)[, u \leq v \implies f(u, v) \in S \setminus P,$$

$$b.2) \quad t \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u \in [r, r + a(r)[\implies f(t, u) \in S \setminus P,$$

$$b.3) \quad t \in]r - a(r), r + a(r)[, u \in]0, a(r)[\implies f(t, u) \in S \setminus P.$$

Definition 2.4. Let $f : D \times D \rightarrow S$ be a given function. A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ will be called an i. c. m. with respect to f , if

$$(2.6) \quad f(d(Tx, Ty), d(x, y)) \in P, \quad \forall x, y \in X, Tx \neq Ty.$$

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that $T : X \rightarrow X$ satisfies

$$(2.7) \quad T \text{ is an i. c. m. with respect to } f$$

$$(2.8) \quad f \text{ has the property } (P).$$

Then, the following conclusions hold:

$$(2.9) \quad T \text{ has a unique fixed point } z \in X,$$

$$(2.10) \quad T^n x \rightarrow z \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Proof. See the preceding result.

Remark. The main result is a partial extension of a result due to Hardy and Rogers [1]. Theorem 2.1 extends some results of Reich [3, 4], Rus [5, 6] and of the author [7, 8]; and Theorem 2.2 represents an extension of a result due to Boyd and Wong [2].

References

- [1] G. E. Hardy and T. D. Rogers, *A generalization of a fixed point theorem of Reich*, Canad. Math. Bull., 16 (1973), 201-208.
- [2] D. W. Boyd and J. S. W. Wong, *On nonlinear contractions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 20 (1969), 458-464.
- [3] S. Reich, *Kannan's fixed point theorem*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 4 (1971), 1-11.
- [4] S. Reich, *Fixed points of contractive functions*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital., 5 (1972), 26-42.
- [5] I. A. Rus, *Some fixed point theorems in metric spaces*, Rend. Ist. Mat. Univ. Trieste, 3 (1971), 169-172.
- [6] I. A. Rus, *O metode posledovatelnykh priblizenij* (Russian), Rev. Roum. Math. Pures Appl., 17 (1972), 1433-1437.
- [7] M. Turinici, *A fixed point theorem on metric spaces*, An. Șt. Univ. "Al. I. Cuza" Iași (S. I a, Mat.), 20 (1974), 101-105.
- [8] M. Turinici, *Fixed points in complete metric spaces*, in "Proc. Inst. Math. Iași" pp. 179-182, Ed. Acad. R.S.R., București, 1976.

Faculty of Mathematics
 University of Iași
 România