

Costs and Benefits of a Greener Alternative for the Development of Vietnam's power sector

Hoang Anh Nguyen Trinh, Minh Ha-Duong

▶ To cite this version:

Hoang Anh Nguyen Trinh, Minh Ha-Duong. Costs and Benefits of a Greener Alternative for the Development of Vietnam's power sector. Our Common Future under Climate Change (CFCC), Jul 2015, Paris, France. 2015. hal-01176806

HAL Id: hal-01176806 https://hal.science/hal-01176806v1

Submitted on 10 Aug 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OUR COMMON FUTURE UNDER CLIMATE CHANGE – CFCC15 7-10 JULY 2015, PARIS - FRANCE

Poster ID Number: P-3303-16

Costs and Benefits of a Greener Alternative for the Development of Vietnam's power sector Hoang Anh NGUYEN TRINH1,2, Minh HA-DUONG1,2

(1) International Research Center for Environment and Development, CIRED/CNRS, France



2) Clean Energy and Sustainable Development Lab, CleanED/USTH, University of Science and Technology of Hanoi Email: hoanganhelec@gmail.com

Abstract— In this study, BAU (a scenario based on current trends) and ALT (a greener alternative with more renewables, higher energy efficiency) are developed. The external costs of CO₂, NOx, SO₂ and PM₁₀ in the Vietnamese power sector are estimated at 20, 1328, 2047 and 1460 US\$/ton, respectively. The authors find that the electricity price and the domestic trade balance in ALT are less sensitive to fluctuations in the international price of coal than in BAU. The total costs accumulated between period 2010-2040 would be lower in ALT: 632 billion US\$ compared with 974 billion US\$. This difference arises from several factors: lower investment in new capacity (226 vs 306 billion US\$); lower local pollution costs (73 vs 137 billion US\$); and lower expenditures on imported fuels (57 vs 115 billion US\$). The outcomes of ALT are in accord with the targets in the most recent Green Growth Strategy of Vietnam.

Key words: energy planning, energy efficiency, dynamic modelling, LEAP, Vietnam

