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## 1. Discussion of Theorem 16

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{i}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma_{i}^{3}\right\} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is given by (12), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2 L^{2} \kappa^{-1} d  \tag{S2}\\
& \mathrm{~A}_{1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2 L^{2} \kappa^{-1} d(m+L)^{-1}+d L^{4}\left(\kappa^{-1}+(m+L)^{-1}\right)\left(m^{-1}+6^{-1}(m+L)^{-1}\right) \tag{S3}
\end{align*}
$$

If $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a constant step size , $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ for all $k \geq 1$, then a straightforward consequence of Theorem 16 and (S1) is the following result, which gives the minimal number of iterations $n$ and a step-size $\gamma$ to get $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ smaller than $\epsilon>0$.
Corollary S1 (of Theorem 16). Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ 3. Let $x^{\star}$ be the unique minimizer of $U$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\epsilon>0$. Set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_{k}=\gamma$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
& \gamma=\frac{-2 \mathrm{~A}_{0} \kappa^{-1}+\left(4 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0}^{2} \kappa^{-1}+\epsilon^{2} \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}}{4 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \kappa^{-1}} \wedge(m+L)^{-1}  \tag{S4}\\
& n=\left\lceil 2(\kappa \gamma)^{-1}\left\{-\log \left(\epsilon^{2} / 4\right)+\log (2 d / m)\right\}\right\rceil \tag{S5}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} Q_{n}^{\gamma}, \pi\right) \leq \epsilon$.
Note that if $\gamma$ is given by (S4), and is different from $1 /(m+L)$, then $\gamma \leq \epsilon\left(4 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \kappa^{-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and $2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma^{2}\right)=\epsilon^{2} / 2$. Therefore,

$$
\gamma \geq\left(\epsilon^{2} \kappa / 4\right)\left\{\mathrm{A}_{0}+\epsilon\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} /(4 \kappa)\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{-1}
$$

It is shown in [S1, Corollary 1] that under $\mathbf{H} 3$, for constant step size for any $\epsilon>0$, we can choose $\gamma$ and $n \geq 1$ such that if for all $k \geq 1, \gamma_{k}=\gamma$, then $\left\|\nu^{\star} Q_{n}^{\gamma}-\pi\right\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \leq \epsilon$ where $\nu^{\star}$ is the Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with mean $x^{\star}$ and covariance matrix $L^{-1} \mathrm{I}_{d}$. We stress that the results in [S1, corollary 1] hold only for a particular choice of the initial distribution $\nu^{\star}$, (which might seem a rather artificial assumption) whereas Theorem 16 hold for any initial distribution in $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

We compare the optimal value of $\gamma$ and $n$ obtained from Corollary S1 with those given in [S1, Corollary 1]. This comparison is summarized in Table 1 and Table 2; for simplicity, we provide only the dependencies of the optimal stepsize $\gamma$ and minimal number of simulations $n$ as a function of the dimension $d$, the precision $\epsilon$ and the constants $m, L$. It can be seen that the dependency on the dimension is significantly better than those in [S1, Corollary 1].

| Parameter | $d$ | $\epsilon$ | $L$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theorem 16 and (30) | $d^{-1}$ | $\epsilon^{2}$ | $L^{-2}$ | $m^{2}$ |
| $[$ S1, Corollary 1] | $d^{-2}$ | $\epsilon^{2}$ | $L^{-2}$ | $m$ |
| TABLE 1 |  |  |  |  |
| Dependencies of $\gamma$ |  |  |  |  |


| Parameter | $d$ | $\epsilon$ | $L$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Theorem 16 and (30) | $d \log (d)$ | $\epsilon^{-2}\|\log (\epsilon)\|$ | $L^{2}$ | $\|\log (m)\| m^{-3}$ |
| $[$ S1, Corollary 1] | $d^{3}$ | $\epsilon^{-2}\|\log (\epsilon)\|$ | $L^{3}$ | $\|\log (m)\| m^{-2}$ |
| TABLE 2 |  |  |  |  |
| Dependencies of $n$ |  |  |  |  |

### 1.1. Explicit bounds for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in(0,1]$

We give here a bound on the sequences $\left(u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ defined by $\gamma_{1}<$ $1 /(m+L)$ and $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Also for that purpose we introduce for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\beta}(t)= \begin{cases}\left(t^{\beta}-1\right) / \beta & \text { for } \beta \neq 0  \tag{S6}\\ \log (t) & \text { for } \beta=0\end{cases}
$$

We easily get for $a \geq 0$ that for all $n, p \geq 1, n \leq p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{p} k^{-a} \leq \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n)+1 \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=n}^{p} k^{-a} \leq \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n)+1 \tag{S8}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. For $\alpha=1$, using that for all $t \in \mathbb{R},(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$ and by (S7) and (S8), we have

$$
u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2}, u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)+1\right)
$$

2. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, by (S7) and Lemma 39 applied with $\ell=\lceil n / 2\rceil$, where $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ is the ceiling function, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) & \leq \exp \left(-\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1) / 2\right) \\
u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{j}\left(2 \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}(n / 2)^{-\alpha(j+1)}+\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1\right)\right. \\
& \left.\times \exp \left\{-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)\right)\right\}\right) . \tag{S9}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.2. Optimal strategy with a fixed number of iterations

Corollary S2. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 3$, and $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a constant sequence, $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ for all $k \geq 1$. Let $n \geq 1$, and set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{+} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2(\kappa n)^{-1}\left(\log (\kappa n / 2)+\log \left(2\left(\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m\right)\right)-\log \left(2 \kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}\right)\right) \\
& \gamma_{-} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2(\kappa n)^{-1}\left(\log (\kappa n / 2)+\log \left(2\left(\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m\right)\right)-\log \left(2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}(m+L)^{-1}\right)\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $\gamma^{+} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$.Then, the optimal choice of $\gamma$ to minimize the bound on $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x} Q_{n}^{\gamma}, \pi\right)$ given by Theorem 16 belongs to $\left[\gamma_{-}, \gamma^{+}\right]$. Moreover if $\gamma=\gamma_{+}$, then the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} Q_{n}^{\gamma}, \pi\right)$ is equivalent to $4 \mathrm{~A}_{0}\left(\kappa^{2} n\right)^{-1} \log (\kappa n / 2)$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$.

Similarly, we have the following result.
Corollary S3. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H} 3$. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be the decreasing sequence, defined by $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{\alpha} k^{-\alpha}$, with $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let $n \geq 1$ and set

$$
\gamma_{\alpha} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} 2(1-\alpha) \kappa^{-1}(2 / n)^{1-\alpha} \log (\kappa n /(2(1-\alpha)))
$$

Assume $\gamma_{\alpha} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$. Then the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} Q_{n}^{\gamma}, \pi\right)$ given by Theorem 16 is smaller for large $n$ than $8(1-\alpha) \mathrm{A}_{0}\left(\kappa^{2} n\right)^{-1} \log (\kappa n /(2(1-\alpha)))$.
Proof. Follows from (S1), (S9) and the choice of $\gamma_{\alpha}$.

## 2. Generalization of Theorem 16

In this section, we weaken the assumption $\gamma_{1} \leq 1 /(m+L)$ of Theorem 16. We assume now:
G1. The sequence $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing, and there exists $\rho>0$ and $n_{1}$ such that $(1+\rho) \gamma_{n_{1}} \leq$ $2 /(m+L)$.

Under G1, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \min \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_{k} \leq 2 /(m+L)\right\} \tag{S10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first give an extension of Theorem 14. Denote in the sequel $(\cdot)_{+}=\max (\cdot, 0)$. Recall that under $\mathbf{H} 3, x^{\star}$ is the unique minimizer of $U$, and $\kappa$ is defined in (14)

Theorem S4. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 3$ and $\boldsymbol{G} 1$. Then for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, n \leq p$,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0} Q_{n}^{p}(\mathrm{~d} x) \leq E_{n, p}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{n, p}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right) & \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \gamma_{k} \kappa+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x) \\
& +2 d \kappa^{-1}+2 d\left\{\prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right) \tag{S11}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For any $\gamma>0$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|y-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} R_{\gamma}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)=\left\|x-\gamma \nabla U(x)-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d
$$

Using that $\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)=0$, (12) and $\mathbf{H} 1$, we get from the previous inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|y-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} R_{\gamma}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) & \leq(1-\kappa \gamma)\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{m+L}\right)\left\|\nabla U(x)-\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d \\
& \leq \eta(\gamma)\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(\gamma)=\left(1-\kappa \gamma+\gamma L(\gamma-2 /(m+L))_{+}\right)$. Denote for all $k \geq 1, \eta_{k}=\eta\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$. By a straightforward induction, we have by definition of $Q_{n}^{p}$ for $p, n \in \mathbb{N}, p \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0} Q_{n}^{p}(\mathrm{~d} x) \leq \prod_{k=n}^{p} \eta_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\| \mu_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+(2 d) \sum_{i=n}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \tag{S12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the convention that for $n, p \in \mathbb{N}, n<p, \prod_{p}^{n}=1$. For the first term of the right hand side, we simply use the bound, for all $x \in \mathbb{R},(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$, and we get by $\mathbf{G} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=n}^{p} \eta_{k} \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \tag{S13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is defined in (S10). Consider now the second term in the right hand side of (S12).

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=n}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \leq \sum_{i=n_{0}}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \gamma_{i}+\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \\
& \leq \kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=n_{0}}^{p}\left\{\prod_{k=i+1}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right)-\prod_{k=i}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right)\right\}+\left\{\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \gamma_{i}\right\} \prod_{k=n_{0}}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \tag{S14}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \gamma_{i} & =\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{i} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=i}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)-\prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore for $k<n_{0} \gamma_{k}>2 /(m+L)$. This implies with the bound $(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\prod_{k=n_{0}}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right)
$$

Using the two previous inequalities in (S14), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=n}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \leq \kappa^{-1}+\left\{\prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right) \tag{S15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (S13) and (S15) in (S12) concluded the proof.

We now deal with bounds on $W_{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ under $\mathbf{G} 1$. But before we preface our result by some techincal lemmas.

Lemma S5. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H} 3$. Let $\zeta_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$, $\left(Y_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$ is distributed according to $\zeta_{0}$ and given by (21). Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the filtration associated with $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{0}$, the $\sigma$-field generated by $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$. Then for all $n \geq 0, \epsilon_{1}>0$ and $\epsilon_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n+1}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n+1}}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} \\
&+\gamma_{n+1}^{2}\left(1 /\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1}\right)\left(d L^{2}+\left(L^{4} \gamma_{n+1} / 2\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d L^{4} \gamma_{n+1}^{2} / 12\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $n \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_{1}>0$, and set $\Delta_{n}=Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}$ by definition we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right]=\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& -2 \gamma_{n+1}\left\langle\Delta_{n}, \nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\rangle-2 \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\langle\Delta_{n},\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the two inequalities $|\langle a, b\rangle| \leq \epsilon_{1}\|a\|^{2}+\left(4 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}\|b\|^{2}$ and (12), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)\right\}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2}-2 \gamma_{n+1} /(m+L)\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
& +\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right]+\frac{1}{2 \epsilon_{1}} \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s
\end{aligned}
$$

Using $\|a+b\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)\|a\|^{2}+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right)\|b\|^{2}$ and the Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}^{2}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
&+\gamma_{n+1}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

This result and H1 imply,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right] & \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1}+\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \tag{S16}
\end{align*}
$$

By H1, the Markov property of $\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and (66), we have
$\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \leq L^{2}\left(d \gamma_{n+1}^{2}+d L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{4} / 12+\left(L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{3} / 2\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right)$.
Plugging this bound in (S16) concludes the proof.

Lemma S6. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers. Let $\varpi, \beta>0$ be positive constants satisfying $\varpi^{2} \leq 4 \beta$ and $\tau>0$. Assume there exists $N \geq 1, \gamma_{N} \leq \tau$ and $\gamma_{N} \varpi \leq 1$. Then for all $n \geq 0, j \geq 2$
(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi+\gamma_{k} \beta\left(\gamma_{k}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \leq & \sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
& +\left\{\beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j-2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n+1}\left(1-\varpi \gamma_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For all $\ell \in\{N, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=\ell}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{i}^{j}+\frac{\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1}}{\varpi}
$$

Proof. By definition of $N$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi+\gamma_{k} \beta\left(\gamma_{k}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \tag{S17}
\end{align*}
$$

Using that $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is nonincreasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\gamma_{i}^{j-2}}{\beta}\left\{\prod_{k=i}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)-\prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j-2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this inequality in (S17) concludes the proof of (i). Let $\ell \in\{N, \ldots, n+1\}$. Since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is nonincreasing and for every $x \in \mathbb{R},(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} & =\sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\sum_{i=\ell}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1} \sum_{i=\ell}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i} \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=\ell}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{i}^{j}+\frac{\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1}}{\varpi}
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma S7. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be a nonincreasing sequence of positive numbers, $\varpi, \beta, \tau>0$ be positive real numbers, and $N \geq 1$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma S6. Let $\mathrm{P} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, C_{i} \geq 0, i=0, \ldots, \mathrm{P}$ be positive constants and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $u_{0} \geq 0$ satisfying for all $n \geq 0$

$$
u_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\gamma_{n+1} \varpi+\beta \gamma_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{n+1}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) u_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \gamma_{n+1}^{j+2}
$$

Then for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{n} \leq\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\beta \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) u_{0} & +\sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j+2} \\
& +\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n}\left(1-\varpi \gamma_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of a straightforward induction and Lemma S6-(i).
Proposition S8. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 3$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ 1. Let $x^{\star}$ be the unique minimizer of $U$. Let $\zeta_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\left(Y_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$ is distributed according to $\zeta_{0}$ and given by (21). Then for all $n \geq 0$ and $t \in\left[\bar{\Gamma}_{n}, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]$ :

$$
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{t}-\bar{Y}_{t}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{0}-\bar{Y}_{0}\right\|^{2}\right]+\tilde{u}_{n}^{(4)}+\tilde{u}_{t, n}^{(5)}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+L^{2}(1+\rho) \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right)  \tag{S18}\\
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(4)}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{2} f\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \\
& \\
& \\
& \quad+\mathrm{f}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2}(1+\rho) L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where for $\gamma>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}(\gamma) & =\left\{2 \kappa^{-1}+\left(1+\rho^{-1}\right) \gamma\right\}\left(d L^{2}+\delta L^{4} \gamma / 2+d L^{4} \gamma^{2} / 12\right) \\
\delta & =\max _{i \geq 1}\left\{\mathrm{e}^{-2 m \Gamma_{i-1}} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{0}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right]+\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 m \Gamma_{i-1}}\right)(d / m)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{u}_{t, n}^{(5)}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \frac{m+L}{2}\left(\frac{\left(t-\Gamma_{n}\right)^{3} L^{2}}{3} E_{1, n}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right)+\left(t-\Gamma_{n}\right)^{2} d\right)
$$

where $E_{1, n}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right)$ is given by (S11) and $\mu_{0}$ is the initial distribution of $\bar{Y}_{0}$.

Proof. Lemma S5 with $\epsilon_{1}=\kappa / 4$ and $\epsilon_{2}=\rho$, G1, Lemma S6, (65) imply for all $n \geq 0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{0}-\bar{Y}_{0}\right\|^{2}\right]+\tilde{u}_{n}^{(4)}(\gamma) \tag{S19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $n \geq 0$ and $t \in\left[\Gamma_{n}, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]$. By (21),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Y_{t}-\bar{Y}_{t}\right\|^{2}=\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}-2 \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{t}\left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), Y_{s}-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s \tag{S20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover for all $s \in\left[\Gamma_{n}, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]$, by (12) we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), Y_{s}-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle=\left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), Y_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}+\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle \\
& \quad \geq(m+L)^{-1}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}+\left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), \bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle \tag{S21}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $|\langle a, b\rangle| \leq(m+L)^{-1}\|a\|^{2}+(m+L)\|b\|^{2} / 4$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), \bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right. & \left.-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle \geq \\
& -(m+L)^{-1}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}-(m+L)\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} / 4
\end{aligned}
$$

Using this inequality in (S21), we get

$$
\left\langle\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right), Y_{s}-\bar{Y}_{s}\right\rangle \geq-(m+L)\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} / 4
$$

and (S20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|Y_{t}-\bar{Y}_{t}\right\|^{2} \leq\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}+((m+L) / 2) \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{t}\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s \tag{S22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, by the previous inequality, it remains to bound the expectation of $\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}$. By (21) and using $\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)=0$,

$$
\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}=\left\|-\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right)\left(\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)\right)+\sqrt{2}\left(B_{s}-B_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}
$$

Then taking the expectation, using the Markov property of $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and $\mathbf{H} 1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\bar{Y}_{s}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right)^{2} L^{2} \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right]+2\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right) d \tag{S23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof follows from taking the expectation in (S22), combining (S19)-(S23), and using Theorem S4.

Theorem S9. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 3$ and $\boldsymbol{G} 1$. Then for all $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \pi\right)+\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \tag{S24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ is given by (S18) and

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{2} \phi\left(\gamma_{i}\right) & \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \\
& +\phi\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2}(1+\rho) L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S25}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\phi(\gamma)=\left\{2 \kappa^{-1}+\left(1+\rho^{-1}\right) \gamma\right\}\left(d L^{2}+d L^{4} \gamma /(2 m)+d L^{4} \gamma^{2} / 12\right)
$$

Proof. Let $\zeta_{0}$ be an optimal transference plan of $\mu_{0}$ and $\pi$. Let $\left(Y_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$ distributed according to $\zeta_{0}$ and defined by (21). By definition of $W_{2}$ and since for all $t \geq 0, \pi$ is invariant for $P_{t}, W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-X_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}\right]$. Then the proof follows from Proposition S 8 since $Y_{0}$ is distributed according to $\pi$ and by (16), which shows that $\delta \leq d / m$.

### 2.1. Explicit bound based on Theorem S9 for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in(0,1]$

We give here a bound on the sequences $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ defined by $\gamma_{1}>$ 0 and $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Recall that $\psi_{\beta}$ is given by (S6). First note, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is nonincreasing, for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j} \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} & \gamma_{i}^{j+2} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \\
& +\sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j}\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2}(1+\rho) L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{B}_{1}=\mathrm{b} d L^{2}, \mathrm{~B}_{2}=\mathrm{b}\left(d L^{4} /(2 m)+\gamma_{1} d L^{4} / 12\right), \mathrm{b}=2 \kappa^{-1}+\left(1+\rho^{-1}\right) \gamma_{1}
$$

1. For $\alpha=1$ and $n_{1}=1$, by (S7) and (S8), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \\
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j}\left\{\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)+1\right)+\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n_{1}>1$, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is non increasing, using again (S7), (S8), and the bound for $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) & \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\left(n_{1}\right) / 2+L^{2}(1+\rho) \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\} \\
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) & \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j}\left(\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}\left(n_{1}\right)+1\right)\right. \\
& +\left(\gamma_{1}^{j} /\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right) \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\left(n_{1}\right) / 2+L^{2}(1+\rho) \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $\gamma_{1}>\kappa / 2$, we get a bound in $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1}\right)$.
2. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $n_{1}=1$, by (S7) and Lemma S6-(ii) applied with $\ell=\lceil n / 2\rceil$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) & \leq \exp \left(-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)\right) \\
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) & \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j}\left\{\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1\right) \exp \left\{-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)\right)\right\}\right. \\
& \left.+2 \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}(n / 2)^{-\alpha(j+1)}+\gamma_{1}^{j} \exp \left\{-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n_{1}>1$ and $\lceil n / 2\rceil \geq n_{1}$, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is non increasing, using again (S7), and Lemma S6(ii) applied with $\ell=\lceil n / 2\rceil$, and the bound for $t \in \mathbb{R},(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}\left(n_{1}\right)\right) / 2+L^{2}(1+\rho) \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-2 \alpha}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\} \\
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~B}_{j}\left\{2 \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}(n / 2)^{-\alpha(j+1)}\right. \\
& +\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}\left(n_{1}\right)+1\right) \exp \left\{-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)\right)\right\} \\
& +\left(\gamma_{1}^{j} /\left(L^{2}(1+\rho)\right) \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\alpha-1}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}\left(n_{1}\right)\right) / 2+L^{2}(1+\rho) \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-2 \alpha}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 3. Explicit bound on the MSE under strongly convex assumptions for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in[0,1]$

Without loss of generality, assume that $\|f\|_{\text {Lip }}=1$. In the following, denote by $\Omega(x) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+$ $d / m$ and $C$ a constant (which may take different values upon each appearance), which does not depend on $m, L, \gamma_{1}, \alpha$ and $\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|$.

1. First for $\alpha=0$, recall by (S1), (29) and (30) we have for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
u_{p}^{(1)}(\gamma) W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x}, \pi\right)+u_{p}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq 2 \Omega(x)\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)^{p}+2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{A}_{0}$ and $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ are given by (S2) and (S3) respectively. So by Proposition 18 and Lemma 39, we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n}+\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

Therefore plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 24 in (32) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}+\frac{\kappa^{-2}+\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{n \gamma_{1}}\right) \tag{S27}
\end{equation*}
$$

So with fixed $\gamma_{1}$ this bound is of order $\gamma_{1}$. If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 0}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} / n\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A}_{0}
$$

and (S27) becomes if $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)$,

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} n\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{\star, 0}(n) / 2\right) \Omega(x)+C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{0}(n)=2\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}\left(N_{0}(n), n\right) \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} / n\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Note that $N_{0}(n)$ is of order $n^{1 / 2}$.
2. For $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$, recall by (S1), (29) and (30) we have for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{p}^{(1)}(\gamma) W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x}, \pi\right) \leq 2 \Omega(x) \prod_{i=1}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{i} / 2\right) \tag{S28}
\end{equation*}
$$

So by Proposition 18, Lemma 39, (S7) and (S9), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{(1-2 \alpha) n^{\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 24 in (32) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{(1-2 \alpha) n^{\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right) \tag{S29}
\end{equation*}
$$

At fixed $\gamma_{1}$, this bound is of order $n^{-\alpha}$, and is better than (S27) for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ constant. If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$ again. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} /(1-2 \alpha)\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} / n^{1-2 \alpha}\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A}_{0} /(1-2 \alpha),
$$

(S29) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \\
& \qquad \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} n\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa N^{1-\alpha} \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n) /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $N_{\alpha}(n)=\left\{2(1-\alpha) \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n) \log (\Omega(x))\right\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}\left(N_{\alpha}(n), n\right) \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} / n\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

It is worthwhile to note that the order of $N_{\alpha}(n)$ in $n$ is $n^{(1-2 \alpha) /(2(1-\alpha))}$, and $C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha}$ goes to infinity as $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$.
3. If $\alpha=1 / 2$, (S28) still holds. By Lemma 39, (S7) and (S9), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1} \log (n)}{n^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1 / 2} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 24 in (32) implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1} \log (n)}{n^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1 / 2} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{S30}
\end{equation*}
$$

At fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the order of this bound is $\log (n) n^{-1 / 2}$, and is the best bound for the MSE. Fix the number of iterations $n$, and we now optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2} / \log (n)\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A}_{0}
$$

and (S30) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)$,
$\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n)$

$$
\leq C\left(\frac{\log (n)}{n C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa N^{1 / 2} \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n) / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\frac{C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}{\log (n)}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{1 / 2}(n)=\left(4 \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n) \log (\Omega(x))\right)^{2}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}\left(N_{1 / 2}(n), n\right) \leq C\left(\frac{\log (n) C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

We can see that we obtain a worse bound than for $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$.
4. For $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1]$, (S28) still holds. By Lemma 39, (S7) and (S9), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 24 in (32) implies:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

For fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the MSE is of order $n^{1-\alpha}$, and is worse than for $\alpha=1 / 2$. For a fixed number of iteration $n$, optimizing $\gamma_{1}$ would imply to choose $\gamma_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, in that case, the best choice of $\gamma_{1}$ is the largest possible value $1 /(m+L)$.
5. For $\alpha=1$, (S28) still holds. By Lemma 39, (S7) and (S9), the bias is upper bounded by

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{\log (n)}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} N^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} \log (n)}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 24 in (32) implies:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}(N, n) \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}}{\log (n)}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} N^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} \log (n)}\right)
$$

For fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the order of the MSE is $(\log (n))^{-1}$. For a fixed number of iterations, the conclusions are the same than for $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1)$.
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