

Local 2D Pattern Spectra as Connected Region Descriptors

Petra Bosilj, Michael H.F. Wilkinson, Ewa Kijak, Sébastien Lefèvre

To cite this version:

Petra Bosilj, Michael H.F. Wilkinson, Ewa Kijak, Sébastien Lefèvre. Local 2D Pattern Spectra as Connected Region Descriptors. International Symposium on Mathematical Morphology, 2015, Reykjavik, Iceland. pp.182-193, $10.1007/978-3-319-18720-4_16$. hal-01168146

HAL Id: hal-01168146 <https://hal.science/hal-01168146>

Submitted on 13 Nov 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Local 2D pattern spectra as connected region des
riptors

Petra Bosili¹, Michael H. F. Wilkinson², Ewa Kijak³, Sébastien Lefèvre^{1*}

 1 Université de Bretagne Sud – IRISA, Vannes, France

2 Johann Bernoulli Institute, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands ³Université de Rennes 1 - IRISA, Rennes, France

Abstra
t. We validate the usage of augmented 2D shape-size pattern spectra, calculated on arbitrary connected regions. The evaluation is performed on MSER regions and ompetitive performan
e with SIFT descriptors achieved in a simple retrieval system, by combining the local pattern spe
tra with normalized entral moments. An additional advantage of the proposed des
riptors is their size: being less than half the size of SIFT, they can handle larger databases in a time-efficient manner. We focus in this paper on presenting the challenges faced when transitioning from global pattern spe
tra to the lo
al ones. An exhaustive study on the parameters and the properties of the newly constructed descriptor is the main contribution offered. We also consider possible improvements to the quality and computation efficiency of the proposed local descriptors.

Keywords: shape-size pattern spectra, granulometries, max-tree, region des
riptors, CBIR

¹ Introdu
tion

Pattern spectra are histogram-like structures originating from mathematical morphology, commonly used for image analysis and classification [12], and contain the information on the distribution of sizes and shapes of image omponents. They can be efficiently computed using a technique known as granulometry [5] on a max-tree and min-tree hierarchy $[9, 19]$.

We study here the application of 2D pattern spectra to Content Based Image Retrieval (CBIR), to retrieve database images describing the same object or scene as the query. Previous success in using the pattern spectra as image descriptors computed at the global $[23, 24]$ or pixel scale (known as DMP $[3]$ or DAP $[6, 18]$ convinced us to investigate their behavior as local descriptors.

Standard CBIR systems based on lo
al des
riptors onsist of region dete
tion, calculation of descriptors and storage in an index. Different indexing schemes are used to perform large scale database search $[10, 22]$, but all need powerful local descriptors to achieve good performance $[21]$. To construct such a descriptor,

^{*} The collaboration between the authors was supported by mobility grants from the Université européenne de Bretagne (UEB), Fren
h GdR ISIS from CNRS, and an excellence grant EOLE from the Franco-Dutch Network.

we want to extend $[24]$ and compute 2D size-shape pattern spectra locally while keeping the good characteristics of the global version (scale, translation and rotation invariance, and computation efficiency). However, to evaluate the quality and properties of our proposed lo
al pattern spe
tra (LPS) des
riptors, we need to reexamine the parameters used with global pattern spectra as well as evaluate the effect of the new parameters introduced by the local descriptor scheme.

We evaluate our descriptors on the MSER regions $[13]$ as they can also be computed on a max-tree [17], using the well-established SIFT descriptors $[11]$ to obtain a baseline CBIR performance on a database. Future work will include comparisons with SIFT extensions which improve performance $[1, 2]$. A competitive pre
ision is a
hieved with a rotation invariant version of the des
riptor ombined with normalized entral moments, half the size of SIFT (deeper interpretation of the results and best achieved performance can be found in $[4]$.

As the goal of this paper is to give an overview of choices and challenges faced when reworking a global pattern spectrum into a local one, we adopt a slightly atypical presentation structure: The background notions are presented in Se
. 2, with the fo
us on how the max-tree is used in all parts of the CBIR system. The experimental framework used to tune and evaluate the des
riptors is explained in Se
. 3. To examine the properties of the proposed LPS des
riptor through the influence of parameters used, the main contribution can be found in Se
. 4, where the des
riptor performan
e is also presented. Remarks on possible improvements to the efficiency of LPS computation are given in Sec. 5. Finally, the conclusions are drawn and directions for future work offered in Sec. 6.

² Ba
kground

2.1 Max-tree

The concept of min and max-trees $[9, 19]$ is here central for keypoint detection as well as the calculation of feature descriptors. We recall their definition using the upper and lower level sets of an image, e.g. sets of image pixels p with gray level values $f(p)$ respectively higher and lower than a threshold k.

Given a level k of an image I, each level set is defined as $\mathcal{L}^k = \{p \in I | f(p) \geq 1\}$ k} for the max-tree, or $\mathcal{L}_k = \{p \in I | f(p) \leq k\}$ for the min-tree. Their connected components (also called the *peak components*) $\mathcal{L}^{k,i}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{k,i}$ (*i* from some index set) are nested and form a hierar
hy. The min-tree is usually built as a max-tree of the inverted image $-I$.

2.2 MSER dete
tion

Peak components of the upper and lower level sets $\{\mathcal{L}^{k,i}\}\$ and $\{\mathcal{L}_{k,i}\}\$ coincide with the maximal and minimal extremal regions in the context of *Maximally* Stable Extremal Regions (MSER) detector introduced by Matas et al. [13]. The detected regions correspond to bright and dark "blobs" in the image and can be extracted while building the max-tree and the min-tree [17].

Extraction of MSER relies on the stability function $q(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})$, which measures the rate of growth of the region w.r.t. the change of the threshold level k . It is omputed for all the elements of nested sequen
es, and the lo
al minima of this function correspond to the maximally stable regions.

We use here a simplification commonly adopted by many computer vision libraries (e.g. VLFeat $[26]$) :

$$
q(\mathcal{L}^{k,i}) = \frac{|\mathcal{L}^{k-\Delta,i}\backslash \mathcal{L}^{k,i}|}{|\mathcal{L}^{k,i}|},\tag{1}
$$

where the cardinality is denoted by $|\cdot|$ and Δ is a parameter of the detector. Additional parameters ontrol the allowed region size, limit the appearan
e of too similar regions and impose a lower limit on the stability s
ore.

2.3 Attributes and filtering

Region characteristics can be captured by assigning them *attributes* measuring the interesting aspects of the regions. Increasing attributes $K(\cdot)$ give increasing values when calculated on a nested sequence of regions, otherwise they are *nonincreasing*. A value of an increasing attribute on a tree region, $K(L^{k,i})$, will be greater than the value of that attribute for any of the regions des
endants.

Increasing attributes are usually a measure of the *size* of the region. We will simply use the *area* (in pixels) of the region, $A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})$, as the size attribute. *Strict* shape attributes are the nonincreasing attributes dependent only on the region shape, thus invariant to scaling, rotation and translation $[5]$. To indicate the shape of a region, we use an elongation measure called *corrected noncompactness*:

$$
NC(\mathcal{L}^{k,i}) = 2\pi \left(\frac{I(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})}{A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})^2} + \frac{A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})}{6} \right). \tag{2}
$$

 $I(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})$ is here the moment of inertia of the region, and the term $\frac{I(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})}{A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})}$ $A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})^2$ without the correction is equal to the first moment invariant of Hu [8] $I = \mu_{2,0} + \mu_{0,2}$.

We will also directly use the normalized central moments $n_{1,1}, n_{2,0}, n_{0,2}, n_{0,4}$ and $n_{4,0}$ of the considered regions. These, and many more attributes (such as center of mass, covariances, skewness or kurtosis [27]) can be derived based on raw region moments.

When the tree is further pro
essed by omparing the region attribute values to a threshold t (or using a more complex criterion), and making a decision to preserve or reje
t a region based on the attribute value, we are performing an *attribute filtering*. While filtering with an increasing attribute is relatively straightforward, advanced filtering strategies have to be used when performing a filtering with nonincreasing (e. g. shape) attributes $[5, 19, 24]$.

2.4 Granulometries and global pattern spectra

Attribute opening is a specific kind of attribute filtering, in which the attribute used is increasing. Such a transformation is anti-extensive, increasing and idempotent. A *size granulometry* can be computed from a series of such openings, using increasing values for the threshold t . This series also satisfies the absorption property, since applying an opening with $t' < t$ will have no effect on an

Table 1: Subsets of the UCID database used in experiments.

	$\#$ categories / examples	categories selected
ucid5	31/5	all UCID categories
		with ≥ 5 examples
u <i>cid</i> 4	44 / 4	all UCID categories ≥ 4
ucid3	77/3	all UCID categories ≥ 3
ucid2	137/2	all UCID categories ≥ 2
u <i>cid</i> 1	262 / 1	all UCID categories

image already filtered with an opening using the threshold t . In other words, a size a granulometry can be seen as a set of sieves of increasing grades, each letting only details of certain sizes $[24]$ pass through.

Instead of focusing on the details remaining, it is also possible to consider the amount of detail removed between pairs of consecutive openings. Such an analysis has been introduced by Maragos $[12]$ under the name *size pattern spectra*. It can be seen as a 1D histogram containing, for each size class or filtering residue, its Lebesgue measure (*i.* e. the number of pixels in the binary case or the sum of gray levels in the grays
ale ase). Su
h histograms an also be omputed over different shape classes, leading to the concept of a shape-spectra [24]. Finally, both shape and size pattern spe
tra an be ombined to build shape-size pattern spectra $[24]$. A shape-size pattern spectrum is a 2D histogram, where the amount of image detail for the different size-shape classes are stored in dedicated 2D bins.

Previous work $[23, 24]$ as well as our own experiments suggest that the lower attribute values arry more information. Thus, a logarithmi binning is used for both attributes, produ
ing higher resolution bins for low attribute values. Let v be the attribute value for one of the attributes, N_b the total desired number of bins and m the upper bound for that attribute (which can be the maximal attribute value in the hierarchy, or a smaller value if we decide to ignore attribute values above a ertain threshold). If the minimal value for the attribute is 1 (as with both area and the corrected noncompactness), the base for the logarithmic binning b , and the final bin c , are determined as:

$$
b = \sqrt[N_k]{m},\tag{3}
$$

$$
c = \lfloor \log_b v \rfloor \tag{4}
$$

Enumerating the bins starting from 1, the *i*-th bin has the range $[b^{i-1}, b^i]$.

Connected pattern spectra are effectively calculated in a single pass over a max-tree [5, 24]. For every region, we calculate both the size attribute $v_1 =$ $A(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})$ and shape attribute $v_2 = NC(\mathcal{L}^{k,i})$, and add the area of the region weighted by its contrast with the parent region δ_h to the spectrum bin $S(c_1, c_2)$. Before using the spectrum as a descriptor, we equalize the sums in the bins as $\sqrt[5]{S(c_1, c_2)}$. More information and discussion about the algorithm used to ompute the des
riptors is given in Se
. 5.

³ Database and experimental setup

To evaluate the retrieval performan
e of the LPS des
riptor without introdu
ing noise in the results with approximate search approaches $[10, 22]$, we chose a relatively small $UCID$ database [20], on which we can perform an exact search. The performance of our LPS descriptors is compared to SIFT [11].

The whole UCID database contains 1338 images of size 512×384 pixels, divided into 262 unbalanced categories. After region detection and description, a single database entry for every category is constructed, comprising the descriptors from all the images of that ategory. Therefore, to equalize the database entry sizes as much as possible, different subsets of the UCID database were used in the experiments, where the number of examples per category is constant for each database subset (the required number of images is taken from larger categories in order provided by the ground truth). Tab. 1 summarizes the subsets of the database used for experiments presented herein.

A KD-Tree index [7] is then built based on the category descriptors, and stored for querying using the FLANN library [15]. We then perform a query with 1 image for every database category. The index performs a kNN search $(k = 7)$ with each descriptor of a region detected on the query image. The final category is given through a voting mechanism where each nearest neighbor d_i of a query descriptor q_i will cast a vote for the category $cat(d_i)$ it belongs to:

$$
vote(cat(d_i)) = \frac{100}{(L_1(d_i, q_j) + 0.1) \times |cat(d_i)|^{w_{cat}}}. \tag{5}
$$

 $L_1(d_i, q_j)$ refers to the distance between these two descriptors and $|cat(d_i)|$ is the number of descriptors in the category of the *i*-th nearest neighbor. Finally, w_{cat} is a parameter of the experimental setup. The five categories with the highest vote s
ores are examined in order to evaluate the performan
e of the des
riptors.

The measures we used are mean average precision at five $(MAP@5)$ and precision at one (PQ1). Performance for different values of w_{cat} are shown in Fig. $1(a)$ and $2(d)$, but for all the summarized results, only the performance for the optimal w_{cat} value for each experiment is shown. This choice is made in order to present a fair omparison, and sin
e not all the des
riptors rea
h their peak performance for the same value of w_{cat} . This is additionally justified as this parameter is not present when using an approximate classification scheme.

⁴ Lo
al pattern spe
tra

Local pattern spectra (LPS) are calculated from the selected MSER regions. As the two trees contain different regions, the descriptor for a maximal MSER will only be based on the max-tree, and similarly for the minimal MSERs.

The LPS are calculated like the global ones, except the calculation is done on the corresponding subtree. When calculating the LPS for the MSER region $\mathcal{L}^{k,i}$ in the tree, we only consider the attribute values of the descendants of the node. However, transitioning to the lo
al version of the des
riptor will introdu
e a new parameter influencing the scale invariance property of the descriptors.

symbol	significance		value SI-LPS value SV-LPS
m_A	upper bound for area	region size	
m_{NC}	upper bound for noncompactness	53	56
$N_h^{\mathcal{A}}$	number of area bins	9	10
N_h^{NC}	number of noncompactness bins	6	
М	scale parameter for the size attribute	20000	region size
$w(n_{1,1})$			20
$w(n_{2,0}), w(n_{0,2}),$ $w(n_{4,0}), w(n_{0,4})$	normalized moment weights		10

Table 2: Parameters and their optimal values for the LPS.

To a
hieve both the desired properties and ompetitive performan
e, the proposed des
riptor is explained here through examining the experiments used to establish the best parameters. The summary of these parameters, explained individually henceforth, can be found in Tab. 2. Additionally, we consider combining the LPS with normalized central moments and enhancing the performance by adding the global pattern spectra. The influence of the database on the results is also dis
ussed.

4.1 S
ale invarian
e

When calculating a global pattern spectrum for an entire image, the whole image size is used to determine the base of the logarithmic binning (especially if the database images are the same size $[23,24]$). If we choose to determine the binning base for ea
h region separately based on the area of that region for the lo
al des
riptor s
heme, the resulting LPS des
riptor is not s
ale invariant.

Consider two version of the same region at different scales, with the area values belonging to the range $[1, m_1]$ and $[1, m_2]$ respectively. The scale invariance property requires that, for a value $v_1 \in [1, m_1]$, the bin c_1 determined in the original scale is the same as the bin c_2 for the value $v_2 = v_1 \frac{m_2}{m_1}$ scaled to the range [1, m₂]. However, this is not the case for $m_1 \neq m_2$, as:

$$
c_1 = \log_{N_b \sqrt{m_1}} v_1 \neq c_2 = \log_{N_b \sqrt{m_2}} v_2. \tag{6}
$$

Therefore, to ensure the scale invariance of the descriptors, the area used to determine the binning and the logarithmic base have to be the same for all the regions. This area be
omes then a parameter of the size attribute in LPS, alled the scale parameter M.

Using a common scale M can be seen as rescaling all the regions to a reference scale, and has two consequences. First, for a region of size $m > M$, the minimal area value v of this region that can contribute to the spectrum when using a common binning is such that $v' = v \frac{M}{m} = 1$, meaning that all the (sub)regions with the area smaller then $\frac{m}{M}$ will be ignored. However, some particular regions

Fig. 1: The results for the final version of the descriptors expressed in terms of mean average precision at 5 (MAP@5) and precision at 1 (P@1) for $ucid5$ dataset for varying category weights are shown in (a). The results for $ucid5-*ucid1*$ are summarized on (b) (performance shown for optimal weight w_{cat} for every dataset).

with a large enough area can still disappear when rescaling. This is the case for long thin objects with the width (along any dimension) small enough to downscale to under 1 pixel. Such regions should be ignored in the pattern spectrum, even if their attribute values fit with the binning. Because of this, we also determine the maximal possible value of the noncompactness attribute for all of the available area bins.

Second, the minimal area value (1 pixel) of a region of size $m < M$ will be rescaled to the value $v' = \frac{M}{m} > 1$, and the lower area bins at the common scale will be empty. The first area bin c_{\min} that will contain information is then:

$$
1 = b^{c_{\min} - 1} \frac{m}{M} \to c_{\min} = \log_b \frac{M}{m} + 1.
$$
 (7)

We compare 2 versions of the descriptor: a) the *scale variant version* (SV-LPS), where the area of each region is used as the scale parameter M , and b) the *scale invariant version* (SI-LPS) where M is the same for all regions. The SV-LPS outperforms the SI-LPS on the performed experiments (
f. Fig. 1), and mat
hes the SIFT performan
e. The best performan
e for the SI-LPS was obtained for $M = 20000$ (found experimentally) for UCID images. However, the UCID database is not very hallenging in terms of s
ale hange. We expe
t the SI-LPS performance to be less affected than that of SV-LPS when running experiments on a database fo
using on s
ale hange.

4.2 Binning parameters

With the area attribute, the upper bound used, m_A , is simply the size of the region: we can plausibly expect regions of all sizes lower than the size of the region itself to be present in its decomposition.

Examining the values of the noncompactness attribute for several images, we determined that very few regions have high values of this attribute. As su
h,

non
ompa
tness values higher than a ertain threshold an be safely ignored. Optimal values m_{NC} for both SV-LPS and SI-LPS were determined by examining the performance of the values close to the ones used in $[23, 24]$. Similar experiments were done to determine N_b^{NC} and N_b^A . The parameter tuning experiments are shown in Fig. 2.

The best parameters for the SI-LPS are easy to determine; we chose $N_b^{NC} = 6$ and m_{NC} = 53. For the number of area bins, we tested both $N_b^A = 8$ and $N_b^A = 10$ in the final descriptor combination (to be discussed in the following subsection). Using $N_b^A = 10$ produces better final results for SI-LPS, which are shown on Fig. 1. For SV-LPS, the influence of both parameters for noncompactness is much slighter. Surprisingly, we found that the optimal performance of SV-LPS reaches an optimum at the lower value of $N_b^A = 9$ (but a higher $m_{NC} = 56$ than SI-LPS). The optimal values for both SI-LPS and SV-LPS are listed in Tab. 2.

We also noted that using the optimal SI-LPS parameters in the scale variant version, we closely match the performance of our original parameter choice after the ombination with image moments. Currently, no set of parameters is performing clearly better, but if future experiments confirm this behavior, it is still preferable to use a smaller $N_b^{\mathcal{A}}$ and decrease descriptor size.

4.3 Image moments and global pattern spe
tra

Five image moments, $n_{1,1}, n_{2,0}, n_{0,2}, n_{0,4}$ and $n_{4,0}$, were appended to a final version of all LPS descriptors. The weights resulting in the best performance (using the L_1 distance) were determined by examining the combination of the LPS and each of the moments separately. This weight is 20 for $n_{1,1}$ and 10 for other moments used. Additionally, an indicator value 2 is added to all the LPS descriptors originating from the max-tree, and 0 for the min-tree, thus additionally increasing the L¹ distan
e between any minimal and maximal MSERs.

Global pattern spectra on their own achieve MAP@5 around 70% on the ucid5 dataset. They are added to the list of LPS for every image and treated equally to other local descriptors. The influence of combining these values with SI-LPS and SV-LPS for the optimal parameter hoi
e is shown in Fig. 2(d).

4.4 Region size and database influence

Before calculating any descriptors in the evaluation framework of Mikolajczyk et al. $[14]$, the region is first approximated by an ellipse with the same corresponding second moments, and then the region size is increased three times. Only then is the SIFT descriptor calculated using the provided implementation [11].

Sin
e we want to be able to use the max-tree and the min-tree for the pattern spectra calculation, we chose to work with ancestor regions of the detected MSER such that the size of the parent is no larger than $xA(n_{k,i})$. We determined that, in order to get the same average area increase as in $[14]$, we should use the value $x = 7.5$. The reason is that many regions have a much bigger parent region. which is then not considered, and the size increase is often smaller than x times.

Fig. 2: Parameter tuning on ucid5 database. The effect of varying the upper bound for noncompactness is shown on (a), similar for the amount of noncompactness bins on (b), and the area bins on (c). The effect of adding the moments and indicator value to the des
riptor, with the best parameter settings is shown in (d). Note that the global des
riptors for the SI-LPS are al
ulated with the s
ale value used for the other des
riptors, and not using image size.

Fig. 1(b) summarizes the performan
e on all the subsets from Tab. 1, allowing us to examine the behavior of the descriptors for the increasing size of the database. The performance expectantly decreases with the increase of database size and decrease of the number of examples provided per category. As the separate influence of these two factors can not be determined just from experiments on these subsets, additional tests were carried out and analyzed in [4].

Besides the performan
e, it is important to note here that on the largest database subset used, the query speed for LPS is more than $4\times$ faster than that for SIFT (when the LPS des
riptor of size 60 is used).

⁵ Remarks on the algorithm

The system was implemented in C_{++} . The max-tree structure was used for both MSER dete
tion and keypoint des
ription. The non-re
ursive max-tree algorithm of [17] was used. This allows concurrent computation of the MSER

stability fun
tion (Eq. (1)), the area attribute and the moment of inertia, and the MSER. The method method is as follows:

- \sim Compute the max-tree and min-tree according to [17].
- As the trees are built, ompute:
	- local minima of the stability function, forming the sets of MSER regions,
	- attribute values for the nodes of the trees,
	- global pattern spectra $[24]$.
- For each selected MSER region, repeat the computation of the pattern spectra lo
ally in a sub-tree.
- Combine the attribute values, indicator value 0 or 2 and the pattern spectra to form a LPS des
riptor for a MSER region.
- $-$ Add both global pattern spectra [23] corresponding to the whole image in the olle
tion of des
riptors for the image.

Unlike the calculation of global pattern spectra, the local pattern spectra use the onstru
ted hierar
hy but an not be omputed on
urrently be
ause of different upper limits (for area) and binning scaling value.

However, in ase of a
hieving improved results with the SI-LPS, adopting the scale invariant version to concurrent computation can be considered. While it would sacrifice true scale invariance, if the value M is used as a scale parameter, and we are calculating for a region of size m , we can set the largest bin to be $[b^{[log_b m]-1}, b^{[log_b m]}],$ with the smallest bin having the upper bound $b^{[log_b m]-N_b}$. While it is then not always possible to get the values from the whole range of the largest bin, the bin values of the children can be used by their parents. When the upper bound of the largest bin hanges, the hild values an still be used with discarding the values from the smallest bin: the scale of those details is too low to be considered.

6 Discussion and conclusion

After successfully applying global pattern spectra in CBIR context [23, 25], we now attempt to onstru
t a lo
al region des
riptor based on the pattern spe
tra. On the chosen subsets of the $UCID$ database [20], the results obtained were better than when only using global pattern spectra (almost 20% in MAP@5 on ucid5), and matched the performance of the SIFT descriptor.

The proposed LPS des
riptors have another advantage. In addition to the description calculation process being slightly faster for the pattern spectra than for the SIFT des
riptors, our des
riptors length is only 47% of the length of SIFT. This makes using these descriptors much faster – performing 262 queries on an index of the size 262 (*ucid1* dataset) took over 4 times longer using SIFT descriptors. This suggests that (especially in large scale CBIR systems), we can use more example images in order to enhan
e the pre
ision, while still performing faster than SIFT.

As the performan
e of the des
riptors depends on a lot of parameters, we need to explore a way to determine the optimal set of parameters automati
ally. Also, while the LPS descriptors are rotation invariant, introducing scale invariance auses a de
rease in performan
e. We plan to evaluate both the SI-LPS and SV-LPS on a database focused on scale changes to determine the value of true s
ale invarian
e in su
h ases.

Despite the parameters and the descriptor invariance which have to be further studied, matching the SIFT performance on the three subsets of the ucid dataset with a descriptor of less than half the length of SIFT is very promising. Additional successful experiments were performed and analyzed in [4]. It also prompts for evaluating the LPS performan
e with large s
ale CBIR system. It is probable that the results ould be even further improved by ombining the current LPS with pattern spectra based on other shape attributes, like in [23].

Lastly, the L_1 distance, designed to compare vectors of scalar values, is not the best choice for comparing histogram-like structures. Using different distances, or even divergences (e.g. $[16]$) which take into account the nature of the des
riptor should also improve the performan
e.

Referen
es

- 1. Arandjelovi¢, R., Zisserman, A.: Three things everyone should know to improve ob je
t retrieval. In: Computer Vision and Pattern Re
ognition (CVPR), 2012 IEEE Conference on. pp. 2911-2918. IEEE (2012)
- 2. Bay, H., Ess, A., Tuytelaars, T., Van Gool, L.: Speeded-up robust features (SURF). Computer vision and image understanding $110(3)$, $346-359$ (2008)
- 3. Benediktsson, J.A., Pesaresi, M., Arnason, K.: Classification and Feature Extraction for Remote Sensing Images from Urban Areas based on Morphologi
al Transformations. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing 41(9), 1940-1949 (2003)
- 4. Bosilj, P., Kijak, E., Wilkinson, M.H.F., Lefèvre, S.: Short lo
al des
riptors from 2D connected pattern spectra, submitted to ICIP $2015¹$
- 5. Breen, E.J., Jones, R.: Attribute openings, thinnings, and granulometries. Computer Vision and Image Understanding $64(3)$, $377-389$ (1996)
- 6. Dalla Mura, K., Benediktsson, J.A., Waske, B., Bruzzone, L.: Morphologi
al Attribute Profiles for the Analysis of Very High Resolution Images. IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing $48(10)$, $3747-3762$ (2010)
- 7. Friedman, J.H., Bentley, J.L., Finkel, R.A.: An algorithm for finding best matches in logarithmic expected time. ACM Transactions on Mathematical Software $(TOMS)$ 3(3), 209-226 (1977)
- 8. Hu, M.K.: Visual pattern re
ognition by moment invariants. Information Theory, IRE Transactions on 8(2), 179-187 (1962)
- 9. Jones, R.: Component trees for image filtering and segmentation. In: IEEE Workshop on Nonlinear Signal and Image Pro
essing, E. Coyle, Ed., Ma
kina Island (1997)
- 10. Lejsek, H., Jónsson, B.T., Amsaleg, L.: NV-Tree: Nearest Neighbors at the Billion Scale. In: Proceedings of the 1st ACM International Conference on Multimedia Retrieval. pp. 54:154:8. ICMR '11 (2011)

preprint at people.irisa.fr/Ewa.Kijak/preprint/icip.pdf

- 11. Lowe, D.G.: Distin
tive image features from s
ale-invariant keypoints. International journal of computer vision $60(2)$, $91-110$ (2004)
- 12. Maragos, P.: Pattern spe
trum and multis
ale shape representation. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on $11(7)$, $701-716$ (1989)
- 13. Matas, J., Chum, O., Urban, M., Pajdla, T.: Robust wide-baseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions. Image and vision computing $22(10)$, $761-767$ (2004)
- 14. Mikola j
zyk, K., S
hmid, C.: A performan
e evaluation of lo
al des
riptors. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on $27(10)$, 1615-1630 (2005)
- 15. Muja, M., Lowe, D.G.: Fast Approximate Nearest Neighbors with Automati Algorithm Configuration. In: International Conference on Computer Vision Theory and Application VISSAPP'09). pp. 331-340. INSTICC Press (2009)
- 16. Mwebaze, E., Schneider, P., Schleif, F.M., Aduwo, J.R., Quinn, J.A., Haase, S. Villmann, T., Biehl, M.: Divergence-based classification in learning vector quantization. Neurocomputing 74(9), 1429-1435 (2011)
- 17. Nistér, D., Stewénius, H.: Linear time maximally stable extremal regions. In: Computer Vision-ECCV 2008, pp. 183-196. Springer (2008)
- 18. Ouzounis, G.K., Pesaresi, M., Soille, P.: Differential Area Profiles: Decomposition Properties and Efficient Computation. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence 34(8), 1533-1548 (2012)
- 19. Salembier, P., Oliveras, A., Garrido, L.: Antiextensive onne
ted operators for image and sequence processing. Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on $7(4)$. 555570 (1998)
- 20. Schaefer, G., Stich, M.: UCID: An Uncompressed Colour Image Database. In: Electronic Imaging 2004. pp. 472-480. International Society for Optics and Photonics (2003)
- 21. Schmid, C., Mohr, R.: Object recognition using local characterization and semilocal constraints. IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence $19(5)$, 530-534 (1997)
- 22. Sivic, J., Zisserman, A.: Video Google: Efficient visual search of videos. In: Ponce, J., Hebert, M., Schmid, C., Zisserman, A. (eds.) Toward Category-Level Object Recognition, LNCS, vol. 4170, pp. 127-144. Springer (2006)
- 23. Tushabe, F., Wilkinson, M.H.F.: Content-based image retrieval using ombined 2D attribute pattern spe
tra. In: Advan
es in Multilingual and Multimodal Information Retrieval, pp. $554-561$. Springer (2008)
- 24. Urba
h, E.R., Roerdink, J.B.T.M., Wilkinson, M.H.F.: Conne
ted shape-size pattern spectra for rotation and scale-invariant classification of gray-scale images. Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, IEEE Transactions on 29(2), 272-285 (2007)
- 25. Urba
h, E.R., Wilkinson, M.H.F.: Shape-only granulometries and grey-s
ale shape filters. In: Proc. Int. Symp. Math. Morphology (ISMM). vol. 2002, pp. 305-314 (2002)
- 26. Vedaldi, A., Fulkerson, B.: VLFeat: An Open and Portable Library of Computer Vision Algorithms. http://www.vlfeat.org/ (2008)
- 27. Wilkinson, M.H.F.: Generalized pattern spectra sensitive to spatial information. In: Pattern Recognition, International Conference on. vol. 1, pp. 10021-10021. IEEE Computer So
iety (2002)