



HAL
open science

Heteroaggregation of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles with Model Natural Colloids under Environmentally Relevant Conditions

Antonia Praetorius, Jérôme Labille, Martin Scheringer, Antoine Thill, Konrad
Hungerbühler, Jean-Yves Bottero

► **To cite this version:**

Antonia Praetorius, Jérôme Labille, Martin Scheringer, Antoine Thill, Konrad Hungerbühler, et al..
Heteroaggregation of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles with Model Natural Colloids under Environ-
mentally Relevant Conditions. *Environmental Science and Technology*, 2014, 48 (18), pp.10690-10698.
10.1021/es501655v . hal-01157196

HAL Id: hal-01157196

<https://hal.science/hal-01157196v1>

Submitted on 17 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Heteroaggregation of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles with Model Natural Colloids under Environmentally Relevant Conditions

Antonia Praetorius,^{*,†} Jérôme Labille,^{‡,¶} Martin Scheringer,[†] Antoine Thill,^{§,¶} Konrad Hungerbühler,[†] and Jean-Yves Bottero^{‡,¶}

[†]Institute for Chemical and Bioengineering, ETH Zurich, 8093 Zurich, Switzerland


[‡]Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, IRD, CEREGE UMR 7330, 13545 Aix en Provence, France

[¶]International Consortium for the Environmental Implications of Nanotechnology, iCEINT, Aix en Provence, France

[§]Laboratoire Interdisciplinaire sur l'Organisation Nanométrique et Supramoléculaire, UMR CEA-CNRS 3299, CEA Saclay, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

S Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: The heteroaggregation of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) with natural colloids (NCs), which are ubiquitous in natural surface waters, is a crucial process affecting the environmental transport and fate of ENPs. Attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} , are required as input parameters in environmental fate models to predict ENP concentrations and contribute to ENP risk assessment. Here, we present a novel method for determining α_{hetero} values by using a combination of laser diffraction measurements and aggregation modeling based on the Smoluchowski equation. Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO_2 NPs, 15 nm) were used to demonstrate this new approach together with larger silicon dioxide particles (SiO_2 , 0.5 μm) representing NCs. Heteroaggregation experiments were performed at different environmentally relevant solution conditions. At pH 5 the TiO_2 NPs and the SiO_2 particles are of opposite charge, resulting in α_{hetero} values close to 1. At pH 8, where all particles are negatively charged, α_{hetero} was strongly affected by the solution conditions, with α_{hetero} ranging from <0.001 at low ionic strength to 1 at conditions with high NaCl or CaCl_2 concentrations. The presence of humic acid stabilized the system against heteroaggregation.



INTRODUCTION

The challenges associated with assessing the risks of the increased production and use of engineered nanoparticles (ENPs) in a wide range of applications and consumer products have been widely discussed.^{1,2} The new properties of ENPs compared to “conventional” pollutants such as organic chemicals and metals require a review of methods for studying and predicting the behavior of ENPs in different environmental systems. Numerous studies have shown that ENPs readily undergo transformations in the environment and have a high tendency to attach to each other and to other surfaces present in the environment. The form in which ENPs are present in a given environmental matrix (pristine, transformed, free, aggregated, or attached) affects their toxicity, which makes it important to understand transformation pathways of ENPs in complex environmental systems.^{3–7}

Until now, much emphasis has been placed on analyzing the homoaggregation (i.e., the aggregation of ENPs among themselves) of ENPs under a wide range of different conditions.^{8–11} However, in realistic environmental situations, the expected concentrations of ENPs, for example, in surface waters, are so low^{12–15} that ENPs will be outnumbered by natural colloids (NCs) (e.g., clays) and heteroaggregation of ENPs with these NCs will be a far more important process than

homoaggregation. We demonstrated the importance of heteroaggregation in comparison to other processes affecting the fate of ENPs in a recent modeling case study of TiO_2 nanoparticles (NPs) in a river.¹²

While methods of measuring the homoaggregation of ENPs are well established, considerable challenges remain for measurements of heteroaggregation. A binary system of one ENP type and another particle species is more complex than a single-particle system; heteroaggregation needs to be differentiated from simultaneous homoaggregation and different orders of magnitude in size and concentration between the NPs and the NCs require a thoughtful choice of analytical tools. For the results of heteroaggregation experiments to be used for predictions of ENP fate, it is important to consider the required format of the experimental output to be suitable as input for environmental fate models. In this context, (hetero)aggregation rates are not suitable, because they are concentration-dependent. Instead, attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} , which represent the probability of two particles of

Received: April 4, 2014

Revised: August 13, 2014

Accepted: August 15, 2014

Published: August 15, 2014

different type to attach and form an aggregate upon collision, need to be identified; they are suitable concentration-independent input values for fate models. Attachment efficiencies for aggregation (homo- and hetero-) depend strongly on the surface interactions of the aggregating particles and are therefore influenced by the characteristics of the respective particles, as well as the properties of the surrounding medium (i.e., pH, ionic strength and composition and presence of natural organic matter (NOM)). It is therefore necessary to determine α_{hetero} values specifically for each NP–NC combination of interest and under a wide range of solution conditions.

Recently, a few different approaches have been presented that attempt to determine the heteroaggregation kinetics of ENPs.^{16–19} Huynh et al.¹⁷ studied the heteroaggregation of oppositely charged multiwalled carbon nanotubes (CNTs) and hematite nanoparticles (HemNPs) using time-resolved dynamic light scattering (DLS) and cryogenic transmission electron microscopy (cryo-TEM) to determine aggregation rates and elucidate aggregation mechanisms. In their study, heteroaggregation rates were determined as a function of the relative CNT and HemNP concentrations, but attachment efficiencies could only be derived for the homoaggregation experiments, not for heteroaggregation. Furthermore, they worked at one pH value (pH 5.2) and at low ionic strength (0.1 mM NaCl), conditions that are not representative of most environmental systems.

The study by Afrooz et al.¹⁸ was conducted under environmentally more relevant conditions (at pH 6.2 and in a wider range of electrolyte concentrations) with gold nanospheres and plutonic acid (PA) modified single-walled carbon nanotubes (PA-SWNTs), where both NP types are negatively charged, but the PA-SWNTs do not homoaggregate due to the PA coating. Nevertheless, it was also not possible in this study to determine α_{hetero} values. While α_{homo} was determined by the classic approach of normalizing the given homoaggregation rate (obtained by time-resolved DLS) with the maximum homoaggregation rate (above the critical coagulation concentration (CCC) of the given electrolyte), this approach could not be employed for the determination of α_{hetero} because a maximum heteroaggregation rate could not be determined even at high electrolyte concentrations in the experiments performed.

Zhou et al.¹⁶ are the first to present attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation (α_{hetero}), for the interaction of TiO₂ and Ag NPs with montmorillonite (a natural clay mineral). This study also employed time-resolved DLS to measure aggregation rates at pH 4 and pH 8 in a wide range of NaNO₃ concentrations. Their method to determine α_{hetero} values is based on the same approach used for homoaggregation, by normalizing the measured aggregation rate with the fastest aggregation rate. However, the " α_{hetero} " obtained in this way is dependent on the relative NP and clay particle concentrations in the system²⁰ and is therefore not suitable as a concentration-independent input value for ENP fate models.

Finally, Loosli and Stoll¹⁹ examined the interaction of TiO₂ NPs with micron-sized latex particles in three different pH regimes (3.7, 6.2, and 10) at low ionic strength, measuring the aggregation kinetics by following the decrease of free latex particles with a particle sizer (Coulter Counter Multisizer). In this study the electrostatic interactions between the nano- and micron-sized particles at different solution conditions and aggregation mechanisms in these binary systems were studied

and discussed in detail, but no attachment efficiencies were reported.

While contributing to an increased understanding of interactions of ENPs in binary systems, none of these studies provides a generalizable method for determining concentration-independent attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} in a range of environmentally relevant conditions and applicable to different combinations of ENPs and (natural) colloid particles, which are typically several orders of magnitude larger in size. Here we present a new method for determining the attachment efficiency for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} between ENPs and natural colloids (NCs) using a combination of laser diffraction and a Smoluchowski-based aggregation model. A first application of the method is demonstrated for the heteroaggregation of TiO₂ NPs with SiO₂ particles (representing model NCs) at pH 5 and pH 8, where the particles are of opposite and equal surface charge, respectively. The experiments were conducted in a wide range of electrolyte conditions and the effect of humic acid on α_{hetero} was investigated.

■ GENERAL CONCEPT


Our method is designed to work for NPs in the nanometer size range and NCs in the micrometer size range and can be used in a large range of (environmentally relevant) hydrochemical conditions. The general approach is outlined in Figure 1. We differentiate between two generations of heteroaggregates: "primary heteroaggregates" consist of one NC with one or more attached NPs, their size remaining similar to that of the bare and dispersed NCs. "Secondary heteroaggregates" result from the further aggregation of primary heteroaggregates and involve at least two NCs. The secondary heteroaggregates thus display a size significantly larger than dispersed primary heteroaggregates or bare NCs (Figure 1a).

Under most environmentally relevant situations, the NPs and NCs will differ by at least one order of magnitude both in size and concentration, which makes a direct, time-resolved measurement of the attachment of the NP and NC and formation of primary heteroaggregates nearly impossible. Instead, we measure the aggregation rate of the primary heteroaggregates to form secondary heteroaggregates and then use a numerical method to determine the original attachment efficiency between the NPs and NCs, α_{hetero} .


The aggregation kinetics are measured by time-resolved laser diffraction (Figure 1b). The scattered intensity of the laser diffractometer decreases strongly (by the power of 6) with decreasing size, therefore the signal is blind to the NPs (also present at much lower concentration than the NCs) and only the aggregation of NCs or primary heteroaggregates is measured. The experiments are carried out in a system of well controlled hydrological conditions (see Materials and Method section), which is connected by a pump to the measuring cell of the laser diffractometer, and the aggregation of the suspension of NPs and NCs is measured as a function of time (Figure 1b). The NC concentration is kept constant and different NP concentrations are tested until heteroaggregation of NPs and NCs leads to the formation of measurable secondary heteroaggregates.

The experimental output is then analyzed by a Smoluchowski-based aggregation model (referred to as the Smolu-Model). This model can simulate homoaggregation kinetics and is parametrized with the specific experimental conditions of a given aggregation experiment. Model output is presented as the variation of the volume-weighted median particle size ($D_{v,50}$) as

a) Formation of larger heteroaggregates from nano-colloid heteroaggregates (NCHA) following NP-NC heteroaggregation



b) Experimental set-up of time-resolved laser diffraction size measurements



c) Determination of attachment efficiency from experimental data & aggregation model




Figure 1. Overview of the general concept developed in this study to determine attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} . (a) The aggregation of small NPs with larger NCs, first forming NP-NC primary heteroaggregates which then further aggregate with each other to form larger secondary heteroaggregates. (b) Experimental setup. (c) The data interpretation with an aggregation model and calculation of α_{hetero} is visualized.

a function of time, which can be directly compared to the experimental output from the laser diffractometer. From this comparison one can then obtain the attachment efficiency (α_{global}) that best corresponds to the observed aggregation of the primary to secondary heteroaggregates (Figure 1c) and in a next step calculate the attachment efficiency of heteroaggregation between the NPs and the NCs (α_{hetero}).

In this study we use TiO_2 NPs (5–30 nm) and $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ SiO_2 particles to represent model NCs. Commercial SiO_2 particles with a narrow size distribution were chosen for this initial study to enable a specific model parametrization and assess the validity of this new method. At the same time, SiO_2 particles are a good first approximation of natural NCs, which are typically composed, among others, of a large fraction of SiO_2 minerals. The heteroaggregation experiments are conducted at pH 5,

where the NPs and NCs have an opposite surface charge and α_{hetero} is expected to be close to 1, which enables us to constrain and validate the approach, and at pH 8, which is more representative of realistic environmental conditions and where both the NPs and NCs are negatively charged and are likely to undergo more repulsive interactions, that is, lower α_{hetero} .

■ MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of SiO_2 and TiO_2 Suspensions. Mono-disperse spherical silicon dioxide (SiO_2) particles with a narrow size distribution around $0.5 \mu\text{m}$ were purchased from Alfa Aesar (Silicon(IV) oxide, powder, $0.5 \mu\text{m}$, 99.9%) in powder form. A stock suspension of 0.5 g/L SiO_2 was prepared by ultrasonication of the SiO_2 powder in Milli-Q water for 15 min and stirring the suspension at room temperature until use. Fresh

stock suspension was prepared not more than 24 h before each experiment.

Titanium dioxide nanoparticles (TiO₂ NPs) (65% anatase, 35% brookite) with a primary particle size of 5–30 nm were obtained from Nanostructured and Amorphous Material Inc. (titanium oxide (anatase 5–30 nm) in water). The TiO₂ NPs were pure, not coated and were purchased dispersed in an aqueous suspension at acidic pH, with a concentration of 170 g/L and a specific surface area of 193 m²/g.

Solution Chemistry. Suwanee River Humic Acid (SRHA, Standard II) was purchased from the International Humic Substances Society. A stock solution of 0.5 g/L was prepared by dissolving SRHA in Milli-Q water (stirring 12 h, r.t., in the dark) and adjusting the pH to 8.0 by addition of 0.2 M NaOH. The stock solution was stored at 4 °C in the dark until further use.

All suspensions were prepared with ultrapure Milli-Q water (18.2 MΩ cm⁻¹). Hydrochloric acid (HCl, 0.1 M) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 0.2 M) were used to adjust the pH of the experiment suspensions. All experiments at pH 8 were prepared with a background concentration of 1 mM sodium bicarbonate (NaHCO₃) to facilitate pH adjustment. Sodium chloride (NaCl) and calcium chloride (CaCl₂) were used to adjust the solution ionic strength.

Electrophoretic Mobility Measurements. A Malvern Zetasizer NanoZS was used to determine the electrophoretic mobility (EPM) of the SiO₂ and TiO₂ at different solution conditions. The EPM of SiO₂ was measured at a concentration of 100 mg/L and for the TiO₂ NPs at a concentration of 1 mg/L. In both cases, the NaCl/CaCl₂, SRHA concentration and pH were adjusted as required for each experiment.

Size Distribution Measurements and Homoaggregation Kinetics of TiO₂ NPs. The initial size distribution and homoaggregation kinetics of the TiO₂ NPs were measured by time-resolved DLS on the Malvern Zetasizer NanoZS under different solution conditions. The measurements were performed at a TiO₂ concentration of 10 mg/L, which is 1 order of magnitude higher than used in the heteroaggregation experiments but was required to reach a sufficiently strong signal on the DLS.

SiO₂ Homo- and Heteroaggregation Kinetics Determination. The initial size distribution of the SiO₂ suspensions, as well as the homoaggregation kinetics of the SiO₂ particles and the heteroaggregation kinetics of the SiO₂ particles in the presence of TiO₂ NPs were measured by laser diffractometry, using the Malvern Mastersizers S and 3000. The experimental setup is illustrated in Figure 1b. The experiments were carried out in a system of well-controlled hydrological conditions in a 1-L beaker connected to the measurement cell of the laser diffractometer. The beaker contained four equally spaced baffles and a calibrated agitator to achieve an average velocity gradient G of 100 s⁻¹ in the beaker.²¹ While the shear in the tubes connecting the beaker to the measurement cell could not be precisely adjusted, the experimental suspension was continuously pumped through the system at a constant speed of 100 mL/min and the tubes were kept as short as possible to ensure reproducible conditions between different experiments and minimize the effect of the shear in the tube on the overall aggregation kinetics of the system.

For each aggregation experiment, the SiO₂ and TiO₂ suspensions were freshly prepared. If not otherwise noted, the heteroaggregation experiments were performed at an SiO₂ concentration of 100 mg/L and a TiO₂ concentration of 0.8

mg/L. The SiO₂ suspensions were prepared by diluting the 0.5 g/L SiO₂ stock suspension with Milli-Q water to reach 400 mL of suspension at the desired concentration, applying ultra-sonication for 20 min to ensure full dispersion, adding the electrolyte and, if required, a given volume of the SRHA stock solution and adjusting the pH (the pH was then monitored during the experiment to ensure any observed aggregation is not a pH effect). The TiO₂ suspensions were prepared by diluting the 170 g/L TiO₂ stock suspension with Milli-Q water to reach 400 mL of suspension at the desired concentration and adjusting the pH. This approach of mixing equal volumes of NP and NC suspensions was chosen to favor rapid and homogeneous mixing of the system. For the SiO₂ homoaggregation experiments the 400 mL of TiO₂ suspension were replaced by 400 mL NaCl or CaCl₂ solutions. The SiO₂ suspension was mixed with the TiO₂ suspension or electrolyte solution in the experiment beaker at time t_0 and the change in size distribution was measured over time.

Determination of Attachment Efficiencies with the Smolu-Model. The aggregation data were analyzed with a numerical aggregation model based on the Smoluchowski equation.²² The Smolu-Model, which was developed previously²² and is briefly described in the Section S1 of the Supporting Information, was parametrized to match the experimental conditions (particle characteristics, hydrological conditions, temperature etc.) and was used to determine the attachment efficiency, α_{global} , which describes the aggregation process of primary to secondary heteroaggregates (Figure 1a), for each experiment. A list of the most important model parameters is given in Table S1 in the Supporting Information, along with an overview of the specific parameters for the different aggregation experiments in Supporting Information Table S2. Note that the Smolu-Model predicts aggregation of one type of particles (homoaggregation) and was parametrized for the SiO₂ particles to fit the SiO₂ homoaggregation experiments and for the TiO₂–SiO₂ primary heteroaggregates for the heteroaggregation experiments. Knowing the surface fraction of NCs covered by NPs, f_{NP} , and the attachment efficiencies for homoaggregation for both the NPs ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NP}}$) and the NCs ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NC}}$) under the given hydrochemical conditions it is possible to estimate the attachment efficiency of heteroaggregation between NPs and NCs (α_{hetero}) according to eq 1.

$$\alpha_{\text{hetero}} = \frac{\alpha_{\text{global}} - f_{\text{NP}}^2 \cdot \alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NP}} - (1 - f_{\text{NP}})^2 \cdot \alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NC}}}{2 \cdot (1 - f_{\text{NP}}) \cdot f_{\text{NP}}} \quad (1)$$

A derivation of eq 1 is given in section S2 of the Supporting Information. The use of eq 1 in combination with the Smolu-Model is based on the assumption that the NPs rapidly attach to the NCs' surface (due to high collision frequencies as a result of high particle concentrations) and distribute uniformly on the NC surface. Furthermore, we assume that the attachment efficiencies ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NP}}$, $\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{NC}}$ and α_{hetero}) remain unaffected by the aggregation state of the particles and therefore the attachment efficiencies derived from the aggregation behavior of the secondary heteroaggregates are assumed to correspond well to the attachment efficiencies of the free particles. This is based on the assumption that the surface properties (e.g., surface charge) do not change significantly upon aggregation.²³

Determination of Aggregate Fractal Dimension. The fractal dimension, D_f , of the aggregates is an important input parameter to the aggregation model as it describes the aggregate structure and strongly affects the collision mode




Figure 2. (A) Example of analysis of aggregation data with the Smolu-Model for homoaggregation of 100 mg/L SiO₂ and heteroaggregation of 100 mg/L SiO₂ and TiO₂ at pH 5. (B) Zoom into the initial aggregation stages.

and aggregate growth rate. For each experiment, D_f was determined from the laser diffraction data by plotting the raw data in terms of the scattered intensity as a function of the wave vector, as described elsewhere.²⁴

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Material Properties at Different Solution Conditions.

The SiO₂ particles form a stable suspension with a narrow size distribution around 0.5 μm at pH 5 and 8 (Figure S4A, Supporting Information). The SiO₂ particles are of neutral charge at pH 1.3 and display an increasingly negative electrophoretic mobility (EPM) at higher pH values (Supporting Information Figure S2).

The TiO₂ NPs form a suspension around 15 nm average diameter (Figure S4A, Supporting Information). They are positively charged at pH 5 and negatively charged at pH 8, with an isoelectric point around pH 6.5 (Supporting Information Figure S2). These characteristics are in good agreement with the data measured by Loosli and Stoll¹⁹ for the same material.

The effect of increasing electrolyte concentration on the surface charge of the SiO₂ particles and the TiO₂ NPs was studied at pH 8 by varying the NaCl concentration between 1 and 500 mM (Supporting Information Figure S3) and the CaCl₂ concentration between 0.1 and 50 mM (Supporting Information Figure S3). The EPM of the SiO₂ remains negative throughout most of the electrolyte range studied, decreasing from -5.8 to $-1.3 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ between 1 and 500 mM NaCl (and from -4.2 to $+0.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for CaCl₂ between 0.1 and 50 mM). The TiO₂ NPs display a less negative surface potential than the SiO₂ particles in all cases and reach close to neutral charge at 500 mM NaCl and 10 mM CaCl₂. The decrease of EPM with increasing electrolyte concentration for both SiO₂ and TiO₂ is in accordance with the expected electrical double layer compression because of the presence of electrolytes.

Homoaggregation Kinetics at pH 5 and 8. Before determining the heteroaggregation kinetics of the SiO₂–TiO₂ system it was required to determine the homoaggregation attachment efficiency, α_{homo} , for both SiO₂ and TiO₂ at the different solution conditions to later calculate α_{hetero} from the laser diffraction data with eq 1. The results of the homoaggregation experiments are presented in more detail in section S4 in the Supporting Information.

Heteroaggregation Kinetics at pH 5 (Attractive Charges). The first set of heteroaggregation experiments was

performed at pH 5 (1 mM NaCl). At pH 5, the SiO₂ particles are negatively charged (EPM of $-4.2 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$) and the TiO₂ NPs are positively charged (EPM of $+2.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). Accordingly, a strong attraction between the SiO₂ particles and the TiO₂ was expected. Under these conditions both the SiO₂ and TiO₂ particles do not homoaggregate ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{SiO}_2/\text{TiO}_2} < 0.001$), therefore any observed aggregation is expected to be only a result of heteroaggregation between SiO₂ and TiO₂.

The heteroaggregation experiments were performed in the experimental setup illustrated in Figure 1b at an SiO₂ concentration of 100 mg/L and a set of different TiO₂ NP concentrations (0.1, 0.5, 0.8, 1.0, 2.0 mg/L). Up to a concentration of 0.5 mg/L TiO₂ (which corresponds to a surface coverage, f_{NP} , of the SiO₂ by the TiO₂ NPs of 3%) no heteroaggregation of the SiO₂–TiO₂ system is observed (Figure 2), despite the expected α_{hetero} of 1. However, upon addition of 0.8 mg/L TiO₂ fast heteroaggregation of the SiO₂–TiO₂ system is induced (Figure 2). This TiO₂ concentration represents an f_{NP} of approximately 5%, which appears to be the critical dose of TiO₂ NPs covering the SiO₂ surface required to induce secondary aggregation of the SiO₂–TiO₂ primary heteroaggregates. It seems that the threshold f_{NP} for secondary heteroaggregation might be related to the overall surface charge of the heteroaggregates. While at 0.5 mg/L TiO₂ and 100 mg/L SiO₂ the effective EPM of the system is around $-2.0 \pm 0.5 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$, resulting in strong repulsion of the primary heteroaggregates, at 1 mg/L TiO₂ the overall charge of the particles is almost neutral (EPM of $-0.1 \pm 0.1 \times 10^{-8} \text{ m}^2 \text{ V}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$). This observation suggests a heteroaggregation mechanism, where the NPs attached to the surface of the SiO₂ particles progressively screen the surface charge of the silica until the primary heteroaggregates can come close enough to each other to undergo attractive electrostatic interactions via NP bridges. We assume that such bridges, where one NP connects two neighboring silica surfaces, represent the main type of bond enabling the secondary heteroaggregation process.

Interestingly, the overall heteroaggregation rate observed in the presence of TiO₂ NPs is higher than the maximum homoaggregation rate of SiO₂ achieved with the addition of salt. This suggests that the secondary TiO₂–SiO₂ heteroaggregates have a different, more stable, structure than the SiO₂ homoaggregates. This is due to the strong attractive electrostatic forces between the SiO₂ and TiO₂ particles due to their




Figure 3. Heteroaggregation of 100 mg/L SiO₂ with TiO₂ NPs under different solution conditions at pH 8: (A) NaCl and (B) NaCl + SRHA. SRHA = Suwannee River Humic Acid. Note: The monomers for 100 mM NaCl, 0.8 mg/L TiO₂ (green circles) start below 100% because of a few large bubbles in the system; the model parametrization was adjusted accordingly to correctly represent the aggregation kinetics.

respective charges under these conditions providing additional stability to the heteroaggregates.

To obtain the attachment efficiencies of the heteroaggregation at pH 5, the experimental data ($D_{v,50}$ vs time) were compared to the Smolu-Model output. An example of the assessment for the homo- and heteroaggregation experiments at pH 5 is provided in Figure 2. The model parameters were adjusted to represent the experimental conditions (Supporting Information Table S2), the model was run with different α values (representing the attachment efficiency between the TiO₂–SiO₂ primary heteroaggregates (α_{global})) until the best visual match was found with the experimental data. Here the focus was on the initial stages of the aggregation, until the aggregates reach approximately 5 μm in size (Figure 2B), because at later stages of the aggregation the aggregates become more polydisperse and their fractal dimension is expected to differ compared to the smaller aggregates. This cannot be reflected by the Smolu-Model, which assumes a constant D_f throughout one simulation. Therefore, at later stages of the aggregation, the match between the experimental data and the model is less satisfactory.

The aggregate structure strongly affects the collision frequency because the porosity of an aggregate determines the effect of fluid drag forces on the particle trajectories.^{22,25} The Smolu-Model accounts for this effect by allowing the selection of different collision modes, namely a rectilinear, a curvilinear or an intermediate collision mode,²² which can have a strong effect on the predicted aggregation curves. For the homoaggregation experiments an intermediate approximation (between rectilinear and curvilinear collision) was assumed.^{22,25} To account for the additional electrostatic attraction between the colliding particles at pH 5 a rectilinear collision mode was chosen to represent the collision of the heteroaggregates in the Smolu-Model.

The overall attachment efficiency of the binary system, α_{global} at 0.8 mg/L TiO₂ was estimated with the Smolu-Model to be 0.09 (the faster heteroaggregation rate of the system compared to the homoaggregation rate of SiO₂ with $\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{SiO}_2} = 0.3$ is explained by the different collision mode despite the lower overall α). From the attachment efficiencies for the homoaggregation of both particle types at the same conditions ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{SiO}_2}$ and $\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{TiO}_2}$) and the surface fraction of SiO₂ covered by TiO₂ NPs, f_{NP} , the heteroaggregation attachment efficiency α_{hetero} was calculated with eq 1. For the heteroaggregation

experiment at a TiO₂ concentration of 0.8 mg/L (Figure 2) an attachment efficiency for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} , of 0.9 was obtained. When the same experiment was repeated at a higher TiO₂ concentration of 2 mg/L (corresponding to an f_{NP} of 13%) a faster heteroaggregation rate was observed (Figure 2). The α_{global} obtained from this data with the Smolu-Model is equal to 0.21. Following eq 1 we also obtain an α_{hetero} of 0.9, which shows that the method is independent of the respective NP and NC concentrations. This α_{hetero} value represents very favorable heteroaggregation conditions as expected from the favorable interaction between the oppositely charged SiO₂ and TiO₂ particles at pH 5, which validates our initial assumptions.

Heteroaggregation Kinetics at pH 8 (Repulsive Charges). After validating the new method for determining attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation at pH 5 and low electrolyte conditions, a more extensive set of heteroaggregation experiments was performed at pH 8 and over a wider range of hydrochemical conditions (varying NaCl, CaCl₂, and SRHA concentrations), which are more environmentally relevant. All experiments were performed at an SiO₂ concentration of 100 mg/L and a TiO₂ concentration of 0.8 mg/L. Selected heteroaggregation experiments at pH 8 are shown in Figure 3 for NaCl and Figure S8 in the Supporting Information for CaCl₂. The heteroaggregates reached much larger final sizes (up to 100 μm) whereas the homoaggregates generally did not reach sizes larger than 20 μm . Therefore, to facilitate the visual comparison of the heteroaggregation experiments with the SiO₂ homoaggregation experiments, aggregation measurements at pH 8 are displayed in Figure 3 as the volume % of monomers (NCs or primary heteroaggregates represented by sizes below 1.2 μm) versus time.

At pH 8 the SiO₂ particles and the TiO₂ NPs are both negatively charged and as expected at low electrolyte conditions (10 mM NaCl) no heteroaggregation is observed (Figure 3A) because of electrostatic repulsion. Upon addition of 50 mM NaCl or 0.1 mM CaCl₂ a slow heteroaggregation is observed; the maximum heteroaggregation rate is achieved with 100 mM NaCl or 1 mM CaCl₂ (Figure 3, Supporting Information Figure S8). Although the SiO₂ and TiO₂ are not of opposite charge at pH 8, the maximum aggregation rate observed for the heteroaggregation is again higher than the maximum homoaggregation rate at pH 8. Analysis of the fractal dimension of the aggregates revealed that the secondary SiO₂–TiO₂ heteroaggregates formed are less dense (D_f between 2.0 and

2.1) than the SiO₂ homoaggregates (D_f between 2.2 and 2.4), which indicates different aggregation mechanisms for both cases. This can be explained by the TiO₂ NPs forming bridges between the SiO₂ NCs, leading to the formation of looser aggregate structures which in turn results in a more rectilinear collision mechanism between such heteroaggregates. The SiO₂ homoaggregates, on the other hand, form denser structures; therefore their aggregation mode is better represented by a curvilinear or intermediate collision mode.

Next, the heteroaggregation data were analyzed with the Smolu-Model and eq 1 was used to estimate α_{hetero} (Figure 3, Supporting Information Figure S8, and Table 1). To represent

Table 1. Overview of α_{hetero} Values at Different Solution Conditions^a

pH	[NaCl] (mM)	[CaCl ₂] (mM)	[SRHA] (mg/L)	α_{hetero} (model estimate)	α_{hetero} (classes ^b)
5	1			0.9	high
8	1			<0.001	low
8	10			<0.001	low
8	25			<0.001	low
8	50			0.3	intermediate
8	100			0.8	high
8		0.05		<0.001	low
8		0.1		0.3	intermediate
8		1		1.1	high
8	100		0.1	0.5	high
8	100		1.0	0.02	low
8	100		10	<0.001	low
8		5	0.1	1.1	high
8		5	1.0	0.3	intermediate
8		5	10	0.2	intermediate

^aBoth the explicit α_{hetero} values estimated with the Smolu-Model and the assigned classes (high, intermediate and low) into which the α_{hetero} values fall are displayed. ^bHigh: $\alpha_{\text{hetero}} \geq 0.5$. Intermediate: $0.1 \leq \alpha_{\text{hetero}} < 0.5$. Low: $\alpha_{\text{hetero}} < 0.1$.

the different aggregation mechanisms for homo- versus heteroaggregation, the Smolu-Model was parametrized with a rectilinear collision mode for the SiO₂–TiO₂ heteroaggregation and with an intermediate collision mode for the SiO₂ homoaggregation.

At pH 8 the SiO₂ particles are stable under all studied conditions, so the increase in size measured by laser diffraction in the heteroaggregation experiments is not affected by homoaggregation of the SiO₂ ($\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{SiO}_2} < 0.001$ in all cases). The TiO₂ NPs, on the other hand, exhibit $\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{TiO}_2} > 0.1$ under some electrolyte conditions. Concurrent homoaggregation of the TiO₂ NPs could in principle affect the heteroaggregation mechanism and induce errors in eq 1 by affecting f_{NP} and the assumption of uniform TiO₂ distribution on the SiO₂ surface. However, because the TiO₂ concentration is much lower than the SiO₂ concentration the collision frequency of the TiO₂ particles is much lower than their collision frequency with the SiO₂ particles, so the actual homoaggregation rate of TiO₂ is very slow, even at $\alpha_{\text{homo}}^{\text{TiO}_2}$ values exceeding 0.1. Therefore, the method presented here can be used to determine α_{hetero} values even under conditions where homoaggregation of the NPs cannot be excluded.

The α_{hetero} values displayed in Table 1 show that the heteroaggregation behavior of SiO₂ and TiO₂ NPs at pH 8 follows the trends predicted by DLVO theory. At low

electrolyte conditions no heteroaggregation is observed ($\alpha_{\text{hetero}} < 0.001$), which can be explained by the repulsive charges of the particles stabilizing the system. α_{hetero} increases with increasing electrolyte concentration and the divalent electrolyte (CaCl₂) destabilizes the system at lower concentrations than the monovalent electrolyte (NaCl) as predicted by the Schulze–Hardy rule.^{26,27}

Influence of SRHA on Heteroaggregation Kinetics. To reflect more realistic environmental conditions the heteroaggregation experiments were repeated in the presence of Suwanee River Humic Acid (SRHA) at 0.1, 1.0, and 10 mg/L at pH 8 (Figure 3, Supporting Information Figure S8, Table 1). The addition of SRHA results in a more negative charge on the SiO₂ surface (Figure S9 in the Supporting Information), with the lowest EPM reached with 1.0 mg/L SRHA. Increase to 10 mg/L SRHA only leads to a negligible further decrease of the EPM, suggesting that at 1 mg/L SRHA the maximum adsorbed humic acid mass is reached. This is reflected by the heteroaggregation behavior of the SiO₂–TiO₂ system in the presence of SRHA. Both at 100 mM NaCl and at 5 mM CaCl₂ the heteroaggregation rate is only slightly reduced in the presence of 0.1 mg/L SRHA ($\alpha_{\text{hetero}} = 0.5$ and 1.1 for 100 mM NaCl and 5 mM CaCl₂, respectively), whereas 1.0 mg/L SRHA strongly stabilizes the system ($\alpha_{\text{hetero}} = 0.02$ and 0.3) and an increase to 10 mg/L SRHA causes little additional stabilization ($\alpha_{\text{hetero}} < 0.001$ and $\alpha_{\text{hetero}} = 0.2$). The experiments show that SRHA has a similarly stabilizing effect toward heteroaggregation of NPs,^{28–36} probably through a combination of electrostatic and steric stabilization mechanisms.

LIMITATIONS AND OUTLOOK

We use a combination of time-resolved laser diffraction measurements and a Smoluchowski-based aggregation model to determine concentration-independent attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation, α_{hetero} , between nanoparticles (NPs) and natural colloids (NCs). Our new method is flexible and can be applied to a wide range of different NPs and NCs and is not limited to specific solution chemistries.

The current version of the Smolu-Model is only able to model a simplified representation of the actual heteroaggregation processes as it only explicitly models the secondary heteroaggregation process (aggregation of the primary heteroaggregates). The uncertainty resulting from backcalculating α_{hetero} from α_{global} using eq 1 cannot be assessed quantitatively. Therefore, the α_{hetero} values displayed in Table 1 represent first estimates of the attachment efficiencies for heteroaggregation under the given conditions but cannot yet be taken as definite α_{hetero} values. For this reason, we prefer to group the derived α_{hetero} values into three major classes of “high”, “intermediate”, and “low” attachment (Table 1). Because of the inherent complexity of the natural environmental and the scarcity of data on ENP emissions and behavior, environmental fate models for ENP are not highly sensitive to small changes in α_{hetero} and therefore the distinction between three different classes for α_{hetero} will be sufficient in most cases.

In the future, the Smolu-Model will be further developed, using experiments characterizing the specific interactions between NCs, NPs and NOM within the aquatic medium. This will make it possible to specifically model the primary heteroaggregation step, similarly to the model presented by Therezien et al.,³⁷ and thereby refine our method and enable the derivation of more accurate α_{hetero} values. Additionally, the

method presented here will be extended to be applicable to more realistic systems. Preliminary results of a heteroaggregation study with TiO₂ NPs and natural suspended matter sampled from the Rhône river (France) are presented in section S5 of the Supporting Information. Two strategies may be employed to derive α_{hetero} for such complex systems. One option would be to further develop the Smolu-Model to represent different types of NC with varying size distributions. This is in theory feasible but will require large computational efforts. Alternatively, after identifying and characterizing the different NCs in the natural samples, individual heteroaggregation experiments with the dominant NC types could be performed to derive α_{hetero} for the different NCs.

The new method presented here is a step toward the systematic determination of accurate α_{hetero} values for the heteroaggregation between NPs and NCs, which are crucially needed as input parameters into environmental fate models for ENPs. This study therefore represents an important contribution to improving ENP fate and, ultimately, risk assessment.

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

● Supporting Information

More details on the Smolu-Model, including a short description of the model, the derivation of eq 1, and the model input parameters, together with additional data on aggregation kinetics and particle characterization. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org/>.

■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: antonia.praetorius@chem.ethz.ch.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by the Swiss National Science Foundation (NRP 64) and the French National Research Agency (P2N MESONNET and NANO HETER under the frame of SINN, the ERA-NET for a Safe Implementation of Innovative Nanoscience and Nanotechnology). We thank Stéphanie Gairard for the Rhône water samples and data on their characterization, Cristian Conteduca for support with the aggregation modelling, and Mark Wiesner and Mathieu Therezien for fruitful discussions.

■ REFERENCES

- (1) Praetorius, A.; Arvidsson, R.; Molander, S.; Scheringer, M. Facing Complexity through Informed Simplifications: A Research Agenda for Aquatic Exposure Assessment of Nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **2013**, *15*, 161–168.
- (2) Alvarez, P. J. J.; Colvin, V.; Lead, J.; Stone, V. Research Priorities to Advance Eco-Responsible Nanotechnology. *ACS Nano* **2009**, *3*, 1616–1619.
- (3) Auffan, M.; Pedoutour, M.; Rose, J.; Masion, A.; Ziarelli, F.; Borschneck, D.; Chaneac, C.; Botta, C.; Chaurand, P.; Labille, J.; Bottero, J.-Y. Structural Degradation at the Surface of a TiO₂-Based Nanomaterial Used in Cosmetics. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 2689–2694.
- (4) Labille, J.; Feng, J.; Botta, C.; Borschneck, D.; Sammut, M.; Cabie, M.; Auffan, M.; Rose, J.; Bottero, J.-Y. Aging of TiO₂ Nanocomposites Used in Sunscreen. Dispersion and Fate of the Degradation Products in Aqueous Environment. *Environ. Pollut.* **2010**, *158*, 3482–3489.

- (5) Lowry, G. V.; Gregory, K. B.; Apte, S. C.; Lead, J. R. Transformations of Nanomaterials in the Environment. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 6893–6899.

- (6) Solovitch, N.; Labille, J.; Rose, J.; Chaurand, P.; Borschneck, D.; Wiesner, M. R.; Bottero, J.-Y. Concurrent Aggregation and Deposition of TiO₂ Nanoparticles in a Sandy Porous Media. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 4897–4902.

- (7) Clemente, Z.; Castro, V. L.; Feitosa, L. O.; Lima, R.; Jonsson, C. M.; Maia, A. H. N.; Fraceto, L. F. Fish Exposure to Nano-TiO₂ under Different Experimental Conditions: Methodological Aspects for Nanoecotoxicology Investigations. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, *463–464*, 647–656.

- (8) Petosa, A. R.; Jaisi, D. P.; Quevedo, I. R.; Elimelech, M.; Tufenkji, N. Aggregation and Deposition of Engineered Nanomaterials in Aquatic Environments: Role of Physicochemical Interactions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 6532–6549.

- (9) Gallego-Urrea, J. A.; Perez Holmberg, J.; Hassellöv, M. Influence of Different Types of Natural Organic Matter on Titania Nanoparticle Stability: Effects of Counter Ion Concentration and pH. *Environ. Sci.: Nano* **2014**, *1*, 181–189.

- (10) Liu, J.; Legros, S.; von der Kammer, F.; Hofmann, T. Natural Organic Matter Concentration and Hydrochemistry Influence Aggregation Kinetics of Functionalized Engineered Nanoparticles. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 4113–4120.

- (11) Liu, X.; Chen, G.; Keller, A. A.; Su, C. Effects of Dominant Material Properties on the Stability and Transport of TiO₂ Nanoparticles and Carbon Nanotubes in Aquatic Environments: From Synthesis to Fate. *Environ. Sci.: Processes Impacts* **2013**, *15*, 169–189.

- (12) Praetorius, A.; Scheringer, M.; Hungerbühler, K. Development of Environmental Fate Models for Engineered Nanoparticles—A Case Study of TiO₂ Nanoparticles in the Rhine River. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 6705–6713.

- (13) Gottschalk, F.; Sonderer, T.; Scholz, R. W.; Nowack, B. Modeled Environmental Concentrations of Engineered Nanomaterials (TiO₂, ZnO, Ag, CNT, Fullerenes) for Different Regions. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2009**, *43*, 9216–9222.

- (14) Gottschalk, F.; Ort, C.; Scholz, R. W.; Nowack, B. Engineered Nanomaterials in Rivers—Exposure Scenarios for Switzerland at High Spatial and Temporal Resolution. *Environ. Pollut.* **2011**, *159*, 3439–3445.

- (15) Keller, A.; McFerran, S.; Lazareva, A.; Suh, S. Global Life Cycle Releases of Engineered Nanomaterials. *J. Nanopart. Res.* **2013**, *15*, 1–17.

- (16) Zhou, D.; Abdel-Fattah, A. I.; Keller, A. A. Clay Particles Destabilize Engineered Nanoparticles in Aqueous Environments. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 7520–7526.

- (17) Huynh, K. A.; McCaffery, J. M.; Chen, K. L. Heteroaggregation of Multivalled Carbon Nanotubes and Hematite Nanoparticles: Rates and Mechanisms. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 5912–5920.

- (18) Afrooz, A. R. M. N.; Khan, I. A.; Hussain, S. M.; Saleh, N. B. Mechanistic Heteroaggregation of Gold Nanoparticles in a Wide Range of Solution Chemistry. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 1853–1860.

- (19) Loosli, F.; Stoll, S. Adsorption of TiO₂ Nanoparticles at the Surface of Micron-Sized Latex Particles. pH and Concentration Effects on Suspension Stability. *J. Colloid Sci. Biotechnol.* **2012**, *1*, 1–9.

- (20) Hogg, R.; Healy, T. W.; Fuerstenau, D. W. Mutual Coagulation of Colloidal Dispersions. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1966**, *62*, 1638–1651.

- (21) Bizi, M.; Baudet, G. Contribution of Static Light Scattering to the Textural Characterization of Large Aggregates. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2006**, *300*, 200–209.

- (22) Thill, A.; Moustier, S.; Aziz, J.; Wiesner, M. R.; Bottero, J. Y. Flocs Restructuring during Aggregation: Experimental Evidence and Numerical Simulation. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2001**, *243*, 171–182.

- (23) Lin, S.; Wiesner, M. R. Deposition of Aggregated Nanoparticles—A Theoretical and Experimental Study on the Effect of Aggregation State on the Affinity between Nanoparticles and a Collector Surface. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2012**, *46*, 13270–13277.

(24) Thill, A.; Lambert, S.; Moustier, S.; Ginestet, P.; Audic, J. M.; Bottero, J. Y. Structural Interpretations of Static Light Scattering Patterns of Fractal Aggregates: II. Experimental Study. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **2000**, *228*, 386–392.

(25) Veerapaneni, S.; Wiesner, M. R. Hydrodynamics of Fractal Aggregates with Radially Varying Permeability. *J. Colloid Interface Sci.* **1996**, *177*, 45–57.

(26) Hardy, W. B. A Preliminary Investigation of the Conditions which Determine the Stability of Irreversible Hydrosols. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1899**, *4*, 235–253.

(27) Overbeek, J. T. G. The Rule of Schulze and Hardy. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **1980**, *52*, 1151–1161.

(28) von der Kammer, F.; Ottofuelling, S.; Hofmann, T. Assessment of the Physico-chemical Behavior of Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles in Aquatic Environments Using Multi-Dimensional Parameter Testing. *Environ. Pollut.* **2010**, *158*, 3472–3481.

(29) Ottofuelling, S.; von der Kammer, F.; Hofmann, T. Commercial Titanium Dioxide Nanoparticles in Both Natural and Synthetic Water: Comprehensive Multidimensional Testing and Prediction of Aggregation Behavior. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2011**, *45*, 10045–10052.

(30) Louie, S. M.; Tilton, R. D.; Lowry, G. V. Effects of Molecular Weight Distribution and Chemical Properties of Natural Organic Matter on Gold Nanoparticle Aggregation. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *47*, 4245–4254.

(31) Keller, A. A.; Wang, H.; Zhou, D.; Lenihan, H. S.; Cherr, G.; Cardinale, B. J.; Miller, R.; Ji, Z. Stability and Aggregation of Metal Oxide Nanoparticles in Natural Aqueous Matrices. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 1962–1967.

(32) Dong, H.; Lo, I. M. C. Influence of Humic Acid on the Colloidal Stability of Surface-Modified Nano Zero-Valent Iron. *Water Res.* **2013**, *47*, 419–427.

(33) Hyung, H.; Fortner, J. D.; Hughes, J. B.; Kim, J.-H. Natural Organic Matter Stabilizes Carbon Nanotubes in the Aqueous Phase. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2007**, *41*, 179–184.

(34) Zhang, Y.; Chen, Y. S.; Westerhoff, P.; Crittenden, J. Impact of Natural Organic Matter and Divalent Cations on the Stability of Aqueous Nanoparticles. *Water Res.* **2009**, *43*, 4249–4257.

(35) Saleh, N. B.; Pfefferle, L. D.; Elimelech, M. Influence of Biomacromolecules and Humic Acid on the Aggregation Kinetics of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2010**, *44*, 2412–2418.

(36) Quik, J. T. K.; Lynch, I.; Hoecke, K. V.; Miermans, C. J. H.; Schampelaere, K. A. C. D.; Janssen, C. R.; Dawson, K. A.; Stuart, M. A. C.; Meent, D. V. D. Effect of Natural Organic Matter on Cerium Dioxide Nanoparticles Settling in Model Fresh Water. *Chemosphere* **2010**, *81*, 711–715.

(37) Therezien, M.; Thill, A.; Wiesner, M. R. Importance of heterogeneous aggregation for NP fate in natural and engineered systems. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2014**, *485–486*, 309–318.