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#### Abstract

We endow the space Cr of nondecreasing functions on the unit interval $[0,1]$ with the uniform metric and consider its subspace Ccr of continuous nondecreasing functions. Then, we mainly prove: 1) In the sense of Baire categories, for most $f \in \mathrm{Ccr}$, and also for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$, we have: (a) the Stieljes measure $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ of $f$ is carried by a set of Hausdorff dimension zero, (b) More precisely, $f$ has zero left and right Diny lower derivatives everywhere outside a set of Hausdorff dimension zero, (c) For any $0 \leq \alpha \leq \infty$, the set of all $t \in[0,1]$ at which $\alpha$ is the left and right Diny upper derivative of $f$, is of Hausdorff dimension 1. 2) For most $f \in$ Ccr, we have: (a) the set of all $t$ at which $f$ has an infinite Diny derivative contains a Cantor set in any nonempty open subset of $[0,1]$, (b) the same is true for the set of $t$ at which $f$ has positive and finite Diny lower derivative. 3) If $A$ is any countable subset of $[0,1]$, then for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ (a) $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is atomic, and $f$ is (left and right) discontinuous at each $t \in A$, (b) $f$ has a zero lower derivative at each of its continuity point.

Some other properties are proved which often mean that the fact that the measure mes $_{f}$ is carried by a set of null Hausdorff dimension does not imply similar properties for the derivative of $f$.


We give direct and elementary proofs of all these properties, except (1c)(when $0<\alpha<\infty$ ) which is the more tricky one, and except (2b) and (3b) for the proof of which we need a geometric approach, using closely results and methods of [9].

Finally, we also explain how the property $1_{c}$ can be adapted to the case of typical functions with bounded variations.

Keywords: Typical monotone function, Diny derivatives, upper Hausdorff dimension of a measure, convex function, curvature, cut locus.

Mathematical Subject Classifications (2010): 26A27, 26A48, 28A78; 26A30, 26A51.

Thanks are due to Zoltán Buczolich who gave me indications for the main result as mentioned in Remark 5 p. 6.

## 1 Introduction

The aim of this section is to introduce the definitions and to present our main results.

We denote by $[a, b],] a, b[,[a, b[$, and $] a, b]$ the real intervals, respectively closed, open, left-closed-right-open and left-open-right-closed.

DEFINITION 1 (Hausdorff measures) By a dimension function, we mean a map $h:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous, nondecreasing, with $h(0)=0$ and $t>0 \Rightarrow h(t)>0$. Let $A$ be a subset of a metric space $(E, \delta), \mu$ a measure on $E$ and $h$ a dimension function.
$\operatorname{diam} A$ denotes the diameter $\sup \{\delta(a, b) \mid a \in A$ and $b \in B\}$ of the set $A$.
$\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{h}(A)=\inf \left\{\sum h\left(\operatorname{diam} A_{n}\right) \mid\left(A_{n}\right)\right.$ is a countable covering of $A$ by sets satisfying $\left.\operatorname{diam} A_{n} \leq \varepsilon\right\}$, for $\varepsilon>0$.
$\mathcal{H}^{h}(A)=\sup _{\varepsilon>0} \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{h}(A)$ is the Hausdorff measure of $A$ with respect to the dimension function $h$. When $h(t)=t^{s}$, we also write

$$
\mathcal{H}^{s} \text { and } \mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{s}
$$

instead of $\mathcal{H}^{h}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\varepsilon}^{h}$. Here $\mathcal{H}^{s}$ is the $s$-dimensional measure.

The Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}(A)$ of $A$ is defined by the fact that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=0$ if $s>\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}(A)$ and that $\mathcal{H}^{s}(A)=\infty$ if $0<s<\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}(A)$.

A carries $\mu$ if $\mu(E \backslash A)=0$. For example the support $\operatorname{Supp} \mu$ of $\mu$ is the smallest closed subset of $E$ carrying $\mu$. Only atomic measures are carried by a minimal set.

The upper Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{s}} \mu$ of $\mu$ is the infimum of the Hausdorff dimensions of the subsets of E carrying $\mu$ (see [3] or [2], chapter 10).

Using dimension functions, we can precise the information given by the Hausdorff dimension of a set, as in Propositions 1 p. 8, ?? p. ?? and 2 p. 14 below.

Let $X$ be a topological space and $A \subset X$.
The subset $A$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$ of $X$ if it is the intersection of a countable family of open subsets of $X$. The subset $A$ is a $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ of $X$ if it is the union of a countable family of closed subsets of $X$. The subset $A$ is meager if it is included in the union of a countable family of closed subsets of $X$ of empty interiors.

A property $P$ is said to be "generic" in $X$ (in the sense of Baire categories), or shared by "most elements" of $X$, or by "typical" elements of $X$, if the exceptional set of all the $x \in X$ not satisfying $P$ is meager. We will consider more specifically the generic properties of two topological spaces.

## DEFINITION 2 (spaces of nondecreasing functions)

Cr denotes the space of all nondecreasing functions endowed with the uniform metric

$$
\|g-f\|=\|g-f\|_{[0,1]} \text { where }\|g-f\|_{I}=\sup _{t \in I}|g(t)-f(t)| \text {. }
$$

Cr is complete, but not separable. We observe that $\{f \in \operatorname{Cr} \mid f([0,1]$ is finite $\}$ is everywhere dense in Cr .

Ccr denotes the separable subspace of Cr , of all $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ which are continuous on $[0,1]$.

Remark. We could consider functions defined on any interval $I$ of $\mathbb{R}$, instead of $[0,1]$, and then use the topology of the uniform convergence on every compact subset of $I$. All our results in this paper can be adapted with almost the same proof to such a case.

We will consider the usual (sometimes left, right, or lower, or upper) Diny derivatives of a function $f$ at a real number $t$ :
$f^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{s}^{\prime}(t)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{i}^{\prime}(t)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{r}^{\prime}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{l}(t)=\lim _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{r, s}^{\prime}(t)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow 0+} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$
$f_{l, s}^{\prime}(t)=\limsup _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s} \quad f_{l, i}^{\prime}(t)=\liminf _{s \rightarrow 0-} \frac{f(t+s)-f(t)}{s}$.
$f(t+)$ and $f(t-)$ will denote the right and left limit of $f$ at $t$ and we also set $f(0-)=f(0)$ and $f(1+)=f(1)$ when $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$.

We will use brackets to denote some sets of points associated to $f$ : for example

$$
\left[f_{r, s}^{\prime}=2\right]
$$

denotes the set of points where the right upper Diny derivative of the function $f$ equals 2 .

DEFINITION 3 For $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ we define:

- $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ the Stieljes measure of $f$, thus $0 \leq t<1 \Rightarrow f(t+)=\operatorname{mes}_{f}[0, t]$.
- $\operatorname{disc}_{t}(f)=\operatorname{mes}_{f}(\{t\})(=f(t+)-f(t-)$ when $0<t<1)$,
- $\operatorname{Disc} f=\left\{t \in[0,1] \mid \operatorname{disc}_{t}(f)>0\right\}$.

Thus mes $f$ is atomic if it is carried by Disc $f$.
We now recall some previous results.
Young 1911 [11]. Every $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ is differentiable at almost every $t \in[0,1]$ (Lebesgues 1904 for the case where $f$ is continuous). Other similar properties are reviewed by D.L. Renfro in [7].

Zamfirescu 1981 [12] For most $f \in \operatorname{Ccr}$ (and also for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ ) and for almost every $t \in[0,1], f^{\prime}(t)=0$ and we have:

- $\forall t \in] 0,1], f_{l, i}^{\prime}(t)=0$ or $f_{l, s}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty$
- $\forall t \in\left[0,1\left[, f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t)=0\right.\right.$ or $f_{r, s}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty$

For the second point, one observes that $\{f \in \operatorname{Ccr}|\exists 0 \leq t \leq 1-1 / n|$ $\forall s \in[t, t+1 / n],(s-t) / n \leq f(s)-f(t) \leq n(s-t)\}$ is a nowhere dense closed subset of Ccr. The first assertion follows then by Young's result above.

Buczolich and Nagi 1999 [1] For most $f \in \operatorname{Ccr}$ and for all $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1$, $\operatorname{dim}_{H} E_{f, \alpha}=1$ where $E_{f, \alpha}$ is the set of the $t \in[0,1]$ where $\alpha$ is the Höder exponent of $f$, that is the larger real number such that the function $s \mapsto|f(s)-f(t)| /|s-t|^{\alpha}$ is bounded. Moreover $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is carried by $E_{f, 0}$ and thus $\operatorname{dim}_{H}^{s} \operatorname{mes}_{f}=0$.
the support Supp $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ of the measure $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is the smallest closed set carrying $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$, but it is well known that, when $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is not atomic, there is no canonical $A \subset[0,1]$ carrying $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ and of Hausdorff dimension $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{s}} \operatorname{mes}_{f}$. However one could expect some link between $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{s}} \operatorname{mes}_{f}$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[f_{s}^{\prime}>0\right]$, for instance. This is (typically!) not the case, because we may have $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{s}} \operatorname{mes}_{f}=$ 0 , and $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left[f_{s}^{\prime}>0\right]=1$ by our following result.

THEOREM 1 1. For most $f \in \mathrm{Ccr}$, and also for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$, we have:
(a) $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}^{\mathrm{s}} \operatorname{mes}_{f}=0$,
(b) $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}>0\right] \cup\left[f_{r, i}^{\prime}>0\right]=0$,
(c) $\forall 0 \leq \alpha \leq \infty, 1=\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left[f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\alpha\right]$.
2. For most $f \in \mathrm{Ccr}$, we have:
(a) $\left[f^{\prime}=\infty\right]$ contains a Cantor set in any nonempty open subset of $[0,1]$,
(b) $\left[0<f_{i}^{\prime}<\infty\right]$ contains a Cantor set in any nonempty open subset of $[0,1]$.
3. If $A$ is a countable subset of $[0,1]$, then for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$
(a) $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is carried by $\operatorname{Disc} f \supset A$, and $f(t-)<f(t)<f(t+)$ for all $t \in \operatorname{Disc} f$,
(b) Disc $f=\left[f^{\prime}=\infty\right]$ and $[0,1] \backslash \operatorname{Disc} f=\left[f_{i}^{\prime}=0\right]$.

## Remarks.

1. 1a was already proved for Ccr in [1], as mentioned above (our proof is shorter and will give a slightly more precise statement concerning the size of set $\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}>0\right] \cup\left[f_{r, i}^{\prime}>0\right]$ carrying $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$, see Prop. 1 p. 8). 1b and 1c implies that $1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\alpha\right.$ and $\left.0=f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}\right]$ and hence the Hausdorff dimension of the set of all points where $f$ is not differentiable is one (see also the remark 2 p .10 ) and the remark 3 p .11 after Proposition 1 about the size of $\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0\right] \cap\left[f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\infty\right]$.
2. (1b) implies ( $1 a$ ). Concerning most $f \in \mathrm{Cr},(3 a)$ implies ( $1 a$ ) and (3b) implies (1b).
3. Let $\lambda \in[0,1]$ and $\mathrm{Cr}_{\lambda}=\{f \in \mathrm{Cr} \mid \forall t \in \operatorname{Disc} f, f(t)=(1-\lambda) f(t-)+$ $\lambda f(t+)\}$. Thus $\mathrm{Cr}_{\lambda}$ is a closed subspace of Cr and $\mathrm{Cr}_{1}$ is the set of right continuous $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$.
Then Theorem 1 remains true if we delete " $f(t-)<f(t)<f(t+)$ for all $t \in \operatorname{Disc} f "$ in 3 a, if we add in 3 that $\lambda=0 \Rightarrow 1 \notin A$ and that $\lambda=1 \Rightarrow 0 \notin A$, and if we substitute everywhere Cr for $\mathrm{Cr}_{\lambda}$; with the same proof (in which we make the same substitution).
4. Note the paradox in the easy result 3a: For every $f \in C r$, Disc $f$ is countable; but for each $t \in[0,1]$, for most $f \in C r$ we have $t \in \operatorname{Disc} f$.
5. We consider 1c, when $0<\alpha<\infty$, as our main result.

The case $\alpha=0$ is clear: the set has even full Lebesgue measure.
The case $\alpha=\infty$ is also simpler and more precise: for all $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null compact set $A \subset[0,1]$ and for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$, we have $A \subset\left[f_{s}^{\prime}=\infty\right]$. For the case $f \in$ Ccr and the symmetric derivative, it is also a consequence of the mentioned result of [1].
1c obviously implies that $1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[0<f_{s}^{\prime}<\infty\right]$. This was our main result in a previous version of this work. The given proof used closely [9], which involves geometric tools, and were more intricated that the proof that we will give of 1c (see the beginning
of section 3 for more details).
This proof of 1c has been suggested to us by Zoltán Buczolich who advised us to adapt some of the proofs of [1] to get the result (it could also apply to the case $\alpha=\infty$ ).
6. Lemma 1 below gives a way, for the explicit construction of strictly increasing $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ such that $\mathrm{mes}_{f}$ is atomic and such that

$$
f_{i}^{\prime}=0 \text { on }[0,1] \text { and } f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0 \text { on }[0,1[
$$

(but we must have $f_{r, s}^{\prime}=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=+\infty$ on a dense $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$ of $[0,1]$ for such an $f)$. Lemma 2 describes the construction of $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ with $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ atomic and with large a set of points at which $f^{\prime}=1$. Lemmata 1 and 2 will not be used for the study of typical functions.

LEMMA 1 Let $\left(a_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of pairwise distincts elements of $] 0,1[$ and $A=\left\{a_{n} \mid n \geq 1\right\}$. Let $\left(p_{n}\right)_{n \geq 1}$ be some non increasing sequence of positive numbers satisfying (1): $\lim \sup p_{n+1} / p_{n}<1$ and (2): $p_{n} / \min \left\{\mid a_{n}-\right.$ $\left.a_{k}| | 0 \leq k<n\right\} \rightarrow 0$. Let $f \in \operatorname{Cr}$ such that $A=\operatorname{Disc} f$ carries mes $_{f}$ and such that $\operatorname{mes}_{f}\left(\left\{a_{n}\right\}\right)=p_{n}$. Thus for $t \in[0,1]$ we must have

$$
f(t+)-f(0)=\sum_{n \geq 1, a_{n} \leq t} p_{n} .
$$

Then we also have for all $t \in[0,1]:(a): f(t)=f(t+) \Rightarrow f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t)=0$ and $(b): f(t)=f(t-) \Rightarrow f_{l, i}^{\prime}(t)=0$.

Remark. The function $f$ of Lemma 1 is strictly increasing when the sequence $\left(a_{n}\right)$ is dense in $[0,1]$ and we can ask it to be right continuous.

Proof of Lemma 1. Let be $t \in[0,1[$ such that $f(t)=f(t+)$. We define inductively a decreasing sequence $\left(b_{n}\right)$ in $\left.] t, 1\right]$ converging to $t$, by the conditions $b_{0}=1$ and, for $n \geq 1, b_{n}$ is the first of the real numbers $a_{k}$ which belongs to $] t, b_{n-1}\left[\right.$. We also define $q_{n}=\operatorname{mes}_{f}\left(\left\{b_{n}\right\}\right)$. From (2) we have $q_{n} /\left(b_{n}-b_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow$ 0 , hence from (1) we also have $\left(f\left(b_{n}\right)-f\left(b_{n-1}\right)\right) /\left(b_{n}-b_{n-1}\right) \rightarrow 0$, hence $\left(f\left(b_{n}\right)-f(t)\right) /\left(b_{n}-t\right) \rightarrow 0$ and thus $f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t)=0$. This proves (a), the proof of $(b)$ is quite similar.
LEMMA 2 Let $K$ be a $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null compact subset of $] 0,1[$, then there is $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ such that $\operatorname{Disc}_{f}=A$ carries $\operatorname{mes}_{f}, A \cap K=\emptyset, f^{\prime}=1$ on $K$ and $\operatorname{Supp} f=$ $K \cup A$.

Proof of Lemma 2. We can choose a discrete and closed subset $A$ of $[0,1] \backslash$ $K$ such that for all $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ and $t \in[0,1]$, $\operatorname{dist}(t, K) \leq 1 / n \Rightarrow \operatorname{dist}(t, A) \leq$ $1 / n^{2}$ (we need $K \cup A$ to be "porous" at no point of $K$ ). Then one can take for the wanted $f$, the only right continuous $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ such that $f(t)=t$ if $t \in K \cup A$ and $f^{\prime}(t)=0$ if $t \notin K \cup A$.
Questions. We do not know if for most $f \in$ Ccr, there exist points $t \in] 0,1[$ at which $f_{l, i}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$ and $f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t) \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$.

We do not know if for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$, we have $[0,1] \backslash \operatorname{Disc} f=\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0\right]$ (it is compatible with 3a by Lemma 1).

Concerning Lemma 2, we actually do not know what can be the size, for instance the Hausdorff dimension, of the set $\left[f^{\prime}=\infty\right]$ for a function $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ with $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ atomic. In a previous version of this paper, we made a mistake in "proving" that this Hausdorff dimension can be equal to 1 .

## 2 Direct proofs concerning Theorem 1

We will give here the proofs of the statements of Theorem 1 which do not use geometric arguments, excepted 1 c when $0<\alpha<\infty$.

Proof of 3a. Let $A \subset\left\{a_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Then we associate to every $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ an everywhere dense open subset $\Omega_{n}$ of Cr by defining $\Omega_{n}$ as the set of all $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ such that there exists a finite subset $B$ of $\operatorname{Disc} f$, containing $\left\{a_{0}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$, such that $f(b)-f(a)-\sum_{t \in B} \operatorname{disc}_{t}(f)<1 / n$ and such that $\forall t \in B, f(t-)<f(t)<f(t+)$. Now most $f \in C r$ belong to $\cap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{n}$, and thus satisfy 3 a .

Proof of 1a, 1b and " $1 \mathbf{c}$ when $\alpha=\infty$ ". 1a and 1 b result of 1 of the following proposition, taking for instance $h(t)=-1 / \ln t$ when $t>0$ is small enough, while 1 c with $\alpha=\infty$ results of 2 , choosing $A$ of Hausdorff dimension 1.

PROPOSITION 1 Let $h$ be a dimension function and $A \subset[0,1]$. Then for most $f \in$ Ccr:

1. $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is carried by some $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-null subset $B$ of $[0,1]$ containing the set $\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}>0\right] \cup\left[f_{r, i}^{\prime}>0\right]$.
2. If $A$ is included in an $F_{\sigma} \mathcal{H}^{1}$-null subset of $[0,1]$, then $f_{l, s}^{\prime}=\infty$ on $] 0,1] \cap A$ and $f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\infty$ on $[0,1[\cap A$.
3. If $A$ is meager in $[0,1]$, then $f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0$ on $A$,

Moreover 1 and 2 are also true or for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$.
We observe that $\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}>0\right] \cup\left[f_{r, i}^{\prime}>0\right]=[0,1] \backslash\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0\right]$. Moreover, the third part of Theorem 1 shows that 3 does not hold for most $f \in C r$.

Proof of Proposition 1. We only give the proofs concerning most $f \in$ Ccr, because these about most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ are almost identical.

1. For $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we define an everywhere dense open subset of Ccr by $\Omega_{n}=$ $\left\{f \in \operatorname{Ccr} \mid \exists 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N+1}=1\right.$ and $r>0$ such that $N h(4 r) \leq$ $2^{-n}, \operatorname{mes}_{f}\left([0,1] \backslash \bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq N}\left[t_{k}-r, t_{k}+r\right]\right)<r / n$ and for every $0 \leq k \leq N$ : $\left.4 r<t_{k+1}-t_{k}<1 / n\right\}$.

Let $f \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{n}$, we chose thus for each $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ a sequence $\left(t_{k, n}\right)_{0 \leq k \leq 1+N_{n}}$ and an $r_{n}>0$ corresponding to $f \in \Omega_{n}$. We set then

$$
A_{n}=\bigcup_{1 \leq k \leq N_{n}}\left[t_{k, n}-2 r_{n}, t_{k, n}+2 r_{n}\right], B_{n}=\bigcup_{m \geq n} A_{m} \text { and } B=\{0,1\} \cup \bigcap_{n \geq 1} B_{n}
$$

For all $n \geq 1$ we have $\mathcal{H}_{1 / n}^{h}\left(A_{n}\right) \leq 2^{-n}$ and thus $\mathcal{H}_{1 / n}^{h}(B) \leq \mathcal{H}_{1 / n}^{h}\left(B_{n}\right) \leq$ $2^{-n+1}$, so $\mathcal{H}^{h}(B)=0$.

For all $n \geq m \geq 1$ we have $\operatorname{mes}_{f}\left([0,1] \backslash B_{m}\right) \leq \operatorname{mes}_{f}\left([0,1] \backslash A_{n}\right) \leq r_{n} / n \leq$ $1 / n$, thus $\operatorname{mes}_{f}\left([0,1] \backslash B_{m}\right)=0$, thus $\operatorname{mes}_{f}([0,1] \backslash B)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{mes}_{f}([0,1] \backslash$ $\left.B_{m}\right)=0$.

Let be $t \in[0,1] \backslash B$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, we can choose $n \geq m$ such that $t \in[0,1] \backslash A_{n}$. We have then $t+r_{n}<1 \Rightarrow f\left(t+r_{n}\right)-f(t)<r_{n} / n$ and $t-r_{n}>0 \Rightarrow f(t)-f\left(t-r_{n}\right)<r_{n} / n$, moreover $r_{n}<1 / 4 n<1 / m$, thus $f_{l, i}^{\prime}(t)=f_{r, i}^{\prime}(t)=0$.
2. We can suppose that $A$ is compact. We define now an everywhere dense open subset of Ccr by $\Omega_{n}=\left\{f \in \operatorname{Ccr} \mid \exists 0=t_{0}<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{N+1}=1\right.$ and, for each $0 \leq k \leq N, 0<r_{k}<1 / n$ such that $k<N \Rightarrow t_{k}+2 r_{k}<1$ and $f\left(t_{k}+2 r_{k}\right)-f\left(t_{k}+r_{k}\right)>n r_{k}, k>0 \Rightarrow t_{k}-2 r_{k}>0$ and $f\left(t_{k}-r_{k}\right)-f\left(t_{k}-\right.$ $\left.2 r_{k}\right)>n r_{k}$, and also such that $\left.A \subset \bigcup_{k=0}^{N}\right] t_{k}-r, t_{k}+r_{k}[ \}$.

But $f \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{n} \Rightarrow f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=\infty$ on $A$.
3. It is the same proof as for 2 ), using now $\Omega_{n}$ as the set of all $f \in$ Ccr for which there exists an open neighborhood $\omega$ of $A$ with a finite number of connected components, all of them with lengths less then $1 / n$, and such that $\operatorname{mes}_{f}(\omega)<\operatorname{dist}(A,[0,1] \backslash \omega) / n$.
Second proof of 1 of Proposition 1 for most $f \in$ Ccr. it results of 3, indeed one can choose an $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-null $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$-subset $G$ of $[0,1]$ containing $\mathbb{Q} \cap[0,1]$ and apply 3 to $A=[0,1] \backslash B$. We conclude because for every $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ we have $\operatorname{mes}_{f}\left(\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=0\right]\right)=0$.

## Remarks.

1. In 2 of Proposition $1, A$ is a meager and $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null subset of $[0,1]$.

However there exists a nowhere dense $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-null subset $A^{\prime}$ of $[0,1]$ which cannot be included in the union of a countable family of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null compact subsets (choose a Cantor subspace $K$ of $[0,1]$ such that every nonempty open subset of $K$ has a positive $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-measure, and then a $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-null dense $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$ subset $A^{\prime}$ of $K$ ).
One get thus a "first category Lebesgue null set (and even of null Hausdorff dimension) $A^{\prime}$ that is not $\sigma$-Jordan null" See [8] for more details and a historical account of the subject. Jordan-null means of $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null adherence, or equivalently, for every $\varepsilon>0$, included in the union of a finite family of intervals with the sum of lengths $<\varepsilon$.
2. I don't know if for every $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null subset $A$ of $[0,1]$, most $f \in \operatorname{Ccr}$ (or most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ ) satisfy $f_{s}^{\prime}=+\infty$ on $A$. I don't know if for every $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null subset $A$ of $[0,1]$, most $f \in \operatorname{Ccr}$ satisfy $f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ on $A$.

However, Gyorgy Petruska [5] has announced that an analytic subset of $[0,1]$ is included in an $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-Null $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ subset if and only if for most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}, f$ is differentiable at no point of $A$. G. Petruska mentions there, as an essential feature from [4], that when an analytic ${ }^{1}$ subset of $[0,1]$ is not included in an $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-Null $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ subset, then it is residual in a compact subset $K$ such every nonempty open subset of $K$ have positive

[^0]$\mathcal{H}^{1}$-measure. This fact is also used in a previous similar result of D. Preiss and J. Tišer [6] about typical Lipschitz functions.
The following result, analogous to 2 and 3 of Prop. 1, is also proved in [6] (in the proof of the theorem, p.224-225): Let $A$ be any $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-Null $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ subset of $[0,1]$, then most 1-Lipschitz maps $f$ on $[0,1]$ satisfy $f_{s}^{\prime}=1$ and $f_{i}^{\prime}=-1$ on $A$.
3. For any $\lambda \in[0,+\infty]$ and $f \in \operatorname{Ccr},\left[f_{s}^{\prime} \geq \lambda\right],\left[f_{i}^{\prime} \leq \lambda\right]$ and $\left[f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}=\right.$ $0] \cap\left[f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\infty\right]$ are $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$ subsets of $[0,1] \backslash \operatorname{Disc} f$, hence of $[0,1]$. Moreover, by 1 and 2 for a suitable $A$, they are also everywhere dense if $f$ is typical in Ccr or in Cr , and more precisely for every nonempty open subset $\omega$ of $[0,1]$, each of them contains a subset of $\omega$ of Hausdorff dimension 1.
4. Let us observe the following paradox: For most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}, f^{\prime}(t)=0$ at $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-almost all $t \in[0,1]$; however for every $t \in[0,1]$, most $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ satisfy $f_{s}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty($ taking $A=\{t\})$. Idem for most $f \in$ Ccr.

LEMMA 3 Let $R>0, a<b$ and $f:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ continuous and such that $E=\left\{t \in\left[a, b\left[\mid f_{r, i}^{\prime}>R\right\}\right.\right.$ is countable. Then $f(b)-f(a) \leq R(b-a)$.

Proof of Lemma 3. We choose $g:[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ nondecreasing and discontinuous at each $t \in E$. We have to check for every $\varepsilon>0$ that $b \in E_{\varepsilon}=\{t \in$ $[a, b] \mid f(t)-f(a) \leq(R+\varepsilon)(t-a)+\varepsilon(g(t)-g(a))\}$. But if it was not true, we should get a contradiction at $T=\sup E_{\varepsilon}$.

LEMMA 4 Let $f \in$ Ccr such that $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is carried by an $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null set $A \subset$ $[0,1]$. Then for $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$-almost every $t \in A$ we have $f^{\prime}(t)=+\infty$.
(It is also true for mes $_{f}$-almost every $t \in[0,1]!$ )
Proof of Lemma 4. It will be enough to prove that for $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$-almost every $t \in A$ we have $f_{r}^{\prime}(t)=+\infty$. If that last statement were not true, we could choose $R \in \mathbb{R}$ and $K$ a compact subset of $[0,1]$ such that $\operatorname{mes}_{f}(K)>0$ and $f_{r, i}^{\prime} \leq R$ on $K$. Let $g:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $g(t)=\operatorname{mes}_{f}(K \cap[0, t])$. If $K \subset L$ and if $L$ is the union of a finite family of closed intervals, by Lemma 3 applied to $g$ we have $\operatorname{mes}_{f}(K)=\operatorname{mes}_{g}(K) \leq R \mathcal{H}^{1}(L)$, thus $\operatorname{mes}_{f}(K) \leq$ $R \mathcal{H}^{1}(K)=0$, which is absurd and ends the proof.

Proof of 2a. Now for most $f \in$ Ccr, we know from Proposition 1 that $\operatorname{mes}_{f}$ is carried by an $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-null set and that $f$ is strictly increasing. Thus 2a results from Lemma 4.

## 3 Geometric proofs concerning Theorem 1

In the following definitions, to any nondecreasing function $f$, we will associate a primitive, thus a convex function, and then a convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. From this, properties of typical $f$ will be, roughly speaking, compared with properties of typical convex sets.

DEFINITION 4 Let be $f \in \mathrm{Cr}$ and $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, we define:

- $\tilde{f}$ the primitive of $f$ vanishing at zero.
- $\Gamma_{f, I}=\{(t, \widetilde{f}(t)) \mid t \in I\}$ and $\Gamma_{f}=\Gamma_{f,[0,1]}$.

Now suppose that $B$ is a Euclidean disk in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ whose boundary circle $\partial B$ meets $\Gamma_{f}$ in exactly the point $p=(t, \widetilde{f}(t))$ for some $\left.t \in\right] 0,1\left[\right.$. If $B \supset \Gamma_{f}$ and is minimal for that, it should be reasonable to think that the radius of $B$ is a kind of radius of lower curvature of $\Gamma_{f}$ at $p$, and thus related to $f_{i}^{\prime}(t)$. Because of this we will be concerned with the cut locus relative to the farthest projection to $\Gamma_{f}$.

In a previous version of this work, a similar link between a radius of uper curvature of $\Gamma_{f}$ and $f_{s}^{\prime}$ were used to investigate $\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left[0<f_{s}^{\prime}<\infty\right]$, using more closely the main proofs of [9], concerned with the cut locus relative to the nearest projection to $\Gamma_{f}$. Let us mention that those links between this work and [9] were the reason why we were interested in the derivatives of typical nondecreasing functions (first in view of solving the geometric problem, secondly in view of applying the geometric results).

### 3.1 Cut locus relative to the farthest projection

From now, $d$ is an integer $\geq 2$, but in this work we are only concerned with the case $d=2 . \mathbb{R}^{d}$ is endowed with its usual Euclidean norm $\|$.$\| .$

DEFINITION 5 (farthest projection)
Let $\emptyset \neq F \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}, a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$, $r>0$, and $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{d}$. We define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{adist}(a, F)=\sup \{\|a-p\| \mid p \in F\} \in[0,+\infty] \\
& \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(a)=\{p \in F \mid\|a-p\|=\operatorname{adist}(a, F)\} \\
& \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(A)=\bigcup_{b \in A} \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(b)
\end{aligned}
$$

When there is only one farthest projection of a to $F$, we denote it by $\operatorname{aproj}_{F}(a)$, we have then $\operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(a)=\left\{\operatorname{aproj}_{F}(a)\right\}$.

Proof of 3b. Let $K$ be a compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we define an everywhere dense open subset $\Omega_{K}$ of Cr by $\Omega_{K}=\{f \in \operatorname{Cr} \mid\{0,1\} \subset \operatorname{Disc} f$ and $\exists \varepsilon>0 \mid$ $\forall a \in K$ and $b \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ with $\left.\|b-a\|<\varepsilon, \operatorname{Aproj}_{\Gamma_{f}}(b) \subset \operatorname{Aproj}_{\Gamma_{f}}(a) \subset \Gamma_{f, \mathrm{Disc} f}\right\}$. Thus for most $f \in \operatorname{Cr}$ we have $\{0,1\} \subset \operatorname{Disc} f$ and $\operatorname{Aproj}_{\Gamma_{f}}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \subset \Gamma_{f, \operatorname{Disc} f}$. But this implies that $f_{i}^{\prime}=0$ on $[0,1] \backslash \operatorname{Disc} f, 3 \mathrm{~b}$ follows because $f_{i}^{\prime}=+\infty$ on Disc $f$ by 3a.

## DEFINITION 6 (cut locus)

When $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ we write $[a, b]=\{(1-\lambda) a+\lambda b \mid 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$.
Let $F$ be a nonempty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. We define
a. $\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathrm{a}_{F}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \operatorname{card} \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(a) \geq 2\right\}$,
aN or $\mathrm{aN}_{F}=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \forall b \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{a\}\right.$ and $\left.p \in \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(b), b \notin[a, p]\right\}$, the cut locus relative to the farthest projection to $F$.
We will need the following easy properties of these sets:
LEMMA 5 Let $F$ be a nonempty compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$. Then

1. $\mathrm{a} \mathcal{M}_{F} \subset \mathrm{a} \mathcal{N}_{F}$,
2. a $\mathcal{M}_{F}$ is an $F_{\sigma^{-}}$subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,
3. $\mathfrak{a} \mathcal{N}_{F}$ is a $G_{\delta}$-subset of $\mathbb{R}^{d}$,
4. a $\mathcal{N}_{F}$ is of empty interior in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$.

Proof of Lemma 5. Because the Euclidean norm is strictly convex, for all $a \in \mathbb{R}^{d}, b \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \backslash\{a\}$ and $p \in \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(b)$ we have $b \in[a, p] \Rightarrow p=$ $\operatorname{aproj}_{F}(a)$, thus $a \notin \mathrm{aN} \Rightarrow a \notin \mathrm{a} \mathcal{M}$, this proves 1 ; thus also $b \notin \operatorname{int}_{\mathbb{R}^{d}} \mathrm{aN}$, this proves 4 . Property 2 results from the fact that for every $\varepsilon>0$, the set $\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \operatorname{diam} \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(a) \geq \varepsilon\right\}$ is closed. Finally, property 3 results from the fact that for every $\varepsilon>0$, the set $\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{d} \mid \exists b \in \mathbb{R}^{d}\right.$ such that $\|b-a\| \geq \varepsilon$, $p \in \operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(b)$ and $\left.b \in[a, p]\right\}$ is closed.

Proof of 2b. It follows from the lemme:
LEMMA 6 Let $f \in$ Ccr strictly increasing and such that the set $\{t \in[0,1] \mid$ $\left.f_{i}^{\prime}(t)=0\right\}$ is everywhere dense in $[0,1]$. Then $\left[0<f_{i}^{\prime}<\infty\right]$ contains a Cantor set in any nonempty open subset of $[0,1]$

Proof of Lemma 6. Let $0<\alpha<\beta<1$ and $F=\Gamma_{f}$. Then $\Omega=\left\{a \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid\right.$ $\left.\operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(a) \subset \Gamma_{f, j \alpha, \beta[ \}}\right\}$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

We claim that $\Omega \cap \mathrm{a} \mathcal{M}$ is everywhere dense in $\Omega$. Else we could choose $t \in] \alpha, \beta\left[, p=(t, \widetilde{f}(t)), a \in \Omega\right.$ such that $p=\operatorname{aproj}_{F}(a)$ and $r>0$ such that $\mathrm{B}(a, r) \subset \Omega \backslash \mathrm{a} \mathcal{M}$. If $x$ is a unit vector of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ orthogonal to $p-a$, then $t \mapsto \operatorname{aproj}_{F}(a+t x)$ defines a continuous map $\left.\varphi:\right]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[\rightarrow F$ which is not constant, and then the subset $\varphi(]-\varepsilon, \varepsilon[)$ of $\operatorname{Aproj}_{F}(\Omega)$ is of nonempty interior in $F$. But this is impossible because $\operatorname{Aproj}_{F}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$ contains no point $(s, \widetilde{f}(s))$ such that $f_{i}^{\prime}(s)=0$, and because these points are everywhere dense in $F$.

Now by Lemma 5 and Baire's theorem, $\Omega \cap \mathrm{aN} \backslash \mathrm{a} \mathcal{M}$ is a $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$-dense subset of $\Omega$, and contains thus a Cantor set $K$. Then, if we set $\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=x_{1}$, the image set $\operatorname{pr}_{1}\left(\operatorname{aproj}_{F}(K)\right)$ is a Cantor set included in $\left.\left[0<f_{i}^{\prime}<\infty\right] \cap\right] \alpha, \beta[$ (observe that $\operatorname{aproj}_{F}$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{F}$ and thus on $K$ ), that ends the proof.

## 4 Proof of 1c when $0<\alpha<\infty$

The only statement not already proved in Theorem 1 is 1c when $0<\alpha<$ $\infty$, which results from the following proposition, taking for instance $h(t)=$ $t|\ln t|$, for $t>0$ small enough. Moreover we get thus that $\left[0<f_{s}^{\prime}<\infty\right]$ has no countable covering $\left(A_{n}\right)$ by sets of Hausdorff dimensions $<1$.

PROPOSITION 2 Let h be some concave ${ }^{2}$ dimension function such that $t / h(t) \rightarrow 0$ in zero. Then for most $f \in C r$, and also for most $f \in C c r$, we have for all $\alpha \in] 0,+\infty[$ :

$$
0<\mathcal{H}^{h}\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Hence we also have

$$
1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]
$$

[^1]We already know that, for $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha=\infty$, with more precise result.
Obviously we cannot use the same paradoxal method of proof as for $\alpha=$ $\infty$. We will have to build a large Cantor set $K_{f, \alpha}$ depending on the typical function $f$ and on $\alpha$, which will be "almost included" in the wanted set, but in a last step we will have to eliminate a small part of it using the following rather easy but crucial Lemma:

## LEMMA 7 (Lemma 7 of [1])

Let $f \in \mathrm{Cr}, 0<\alpha<\infty, 0 \leq a<b \leq 1$ and $E$ be the union of all $[s, t] \subset[a, b]$ such that $s \neq t$ and $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \geq \alpha$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{1}(E) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}(f(b)-f(a)) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. We will prove more precisely that the lemma remains true when we substitute $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s}$ with $\frac{f(t+)-f(s-)}{t-s}$.
Proof of Lemma 7. For the case $f \in C c r$ Buczolich and Nagi, give a short and elegant proof, related to the link between the variation of some $f \in$ Ccr and the Lebesgue integration of $f^{\prime}$. But their proof is easily adaptable to the case $f \in C r$. So we just sketch below a more direct proof, longer but perhaps more instructive to get a picture of why this is true:

It is enough to prove for any $\varepsilon>0$ that $\mathcal{H}^{1}\left(E_{\varepsilon}\right) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha}(f(b)-f(a))$ where $E_{\varepsilon}=E_{f,[a, b], \alpha, \varepsilon}$ denotes the union of all $[s, t] \subset[a, b]$ such that $t-s \geq \varepsilon$ and $\frac{f(t+)-f(s-)}{t-s} \geq \alpha$.

When $E_{\varepsilon} \neq \emptyset$, it admits a smallest element. Now, by a proof similar to the proof of the compactness of $[a, b]$, one checks that each $E_{\varepsilon}$ is included in a (minimal) finite union of such intervals $[s, t]$. Such a covering can be decomposed in two parvise disjoint subfamilies and one get the wanted inequality by considering the subfamily with union having the greatest length.

The building of $K_{f, \alpha}$ will use the following rather standard Lemma (other standard simillary lemma could be used, we do not proceed here as Buczolich and Nagi for instance).

LEMMA 8 Let $h=t^{s} \theta(t)$ be some dimension function such that $\theta(t)$ is decreasing on $] 0,+\infty[$ (thus $h$ is doubling). Let $K$ be the intersection of a decreasing sequence of compact sets $\left(K_{n}\right)$, each $K_{n}$ being the finite union of pairwise disjoint intervals of side $r_{n} . K_{0}=[0,1]$. If $C$ is one of the intervals of $K_{n-1}$, we suppose that $C$ is divided in $N_{n}$ intervals $C_{i}$ with sides $\rho_{n}=r_{n-1} / N_{n}$ and $C \cap K_{n}$ will be the union of the intervals $C_{i}^{\prime}$ where $C_{i}^{\prime}$ is
the intervall of length $r_{n}$ and with same center as $C_{i} . r_{n}$, and thus $K_{n}$ is defined by $N_{n}$ and by the condition of $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-mass repartition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(r_{n-1}\right)=N_{n}^{d} h\left(r_{n}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $K$ is an $h$-set, i. e. $0<\mathcal{H}^{h}(K)<\infty$.
Thus to define such a $K$, there only remains to explain how are choosed the integers $N_{n} \geq 2$ at the step $n$.

Observe also that the sets $K \cap C$, where $C$ are intervals of $K_{n}$, are pairwise isometric and thus of same $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-measure, so that, up to a multiplicative constant, $\mathcal{H}^{h}$ restricted to $K$ is the same as the canonical probability on $K$ associated with the description of $K$.

If we suppose $h\left(r_{n-1}\right) \leq N_{n}^{d} h\left(r_{n}\right)$ instead of (2) we then get $0<\mathcal{H}^{h}(K)$ by (part of) the same proof. We will not formally use it in the proof of Prop 2 (but think of it!).
Proof of Lemma 8 This lemma is just the first statement of Lemma 4 of [10], when $d=1$.

## Proof of Proposition 2

1) First we give an idea of the scheme of the proof. We will use some countable dense subset $D$ of $] 0,+\infty[$ (which actually needs only to be choosed at the beginning of the part 6 of this proof). The needed $\mathrm{G}_{\delta}$ will be defined by $G=\bigcap_{m \geq 1} \Omega_{m}^{*}$ with $\Omega_{m}^{*}=\bigcap_{\alpha \in D} \Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}, \Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}=\bigcup_{n \geq m} \Omega_{n, \alpha}$ where for any $\alpha>0$, the set $\Omega_{n, \alpha}$ will be open in $\mathrm{Cr}, \Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}$ dense in Cr and $\operatorname{Ccr} \cap \Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}$ dense in Ccr. Then each $f \in G$ will satisfy the statement of Proposition 2.

Actually $f \in G_{\alpha}:=\bigcap_{n \geq 1} \Omega_{n, \alpha}^{*}$ will be enough to check the statement of Prop. 2 relative to that $\alpha$, but $f \in G$ will permit to consider all $\alpha>0$, and not only those belonging to $D$.

Given some $f \in G$ and $\alpha>0$, we will build some standard $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-large Cantor set $K_{f, \alpha}$. We will then use Lemma 7 to find a $\mathcal{H}^{h}$-non negligeable subset $K_{f, \alpha}^{\prime} \subset\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]$, by eliminating some intervals at each step of the building of $K_{f, \alpha}$. Lemma 8 will actually only be used to check that $\mathcal{H}^{h_{0}}\left(K_{f, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)>0$ for some dimension function $h_{0} \leq h$, and thus to check that $\mathcal{H}^{h}\left(K_{f, \alpha}^{\prime}\right)>0$. Indeed, because $F_{f, \alpha}$ will be an $h_{0}$-set, it will be possible to give sense, to the "proportion" of $K_{f, \alpha}^{\prime}$ in $K_{f, \alpha}$, and to use it.
2) It will be convenient to use triadic numbers to have an exact compatibility between the various scales of our setting, and also because we will choose
at each step central intervals (thus any odd basis would suit instead of 3). We will first define an increasing sequence $\left(P_{n}\right)$ of integers, related with $h$. Then we will define for each $0<\alpha<\infty$ a sequence ( $\varphi_{n, \alpha}$ ) of continuous nondecreasing functions with $3^{-P_{n}}$-periodic derivative, and finally the open sets $\Omega_{n, \alpha}$.
3) Because $h$ is concave, we observe that the function $\theta_{0}(t)=h(t) / t$ is non increasing on $] 0,+\infty[$, and has infinite limit in zero.

We define $P_{0}=0$. We suppose now $n \geq 1$. We choose $P_{n}>2 n+P_{n-1}$ large enough so that $3^{P_{n}-n+1-P_{n-1}} h\left(3^{-n-P_{n}}\right) \geq h\left(3^{-n+1-P_{n-1}}\right)$, which just means that $\theta\left(3^{-n-P_{n}}\right) \geq 3^{n} \theta\left(3^{-n+1-P_{n-1}}\right)$.
4) We now explain how behaves the derivative $\varphi_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}$ on the interval $J_{n, k}=$ $3^{-P_{n}}[k-1, k]$. It is null except on two intervals of length $n 3^{-n-P_{n}}$ and wich are just one before and one after the interval $J_{n, k}^{\prime}$ which as same center as $J_{n, k}$ and which has lengtht $3^{-n-P_{n}}$. In those two intervals $\varphi_{n, \alpha}^{\prime}=\alpha$.

Thus $\varphi_{n, \alpha}$ has some difference quotients closed to $\alpha$ and involving points of the centered interval $J_{n, k}^{\prime}$ : First indeed, all difference quotient of $\varphi_{n, k}$ are $\leq \alpha$. Secondly, for any $s \in J_{n, k}^{\prime}$, one can find two $t \in J_{n, k}$, one greater than $s$, with $\left.3^{-n-P_{n}} \leq \mid t-s\right] \leq 3^{-1-P_{n}}$ and for which $\frac{\varphi_{n, \alpha}(t)-\varphi_{n, \alpha}(s)}{t-s} \geq n \alpha /(n+1)$. 5) Now, for a $\rho_{n}$ small enough $^{3}, \Omega_{n, \alpha}$ will be the set of those $f \in C r$ such that for some set $A_{f, n, \alpha} \subset\left\{1, \ldots, 3^{N_{n}}\right\}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
k \in A_{f, n, \alpha} \Rightarrow\left\|f-f\left(k 3^{-P_{n}}\right)-\varphi_{n, \alpha}\right\|_{J_{n, k}}<\rho_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with exceptional set $B_{f, n, \alpha}=\left\{1, \ldots, 3^{N_{n}}\right\} \backslash A_{f, n, \alpha}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \geq 2 \Rightarrow \operatorname{card} B_{f, n, \alpha}<2^{-3-n} 3^{P_{n}-P_{n-1}-n+1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We ask that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
4 n \rho_{n}<2^{-3-n} 3^{-n-1-P_{n}} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we also ask $\rho_{n}$ to be small enough so that for any $f \in \Omega_{\alpha, n}$ and $k \in A_{f, n, \alpha}$, the function $f$ must satisfy the following slightly relaxed difference quotient condition: For all $s \in J_{n, k}^{\prime}$ and $t \in J_{n, k}$ with $3^{-n-P_{n}} \leq|t-s|$, we must have $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \leq(n+1) \alpha / n$, moreover for each such $s$, one can choose two such numbers $t$, one greater than $s$, one lesser than $s$, and for which $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \geq n \alpha /(n+2)$.

[^2]6) We have already given all the conditions useful for defining $G . \Omega_{n, \alpha}$ is obviously open. The density of $\Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}$ follows from the fact that $\left\|\varphi_{n, \alpha}\right\|_{[0,1]} \rightarrow 0$ and from the fact that the right member of the majoration (4) of the cardinal of exceptional set tends to infinity. Indeed this allows to dispose almost arbitrary the growth of the functions $f \in \Omega_{m, \alpha}^{*}$, which are constrained to make most of their growth in the "exceptional intervals" $I_{n, k}$ for $k \in B_{n, f}$ (we recall that step functions are dense in Cr ).
7) Let $f \in G$ and $0<\alpha<\infty$. We choose a sequence $\left(\alpha_{n}\right)$ in $D$ converging to $\alpha$. We then choose a strictly increasing sequence ( $Q_{n}$ ) of integers such that $Q_{0}=0$ and $n \geq 1 \Rightarrow f \in \Omega_{Q_{n}, \alpha_{n}}$.

Following Lemma7, we then define an $h_{0}$-set $K=K_{f, \alpha}$, taking

$$
N_{n}=3^{P_{Q_{n}}-Q_{n-1}-P_{Q_{n-1}}}
$$

and asking the intervals of the step $n$ in the building of $K$ to have length $r_{n}=3^{-Q_{n}-P_{Q_{n}}}$. The involved dimension function $h_{0}$ must then satisfy $h_{0}\left(r_{n-1}\right)=N_{n} h_{0}\left(r_{n}\right)$ which defines the values $h_{0}\left(r_{n}\right)$ if we add that $h_{0}(1)=$ $h(1)$. We complete the definition of $h_{0}$ by asking $h_{0}$ to be affine on each interval $\left[r_{n}, r_{n-1}\right]$, for $n \geq 1$, and that $h_{0}=h(1)$ on $[1,+\infty[$.

The sequence $\left(h_{0}\left(r_{n}\right)\right)$ is decreasing of null limit and thus $h$ is a dimension function. We also have $h_{0}\left(r_{n}\right) \leq h\left(r_{n}\right)$, hence $h \leq h_{0}$ because $h$ is concave. We must also check that $\theta_{0}(t)=h_{0}(t) / t$ is nondecreasing, but this follows from $\theta_{0}\left(r_{n}\right)=3^{Q_{n}} \theta_{0}\left(r_{n-1}\right)$. Thus Lemma 8 can be applied to ( $K, h_{0}$ ) instead of ( $K, h$ ).
8) We then define a closed subset $K^{\prime}=K_{f, \alpha}^{\prime}=K \backslash \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \bigcup_{J \in \mathcal{J}_{n}} J$, where $\mathcal{J}_{n}$ denotes the set of intervals $J$ of one of the two following kinds:
$J=J_{Q_{n}, k}^{\prime}$ where $k \in B_{f, Q_{n}, \alpha_{n}}$
$J=J_{Q_{n}, k}^{\prime} \subset J_{Q_{n-1}, l}^{\prime}$ where $n \geq 2, k \in A_{f, Q_{n}}, l \in A_{f, Q_{n-1}, \alpha_{n-1}}$ such that there exists $s \in J$ and $t \in J_{Q_{n-1}, l}^{\prime}$ satisfying $|s-t| \geq 3^{-1-P_{Q_{n}}}$ and $\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \geq 1 / Q_{n-1}$.

With in mind the observation following Lemma 8, we can claim that $K^{\prime}$ is also an $h_{0}$-set because we have $\mathcal{H}^{h_{0}}\left(K^{\prime}\right) \leq\left(\frac{1}{4}+\frac{1}{4}\right) \mathcal{H}^{h_{0}}(K)$ as a consequence of the inequalities (4) (with $Q_{n}$ instead of $n$ ) and (5) (with $Q_{n-1}$ instead of $n$ and applying Lemma 7 to $f$ in $J_{n-1, k}^{\prime}$ with $\left.\alpha=1 / Q_{n-1}\right)$.
$K^{\prime} \subset\left[\alpha \leq f_{l, s}^{\prime}\right] \cap\left[\alpha \leq f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]$ follows from the second condition (in step 5 of this proof) when choosing the number $\rho_{n}$ small enough. So if $s \in K^{\prime}$, it
remains to explain why we also have $f_{s}^{\prime}(s) \leq \alpha$. But this follows from the same condition we just mentioned, and also from the second kind of intervals occurring in the definition of $K^{\prime}$. Indeed, if $t \in[0,1]$ we have
$\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \leq\left(Q_{n}+1\right) \alpha_{n} / Q_{n}$ when $3^{-Q_{n}-P_{Q_{n}}} \leq|t-s| \leq 3^{-1-P_{Q_{n}}}$ with
$\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s} \leq 1 / Q_{n-1}$ when $3^{-1-P_{Q_{n}}} \leq|t-s| \leq 3^{-Q_{n-1}-P_{Q_{n-1}}}$ with $n \geq 2$.

## 5 Typical functions with bounded variation

Here we adapt the main result above to the case where the topology of bounded variation is involved. The proof of the results obtained will be an easy adaptation of the proof above.

Let Vb denote the space of all functions $f:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ endowed with the norm $\|f\|_{v}=|f(0)|+\operatorname{var}_{[0,1]} f \geq\|f\|_{[0,1]}$. It contains Cr and Ccr, and we also consider the subsets $\mathrm{Cvb}, \mathrm{Avb}, \mathrm{Avb}^{+}$of $f \in \mathrm{Vb}$ which are respectively continuous, with $[f \neq 0]$ countable, $\geq 0$ with $[f \neq 0]$ countable (here the letter A stands for $f$ atomic and not for mes $f$ atomic).
$\mathrm{Vb}, \mathrm{Cvb}, \mathrm{Avb}$ and $\mathrm{Avb}^{+}$are always endowed with $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ while we precise $\left(\mathrm{Cr},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$ and (Ccr, $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ ) when we will consider Cr and Ccr as topological subspaces of Vb .

Let us recall that $\left(\mathrm{Vb},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{Cvb},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$ are Banach spaces, but not separables. (Cr, $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ ) and (Ccr, $\|\cdot\|_{v}$ ) are also complete and not separables.

To check the non separability of $\left(\mathrm{Ccr},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$, and hence of $\left(\mathrm{Cvb},\|\cdot\|_{v}\right)$, one may associate to each Cantor subset $K$ of $[0,1]$ some $f_{K} \in$ Ccr such that Supp $\operatorname{mes}_{f_{K}}=K, f_{K}(0)=0$ and $f_{K}(1)=1$. Using the fact that such a $K$ is homeomorphic to $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, thus to $\{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, thus to $K^{2}$, one can choose some set $\mathcal{K}$ of Cantor subsets of $[0,1]$ with $\operatorname{card} \mathcal{K}=\operatorname{card} \mathbb{R}$ and such that for all distincts elements $A, B$ of $\mathcal{K}$ we have $A \cap B=\emptyset$ and thus $\left\|f_{A}-f_{B}\right\|_{v}=2$.

The crucial lemma 7 can be adapted to functions of bounded variations:
LEMMA 9 Let $f \in \mathrm{Vb}, 0<\alpha<\infty, 0 \leq a<b \leq 1$ and $E$ be the union of all $[s, t] \subset[a, b]$ such that $s \neq t$ and $\left|\frac{f(t)-f(s)}{t-s}\right| \geq \alpha$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}^{1}(E) \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \operatorname{var}_{[a, b]} f \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Lemma 9 Results from Lemma 7 because of the Jordan decomposition $f=g-h$ with some (unique up to additive constant) $g, h \in \mathrm{Cr}$ also satisfying var $f=\operatorname{var} g+\operatorname{var} h$, and because we have then $E_{f} \subset E_{g} \cup E_{h}$.

THEOREM 2 Let $h$ be some concave dimension function such that $t / h(t) \rightarrow$ 0 in zero. Then for most $f$ in resp $\mathrm{Vb}, \mathrm{Cvb}$, Avb, and for all $\alpha>0$, we have

$$
0<\mathcal{H}^{h}\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right] \text { and } 0<\mathcal{H}^{h}\left[-\alpha=f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

Hence we also have

$$
1=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathrm{H}}\left[-\alpha=f_{l, i}^{\prime}=f_{r, i}^{\prime}\right] .
$$

$0<\mathcal{H}^{h}\left[\alpha=f_{l, s}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]$ is also true for most $f$ in resp $\left(\mathrm{Cr},\|\cdot\|_{v b}\right)$, (Ccr, $\left.\|\cdot\|_{v b}\right)$. Finaly, $0<\mathcal{H}^{h}\left[\alpha=-f_{l, i l}^{\prime}=f_{r, s}^{\prime}\right]$ is also true for most $f \mathrm{Avb}^{+}$.

Proof of Th 2. For the cases Vb, Cvb, (Cr, \|. $\left.\|_{v b}\right)$, (Ccr, $\left.\|.\|_{v b}\right)$, it is almost the same as that of Theorem 2, using Lemma 9, in stead of Lemma 7. For example, $\|.\|_{v b}$ restricted to $J_{n, k}^{\prime}$ must be used instead of the uniform norm when defining $\Omega_{n, \alpha}$.

For the cases Avb and $\mathrm{Avb}^{+}$, one must also change the definition of $\varphi_{n, \alpha}$ which will be now periodic and atomic. More precisely $\varphi_{n, \alpha}$ will be now null except in exactly two points of each interval $I_{n, k}^{\prime}$ :
$\varphi_{n, \alpha}\left((k-1 / 2) 3^{-P_{n}}+\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) 3^{-n-P_{n}}\right)=n 3^{-n-P_{n}} \alpha$
$\varphi_{n, \alpha}\left((k-1 / 2) 3^{-P_{n}}-\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) 3^{-n-P_{n}}\right)=-n 3^{-n-P_{n}} \alpha$ for the case Avb and $=n 3^{-n-P_{n}} \alpha$ for the case $\mathrm{Avb}^{+}$.
Remark. Actually the last statement of Th 2 is also true for most $f$ of respectively $\mathrm{Avb}, \mathrm{Vb}, \mathrm{Cvb}$ (with an easy change of $\varphi_{n}$ in the proof). For given $\alpha, \beta \geq 0$, one could also adapt the proof of the main resul to get that most $f$ in $C r$ or in $C c r$ satisfy

$$
1=\operatorname{dim}_{H}\left[f_{l, s}^{\prime}=\alpha \text { and } f_{r, s}^{\prime}=\beta\right]
$$

and similar improvement of Th 2.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ J. Mycieski and R. Laver have communicated to G. Petruska the existence of an $\mathcal{H}^{1}$ null subset $A$ of $[0,1]$, not included in any $\mathcal{H}^{1}$-Null $\mathrm{F}_{\sigma}$ subset, and not residual in any perfect set.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Actually the concavity is not needed because one can substitute $h$ with the smallest concave function $h_{2}$ which is $\geq h_{1}(t)=t \inf _{j 0, t]} h(t) / t$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ it happens that the choice of $\rho_{n}$ does not really depend on $\alpha$, but the contrary would not have been a problem

