



HAL
open science

Sufficient Condition for a Compact Local Minimality of a Lattice

Laurent Bétermin

► **To cite this version:**

Laurent Bétermin. Sufficient Condition for a Compact Local Minimality of a Lattice. 2015. hal-01144850v2

HAL Id: hal-01144850

<https://hal.science/hal-01144850v2>

Preprint submitted on 10 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial 4.0 International License

Sufficient Condition for a Compact Local Minimality of a Lattice

Laurent Bétermin*

Institut für Angewandte Mathematik,
Universität Heidelberg,
Im Neuenheimer Feld 294,
69120 Heidelberg. Deutschland

November 10, 2015

Abstract

We give a sufficient condition on a family of radial parametrized long-range potentials for a compact local minimality of a given d -dimensional Bravais lattice for its total energy of interaction created by each potential. This work is widely inspired by the paper of F. Theil about two dimensional crystallization.

AMS Classification: Primary 70C20 ; Secondary 82B05, 82B20.

Keywords: Lattice energy ; Local minimum ; Potential ; Cauchy-Born rule ; Ground state.

Mots-clés : Energie de réseau ; Minimum local ; Potentiel ; Règle de Cauchy-Born ; Etat fondamental.

1 Introduction

As explained in [2], the crystallization problem, that is to say to understand why the particles structures are periodic at low temperature, is difficult and still open in most cases. Theil exhibited in [7] a radial parametrized long-range potential with the same form as the Lennard-Jones potential such that the triangular lattice is the ground state of the total energy in the sense of thermodynamic limit. This kind of potential, parametrized by a real number $\alpha > 0$, is bigger than α^{-1} close to the origin, corresponding to Pauli's principle, has a well centred in 1 and a 2α width, its second derivative at 1 is strictly positive and its decay at infinity is $r \mapsto \alpha r^{-7}$. Thus, as small is α , as close to 1 is the mutual distance between nearest neighbours of the ground state configuration and as the interactions between distant points are negligible.

In this paper, our idea is to present a family of parametrized potential very close to this one, with the most natural possible assumptions, such that a given Bravais lattice L of \mathbb{R}^d is a N -compact local minimum for the total energy of interaction. This kind of local minimality is called " N -compact" because, given a maximal number N of points that we want to move a little bit, there exists a maximal perturbation of the points which gives a larger total energy of interaction, in the sense that the difference of energies is positive. Moreover, as small is the parameter, as large the number N can be chosen. We are strongly inspired by Theil's potential, keeping only local assumptions and strong parametrized decay. Furthermore, our work can be related to that of Torquato et al. about targeted self-assembly [5, 8] where they search for radial potentials such that

*betermin@uni-heidelberg.de

a given configuration – more precisely a part of a lattice – is a ground state for the total energy of interaction.

The aim of this paper is to give a generic construction of the family of potentials without taking into consideration the specific symmetries of L or a particular perturbation of points.

After defining the concepts and our parametrized potentials, we give the theorem, its proof and some important remarks and applications.

2 Preliminaries : Bravais lattice and N-compact local minimality

Definition 2.1. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$, (u_1, \dots, u_d) be a basis of \mathbb{R}^d and $L = \bigoplus_{i=1}^n \mathbb{Z}u_i \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Bravais lattice. For any $\lambda > 0$, we define $m(\lambda) := \#\{L \cap \{\|x\| = \lambda\}\}$ where $\|\cdot\|$ denote the Euclidean norm and $\#A$ is the cardinal of set A . Moreover, we call $\lambda_1 := \min\{\|x\|; x \in L^*\}$, where $L^* = L \setminus \{0\}$ and $\lambda_2 := \min\{\|x\|; \|x\| > \lambda_1, x \in L\}$.

Furthermore, for a Bravais lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ and $n > d$, we define the following lattice sums:

$$\zeta_L^*(n) := \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ \|x\| > \lambda_1}} \|x\|^{-n} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{\zeta}_L(n) := \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ \|x\| > \lambda_1}} (\|x\| - \lambda_1)^{-n}.$$

Definition 2.2. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Bravais lattice, $B \subset L$ a finite subset and α be a real number such that $0 < \alpha < \lambda_1/2$. We say that B^α is an α -compact perturbation of B if

$$\forall b \in B, \exists ! b^\alpha \in B^\alpha \text{ such that } \|b - b^\alpha\| \leq \alpha.$$

Moreover, if B^α is an α -compact perturbation of $B \subset L$, we write $L^\alpha(B) := (L \setminus B) \cup B^\alpha$ the perturbed lattice.

Definition 2.3. Let $d \in \mathbb{N}^*$. We say that $V : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a **d -admissible potential** if V is a C^2 function and, for any Bravais lattice $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$,

$$\sum_{x \in L^*} |V(\|x\|)| + \sum_{x \in L^*} \|x\| |V'(\|x\|)| + \sum_{x \in L^*} \|x\|^2 |V''(\|x\|)| < +\infty.$$

Remark 2.1. If, for any $k \in \{0, 1, 2\}$, $|V^{(k)}(r)| = O(r^{-p_k})$, $p_k > d + k$, then V is d -admissible.

Definition 2.4. Let L be a Bravais lattice of \mathbb{R}^d and V a d -admissible potential. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, we say that L is a **N -compact local minimum for the total V -energy** if for any subset $B \subset L$ such that $\#B \leq N$, there exists $\alpha_0 > 0$ such that for any $\alpha \in [0, \alpha_0)$ and any α -compact perturbation B^α of B ,

$$\Delta_L^\alpha(V; B) := \sum_{b^\alpha \in B^\alpha} \sum_{\substack{y \in L^\alpha(B) \\ y \neq b^\alpha}} V(\|b^\alpha - y\|) - \sum_{b \in B} \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b}} V(\|b - x\|) \geq 0.$$

3 Pressure, parametrized potentials and main result

Definition 3.1. Let V be a d -admissible potential and $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Bravais lattice, then we define the **pressure of L submitted to V** by :

$$\mathcal{P}(L, V) := - \sum_{x \in L^*} \|x\| V'(\|x\|).$$

Remark 3.1. Actually, as explained for instance in [1], if $E_V[L] := \sum_{x \in L^*} V(\|x\|)$ is the energy per particle of L submitted to V , i.e. the free energy at zero temperature, then, by usual thermodynamics formula, we define pressure $P(L, V)$ by

$$P(L, V) := -\frac{dE_V[L]}{dA} = -\frac{1}{2A} \sum_{x \in L^*} \|x\| V'(\|x\|),$$

where A is the area per particle of L , that is to say $\mathcal{P}(L, V) = 2AP(L, V)$.

Definition 3.2. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Bravais lattice. We call (L, θ) -family every set of d -admissible (L, θ) -potentials $V_\theta : \mathbb{R}_+^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, indexed by $\theta \in [0, \theta_0]$, $0 \leq \theta_0 < \lambda_1/2$, and satisfying the following conditions :

1. **Small pressure condition:** there exist positive real numbers C, μ such that for any θ ,

$$|\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta)| \leq C\theta^{1+\mu};$$

2. **Parametrized fast decay :** $\exists r_0 \in [\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists p > d$ such that for any $r > r_0$,

$$|V_\theta''(r)| \leq \theta^{2+\varepsilon} r^{-p-2};$$

3. **Local convexity around first neighbours :** it holds $V_\theta''(r) \geq \eta > 0$ on a neighborhood of the first distance λ_1 , uniformly on θ .

THEOREM 3.2. Let $L \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a Bravais lattice, then for any $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$, there exists $\theta_0 > 0$ such that for every (L, θ) -family $(V_\theta)_{\theta \leq \theta_0}$ and every $\theta \in [0, \theta_0]$, L is a N -compact local minimum for the total V_θ -energy. Furthermore, in this case, the maximal perturbation α_0 can be chosen equal to θ .

Proof. Let L be a Bravais lattice of \mathbb{R}^d . Let $N \in \mathbb{N}^*$ and $B := \{b_1, \dots, b_N\} \subset L$. Let α_0 be such that $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \lambda_1/2$ and $B^{\alpha_0} = \{b_1^{\alpha_0}, \dots, b_N^{\alpha_0}\}$ be an α_0 -compact perturbation of B . For any $1 \leq i \leq N$, for any $y \in L^{\alpha_0}(B)$, $y \neq b_i^{\alpha_0}$, and $x \in L$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \alpha_0$, we define

$$\alpha_{i,x} := \|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\| - \|b_i - x\|.$$

Obviously, we have, for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, for any $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \lambda_1/2$ and any $x \in L$,

$$|\alpha_{i,x}| \leq 2\alpha_0. \tag{3.1}$$

We assume, without loss of generality, that $\max_{i,x} |\alpha_{i,x}| = 2\alpha_0$, left to decrease α_0 . We set $\theta \in [0, \lambda_1/2)$ and V_θ a (L, θ) -potential. We have

$$\Delta_L^{\alpha_0}(V_\theta; B) = \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{y \in L^{\alpha_0}(B) \\ y \neq b_i^{\alpha_0}}} V_\theta(\|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\|) - \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} V_\theta(\|b_i - x\|).$$

By Taylor expansion, we get, for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, for any $y \in L^{\alpha_0}(B)$, $y \neq b_i^{\alpha_0}$, and $x \in L$ such that $\|x - y\| \leq \alpha_0$,

$$V_\theta(\|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\|) \geq V_\theta(\|b_i - x\|) + \alpha_{i,x} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) + \frac{\alpha_{i,x}^2}{2} V_\theta''(\xi_{i,x}),$$

for suitable $\xi_{i,x} \in (\|b_i - x\| - |\alpha_{i,x}|, \|b_i - x\| + |\alpha_{i,x}|)$. Hence we obtain

$$\Delta_L^{\alpha_0}(V_\theta; B) \geq \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} \alpha_{i,x} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} \alpha_{i,x}^2 V_\theta''(\xi_{i,x}).$$

We split interactions into two parts : the short range and the long range. For any $1 \leq i \leq N$, we set

$$\mathcal{S}_L^i := \{x \in L; \|x - b_i\| = \lambda_1\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{L}_L^i := \{x \in L; \|x - b_i\| > \lambda_1\}.$$

Furthermore, as we assume that for all $r > r_0$ $|V_\theta''(r)| \leq \theta^{2+\varepsilon} r^{-p-2}$ and V_θ' goes to 0 at $+\infty$, because it is a d-admissible potential, we have, by a simple argument, that $|V_\theta'(r)| \leq \theta^{2+\varepsilon} r^{-p-1}$ for all $r > r_0$.

As L is a Bravais lattice, it holds, for any i , $\sum_{x \in L \setminus \{b_i\}} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) \|b_i - x\| = -\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta)$. Therefore,

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} \alpha_{i,x} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) = V_\theta'(\lambda_1) \left(\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_L^i} \|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\| \right) + \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathcal{L}_L^i} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) \|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\| + N\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta).$$

We remark that, writing $\Sigma_0 := \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_L^i} \|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\|$, and by definition of $\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta)$,

$$V_\theta'(\lambda_1)\Sigma_0 + N\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta) = \mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta) (N - \Sigma_0(\lambda_1 m(\lambda_1))^{-1}) - \left(\sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ \|x\| > \lambda_1}} \|x\| V_\theta'(\|x\|) \right) \Sigma_0(\lambda_1 m(\lambda_1))^{-1}.$$

By (3.1), we have, for any $1 \leq i \leq N$, for any $x \in \mathcal{S}_L^i$, $\lambda_1 - 2\alpha_0 \leq \|b_i^{\alpha_0} - y\| \leq \lambda_1 + 2\alpha_0$, and we get

$$m(\lambda_1)N(\lambda_1 - 2\alpha_0) \leq \Sigma_0 \leq m(\lambda_1)N(\lambda_1 + 2\alpha_0).$$

Thus, we show that $\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta) (N - \Sigma_0(\lambda_1 m(\lambda_1))^{-1}) \geq -\frac{2|\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta)|N\alpha_0}{\lambda_1} \geq -\frac{2C}{\lambda_1} N\theta^{1+\mu}\alpha_0$, by assumption. Therefore, we obtain

$$V_\theta'(\lambda_1)\Sigma_0 + N\mathcal{P}(L, V_\theta) \geq -\frac{2C}{\lambda_1} N\theta^{1+\mu}\alpha_0 - \theta^{2+\varepsilon} \zeta_L^*(p)N \left(1 + \frac{2\alpha_0}{\lambda_1} \right).$$

Hence, for first order terms, we get

$$\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} \alpha_{i,x} V_\theta'(\|b_i - x\|) \geq -\frac{2C}{\lambda_1} N\theta^{1+\mu}\alpha_0 - 2\zeta_L^*(p)N\theta^{2+\varepsilon} - 2 \left(\frac{\zeta_L^*(p)}{\lambda_1} + \zeta_L^*(p+1) \right) N\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0.$$

For the second order terms, as $\max_{i,x} |\alpha_{i,x}| = 2\alpha_0$, we have $\sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{x \in \mathcal{S}_L^i} \alpha_{i,x}^2 \geq 4\alpha_0^2$, and we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{\substack{x \in L \\ x \neq b_i}} \alpha_{i,x}^2 V_\theta''(\xi_{i,x}) \geq 2\eta\alpha_0^2 - 2\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0^2 N\bar{\zeta}_L(p+2).$$

Finally we get, for any $0 \leq \alpha_0 < \lambda_1/2$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_L^{\alpha_0}(V_\theta; B) &\geq 2\eta\alpha_0^2 - 2\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0^2 N\bar{\zeta}_L(p+2) - \frac{2C}{\lambda_1}N\theta^{1+\mu}\alpha_0 - 2\zeta_L^*(p)N\theta^{2+\varepsilon} \\ &\quad - 2\left(\frac{\zeta_L^*(p)}{\lambda_1} + \zeta_L^*(p+1)\right)N\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0 \\ &= 2\eta\alpha_0^2 - N(A\theta^{2+\varepsilon} + B\theta^{1+\mu}\alpha_0 + C\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0 + D\theta^{2+\varepsilon}\alpha_0^2), \end{aligned}$$

where positive real numbers A, B, C, D depend only on L . Given $\theta \in [0, \lambda_1/2)$, if $\alpha_0 = \theta$, then

$$\Delta_L^\theta(V_\theta; B) \geq 2\eta\theta^2 - N(A\theta^{2+\varepsilon} + B\theta^{2+\mu} + C\theta^{3+\varepsilon} + D\theta^{4+\varepsilon}). \quad (3.2)$$

As $\eta > 0$, there exists $\theta_0 \in [0, \lambda_1/2)$, depending on N , sufficiently small such that for any $\theta \in [0, \theta_0]$ and for any $\alpha \in [0, \theta]$, $\Delta_L^\alpha(V_\theta; B) \geq 0$ and then L is a N -compact local minimum for the total V_θ -energy, for any (L, θ) -potential of the (L, θ) -family $(V_\theta)_{\theta \leq \theta_0}$. \square

4 Remarks

1. Isothermal compressibility. It is usual (see [1, 6]) to define the isothermal compressibility κ_T , from pressure P (see Remark 3.1), by

$$\frac{1}{\kappa_T} := -A \frac{dP}{dA} = A \frac{d^2 E_{V_\theta}[L]}{dA^2} = \frac{1}{4A} \sum_{x \in L^*} [\|x\|^2 V_\theta''(\|x\|) - \|x\| V_\theta'(\|x\|)],$$

where A is the area per particle of L and $E_{V_\theta}[L]$ its energy per point. We know that $\kappa_T > 0$ (see [6, Section 5.1]). Actually, that follows here from assumptions on V_θ , if θ is sufficiently small. Indeed, by assumptions, we have, for θ sufficiently small,

$$\frac{4A}{\kappa_T} = \sum_{x \in L^*} [\|x\|^2 V_\theta''(\|x\|) - \|x\| V_\theta'(\|x\|)] \geq \lambda_1^2 m(\lambda_1) \eta - \theta^{2+\varepsilon} \zeta_L^*(p) - C\theta^{1+\mu} > 0.$$

2. Zero pressure condition and local minimality among dilated of L . Let us assume here that, for any $\theta \in [0, \lambda_1/2)$,

$$\mathcal{P}(L, \theta) := - \sum_{x \in L^*} \|x\| V_\theta'(\|x\|) = 0, \quad (4.1)$$

which is thermodynamically natural at zero temperature, for instance if L is the cooling of an ideal gas. Now if we consider $f : r \mapsto E_{V_\theta}[rL]$, we get, by (4.1) and $\kappa_T > 0$ (see previous remark), $f'(1) = 0$ and $f''(1) > 0$, i.e. **L is a local minimum of $L \mapsto E_{V_\theta}[L]$ among its dilated**, which seems natural if L is a N -compact local minimum for the total V_θ -energy for N arbitrarily large, and this is actually assumed in Theil's paper [7].

However, the reverse is false. A Bravais lattice can be a local minimum among its dilated for the energy per point but not a N -compact local minimum for the total energy. For instance, if $d = 1$, $L = \mathbb{Z}$, $N = 1$ and V defined by $V(r) = 0$ for $r \geq 5/2$, $V'(1) = V'(2) = 0$, $V''(1) = -1$ and $V''(2) = 1/3$. We have $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^*} |x| V'(|x|) = 0$ and $\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^*} |x|^2 V''(|x|) = 2/3 \geq 0$, hence \mathbb{Z} is a local minimum among lattices of the V -energy per point. For $\alpha \geq 0$, we estimate, by Taylor expansion,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta^\alpha(V; L) &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^*} [V(|x - \alpha|) - V(|x|)] \\ &= \alpha^2 V''(1) + \alpha^2 V''(2) + \alpha^2 \phi(\alpha) = \alpha^2(-2/3 + \phi(\alpha)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\phi(\alpha)$ goes to 0 as $\alpha \rightarrow 0$. Hence for $\alpha < \alpha_0$ sufficiently small, $-2/3 + \phi(\alpha) < 0$ and \mathbb{Z} is not a 1-compact local minimum of the total V -energy.

3. Effects of parameters ε, μ, p and η . By (3.2), our assumptions on V_θ give indications about the stability of lattice L :

- Range : a large p, C, ε or μ allow to take a large perturbation α_0 for fixed N , i.e. a better decay at infinity implies a stronger stability of the lattice;
- Second derivative around nearest-neighbours distance : a large η also allows a large perturbation α_0 for fixed N . Typically, a narrow well around λ_1 “catches” the first neighbours of the minimizing configuration at distance λ_1 .

4. Difference between the decay after the first distance and the perturbation. We can see that $\theta_0^{2+\varepsilon} \ll \theta_0$, i.e. the maximum decay is really smaller than the maximum perturbation and this allows not to assume a local behaviour of V_θ around λ_1 with respect to θ , as in Theil’s work. Obviously, if $\theta = 0$ then $V_0(r) = 0$ for any $r > r_0$ and $V_0'(\lambda_1) = 0$, therefore λ_1 is a local minimum of V_0 and the potential is short-range : only the first neighbours interact and the N -compact local minimality is clear for any N with a perturbation α_0 as small as N is large.

5. A kind of Cauchy-Born rule. Our result can be viewed like a justification of a kind of Cauchy-Born rule (see [4, 3]). Indeed, if we consider a solid as a Bravais lattice L where the inside is a finite part of L with cardinal N and the rest is its boundary, a small perturbation of the inside, depending on N , increases the total energy of interaction in the solid. That is to say that the inside of the solid follows its boundary to a stable configuration.

Acknowledgements: I am grateful to Florian Theil, Xavier Blanc and Etienne Sandier for their interest and helpful discussions. I wish to express my thanks to the anonymous referee for her/his suggestions.

References

- [1] R. D. Batten, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato. Interactions Leading to Disordered Ground States and Unusual Low-Temperature Behavior. *Physical Review E*, 80, 2009.
- [2] X. Blanc and M. Lewin. The Crystallization Conjecture: A Review. *Preprint*. arXiv:1504.01153, 04 2015.
- [3] W. E and P. Ming. Cauchy–Born Rule and the Stability of Crystalline Solids: Static Problems. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 183:241–297, 2007.
- [4] C. Ortner and F. Theil. Justification of the Cauchy-Born Approximation of Elastodynamics. *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 207(3):1025–1073, March 2013.
- [5] M. C. Rechtsman, F. H. Stillinger, and S. Torquato. Designed Interaction Potentials via Inverse Methods for Self-Assembly. *Physical Review E*, 73, 2006.
- [6] S. Stølen and T. Grande. *Chemical Thermodynamics of Materials*. Wiley, 2004.
- [7] F. Theil. A Proof of Crystallization in Two Dimensions. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 262(1):209–236, 2006.
- [8] S. Torquato. Inverse Optimization Techniques for Targeted Self-Assembly. *Soft Matter*, 5:1157, 2009.