



**HAL**  
open science

## Epidemiological evidence for the association between red and processed meat intake and colorectal cancer

Denis E. Corpet, Stefaan de Smet, Daniel Demeyer

### ► To cite this version:

Denis E. Corpet, Stefaan de Smet, Daniel Demeyer. Epidemiological evidence for the association between red and processed meat intake and colorectal cancer. *Meat Science*, 2014, Vol. 98 (n° 2), p. 115. 10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.05.008 . hal-01143107

**HAL Id: hal-01143107**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01143107>**

Submitted on 16 Apr 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.



## Open Archive TOULOUSE Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in : <http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/>  
Eprints ID : 13799

**To cite this version :**

Corpet, Denis E. and De Smet, Stefaan and Demeyer, Daniel  
*Epidemiological evidence for the association between red and  
processed meat intake and colorectal cancer.* (2014) Meat Science,  
Vol. 98 (n° 2). p. 115. ISSN 0309-1740

Any correspondance concerning this service should be sent to the repository  
administrator: [staff-oatao@inp-toulouse.fr](mailto:staff-oatao@inp-toulouse.fr).

# Epidemiological evidence for the association between red and processed meat intake and colorectal cancer

KEYWORDS: COLORECTAL CANCER, PROCESSED MEAT, DIETARY ADVICE, RED MEAT

A perspective paper was synthesized during the workshop “How can we approach consensus on the healthiness of red meat?” held in Oslo, Norway, in November 2013 (Oostindjer et al., 2014). DE. Corpet and S. De Smet had the honour to participate in this exciting and stimulating meeting and co-signed the article. D. Demeyer was acknowledged in the article for his comments on the draft. The leading authors of the paper probably had the intention to present a balanced view of the discussions, making clear that health issues associated with the consumption of red meat are manifold. However, reaching consensus on a number of critical issues was an ambitious task. The resultant article contains some very interesting information and focuses on the role of red and processed meat in colorectal cancer development. However, not all comments from the co-authors were taken into account in the final manuscript, resulting in a scientifically incorrect statement in the abstract: “Epidemiological and mechanistic data on associations between red and processed meat intake and CRC are inconsistent...” Because of the high visibility of this abstract through many websites and journals we have to strongly object to that statement.

Indeed, the work of the group led by DE Corpet supports the guidelines on intake of red and processed red meat set by the World Cancer Research Fund (WCRF/AICR, 2011). In Belgium, D Demeyer and S De Smet were part of a working group that prepared an extensive report justifying the introduction of these guidelines in Belgium (Superior Health Council Belgium, 2013). Through extensive investigation of the literature the Belgian group confirmed the consistency of the epidemiological data, whereas indeed “underlying mechanisms are unclear” as reported elsewhere (Demeyer, Mertens, De Smet, & Ulens, in press). The major evidence supportive of “inconsistency” comes from the group working with DD. Alexander (e.g., Alexander, Miller, Cushing, & Lowe, 2010) and was presented by DE. Weed at the Oslo workshop. However, as detailed elsewhere (Superior Health Council Belgium, 2013), the convincing power of these review articles suffers from some selectivity in references used,

from their definition of processed red meats, and from conflicts of interest. In addition, Alexander et al. (2010) did not consider weak risk factors (less than 1.20) as relevant although they are significant, and would account for a large proportion of the disease burden at the population level (Brenner, Kloor, & Pox, 2014). Furthermore, each new study on meat and cancer adds to the evidence that the link is real (see e.g. Di Maso et al., 2013). We are therefore convinced that “apart from some uncertainty related to age and ethnicity, epidemiological data are consistent, although the underlying mechanisms remain unclear”. To conclude, Oostindjer et al. (2014) present a comprehensive summary of a workshop gathering a group of 23 scientists with sometimes widely different views on a problem not reflected in the main scientific background of the group. We understand that not all parts of the text can be fully accepted by all authors. However, these 23 authors doubting the overall consistency of the epidemiological evidence in the abstract seems to be “a bridge too far”.

#### REFERENCES

- Alexander, D.D., Miller, A. J., Cushing, C. A., & Lowe, K. A. (2010). Processed meat and colorectal cancer: A quantitative review of prospective epidemiologic studies. *European Journal of Cancer*, 19, 328–341.
- Brenner, H., Kloor, M., & Pox, C. P. (2014). Colorectal cancer. *The Lancet*, 383, 1490–1502.
- Demeyer, D., Mertens, B., De Smet, S., & Ulens, M. (2014). Mechanisms linking colorectal cancer to the consumption of (processed) redmeat: A review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* (in press).
- Di Maso, M., Talamini, R., Bosetti, C., Montella, M., Zucchetto, A., Libra, M., Negri, E., Levi, F., La Vecchia, C., Franceschi, S., Serraino, D., & Polesel, J. (2013). Red meat and cancer risk in a network of case-control studies focusing on cooking practices. *Annals of Oncology*, 24, 3107–3112.
- Oostindjer, M., Alexander, J., Amdam, G. V., Andersen, G., Bryan, N. S., Chen, D., Corpet, D. E., De Smet, S., Dragsted, L. O., Haug, A., Karlsson, A.H., Kleter, G., de Kok, T.M., Kulseng, B., Milkowski, A. L., Martin, R. J., Pajari, A.-M., Paulsen, J. E., Pickova, J., Rudi, K., Sødring, M., Weed, D. L., & Egeland, B. (2014). The role of red and processed meat in colorectal cancer development: A review, based on findings from a workshop. *Meat Science*, 97(4), 583–596. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.02.011>.
- Superior Health Council Belgium (2013). Red meat, processed red meats and the prevention of colorectal cancer. Publication of the Superior Health Council No. 8858. Available from <http://www.health.fgov.be/internet2Prd/groups/public/@public/@shc/documents/ie2divers/19091480.pdf>
- WCRF/AICR (2011). World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research. WCRF/AICR Systematic Literature Review Continuous Update Project Report. Available from <http://www.wcrf.org/PDFs/Colorectal-cancer-CUP-report-2010.pdf>

***Denis E. Corpet***

*Université de Toulouse, ENVT, INRA, UMR1331 Toxalim, BP-87614,  
23 Capelles, F-31076 Toulouse, France*

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +33 561193982; fax: +33 561 491 263.*

*E-mail address: [d.corpet@envt.fr](mailto:d.corpet@envt.fr).*

***Stefaan De Smet***

***Daniel Demeyer***

*Laboratory for Animal Nutrition and Animal Product Quality,  
Department of Animal Production, Ghent University, Proefhoevestraat 10,  
9090 Melle, Belgium*

25 APRIL 2014, MEAT SCIENCE

*Meat Science website: <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/03091740/>*

*<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2014.05.008>*