



HAL
open science

Stability of spherical stellar systems by symplectic methods: numerical test

Jérôme Perez, Jean-Michel Alimi, Jean-Jacques Aly, Hans Jochen Scholl

► To cite this version:

Jérôme Perez, Jean-Michel Alimi, Jean-Jacques Aly, Hans Jochen Scholl. Stability of spherical stellar systems by symplectic methods: numerical test. N-body problems and gravitational dynamics, F. Combes & L. Athanassoula, Mar 1993, Aussois, France. hal-01141498

HAL Id: hal-01141498

<https://hal.science/hal-01141498>

Submitted on 13 Apr 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

STABILITY OF SPHERICAL STELLAR SYSTEMS BY SYMPLECTIC METHOD : NUMERICAL TEST

Jérôme Perez^{1,2}, Jean-Michel Alimi³,
Jean-Jacques Aly¹, Hans Scholl⁴

¹ Service d'Astrophysique - CE Saclay
91191 Gif sur Yvette cedex - France

² ETCA/CREA - 16 bis av. Prieur de la côte d'or
94114 Arcueil cedex -France

³ Laboratoire d'Astronomie Extragalactique et Cosmologie
Observatoire de Meudon - 5, Place Jules Jansen
92 195 Meudon - France

⁴ Departement Cassini - Observatoire de la Côte d'azur
BP 229 - 06 304 Nice cedex 4 - France

Abstract. We present a numerical analysis of a class of radial-anisotropic collisionless spherical stellar systems in order to understand the physical origin of the radial-orbit instability. This work is motivated by new analytical results based on the symplectic formulation of a generalised energy variational technique. We have obtained a first confirmation that the stability of such systems is governed by their aptitude to receive a certain class of perturbations.

1 Introduction

The study of the stability of equilibrium self-gravitating systems is a key-problem in stellar dynamics. It is motivated by three general problems which are modelling, formation and response.

Actual observations enable us to measure physical characteristics of gravitating stellar systems. It is now possible to confront theory with numerical experiences. The application of modern numerical methods allows a wide range of model simulations. However, the problem remains to choose the ‘good’ or ‘real’ model between all possible models. It is natural to assume that the stability of the system can be used to discriminate between choices. A corresponding study is, however, not straightforward, the analytical structure of the inhomogeneous and, in general, anisotropic case make the classical normal mode analysis very unadapted. The only real way to deal with this problem is to use variational energy techniques. For isotropic spherical cases, it is possible to use a variational principle and to obtain later the stability of systems with a monotonously decreasing distribution function. An extension of these techniques was made in the case of anisotropic, radially perturbed systems (Sygnet et al. 1984, Kandrup and Sygnet 1985). However, the general anisotropic case is not totally tractable by this ‘Hermitian’ method.

A global analytical answer will perhaps come from the application of symplectic methods. Introduced by the pioneering work of Bartholomew (1971), revisited by Kandrup (1991) and improved by Perez and Aly (1993 hereafter Paper I), this approach gives a general stability criterion for any system. Applying this result, we showed (Paper I) that the stability of an anisotropic spherical system is directly related to the so-called ‘preserving’ perturbation. It has been shown that systems which can only be perturbed by preserving perturbations (i.e. isotropic systems for example) are stable. On the contrary, it is impossible to generate non vanishing preserving perturbations for systems with stars only on radial orbits. These systems are known to suffer ‘radial orbit’ instability with a singular form for their distribution function. One of the objective of this paper is to bring a numerical response for the intermediate case (Perez et al. 1993).

To make our experiences, we need two kinds of numerical tools. First, we need a relaxed initial condition generator (position and velocity). This set of coordinates must have several important properties. The systems they fit must be relaxed, in the equilibrium case, the virial ratio $\kappa = E_{cin}/2E_{pot} = -1$. Moreover, physical parameters of the modelised system (total mass, size, dynamical time, number of components, ...) must be ajustable to describe the largest class of possible systems. Finally, a large domain of the system’s anisotropy must be considered. In this way, we have chosen the

Ossipkov-Meritt Algorithm (see Binney and Tremaine 1986 hereafter BT). This method based on generalised Abel inversion, consists in the distortion of a known isotropic model (here a polytropic one) into an anisotropic system with essentially one control parameter, the anisotropy radius r_a .

Secondly, the dynamical evolution of these initial conditions submitted to their own gravitational potential is assured by a direct N-Body code with softening parameter (see this volume the paper of H. Scholl & J.-M. Alimi). This code has been developed on a Connection Machine (Massively parallel supercomputer) (Alimi & Scholl 1993). This code and this machine allow us to consider a large range of several sensible parameters like number of particles, time resolution, conservation of energy, and number of experiences.

The architecture of this paper is the following : In the first part (section 2), we present briefly the main analytical results presented in Paper I. In a second part (section 3), we present the whole initial condition algorithm and the dynamical code. In the two last sections we present some preliminary results and their discussions.

2 Analytical Results

2.1 The Symplectic Formulation and Stability Criteria

As it was shown by Morrison 1982 the standard Vlasov equation (dynamical equation of collisionless systems) can be expressed using functionals. Indeed, if F is any functional of the phase space, the motion evolution equation can be written

$$\dot{F}[f] = \int f \left[\frac{\delta F}{\delta f}, \frac{\delta H}{\delta f} \right] d\Gamma := \{F, H\}[f] \quad (1)$$

where $[,]$ denotes the standard Poisson bracket in the canonical conjugated variables p and q . $\{, \}$ have all the properties of a Lie bracket and $\delta/\delta f$ meaning a functional derivative operation. Due to the fact that any physical perturbation of any initial state f_o can be described by a generator g which is an hamiltonian-like function representing the canonical transformation effected, (e.g. Bartolomew 1971), we can find (Paper I) a general Taylor expansion for any functional F during this perturbation

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{F}[f_o] &= F[f_o] - \{G, F\} + \frac{1}{2}\{G, \{G, F\}\} - \frac{1}{3!}\{G, \{G, \{G, F\}\}\} + \dots \\
&= (e^{\{\cdot, G\}}F)[f_o] = F[e^{[g, \cdot]}f_o]
\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where G is such that $\delta G/\delta f = g$ is the Functional generator. It is important to note the development (2) is true for any functional, so we can apply it to all functionals intervening in our problem as energy, entropy or more complicated.

Applying (2) in the special case of the total energy of the system

$$H[f] = \int d\Gamma \frac{p^2}{2m} f(q, p, t) - \frac{Gm^2}{2} \int d\Gamma \int d\Gamma' \frac{f(q, p, t)f(q', p', t)}{|q - q'|} \tag{3}$$

and choosing for f_o a steady state, the first order energy variation is clearly vanishing, and the second order can be written

$$H^{(2)}[f_o] = -\frac{1}{2} \int [g, E][g, f_o] d\Gamma - \frac{Gm^2}{2} \int d\Gamma \int d\Gamma' \frac{[g, f_o] \cdot [g', f_o']}{|q - q'|} \tag{4}$$

where E , the functional derivative of $H[f]$, is the single-particle energy. The stability of the system against some perturbations generated by some g , can now be investigated in the anisotropic inhomogeneous case by the study of the sign of $H^{(2)}[f_o]$. However, this development gives us only a sufficient condition for stability. Indeed, we cannot make like in the paper of Laval , Mercier , Pellat (1965) a connection between $H^{(2)}[f_o]$ and some definite inner product in order to have a general energy principle. As it is quoted in Larsson 1991, the inner-product related to our general problem is indefinite. In this case, relations like Schwartz's inequality fail and do not allow us to connect our energy variation to some dynamical variable to diagnostic linear instabilities.

As it is quoted in BT, stability problems of a collisionless stellar system and of a gas volume in gravitational interaction are closely related. In fact, in many cases, the hydrodynamic problem (when it is identifiable) is simpler than its stellar analog case. Indeed, the hydrodynamic problem is three-dimensional while stellar systems have six degrees of freedom. Hence a technique to simplify the study of stellar systems consists to average over velocities, when it is possible, to deal with the hydrodynamic counterpart of the problem. One of the most important isotropic results, sometimes called

Antonov-Lebovitz Theorem(ALT) (see BT), is based on this technique and assure the stability of $f_o(E)$'s systems against non-radial perturbations.

In a recent paper (Aly and Perez, 1992), we present a new demonstration of this important result. This is the combination of this new method and the symplectic approach of the stability criterion which allow us to obtain our result on the stability of spherical stellar systems.

2.2 Preserving Perturbation

A stationary spherical system has a distribution function which depends only on the energy E and on the squared norm L^2 of the angular momentum L . $f_o = f_o(E, L^2)$. We assume here that

$$f_E := \frac{\partial f_o}{\partial E} \leq 0 \text{ and } f_{L^2} := \frac{\partial f_o}{\partial L^2} \leq 0 \quad (5)$$

We want to consider the stability of such an equilibrium with respect to the class of preserving perturbations, which are generated by all the functions g satisfying $[g, L^2] = 0$. This class of perturbations are quite general, indeed, in the $f(E)$ isotropic case all perturbations are preserving. In this anisotropic spherical case all $g(L^2, L_x, L_y, L_z)$ generate preserving perturbations like in particular spherically symmetric ones. In such case one can split the perturbation f_1 in two parts with one invariant against rotation

$$f_1 = \overline{f_1} + \delta f_1 \\ \overline{f_1}(q, p) = \int f_1(R(q), R(p))dR \text{ and } \int \delta f_1(R(q), R(p))dR = 0$$

where the averaging is made over all possible rotations R . Hence, one can show that the second order variation of the energy splits in $H^{(2)}[f_1] = H^{(2)}[\overline{f_1}] + H^{(2)}[\delta f_1]$. The first part being positive (Kandrup and Sygnet 1985) we study the second non-radial part and show (using a revisited proof of ALT)

$$H^{(2)}[\delta f_1] \geq \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\delta \rho_1)^2}{|f_E|} dr - \frac{Gm^2}{2} \int dr \int dr' \frac{\delta \rho_1 \delta \rho_1'}{|q - q'|} \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

where the non radial part of the perturbed density $\delta \rho_1$ is directly obtained from δf_1 by a velocity averaging. This result assures the stability of any anisotropic spherical stellar system - having the properties (5) - against preserving perturbations. More precisely we can say that if a g -generated perturbation makes the system unstable, then $[g, L^2] \neq 0$.

As we said before, in the isotropic case all perturbations are preserving. Moreover, in the purely radial orbit case where $f_o = \phi(E)\delta(L^2)$, Paper I shows the absence of such perturbations and exposes the instability case. It has no sense to speak about a number of preserving perturbations that can affect a given system. Indeed, any perturbation set is functional with an infinite dimension. But, we can naturally make the conjecture that the stability of such systems is closely related to the value of the $[g, L^2]$ real random variable. This is the purpose of our tests explained in the following sections.

3 Numerical techniques

3.1 The initial condition generator

In most papers presenting some numericals results about the stability of spherical systems, the main objectif consists to show the radial orbit instability (Merritt and Aguilar 1985, Barnes et al.1986, Palmer and Papaloizou 1987,1988, Weinberg 1990, Saha,1991). This mechanism is roughly explained by Antonov (1973) but it is not yet well understood. The best classic explanation is given by an empiric formula (Fridmann and Polyachenko 1986) which states that a spherical stellar system is unstable if

$$\tau_s := \frac{K_r}{2K_t} > 1,7 \pm 0.2 \quad (7)$$

K_r and K_t denoting respectively the kinetic energy of stars on radial and transversal orbits. Most of previous works take for starting point a quantity directly related to τ_s . It is the case if one considers a distribution function of the form $f_o \approx E^n L^{2m}$, or if one takes a special form for the velocity dispersion tensor. However, in this optic, one loses all information about the physical parameters of the system, and may be, one can speak about unrealistic system.

Our choice is different and consist to study a fine aspect of the largest possible class of physical systems, taking into account the radial orbit instability as a physical fact. The Ostriker-Merritt algorithm is well adapted to this kind of situation. This algorithm (e.g. Ostriker 1979; Merritt 1985a,b) is built on the Abel inversion technique, and generates an anisotropic system

from a controlled deformation of an isotropic one. Starting with a known isotropic gravitational potential $\psi_{iso}(r)$, we can, obtain via Poisson equation, the associated density $\rho_{iso}(r)$. The Ossipkov-Merritt algorithm consists then to deform this density in the following way

$$\rho_{ani}(r) := \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{r_a^2}\right) \rho_{iso}(r) \quad (8)$$

where the parameter r_a , called anisotropic radius, controls the deformation. In these conditions, we can form a class of anisotropic distributions functions which depend both on E and L^2 through the variable

$$Q := E + \frac{L^2}{2r_a^2} \quad (9)$$

and which can be calculated by the following relation

$$f_o(Q) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4\pi^2} \frac{d}{dQ} \int_Q^0 \frac{d\psi_{iso}}{\sqrt{\psi_{iso} - Q}} \frac{d\rho_{ani}}{d\psi_{iso}} \quad (10)$$

The velocity anisotropy at any radius r of this model is given by

$$\frac{\sigma_r^2}{\sigma_t^2} := \frac{\langle v_r^2 \rangle}{\frac{1}{2} \langle v_t^2 \rangle} = 1 + \frac{r^2}{r_a^2} \quad (11)$$

Anisotropy depends only on r and r_a , this means that the model is always isotropic in the center and becomes anisotropic outwards.

We have chosen for the isotropic potential, the polytropic model, solution of the Lane-Emden differential equation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left(r^2 \frac{d\psi}{dr} \right) &= (-1)^n 4\pi G c_n \psi^n \\ c_n &= \frac{(2\pi)^{\frac{3}{2}} \Gamma(n - \frac{1}{2})}{\Gamma(n + 1)} \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

The potential range varies from a free parameter $\psi(0) < 0$ to 0, and as there should be no gravitationnal force at the center of the system, $d\psi/dr = 0$ at $r = 0$. To keep the mass finite, the polytropic index n must be ≤ 5 and for a ψ -convergence $n > \frac{1}{2}$. Tuning n and $\psi(0)$, we can modify all the physical parameters of the stellar system (mass, dynamical time, size, \dots). Different models are presented below

Table 1 : Physicals parameters

n	r_a	$Mass$	$Size$	T_d	$\psi(0)$	τ_s	n	r_a	$Mass$	$Size$	T_d	$\psi(0)$	τ_s
	10	5.65	2.34	1.93	1.62	1.02		10	6.04	5.33	1.07	1.3	1.00
	2	4.61	2.20	1.91	1.43	0.84		2	2.81	4.38	1.16	1.07	0.78
2	1.5	3.75	2.10	2.00	1.30	0.82	3	1.5	2.75	4.22	1.13	1.03	0.78
	1.2	3.67	2.05	1.99	1.24	0.81		1.2	3.25	4.18	1.08	1.02	0.82
	1	3.79	2.01	1.95	1.20	0.88		1	4.38	4.22	1.01	1.03	0.95
	10	4.75	13.75	0.74	1.15	0.93		10	3.66	29.95	0.84	1.09	1.16
	2	2.81	11.13	0.64	1.00	0.78		2	3.34	25.05	0.40	.985	0.77
4	1.5	2.87	10.64	0.61	0.97	0.79	4.5	1.5	4.11	24.35	0.36	0.97	1.06
	1.2	5.41	11.13	0.52	1.00	0.87		1.2	4.47	23.43	0.35	0.95	1.13
	1	4.11	10.30	0.56	0.95	0.93		1	9.62	25.05	0.29	.981	1.01

All this models are virial-relaxed, the adjustment to the relaxation is made by a $\psi(0)$ tuning. The corresponding distribution functions are plotted below in same normalised frame (for the same value of r_a)

Figure 1 : Phase space Distribution functions

An important physical limitation of this model can be seen on this figures. Each value of polytropic index has a critical r_a . For higher anisotropic systems, the distribution functions are negative in some region of the phase

space. This is an illustration of the fact that an arbitrary spherical mass distribution cannot always be reproduced by radial orbits. Nevertheless our initial condition generator is made robust to this problem and gives more anisotropic models. But in this case we can't have the real distribution function. At this moment it is important to remark that many authors haven't such scruple and push their models in the far anisotropy.

Using this distribution function it is now possible to generate N position-velocity bodies.

3.2 Dynamical Evolution

For a complete analysis of our simulations we built several interpretation tools

- An axial ratio calculator. Taking a set of positions (the same work is possible for velocities) we compute the inertial matrix in the $(0, x, y, z)$ frame. We diagonalize it to obtain its eigenvalues $\lambda_1 > \lambda_2 > \lambda_3$ and finally the two axial ratios

$$a = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_2} \geq 1 \text{ and } b = \frac{\lambda_3}{\lambda_2} \leq 1 \quad (13)$$

The calculation of the axial ratio at each step of evolution giving the evolution of the global system. In the initial spherical case, all $\lambda = 1$. This tool is well-known in this context (see Palmer and Papaloizou,1987,1988, Binney and Aguilar,1985)

- A distribution function reconstructor. To follow the dynamical evolution of the distribution function we have built a code which calculates the energy and squared angular momentum and evaluates the distribution function in the $E - L^2$ plane.
- The preservant tool. In view to test our analytical conjecture, we elaborate a code which calculates the poisson bracket between the peculiar displacement generator and the squared angular momentum of each particle. This is possible because of the relation

$$dr_i ; = \frac{\partial g_i}{\partial p_i} \text{ and } dp_i ; = -\frac{\partial g_i}{\partial r_i} \quad (14)$$

The statistical study of the random variable $[g, L^2]$ is the key point for the preservice.

We have analysed three kinds of models with different physical parameters. Model (1) with $n = 2$ and $r_a = 0.5$, have a parameter stability $\tau_s = 1.75$; (2) and (3) respectively $n = 4.5, r_a = 1.2$ and $n = 3, r_a = 10$ are physically different but they are both stable (in prevision). The dynamical experience allows us to produce the following figures

Figure 2 : Axial ratio analyse

Figure 3 : $[g, L^2]$ histogram

In figure 2 we have plotted the evolution of the star distribution in the space. All initial models are spherical and their two axial ratios are 1. The distributions of (2) and (3) do not change during the time evolution, and (1) becomes elongated in one direction while the other stay the same. This is the well known radial orbit instability. On the other hand figure 2 shows a more original property. Having no significant difference in this analysis, (2) and (3) have been merged in the same histogram. In these plots, we make the histogram of the random variable $[g, L^2]$ calculated for each star. The δt corresponding to the δf associated to the perturbation generator g , is arbitrarily chosen equal to $T_{dyn}/10$. The effect seen on this figure is clear, in the stable case (2), (3), $[g, L^2]$ is symmetric and highly peaked around the zero preserving value. On the other hand, in the unstable (1) case, we note a non-symmetry of the histogram which is moreover less peaked than the previous. The effect, although not very important, exists according to analytical predictions.

4 Discussion

As we said in the introduction, the objective of this work is not to see the well known radial orbit instability. Our goal is more concentrated on the mechanism of this phenomenon. Basing ourself on recent analytical statements, we have tried to show that the stability susceptibility of a spherical collisionless stellar system is governed by his ability to receive preserving perturbations. However, there exists a criterion for this stability (see eq.(7)). But as all empiric results, it has some interpretation problems, and it is sometimes contested in its globality (Palmer et al,1991). Our study of $[g, L^2]$ histogram is more complicated than the previous analysis but it has a solid analytical result behind it, and we are able to understand why the system is stable or not.

References

- Alimi, J. M., & Scholl, H. 1993, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. C*, **4**, 197.
Aly, J.J.,Perez,J., 1992,*Mon. Not. Roy. Soc.*, **259**, 95
Antonov, V.A., 1962,*Vest. Leningrad Univ.*, **7**, 135.
Barnes,J., 1986, *in Dynamics of Stellar Systems, IAU symposium No 113*, ed. *Goodmann,J.*,

Hut, P., p297, Dordrecht, Reidel
 Bartolomew, P., 1971, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.*, **151**, 333
 Binney, J., Tremaine S., 1984, *Galactic Dynamics*, Princeton University Press.
 Fridman, A.M., Polyachenko, V.L., 1984, *Physics of gravitating systems*, New York, Springer
 Kandrup, H.E., 1990, *Astrophys. J.*, **351**, 104
 Kandrup, H.E., 1991a, *Astrophys. J.*, **370**, 312
 Kandrup, H.E., 1991b, *Astrophys. J.*, **380**, 511
 Kandrup, H., Sygnet, J.F., 1985, *Astrophys. J.*, **298**, 27.
 Larsson, J., 1991, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **66.11**, 1466
 Laval, G., Mercier, C., Pellat, R., 1965, *Nuclear fusion*, **5**, 156
 Merritt, D. Aguilar, L. A., 1985, *Mon. Not. Roy. Soc. Astron.*, **217**, 787
 Merritt, D., 1985a, *Astron. J.*, **86**, 1027
 Merritt, D., 1985b, *Mon. Not. Roy. Soc. Astron.*, **214**, 25P
 Morisson, P.J., 1980, *Physics Let. A*, **80**, 383
 Palmer, P.L., Papaloizou, J., 1987, *Mon. Not. Roy. Soc. Astron.*, **224**, 10 43
 Palmer, P.L., Papaloizou, J., 1988, *Mon. Not. Roy. Soc. Astron.*, **231**, 93 5
 Perez, J., Alimi, J.-M., Aly, J.J., & H. Scholl 1993, in preparation
 Perez, J., Aly, J.J., 1993, in preparation, Paper I
 Sygnet, J.F., Des Forets, G., Lachieze-Rey, M., Pellat, R., 1984, *Astrophys. J.*, **276**, 737