

A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive *p*-adic groups

Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis

▶ To cite this version:

Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis. A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive p-adic groups. 2015. hal-01138463v1

HAL Id: hal-01138463 https://hal.science/hal-01138463v1

Preprint submitted on 2 Apr 2015 (v1), last revised 21 Dec 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A short proof of the existence of supercuspidal representations for all reductive p-adic groups

Raphaël Beuzart-Plessis*

2 avril 2015

Résumé

Let G be a reductive p-adic group. It can be important for certain global arguments on the trace formula to know that G admits supercuspidal complex representations. We prove that it is always the case. This result has already been established by A. Kret in [K]. Our argument is of a different nature and is based on the Harish-Chandra theory of cusp forms. It ultimately relies on the existence of elliptic maximal tori in G.

Let p be a prime number and let F be a p-adic field (i.e. a finite extension of \mathbb{Q}_p). We denote by \mathcal{O} the ring of integers of F and we fix a uniformizer $\varpi \in \mathcal{O}$. We also denote by $val: F^{\times} \to \mathbb{Z}$ the normalized valuation. Let G be a connected reductive group defined over F. We will denote by \mathfrak{g} the Lie algebra of G. A sentence like "Let P = MN be a parabolic subgroup of G" will mean as usual that P is a parabolic subgroup of G defined over F, that N is its unipotent radical and that M is a Levi component of P also defined over F. Also, all subgroups of G that we consider will be implicitly assumed to be defined over F.

Recall that a smooth representation of G(F) is a pair (π, V_{π}) where V_{π} is a complex vector space (usually infinite dimensional) and π is a morphism $G(F) \to GL(V_{\pi})$ such that for all vector $v \in V_{\pi}$ the stabilizer $Stab_{G(F)}(v)$ of v in G(F) is an open subgroup. Let (π, V_{π}) be a smooth representation of G(F) and let P = MN be a parabolic subgroup of G. The Jacquet module of (π, V_{π}) with respect to P is the space

$$V_{\pi,N} = V_{\pi}/V_{\pi}(N)$$

where $V_{\pi}(N)$ is the subspace of V_{π} generated by the elements $v - \pi(n)v$ for all $v \in V_{\pi}$ and all $n \in N(F)$. It is also the bigger quotient of V_{π} on which N(F) acts trivially. There is a natural linear action π_N of M(F) on $V_{\pi,N}$ and $(\pi_N, V_{\pi,N})$ is a smooth representation of M(F). The functor $V_{\pi} \mapsto V_{\pi,N}$ is an exact functor from the category of smooth representations of G(F) to the category of smooth representations of M(F). Indeed, this follows from the following fact (cf proposition III.2.9 of [Re])

^{*}Research supported by the Gould Fund

(1) Let $(N(F)_k)_{k \ge 0}$ be an increasing sequence of compact-open subgroups of N(F)such that $N(F) = \bigcup_{k \ge 0} N(F)_k$ (such sequence always exists). Then a vector $v \in V_{\pi}$ belongs to $V_{\pi,N}$ if and only if there exists $k \ge 0$ such that

$$\int_{N(F)_k} \pi(n) v dn = 0$$

Let (π, V_{π}) be an irreducible smooth representation of G(F) (irreducible means that V_{π} is nonzero and that it has no non-trivial G(F)-invariant subspace). We say that (π, V_{π}) is supercuspidal if for all proper parabolic subgroup P = MN of G, the Jacquet module $V_{\pi,N}$ is zero. An equivalent conditions is that the coefficients of (π, V_{π}) are compactly supported modulo the center (cf theorem VI.2.1 of [Re]).

The purpose of this short article is to show the following

Theorem 1 G(F) admits irreducible supercuspidal representations.

This theorem has already been proved by A.Kret ([K]). We propose here a different proof. Namely, we will deduce theorem 1 from the existence of nonzero compactly supported cusp forms, in the sense of Harish-Chandra, for the group G(F). Before stating this existence result, we need to introduce some more definitions and notations. We will denote by $C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ the space of complex-valued functions on G(F) that are smooth, i.e. locally constant, and compactly supported. We say that a function $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ is a cusp form if for all proper parabolic subgroup P = MN of G we have

$$\int_{N(F)} f(xn) dn = 0, \quad \forall x \in G(F)$$

(these functions are called supercusp forms in [H-C]). We denote by $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F)) \subseteq C^{\infty}_{c}(G(F))$ the subspace of cusp forms. As we said, theorem 1 will follows from the following proposition.

Proposition 1 We have $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F)) \neq 0$.

Proof that proposition 1 implies theorem 1 : Let us denote by ρ the action of G(F) on $C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ given by right translation. Then, $(\rho, C_c^{\infty}(G(F)))$ is a smooth representation of G(F). Moreover, it is easy to see that the subspace $C_{c,cusp}^{\infty}(G(F)) \subseteq C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ is G(F)-invariant. We claim the following

(2) For all proper parabolic subgroup P = MN of G, we have

$$C^{\infty}_{c.cusp}(G(F))_N = 0$$

Let P = MN be a proper parabolic subgroup of G and let us fix an increasing sequence $(N(F)_k)_{k\geq 0}$ of compact-open subgroups of N(F) such that $N(F) = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} N(F)_k$. Let $f \in C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F))$. By (1), it suffices to show the existence of an integer $k \geq 0$ such that

$$\int_{N(F)_k} \rho(n) f dn = 0$$

or what amounts to the same

(3)
$$\int_{N(F)_k} f(xn)dn = 0, \quad \forall x \in G(F)$$

Since Supp(f) (the support of the function f) is compact, there exists $k \ge 0$ such that

(4)
$$Supp(f) \cap Supp(f) (N(F) \setminus N(F)_k) = \emptyset$$

We now show that (3) is satisfied for such a k. Let $x \in G(F)$. If $x \notin Supp(f)N(F)_k$, the term inside the integral (3) is always zero and there is nothing to prove. Assume now that $x \in Supp(f)N(F)_k$. Up to translating x by an element of $N(F)_k$, we may as well assume that $x \in Supp(f)$. Then, by (4) we have $xn \notin Supp(f)$ for all $n \in N(F) \setminus N(F)_k$. It follows that

$$\int_{N(F)_k} f(xn) dn = \int_{N(F)} f(xn) dn$$

But by definition of $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F))$, this last integral is equal to zero. This proves (3) and ends the proof of (2).

We now show how to deduce from (2) that proposition 1 implies theorem 1. Assume that proposition 1 is satisfied. Then, we can find $f \in C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F))$ which is nonzero. Denote by V_f the G(F)-invariant subspace of $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(G(F))$ generated by f and let $V \subseteq V_f$ be a maximal G(F)-invariant subspace among those not containing f (Zorn's lemma). Then, V_f/V is a smooth irreducible representation of G(F). We claim that it is supercuspidal. Indeed, let P = MN be a proper parabolic subgroup of G. By (2) and since the Jacquet module's functor is left exact, we have $V_{f,N} = 0$. Hence, since the Jacquet module's functor is also right exact, we have $(V_f/V)_N = 0$. Thus, V_f/V is indeed a supercuspidal representation and this proves theorem 1.

Because of the above, we are now left with proving proposition 1. The strategy is to prove first an analog result on the Lie algebra and then lift it to the group by mean of the exponential map. Let $C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ be the space of complex-valued smooth and compactly supported functions on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. We say that a function $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ is a cusp form if for all proper parabolic subgroup P = MN of G we have

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} \varphi(X+N) dN = 0, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$$

We denote by $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \subseteq C^{\infty}_{c}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ the subspace of cusp forms. The analog of proposition 1 for the Lie algebra is the following lemma

Lemma 1 We have $C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F)) \neq 0$.

Before proving this lemma, we first show how it implies proposition 1.

Proof that lemma 1 implies proposition 1 : Assume that lemma 1 holds. Then, we can find a nonzero function $\varphi \in C^{\infty}_{c,cusp}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$. The idea is to lift φ to a function on G(F) using the exponential map. Of course, the exponential map is not necessarily defined on the support of φ . Hence, we need first to scale the function φ so that its support becomes small. Let us fix an element $\lambda \in F^{\times}$ in all what follows. We define the function φ_{λ} by

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(X) = \varphi(\lambda^{-1}X), \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$$

We easily check that φ_{λ} is still a cusp form. Recall that there exists an open neighborhood $\omega \subseteq \mathfrak{g}(F)$ of 0 on which the exponential map exp is defined and such that it realizes an F-analytic isomorphism

$$\exp:\omega\simeq\Omega$$

where $\Omega = \exp(\omega)$. Since $Supp(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \lambda Supp(\varphi)$, for λ sufficiently small, we have

 $Supp(\varphi_{\lambda}) \subseteq \omega$

We henceforth assume that λ is that sufficiently small. This allows us to define a function f_{λ} on G(F) by setting

$$f_{\lambda}(g) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{\lambda}(X) & \text{if } g = \exp(X) \text{ for some } X \in \omega \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for all $g \in G(F)$. Note that we have $f_{\lambda} \in C_c^{\infty}(G(F))$ and obviously the function f_{λ} is nonzero. Hence, we will be done if we can prove the following

(5) If λ is sufficiently small, the function f_{λ} is a cusp form.

Let us denote by $\log : \Omega \to \omega$ the inverse of the exponential map. Then, by the Campbell-Hausdorff formula, it is easy to see that we can find a lattice $L \subseteq \omega$ that satisfies the following condition

(6)
$$\log(e^X e^Y) \in X + Y + \varpi^{val_L(X) + val_L(Y)} L$$

for all $X, Y \in L$ and where we have set $val_L(X) = \sup\{k \in \mathbb{Z}; X \in \varpi^k L\}$ for all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$. For all integer $n \ge 0$, we set $K_n = \exp(\varpi^n L)$. It is easy to infer from (6) that K_n is an opencompact subgroup of G(F) for all $n \ge 0$. Since φ is smooth and compactly supported, there exists $n_0 \ge 0$ such that translation by $\varpi^{n_0}L$ leaves φ invariant. Also, since φ is compactly supported, there exists $n_1 \ge 0$ such that $Supp(\varphi) \subseteq \varpi^{-n_1}L$. We will show that (5) is true provided $val(\lambda) \ge 2n_1 + n_0$. Assume this is so and set $n = val(\lambda) - n_1$. Then, we have

(7)
$$Supp(\varphi_{\lambda}) = \lambda Supp(\varphi) \subseteq \lambda \varpi^{-n_1} L = \varpi^n L$$

Hence, it follows that

(8)
$$Supp(f_{\lambda}) \subseteq K_n$$

Let P = MN be a proper parabolic subgroup of G and let $x \in G(F)$. Consider the integral

(9)
$$\int_{N(F)} f_{\lambda}(xn) dn$$

If $xN(F) \cap K_n = \emptyset$, then by (8) the term inside the integral above is identically zero and it follows that the integral is itself equal to zero. Assume now that $xK_n \cap N(F) \neq \emptyset$. Up to translating x by an element of N(F), we may assume that $x \in K_n$. Then, we may write $x = e^X$ for some $X \in \varpi^n L$. Using again (8), and since K_n is a subgroup of G(F), we see that the integral (9) is supported on $K_n \cap N(F)$. Thus, we have

(10)
$$\int_{N(F)} f_{\lambda}(xn) dn = \int_{K_n \cap N(F)} f_{\lambda}(e^X n) dn$$

Set $L_N = L \cap \mathfrak{n}(F)$. Then, if we normalize measures correctly, the exponential map induces a measure preserving isomorphism $\varpi^n L_N \simeq K_n \cap N(F)$ so that we have

(11)
$$\int_{K_n \cap N(F)} f_{\lambda}(e^X n) dn = \int_{\varpi^n L_N} f_{\lambda}(e^X e^N) dN = \int_{\varpi^n L} \varphi_{\lambda}(\log(e^X e^N)) dN$$

By (6), for all $N \in \varpi^n L_N$ we have

(12)
$$\log(e^X e^N) \in X + N + \varpi^{2n} L$$

Moreover, since φ is invariant by translation by $\varpi^{n_0}L$, the function φ_{λ} is invariant by translation by $\lambda \varpi^{n_0}L = \varpi^{n+n_1+n_0}L$ (recall that $n = val(\lambda) - n_1$). As $val(\lambda) \ge 2n_1 + n_0$, we also have $n \ge n_1 + n_0$. So finally, the function φ_{λ} is invariant by translation by $\varpi^{2n}L$. Thus, by (12), we have

$$\varphi_{\lambda}(\log(e^{X}e^{N})) = \varphi_{\lambda}(X+N)$$

for all $N \in \varpi^n L_N$. By (10) and (11), it follows that

(13)
$$\int_{N(F)} f_{\lambda}(xn) dn = \int_{\varpi^n L} \varphi_{\lambda}(X+N) dN$$

By (7) and since $X \in \varpi^n L$, the function $N \in \mathfrak{n}(F) \mapsto \varphi_\lambda(X+N)$ is supported on $\varpi^n L_N$. Hence, we have

$$\int_{\varpi^n L} \varphi_\lambda(X+N) dN = \int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)} \varphi_\lambda(X+N) dN$$

Since φ_{λ} is a cusp form, this last integral is zero. Hence, by (13) the integral (9) is also zero. Since it is true for all $x \in G(F)$ and all proper parabolic subgroup P = MN of G, this shows that f_{λ} is a cusp form. Hence, (5) is indeed satisfied as soon as $val(\lambda) \ge 2n_1 + n_0$ and this ends the proof that lemma 1 implies proposition 1.

It now only remains to prove lemma 1. Recall that a maximal torus T in G is said to be elliptic if $A_T = A_G$, where A_T and A_G denotes the maximal split subtorus in T and the center of G respectively. The proof of lemma 1 will ultimately rely on the following existence result (cf Theorem 6.21 of [PR]) :

Theorem 2 G admits an elliptic maximal torus.

<u>Proof of lemma 1</u>: Let us fix a symmetric non-degenerate bilinear form B on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ which is $\overline{G(F)}$ -invariant. Such a bilinear form is easy to construct. On $\mathfrak{g}_{der}(F)$, the derived subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$, we have the Killing form B_{Kil} which is symmetric $\overline{G(F)}$ -invariant and nondegenerate. Hence, we may take $B = B_{\mathfrak{z}} \oplus B_{Kil}$ where $B_{\mathfrak{z}}$ is any non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form on $\mathfrak{z}_G(F)$, the center of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. Let us also fix a non-trivial continuous additive character $\psi: F \to \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. Using those, we can define the Fourier transform on $C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ by

$$\widehat{\varphi}(Y) = \int_{\mathfrak{g}(F)} \varphi(X) \psi(B(X,Y)) dX, \quad \varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F)), \ Y \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$$

Of course, this Fourier transform also depends on the choice of a Haar measure on $\mathfrak{g}(F)$. More generally, if V is a subspace of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ and V^{\perp} denotes the orthogonal of V with respect to B, we can also define a Fourier transform $C_c^{\infty}(V) \to C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F)/V^{\perp}), \varphi \mapsto \widehat{\varphi}$, by setting

$$\widehat{\varphi}(Y) = \int_V \varphi(Y) \psi(B(X,Y)) dY, \quad X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)/V^{\perp}$$

where again we need to choose a Haar measure on V. It is easy to check that for compatible choices of Haar measures, the following diagram commutes

where the horizontal arrows are Fourier transforms, the left vertical arrow is given by restriction to V and the right vertical arrow is given by integration over the cosets of V^{\perp} . For P = MN a parabolic subgroup of G, we have $\mathfrak{p}(F)^{\perp} = \mathfrak{n}(F)$. The commutation of the above diagram in this particular case gives us (for some compatible choices of Haar measures) the following formula

(14)
$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)}\widehat{\varphi}(X+N)dN = \int_{\mathfrak{p}(F)}\varphi(Y)\psi(B(X,Y))dY$$

for all $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ and all $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$.

Let T_{ell} be an elliptic maximal torus of G whose existence is insured by theorem 2. Let \mathfrak{t}_{ell} be its Lie algeba and $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg} = \mathfrak{t}_{ell} \cap \mathfrak{g}_{reg}$ be the subset of G-regular elements in \mathfrak{t}_{ell} . Denote by $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$ the subset of elements in $\mathfrak{g}_{reg}(F)$ that are G(F)-conjugated to an element of $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)$. Then, $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$ is an open subset of $\mathfrak{g}(F)$ (since the map $T_{ell}(F) \setminus G(F) \times \mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F) \to \mathfrak{g}(F), (g, X) \mapsto g^{-1}Xg$, is everywhere submersive). In particular, we can certainly find a non-zero function $\varphi \in C_c^{\infty}(\mathfrak{g}(F))$ whose support is contained in $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G$. Let us fix such a function φ . We claim the following

(15) The function $\widehat{\varphi}$ is a cusp form.

Indeed, let P = MN be a proper parabolic subgroup of G and let $X \in \mathfrak{g}(F)$. Then, we need to see that the following integral

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}(F)}\widehat{\varphi}(X+N)dN$$

is zero. By (14), this integral is equal to

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}(F)}\varphi(Y)\psi(B(X,Y))dY$$

Hence, we only need to show that $Supp(\varphi) \cap \mathfrak{p}(F) = \emptyset$. By definition of φ , it even suffices to see that $\mathfrak{t}_{ell,reg}(F)^G \cap \mathfrak{p}(F) = \emptyset$. But this follows immediately from the fact that P being proper, it doesn't contain any elliptic maximal torus of G.

Bibliography

[H-C] Harish-Chandra : *Harmonic analysis on reductive p-adic groups*, Notes by G. van Dijk, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 162. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1970. iv+125 pp

[K] A. Kret : Existence of cuspidal representations of p-adic reductive groups, arXiv e-prints, May 2012

[PR] V.Platonov, A. Rapinchuk : Algebraic groups and number theory, Translated from the 1991 Russian original by Rachel Rowen. Pure and Applied Mathematics, 139. Academic Press, Inc., Boston, MA, 1994. xii+614 pp

[Re] D. Renard : *Représentations des groupes réductifs p-adiques*, Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses], 17. Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2010. vi+332 pp

Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ USA email address : rbeuzart@gmail.com