HAL
open science

# Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption 

Andrey Shishkov, Laurent Véron

## To cite this version:

Andrey Shishkov, Laurent Véron. Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption. 2015. hal-01136836v2

## HAL Id: hal-01136836 <br> https://hal.science/hal-01136836v2

Preprint submitted on 8 May 2015 (v2), last revised 9 Sep 2015 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption 

Andrey Shishkov<br>Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine, R. Luxemburg str. 74, 83114 Donetsk, Ukraine<br>Laurent Véron<br>Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 6083, Université François-Rabelais, 37200 Tours, France

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K58; 35K61.
Key words. semilinear heat equations; absorption; maximal and minimal solutions; non-uniqueness; maximal growth.
Abstract We study the admissible growth of initial data of positive solutions of $\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+f(u)=0$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ when $f(u)$ is a continuous mildly superlinear function at infinity, the model being $f(u)=u \ln ^{\alpha}(u)$ with $1<\alpha<2$. We prove that if the growth of the initial data is too strong, there is no more diffusion and the corresponding solution satisfies the ODE problem $\partial_{t} \phi+f(\phi)=0$ on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$with $\phi(0)=\infty$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $h$ be a continuous nondecreasing function defined on $\mathbb{R}$ and vanishing only at 0 . It is well known that for any continuous and bounded function $g$ belonging to $C_{b}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, the
cone of bounded nonnegative continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{N}$, there exists a unique weak solution $u:=u_{g} \in C_{b}^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u h(u)=0 & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, .)=g & \text { locally uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Furthermore, the solution $u$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \Phi_{\|g\|_{L^{\infty}}}(t) \quad \forall(t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{a}$ is the restriction to $\mathbb{R}_{+}$of the maximal solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{t}+\Phi h(\Phi) & =0 \quad \text { on } \mathbb{R} \\
\Phi(0) & =a \tag{1.3}
\end{align*}
$$

When $g$ ceases to be bounded, the existence of a solution holds provided

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\operatorname{sh}(s)}<\infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $a>0$. Furthermore there exists a minimal solution $\underline{u}_{g}$ which is the limit, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, of the solutions $u_{g_{n}}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u h(u) & =0 & & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \\
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, .) & =g \chi_{B_{n}} & & \text { locally uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where $B_{n}$ denotes the open ball of radius $n$ and $\chi_{A}$ is characteristic function of the set $A$. Furthermore there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \underline{u}_{g} \leq \Phi_{\infty} \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first prove a uniqueness result
Theorem A Assume $r \mapsto r h(r)$ is convex and satisfies, for some $a>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{H(s)}}<\infty \text { where } H(t)=\int_{0}^{s} t h(t) d t \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

then for any $g \in C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right), \underline{u}_{g}$ is the unique nonnegative solution of (1.1).
When $h$ is a power the class of existence and uniqueness is much larger and is associated to the notion of initial trace which has been thoroughly studied by Marcus and Véron [3], [4] and Gkikas and Véron [2].

When

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{a}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{H(s)}}=\infty \quad \forall a>0 \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniqueness may not hold in the class of unbounded solution. If, for any $b>0, V_{b}$ denotes the maximal solution of the following ODE

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{r r}+\frac{N-1}{r} V_{r}-V h(V) & =0 \quad \text { on }\left(0, R_{a}\right) \\
V(0) & =b  \tag{1.9}\\
V_{r}(0) & =0
\end{align*}
$$

then $R_{b}=\infty$ (see e.g. [7]). Furthermore, Nguyen Phuoc and Véron proved in [5] that if $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}(|x|) \leq g(x) \leq V_{b}(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $b>c>0$, then there exists at least two different solutions of (1.1) defined in $Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}$ : the minimal one $\underline{u}_{g}$ which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underline{u}_{g}(x, t) \leq \Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \forall(x, t) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and another one $u_{g}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{c}(|x|) \leq u_{g}(x, t) \leq V_{b}(|x|) \quad \forall(x, t) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} . \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Actually it is not clear wether there exists a maximal solution or not. However, if $g$ satisfies (1.10), then there exists a minimal solution $\underline{u}_{g, c, b}$ and a maximal one $\bar{u}_{g, c, b}$ in the class $\mathcal{E}_{c, b}(g)$ of solutions of problem (1.1), satisfying inequalities (1.12). These two solutions can be constructed by the following approximate scheme. Let us define sequence $\left\{\underline{u}_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u h(u)=0 & \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times B_{n} \\
u(t, x)=V_{c}(n) & \text { in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \partial B_{n}  \tag{1.13}\\
u(0, .)=g & \text { in } B_{n} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then it is easy to check using comparison principle that the sequence $\left\{\underline{u}_{n}\right\}$ is increasing and converges to $\underline{u}_{g, c, b}$. Similarly, the sequence $\left\{\bar{u}_{n}\right\}$ of solutions of the same equation in $Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}$ with the same initial data and boundary value $V_{b}(n)$ is decreasing and converges to $\bar{u}_{g, c, b}$.

When the initial data $g$ growth at infinity faster than any function $V_{b}$ with arbitrary $b<\infty$ we prove that such a solution cannot exist: For any $a>0$ we denote by $u:=u_{a, n}$ the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u h(u)=0 \\
& \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times B_{n}  \tag{1.14}\\
& u(t, x)=V_{a}(n) \\
& \text { in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \partial B_{n} \\
& u(0, .)=\min \left\{V_{a}, g\right\} \\
& \text { in } B_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem B Assume $r \mapsto r h(r)$ is convex and satisfies (1.4) and (1.8). If $g \in C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{V_{a}(|x|)}=\infty \quad \forall a>0, \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then the sequence $\left\{u_{a, n}\right\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_{*}}$ decreases and converges to a solution $u_{a}$ of (1.1) with initial data $\min \left\{V_{a}, g\right\}$. Furthermore $u_{a}(t, x) \rightarrow \infty$ for any $(t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

A fundamental example of equations with nonlinearities satisfying (1.4) and (1.8) is provided by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u \ln ^{\alpha}(1+u)=0 \quad \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $1<\alpha \leq 2$. With this specific type of nonlinearity we prove:
Theorem C Assume $1<\alpha<2$ and $g \in C^{+}\left(\mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$, satisfies (1.15). Then the minimal solution $\underline{u}_{g}$ of (1.16) with initial data $g$ is $\Phi_{\infty}$.

## 2 The maximal solution

### 2.1 Proof of Theorem A

Let $\underline{u}_{g}$ be the minimal solution of (1.1) and $u$ another solution with the same initial data $g$. We set $w=u-\underline{u}_{g}$. Since $r \mapsto r h(r)$ is convex and $u-\underline{u}_{g}$ is positive,

$$
u h(u) \geq \underline{u}_{g} h\left(\underline{u}_{g}\right)+\left(u-\underline{u}_{g}\right) h\left(u-\underline{u}_{g}\right) .
$$

Therefore $w$ is a subsolution of problem (1.1), and $w(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, locally uniformly in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $v_{n}$ be the minimal solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
-\Delta v+v h(v) & =0 \quad \text { in } \quad B_{n} \\
\lim _{|x| \rightarrow n} v(x) & =\infty . \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

Such a solution exists by [1] or [6] because (1.7) holds, and it is radial as limit of the radial functions $v_{n, k}, k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$, which are solutions of (2.17) with finite boundary data $v_{n, k}=k$ on $\partial B_{n}$. Moreover $v_{n, k}$, and thus $v_{n}$, is an increasing function of $|x|$. Then $v \geq 0$ and it is a stationary solution of (1.1) in $Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}$. By the comparison principle

$$
w(t, x) \leq v_{n}(x) \quad \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}
$$

Furthermore $n \mapsto v_{n}$ is decreasing with limit $v_{\infty}$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The function $v_{\infty}$ verifies

$$
-\Delta v+v h(v)=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
$$

Furthermore it is nonnegative, radial and nondecreasing with respect to $|x|$. In order to prove that $v=0$, we return to $v_{n}$ which satisfies

$$
v_{n r}=r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} v_{n}(s) h\left(v_{n}(s)\right) d s \leq v_{n}(r) h\left(v_{n}(r)\right) r^{1-N} \int_{0}^{r} s^{N-1} d s=\frac{r}{N} v_{n}(r) h\left(v_{n}(r)\right)
$$

Thus

$$
-v_{n r r}+v_{n}(r) h\left(v_{n}(r)\right)=\frac{N-1}{r} v_{n r} \leq\left(1-\frac{1}{N}\right) v_{n}(r) h\left(v_{n}(r)\right)
$$

which implies

$$
-v_{n r r}+\frac{1}{N} v_{n}(r) h\left(v_{n}(r)\right) \leq 0
$$

Integrating twice yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{v_{n}(r)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{H(t)}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}(n-r) \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H$ has been defined in (1.7). If we had $v_{\infty}(r)>0$ for any $r>0$, it would imply

$$
\infty>\int_{v_{\infty}(r)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\sqrt{2 H(t)}} \geq \infty
$$

a contradiction. Thus $v_{\infty}(r)=0$ and $w(t, x)=0$.

### 2.2 Proof of Theorem B

We recall that (1.15) holds and that $u_{a, n}$ denotes the solution of (1.14). Since $V_{a}\left\lfloor_{Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}}\right.$ is the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u h(u) & =0 & & \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times B_{n} \\
u(t, x) & =V_{a}(n) & & \text { in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \partial B_{n}  \tag{2.19}\\
u(0, .) & =V_{a} & & \text { in } B_{n},
\end{align*}
$$

it is larger than $u_{a, n}$. Thus $u_{a, n+1}\left\lfloor_{\partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}} \leq u_{a, n}{\left\lfloor\partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}\right.}=V_{a}\right.$. Since $u_{a, n}(0,)=.u_{a, n+1}\left\lfloor_{B_{n}}(0,)\right.$. it follows that $u_{a, n+1}\left\lfloor_{Q_{n}}^{\infty} \leq u_{a, n}\right.$. Then $\left\{u_{a, n}\right\}$ is a decreasing sequence, and its limit $u_{a}$ is a solution of (1.1), which the first claim. By the same argument, $u_{a, n} \leq u_{b, n+1}\left\lfloor_{Q_{B n}^{\infty}}\right.$ in $Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}$ for $b>a$. Hence $u_{a} \leq u_{b}$. We introduce the sequence $\left\{r_{a}\right\}: r_{a} \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{a}=\inf \left\{r>0: g(x) \geqslant V_{a}(x) \quad \forall|x| \geqslant r\right\} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, for $n \geq r_{a}$, we set $w_{a, n}=V_{a}-u_{a, n}$ By convexity it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} w_{a, n}-\Delta w_{a, n}+w_{a, n} h\left(w_{a, n}\right) \leq 0 & \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times B_{n} \\
w_{a, n}(t, x)=0 & \text { in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty}:=\mathbb{R}_{+} \times \partial B_{n}  \tag{2.21}\\
w_{a, n}(0, x)=\left(V_{a}-g\right)_{+} & \text {in } B_{n}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{a, n}(t, x)<\Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \text { in } Q_{B_{n}}^{\infty} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Phi_{\infty}$ is defined in (1.3) with $a=\infty$. Actually,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Phi_{\infty}(t)}^{\infty} \frac{d s}{\operatorname{sh}(s)}=t \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice also that the sequence $\left\{w_{a, n}\right\}$ is increasing and it converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to $w_{a}=$ $V_{a}-u_{a}$, which is dominated by $\Phi_{\infty}$ Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{a}(t, x) \geq V_{a}(x)-\Phi_{\infty}(t) \geq a-\Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $a \rightarrow \infty$ implies the claim.

## 3 The minimal solution

In this section we consider equation (1.16) with $1<\alpha<2$.

### 3.1 The stationary problem

Proposition 3.1 Assume $1<\alpha<2, a>0$ and $V_{a}$ is the solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{r r}+\frac{N-1}{r} V_{r}-V \ln ^{\alpha}(V+1) & =0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+} \\
V_{r}(0) & =0  \tag{3.25}\\
V(a) & =a .
\end{align*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(r)=e^{c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}+O(1)} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}$.
Proof. We write $W=\ln (V+1)$. Since $V$ is increasing $W>0, W_{r} \geq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{r r}+W_{r}^{2}+\frac{N-1}{r} W_{r}-\left(1-e^{-W}\right) W^{\alpha}=0 \quad \text { in } \mathbb{R}_{+} . \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus

$$
W_{r r}+W_{r}^{2}-\left(1-e^{-W}\right) W^{\alpha} \leq 0 .
$$

If we set $\rho=W$ and $p(\rho)=W_{r}(r)$, then $\rho \in[a, \infty)$ and

$$
p p^{\prime}+p^{2}-\left(1-e^{-\rho}\right) \rho^{\alpha} \leq 0 .
$$

This is a linear differential inequality in the unknown $p^{2}$. Integrating yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2}(\rho) \leq 2 e^{-2 \rho} \int_{a}^{\rho}\left(e^{2 s}-e^{s}\right) s^{\alpha} d s=\rho^{\alpha}+O(1) . \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus $W_{r}(r) \leq W^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r)+O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ which implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r) \leq c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}+O(1) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Due to (3.29), relationship (3.28) yields also the following inequality

$$
0<W_{r} \leq c_{\alpha}^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} r^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}}(1+o(1))
$$

Since $W(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from equality (3.27) by using of relation (3.28) that for any $\epsilon>0$ there exists $r_{\epsilon}>0$ such that

$$
W_{r r}+W_{r}^{2} \geq(1-\epsilon) W^{\alpha} \quad \text { on }\left[r_{\epsilon}, \infty\right) .
$$

Integrating this ordinary differential inequality by standard way we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r) \geq(1-\epsilon)) c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(1+o(1)) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\epsilon$ is arbitrary, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(1+o(1)) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the above estimates, we can improve (3.30). Using (3.28) and (3.31) we deduce from (3.27):

$$
p p^{\prime}+p^{2}=\left(1-e^{-\rho}\right) \rho^{\alpha}-\frac{N-1}{r} W_{r} \geq\left(1-e^{-\rho}\right) \rho^{\alpha}-c \rho^{\alpha-1},
$$

from which it follows easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
p^{2}(\rho) \geq 2 e^{-2 \rho} \int_{a}^{\rho} e^{2 s}\left(s^{\alpha}-c^{\prime} s^{\alpha-1}\right) d s=\rho^{\alpha}+O(1) \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

by l'Hospital rule. Combined with (3.31), (3.29) it implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
W(r)=c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}+O(1) \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Returning to $V_{a}$, we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}(r)=e^{c_{\alpha} r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}+O(1)} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark. If $\alpha=2$, the same method yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{a}(r)=e^{e^{r}+O(1)} \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.2 Proof of Theorem C

We recall that the minimal solution $\underline{u}_{g}$ is the limit, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the (increasing) sequence of solutions $\left\{u_{\ell_{n}}\right\}$ of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u \ln ^{\alpha}(u+1)=0 & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \\
u(0, .)=g \chi_{B_{\ell_{n}}} & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}, \tag{3.36}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\left\{\ell_{n}\right\}$ is any increasing sequence converging to $\infty$. Furthermore if we replace $g$ by it maximal radial minorant defined by $\tilde{g}(r):=\min _{|x|=r} g(x)$, it satisfies also (1.15). Because of (1.15) there exists a sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ tending to infinity such that

$$
r_{n}=\inf \left\{r>0: \tilde{g}(s) \geq V_{n}(s) \forall s \geq r\right\}
$$

then $\tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)=V_{n}\left(r_{n}\right)$.

Step 1: Estimate from below. Put

$$
g_{n}(|x|)= \begin{cases}\min \left\{\tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right), \tilde{g}(|x|)\right\} & \text { if }|x|<r_{n} \\ \tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right) & \text { if }|x| \geq r_{n}\end{cases}
$$

Let $\underline{u}_{g_{n}}$ be the minimal solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} u-\Delta u+u \ln ^{\alpha}(u+1) & =0 & & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}  \tag{3.37}\\
u(0, .) & =g_{n} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\underline{u}_{g_{n}} \leq \Phi_{\infty}$. For any sequence $\left\{\ell_{k}\right\}$ converging to infinity and any fixed $k$, there exists $n_{k}$ such that for $n \geq n_{k}$, there holds $g \chi_{B_{\ell_{k}}} \leq g_{n}$. Since the sequence $\left\{\underline{u}_{g_{n}}\right\}$ is increasing, its limit $u_{\infty}$ is a solution of (1.3) in $Q_{\infty}^{\mathbb{R}^{N}}$ which is larger than $u_{\ell_{k}}$ for any $\ell_{k}$, and therefore larger also than $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$. However, since $g_{n} \leq \tilde{g}, u_{\infty} \leq \underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$. This implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\infty}=\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}} \leqslant \Phi_{\infty} . \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $\underline{u}_{g_{n}}(0, x) \leq g\left(r_{n}\right)$ it follows that $\underline{u}_{g_{n}}(t, x) \leq g\left(r_{n}\right)$. Let $\omega_{n}=\Phi_{g\left(r_{n}\right)}$, i.e. the solution of be the solution of (1.3) with $a=g\left(r_{n}\right)$, then $\omega_{n}$ satisfies

$$
\int_{\omega_{n}(t)}^{g\left(r_{n}\right)} \frac{d s}{\operatorname{sh}(s)}=t
$$

and $\underline{u}_{g_{n}} \geq w_{n}$ where $w_{n}$ is the minimal solution of

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} w-\Delta w+w \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}+1\right) & =0 & & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}  \tag{3.39}\\
w(0, .) & =g_{n} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we set $w_{n}(t, x)=e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s} z_{n}(t, x)$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{t} z_{n}-\Delta z_{n} & =0 & & \text { in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty}  \tag{3.40}\\
z_{n}(0, .) & =g_{n} & & \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
z_{n}(t, x)=\frac{1}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}} g_{n}(y) d y
$$

we can write $w_{n}(t, x)=I_{n}(t, x)+J_{n}(t, x)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{n}(t, x)=\frac{e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln \alpha\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s}}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y| \leq r_{n}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}} g_{n}(y) d y \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(t, x)=\frac{e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s} \tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y|>r_{n}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^{2}}{4 t}} d y . \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{align*}
J_{n}(t, x) & \geq \frac{e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln \alpha\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s} \tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y|>r_{n}+|x|} e^{-\frac{|y|^{2}}{4 t}} d y \\
& \geq \frac{e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln \alpha\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s} \tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)}{(4 \pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}}\left(\int_{|z|>r_{n}+|x|} e^{-\frac{z^{2}}{4 t}} d z\right)^{N} . \tag{3.43}
\end{align*}
$$

This integral term can be estimated by introducing Gauss error function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ercf}(x)=\frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_{x}^{\infty} e^{-z^{2}} d z \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

In dimension $N$, it implies easily

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(t, x) \geq e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s} \tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)\left(\operatorname{ercf}\left(\frac{r_{n}+|x|}{2 \sqrt{t}}\right)\right)^{N} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\operatorname{ercf}(x)=\frac{e^{-x^{2}}}{x \sqrt{t}}\left(1+O\left(x^{-2}\right)\right) \quad \text { as } x \rightarrow \infty
$$

we derive

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{n}(t, x) \geq \frac{\tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right)}{\left(\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} t\right)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s-\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t}}\left(1+O\left(\frac{t}{r_{n}^{2}}\right)\right) \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

We write $\tilde{g}(r)=\exp (\gamma(r))-1$ and set

$$
A_{n}(t, x)=\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s-\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t}-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N}{2} \ln t
$$

In order to have an estimate on $\omega_{n}(s)$, we fix $t \leq 1$ and $\tilde{g}\left(r_{n}\right) \geq 1$. There exists $a_{0} \geq 1$ such that

$$
\min \left\{\frac{\omega_{a}(t)}{\omega_{a}(t)+1}: 0 \leq t \leq 1, a \geq a_{0}\right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}
$$

In such a range of $a$ and $t$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega^{\prime}+\omega \ln ^{\alpha}(\omega+1) & =\omega^{\prime}+\frac{\omega}{\omega+1}(\omega+1) \ln ^{\alpha}(\omega+1) \\
& \geq \omega^{\prime}+\frac{1}{2}(\omega+1) \ln ^{\alpha}(\omega+1)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) \leq\left(\frac{2 \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}{2+(\alpha-1) s \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}
$$

From this inequality, we derive

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s & \leq \int_{0}^{t}\left(\frac{2 \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}{2+(\alpha-1) s \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}\right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d s \\
& \leq 2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma\left(r_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{t \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}(2+(\alpha-1) \tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{n}(t, x) \geq \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t}-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N}{2} \ln t \\
& -2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma\left(r_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{t \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}(2+(\alpha-1) \tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d \tau \tag{3.47}
\end{align*}
$$

Step 2: The maximal admissible growth. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \ln \tilde{g}(|x|)>N^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} \Longrightarrow \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{g_{n}}(t, x)=\Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \forall(t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^{N}}^{\infty} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

By replacing $\tau \mapsto(2+(\alpha-1) \tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$ by its maximal value on $\left(0, t \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)\right)$,

$$
2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma\left(r_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{t \gamma^{\alpha-1}\left(r_{n}\right)}(2+(\alpha-1) \tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d \tau \leq \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right) t
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(t, x) \geq \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t}-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N}{2} \ln t-\gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right) t:=B_{n}(t, x) \tag{3.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\partial_{t} B_{n}(t, x)=\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t^{2}}-\frac{N}{2 t}-\gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)
$$

Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{t} B_{n}(t, x)=0 \text { and } t>0 \Longleftrightarrow t:=t_{n}=\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)}} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore $A_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)$ is bounded from below by the maximum of $B_{n}(t, x)$ which is achieved for $t=t_{n}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right) & =\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)}}{4} \\
& -\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)}{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)}}-\frac{N}{2} \ln \left(\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{\alpha}\left(r_{n}\right)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r_{n} \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from last representation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)=r_{n} \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(r_{n}\right)\left(\frac{\gamma^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}\left(r_{n}\right)}{r_{n}}-N^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(1+\nu_{n}(x)\right)\right) \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Therefore if $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty}|x|^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \ln \tilde{g}(|x|)>N^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}, \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

then there holds
uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We fix $m>0$, denote by $\lambda_{m}$ the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_{0}^{1}\left(B_{m}\right)$, with corresponding eigenfunction $\phi_{m}$ normalized by $\sup _{B_{m}} \phi_{m}=1$ and set, for $\epsilon>0$,

$$
W_{m, \epsilon}(t, x)=e^{-(t+\epsilon) \lambda_{m}} \Phi_{\infty}(t+\epsilon) \phi_{m}(x) \quad \forall(t, x) \in Q_{\infty}^{B_{m}} .
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{t} W_{m, \epsilon}-\Delta W_{m, \epsilon}+W_{m, \epsilon} \ln ^{\alpha}\left(W_{m, \epsilon}+1\right) & =W_{m, \epsilon}\left(\ln ^{\alpha}\left(W_{m, \epsilon}+1\right)-\ln ^{\alpha}\left(\Phi_{\infty}(t+\epsilon)+1\right)\right) \\
& \leq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\underline{u}_{g_{n}}$ increases to the minimal solution $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$, it follows due to (3.53) that there exists $n_{\epsilon}$ such that

$$
\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}\left(t_{n_{\epsilon}}, x\right) \geq \underline{u}_{g_{n_{\epsilon}}}\left(t_{n_{\epsilon}}, x\right) \geq W_{m, \epsilon}\left(t_{n_{\epsilon}}+\epsilon, x\right) \quad \forall x \in B_{m} .
$$

Last inequality in virtue of comparison principle implies

$$
\underline{u}_{g}(t, x) \geq W_{m, \epsilon}(t+\epsilon, x) \quad \forall(t, x) \in Q_{\infty}^{B_{m}}, t \geq t_{n_{\epsilon}}
$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields $\underline{u}_{g} \geq W_{m, 0}$ in $Q_{\infty}^{B_{m}}$. Since $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi_{m}(x)=1$, uniformly on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{m}=0$ we derive $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}} \geqslant \Phi_{\infty}$ and finally $\underline{u}_{g} \geqslant \Phi_{\infty}$. This inequality together with (3.38) leads to $\underline{u}=\Phi_{\infty}$.
Remark. In the case $\alpha=2$, there holds

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \ln ^{2}\left(\omega_{n}(s)+1\right) d s \leq 4 \gamma\left(r_{n}\right) \int_{0}^{t \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)}(2+\tau)^{-2} d \tau \leq t \gamma\left(r_{n}\right) \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore (3.49) is replaced by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{n}(t, x) \geq \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-t \gamma^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)-\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{4 t}-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N}{2} \ln t:=B_{n}(t, x) \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similarly, there exists $t_{n}>0$ where $t \mapsto B_{n}(t, x)$ is maximum and in that case

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right) & =\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-N \ln \left(r_{n}+|x|\right)-\frac{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}}{4} \\
& -\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}}-\frac{N}{2} \ln \left(\frac{N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2}}{N+\sqrt{N^{2}+4 N\left(r_{n}+|x|\right)^{2} \gamma^{2}\left(r_{n}\right)}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right)=\gamma\left(r_{n}\right)-r_{n} \gamma\left(r_{n}\right)\left(N^{\frac{1}{2}}-\nu_{n}(x)\right) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nu_{n}(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact set in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. Thus $B_{n}\left(t_{n}, x\right) \rightarrow-\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A similar type of computation shows that the expression $I_{n}(t, x)$ defined in (3.41) converges to 0 , whatever is the sequence $\left\{r_{n}\right\}$ considered which converges to $\infty$.
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