



HAL
open science

Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption

Andrey Shishkov, Laurent Véron

► **To cite this version:**

Andrey Shishkov, Laurent Véron. Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption. 2015. hal-01136836v2

HAL Id: hal-01136836

<https://hal.science/hal-01136836v2>

Preprint submitted on 8 May 2015 (v2), last revised 9 Sep 2015 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Admissible initial growth for diffusion equations with weakly superlinear absorption

Andrey Shishkov

*Institute of Applied Mathematics and Mechanics of NAS of Ukraine,
R. Luxemburg str. 74, 83114 Donetsk, Ukraine*

Laurent Véron

*Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Physique Théorique, CNRS UMR 6083,
Université François-Rabelais, 37200 Tours, France*

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. 35K58; 35K61.

Key words. semilinear heat equations; absorption; maximal and minimal solutions; non-uniqueness; maximal growth.

Abstract We study the admissible growth of initial data of positive solutions of $\partial_t u - \Delta u + f(u) = 0$ in $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N$ when $f(u)$ is a continuous *mildly* superlinear function at infinity, the model being $f(u) = u \ln^\alpha(u)$ with $1 < \alpha < 2$. We prove that if the growth of the initial data is too strong, there is no more diffusion and the corresponding solution satisfies the ODE problem $\partial_t \phi + f(\phi) = 0$ on \mathbb{R}_+ with $\phi(0) = \infty$.

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	The maximal solution	4
2.1	Proof of Theorem A	4
2.2	Proof of Theorem B	5
3	The minimal solution	6
3.1	The stationary problem	6
3.2	Proof of Theorem C	7

1 Introduction

Let h be a continuous nondecreasing function defined on \mathbb{R} and vanishing only at 0. It is well known that for any continuous and bounded function g belonging to $C_b^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, the

cone of bounded nonnegative continuous functions on \mathbb{R}^N , there exists a unique weak solution $u := u_g \in C_b^+(\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N)$ of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + uh(u) &= 0 && \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}^N \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, \cdot) &= g && \text{locally uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (1.1)$$

Furthermore, the solution u satisfies

$$0 \leq u(x, t) \leq \Phi_{\|g\|_{L^\infty}}(t) \quad \forall (t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty, \quad (1.2)$$

where Φ_a is the restriction to \mathbb{R}_+ of the maximal solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_t + \Phi h(\Phi) &= 0 && \text{on } \mathbb{R} \\ \Phi(0) &= a. \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

When g ceases to be bounded, the existence of a solution holds provided

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{ds}{sh(s)} < \infty, \quad (1.4)$$

for some $a > 0$. Furthermore there exists a minimal solution \underline{u}_g which is the limit, when $n \rightarrow \infty$, of the solutions u_{g_n} of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + uh(u) &= 0 && \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \\ \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} u(t, \cdot) &= g\chi_{B_n} && \text{locally uniformly in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned} \quad (1.5)$$

where B_n denotes the open ball of radius n and χ_A is characteristic function of the set A . Furthermore there holds

$$0 \leq \underline{u}_g \leq \Phi_\infty. \quad (1.6)$$

We first prove a uniqueness result

Theorem A *Assume $r \mapsto rh(r)$ is convex and satisfies, for some $a > 0$,*

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{H(s)}} < \infty \quad \text{where } H(t) = \int_0^t th(t)dt, \quad (1.7)$$

then for any $g \in C^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, \underline{u}_g is the unique nonnegative solution of (1.1).

When h is a power the class of existence and uniqueness is much larger and is associated to the notion of initial trace which has been thoroughly studied by Marcus and Véron [3], [4] and Gkikas and Véron [2].

When

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{ds}{\sqrt{H(s)}} = \infty \quad \forall a > 0, \quad (1.8)$$

uniqueness may not hold in the class of unbounded solution. If, for any $b > 0$, V_b denotes the maximal solution of the following ODE

$$\begin{aligned} V_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}V_r - Vh(V) &= 0 & \text{on } (0, R_a) \\ V(0) &= b \\ V_r(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

then $R_b = \infty$ (see e.g. [7]). Furthermore, Nguyen Phuoc and Véron proved in [5] that if g satisfies

$$V_c(|x|) \leq g(x) \leq V_b(|x|) \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^N \tag{1.10}$$

for some $b > c > 0$, then there exists at least two different solutions of (1.1) defined in $Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty$: the minimal one \underline{u}_g which satisfies

$$\underline{u}_g(x, t) \leq \Phi_\infty(t) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty, \tag{1.11}$$

and another one u_g such that

$$V_c(|x|) \leq u_g(x, t) \leq V_b(|x|) \quad \forall (x, t) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty. \tag{1.12}$$

Actually it is not clear whether there exists a maximal solution or not. However, if g satisfies (1.10), then there exists a minimal solution $\underline{u}_{g,c,b}$ and a maximal one $\overline{u}_{g,c,b}$ in the class $\mathcal{E}_{c,b}(g)$ of solutions of problem (1.1), satisfying inequalities (1.12). These two solutions can be constructed by the following approximate scheme. Let us define sequence $\{\underline{u}_n\}$ of solutions of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + uh(u) &= 0 & \text{in } Q_{B_n}^\infty := \mathbb{R}_+ \times B_n \\ u(t, x) &= V_c(n) & \text{in } \partial_t Q_{B_n}^\infty := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial B_n \\ u(0, \cdot) &= g & \text{in } B_n. \end{aligned} \tag{1.13}$$

Then it is easy to check using comparison principle that the sequence $\{\underline{u}_n\}$ is increasing and converges to $\underline{u}_{g,c,b}$. Similarly, the sequence $\{\overline{u}_n\}$ of solutions of the same equation in $Q_{B_n}^\infty$ with the same initial data and boundary value $V_b(n)$ is decreasing and converges to $\overline{u}_{g,c,b}$.

When the initial data g growth at infinity faster than any function V_b with arbitrary $b < \infty$ we prove that such a solution cannot exist: For any $a > 0$ we denote by $u := u_{a,n}$ the solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + uh(u) &= 0 & \text{in } Q_{B_n}^\infty := \mathbb{R}_+ \times B_n \\ u(t, x) &= V_a(n) & \text{in } \partial_t Q_{B_n}^\infty := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial B_n \\ u(0, \cdot) &= \min\{V_a, g\} & \text{in } B_n, \end{aligned} \tag{1.14}$$

Theorem B *Assume $r \mapsto rh(r)$ is convex and satisfies (1.4) and (1.8). If $g \in C^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfies*

$$\lim_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{g(x)}{V_a(|x|)} = \infty \quad \forall a > 0, \tag{1.15}$$

then the sequence $\{u_{a,n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}_*}$ decreases and converges to a solution u_a of (1.1) with initial data $\min\{V_a, g\}$. Furthermore $u_a(t, x) \rightarrow \infty$ for any $(t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$.

A fundamental example of equations with nonlinearities satisfying (1.4) and (1.8) is provided by

$$\partial_t u - \Delta u + u \ln^\alpha(1 + u) = 0 \quad \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \quad (1.16)$$

with $1 < \alpha \leq 2$. With this specific type of nonlinearity we prove:

Theorem C *Assume $1 < \alpha < 2$ and $g \in C^+(\mathbb{R}^N)$, satisfies (1.15). Then the minimal solution \underline{u}_g of (1.16) with initial data g is Φ_∞ .*

2 The maximal solution

2.1 Proof of Theorem A

Let \underline{u}_g be the minimal solution of (1.1) and u another solution with the same initial data g . We set $w = u - \underline{u}_g$. Since $r \mapsto rh(r)$ is convex and $u - \underline{u}_g$ is positive,

$$uh(u) \geq \underline{u}_g h(\underline{u}_g) + (u - \underline{u}_g)h(u - \underline{u}_g).$$

Therefore w is a subsolution of problem (1.1), and $w(t, x) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow 0$, locally uniformly in \mathbb{R}^N . Let v_n be the minimal solution of

$$\begin{aligned} -\Delta v + vh(v) &= 0 & \text{in } B_n \\ \lim_{|x| \rightarrow n} v(x) &= \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (2.17)$$

Such a solution exists by [1] or [6] because (1.7) holds, and it is radial as limit of the radial functions $v_{n,k}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}^*$, which are solutions of (2.17) with finite boundary data $v_{n,k} = k$ on ∂B_n . Moreover $v_{n,k}$, and thus v_n , is an increasing function of $|x|$. Then $v \geq 0$ and it is a stationary solution of (1.1) in $Q_{B_n}^\infty$. By the comparison principle

$$w(t, x) \leq v_n(x) \quad \text{in } Q_{B_n}^\infty.$$

Furthermore $n \mapsto v_n$ is decreasing with limit v_∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The function v_∞ verifies

$$-\Delta v + vh(v) = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N.$$

Furthermore it is nonnegative, radial and nondecreasing with respect to $|x|$. In order to prove that $v = 0$, we return to v_n which satisfies

$$v_{nr} = r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} v_n(s) h(v_n(s)) ds \leq v_n(r) h(v_n(r)) r^{1-N} \int_0^r s^{N-1} ds = \frac{r}{N} v_n(r) h(v_n(r)).$$

Thus

$$-v_{nrr} + v_n(r) h(v_n(r)) = \frac{N-1}{r} v_{nr} \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{N}\right) v_n(r) h(v_n(r))$$

which implies

$$-v_{nrr} + \frac{1}{N} v_n(r) h(v_n(r)) \leq 0.$$

Integrating twice yields

$$\int_{v_n(r)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{H(t)}} \geq \sqrt{\frac{2}{N}}(n-r). \quad (2.18)$$

where H has been defined in (1.7). If we had $v_{\infty}(r) > 0$ for any $r > 0$, it would imply

$$\infty > \int_{v_{\infty}(r)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{\sqrt{2H(t)}} \geq \infty,$$

a contradiction. Thus $v_{\infty}(r) = 0$ and $w(t, x) = 0$. \square

2.2 Proof of Theorem B

We recall that (1.15) holds and that $u_{a,n}$ denotes the solution of (1.14). Since $V_a|_{Q_{B_n}^{\infty}}$ is the solution of the Cauchy-Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + uh(u) &= 0 && \text{in } Q_{B_n}^{\infty} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times B_n \\ u(t, x) &= V_a(n) && \text{in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_n}^{\infty} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial B_n \\ u(0, \cdot) &= V_a && \text{in } B_n, \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

it is larger than $u_{a,n}$. Thus $u_{a,n+1}|_{\partial_{\ell} Q_{B_n}^{\infty}} \leq u_{a,n}|_{\partial_{\ell} Q_{B_n}^{\infty}} = V_a$. Since $u_{a,n}(0, \cdot) = u_{a,n+1}|_{B_n}(0, \cdot)$ it follows that $u_{a,n+1}|_{Q_{B_n}^{\infty}} \leq u_{a,n}$. Then $\{u_{a,n}\}$ is a decreasing sequence, and its limit u_a is a solution of (1.1), which the first claim. By the same argument, $u_{a,n} \leq u_{b,n+1}|_{Q_{B_n}^{\infty}}$ in $Q_{B_n}^{\infty}$ for $b > a$. Hence $u_a \leq u_b$. We introduce the sequence $\{r_a\} : r_a \rightarrow \infty$ as $a \rightarrow \infty$ defined by:

$$r_a = \inf\{r > 0 : g(x) \geq V_a(x) \quad \forall |x| \geq r\}. \quad (2.20)$$

and, for $n \geq r_a$, we set $w_{a,n} = V_a - u_{a,n}$. By convexity it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w_{a,n} - \Delta w_{a,n} + w_{a,n}h(w_{a,n}) &\leq 0 && \text{in } Q_{B_n}^{\infty} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times B_n, \\ w_{a,n}(t, x) &= 0 && \text{in } \partial_{\ell} Q_{B_n}^{\infty} := \mathbb{R}_+ \times \partial B_n, \\ w_{a,n}(0, x) &= (V_a - g)_+ && \text{in } B_n. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

Therefore

$$w_{a,n}(t, x) < \Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \text{in } Q_{B_n}^{\infty}, \quad (2.22)$$

where Φ_{∞} is defined in (1.3) with $a = \infty$. Actually,

$$\int_{\Phi_{\infty}(t)}^{\infty} \frac{ds}{sh(s)} = t. \quad (2.23)$$

Notice also that the sequence $\{w_{a,n}\}$ is increasing and it converges, as $n \rightarrow \infty$, to $w_a = V_a - u_a$, which is dominated by Φ_{∞} . Thus

$$u_a(t, x) \geq V_a(x) - \Phi_{\infty}(t) \geq a - \Phi_{\infty}(t) \quad \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^{\infty}. \quad (2.24)$$

Letting $a \rightarrow \infty$ implies the claim. \square

3 The minimal solution

In this section we consider equation (1.16) with $1 < \alpha < 2$.

3.1 The stationary problem

Proposition 3.1 *Assume $1 < \alpha < 2$, $a > 0$ and V_a is the solution of*

$$\begin{aligned} V_{rr} + \frac{N-1}{r}V_r - V \ln^\alpha(V+1) &= 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+ \\ V_r(0) &= 0 \\ V(a) &= a. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

Then

$$V(r) = e^{c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + O(1)} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \tag{3.26}$$

where $c_\alpha = \left(\frac{2-\alpha}{2}\right)^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}$.

Proof. We write $W = \ln(V+1)$. Since V is increasing $W > 0$, $W_r \geq 0$ and

$$W_{rr} + W_r^2 + \frac{N-1}{r}W_r - (1 - e^{-W})W^\alpha = 0 \quad \text{in } \mathbb{R}_+. \tag{3.27}$$

Thus

$$W_{rr} + W_r^2 - (1 - e^{-W})W^\alpha \leq 0.$$

If we set $\rho = W$ and $p(\rho) = W_r(r)$, then $\rho \in [a, \infty)$ and

$$pp' + p^2 - (1 - e^{-\rho})\rho^\alpha \leq 0.$$

This is a linear differential inequality in the unknown p^2 . Integrating yields

$$p^2(\rho) \leq 2e^{-2\rho} \int_a^\rho (e^{2s} - e^s)s^\alpha ds = \rho^\alpha + O(1). \tag{3.28}$$

Thus $W_r(r) \leq W^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r) + O(1)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ which implies

$$W(r) \leq c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + O(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \tag{3.29}$$

Due to (3.29), relationship (3.28) yields also the following inequality

$$0 < W_r \leq c_\alpha^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} r^{\frac{\alpha}{2-\alpha}} (1 + o(1)).$$

Since $W(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from equality (3.27) by using of relation (3.28) that for any $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $r_\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$W_{rr} + W_r^2 \geq (1 - \epsilon)W^\alpha \quad \text{on } [r_\epsilon, \infty).$$

Integrating this ordinary differential inequality by standard way we get

$$W(r) \geq (1 - \epsilon)c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.30)$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary, we derive

$$W(r) = c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}}(1 + o(1)) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.31)$$

From the above estimates, we can improve (3.30). Using (3.28) and (3.31) we deduce from (3.27):

$$pp' + p^2 = (1 - e^{-\rho})\rho^\alpha - \frac{N-1}{r}W_r \geq (1 - e^{-\rho})\rho^\alpha - c\rho^{\alpha-1},$$

from which it follows easily

$$p^2(\rho) \geq 2e^{-2\rho} \int_a^\rho e^{2s}(s^\alpha - c's^{\alpha-1})ds = \rho^\alpha + O(1), \quad (3.32)$$

by l'Hospital rule. Combined with (3.31), (3.29) it implies

$$W(r) = c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + O(1) \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.33)$$

Returning to V_a , we derive

$$V_a(r) = e^{c_\alpha r^{\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} + O(1)} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.34)$$

□

Remark. If $\alpha = 2$, the same method yields

$$V_a(r) = e^{e^r + O(1)} \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty. \quad (3.35)$$

3.2 Proof of Theorem C

We recall that the minimal solution \underline{u}_g is the limit, when $n \rightarrow \infty$ of the (increasing) sequence of solutions $\{u_{\ell_n}\}$ of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \ln^\alpha(u+1) &= 0 && \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \\ u(0, \cdot) &= g\chi_{B_{\ell_n}} && \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N, \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where $\{\ell_n\}$ is any increasing sequence converging to ∞ . Furthermore if we replace g by its maximal radial minorant defined by $\tilde{g}(r) := \min_{|x|=r} g(x)$, it satisfies also (1.15). Because of (1.15) there exists a sequence $\{r_n\}$ tending to infinity such that

$$r_n = \inf\{r > 0 : \tilde{g}(s) \geq V_n(s) \quad \forall s \geq r\},$$

then $\tilde{g}(r_n) = V_n(r_n)$.

Step 1: Estimate from below. Put

$$g_n(|x|) = \begin{cases} \min\{\tilde{g}(r_n), \tilde{g}(|x|)\} & \text{if } |x| < r_n \\ \tilde{g}(r_n) & \text{if } |x| \geq r_n. \end{cases}$$

Let \underline{u}_{g_n} be the minimal solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t u - \Delta u + u \ln^\alpha(u+1) &= 0 & \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \\ u(0, \cdot) &= g_n & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.37)$$

Then $\underline{u}_{g_n} \leq \Phi_\infty$. For any sequence $\{\ell_k\}$ converging to infinity and any fixed k , there exists n_k such that for $n \geq n_k$, there holds $g \chi_{B_{\ell_k}} \leq g_n$. Since the sequence $\{\underline{u}_{g_n}\}$ is increasing, its limit u_∞ is a solution of (1.3) in $Q_\infty^{\mathbb{R}^N}$ which is larger than u_{ℓ_k} for any ℓ_k , and therefore larger also than $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$. However, since $g_n \leq \tilde{g}$, $u_\infty \leq \underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$. This implies

$$u_\infty = \underline{u}_{\tilde{g}} \leq \Phi_\infty. \quad (3.38)$$

Next, since $\underline{u}_{g_n}(0, x) \leq g(r_n)$ it follows that $\underline{u}_{g_n}(t, x) \leq g(r_n)$. Let $\omega_n = \Phi_{g(r_n)}$, i.e. the solution of be the solution of (1.3) with $a = g(r_n)$, then ω_n satisfies

$$\int_{\omega_n(t)}^{g(r_n)} \frac{ds}{sh(s)} = t,$$

and $\underline{u}_{g_n} \geq w_n$ where w_n is the minimal solution of

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t w - \Delta w + w \ln^\alpha(\omega_n + 1) &= 0 & \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \\ w(0, \cdot) &= g_n & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

If we set $w_n(t, x) = e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds} z_n(t, x)$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t z_n - \Delta z_n &= 0 & \text{in } Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty \\ z_n(0, \cdot) &= g_n & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.40)$$

Since

$$z_n(t, x) = \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g_n(y) dy,$$

we can write $w_n(t, x) = I_n(t, x) + J_n(t, x)$ where

$$I_n(t, x) = \frac{e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds}}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y| \leq r_n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} g_n(y) dy, \quad (3.41)$$

and

$$J_n(t, x) = \frac{e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds} \tilde{g}(r_n)}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y| > r_n} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} dy. \quad (3.42)$$

Clearly

$$\begin{aligned} J_n(t, x) &\geq \frac{e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds} \tilde{g}(r_n)}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \int_{|y|>r_n+|x|} e^{-\frac{|y|^2}{4t}} dy \\ &\geq \frac{e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds} \tilde{g}(r_n)}{(4\pi t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} \left(\int_{|z|>r_n+|x|} e^{-\frac{z^2}{4t}} dz \right)^N. \end{aligned} \quad (3.43)$$

This integral term can be estimated by introducing Gauss error function

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_x^\infty e^{-z^2} dz. \quad (3.44)$$

In dimension N , it implies easily

$$J_n(t, x) \geq e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds} \tilde{g}(r_n) \left(\operatorname{erfc} \left(\frac{r_n + |x|}{2\sqrt{t}} \right) \right)^N. \quad (3.45)$$

Since

$$\operatorname{erfc}(x) = \frac{e^{-x^2}}{x\sqrt{t}} (1 + O(x^{-2})) \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty,$$

we derive

$$J_n(t, x) \geq \frac{\tilde{g}(r_n)}{((r_n + |x|)^2 t)^{\frac{N}{2}}} e^{-\int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s)+1)ds - \frac{N(r_n+|x|)^2}{4t}} \left(1 + O\left(\frac{t}{r_n^2}\right) \right). \quad (3.46)$$

We write $\tilde{g}(r) = \exp(\gamma(r)) - 1$ and set

$$A_n(t, x) = \gamma(r_n) - \int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s) + 1) ds - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{4t} - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N}{2} \ln t.$$

In order to have an estimate on $\omega_n(s)$, we fix $t \leq 1$ and $\tilde{g}(r_n) \geq 1$. There exists $a_0 \geq 1$ such that

$$\min \left\{ \frac{\omega_a(t)}{\omega_a(t) + 1} : 0 \leq t \leq 1, a \geq a_0 \right\} \geq \frac{1}{2}.$$

In such a range of a and t ,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega' + \omega \ln^\alpha(\omega + 1) &= \omega' + \frac{\omega}{\omega + 1} (\omega + 1) \ln^\alpha(\omega + 1) \\ &\geq \omega' + \frac{1}{2} (\omega + 1) \ln^\alpha(\omega + 1), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s) + 1) \leq \left(\frac{2\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)}{2 + (\alpha - 1)s\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}.$$

From this inequality, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t \ln^\alpha(\omega_n(s) + 1) ds &\leq \int_0^t \left(\frac{2\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)}{2 + (\alpha - 1)s\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)} \right)^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} ds \\ &\leq 2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma(r_n) \int_0^{t\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)} (2 + (\alpha - 1)\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$A_n(t, x) \geq \gamma(r_n) - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{4t} - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N}{2} \ln t - 2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma(r_n) \int_0^{t\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)} (2 + (\alpha-1)\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d\tau. \quad (3.47)$$

Step 2: The maximal admissible growth. We claim that

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \ln \tilde{g}(|x|) > N^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}} \implies \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \underline{u}_{g_n}(t, x) = \Phi_\infty(t) \quad \forall (t, x) \in Q_{\mathbb{R}^N}^\infty. \quad (3.48)$$

By replacing $\tau \mapsto (2 + (\alpha-1)\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}}$ by its maximal value on $(0, t\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n))$,

$$2^{\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} \gamma(r_n) \int_0^{t\gamma^{\alpha-1}(r_n)} (2 + (\alpha-1)\tau)^{-\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1}} d\tau \leq \gamma^\alpha(r_n)t.$$

Then

$$A_n(t, x) \geq \gamma(r_n) - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{4t} - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N}{2} \ln t - \gamma^\alpha(r_n)t := B_n(t, x), \quad (3.49)$$

and

$$\partial_t B_n(t, x) = \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{4t^2} - \frac{N}{2t} - \gamma^\alpha(r_n).$$

Thus

$$\partial_t B_n(t, x) = 0 \text{ and } t > 0 \iff t := t_n = \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^\alpha(r_n)}}. \quad (3.50)$$

Therefore $A_n(t_n, x)$ is bounded from below by the maximum of $B_n(t, x)$ which is achieved for $t = t_n$ and

$$B_n(t_n, x) = \gamma(r_n) - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^\alpha(r_n)}}{4} - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^\alpha(r_n)}{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^\alpha(r_n)}} - \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(\frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^\alpha(r_n)}} \right).$$

Since $r_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ it follows from last representation that

$$B_n(t_n, x) = r_n \gamma^{\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r_n) \left(\frac{\gamma^{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}(r_n)}{r_n} - N^{\frac{1}{2}}(1 + \nu_n(x)) \right), \quad (3.51)$$

where $\nu_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact set in \mathbb{R}^N . Therefore if g satisfies

$$\liminf_{|x| \rightarrow \infty} |x|^{-\frac{2}{2-\alpha}} \ln \tilde{g}(|x|) > N^{\frac{1}{2-\alpha}}, \quad (3.52)$$

then there holds

$$J_n(t_n, x) \rightarrow \infty \implies \lim_{t_n \rightarrow 0} \underline{u}_{g_n}(t_n, x) = \infty, \quad (3.53)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of \mathbb{R}^N . We fix $m > 0$, denote by λ_m the first eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ in $H_0^1(B_m)$, with corresponding eigenfunction ϕ_m normalized by $\sup_{B_m} \phi_m = 1$ and set, for $\epsilon > 0$,

$$W_{m,\epsilon}(t, x) = e^{-(t+\epsilon)\lambda_m} \Phi_\infty(t + \epsilon) \phi_m(x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in Q_\infty^{B_m}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_t W_{m,\epsilon} - \Delta W_{m,\epsilon} + W_{m,\epsilon} \ln^\alpha(W_{m,\epsilon} + 1) &= W_{m,\epsilon} \left(\ln^\alpha(W_{m,\epsilon} + 1) - \ln^\alpha(\Phi_\infty(t + \epsilon) + 1) \right) \\ &\leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since \underline{u}_{g_n} increases to the minimal solution $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}$, it follows due to (3.53) that there exists n_ϵ such that

$$\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}}(t_{n_\epsilon}, x) \geq \underline{u}_{g_{n_\epsilon}}(t_{n_\epsilon}, x) \geq W_{m,\epsilon}(t_{n_\epsilon} + \epsilon, x) \quad \forall x \in B_m.$$

Last inequality in virtue of comparison principle implies

$$\underline{u}_g(t, x) \geq W_{m,\epsilon}(t + \epsilon, x) \quad \forall (t, x) \in Q_\infty^{B_m}, t \geq t_{n_\epsilon}.$$

Letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ yields $\underline{u}_g \geq W_{m,0}$ in $Q_\infty^{B_m}$. Since $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \phi_m(x) = 1$, uniformly on any compact subset of \mathbb{R}^N and $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_m = 0$ we derive $\underline{u}_{\tilde{g}} \geq \Phi_\infty$ and finally $\underline{u}_g \geq \Phi_\infty$. This inequality together with (3.38) leads to $\underline{u} = \Phi_\infty$. \square

Remark. In the case $\alpha = 2$, there holds

$$\int_0^t \ln^2(\omega_n(s) + 1) ds \leq 4\gamma(r_n) \int_0^{t\gamma(r_n)} (2 + \tau)^{-2} d\tau \leq t\gamma(r_n). \quad (3.54)$$

Therefore (3.49) is replaced by

$$A_n(t, x) \geq \gamma(r_n) - t\gamma^2(r_n) - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{4t} - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N}{2} \ln t := B_n(t, x). \quad (3.55)$$

A similarly, there exists $t_n > 0$ where $t \mapsto B_n(t, x)$ is maximum and in that case

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(t_n, x) &= \gamma(r_n) - N \ln(r_n + |x|) - \frac{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^2(r_n)}}{4} \\ &\quad - \frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^2(r_n)}{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^2(r_n)}} - \frac{N}{2} \ln \left(\frac{N(r_n + |x|)^2}{N + \sqrt{N^2 + 4N(r_n + |x|)^2 \gamma^2(r_n)}} \right), \end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$B_n(t_n, x) = \gamma(r_n) - r_n \gamma(r_n) (N^{\frac{1}{2}} - \nu_n(x)), \quad (3.56)$$

where $\nu_n(x) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on any compact set in \mathbb{R}^N . Thus $B_n(t_n, x) \rightarrow -\infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. A similar type of computation shows that the expression $I_n(t, x)$ defined in (3.41) converges to 0, whatever is the sequence $\{r_n\}$ considered which converges to ∞ .

References

- [1] J. B. Keller, *On solutions of $\Delta u = f(u)$* , Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **10** (1957), 503-510.
- [2] K. Gkikas, L. Véron, *Complete classification of the positive solutions of heat equation with super critical absorption*, Advanced Nonlinear Studies **14** (2014), 47-113.
- [3] M. Marcus, L. Véron, *Initial trace of positive solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations*, Comm. Partial Differential Equations **24** (1999), 1445-1499.
- [4] M. Marcus, L. Véron, *Capacitary estimates of solutions of semilinear parabolic equations*, Calc. Var. Part. Diff. Eq. **48** (2013), 131-183.
- [5] T. Nguyen Phuoc, L. Véron, *Local and global properties of solutions of heat equation with superlinear absorption*, Adv. Diff. Eq. **16** (2011), 487-522.
- [6] R. Osserman, *On the inequality $\Delta u \geq f(u)$* , Pacific J. Math. **7** (1957), 1641-1647.
- [7] J. L. Vázquez, L. Véron, *Isolated singularities of some semilinear elliptic equations*, J. Diff. Eq. **60** (1985), 301-321.