

Brucella papii sp. nov. isolated from baboons (Papio spp.)

Adrian M. Whatmore, Nicholas Davison, Axel Cloeckaert, Sascha Al Dahouk, Michel S Zygmunt, Simon D. Brew, Lorraine L. Perret, Mark S Koylass, Gilles Vergnaud, Christine Quance, et al.

▶ To cite this version:

Adrian M. Whatmore, Nicholas Davison, Axel Cloeckaert, Sascha Al Dahouk, Michel S Zygmunt, et al.. Brucella papii sp. nov. isolated from baboons (Papio spp.). International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 2014, 64, pp.4120-4128. 10.1099/ijs.0.065482-0. hal-01128089

HAL Id: hal-01128089 https://hal.science/hal-01128089

Submitted on 9 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Brucella papii sp. nov. isolated from baboons (Papio spp.).

Adrian M. Whatmore^{1*}, Nicholas Davison^{2#}, Axel Cloeckaert^{3,4}, Sascha Al Dahouk⁵, Michel S. Zygmunt^{3,4}, Simon D. Brew¹, Lorraine L. Perrett¹, Mark S. Koylass¹, Gilles Vergnaud^{6,7,8}, Christine Quance⁹, Holger C. Scholz¹⁰, Edward J. Dick Jr¹¹, Gene Hubbard¹², Natalia E. Schlabritz-Loutsevitch^{13##}

¹ OIE/WHO/FAO Brucellosis Reference Laboratory, Department of Bacteriology, Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency (AHVLA), Woodham Lane, Addlestone, United Kingdom, KT15 3NB.

² Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency (AHVLA), Polwhele, Truro, United Kingdom, TR4 9AD.

³ INRA, UMR1282 Infectiologie et Santé Publique, F-37380 Nouzilly, France.

⁴ Université François Rabelais de Tours, UMR1282 Infectiologie et Santé Publique, F-37000 Tours, France

⁵ Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR), Diedersdorfer Weg 1, D-12277, Berlin, Germany.

⁶ Univ Paris-Sud, Institut de Génétique et Microbiologie, UMR 8621, F-91405 Orsay, France.

⁷CNRS, F-91405 Orsay, France.

⁸ DGA/MRIS- Mission pour la Recherche et l'Innovation Scientifique, F-92221 Bagneux, France.

⁹ Mycobacteria and Brucella Section, National Veterinary Services Laboratories, USDA-APHIS, Ames, 1920 Dayton Ave., Ames, Iowa 50010, USA.

¹⁰ Bundeswehr Institute of Microbiology, Neuherbergstrasse 11, D-80937 Munich, Germany.

¹¹ Southwest National Primate Research Center, Texas Biomedical Research Institute, San Antonio, Texas, USA.

¹² Department of Pathology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA.

¹³Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio (UTHSC), San Antonio, Texas, USA.

Present address: Scottish Marine Animal Stranding Scheme, SAC Veterinary Services, Drummondhill, Inverness, United Kingdom, IV2 4JZ.

Present address: Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology, University of Tennessee Health Science Center (UTHSC), 853 Jefferson Ave, Memphis, TN 38103, USA

* Corresponding author: Department of Bacteriology, Animal Health and Veterinary Laboratories Agency, Addlestone, Surrey, United Kingdom, KT15 3NB; Phone +44 (0)1932 341111; Email: Adrian.Whatmore@ahvla.gsi.gov.uk

Running title: Brucella papii sp. nov. from baboons.

Contents Category: New Taxa (Proteobacteria)

1 Abstract

2

Two Gram-negative, non-motile, non-spore-forming coccoid bacteria (strains F8/08-60^T and 3 4 F8/08-61) isolated from clinical specimens obtained from baboons (Papio spp.) during that 5 had delivered stillborn offspring were subjected to a polyphasic taxonomic study. On the 6 basis of 16S rRNA sequence similarities both strains, which possessed identical sequences, 7 were assigned to the genus Brucella. This placement was confirmed by extended multilocus 8 sequence analysis (MLSA), where both strains possessed identical sequences, and whole 9 genome sequencing of a representative isolate. All the above analyses suggested that the 10 two strains represent a novel lineage within the genus Brucella. The strains also possessed 11 a unique profile when subjected to the phenotyping approach classically used to separate 12 Brucella species reacting only with Brucella A monospecific antiserum, being sensitive to the 13 dyes thionin and fuchsin, being lysed by bacteriophage Wb, Bk₂, and Fi phage at routine test 14 dilution (RTD) but only partially sensitive to bacteriophage Tb and with no requirement for 15 carbon dioxide, no production of hydrogen sulphide, but strong urease activity. Biochemical 16 profiling revealed a pattern of enzyme activity and metabolic capabilities distinct from 17 existing Brucella species. Molecular analysis of the omp2 locus genes showed that both 18 strains had a novel combination of two highly identical omp2b gene copies. Both of the 19 strains shared a unique multiple copy IS711 fingerprint profile of this Brucella specific 20 element. Like MLSA, a multilocus variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA) 21 showed that the isolates clustered very closely together, but represent a separate cluster within the genus *Brucella*. Isolates $F8/08-60^{T}$ and F8/08-61 could clearly be distinguished 22 23 from all known Brucella species and their biovars by both phenotypic and molecular 24 properties. Therefore, by applying the Brucella species concept suggested by the ICSP 25 Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of Brucella, they represent a novel species within the genus Brucella for which the name Brucella papii sp. nov., with the type strain F8/08-60^T (= NCTC 26 27 $XXXX^{T} = BCCN XXXX^{T}$), is proposed.

28 Body Text

29 The genus Brucella currently comprises ten species Brucella melitensis, Brucella abortus, 30 Brucella suis, Brucella canis, Brucella neotomae, Brucella ovis, Brucella pinnipedialis, 31 Brucella ceti, Brucella microti and Brucella inopinata (Whatmore, 2009). The latter four 32 species have been described in the last decade after a long period of stability and reflect an 33 ongoing widening of the understanding of the host range of Brucella (Godfroid et al, 2011). 34 Due to high DNA-DNA relatedness of the six longstanding species (relatedness >70%) it had 35 been suggested that the genus should be monospecific and consist of only *B. melitensis* with 36 six biovars Melitensis, Abortus, Suis, Ovis, Neotomae, and Canis (Verger et al., 1985). 37 However, in 2003, the ICSP Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of Brucella unanimously 38 agreed on a return to the pre-1986 Brucella taxonomy of six species with recognised biovars 39 of B. suis, B. abortus and B. melitensis (Osterman & Moriyon, 2006). Three of the newly 40 described species, B. ceti, B. pinnipedialis and B. microti (Foster et al., 2007; Scholz et al., 41 2008) conform to the existing pattern of high genetic homogeneity, for example all sharing 42 identical 16S rRNA sequences. However *B. inopinata*, although clearly much more closely 43 related to Brucella than to the nearest neighbour genus Ochrobactrum (De et al, 2008), 44 substantially extends the described genetic diversity within the group (Scholz et al., 2010; 45 Wattam et al., 2012; Wattam et al., 2014). This has led some authors to informally divide the 46 genus into 'atypical' Brucella, including B. inopinata and other groups recently isolated from 47 rodents, amphibians and humans but yet to be formally taxonomically described (Tiller et al., 48 2010a, Tiller et al., 2010b; Eisenberg et al., 2012; Fischer et al., 2012), and 'core' Brucella, 49 representing the remaining nine genetically conserved species that cluster with the 50 longstanding 'classical' Brucella species.

Historically classification of *Brucella* species has been based on a combination of host species preference and phenotypic biotyping that examines a range of characteristics including CO₂ requirement, H₂S production, dye-sensitivity, lysis by *Brucella* specific bacteriophage and agglutination with monospecific sera. Phenotyping is still used widely, at least in reference laboratories, although its limitations are increasingly widely recognised and the emergence of new species is further eroding its value. Recent descriptions have relied heavily on additional data from emerging molecular approaches and a process of updating the minimal standards to describe novel *Brucella* species, written almost 40 years ago (Corbel and Brinley-Morgan, 1975), to reflect these approaches is ongoing within the current ICSP Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of *Brucella* (www.the-icsp.org/subcoms/Brucella).

Here we describe the classification of two strains (AHVLA F8/08-60^T = Baboon 15719 = 61 62 NVSL 07-0026-1 and AHVLA F8/08-61 = Baboon 15331 = NVSL 07-0224-1) which were 63 originally isolated in 2006 and 2007 from two cases of stillbirth and retained placenta in 64 baboons at a primate research centre in Texas, USA (Schlabritz-Loutsevitch et al., 2009). 65 The index case was a 13 year old baboon originally captured in Tanzania with a history of 66 three previous pregnancies including one abortion/stillbirth. The suspect Brucella (isolate 67 AHVLA F8/08-60^T) was isolated from a cervical swab obtained following a stillbirth in August 68 2006. Banked sera from the animal was serologically positive for Brucella using testing 69 validated for *B. abortus* in cattle (Brewers' Diagnostic Kits, Hynson, Westcott and Dunning 70 Inc, Baltimore, MD, USA). A second isolate was obtained from a swab of uterine content in 71 January 2007 one month after a stillbirth in an 8 year old colony born baboon with no 72 previous contact with the index case. This animal was serologically negative for *Brucella*.

73 Phenotype was examined by characterising growth on different media, CO₂ requirement, 74 H₂S production, growth in presence of dyes (thionin and basic fuchsin), reaction with 75 Brucella unabsorbed and monospecific A and M antisera and microscopy. Metabolic activity 76 in comparison with other Brucella was assessed using API20E, API20NE & APIZYM 77 (BioMerieux, France) with some confirmatory standalone biochemical testing and application of the Micronaut[®] BfR *Brucella* assay (Al Dahouk *et al.*, 2010). Molecular analysis comprised 78 79 multiplex PCR, DNA-DNA hybridisation studies (Ziemke et al., 1988), 16S rRNA sequence 80 analysis, omp2a and omp2b sequencing, multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA), multilocus 81 variable number of tandem repeat analysis (MLVA with 16 loci), and IS711 fingerprinting, all of which have been used in recent descriptions of new *Brucella* species, with recently
 completed whole genome sequencing supporting findings.

84

85 Phenotypic Analysis

86

87 Both isolates grow slowly on sheep blood agar (SBA) after incubation both aerobically and in 88 CO₂ with small, raised, circular, convex, non-haemolytic, greyish colonies, ~0.5mm in 89 diameter appearing after 3 days incubation at 37°C increasing to ~1mm in diameter after 6 90 days. Growth is poor at 28°C. On Farrell's agar growth also occurs without additional CO₂ 91 with both isolates producing pinpoint colonies after 3 days incubation at 37°C becoming 92 cream in colour, circular, entire, convex and ~ 0.5 -1mm in diameter after 6 days incubation. 93 On Serum Dextrose agar (SDA) growth is apparent after 24 hours at 37°C without additional 94 CO₂ with colonies of around 1 mm increasing to 3-4 mm after 72 hours incubation. Colonies 95 are smooth, entire and circular and show characteristic blue iridescence using obliquely 96 transmitted light (Henry, 1933). Growth is poor at 28°C. On nutrient agar there is poor growth 97 at 37°C and no growth at 28°C. Both isolates are sensitive to doxycycline (MIC <0.016 98 μg/ml), rifampicin (MIC 0.032 μg/ml), ciprofloxacin (MIC 0.094 μg/ml F8/08-60; 0.064 μg/ml 99 F8/08-61) and streptomycin (MIC 0.125 µg/ml F8/08-60; 0.094 µg/ml F8/08-61) in SDA and 100 fail to grow in nutrient both with 6% NaCl.

101

Both isolates are Gram-negative cocci, coccobacilli or short rods approximately 0.5-0.7µm in diameter and 0.5-1.5 µm in length arranged singly or, occasionally, in pairs, small groups and chains. Both isolates are consistent with *Brucella* using the modified Ziehl-Neelsen stain (Brinley-Morgan *et al.*, 1978) being resistant to decolourisation with 0.5% acetic acid. Flagellation was determined by transmission electron microscopy. Both isolates were dried onto formvar/carbon coated support grids, which had been subjected to plasma glow discharge, negatively stained with 2% phosphotungstic acid (pH6.6) and immediately examined in a Phillips CM10 transmission electron microscope at 80 kV. Cells are unflagellated coccobacilli approximately 0.5-1.0µm in length arranged as individual cells or irregular clusters (Figure 1). Both isolates were examined by slide agglutination test using unabsorbed *Brucella* antiserum (Weybridge Working Standard - WWS), negative control serum and sterile normal saline and agglutinate with WWS8 but not with either the negative control or saline.

115

Using classical phenotyping approaches that are traditionally used to divide *Brucella* into species and biovars (Alton *et al.*, 1988) the organism does not conform to the characteristics of any recognized species of *Brucella* (Table 1). The unusual profile includes no requirement for carbon dioxide, no production of hydrogen sulphide, sensitivity to the dyes thionin and basic fuchsin at 1:50,000, agglutination only with mono-specific anti-A serum, and lysis with bacteriophage Wb, Bk₂ and Fi.

122

123 Both isolates were run through API20E, API20NE incubated aerobically at 37°C and 30°C 124 respectively and APIZYM (BioMerieux, France). As observed for other classical Brucella 125 species, and in contrast to the recently described *B. inopinata* and *B. microti*, the isolates 126 show limited metabolic reactivity. After 24 hrs incubation at 37°C API 20E strips for both 127 strains show positive reactions only for urea, VP, and fermentation of D-glucose and L-128 arabinose. Both strips were re-incubated for a further 24 hours (not normally done under 129 manufacturer instructions) and this did produce a slight colour change in the sorbitol cupule 130 perhaps indicating slow fermentation in isolate F8/08-61. After 24 hrs incubation at 30°C the 131 API 20NE strips for both isolates were read - reactions only occurred in urea, there was no 132 reduction of nitrates or indole production. Reincubation of the API 20NE strips for a further 133 24 hours as per manufacturer instructions did not produce any changes to the profile of 134 either isolate. APIZYM strips were inoculated and incubated for 4.5 hours as per 135 manufacturer instructions. Both isolates produced identical results: alkaline phosphatase -; 136 esterase (C 4) ++; esterase lipase (C 8) +; lipase (C 14) -; leucine arylamidase ++; valine

arylamidase +; cystine arylamidase +; trypsin ++; α -chymotrypsin -; acid phosphatase ++; napthol-AS-bi-phosphohydrolase ++; α -galactosidase -; β -galactosidase -; β -glucuronidase -; α -glucosidase -; B-glucosidase -; N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase -; α -mannosidase - and α fucosidase - where + = positive (produced) ++ = positive (produced strong reaction) and - = negative (not produced).

142

Oxidase and catalase production were examined. Both isolates produce catalase but are negative for oxidase production by both pyotest strips (Medical Wire and Equipment, Bath, UK) and freshly made oxidase reagent. Urea slopes were also inoculated and both isolates rapidly hydrolyse urea within 30 minutes consistent with the positive urea results recorded on API20E and API20NE. Nitrate broths were inoculated with both isolates and incubated for 24 hrs and 3 days at 37°C along with a positive control (*Serratia marcescens*), negative control (*Acinetobacter Iwoffii*) and an uninoculated broth. Both isolates do not reduce nitrate.

150

151 In addition to classical biochemical reactions extended metabolic activity was examined using the Micronaut[®] BfR *Brucella* assay (Merlin Diagnostika, Bornheim-Hersel, Germany) 152 153 which assesses reaction with 93 different metabolites (Al Dahouk et al., 2010). Once again, 154 and in contrast to *B. inopinata* and *B. microti* the isolates display limited metabolic reactivity, 155 especially in sugar metabolism, consistent with the 'classical' Brucella species group. The 156 metabolic profile is distinct from any extant Brucella species (Table 2) and hierarchical 157 cluster analysis (BioNumerics version 6.6, Applied Maths, Belgium), performed using the 158 Ward's linkage algorithm (simple matching), confirmed this with the closet metabolic 159 relationship appearing to be with B. suis by 5 (Figure 2). Within the same cluster but in a 160 different branch B. canis, B. ovis, B. neotomae, B. ceti and B. pinnipedialis are found. 161 Interestingly, the enzymatic reaction using H-hydroxyproline-BNA (hitherto defining the 162 genus Brucella together with a positive urease as well as negative Glu(pNA)-OH and Pyr-163 pNA (AI Dahouk et al., 2010; AI Dahouk et al., 2012) for the first time revealed a negative 164 result. Hence metabolically *B. papii* sp. nov. differs from existing *Brucella* species.

165 Molecular analysis

166

The virtually complete 16S rRNA sequence was determined from both strains using an approach described previously (Hunt *et al.*, 2013). Sequences of 1407bp obtained from both isolates are identical to each other and confirm the identity of isolates as members of the genus *Brucella*. Sequences show 2 bp differences from the nine 'core' *Brucella* species previously shown to share identical 16S rRNA sequences (Gee *et al.*, 2004; Al Dahouk *et al.*, 2012) and 7bp differences from *Brucella inopinata*, the only *Brucella* species previously shown to have a divergent 16S rRNA sequence (Scholz *et al.*, 2010).

174

175 Results from DNA–DNA hybridizations using labelled DNA of *B. melitensis* $16M^{T}$ showed 176 79.1% ± 0.7 DNA relatedness with strain F8/08-60^T. These results are in agreement with 177 previous reports (Verger *et al.*, 1985) which showed that, if the 70% DNA-relatedness 178 threshold value is applied, species of this genus cannot be separated based on results from 179 DNA–DNA hybridizations. The DNA relatedness to the phylogenetically closest neighbour 180 *Ochrobactrum.intermedium* LMG 3301^T was 45.7%.

181

182 Both strains produce a strong band of identical size to the *B. melitensis* control in PCR 183 performed to determine the presence of the Brucella genus specific bscp31 gene (Bailey et 184 al., 1992). Fingerprinting using probes against the Brucella specific IS711 (Halling et al, 185 1993) has been shown to be a useful tool for differentiating Brucella at species and/or sub-186 species level (Ouahrani et al., 1993; Bricker et al., 2000; Dawson et al., 2008). By Southern 187 blot the two baboon isolates share an identical high copy number IS711 profile that is distinct 188 from any profile seen previously (data not shown). Some of these IS711 copies have been 189 located in the genome sequence of one of the baboon isolates (Audic et al., 2011). While 190 some IS711 chromosomal locations are common to other Brucella species but at least 7 191 may be specific to the baboon isolates.

193 Two frequently applied multiplex PCRs were applied to the isolates. AMOS (B. abortus, B. 194 melitensis, B. ovis, and B. suis) PCR (Bricker and Halling, 1994; Ewalt and Bricker, 2000; 195 Bricker et al., 2003) with additional species-specific primers confirms that the isolates are 196 Brucella species because of the generation of the specific 180 bp amplicon. However, both 197 isolates fail to produce any of the expected PCR products that would identify them as a 198 known species (Schlabritz-Loutsevitch et al., 2010). The strains were examined by 199 Bruceladder PCR, a multiplex PCR that can differentiate all known Brucella species (López-200 Goñi et al., 2008). The profile of bands obtained is identical to the B. melitensis profile (data 201 not shown) and thus the existing Bruceladder protocol would need to be adapted to 202 distinguish *B. papii* sp. nov. The novel IS711 localities described above give a means to do 203 this.

204

Studies of DNA polymorphism at the *omp2* locus have also been used extensively to characterise *Brucella*. The baboon strains were shown uniquely to possess two almost identical *omp2b* copies, as previously observed for *B. ceti*, but distinct from these latter by being more *omp2b*-like at the 3' end of both *omp2* gene copies (Figure 3).

209

Both isolates were examined using an MLSA scheme that characterises the sequences of 211 21 independent genomic fragments equating to >10.2 Kbp (Al Dahouk *et al.*, 2012). Both 212 baboon isolates share identical sequences at all loci but possess unique alleles at 11/21 loci 213 not seen in characterisation of over 700 *Brucella* isolates representing all known species and 214 biovars. Phylogenetic analysis comparing the baboon isolates with type strains representing 215 all extant *Brucella* species and their biovars confirms that they represent a well separated 216 lineage most closely related to *B. ovis* (Figure 4).

217

218 Both isolates were typed using the MLVA assay described by Le Flèche *et al.* (2006) and Al 219 Dahouk *et al.* (2007) comprising 16 loci (Scholz & Vergnaud, 2013) with allele coding 220 convention following version 3.6 of the table for allele assignment at <u>http://mlva.u-</u> 221 psud.fr/brucella/spip.php?rubrique29. All loci could be amplified with the exception of 222 Bruce11. The MLVA genotype is 2-5-0-14-2-2-5-3 for the 8 panel 1 loci (0 reflects lack of 223 amplification at locus Bruce11), 6-36-9 for panel 2A and 2-2-3-4-10 or 2-2-3-5-11 for the 224 most variable panel 2B. The two strains differ only at loci Bruce16 and Bruce30 typical of the 225 minor variation seen in closely related strains (Garcia-Yoldi et al., 2006: Maguart et al. 226 2009). Figure 5 shows the relative position of the two strains compared to approximately 227 1900 different MLVA16 genotypes. In this minimum spanning tree (MST) connecting lines 228 longer than 6 are masked. Seven unconnected groups are identified. The largest one 229 contains B. melitensis, B. abortus, B. pinnipedialis, B. ceti, B. suis biovar 5, B. microti. The 230 second largest is made by *B. suis* biovar 2. The third group aggregates *B. canis*, and *B. suis* 231 biovars 1, 3, 4. B. inopinata, B. ovis, B. neotomae and B. papii (shown in red) constitute the 232 last four groups.

233

234 In addition one of the isolates (NVSL 07-0026-1) was subjected to whole genome 235 sequencing. Two independent comparative genomic analyses have been published based 236 on this sequence (Audic et al., 2011; Wattam et al., 2014) comparing this strain with all other 237 Brucella species type strains. Both confirm the phylogenetic position suggested by MLSA 238 showing the baboon isolate and *B. ovis* united by an extremely shallow branch indicating a 239 shared common ancestor but with the long branch length indicative of significant divergence 240 since that time (Figure 6). Further, the in silico MLVA genotyping tool accessible at 241 http://mlva.u-psud.fr was applied to the whole genome sequence (WGS). The deduced code 242 was exactly as determined by PCR and electrophoresis size measurement, illustrating that 243 Brucella tandem repeats have been correctly assembled from the initial 454 WGS sequence 244 data. Investigation of the WGS data shows that one of the two flanking sequences of the 245 Bruce11 VNTR contains an approximately 141 bp deletion (101 bp of flanking sequence, 246 40bp out of 63 bp of the first repeat unit, plus potentially an unknown number of full repeat 247 units). This explains the lack of amplification of Bruce11 in *B. papii* since one of the usual 248 Bruce11 PCR primers is within this deletion. If primer GTAGCGATTGACACCTTGCCTG is

used instead of CTGTTGATCTGACCTTGCAACC, an identical 396 bp PCR product is
obtained in both strains whereas the Bruce11 PCR product is 93 bp longer in the other *Brucella* (data not shown).

252

253 Conclusions

254

In summary, extensive phenotypic and genotypic analyses demonstrate that isolates F8/08-60^T and F8/08-61 are members of the genus *Brucella*, but can be clearly differentiated from all established species of this genus, including their biovars. Hence, by applying the *Brucella* species concept suggested by the ICSP Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of *Brucella* (Osterman & Moriyon, 2006), the two strains represent a novel species of the genus *Brucella*, for which we propose the name *Brucella papii* sp. nov.

261

262 Description of Brucella papii sp. nov.

263

264 *Brucella papii* (L. gen. n. papii, of the baboon, from which the first strains of this species 265 have been isolated).

266

267 Cocci, coccobacilli or short rods, ~0.5-0.7µm in diameter, ~0.5-1.5 µm in length, arranged 268 singly and, occasionally in pairs, small groups and chains. Gram negative and resistant to 269 decolourisation with 0.5% acetic acid. Non-motile and non-spore forming. Aerobic. Does not 270 require supplementary CO₂ for growth. Growth occurs at 30°C to 37°C. Colonies on sheep 271 blood agar and Farrell's are visible at 3-4 days and are small, raised, circular, entire and 272 convex of ~0.5-1mm in diameter. Non-haemolytic and greyish in colour (on blood agar) and 273 honey coloured (on Farrell's). No growth occurs on MacConkey agar. No growth in broth 274 with 6.5% NaCl. Isolates do not grow in the presence of thionin or basic fuchsin at 1/50 000. 275 Both strains agglutinate with monospecific anti-A serum but not anti-M or anti-R serum. Cells 276 are lysed by Wb, Bk₂, Tb and Fi phage at routine test dilution (RTD). Cells are sensitive to

277 doxycycline, rifampicin, ciprofloxacin and streptomycin. Catalase positive. Oxidase negative. 278 Strongly urease positive. Indole negative. No production of H₂S. Acetoin (Vogues Proskauer) 279 is produced. Nitrates are not reduced. No production of arginine dihydrolase, lysine 280 decarboxylase, ornithine decarboxylase, β -galactosidase, β -glucosidase or gelatinase. 281 Positive (API20E) for L-arabinose, D-glucose (weak at 37°C but not 30°C) and variable, but 282 weak, fermentation of D-sorbitol. No fermentation or oxidation of D-mannitol, inositol, L-283 rhamnose, D-sucrose, D-melibiose or amygdalin at 37°C. No assimilation of D-glucose, L-284 arabinose, D-mannose, D-mannitol, D-maltose, N-acetyl-glucosamine, potassium gluconate, 285 capric acid, adipic acid, malic acid, trisodium citrate or phenylacetic acid at 30°C. Esterase 286 (C 4), esterase lipase (C 8), leucine arylamidase, valine arylamidase, cystine arylamidase, 287 trypsin, acid phosphatase, and napthol-AS-Bi-phosphohydrolase are all produced. Alkaline 288 phosphatase, lipase (C 14), α -chymotrypsin, α -galactosidase, β -glucuronidase, α -289 glucosidase, N-acetyl- β -glucosaminidase, α -mannosidase, α -fucosidase and tryptophane 290 deaminase are not produced. Differentiating physiological reactions (Micronaut[®] BfR Brucella assay) of strains F8/08-60^T and F8/08-61 with respect to other Brucella species are 291 292 given in Table 2.

The type strain is F8/08-60^T (BCCN XXXX^T NCTC XXXX^T) isolated in 2006 from a cervical swab taken from a *Papio* spp. following stillbirth in an animal handling facility in Texas, USA.

295

296 Acknowledgements

297

This investigation used resources which were supported by the Southwest National Primate Research Center grant P51 RR013986 from the National Center for Research Resources, National Institutes of Health and which are currently supported by the Office of Research Infrastructure Programs through P51 OD011133 and conducted in facilities constructed with support from the Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (ORIP) of the National Institutes of Health through Grant Numbers 1 C06 RR014578 and 1 C06 RR015456. We thank Bill Cooley for undertaking the electron microscopy work, Yolande Hauck for the MLVA16 typing and Nelly Bernardet, Cornelia Göllner, Anna-Louisa Hauffe and Jakub Muchowski for technical assistance. The work of Sascha Al Dahouk was supported by a grant from the Federal Institute for Risk Assessment (BfR project no. 1322-503). The work of Axel Cloeckaert, Michel Zygmunt and Gilles Vergnaud benefited from grant *Brucella* REI2010 34 0003 by the French "Direction Générale de l'Armement" which supports the development of tools for the tracing of dangerous pathogens. Brucellosis research activities at AHVLA are funded by the UK Department for Food, Environment and Rural Affairs (Defra).

312

- 313 Nucleotide Accession Numbers
- 314
- 315 The EMBL accession numbers for the gene sequences of 16S rRNA and *omp2a/omp2b* are
- 316 HG932316/HG932317 and KJ493822, KJ493823, KJ510540 and KJ510541 respectively.

317

318 Figure and Table Legends

319

Table 1. Differentiating characteristics of *B. papii* from other *Brucella* species and biovars
 based on classical biotyping approaches.

322

Table 2. Metabolic activity of *Brucella papii* sp. nov. in comparison with classical and newly described species tested with the Micronaut[®] BfR *Brucella* assay. (-, no metabolic activity; +, metabolic activity; v, variable metabolic activity. *Brucella*-specific traits are shown in boldface, and potentially distinctive features of *Brucella papii* sp. nov. are shaded).

Figure 1. Electron micrographs showing non-flagellated F8/08-61 cells. The appearance of F8/08-60^T is identical.

Figure 2. Hierarchical cluster analysis of *Brucella* species including *Brucella papii* sp. nov. performed by the Ward's linkage algorithm (simple matching) on the basis of 93 biochemical reactions tested with the Micronaut[®] BfR *Brucella* assay.

333

Figure 3. Schematic multiple nucleotide sequence alignment of the *omp2a* and *omp2b* genes of *Brucella* strains: Nucleotide sequences are represented by large rectangles divided into boxes of 30 nucleotides. *B. microti omp2b* gene is taken as the reference sequence. The yellow colour is typical of *B. microti omp2a*-specific nucleotides. The numbers in the corresponding boxes indicate the number of *omp2a*-specific nucleotides present in the sequence considered. The numbers in parentheses show insertions and deletions. The numbers in red indicate nucleotide differences that are not due to gene conversion.

341

Figure 4. Phylogenetic analysis of the baboon isolates in comparison with type strains (see <u>http://www.the-icsp.org/taxa/Brucellalist.htm</u> for details of strains) of all *Brucella* species and biovars inferred by MLSA. Bar = 0.002 substitutions per nucleotide position. Analysis was performed with the MEGA5 package using the neighbour-joining approach.

346

Figure 5. Minimum spanning tree from the MLVA16 data. Published MLVA16 data which can be accessed from the cooperative *Brucella* MLVA database at http://mlva.u-psud.fr was compared to the *B. papii* MLVA16 data. The colour code reflects species and sometimes biovar or significant sub-species clustering. The two *B. papii* strains are shown in red. Categorical distance was used. The creation of hypothetical intermediate links was allowed. Only connecting branches up to a length of 6 are shown.

353

Figuure 6. Phylogenetic representation of species in the genus *Brucella* based on a concatenated alignment of orthologous genes of complete or nearly complete genome sequences of 34 *Brucella* strains, including *B. papii* sp. nov.

358 References

- Al Dahouk, S., Le Flèche, P., Nöckler, K., Jacques, I., Grayon, M., Scholz, H.C.,
 Tomaso, H., Vergnaud, G. & Neubauer, H. (2007). Evaluation of *Brucella* MLVA typing for
 human brucellosis. *J Microbiol Methods*.69, 137-45.
- 362 Al Dahouk, S., Scholz, H.C., Tomaso, H., Bahn, P., Göllner, C., Karges, W., Appel, B.,
- Hensel, A., Neubauer, H. & Nöckler, K. (2010). Differential phenotyping of *Brucella* species
 using a newly developed semi-automated metabolic system *BMC Microbiol.* 10,269.
- Al Dahouk, S., Hofer, E., Tomaso, H., Vergnaud, G., Le Flèche, P., Cloeckaert, A.,
 Koylass, M.S., Whatmore, A.M., Nöckler, K. & Scholz, H.C. (2012). Intraspecies
 biodiversity of the genetically homologous species *Brucella microti. Appl Environ Microbiol.*78,1534-1543.
- Alton, G.G., Jones, L.M., Angus, R.D. & Verger, J.M. (1988). Techniques for the
 Brucellosis Laboratory (Techniques et Pratiques). Paris: INRA.
- 371
- Audic, S., Lescot, M., Claverie, J.M., Cloeckaert, A. & Zygmunt, M,S. (2011). The genome sequence of *Brucella pinnipedialis* B2/94 sheds light on the evolutionary history of the genus *Brucella*. *BMC Evol Biol*. **11**, 200.
- 375

Baily, G.G., Krahn, J.B., Drasar, B.S. & Stoker, N.G. (1992). Detection of *Brucella melitensis* and *Brucella abortus* by DNA amplification. *J Trop Med Hyg.* 95, 271–275.

- 378
- Bricker, B.J. & Halling, S.M. (1994). Differentiation of *Brucella abortus* bv. 1, 2, and 4, *Brucella melitensis*, *Brucella ovis*, and *Brucella suis* bv. 1 by PCR. *J Clin Microbiol.* 32,
 2660–2666.
- 382

Bricker, B.J., Ewalt, D.R., MacMillan, A.P., Foster, G. & Brew, S. (2000). Molecular
characterization of *Brucella* strains isolated from marine mammals. *J Clin Microbiol.* 38,
1258-1262.

386

Bricker, B.J., Ewalt, D.R., Olsen, S.C. & Jensen, A.E. (2003). Evaluation of the *Brucella abortus* species-specific polymerase chain reaction assay, an improved version of the
 Brucella AMOS polymerase chain reaction assay for cattle. *J Vet Diagn Invest.* 15, 374–378.

Brinley Morgan, W.J., Mackinnon, D.J., Gill, K.P.W., Gower, S.G.M. & Norris, P.J.W.
(1978). Brucellosis Diagnosis, Standard Laboratory Techniques, 2nd Edn. Ministry of
Agriculture, Fisheries and Food, UK: Central Veterinary Laboratory.

394

Corbel, M.J. & Brinley-Morgan, W. J. (1975). Proposal for minimal standards for
descriptions of new species and biotypes of the genus *Brucella*. *Int J Syst Bacteriol.* 25, 83–
89.

398

Dawson, C.E., Stubberfield, E.J., Perrett, L.L., King, A.C., Whatmore, A.M.,
Bashiruddin, J.B., Stack, J.A. & Macmillan, A.P. (2008). Phenotypic and molecular
characterisation of *Brucella* isolates from marine mammals. *BMC Microbiol.* 8, 224.

402

De, B.K., Stauffer, L., Koylass, M.S., Sharp, S.E., Gee, J.E., Helsel, L.O., Steigerwalt,
A.G., Vega, R., Clark, T.A. and other authors (2008). Novel *Brucella* strain (BO1)
associated with a prosthetic breast implant infection. *J Clin Microbiol.* 46, 43-49.

406

Eisenberg, T., Hamann, H.P., Kaim, U., Schlez, K., Seeger, H., Schauerte, N., Melzer, F.,
Tomaso, H., Scholz, H.C. and other authors (2012). Isolation of potentially novel *Brucella*spp. from frogs. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 78, 3753-3755.

- Ewalt, D.R. & Bricker, B.J. (2000). Validation of the abbreviated *Brucella* AMOS PCR as a
 rapid screening method for differentiation of *Brucella abortus* field strain isolates and the
 vaccine strains, 19 and RB51. *J Clin Microbiol*, **38**, 3085–3086.
- 414
- Fischer, D., Lorenz, N., Heuser, W., Kämpfer, P., Scholz, H.C. & Lierz, M. (2012).
 Abscesses associated with a *Brucella inopinata*-like bacterium in a big-eyed tree frog
 (*Leptopelis vermiculatus*). *J Zoo Wildl Med.* 43, 625-628.
- 418
- Foster, G., Osterman, B., Godfroid, J., Jacques, I. & Cloeckaert, A. (2007). Brucella ceti
 sp. nov. and Brucella pinnipedialis sp. nov. for Brucella strains with cetaceans and seals as
 their preferred hosts. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol 57, 2688–2693.
- 422

423 García-Yoldi, D., Le Flèche, P., Marín, C.M., De Miguel, M.J., Muñoz, P.M., Vergnaud, G.

& López-Goñi, I. (2007). Assessment of genetic stability of *Brucella melitensis* Rev 1
vaccine strain by multiple-locus variable-number tandem repeat analysis. *Vaccine* 25, 28582862.

427

Garin-Bastuji, B., Mick, V., Le Carrou, G., Allix, S., Perrett, L.L., Dawson, C.E.,
Groussaud, P., Stubberfield, E.J., Koylass, M. & Whatmore, A.M. (2014). Examination of
taxonomic uncertainties surrounding *Brucella abortus* bv. 7 by phenotypic and molecular
approaches. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* 80, 1570-1579.

432

Gee, J.E., De, B.K., Levett, P.N., Whitney, A.M., Novak, R.T. & Popovic, T. (2004). Use of
16S rRNA gene sequencing for rapid confirmatory identification of *Brucella* isolates. *J Clin Microbiol.* 42, 3649-3654.

436

Godfroid, J., Scholz, H.C., Barbier, T., Nicolas, C., Wattiau, P., Fretin, D., Whatmore,
A.M., Cloeckaert, A., Blasco, J.M. and other authors (2011). Brucellosis at the

animal/ecosystem/human interface at the beginning of the 21st century. *Prev Vet Med.* 102,
118-131.

441

Halling, S.M., Tatum, F.M. & Bricker, B.J. (1993). Sequence and characterization of an
insertion sequence, IS*711*, from *Brucella ovis*. *Gene* 133, 123-127.

444

Henry, B.S. (1933). Dissociation in the genus *Brucella*. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 52,
374-402.

447

Hunt, B., Bidewell, C., Koylass, M.S. & Whatmore, A.M. (2013). A novel taxon within the
genus *Actinobacillus* isolated from alpaca (*Vicugna pacos*) in the United Kingdom. *Vet Microbiol.* 163, 383-387.

451

Le Flèche, P., Jacques. I., Grayon, M., Al Dahouk, S., Bouchon, P., Denoeud, F., Nöckler, K., Neubauer, H., Guilloteau, L.A. & Vergnaud, G. (2006). Evaluation and selection of tandem repeat loci for a *Brucella* MLVA typing assay. *BMC Microbiol.* **6**, 9.

455

López-Goñi, I., García-Yoldi, D., Marín, C.M., de Miguel, M.J., Muñoz, P.M., Blasco,
J.M., Jacques, I., Grayon, M., Cloeckaert, A. and other authors (2008). Evaluation of a
multiplex PCR assay (Bruce-ladder) for molecular typing of all *Brucella* species, including the
vaccine strains. *J Clin Microbiol.* 46, 3484-3487.

460

Maquart, M., Le Flèche, P., Foster, G., Tryland, M., Ramisse, F., Djønne, B., Al Dahouk,
S., Jacques, I., Neubauer, H. and other authors (2009). MLVA-16 typing of 295 marine
mammal *Brucella* isolates from different animal and geographic origins identifies 7 major
groups within *Brucella ceti* and *Brucella pinnipedialis*. *BMC Microbiol*. 9,145.

466	Osterman, B. & Moriyon, I. (2006). International Committee on Systematics of Prokaryotes;
467	Subcommittee on the Taxonomy of Brucella: minutes of the meeting, 17 September 2003,
468	Pamplona, Spain. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol. 56, 1173–1175.

469

Ouahrani, S., Michaux, S., Sri Widada, J., Bourg, G., Tournebize, R., Ramuz, M. &
Liautard, J.P. (1993). Identification and sequence analysis of IS6501, an insertion sequence
in *Brucella* spp.: relationship between genomic structure and the number of IS6501 copies. J

473 *Gen Microbiol.***139**, 3265-3273.

474

475 Schlabritz-Loutsevitch, N.E., Whatmore, A.M., Quance, C.R., Koylass, M.S., Cummins,

476 L.B., Dick, E.J. Jr, Snider, C.L., Cappelli, D., Ebersole, J.L. and other authors (2009). A

477 novel *Brucella* isolate in association with two cases of stillbirth in non-human primates - first
478 report. *J Med Primatol.* 38, 70-73.

479

Scholz, H.C. & Vergnaud, G. 2013. Molecular characterisation of *Brucella* species. *Rev Sci Tech.* 32, 149-162.

482

Scholz, H.C., Hubalek, Z., Sedlácek, I., Vergnaud, G., Tomaso, H., Al Dahouk, S.,
Melzer, F., Kämpfer, P., Neubauer, H. and other authors (2008). *Brucella microti* sp. nov.,
isolated from the common vole *Microtus arvalis*. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol* 58, 375-382.

486

487 Scholz, H.C., Nöckler, K., Göllner, C., Bahn, P., Vergnaud, G., Tomaso, H., Al Dahouk,

488 S., Kämpfer, P., Cloeckaert, A. and other authors. (2010). Brucella inopinata sp. nov.,

isolated from a breast implant infection. *Int J Syst Evol Microbiol.* **60**, 801-808.

490

```
Tiller, R.V., Gee, J.E., Frace, M.A., Taylor, T.K., Setubal, J.C., Hoffmaster, A.R. & De,
```

492 **B.K. (2010a).** Characterization of novel *Brucella* strains originating from wild native rodent

493 species in North Queensland, Australia. *Appl Environ Microbiol.* **76**, 5837-5845.

- 494
- 495 Tiller, R.V., Gee, J.E., Lonsway, D.R., Gribble, S., Bell, S.C., Jennison, A.V., Bates, J.,
- 496 Coulter, C., Hoffmaster, A.R. & De, B.K. (2010b). Identification of an unusual *Brucella*497 strain (BO2) from a lung biopsy in a 52 year-old patient with chronic destructive pneumonia.
 498 *BMC Microbiol.* 27, 23.
- 499
- Wattam, A.R., Inzana, T.J., Williams, K.P., Mane, S.P., Shukla, M., Almeida, N.F.,
 Dickerman, A.W., Mason, S., Moriyón, I., O'Callaghan, D. and other authors (2012).
 Comparative genomics of early-diverging *Brucella* strains reveals a novel lipopolysaccharide
 biosynthesis pathway. *MBio* 3, e00246-12.
- 504
- Wattam, A.R., Foster, J.T., Mane, S.P., Beckstrom-Sternberg, S.M., BeckstromSternberg, J.M., Dickerman, A.W., Keim, P., Pearson, T., Shukla, M. and other authors
 (2014). Comparative phylogenomics and evolution of the brucellae: a path to virulence. *J Bacteriol.* 196, 920-930.
- 509
- 510 Whatmore, A.M. (2009). Current understanding of the genetic diversity of *Brucella*, an 511 expanding genus of zoonotic pathogens. *Infect Genet Evol.* **9**, 1168-1184.
- 512
- 513 Whatmore, A.M., Perrett, L.L. & MacMillan, A.P. (2007). Characterisation of the genetic 514 diversity of *Brucella* by multilocus sequencing. *BMC Microbiol.* **7**, 34.
- 515
- Verger, J.-M., Grimont, F., Grimont, P. A. D. & Grayon, M. (1985). Brucella, a
 monospecific genus as shown by deoxyribonucleic acid hybridization. Int J Syst Bacteriol.
 35, 292–295.

- 520 Ziemke, F., Höfle, M. G., Lalucat, J. & Rossello-Mora, R. (1998). Reclassification of
- 521 Shewanella putrefaciens Owen's genomic group II as Shewanella baltica sp. nov. Int J Syst
- 522 Bacteriol, **48**, 179–186.





- 1 μm



- 500 nm



AM943813 B. microti B.M.2 Gal Order	GenBank Accession N°	Species	Strain	Host or source	omp2gene																															
AM94381 BMS 2 Soli omplase I							90)	180		27	70		360			45	50		540		63	0		7	20		810		900		99	0	10	080	1140
AndAdastor AndAdastor <td>AM943813</td> <td>B. microti</td> <td>BMS 20</td> <td>Soil</td> <td>omp2b</td> <td></td> <td>\square</td>	AM943813	B. microti	BMS 20	Soil	omp2b																															\square
12440 8. melitentity 16. 9. 1	AM943807				omp2a	1			4	19	1	10	9	12	5 (12)	6 (18)	8	7	1	6	2 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5		14 1	4	7	1 2	2 2	
102640 8.uis 130 Suine and and and b 12643 mark m	U26440	B. melitensis	16M	Goat	omp2b	1	_				1															_							⊢		_	
12643 8.suš 1330 Svine omp2o 1	U26440				omp2a	1					1	10	9	12	5 (12)	6 (18)	8	7	1	6	2 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5		14 1	4	7	1 2	2 2	
1 1 <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <th1< th=""> <</th1<></th1<></th1<>	U26443	B. suis	1330	Swine	omp2b	1	_																												_	
AF268035 B. ovis 76-250 Sheep omp24 1 4 1 9 1 10 8 1 <th< td=""><td>U26443</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>omp2a</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>9</td><td>1</td><td>10</td><td>9</td><td>12</td><td>5 (12)</td><td>6 (18)</td><td>8</td><td>7</td><td>1</td><td>6</td><td>2 1</td><td>1</td><td>8 (8)</td><td>3 (7)</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>14 1</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td>12</td><td>2 2</td><td></td></th<>	U26443				omp2a	1				9	1	10	9	12	5 (12)	6 (18)	8	7	1	6	2 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5		14 1	4	7	12	2 2	
AY008720	AF268035	B. ovis	76-250	Sheep	omp2b	1			4	19	1	10	8, 1	11, 1	5 (12)	6 (18)	7, 1	7	1	1															1	
U2642 B. ovis 63/290 Sheep omp2a	AY008720				omp2a	1			4	19	1	10	9	12	5 (12)	6 (18)	8	7	1	1	2 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5		14 1	4	7	1 2	2 2	
U26422 Serie Bi/94 Popise omp2a 1 - 4 1 9 1 0 9 1 <td>U26442</td> <td>B. ovis</td> <td>63/290</td> <td>Sheep</td> <td>omp2b</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>4</td> <td>19</td> <td>1</td> <td>10</td> <td>8, 1</td> <td>12</td> <td>5 (12)</td> <td>6 (18)</td> <td>8</td> <td>7</td> <td>1</td> <td>1</td> <td>2 1</td> <td>1</td> <td>8 (8)</td> <td>3 (7)</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>5</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	U26442	B. ovis	63/290	Sheep	omp2b	1			4	19	1	10	8, 1	12	5 (12)	6 (18)	8	7	1	1	2 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5							
AF300816 B. ceti B1/94 Porpoise omp2b 1 4 1 9 1 <t< td=""><td>1126442</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>omp?a</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td>4</td><td>1 9</td><td>1</td><td>10</td><td>9</td><td>12</td><td>5</td><td>6</td><td>8</td><td>7</td><td>1</td><td>1</td><td>, 1</td><td>1</td><td>8 (8)</td><td>3 (7)</td><td>1</td><td></td><td>2</td><td>2</td><td>5</td><td></td><td>14 1</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td>1 *</td><td>* *</td><td></td></t<>	1126442				omp?a	1			4	1 9	1	10	9	12	5	6	8	7	1	1	, 1	1	8 (8)	3 (7)	1		2	2	5		14 1	4	7	1 *	* *	
AF300817 omp2a 1 4 1 9 1 4 1 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 1 1 4 4 2 2 1 AF300817 omp2a 1 4 1 9 1 4 1 9 1 1 1 4 4 2 2 AF300817 B. ceti B14/94 Common dolphin omp2a 1 4 1 9 1 1 1 1 4 7 1 2 2 AF300815 omp2a 1 1 4 1 9 1 1 1 4 7 1 2 2 KJ510540 B. papii sp. nov. F8/08/0 Baboon omp2a 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 KJ10541 B. papii sp. nov. F8/08/61 Baboon omp2a 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 KJ493823 omp2a 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 KJ493823	AF300816	R ceti	B1/94	Pornoise	omp2u	1			4	1 9				12	(12)	(10)		,				-		<u> </u>			2	2	5		14 1	4	4	<u> </u>		
AF300814 B. ceti B14/94 Common dolphin omp2b 1 I	AF300817	5.000	2	roipoise	omp20	1			4	1 9																	2	2	5		14 1	4	4	2	2 2	
AF300815 omp2a 1 <t< td=""><td>AF300814</td><td>B. ceti</td><td>B14/94</td><td>Common dolphin</td><td>omp2b</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>14 1</td><td>4</td><td>7</td><td>1 2</td><td>2 2</td><td></td></t<>	AF300814	B. ceti	B14/94	Common dolphin	omp2b	1																									14 1	4	7	1 2	2 2	
KJ 510540 B. papii sp. nov. F8/08/60 Baboon omp2b 1 I </td <td>AF300815</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>omp2a</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>14 1</td> <td>4</td> <td>7</td> <td>1 2</td> <td>2 2</td> <td></td>	AF300815			1	omp2a	1																									14 1	4	7	1 2	2 2	
KJ493822 omp2a 1 I <t< td=""><td>KJ510540</td><td>B. papii sp. nov.</td><td>F8/08/60</td><td>Baboon</td><td>omp2b</td><td>1</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>1 2</td><td>2 2</td><td></td></t<>	KJ510540	B. papii sp. nov.	F8/08/60	Baboon	omp2b	1																												1 2	2 2	
KJ510541 B. papii sp. nov. F8/08/61 Baboon omp2b 1 I <td>KJ493822</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>omp2a</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td>1 2</td> <td>2</td> <td></td>	KJ493822				omp2a	1																												1 2	2	
KJ493823 omp2a 1 1 2	KJ510541	B. papii sp. nov.	F8/08/61	Baboon	omp2b	1																												1 2	2	
	KJ493823				omp2a	1																												1 2	2	

Figure 4:



0.002





					Grov	vth on	A	gglutination w	ith						
Species	Biotype	Urease	CO2	H ₂ S	media co	ontaining:	monospecific antisera				Lysis by ph	age at RTD ²			
			rq't	prod'n	Thionin ¹	Fuchsin ¹	Α	м	R	Tb	Wb	Bk ₂	Fi	R/C	Tb10⁴
<i>B. papii</i> sp. nov.		+	-	-		-	+		-	PL or NL	L	L	L	NL	PL
B. abortus	1	(+)*	(+)	+	-	+	+	-	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	2	+	(+)	+	-	-	+	-	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	3**	+	(+)	+	+	+****	+	-	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	4	+	(+)	+	-	+	-	+	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	5	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	6**	+	-	(+)	+	+	+	-	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	7***	+	-	(+)	+	+	+	+	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
	9	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	-	L	L	L	L	NL	L
B. suis	1	+	-	+	+	_*****	+		-	NL	L	L	PL	NL	L
	2	+	-	-	+		+	-	-	NL	L	L	PL	NL	L
	3	+	-	-	+	+	+	-	-	NL	L	L	PL	NL	L
	4	+	-	-	+	(-)	+	+	-	NL	L	L	PL or L	NL	L
	5	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	-	NL	L	L	PL	NL	L
B. melitensis	1	+	-	-	+	+	-	+		NL	NL	L	NL	NL	NL
	2	+			+	+	+		-	NL	NL	L	NL	NL	NL
	3	+	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	NL	NL	L	NL	NL	NL
B. ovis		-	+	-	+	(+)	-	-	+	NL	NL	NL	NL	L	NL
B. canis		+	-	-	+	-	-	-	+	NL	NL	NL	NL	L	NL
B. neotomae		+	-	+	-	-	+	-	-	NL or PL	L	L	L	NL	L
B. ceti		+	(-)	-	(+)	(+)	+	(-)	-	NLα	Lβ	Lβ	NL or PL	NL	
B. pinnipedialis		+	(+)	-	+	+	(+)	(-)	-	NL ^α	L ^β	L ^β	NL or PL	NL	
B. microti		+	-	-	+	+	-	+		NL	L		NL		L
B. inopinata		+	-	+	+	+	-	+******		NL			NL		PL

¹Concentration = 1/50 000 w/v.

²Phage lysis: RTD = Routine Test Dilution Tb = Tbilisi Wb = Weybridge Bk₂ = Berkeley Fi = Firenze R/C = Rough strains Tbx10⁴ = RTD x 10⁴.

L = Confluent Lysis, PL = Partial Lysis, NL = No Lysis, α Most strains no lysis, β Most strains lysis.

(+) = Most strains positive, (-) = most strains negative.

*Reference strain is -ve but most field strains are positive.

**For more certain differentiation of biotype 3 and 6, thionin at 1/25 000 (w/v) is used in addition. Biovar 3 = +, Biovar 6 = -.

***The status of biovar 7 is under investigation (Garin-Bastuji et al., 2014).

****Some strains of this biotype are inhibited by basic fuchsin, *****Some isolates may be resistant to fuchsin, ***** Weak agglutination.

Table 2.

Substrate desig	nation	Substrate class			<i>rucella</i> species									
			3. abortus	3. melitensis	3. suis	3. ovis	3. canis	3. neotomae	3. ceti	3. pinnipedialis	3. microti	3. inopinata	3. papii sp. nov.	
d-Ala-pNA	DANA	aminopeptidases with pNA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Gly-pNA	GNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	-	
Leu-pNA	LNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	-	v	v	-	-	-	v	-	+	+	+	
Lys-pNA	KNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	v	+	v	-	v	-	+	-	+	+	+	
Gly-Pro-pNA	GPNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	v	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	-	
Ala-Phe-Pro-pNA	AFPNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	-	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Glu(pNA)-OH	ENAOH	aminopeptidases with pNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pyr-pNA	PYRNA	aminopeptidases with pNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
H-hydroxyproline- ßNA	HP	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Leu-ßNA	IL	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Lys-ßNA	К	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Arg-Arg-ßNA	RR	aminopeptidases with βNA	v	-	v	+	+	-	+	-	v	+	+	
Gly-Gly-ßNA	GG	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	+	+	+	-	+	+	+	+	+	
Pro-ßNA	Р	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Ac-Gly-Lys-βNA	AcGK	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	+	+	-	v	-	-	+	+	
Ala-Phe-Pro-Ala- ßNA	AFPA	aminopeptidases with βNA	V	+	+	-	+	+	+	v	-	+	v	
Asn-ßNA	Ν	aminopeptidases with βNA	V	v	+	+	+	-	-	v	-	+	-	
Trp-βNA	W	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	v	-	-	+	+	+	+	+	-	
Glu-His-ßNA	EH	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	+	+	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-	
Ac-Lys-Ala-ßNA	AcKA	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	v	+	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	
D-Ala-D-Ala-ßNA	dAdA	aminopeptidases with βNA	+	-	v	-	-	-	+	v	+	v	-	
Val-ßNA	V	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
lle-ßNA	Ι	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	V	V	-	-	-	-	v	-	-	-	
Val-Tyr-Ser-ßNA	VTS	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	v	+	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	
Asp-ßNA	D	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Glu-ßNA	Е	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
Pyr-ßNA	Pyr	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	

ß-Ala-ßNA	βA	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
H-Val-4-MßNA	V4M	aminopeptidases with βNA	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
bis-p-nitrophenyl phosphate	BISPH7	phosphatases	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl phosphate di(2- amino-2-ethyl-1,3- propanediol)	PHOS7	phosphatases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl-a-d- glucopyranoside	aGLU7	glucosidases	-	-	+	-	v	+	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl-a-d- maltoside	aMAL7	glucosidases	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl-a-d- glucopyranoside	aGLU5	glucosidases	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl-a-d- xylopyranoside	aXYL7	glucosidases	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
p-nitrophenyl-n- acetyl-ß-D- glucosaminide	CHIT7	esterases	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
i-erythritol	EROL	sugar derivates	+	+	v	-	-	+	v	-	+	v	-
L(-)-fucose	L-FUC	monosaccharides	-	v	-	-	v	-	v	-	+	+	-
D-glucose-L- cysteine	GLUCY	sugar derivates	v	v	+	-	-	+	v	v	+	+	v
D(-)-ribose	D-RIB	monosaccharides	v	-	v	-	+	-	-	-	+	v	-
D(-)-arabinose	D-ARA	monosaccharides	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	-	v	v	-
D(+)-glucose	D-GLU	monosaccharides	-	v	v	-	-	+	-	-	+	v	-
L(+)-arabinose	L-ARA	monosaccharides	v	-	v	-	-	+	v	-	+	+	-
2-deoxy-D- galactose	DOGAL	monosaccharides	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D(+)-galactose	D-GAL	monosaccharides	v	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
D(+)-xylose	D-XYL	monosaccharides	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
a-D-talose	D-TAL	monosaccharides	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	-	+	-	-
D-threitol	D-TOL	sugar derivates	+	-	v	v	+	-	+	-	+	+	-
adonite	ADON	monosaccharides	+	+	v	v	-	+	+	+	+	-	+
sucrose	SUCR	disaccharides	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
iso-maltose	MALTO	disaccharides	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	v	+	+	-
D(-)-fructose	D-FRU	monosaccharides	v	+	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
1-deoxy-1-nitro-D- sorbitol	DNSOL	sugar derivates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
D(-)-lyxosylamine	LYXA	sugar derivates	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
palatinose	PAL	disaccharides	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	+	+	-
myo-inositol	INOL	sugar derivates	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
inosine	INON	sugar derivates	-	-	v	-	+	-	+	-	+	-	-
gallic acid	Galli	organic acids	-	+	+	-	+	+	v	-	+	v	+
DL-lactic acid	dlLac	organic acids	-	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	+	v	-
acetate	Acet	amino acid derivates	-	-	+	+	+	+	-	-	+	+	+

L-asparagine	L-Asn	amino acids	+	+	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
L-glutamic acid	L-Glu	amino acids	v	+	v	-	v	+	-	-	+	+	-
ala-gln	AlaGIn	amino acids	v	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	v	-
guanidinosuccinic acid	GuaSu	organic acids	v	-	v	-	v	-	-	-	+	v	-
L-cystine	L-Cyss	amino acids	+	+	v	+	-	-	v	+	+	-	v
D-alanine	D-Ala	amino acids	v	+	v	-	-	+	-	-	+	+	-
propionic acid	Propn	organic acids	-	+	v	-	-	+	v	-	-	+	-
L-alanine	L-Ala	amino acids	+	+	v	-	v	-	-	-	+	+	-
DL-۵- hydroxybutyric acid	ßHBut	organic acids	-	-	+	-	+	+	+	-	+	+	+
D-asparagine	D-Asn	amino acids	v	+	-	-	-	+	-	-	-	v	-
L-arginine	L-Arg	amino acids	-	-	+	-	v	-	-	-	+	+	-
Na-acetyl-I-arginine	AcArg	amino acid derivates	-	-	+	-	+	-	-	-	v	+	-
glyoxylic acid	Glyx	organic acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
L-serine	L-Ser	amino acids	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
hippuryl-arg	HipArg	peptides	-	-	v	-	v	-	-	-	v	+	-
L-carnosine	L-Caro	amino acids	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
glycine	Gly	amino acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
adipic acid	Adipa	organic acids	-	-	v	-	+	-	+	-	+	+	-
L-proline	L-Pro	amino acids	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
D-proline	D-Pro	amino acids	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
fumaric acid	Fuma	organic acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
D-serine	D-Ser	amino acids	-	+	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
glycolic acid	Glyc	organic acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
Adenine	Adeni	amino acid derivates	+	+	v	-	+	-	+	v	-	-	+
glutaric acid	Gluta	organic acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	v
mesaconic acid	Mesac	organic acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
D-histidine	D-His	amino acids	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	-
nitrite	NTI	classical reactions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-
nitrate	NTA	classical reactions	+	v	+	-	v	-	+	+	+	v	-
pyrazinamidase	PCA	classical reactions	v	+	v	-	-	+	+	+	+	V	+
Voges Proskauer	VP	classical reactions	+	+	v	-	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
Urease	Urease	classical reactions	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
hydrogen sulfide	H2S	classical reactions	-	-	v	-	-	-	-	-	+	+	-