

Haefliger structures and symplectic/contact structures

Francois Laudenbach, Gael Gael Meigniez

▶ To cite this version:

Francois Laudenbach, Gael Gael Meigniez. Haefliger structures and symplectic/contact structures. $2015.\ \text{hal-}01119725v1$

HAL Id: hal-01119725 https://hal.science/hal-01119725v1

Preprint submitted on 23 Feb 2015 (v1), last revised 25 Jan 2016 (v3)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

HAEFLIGER STRUCTURES AND SYMPLECTIC/CONTACT STRUCTURES

François Laudenbach & Gaël Meigniez

ABSTRACT. For some geometries including symplectic and contact structures on an n-dimensional manifold, we introduce a two-step approach to Gromov's h-principle. From formal geometric data, the first step builds a transversely geometric Haefliger structure of codimension n. This step works on all manifolds, even closed. The second step, which works only on open manifolds and for all geometries, regularizes the intermediate Haefliger structure and produces a genuine geometric structure. Both steps admit relative parametric versions. The proofs borrow ideas from W. Thurston, like jiggling and inflation. Actually, we are using a more primitive jiggling due to R. Thom.

1. Introduction

We consider geometric structures on manifolds, such as the following: symplectic structure, contact structure, foliation of prescribed codimension, immersion or submersion to another manifold. We recall that, in order to provide a given manifold M with such a structure, Gromov's h-principle consists of starting from a formal version of the structure on M (this means a non-holonomic — that is, non-integrable — section of some jet space) and deforming it until it becomes genuine (holonomic) [6]. In the present paper, we introduce a two-step approach to the h-principle for such structures.

From the formal data, the first step builds a Haefliger structure of codimension zero on M, transversely geometric; this concept will be explained below. For each of the geometries above-mentioned, the first step works for every manifold M, even closed.

The second step, which is working for open manifolds only, regularizes the intermediate Haefliger structure, providing a genuine geometric structure. Both steps admit relative parametric versions.

An essential tool in both steps consists of *jiggling*. We recall that Thurston's work on foliations used his famous jiggling lemma [13]. As A. Haefliger told us [4], Thurston himself was aware that this lemma applies for getting some h-principles in the sense of Gromov. In a not

²⁰⁰⁰ Mathematics Subject Classification. 57R30.

 $Key\ words\ and\ phrases.$ Foliations, Haefliger's Γ-structures, jiggling, inflation, symplectic structure, contact structure, submersion, immersion.

FL is supported by ERC Geodycon.

very popular paper by R. Thom [12], we discovered a more primitive jiggling lemma that is remarkably fitting the needs of our approach.

- 1.1. Groupoids and geometries. According to O. Veblen and J.H.C. Whitehead [14], a geometry in dimension n is defined by an n-dimensional model manifold X (often \mathbb{R}^n) and by an open subgroupoid Γ in the groupoid $\Gamma(X)$ of the germs of local diffeomorphisms of X; here the topology on $\Gamma(X)$ is meant to be the *sheaf* topology. In what follows, we use the classical notation $\Gamma_n := \Gamma(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Here are examples of such open subgroupoids.
 - (1) When n is even, $\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}} \subset \Gamma_n$ denotes the subgroupoid of germs preserving the standard symplectic form of \mathbb{R}^n .
 - (2) When n is odd, $\Gamma_n^{\text{cont}} \subset \Gamma_n$ denotes the subgroupoid of germs preserving the standard (positive) contact structure of \mathbb{R}^n .
 - (3) For n = p + q, one has the subgroupoid $\Gamma_{n,q}^{\text{fol}} \subset \Gamma_n$ preserving the standard foliation of codimension q (whose leaves are the p-planes parallel to \mathbb{R}^p).
 - (4) When Y is any q-dimensional manifold and $X = \mathbb{R}^p \times Y$, one has the subgroupoid $\Gamma_n^Y \subset \Gamma(X)$ of the germs of the form $(x,y) \mapsto (f(x,y),y)$.
- 1.2. Γ -foliations. The next concept goes back to A. Haefliger [3]. For an open subgroupoid Γ of $\Gamma(X)$, a Γ -foliation on a manifold E is meant to be a codimension-n foliation on E equipped with a transverse geometry associated with Γ and invariant by holonomy. More precisely, this foliation is defined by a maximal atlas of submersions $(f_i: U_i \to X)$ from open subsets of E into X such that, for every i, j and every $x \in U_i \cap U_j$, there is a germ $\gamma_{ij} \in \Gamma$ at point $f_j(x)$ verifying

$$[f_i]_x = \gamma_{ij} [f_j]_x$$

where $[-]_x$ stands for the germ at x. When $n = \dim E$, one also speaks of a Γ -geometry on E.

Here are examples related to the previous list of groupoids. The first two have been already considered by D. McDuff in [8].

- (1) A Γ_n^{symp} -foliation on E amounts to a closed differential 2-form Ω on E, whose kernel is of codimension n at every point. The closeness of Ω is equivalent to the conjunction of the next facts:
 - the codimension-n plane field $(x \in E \mapsto \ker \Omega_x)$ is integrable,
 - Ω is basic¹ with respect to that foliation,
 - Ω is closed on a total transversal.
- (2) A Γ_n^{cont} -foliation on E amounts to a codimension-one plane field P on E defined by an equation A=0 where A is a differential form of degree 1, unique up to multiplying by a positive² function, which satisfies the next conditions:
 - the *n*-form $A \wedge (dA)^{(n-1)/2}$ is closed and has a codimension-*n* kernel K_x at every point $x \in E$; in particular, the field $(x \mapsto K_x)$ is integrable, tangent to a codimension-*n* foliation denoted by \mathcal{K} ;
 - K_x is a vector sub-space of P_x for every x;
 - P is invariant by the holonomy of K.

¹We recall that a form α is said to be basic with respect to a foliation \mathcal{F} if the Lie derivative $L_X\alpha$ vanishes for every vector field X tangent to \mathcal{F} ; this is the infinitesimal version of the *invariance by holonomy*.

²Here, we limit ourselves to co-orientable contact structures.

- (3) A $\Gamma_{n,q}^{\text{fol}}$ -foliation on E consists of a flag $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$ of two nested foliations of respective codimensions n and q in E.
- (4) A Γ_n^Y -foliation on E consists of a codimension-n foliation and a submersion $f: E \to Y$ which is constant on every leaf ([3] p. 145).
- 1.3. Haefliger's Γ -structures. A. Haefliger defined a Γ -structure as some class of cocycles valued in Γ (see [3] p. 137). This definition, that makes sense on every topological space and for every topological groupoid Γ , allowed him to build a classifying space $B\Gamma$ for these structures ([3] p. 140). A second description ([2] p. 188) is more fitting our purpose when the topological space is a manifold M and when the groupoid is an open subgroupoid Γ in the groupoid of germs $\Gamma(X)$ of a n-manifold X. Here it is.

A Γ -structure on M consists of a pair $\xi = (\nu, \mathcal{F})$ where

- ν is a real vector bundle over M of rank n, called the normal bundle; its total space is denoted by $E(\nu)$; and $Z: M \to E(\nu)$ denotes the zero section;
- \mathcal{F} is a germ along Z(M) of Γ -foliation in $E(\nu)$ transverse to every fibre of ν .

An important feature of Γ -structures is that pulling back by smooth maps (in our restricted setting) is allowed without assuming any transversality: if $f: N \to M$ is a smooth map and ξ is a Γ -structure on M, $f^*\xi$ is the Γ -structure on N whose normal bundle is $f^*\nu$ equipped with the Γ -foliation $F^{-1}(\mathcal{F})$, where F is the bundle morphism over f which is a fibre-to-fibre linear isomorphism.

Let $H^1(M;\Gamma)$ (resp. $H^1_{\nu}(M;\Gamma)$) denote the space of the Γ -structures on M (resp. those whose normal bundle is ν). It is a topological space since Γ is a topological groupoid; their elements are denoted by $\xi = (\nu, \mathcal{F})$. In what follows, we are mainly interested in the case where dim M = n and ν is isomorphic to the tangent space τM ; in that case, the elements are just denoted \mathcal{F} .

In what follows, a Γ -structure on M whose normal bundle is the tangent bundle τM will be called a tangential Γ -structure.

- 1.4. Underlying formal geometries. For each geometry given above, every Γ -structure has an underlying formal Γ -geometry in the sense of Gromov. But, we do not intend to enter Gromov's generality. We just describe what they are.
 - (1) Assume n is even. Given $\mathcal{F} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M; \Gamma_n^{\text{symp}})$, one has an associated basic closed 2-form Ω on a neighborhood of Z(M) in the total space TM. Its kernel is everywhere transverse to the fibres. Therefore, Ω defines a non-singular 2-form ω on M by the formula $\omega_x := \Omega_{Z(x)}|T_xM$ for every $x \in M$. This is the underlying formal symplectic structure.
 - (2) Assume n is odd. Given $\mathcal{F} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M; \Gamma_n^{\text{cont}})$, one has a (n-1)-plane field Q defined near Z(M) with the following properties:
 - at each point z near Z(M), the plane Q_z is vertical, meaning that it is contained in the fibre of TM passing through z;
 - Q_z carries a symplectic bilinear form, well defined up to a positive factor;
 - as a (conformally) symplectic bundle over a neighborhood of Z(M), the plane field Q is invariant by the holonomy of \mathcal{F} .

Then, there is a symplectic sub-bundle whose fibre at $x \in M$ is $S_x := Q_{Z(x)}$ (the indeterminancy by a positive factor is irrelevant here). This is the underlying formal contact structure. Another way to say the same thing consists of the following: S is the kernel of a 1-form α and there is a 2-form β on M such that β makes S be a symplectic bundle; equivalently, it may be said that $\alpha \wedge \beta^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is a volume form.

- bundle; equivalently, it may be said that $\alpha \wedge \beta^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is a volume form. (3) Assume n = p + q. Given $\mathcal{F} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M; \Gamma^{\text{fol}}_{n,q})$, one has an associated foliation \mathcal{G} of codimension q on a neighborhood of Z(M) in the total space TM. The foliation \mathcal{G} defines a p-plane field P on M, whose value at every $x \in M$ is $P_x := T_{Z(x)}\mathcal{G} \cap T_xM$.
- (4) Assume $n \geq \dim Y$. Given $\mathcal{F} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M, \Gamma_n^Y)$, one has an associated submersion f from a neighborhood of Z(M) in the total space TM into Y. The submersion f induces a formal submersion F from M to Y, that is, a bundle epimorphism from TM to TY whose value at every $x \in M$ is $F_x := Df_{Z(x)}|T_xM$.

Observe that all these spaces of formal geometries have natural topologies. Our first theorem yields a converse: for the above geometries, formal Γ -geometries lead to Γ -structures.

Theorem 1.5. Let Γ be a groupoid in the list 1.1 of n-dimensional geometries. Let M be an n-dimensional manifold, possibly closed. Then the forgetful map from $H^1_{\tau M}(M;\Gamma)$ to the corresponding space of formal Γ -geometries is a (weak³) homotopy equivalence.

Remarks 1.6.

- 1) In the case of symplectic/contact geometry, D. McDuff proved theorems of same flavour using the *convex integration* technique of Gromov ([8], see also [5] p. 104, 138).
- 2) Let $\mathcal{F} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M;\Gamma)$. By taking a section s of τM valued in the domain foliated by \mathcal{F} and generic with respect to \mathcal{F} , there is an induced Γ -geometry with singularities on $M \cong s(M)$. This seems to be a very natural notion of singular symplectic/contact structure. It follows from Theorem 1.9 that the singular locus may be localized in a ball of M.
- 1.7. Homotopy and regularization. Our second theorem will allow us to regularize every parametric family of Γ -structures on every manifold M which is *open*, that is, which has no closed connected component; this terminology will be permanently used in what follows.

A homotopy (also called a concordance⁴) between two Γ -structures (ν_i, \mathcal{F}_i) (i = 0, 1) on M is a Γ -structure on $M \times [0, 1]$ whose restriction to $M \times 0$ (resp. $M \times 1$) equals (ν_0, \mathcal{F}_0) (resp. (ν_1, \mathcal{F}_1)). Of course, ν_0 and ν_1 must be isomorphic.

A Γ -structure (ν, \mathcal{F}) is said to be *regular* if the foliation \mathcal{F} is transverse not only to the fibres of ν but also to Z(M) in $E(\nu)$. This bi-transversality of \mathcal{F} induces an isomorphism $\nu \cong \tau(Z(M))$. In that case, the pull-back $Z^*(\mathcal{F})$ is a Γ -geometry on M, namely the foliation by points equipped with a transverse Γ -geometry.

1.8. The exponential Γ_n -structure. Given a complete Riemannian metric on the n-manifold M, there is a well defined map

$$exp:TM\to M.$$

When restricting exp to a small neighborhood U of Z(M) in TM, we get a submersion to M. The foliation defined by the level sets of exp|U represents a regular Γ_n -structure on M, denoted

³Actually, according to R. Palais ([10] Theorem 15), the considered spaces have the property that a weak homotopy equivalence is a genuine homotopy equivalence.

⁴This second word emphasizes the difference with a one-parameter family of Γ -structures.

by $\mathcal{F}_{exp} \in H^1_{\tau M}(M; \Gamma_n)$. Up to isomorphism (vertical isotopy in TM), \mathcal{F}_{exp} does not depend on the Riemannian metric as it is shown by the next construction.

Consider the product $M \times M$ and its diagonal $\Delta \cong M$. We have two projections p_v, p_h : $M \times M \to \Delta$, respectively the vertical and the horizontal projection. A small tube U about Δ equipped with p_v is isomorphic to τM as micro-bundle. Then, the same tube equipped with p_h defines the Γ_n -structure \mathcal{F}_{exp} .

We recall the fundamental property of the differential of exp (independent of any Riemannian metric):

$$d(exp|T_xM)_{Z(x)} = Id: T_xM \to T_xM.$$

As a consequence, if $f: M \to Y$ is a smooth map and $v \in T_xM$, one has

(1.1)
$$f \circ exp_x(v) - f(x) = df_x(v) + o(||v||).$$

Theorem 1.9. Let X be an n-manifold, let $\Gamma \subset \Gamma(X)$ be an open subgroupoid and let M be a (connected) n-manifold. Assume that M is open (that is, no connected component is closed). Let

$$t \mapsto \mathcal{F}_t : \mathbb{D}^k \to H^1_{\tau M}(M; \Gamma)$$

be a continuous family of tangential Γ -structures, parametrized by the compact k-disk $(k \ge 0)$, such that for every $t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$, the Γ -structure \mathcal{F}_t is regular and tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} along Z(M).

Then, there exists a continuous family of concordances

$$t \mapsto \bar{\mathcal{F}}_t : \mathbb{D}^k \to H^1_{\tau M}(M \times [0,1]; \Gamma)$$

such that

- $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t = pr_1^*(\mathcal{F}_t)$ for every $t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$, where $pr_1 : M \times [0,1] \to M$ is the projection;
- $\bar{\mathcal{F}}_t|(M\times 0) = \mathcal{F}_t \text{ for every } t\in \mathbb{D}^k$;
- for every $t \in \mathbb{D}^k$, the Γ -structure $\mathcal{F}_t|(M \times 1)$ is regular and tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} along Z(M).

Remark 1.10. The Smale-Hirsch classification of immersions $S \to Y$ (see [11, 7]), where S is a closed manifold of dimension less than dim Y, is covered by Theorem 1.9; in particular, the famous *sphere eversion* amounts to the case where S is the 2-sphere, $Y = \mathbb{R}^3$ and k = 1. Let us show it.

Let $(f, F): TS \to TY$ be a formal immersion. Then, thanks to F we have a monomorphism $F_*: \tau S \to f^*\tau Y$ over Id_S . Let ν be a complementary sub-bundle to the image of F_* ; when f is an immersion, ν is its normal bundle. Let \hat{S} be a disk bundle in ν ; it is a compact manifold with non-empty boundary and dim $\hat{S} = \dim Y$. Thus, instead of immersing S to Y one tries to immerse \hat{S} to Y; if it is done, the restriction to the 0-section yields an immersion of S to Y with normal bundle ν . The formal immersion $(f, F): TS \to TY$ easily extends to a formal immersion $(\hat{f}, \hat{F}): \hat{S} \to Y$ in codimension 0. Since $\hat{F}: T_x \hat{S} \to T_{\hat{f}(x)} Y$ is a linear isomorphism for every $x \in \hat{S}$, the level sets of $\exp_Y \circ F$ is a Γ_n^Y -foliation \mathcal{F} near $Z(\hat{S})$, that is, a Γ_n^Y -structure on \hat{S} . Moreover, thanks to Equation (1.1), if \hat{f} is an immersion \mathcal{F} is tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} ; here \exp_Y stands for $\exp_{\hat{S}}$. So, Theorem 1.9 applies and yields the wanted immersion (or family of immersions).

Corollary 1.11. Let Γ be a groupoid as in Theorem 1.9 and $\xi = (\tau M, \mathcal{F})$ be a tangential Γ -structure on a closed manifold M. Then, after a suitable concordance, all singularities (that is, the points where \mathcal{F} is not transverse to $Z(M) \cong M$) are confined in a ball.

Proof. Let $B \subset M$ be a closed n-ball. Apply Theorem 1.9 to $\xi | (M \setminus int B)$. We are given a regularization concordance C of this restricted Γ -structure. Since this concordance is given on a manifold with boundary, it extends to the whole manifold. Indeed, $B \times [0,1]$ collapses to $(B \times \{0\}) \cup (\partial B \times [0,1])$.

1.12. The classical h-principle for Γ -geometries. For a groupoid Γ as listed in 1.1 the h-principle states the following:

If M is an open n-manifold, the space of Γ -geometries on M has the same (weak) homotopy type as the space of formal Γ -geometries on M.

This statement follows from Theorems 1.5 and 1.9.

Proof. We start with a k-parameter family of formal Γ -geometries on M, $k \geq 0$, which are genuine Γ -geometries when the parameter t lies in $\partial \mathbb{D}^k$. Then, for every $t \in \mathbb{D}^k$, the foliation \mathcal{F}_{exp} is a Γ -foliation near Z(M). So, Theorem 1.5 applies and yields a k-parameter family of Γ -structures on M which remains unchanged when $t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$. Now, since M is open, Theorem 1.9 applies and all the relative homotopy groups of the pair (formal Γ -geometries, Γ -geometries) are vanishing.

The article is organized as follows. In Section 2, we detail the tool that goes back to R. Thom [12]. The next sections are devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.5 in focusing on the case of transversely symplectic structures. The existence part is treated in Section 3. The family of such structures are considered in Section 4; the proof of Theorem 1.5 is completed there when the groupoid is Γ_n^{symp} . In Section 5, we adapt the proof to the groupoid Γ_n^{cont} ; the other groupoids which are considered in Theorem 1.5 could be treated in the same way. Finally, in Section 6, we solve the problem of regularizing the Γ -structures on every open manifold.

2. Thom's subdivision and Thom's Jiggling

Reference [12] is the report of a lecture where R. Thom announced a sort of homological h-principle (ten years before Gromov's thesis). A statement and a sketch of proof are given there; the details never appeared. From this text, we extracted an unusual subdivision process of the standard simplex and a jiggling formula⁵. Actually, neither statement nor proof nor formula were written there, only words describing the object, a beautiful object indeed.

Proposition 2.1. Let Δ^n denote the standard n-simplex. For every positive integer n, there exist a non-trivial subdivision K_n of Δ^n and a simplicial map $\sigma_n: K_n \to \Delta^n$ such that:

- 1) (non-degeneracy) the restriction of σ_n to any n-simplex of K_n is surjective;
- 2) (heredity) for any (n-1)-face F of Δ^n , the intersection $K_n \cap F$ is simplicially isomorphic to K_{n-1} and $\sigma_n|F \cong \sigma_{n-1}$.

Proof. Condition 2) implies $\sigma_n(v) = v$ for any vertex of Δ_n . For K_1 , we may take $\Delta^1 = [0, 1]$ subdivised by two interior vertices: $0 < v_1 < v_0 < 1$ and we define σ_1 by $\sigma_1(v_1) = 1$ and $\sigma_1(v_0) = 0$.

⁵Thom speaks of "dents de scie" (serrated edge); we keep the word *jiggling* that W. Thurston introduced in [13].

For n=2, let A,B,C denote the vertices of Δ^2 . The polyhedron K_2 will be built in the following way: subdivide each edge of Δ_2 as K_1 subdivides Δ_1 ; add an interior triangle with vertices a,b,c so that the line supporting [b,c] is parallel to [B,C] and separates A from a, etc.; join a to the four vertices of [B,C], etc. The simplicial map σ_2 is defined by $a \mapsto A, b \mapsto B, c \mapsto C$ and by imposing to coincide with σ_1 on each edge of Δ^2 . Condition 1) is easily checked.

This construction extends to any dimension. If K_{n-1} and σ_{n-1} are known, each facet of Δ^n will be sudivided as K_{n-1} . Then, one puts a small n-simplex δ^n in the interior of Δ^n applying the same rules of parallelism and separation as for n=2. Each vertex v of δ^n will be joined to the vertices of the facet F(v) of Δ^n in front of v, this facet being sudivided by K_{n-1} . The map σ_n maps v to the vertex V which is opposite to F(v) in Δ^n .

Remarks 2.2. 1) This subdivision may be iterated r times producing a subdivision K_n^r which is arbitrarily fine and a simplicial map $\sigma_n^r: K_n^r \to \Delta^n$ fulfilling the two conditions of Proposition 2.1. More precisely, thinking of σ_n as a map from Δ^n to itself, σ_n^r will denote its r-th iterate and K_n^r is defined by the next formula:

(2.1)
$$K_n^r = (\sigma_n^{r-1})^{-1} (K_n).$$

We will call σ_n^r an r-folding map.

2) Thanks to heredity, this subdivision of the standard simplex and the r-folding map apply to any polyhedron.

Actually, the above construction has an unfolding property which is stated in the next proposition.

Proposition 2.3. With the above notations, for every n-simplex τ of K_n^{r-1} , the restriction $\sigma_n^r|\tau$ is homotopic to $\sigma_n^{r-1}|\tau$ among piecewise linear maps $\tau \to \Delta^n$ which are compatible with the face operators.

Proof. According to formula (2.1) it is sufficient to prove the proposition for $\sigma_n \equiv \sigma_n^1$. In that case, $\sigma_n^{r-1} = Id$. The homotopy is obvious for n = 1; it consists of shrinking the middle interval δ^1 to the barycenter of Δ^1 and shrinking its image at the same time. Recursively, the homotopy of σ_n is known on the faces of Δ^n . So, it is sufficient to define the homotopy on the interior small n-simplex δ^n . As when n = 1, the homotopy consists of shrinking δ^n and its image simultaneously to the barycenter of Δ^n .

2.4. First jiggling formula. Let M be an n-manifold and $\tau M = (TM \xrightarrow{p} M)$ be its tangent bundle. Choose an auxiliary Riemannian metric on τM and an arbitrarily small open disk sub-bundle U so that, for every $x \in M$, the exponential map $exp_x : U_x \to M$ is an embedding. Take a combinatorial triangulation T of M so fine that every n-simplex τ of T is covered by $exp_x(U_x)$ for every $x \in \tau$. Let T^r be the r-th Thom subdivision of T and $\sigma^r : T^r \to T$ be the corresponding simplicial folding map. The r-th $jiggling map j^r : M \to TM$ is defined in the following way. For each $x \in M$, the point $j^r(x)$ is the unique point in U_x such that

(2.2)
$$exp_x(j^r(x)) = \sigma^r(x).$$

This formula defines j^r as a piecewise smooth section $M \to TM$. We have the following properties.

Proposition 2.5. 1) Let τ be an n-simplex of T, let x be a point in τ and let δ be an n-simplex of T^r passing through x. Then, $j^r(\delta)$ goes to $\exp_x^{-1}(\tau)$ as $r \to \infty$. The convergence is uniform for $x \in \tau$.

2) The map j^r is homotopic to the 0-section Z among PL maps which are transverse to the exponential foliation \mathcal{F}_{exp} on each n-simplex of their domain.

Proof. 1) The diameter of the simplices of T^r goes to 0 as r goes to ∞ . So, for $y \in \delta$, the point $j^r(y) := exp_y^{-1}(\sigma^r(y))$ is close to $exp_x^{-1}(\sigma^r(y))$. Since σ^r is a surjective simplicial map onto τ , we have the C^0 closeness of $j^r(\delta)$ and $exp_x^{-1}(\tau)$. A similar argument holds for the derivatives.

2) On the one hand, the leaves of \mathcal{F}_{exp} in U are n-discs. We define $exp^u: U \to U, u \in [0, 1]$, to be the map which is the homothety by u in each fibre of exp. It is a homotopy from Id_U to exp|U which restricts to a homotopy from j^r to σ^r . On the other hand, according to Proposition 2.3, σ^r is homotopic to Id_M through PL maps which are non-degenerate on each n-simplex of their domain, hence transverse to \mathcal{F}_{exp} .

Remarks 2.6.

- 1) Any piecewise smooth map defined on an n-manifold M and smooth on each n-simplex of a triangulation T may be approximated by a smooth map with the same polyhedral image. It is sufficient to precompose with the time-1 map of a small vector field which vanishes at infinite order along the (n-1)-skeleton of T. So, even restricting the concept of Γ -structure to the smooth category, there is no trouble to pull-back a Γ -structure by j^r ; it will be well defined up to homotopy.
- 2) In general a jiggling, for instance based on the iterated barycentric triangulation, does not share the properties stated in Proposition 2.5 (non-degeneracy and *PL*-homotopy).
- **2.7. Second jiggling formula.** Here, we consider a trivial bundle ε^n of rank n whose base is an n-manifold M equipped with a coloured triangulation⁶. Let $\Delta^n \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a non-degenerate n-simplex whose vertices are coloured. The colouring defines a simplicial map $c: T \to \Delta^n$. We have a first jiggling $j^1: M \to M \times \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \mapsto (x, c(x))$. Then, the Thom process defines a r-th jiggling

(2.3)
$$j^r(x) = (x, c \circ \sigma^r(x)).$$

The first item of Proposition 2.5 holds true for this formula: $j^r(\delta)$ tends to $\{x\} \times \Delta^n$ when n goes to ∞ .

3. Existence of transversely symplectic Γ_n -structures

In this section we prove a slightly more general statement than the existence part of Theorem 1.5 for the groupoid Γ_n^{symp} : we consider any symplectic bundle of rank n. We are going to use a more informative notation: a Γ_n^{symp} -structure on M will be denoted by $\xi = (\nu, \mathcal{F}, \Omega)$ where Ω is a closed 2-form whose kernel is \mathcal{F} .

⁶A triangulation T of dimension n is coloured when each vertex has a colour in $\{0, 1, ..., n\}$ such that two vertices of the same simplex have different colours. The first barycentric subdivision of any traingulation is coloured.

Theorem 3.1. Let $\nu = (E \to M)$ be a symplectic bundle of even rank n over a manifold M of dimension $\leq n+1$. Then there exists a Γ_n^{symp} -structure ξ on M whose normal bundle $\nu(\xi)$ is isomorphic to ν as a symplectic bundle.

Moreover, if a real cohomology class $\bar{a} \in H^2(M,\mathbb{R})$ is given, ξ can be chosen so that the cohomology class $[Z^*\Omega]$ equals \bar{a} , where Ω is the closed 2-form underlying ξ .

We think of this problem as a lifting problem that we attack by obstruction theory. Let us explain how it works. As for any groupoid of germs, there are a classifying space⁷ $B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}$ and a canonical isomorphism

$$\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}(M) \cong [M, B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}]$$

where [-, -] stands for the set of homotopy classes of maps.

This classifying space is the source of two maps. The first one is $\beta: B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}} \to BSp(n)$: if $f: M \to B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}$ classifies a Γ_n^{symp} -structure $\xi = (\nu, \mathcal{F}, \Omega)$ up to concordance, $\beta \circ f$ classifies its normal bundle ν . The second one is $\kappa: B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}} \to K(\mathbb{R}, 2)$, where the target is the Eilenberg-MacLane space classifying the functor $H^2(-, \mathbb{R})$: the composed map $\kappa \circ f$ classifies the cohomology class of the closed 2-form $Z^*\Omega$. Finally, the pair (β, κ) defines a map

$$\pi^{\text{symp}}: B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}} \to BSp(n) \times K(\mathbb{R}, 2)$$

For Theorem 3.1, we are given a map $M \to BSp(n) \times K(\mathbb{R}, 2)$ and we have to lift this map to $B\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}$. Since M is (n+1)-dimensional, Theorem 3.1 is a direct corollary of the next statement (the (n-1)-connectedness would be sufficient for Theorem 1.5).

Theorem 3.2. (Haefliger, McDuff) The homotopy fibre of π^{symp} , denoted by $F\pi^{\text{symp}}$, is n-connected.

- A. Haefliger ([3], Section 6) showed that the (n-1)-connectedness of this homotopy fibre is a consequence of the h-principle. D. McDuff ([8], Theorem 6.1) proved the n-connectedness thanks to the convex integration technique.
- **3.3.** What do we have to prove for Theorem 3.2? We have to prove that the k-th homotopy group $\pi_k(F\pi^{\text{symp}})$ vanishes when $k \leq n$. An element of this group is represented by a Γ_n^{symp} -structure $\xi = (\varepsilon^n, \mathcal{F}, \Omega)$ on the k-sphere with the following properties:
 - The normal bundle is trivial as a symplectic bundle; this means that its underlying symplectic bilinear form is the standard form ω_o of \mathbb{R}^n on each fibre.
 - The 2-form Ω , which is defined in a neighborhood of the 0-section $Z(S^k)$ in $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n$, is assumed to be exact.

Let (p_1, p_2) denote the two projections of $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n$ onto its factors. Recall that the kernel of Ω is the tangent space to the codimension-n foliation \mathcal{F} and that \mathcal{F} is transverse to the fibres of p_1 .

We have to extend this structure ξ over the (k+1)-ball \mathbb{D}^{k+1} or, equivalently, to show that it is homotopic to the trivial structure $\xi_0 := (\varepsilon^n, \mathcal{F}_0, \Omega_0)$ where $\Omega_0 = p_2^* \omega_0$.

According to Moser's Lemma with k parameters [9], there exists a vertical isotopy of $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{R}^n$, keeping Z fixed, which reduces us to the case where the germ at Z(x) of the form induced by Ω on $p_1^{-1}(x)$ equals ω_0 for every $x \in \mathbb{S}^k$. After this last reduction, let U be a tube $\mathbb{S}^k \times B^n$

⁷The contravariant homotopy functor $\Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}(-)$ satisfies the axiom of gluing (Mayer-Vietoris) and wedge sum; so, the classifying space exists according to E. Brown's Theorem [1].

in the domain of Ω , where B^n is an *n*-ball of small radius. Now, Theorem 3.2 directly follows from the next lemma, as we will see just after its statement.

Lemma 3.4. Given the above-mentioned data, there exist a section $s : \mathbb{S}^k \to U$, a neighborhood W of $s(\mathbb{S}^k)$ in U and an ambient diffeomorphism ψ such that:

- (1) ψ is the time-1 map of a vertical isotopy (ψ_t) ; set $W_0 := \psi(W)$;
- (2) ψ sends the pair (W, Ω) to (W_0, Ω_0) ;
- (3) the isotopy ψ_t is Hamiltonian with respect to ω_0 in each fibre.

Here, "vertical" means that the isotopy preserves each fibre of p_1 .

Remarks 3.5.

- 1) The statement holds true for every symplectic vector bundle of rank n, equipped (near the 0-section) with two forms exact forms Ω and Ω_0 which define Γ_n^{symp} -foliations and induce the same symplectic form on each fibre.
- 2) Moreover, the two first items are valid for a pair of Γ_n -foliations without any transverse geometry.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3.2. Since ψ is vertical, $s_0 := \psi \circ s$ is a section of the trivial bundle ε^n over \mathbb{S}^n . Also, recall the 0-section Z. Then, we have a sequence of homotopies of Γ_n^{symp} -structures on \mathbb{S}^k :

- a first homotopy from $Z^*\Omega$ to $s^*\Omega$;
- then, a homotopy from $s^*\Omega$ to $s_0^*\Omega_0$ defined by the isotopy (ψ_t) ;
- a last homotopy from $s_0^*\Omega_0$ to $Z^*\Omega_0$.

The last structure obviously extends to the (k+1)-ball.

PROOF OF LEMMA 3.4. We limit ourselves to k = n; for k < n, it is the same argument by replacing the base \mathbb{S}^k with an n-dimensional base $\mathbb{S}^k \times \mathbb{D}^{n-k}$. Let $B^n := p_2(U)$ and let Δ^n be a non-degenerate and coloured n-simplex in the interior of B^n .

Take a decreasing sequence

$$\varepsilon_0 > \cdots > \varepsilon_k > \cdots > \varepsilon_{n-1}$$
.

When α is a strict closed k-face of Δ^n , let $N(\alpha)$ denote the closed ε_k -neighborhood of α in \mathbb{R}^n . Set

$$N(\Delta^n) := \Delta^n \underset{\alpha}{\cup} N(\alpha)$$

where the union is taken over all faces of Δ^n . For a suitable choice of the sequence (ε_k) we may arrange that :

- (1) $N(\alpha) \cap N(\beta) = \emptyset$ if α and β are two disjoint faces;
- (2) if $\alpha \cap \beta \neq \emptyset$ and if α and β are not nested, then $N(\alpha) \cap N(\beta)$ is interior to $N(\alpha \cap \beta)$;
- (3) $N(\Delta^n) \subset B^n$.

Now, take a coloured triangulation T of the base \mathbb{S}^n , its Thom subdivision T^r and the associated jiggling j^r given by formula (2.3). We are going to construct bi-foliated *boxes* associated with each simplex of T^r whose plaques are respectively contained in the leaves of \mathcal{F} and in the fibres of p_1 ; moreover the boundary of a box has a part tangent to \mathcal{F} and another part tangent to the fibres. Let τ be a k-simplex of T^r ; with τ , the colouring of T associates some face τ^{\perp} of

 $\Delta^n \subset B^n$. The box $B(\tau)$ is defined in the following way. Its base $p_1(B(\tau))$ equals $star(\tau)$, the star of τ in T^r . In the fibre over the barycenter $b(\tau)$, we take the domain $N(\tau^{\perp})$. Finally, $B(\tau)$ is the union of all plaques of \mathcal{F} passing through $N(\tau^{\perp})$ and contained in $p_1^{-1}(star(\tau))$. If the diameter of the base is small enough, that is, if the order r of the subdivision is large enough, the holonomy of \mathcal{F} over the base is C^0 close to *Identity*. Therefore, each plaque in $B(\tau)$ cannot get out of U; thus, it covers the base.

Look at two faces τ and τ' of the same simplex σ of T^r . Assume first that τ and τ' are disjoint. Apply the above condition (1) to τ^{\perp} and τ'^{\perp} ; then, by the holonomy argument, if r is large enough, the boxes $B(\tau)$ and $B(\tau')$ are disjoint. Assume now that τ and τ' are not disjoint but not nested. Then, by (2), we have

$$B(\tau) \cap B(\tau') \subset B(\tau \cap \tau').$$

Nevertheless, if τ and τ' do not belong to the same *n*-simplex and if $\partial star(\tau) \cap \partial star(\tau') \neq \emptyset$, then $B(\tau)$ and $B(\tau')$ could intersect badly. This is corrected next way.

Again, for r large enough, the leaves of \mathcal{F} meeting $j^r(\tau)$ intersect the fibre over $b(\tau)$ in $N(\tau^{\perp})$. This guaranties that $j^r(T^r)$ is covered by the interior of the boxes. From now on, r is fixed. For $1 > \eta > 0$, the η -reduced box associated with τ is defined by

$$B_{\eta}(\tau) := B(\tau) \cap p_1^{-1} \big((1 - \eta) star(\tau) \big)$$

where the homothety is applied from the barycenter $b(\tau)$. Fix $\eta > 0$ small enough so that the η -reduced open boxes still cover the jiggling. Now, we are sure that $B_{\eta}(\tau)$ and $B_{\eta}(\tau')$ are disjoint once τ and τ' are disjoint.

The desired open set W is the union $V_0 \cup \cdots \cup V_k \cup \cdots \cup V_{n-1}$, where V_k denotes the interior of the η -reduced boxes associated with each k-simplex; the section s is any smooth approximation of j^r valued in W. We are ready to perform the isotopy. It is done step by step, in the boxes associated with the vertices of T^r first, then with the edges etc. For $x \in star(\tau)$, lifting the segment $[x, b(\tau)]$ to \mathcal{F} yields a holonomy diffeomorphism between fibres of box

$$(3.1) (hol \mathcal{F})_x^{b(\tau)} : B(\tau)_x \to B(\tau)_{b(\tau)}$$

which is an ω_0 -symplectomorphism since Ω is closed. Similarly, we have the holonomy of \mathcal{F}_0 which also give an ω_0 -symplectomorphism. The steps are numbered from 0 to n.

If v is a vertex in T^r , we define ψ^0 in $B_{\eta}(v)$ by the next formula. For $z \in B_{\eta}(v)$ and $x = p_1(z)$,

(3.2)
$$\psi^0(z) = (hol \mathcal{F}_0)_v^x \circ (hol \mathcal{F})_v^v(z).$$

Since the reduced boxes are disjoint, this formula simultaneously applies to the reduced boxes associated with all vertices. By shrinking the segment [x,v] to [x,x+t(v-x)] and by replacing v with x+t(v-x) in formula (3.2), we define an interpolation between $\psi^0(z)$ and z. As a consequence ψ^0 is the time-1 map of a vertical isotopy of embeddings (ψ_t^0) which is easily checked to be symplectic. Since the components of the domain of (ψ_t^0) are contractible, this is actually a Hamiltonian isotopy⁸ which therefore extends to a global Hamiltonian isotopy supported in U, still denoted by (ψ_t^0) . Let \mathcal{F}_1 (resp. Ω_1) be the direct image of \mathcal{F} (resp. Ω) by ψ_1^0 ; all reduced boxes are transported in this way, becoming $B_{\eta}^1(\tau)$ for each $\tau \in T^r$. Observe that \mathcal{F}_1 is horizontal in the reduced new boxes associated with vertices.

⁸The infinitesimal generator X_t of an ω_0 -symplectic isotopy satisfies that $\iota(X_t)\omega_0$ is a closed 1-form; it is said to be Hamiltonian if this form is the differential of a function.

The next step (numbered 1) deals with the edges. Let e be an edge in T^r with end points v_0, v_1 . For $z \in B^1_{\eta}(e)$ and $x = p_1(z)$, define $\psi^1(z)$ by:

(3.3)
$$\psi^{1}(z) = (hol \,\mathcal{F}_{0})_{b(e)}^{x} \circ (hol \,\mathcal{F}_{1})_{x}^{b(e)}(z).$$

Observe that $\psi^1(z) = z$ when $z \in B^1_{\eta}(v_i)$, i = 0, 1; indeed, this box covers the barycenter b(e) and \mathcal{F}_1 is horizontal there. Moreover, ψ^1 is the time-1 map of a symplectic isotopy (ψ^1_t) relative to the reduced boxes of the vertices; this isotopy, called the *step-1 isotopy*, follows from an interpolation formula analogous to the one defining (ψ^0_t) .

If e and e' are two edges, after condition (2), the domain where their η -reduced boxes could intersect is contained in a domain where \mathcal{F}_1 is horizontal and, hence, $\psi_t^1 = Id$ on this domain. Therefore, ψ_t^1 is well defined on the union V_1 of closed η -reduced boxes associated with the vertices and edges. Unfortunately, it is not a Hamiltonian isotopy of embeddings; some vertical loops in V_1 may sweep out some non-zero ω_0 -area. Thus, it could not extend to an ambient vertical symplectic isotopy.

CLAIM. 1) There is a real combinatorial cocycle $\mu = \mu_{\Omega_1}$ of the triangulation T^r such that, for each triangle τ , the real number $< \mu, \tau >$ measures the ω_0 -area swept out by the loop $\{x\} \times (\partial \tau^{\perp})$ through the isotopy (ψ_t^1) for every $x \in (1 - \eta) star(\tau)$; in particular, this area does not depend on x.

- 2) When Ω_1 is exact, μ is a coboundary.
- 3) There is an ambient vertical ω_0 -symplectic isotopy $(g_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$, supported in U, which is stationary on V_0 and such that $\mu_{q^*\Omega_1}=0$.

The third item, together with the first item, means that the step-1 isotopy (ψ_t^1) becomes Hamiltonian when \mathcal{F}_1 stands for the foliation tangent to ker $g_1^*\Omega_1$ instead of ker Ω_1 .

The proof of the claim is postponed to the end of the section. We first finish the proof of Lemma 3.4 by applying the claim in the next way.

After the step-0 isotopy, the cocycle μ_{Ω_1} is calculated and the Hamiltonian isotopy (g_t) is derived. Let $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ denote the foliation tangent to $\ker g_1^*\Omega_1$; let $\tilde{B}_{\eta}^1(\tau) := (g_t)^{-1}(B_{\eta}^1(\tau))$. Now, the straightening formula 3.3 of the box $\tilde{B}_{\eta}^1(e)$ is applied $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_1$ instead of \mathcal{F}_1 . The associated isotopy (ψ_t^1) becomes ω_0 -Hamiltonian. Hence, it extends to a vertical isotopy supported in U, denoted likewise, which is ω_0 -Hamiltonian on each fibre U_x . This finishes step 1 of the isotopy.

The next steps of the induction are similar, except that the question of being a Hamiltonian isotopy is not raised again since, up to homotopy, every loop in W is already contained in $V_0 \cup V_1$. In the end of this induction, we have a proof of Lemma 3.4 by taking $\psi = \psi_1^n$.

PROOF OF THE CLAIM.

1) Let e be an edge of T^r ; its end points are denoted v_0 and v_1 . Let x be a point in the base of $B_{\eta}(e)$. Set $\gamma := \{x\} \times e^{\perp}$. We first compute the ω_0 -area swept out by the vertical arc $(\psi_1^1)^{-1}(\gamma)$ through the step-1 isotopy. Denote this area by $\mathcal{A}(x,e)$; any other arc with the same end points would give the same area.

There are two natural "squares", C and C_0 , appearing for this computation. The square C (resp. C_0) is generated by the holonomy of \mathcal{F}_1 (resp. \mathcal{F}_0) over [b(e), x] with initial vertical arc e^{\perp} in the fibre $U_{b(e)}$. They have common horizontal edges: $\beta_i := e \times v_i^{\perp}$ for i = 0, 1. Orient

 e^{\perp} from v_0^{\perp} to v_1^{\perp} ; so, γ and $(\psi_1^1)^{-1}(\gamma)$ are oriented by carrying the orientation of e^{\perp} by the respective holonomies; and also C_0 and C are oriented by requiring $\{b(e)\} \times e^{\perp}$ to define the boundary orientation. Then, we have

(3.4)
$$\mathcal{A}(x,e) = \int_C \Omega_0 - \int_{C_0} \Omega_0.$$

The second summand is 0 by construction. Similarly, we have $\int_C \Omega_1 = 0$. So, if Λ is any primitive of $\Omega_1 - \Omega_0$, we derive

(3.5)
$$\mathcal{A}(x,e) = -\int_C d\Lambda.$$

We now use a specific choice of primitive. Recall the zero-section $Z: \mathbb{S}^n \to U$. For $t \in [0,1]$, let c_t denote the contraction $(x,v) \mapsto (x,tv)$ and let $c: U \times [0,1] \to U$ be the corresponding homotopy from $Z \circ p_1$ to Id_U . This yields the next formula:

(3.6)
$$\Omega_1 - \Omega_0 = d \left[p_1^* \theta + \int_0^1 \iota(\partial_t) c^* (\Omega_1 - \Omega_0) \right]$$

where θ is a primitive of the exact form $Z^*\Omega_1$ (observe that $Z^*\Omega_0 = 0$); the integral is just the mean value of a one-parameter family of 1-forms. This primitive of $\Omega_1 - \Omega_0$ also reads

(3.7)
$$\Lambda_0 := p_1^* \theta + \int_0^1 c_t^* \iota(v \partial_v) (\Omega_1 - \Omega_0),$$

which vanishes on every vertical vector since Ω_1 and Ω_0 coincide on the fibres. Orient β_0 as the horizontal lift of [b(e), x] and β_1 as the opposite of the oriented horizontal lift. We have

(3.8)
$$\int_C d\Lambda_0 = \int_{\beta_1} \Lambda_0 + \int_{\beta_0} \Lambda_0.$$

Now, we consider a triangle τ in T^r and we look at the ω_0 -area $\mathcal{A}(x,\partial\tau)$ swept out by $\{x\} \times (\partial\tau)^{\perp}$ when x belongs to $(1-\delta)star(\tau)$. The vertices of τ are denoted by v_i , i=0,1,2, cyclicly ordered; the oriented edges are $e_j:=[v_{j-1},v_j]$ where j-1 is taken modulo 3. There are two particular horizontal lifts of $[b(e_j),x]$, denoted by $\beta_{j,k}$ with k=j or j-1 depending on whether its origin is $(b(e_j),v_j^{\perp})$ or $(b(e_j),v_{j-1}^{\perp})$. If k=j-1, it is oriented as $[b(e_j),x]$; if k=j, it has the opposite orientation. By summing up the area swept out by each edge of $\{x\} \times (\partial\tau)^{\perp}$, we have

(3.9)
$$\mathcal{A}(x,\partial\tau) = <\Lambda_0, \beta_{1,1} + \beta_{2,1} + \beta_{2,2} + \beta_{0,2} + \beta_{0,0} + \beta_{1,0}>$$

where the bracketing stands for the integration over chain.

Since $\Omega_1 - \Omega_0$ vanishes on $(1 - \eta) star(\tau) \times \{v_i^{\perp}\}$, we have

$$<\Lambda_0, \beta_{i,i} + \beta_{i+1,i}> = <\Lambda_0, [b(e_i), b(e_{i+1})] \times v_i^{\perp}>.$$

By summation, we have

(3.10)
$$\mathcal{A}(x,\partial\tau) = \sum_{i} \langle \Lambda_0, [b(e_i), b(e_{i+1})] \times v_i^{\perp} \rangle$$

which implies that $\mathcal{A}(x, \partial \tau)$ does not depend on x. The combinatorial cochain μ is now defined by the next formula:

(3.11)
$$\langle \mu, \tau \rangle = \sum_{i} \langle \Lambda_0, [b(e_i), b(e_{i+1})] \times v_i^{\perp} \rangle.$$

If an arbitrary primitive Λ of $\Omega - \Omega_0$ is used, the above formula becomes

$$(3.12) \langle \mu, \tau \rangle = \sum_{i} \langle \Lambda, \{b(e_i)\} \times [v_{i-1}^{\perp}, v_i^{\perp}] \rangle + \langle \Lambda, [b(e_i), b(e_{i+1})] \times v_i^{\perp} \rangle.$$

Indeed, a change of primitive consists of adding a closed 1-form; and the integral of this on the polygon P considered in formula (3.12) is zero since P bounds a 2-cell.

- 2) Since T^r is a finite simplicial set, we only have to prove that $\langle \mu, \Sigma \rangle = 0$ for every 2-cycle Σ of T^r . Here, the exactness of Ω_1 is used. Summing formula (3.12) over all triangles of Σ yields a sum of integrals of Λ over horizontal polygons in regions where $d\Lambda = 0$ (one polygon for each vertex of Σ). So, these integrals are null. Therefore, there exists a combinatorial 1-cochain α of T^r such that $\mu = \partial^* \alpha$ where ∂^* stands for the combinatorial co-differential.
- 3) We are going to use this 1-cochain α in order to correct Ω_1 by a certain vertical isotopy. Let e be an oriented edge in T^r with origin v_- and extremity v_+ . The value $\alpha(e)$ is used in the following way. In the fibre over b(e), we find an ω_0 -Hamiltonian isotopy $(g_t^e)_{t \in [0,1]}$, compactly supported in $U_{b(e)}$ and fixing $(V_0)_{b(e)}$, such that the area swept out by the arc $\gamma_e := b(e) \times [v_-^{\perp}, v_+^{\perp}]$ is $-\alpha(e)$. Observe that the Hamiltonian function is not required to vanish in the fixed domain, but only to be constant on each connected component of the fiber $(V_0)_{b(e)}$ over b(e).

So, the infinitesimal generator X_t of the desired isotopy (g_t) is chosen in finitely many fibres. By a suitable partition of unity there is an extention which is Hamiltonian in each fibre, compactly supported and vanishing in V_0 . Note that the Hamiltonian has to be constant in each connected component of the fibre $(V_0)_x$, but these constants may vary with x.

The 2-form $g_1^*\Omega_1 - \Omega_1$ has a primitive associated with the isotopy, named the *Poincaré* primitive,

(3.13)
$$A = \int_0^1 g_t^* (\iota(X_t)\Omega_1) dt.$$

Since X_t vanishes on V_0 , the 1-form A vanishes over here and we have:

$$(3.14) < A, \gamma_e > = -\alpha(e).$$

Now, $\Lambda + A$ is a primitive of $g_1^*\Omega_1 - \Omega_0$. According to formula (3.12), the combinatorial cochain $\mu_{g_1^*\Omega_1}$ associated with the 2-form $g_1^*\Omega_1$ vanishes and the claim is proved.

⁹The cochain μ is a cocycle. Regarding the second item, this fact is not important and left to the reader. Note that the previous calculation uses a local primitive of Ω only.

¹⁰In dimension n=2, this is possible only if $|\lambda(e)|$ is less than the ω_0 -area of $U_{b(e)}$. This last condition is satisfied when r is large enough.

4. Parametric family of transversely symplectic Γ_n -structures

In this section, we prove the parametric version of Theorem 1.5 for the groupoid $\Gamma = \Gamma_n^{\text{symp}}$. We emphasize that the required connectedness of the homotopy fibre $F\pi^{\text{symp}}$ depends only on the dimension of M and not on the number of parameters in the family. Indeed, there is no integrability condition with respect to the parameter.

We consider the same setting as in Theorem 3.1: $\nu = (E \to M)$ is a bundle of even rank n over a manifold M of dimension $\leq n+1$ equipped with a k-parameter family $(\omega_t)_{t\in\mathbb{D}^k}$ of symplectic bilinear forms ω_t on E. It is understood that k is positive.

Theorem 4.1. Assume there is a family $(\xi_t)_{t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k}$ of Γ^{symp} -structures, namely a family $(\Omega_t)_{t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k}$ of closed 2-forms defined near the zero section Z of E, such that Ω_t induces ω_t on the fibres of ν for every $t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^{k \times 1}$.

Then, this family extends over the whole \mathbb{D}^k such that Ω_t induces ω_t on the fibres of ν for every $t \in \mathbb{D}^k$. Moreover, the family of cohomology classes $[Z^*\Omega_t]_{t \in \mathbb{D}^k}$ may be arbitrarily chosen among those which extend the boundary data.

Proof. We start with a cell decomposition C of M fine enough so that, for every $t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$ and every cell $C \in C$, there is a fibred isotopy ψ_t of $E_{|C}$ such that $(\psi_t)_*\Omega_t = \theta_t^*\Omega_0$, where θ_t is a linear symplectic trivialization of $(\nu_{|C}, \omega_t)$, depending smoothly on $t \in \mathbb{D}^k$, and where Ω_0 stands for the pull-back of ω_0 by the projection $C \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^n$.

The theorem will be proved by induction on an order of the simplices of \mathcal{C} for which their dimension is a non-decreasing function. Skipping the intermediate dimensions we jump to the (n+1)-cells. So, we are reduced to consider the n-trivial bundle over \mathbb{S}^n and a family (Ω_t) of exact 2-forms on a small disc bundle U about the zero section Z, which induce the standard form ω_0 on each fibre U_x , $x \in \mathbb{S}^n$, (here a parametric version of Moser's lemma is applied again). This family fulfills the condition that $\Omega_t = \Omega_0$ for every $t \in \partial D^k$. Let T be a triangulation of \mathbb{S}^n and let T^r be a Thom subdivision whose order r is large enough so that the same jiggling $j^r(T^r)$ fits the proof of Lemma 3.4 for every $t \in \mathbb{D}^k$; since the considered family is compact, such an r certainly exists.

Each step of that proof may be performed with parameters using this fixed jiggling. Here it is worth noticing that the vertical isotopy given by Lemma 3.4 is stationary when $\Omega_t = \Omega_0$, in particular when $t \in \partial D^k$.

The proof of Theorem 1.5 is now completed for the groupoid Γ_n^{symp} .

5. Transversely contact Γ_n -structures

Here, we prove a theorem which implies Theorem 1.5 for Γ_n^{cont} -structures. Given an odd natural integer n, a manifold M and a vector bundle $\nu = (E \to M)$ of rank n, we recall that a Γ_n^{cont} -structure on M with normal bundle $\nu = (E \to M)$ is given by $\xi = (A, \mathcal{K})$, where A is a 1-form and \mathcal{K} is a codimension-n foliation, both defined near the 0-section Z in E, such that:

- $A \wedge dA^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ induces a germ of volume form on E_x for every $x \in M$;

¹¹In other words, the symplectic normal bundles equal $(\nu, \omega_t)_{t \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k}$.

- $\ker(A \wedge dA^{\frac{n-1}{2}}) = T\mathcal{K};$
- $\ker A$ contains $T\mathcal{K}$ and is invariant by the holonomy of \mathcal{K} .

Theorem 5.1. Assume M is a manifold of dimension not greater than the rank of the vector bundle ν . Let (α, β) be formal contact data, that is, a section α of ν^* and a section β of $\wedge^2 \nu^*$ such that $\alpha \wedge \beta^{\frac{n-1}{2}}$ is a non-vanishing section of $\wedge^n \nu$. Then, there exists a Γ_n^{cont} -structure $\xi = (A, \mathcal{K})$ on M with normal bundle ν such that, for all $x \in M$, the next two conditions are fulfilled:

(5.1)
$$\begin{cases} \ker A_{Z(x)} \cap \nu_x = \ker \alpha(x) \\ (dA)_{Z(x)} = \beta(x). \end{cases}$$

Moreover, this statement holds true in a relative parametric version.

Proof. For simplicity, we do not formulate any homotopy statement at the level of classifying spaces. Nevertheless, the strategy of proof is similar to the one we used for Γ_n^{symp} -structures. Even, it is simpler since every contact isotopy is Hamiltonian.

Let us first consider the non-parametric version. The construction of ξ is performed step by step over each cell of a cell decomposition of M. We are looking on the last n-cell e^n only. Let $C := \partial e^n \times [0,1] \cong \mathbb{S}^{n-1} \times [0,1]$ be a collar neighborhood of the boundary, on which we are given a Γ_n^{cont} -structure $\xi = (A, \mathcal{K})$ which fufills (5.1).

Since the forma data (α, β) extend over e^n , there is a trivialization of ν over e^n , (p_1, p_2) : $E|e^n \to e^n \times \mathbb{R}^n$, in which $(\alpha(x), \beta(x))$ is independent of $x \in e^n$. On \mathbb{R}^n equipped with (α, β) , we may think of β as a closed differential form with constant coefficients; by taking a primitive, we have a unique contact form α_0 such that:

(5.2)
$$\begin{cases} \alpha_0(0) = \alpha \\ d\alpha_0(0) = \beta. \end{cases}$$

We derive a trivial Γ_n^{cont} -structure $\xi_0 = (A_0, \mathcal{K}_0)$ on e_n such that

(5.3)
$$\begin{cases} A_0 = p_2^*(\alpha_0) \\ dA_0 = p_2^*(d\alpha_0). \end{cases}$$

Hence, \mathcal{K}_0 is the horizontal foliation. Now, there is a Moser type lemma¹² which we are going to present below. This allows us to perform some vertical isotopy which reduces to the case where, in a small tube U about the zero section and for every point $x \in C$, we have

$$(5.4) A_{|U_x} = A_{0|U_x}$$

Lemma 5.2. Let $(\alpha_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ be a path of contact forms in a manifold V^n . Let L be a hypersurface in V. It is assumed that the Reeb vector field R_t of α_t is never tangent to L. Then, we have the following:

1) The next equation whose unknown is X_t can be solved near L:

$$(5.5) L_{X_t} \alpha_t + \dot{\alpha}_t = 0.$$

2) Let $(\alpha_t)_{t\in[0,1]}$ be a path of germs in $(\mathbb{R}^{2p+1},0)$ of contact forms. If $\ker \alpha_t(0)$ is independent of t, then these germs are isotopic.

¹²The statement comes from Eliashberg-Mishachev's book [5] where the proof is left to the reader. We only add the relative and parametric version.

3) The previous statements hold true with parameters and in a relative version.

Proof. 1) The vector X_t decomposes as $X_t = Y_t + Z_t$ with $Y_t \in \ker \alpha_t$ and $Z_t = \alpha_t(X_t) R_t$. Let us recall that R_t generates in each point the kernel of $d\alpha_t$. So, Equation (5.5) becomes the following system:

(5.6)
$$\begin{cases} R_t \cdot (\alpha_t(X_t)) + \dot{\alpha}_t(R_t) = 0 \\ \iota(Y_t) \left(d\alpha_{t|\ker \alpha_t} \right) + d \left(\alpha_t(X_t) \right)_{|\ker \alpha_t} + \dot{\alpha}_{t|\ker \alpha_t} = 0 \end{cases}$$

Fix $t \in [0, 1]$. The first equation of this system is a differential equation along the orbits of R_t whose unknown function is $\alpha_t(X_t)$. It has a unique solution if $\alpha_t(X_t)$ is imposed to equal 0 along L (here the transversality assumption is used). So, the component Z_t of X_t is determined. Once $\alpha_t(X_t)$ is known, the second equation of (5.6) has a unique solution since the form induced by $d\alpha_t$ on ker α_t is symplectic.

2) Here L is the hyperplane which is the common kernel of the contact forms in the considered path. Replace the germs with genuine representatives. Following the solution of 1), we have $X_t(0) = 0$ for every $t \in [0, 1]$. Therefore, the flow φ_t of X_t keeps the origin fixed. It is well defined on some neighborhood of the origin up to t = 1 and it is the identity on L. We deduce from Equation (5.5) that the following is satisfied near the origin for every $t \in [0, 1]$:

$$\varphi_t^*(L_{X_t}\alpha_t + \dot{\alpha}_t) = 0,$$

and the latter is obtained by derivating in time the next equation

$$\varphi_t^* \alpha_t = \alpha_0.$$

So, the desired isotopy is obtained by integrating X_t .

3) Considering the equations which are solved, this claim is clear.

We continue the proof of Theorem 5.1. In order to derive (5.4) from Lemma 5.2, we use $x \in C$ as a parameter and, in each fibre E_x , we consider $\alpha_t = tA_{|E_x} + (1-t)A_{0|E_x}$. Due to the formal data, α_t is a contact form near the origin of E_x for every $t \in [0,1]$.

After this Moser type reduction, we have to state and prove a similar lemma as Lemma 3.4. Actually, it is not useful to write it down explicitly since it is the same: the holonomy maps are contactomorphisms; thus, in each fibre of a box the vertical isotopy preseves the contact distribution $\ker A_0 \cap E_x$. So, it is Hamiltonian with respect to $A_0|E_x^{13}$. Therefore, it extends globally since extending such an isotopy amounts to extend its Hamiltonian function; so, no obstruction is encountered. This finishes the proof of the non-parametric version.

For the relative parametric version of Theorem 5.1, we have to consider a family $(\alpha_u, \beta_u)_{u \in \mathbb{D}^k}$ which is underlying a family of Γ_n^{cont} -structures when $u \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$. Thanks to the relative parametric version of Lemma 5.2, it is allowed to follow word for word the proof we gave for Γ_n^{symp} .

¹³The Hamiltonian function of a vertical isotopy of contactomorphisms whose infinitesimal generator is X_t is (in our setting) the time depending function $z \in U \mapsto A_0(X_t)(z)$.

Remark 5.3. The other geometric groupoids Γ considered in Theorem 1.5 could be treated in the same way as contact structures. Indeed, a Moser type Lemma and the extension of Γ -isotopies with compact support are valid for these geometries.

6. Open manifolds

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.9. Let us recall the setting: Γ is an open subgroupoid of the structural groupoid $\Gamma(X)$ of a model n-manifold X (see Section 1); M is an open manifold of dimension n and $\xi \in H^1_{\tau M}(M;\Gamma)$ is a Γ -structure on M whose normal bundle is τM , the tangent space to M. Let E denote the total space and E: E denote the 0-section. The associated E-foliation defined near E(E) in E is denoted by E0 by E1.

Proposition 6.1. Given an open n-manifold M, there exists an (n-1)-polyhedron $K \subset M$, called a spine of M, so that the inclusion is a homotopy equivalence. More precisely, for any regular neighborhood V of K, there exists a compressing isotopy of embeddings $f_t : M \to M$, $t \in [0,1]$, from Id_M to an embedding $f_1 : M \to V$, which is stationary on a neighborhood of K and such that t' > t implies $f_{t'}(M) \subset f_t(M)$.

We are going to prove the next statement from which Theorem 1.9 will be easily derived.

Theorem 6.2. Let $K \subset M$ be an (n-1)-dimensional polyhedron in an n-manifold M (open or closed). Let ξ_s , $s \in \mathbb{D}^k$, be a k-parameter family of Γ -structures on M with normal bundle τM . When $s \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$, it is assumed that the associated foliation \mathcal{F}_s is tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} along Z(M). Then, there exist an open neighborhood V of K in M and a k-parameter family $\bar{\xi}_s$ of Γ -structures on $M \times [0,1]$ (that is, concordances of ξ_s) such that:

- $\bar{\xi}_s | V \times \{0\} = \xi_s | V;$
- $\bar{\xi}_s|V \times \{1\}$ is regular and its associated foliation is tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} ;
- $-\xi_s = p_1^*(\xi_s|V)$ for every $s \in \partial \mathbb{D}^k$, where p_1 denotes the projection $M \times [0,1] \to M$.

In other words, $(\bar{\xi}_s)$ is a family of regularization concordances on a neighborhood of a K, relative to the boundary of the parameter space.

6.3. Proof of Theorem 1.9 from Theorem 6.2. Here, M is an open manifold. A spine K of M may be chosen (Proposition 6.1) and Theorem 6.2 applies to these data: $K \subset M$, $(\xi_s)_{s \in \mathbb{D}^k}$. So, we have a family $\bar{\xi}_s$ of regularization concordances on some neighborhood V of K in M, relative to $\partial \mathbb{D}^k$. We have to extend this family to a family $\hat{\xi}_s$ of regularization concordances over the whole of M, still relative to $\partial \mathbb{D}^k$. We may assume there exists ρ close to 1 so that ξ_s is regular on M when $||s|| \in [\rho, 1]$.

We first insert the family of concordances described as follows, where $t \in [0, 1]$ is the parameter of the concordance:

- for $||s|| \le \rho$, we put the concordance $t \mapsto f_t^* \xi_s$, where $(f_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ is the isotopy of embeddings given by Proposition 6.1;
- for $\rho \leq ||s|| \leq 1$, we put the concordance $t \mapsto f^*_{\left(\frac{1-||s||}{1-\alpha}\right)} \xi_s$.

At the end of these concordances and when $||s|| \ge \rho$, the structures are regular on M. Then, we continue with the concordances $f_1^*\bar{\xi}_s$ when $||s|| \le \rho$; these ones are stationary when $||s|| = \rho$.

So, it is allowed to extend them by the stationary concordances when $\rho \leq ||s|| \leq 1$. Of course, the previous piecewise description can be made smooth if desired.

6.4. Proof of Theorem **6.2** without parameters (k = 0).

We start with a Γ -structure ξ on M. Let \mathcal{F} be its associated Γ -foliation defined in some small neighborhood U of Z(M). Let ξ^u (resp. \mathcal{F}^u) be the underlying Γ_n -structure (resp. Γ_n -foliation) of ξ (resp. \mathcal{F}) where the transverse geometry is forgotten.

The proof will consist of two steps: in the first step, we will make a specific regularization of ξ^u by some Γ_n -concordance over $M \times [0,3]$; in the second step, the geometric Γ -structure of the concordance will be defined only over a small neighborhood of $K \times [0,3]$. Finally, we get the Γ -regularization of ξ near K.

1ST STEP. Fix a small $\varepsilon > 0$. As in Thurston [13], we consider a one-parameter family P_t , $t \in [0,3]$, of n-plane fields on U with the following properties:

- P_t is transverse to the fibres for every t.
- P_t is tangent to \mathcal{F} when $t \in [0, 1 + \varepsilon]$.
- P_t is tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} when $t \in [2,3]$.

Such a plane field exists by barycentric combination in the convex set of the plane fields transverse to the fibres of τM .

Let T be a triangulation of M containing a subdivision of K (also called K) as a sub-complex and fine enough with respect to the open covering $\{exp_x(U_x) \mid x \in M\}$ in order that formula (2.2) makes sense. We now consider the Thom jiggling given by formula (2.2); its order r is chosen so that the n-simplices of $j^r(T^r)$ are transverse to P_t for every $t \in [0,3]$.

The first piece of the concordance, when $t \in [0, 1 + \varepsilon]$, actually a Γ -concordance, consists of moving the zero section from Z to j^r by traversing the reverse homotopy of the one described in the proof of Proposition 2.5 2) and being stationary when $t \in [1, 1 + \varepsilon]$. The concordance of Γ -structure is given by pulling ξ back by this homotopy of maps $M \to U$ (look at Remark 2.6 1) about smoothness).

We now describe the second piece of the concordance, when $t \in [1, 2]$. We consider the codimension n-plane field \tilde{P} in $U \times [0, 3]$ defined by

(6.1)
$$\tilde{P}(x,t) := P_t(x) \oplus \mathbb{R}\partial_t.$$

It is tangent to $\mathcal{F} \times [0, 1 + \varepsilon]$ and to $\mathcal{F}_{exp} \times [2, 3]$. The trace of \tilde{P} on each (n + 1)-cell of $j^r(M) \times [1, 2]$ is one-dimensional. So, this trace is integrable. Thus, there is a C^0 -small smooth approximation of \tilde{P} , relative to $t \in [0, 1] \cup [2, 3]$ and still denoted by \tilde{P} , which is integrable near $j^r(M) \times [1, 2]$. Now, the pair $(j^r(M) \times [1, 2], \tilde{P})$ defines a concordance of Γ_n -structure. This finishes the second piece.

The third piece of the concordance when $t \in [2,3]$ consists of keeping the foliation \mathcal{F}_{exp} and applying the homotopy from j^r to the 0-section Z as mentioned in Proposition 2.5 2). On the whole, we built a specific regularization concordance of the underlying Γ_n -structure ξ^u , which is near to be good for our purpose.

We need more of good position. Let K^r denote the (n-1)-dimensional complex which is the r-th Thom subdivision of K. Let \tilde{K}^r be the image of $Z(K^r) \times [0,3]$ along the concordance built above. This is an n-complex whose n-cells are not transverse to \tilde{P} . When $t \in [1,2]$, the only

reason for non-transversality is that \tilde{K}^r and \tilde{P} share the ∂_t -direction. Let $\tilde{K}^r_{[t\,t']}$ (resp. \tilde{K}^r_t) denote the restriction of \tilde{K}^r over $M \times [t, t']$ (resp. $M \times \{t\}$).

CLAIM. There exist a subdivision $t_1 = 1, t'_1, ..., t_i, t'_i, ..., t_N = 3$ and a small vertical isotopy, its time-one map being denoted by ψ , such that:

- (i) $\psi | \tilde{K}^r_{t_i} = Id$ for every i = 1, ..., N; (ii) for every n-cell c of $\tilde{K}^r_{[t_i, t'_i]}$ (resp. $\tilde{K}^r_{[t'_i, t_{i+1}]}$), its image $\psi(c)$ is transverse to \tilde{P} .

PROOF OF THE CLAIM. Define $c_t := c \cap U \times \{t\}$. The time subdivision is chosen so that, for every n-cell c in K^r , the hyperplane $H_t(x) := T_x(c_t) + P_t(x)$ varies very few in TU when t traverses $[t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and x runs in c_t . Let t'_i be the middle of this interval. Thus there exists a vertical vector field X along c which remains linearly independent of $H_t(x)$ when $t \in [t_i, t_{i+1}]$ and $x \in c_t$. If x belongs to several n-cells, X(x) is chosen linearly independent of the finitely many corresponding hyperplanes.

Let $\chi:[t_i,t_{i+1}]\to[0,\epsilon]$ be a small smooth non-negative function with a positive (resp. negative) derivative on (t_i, t_i') (resp. on (t_i', t_{i+1})). Briefly said, the wanted map ψ reads in affine notation:

(6.2)
$$x \in c_t \mapsto \psi(x) = x + \chi(t)X(x).$$

It is easily checked that the cells $\psi(c_{[t_i,t'_i]})$ and $\psi(c_{[t'_i,t_{i+1}]})$ have the required transversality property.

The image of \tilde{K}^r by this small jiggling, which keeps $\tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]}$ fixed, will be still denoted by \tilde{K}^r . The *n*-cells of $\tilde{K}_{[1,3]}^r$ are transverse to \tilde{P} .

The cellulation \tilde{K}^r collapses¹⁴ successively to $\tilde{K}^r_{[0,2]}$, afterwards to $\tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]}$ and finally $K^r \times \{0\}$. Indeed, the second collapse is clear for the prismatic cellular decomposition of $\tilde{K}_{[1,2]}^r$. The two others are also clear for the considered complexes have an almost prismatic decomposition, some prisms being pinched to cones at their base or top.

2ND STEP.

We now focuse on $\tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]}$ on which \tilde{K}^r collapses. Since the cells of $\tilde{K}^r_{[1,3]}$ of positive dimension are transverse to the foliation \tilde{P} , the collapse $\tilde{K}^r \searrow \tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]}$ extends to a collapse of pair

(6.3)
$$(\tilde{K}^r, \tilde{P}) \searrow (\tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]}, \tilde{P}).$$

Let $N(K^r)$ denote a small neighborhood of K^r in $U \times [0,3]$. From the sequence of elementary collapses, one derives step by step an embedding of pairs

(6.4)
$$\Phi: \left(N(\tilde{K}^r), \tilde{P}\right) \to \left(U \times [0, 1 + \varepsilon), \mathcal{F} \times [0, 1 + \varepsilon)\right)$$

 $^{^{14}}$ A simplicial complex L collapses to K if there is a sequence of elementary collapses $L_q \searrow L_{q+1}$ starting with L and ending with K. An elementary collapse means that L_q is the union of L_{q+1} and a simplex σ so that $\sigma \cap L_{q+1}$ is made of the boundary of σ with an open facet removed. This definition extends to cellular decompositions.

which induces the inclusion $N(\tilde{K}_{[0,1]}^r) \hookrightarrow U \times [0,1+\varepsilon)$. Since \mathcal{F} is a Γ -foliation, $\tilde{P}_{|N(\tilde{K}^r)}$ is so by pulling back through Φ . Therefore, $\Phi^*(\mathcal{F} \times [0,1+\varepsilon))$ is a regularization concordance of the Γ -structure which is induced near K.

This last process associated with collapses is named *inflation* in Thurston's article [13].

- **6.5. Relative parametric version of Theorem 6.2.** Here, the data consist of a family $(\xi_s)_{s\in\mathbb{D}^k}$ of Γ -structures whose normal bundle is the tangent bundle τM . It is assumed that, for every $s\in\partial\mathbb{D}^k$, the associated foliation \mathcal{F}_s is tangent to \mathcal{F}_{exp} along the 0-section Z(M), and hence ξ_s is regular. Without loss of generality, we may assume ξ_s is regular when $1\geq ||s||\geq \rho$ for some ρ close to 1. The proof just consists of two remarks.
- 1) The previous proof (see 6.4) works directly for our k-parameter family of data if we do not take care of the boundary condition. Indeed, observe that a common order of Thom jiggling may be chosen for all $s \in \mathbb{D}^k$ since the family of n-plane fields $P_{s,t}$ we have to look at is compact. So, if the jiggling is vertical enough its n-simplices are transverse to $P_{s,t}$ for every $(s,t) \in \mathbb{D}^k \times [0,3]$. The 0-parameter process applies for every $s \in \mathbb{D}^k$ and yields a regularization in a fixed neighborhood V of K. Precisely, we have formulas (6.3) and (6.4) depending on the parameter $s \in \mathbb{D}^k$, yielding regularization concordances $\Phi_s^*(\mathcal{F}_s \times [0,1+\varepsilon))$.
- 2) We may assume that $P_{s,t}$ is tangent to \mathcal{F}_s for every $s \in \{1 \geq ||s|| \geq \rho\}$ and $t \in [0,3]$. Moreover, we are free to complete the vertical jiggling over the whole of [0,1].

Let $\rho' \in (\rho, 1)$. For $||s|| \in [\rho, \rho']$, set $\lambda(s) := \frac{\rho' - ||s||}{\rho' - \rho}$. Thanks to the previous jiggling, we are allowed to continue the collapse 6.3 by adding the collapse $\tilde{K}^r_{[0,1]} \searrow \tilde{K}^r_{[0,\lambda(s)]}$. The composed collapse yields a regularization concordance of the form $\Phi_s^* (\mathcal{F}_s \times [0, \lambda(s) + \varepsilon))$.

For $||s|| = \rho'$, notice that $\lambda(s) = 0$ and $\tilde{K}_0^r = K$. For $||s|| \in [\rho', 1]$, set $\mu(s) := \frac{1 - ||s||}{1 - \rho'}$. Recall that Φ_s is the time-one map of an isotopy of embeddings $\Phi_s^u : N(\tilde{K}^r) \to U \times [0, 3]$, $u \in [0, 1]$, $\Phi_s^0 = Id$. We finish with the regularization concordance $(\Phi_s^{\mu(s)})^*(\mathcal{F}_s \times [0, 3])$. When ||s|| = 1, this is the trivial concordance $\mathcal{F}_s \times [0, 3]$. So, the relative version is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Brown, Cohomology theories, Annals of Math. 75 (1962), 467-484.
- [2] A. Haefliger, Feuilletages sur les variétés ouvertes, Topology 9 (1970), 183-194.
- [3] A. Haefliger, *Homotopy and integrability*, 133-175 in: Manifolds-Amsterdam 1970, L.N.M. 197, Springer, 1971.
- [4] A. Haefliger, private communication, Jan. 2014.
- [5] Y. Eliashberg N. Mishachev, Introduction to the h-principle, G.S.M 48, Amer. math. Soc., 2002.
- [6] M. Gromov, Partial Differential Relations, Springer, 2002. 1980.
- [7] M. Hirsch, Immersions of manifolds, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 93 (1959), 242-276.
- [8] D. McDuff, Application of convex integration to symplectic and contact geometry, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) 37 (1987), 107-133.
- [9] J. Moser, On the volume elements on a manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 120 (1965), 286-294.
- [10] R. Palais, Homotopy theory of infinite dimensional manifolds, Topology 5 (1966), 1-16.
- [11] S. Smale, The classification of immersions of spheres in euclidean spaces, Ann. of Math. 69 (1959), 327-344.

- [12] R. Thom, Remarques sur les problèmes comportant des inéquations différentielles globales, Bull. Soc. Math. France 87 (1959), 455-461.
- [13] W. Thurston, The theory of foliations of codimension greater than one, Comment. Math. Helv. 49 (1974), 214-231.
- [14] O. Veblen, J. H. C. Whitehead, A set of axioms for differential geometry, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 17, 10 (1931), 551-561.

Université de Nantes, LMJL, UMR 6629 du CNRS, 44322 Nantes, France $E\text{-}mail\ address$: francois.laudenbach@univ-nantes.fr

Université de Bretagne Sud, LMBA, UMR 6205 du CNRS, BP 573, F-56017 Vannes, France *E-mail address*: Gael.Meigniez@univ-ubs.fr