



**HAL**  
open science

# Exponential rate of convergence independent from the dimension in a mean-field system of particles

Bartłomiej Dyda, Julian Tugaut

► **To cite this version:**

Bartłomiej Dyda, Julian Tugaut. Exponential rate of convergence independent from the dimension in a mean-field system of particles. Probability and Mathematical Statistics, 2017. hal-01119526

**HAL Id: hal-01119526**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01119526>**

Submitted on 23 Feb 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Exponential rate of convergence independent from the dimension in a mean-field system of particles

Bartłomiej Dyda<sup>\*1</sup> and Julian Tugaut<sup>†2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Wrocław University of Technology, Wybrzeże Wyspiańskiego 27,  
50-370 Wrocław, Poland

<sup>2</sup>Université Jean Monnet, Institut Camille Jordan, 23, rue du  
docteur Paul Michelon, CS 82301, 42023 Saint-Étienne Cedex 2,  
France.

## Abstract

This article deals with a mean-field model. We consider a large number of particles interacting through their empirical law. We know that there is a unique invariant probability for this diffusion. We look at functional inequalities. In particular, we briefly show that the diffusion satisfies a Poincaré inequality. Then, we establish a so-called WJ-inequality, which is independent from the number of particles.

**Key words and phrases:** Mean-field model ; Poincaré inequality ; Transportation inequality ; High dimension

**2000 AMS subject classifications:** Primary: 60F10 ; Secondary: 60J60, 60G10

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Model

First, we consider a sequence  $(X_0^i)_{i \geq 1}$  of independent and identically distributed random variables with common law  $\mu_0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We also consider a sequence of independent Brownian motions  $(B^i)_{i \geq 1}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . These Brownian motions are assumed to be independent from the previously introduced random variables.

---

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by NCN grant 2012/07/B/ST1/03356.

<sup>†</sup>Université Jean Monnet, Saint-Étienne and Institut Camille Jordan, Lyon

The system of interacting particles that we look at evolves in the landscape of a potential  $V$ . This potential is denoted as the confining potential. Its effect is roughly speaking to locate the particles in a compact of  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . We assume that the confining potential  $V$  is convex at infinity but non-globally convex. However, we assume that the Hessian of  $V$  is minorated:  $\nabla^2 V \geq -\theta \text{Id}$ , where  $\theta$  is a positive constant.

We now introduce the so-called interacting potential  $F$ . We do not assume that it is either convex or not convex. However, the following inequality is required:  $\nabla^2 F \geq -\alpha \text{Id}$ .

The precise assumptions are given subsequently. We consider the system of interacting particles

$$\begin{cases} X_t^{i,N} = X_0^i + \sigma B_t^i - \int_0^t \nabla V(X_s^{i,N}) ds - \int_0^t \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \nabla F(X_s^{i,N} - X_s^{j,N}) ds \\ 1 \leq i \leq N \end{cases} . \quad (1)$$

Here,  $N$  is large.

This system of  $N$  particles in  $\mathbb{R}^d$  may be seen as one particle in  $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$ . Indeed, let us introduce:

$$\mathcal{X}_t^N := (X_t^{1,N}, \dots, X_t^{N,N}) \in \mathbb{R}^{dN} .$$

Thus, the diffusion  $\mathcal{X}$  is a simple diffusion evolving in the landscape of a potential of  $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$ :

$$\mathcal{X}_t^N = \mathcal{X}_0^N + \sigma \mathcal{B}_t - N \int_0^t \nabla \Upsilon^N(\mathcal{X}_s^N) ds ,$$

with  $\mathcal{B} := (B^1, \dots, B^N)$  and where the potential  $\Upsilon^N$  is defined as follows

$$\Upsilon^N(X_1, \dots, X_N) := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N V(X_i) + \frac{1}{2N^2} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N F(X_i - X_j) . \quad (2)$$

This model has a natural application in the financial markets in which there is a huge number of agents who act in function of the global behaviour of the system. We can also think at the system of exchanges between banks, a classical result being the importance of the interaction in the probability of bankrupt.

With some other hypotheses, these equations are used as a model for the growth of cerebral tumors. Indeed, each of the cells tries to be alone for developing itself.

Mean-field system of particles are also used in social interaction, [CDPS10]. They also appeared quite naturally in the study of stochastic partial differential equation, see [CX10].

## 1.2 Well-known results

### 1.2.1 Existence

Basically, we assume the following hypotheses

- The potential  $V$  is convex at infinity. In fact, we even have:  $\nabla^2 V(\infty) = \infty$ .

- In the neighbourhood of infinity, the confining potential behaves like a polynomial function:  $\langle \nabla V(x); x \rangle = \|x\|^{2m} + o(\|x\|^{2m})$  at infinity, where  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ .
- In the neighbourhood of infinity, the interacting potential behaves like a polynomial function:  $\langle \nabla F(x); x \rangle = \|x\|^{2n} + o(\|x\|^{2n})$  at infinity, where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Then, we consider the maximal degree by putting  $q := \max\{m; n\}$ . Thus, if the initial law admits a moment of order  $2q$  that is to say if

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^{2q} \mu_0(dx) < \infty,$$

there exists a unique solution to Equation (1). We denote by  $(\mathcal{X}_t^N)_{t \geq 0}$  this diffusion solution. Let us remark that the existence of a solution does not depend on the number  $N$  of particles.

### 1.2.2 Invariant probability

There is a unique invariant probability  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$  for Diffusion (1):

$$\mu^{\sigma, N}(d\mathcal{X}) := Z_{\sigma, N}^{-1} \exp\left\{-\frac{2N}{\sigma^2} \Upsilon^N(\mathcal{X})\right\} d\mathcal{X},$$

where the potential  $\Upsilon^N$  is defined in (2).

This potential has sense when  $N$  goes to infinity. Indeed, it represents the energy associated to the probability  $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \delta_{X_i}$ . We can observe that there is an  $N$  in factor so that the invariant probability and the long-time behavior does depend on  $N$ .

### 1.2.3 Long-time behavior

Thanks to Bakry, Barthe, Cattiaux and Guillin, see [BBCG08], the measure  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality:

$$\text{Var}_{\mu^{\sigma, N}}(f) \leq \frac{1}{C_\sigma(N)} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{dN}} \|\nabla f\|^2 d\mu^{\sigma, N}$$

for any  $f$  which is a smooth function from  $\mathbb{R}^{dN}$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . This inequality is equivalent to the convergence inequality

$$\|\mathcal{P}_t^N f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu^{\sigma, N}}(f)\|_2^2 \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{2}{C_\sigma(N)} t\right\} \text{Var}_{\mu^{\sigma, N}}(f),$$

where we have put  $\mathcal{P}_t^N f(x) := \mathbb{E}_x\{f(\mathcal{X}_t^N)\}$ .

Let us point out that the constant  $C_\sigma(N)$  which intervenes in the inequality does not have any reason to be independent from the number of particles, that is to say from the dimension of the space in which evolves the solution  $\mathcal{X}^N$ .

### 1.2.4 Hydrodynamical limit

Intuitively,  $(X_t^{i,N})_{t \geq 0}$  behaves like the diffusion  $(\bar{X}_t^i)_{t \geq 0}$  when  $N$  is large. Here, the diffusion  $\bar{X}^i$  is defined by the equation:

$$\bar{X}_t^i = X_0^i + \sigma B_t^i - \int_0^t \nabla V(\bar{X}_s^i) ds - \int_0^t \nabla F * \mu_s(\bar{X}_s^i) ds$$

with  $\mu_s := \mathbb{P}_{\bar{X}_s^1}$ . Indeed, we can observe that the influence of the particle  $j$  on the particle 1 becomes small when  $N$  is large. So, roughly speaking, the particles of the interacting system of particles become independent. However, Equation (1) can be written as follows

$$X_t^{i,N} = X_0^i + \sigma B_t^i - \int_0^t \nabla V(X_s^{i,N}) ds - \int_0^t \nabla F * \mu_s^N(X_s^{i,N}) ds,$$

with  $\mu_s^N := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{j=1}^N \delta_{X_s^{j,N}}$ . If the particles become independent, the measure  $\mu_s^N$  converges to  $\mu_s$  which explains why the particles  $X^{i,N}$  intuitively are closed to  $\bar{X}^i$ .

We now assume  $\mathbb{E} \left\{ \|X_0^1\|^{8q^2} \right\} < \infty$  that is to say

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^{8q^2} \mu_0(dx) < \infty,$$

where we remind the reader that  $q$  is the maximum of the degrees of  $V$  and  $F$ . Under this assumption, we have a so-called propagation of chaos:

$$\sup_{t \in [0; T]} \mathbb{E} \left\{ \left\| X_t^{i,N} - \bar{X}_t^i \right\|^2 \right\} \rightarrow 0$$

for any  $T > 0$ .

See [Mél96, Szn91, McK67, McK66, BRTV98, BAZ99] for review on propagation of chaos.

## 1.3 Aim of the article

In this paper, we aim to show that there is a rate of convergence of the diffusion  $\mathcal{X}^N$  to the unique invariant probability and that this rate of convergence is uniform with respect to the number of particles.

## 1.4 Outline of the article

First, we present the hypotheses of the article. In the next section, we justify why the diffusion satisfies a Poincaré inequality. Then, we give some classical results on this inequality and we discuss about an eventual uniform Poincaré inequality. In section three, we present the framework of the paper. Finally, in a last section, we give the main result and its proof.

## 1.5 Assumptions

Let us present the assumptions of the paper.

- (A-1)  $V$  is a smooth function on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .
- (A-2)  $V$  is convex at infinity: for any  $\lambda > 0$ , there exists  $R_\lambda > 0$  such that  $\nabla^2 V(x) > \lambda \text{Id}$  for any  $\|x\| \geq R_\lambda$ .
- (A-3) There exists a convex nonnegative function  $V_0$  such that  $\nabla^2 V_0(0) = 0$  and  $V(x) = V_0(x) - \frac{\theta}{2}\|x\|^2$ , with  $\theta > 0$ .
- (A-4) There exist  $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$  and  $C > 0$  such that  $\|\nabla V(x)\| \leq C(1 + \|x\|^{2m-1})$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- (A-5) It holds  $|V(x)| \leq c\|x\|^2$  for  $\|x\| \leq 1$ , in particular,  $V(0) = 0$ .
- (A-6)  $F(x) = G(\|x\|) - \frac{\alpha}{2}\|x\|^2$ , where  $G$  is a polynomial and even function with degree equal to  $\deg(G) =: 2n \geq 2$  and  $G(0) = 0$ . Here,  $\alpha$  is not necessarily positive.
- (A-7)  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x\|^{8q^2} \mu_0(dx) < \infty$  with  $q := \max\{m; n\}$ .
- (A-8) The entropy of the probability measure is finite. In other words,  $\mu_0$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and we have  $\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} u_0(x) \log(u_0(x)) dx < \infty$  where  $u_0$  is the density of  $\mu_0$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

We begin by looking at Poincaré inequality for our model. Let us remind the reader that the invariant probability  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  is of the form  $e^{-U}$ . According to [BBCG08], it satisfies a Poincaré inequality under simple hypotheses. Indeed:

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $k$  be a positive integer. Let  $\mu(dx) := e^{-U(x)} dx$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . We assume that the potential  $U$  is  $\mathcal{C}^2$  and bounded from below. If there exist  $\alpha > 0$  and  $R \geq 0$  such that for  $|x| \geq R$ ,*

$$\langle x; \nabla U(x) \rangle \geq \alpha|x|,$$

then  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant

$$\frac{4 \left( 1 + \left( \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha R + 1 - k) \right] + 1 \right) \kappa_R \right)}{\left( \alpha - \frac{k-1}{R} \right)^2}$$

for any  $R$  such that  $\alpha - \frac{k-1}{R} > 0$ . Here,  $\kappa_R$  is the Poincaré constant of  $\mu$  restricted to the ball  $\mathbb{B}(0; R)$ .

The proof is omitted, see [BBCG08]. The global idea is the following. We can apply Theorem 1.4 in [BBCG08]. Indeed, we consider a sequence of smooth functions  $W_n$  which satisfies

- $W_n(x) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha - \frac{k-1}{R}\right)|x|\right\}$  for  $|x| \geq R$ .
- $W_n(x) = \exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha R + 1 - k - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right\}$  for  $|x| \leq R - \frac{1}{n}$ .

Consequently, we have the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta W_n(x) - \langle \nabla W_n(x); \nabla U(x) \rangle \\ & \leq -\frac{1}{4}\left(\alpha - \frac{k-1}{R}\right)^2 + \left(\exp\left\{\frac{1}{2}\left(\alpha R + 1 - k - \frac{1}{n^2}\right)\right\} + 1\right) \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{B}(0;R)}(x). \end{aligned}$$

We may apply this proposition to our model under the set of assumptions (A-1)–(A-7).

We now give two classical results about functional inequalities.

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $U$  be a bounded function from  $\mathbb{R}^k$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . We define the measure  $\nu$  – the perturbation of  $\mu$  by  $U$  – as follows*

$$d\nu := \frac{e^U}{Z} d\mu \quad \text{with} \quad Z := \int e^U d\mu.$$

*If  $\mu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $C$  then  $\nu$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $C \exp\left\{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^k} U - \inf_{\mathbb{R}^k} U\right\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $f$  be any smooth function. For  $a = \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} f(y) d\mu(y)$  we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (f(x) - a)^2 d\nu(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{Z} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (f(x) - a)^2 e^{U(x)} d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^k} U\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (f(x) - a)^2 d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \frac{1}{Z} \exp\left\{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^k} U\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 d\mu(x) \\ & \leq \exp\left\{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^k} U\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 e^{-U(x)} d\nu(x) \\ & \leq \exp\left\{\sup_{\mathbb{R}^k} U - \inf_{\mathbb{R}^k} U\right\} \int_{\mathbb{R}^k} \|\nabla f(x)\|^2 d\nu(x). \end{aligned}$$

As  $\text{Var}_\nu(f)$  is the infimum of

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^k} (f(x) - a)^2 d\nu(x)$$

as  $a \in \mathbb{R}^k$ , this achieves the proof.  $\square$

The other well-known result is the tensorization one. We present it in  $\mathbb{R}^2$  without any loss of generality.

**Proposition 2.3.** *Let  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  be two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ . We assume that both probability measures  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $C$ . Then, the probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ,  $\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2$ , satisfies a Poincaré inequality with constant  $C$ .*

*Proof.* For any smooth function from  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ , one can easily prove the inequality

$$\text{Var}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(f) \leq \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(\text{Var}_{\mu_1}(f)) + \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(\text{Var}_{\mu_2}(f)),$$

where the notation  $\text{Var}_{\mu_1}$  (respectively  $\text{Var}_{\mu_2}$ ) means that the first (respectively the second) variable is the only one to be affected by the integration. Indeed, this inequality is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(f^2) - \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2} \left[ (\mathbb{E}_{\mu_1}(f))^2 \right] - \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2} \left[ (\mathbb{E}_{\mu_2}(f))^2 \right] + (\mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(f))^2 \geq 0,$$

which can also be written as follows

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2} \left[ (f - \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1}(f) - \mathbb{E}_{\mu_2}(f) + \mathbb{E}_{\mu_1 \otimes \mu_2}(f))^2 \right] \geq 0.$$

However, Poincaré inequality implies

$$\text{Var}_{\mu_1}(f) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_1$$

and

$$\text{Var}_{\mu_2}(f) \leq C \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\nabla f|^2 d\mu_2,$$

which achieves the proof.  $\square$

We proceed in a similar way for the tensorization of  $k$  measures on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

In the model that we consider we have a Poincaré inequality. However, the constant may depend on the dimension.

The constant which appears in Proposition 2.1 does depend on the dimension:

$$\frac{4 \left( 1 + \left( \exp \left[ \frac{1}{2} (\alpha R + 1 - k) \right] + 1 \right) \kappa_R \right)}{\left( \alpha - \frac{k-1}{R} \right)^2}.$$

Indeed,  $R$  has to be such that  $\alpha > \frac{k-1}{R}$  which means  $R > \frac{k-1}{\alpha}$ . Consequently, the constant is more than

$$\frac{4 \left( 1 + 2\kappa_{\frac{k-1}{R}} \right)}{\left( \alpha - \frac{k-1}{R} \right)^2}.$$

However, we can remark  $\lim_{R \rightarrow \infty} \kappa_R = +\infty$ .

By using tensorization result, we prove easily that the measure

$$\exp \left\{ -\frac{2}{\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^N V(x_k) \right\} dx_1 \cdots dx_N$$



satisfies a Poincaré inequality with a constant which does not depend on the dimension  $N$ . If we assume that  $F$  is bounded, we can use the perturbation result to prove that the measure  $\exp\{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2}N\Upsilon_N(x_1, \dots, x_N)\} dx_1 \cdots dx_N$  satisfies a Poincaré inequality. However, the constant just obtained does depend on the dimension.

Let us remark that we can write

$$N\Upsilon^N(x_1, \dots, x_N) = \sum_{k=1}^N (V(x_k) + F * \eta^{\mathcal{X}}(x_k)) ,$$

with  $\eta^{\mathcal{X}} := \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \delta_{x_k}$ . However, we can not use the tensorization result. Intuitively, the propagation of chaos means that the particles become independent so that we have a Poincaré inequality with a constant which does not depend on the dimension.

In the following, we deal with WJ-inequality to get inequality independent from the dimension.

### 3 Framework

Let us give the framework (definitions and basic propositions) of the current work. We begin by introducing the Wasserstein distance.

**Definition 3.1.** *For any probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ ,  $\mu$  and  $\nu$ , the Wasserstein distance between  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  is*

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\mu; \nu) := \sqrt{\inf \mathbb{E} \{ \|X - Y\|^2 \}},$$

where the infimum is taken over the random variables  $X$  and  $Y$  with law  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  respectively.

The Wasserstein distance can be characterized in the following way, thanks to Brenier's theorem, see [Bre91].

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  be two probability measures on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ . If  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, there exists a convex function  $\tau$  from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}$  such that the following equality occurs for every bounded test function  $g$ :*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(x) \nu(dx) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} g(\nabla\tau(x)) \mu(dx) .$$

Then, we write

$$\nu = \nabla\tau\#\mu ,$$

and we have the following equality

$$\mathbb{W}_2(\mu; \nu) = \sqrt{\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \|x - \nabla\tau(x)\|^2 \mu(dx)} .$$

The key-idea of the paper is a so-called  $WJ_{V,F}$ -inequality. Let us present the expression that we denote by  $J_{V,F}(\nu | \mu)$  if  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure:

$$J_{V,F}(\nu | \mu) := J_{V,0}(\nu | \mu) + \frac{1}{2} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^{2d}} \langle \nabla F(\xi(x, y)) - \nabla F(x - y); \xi(x, y) - (x - y) \rangle \mu(dx) \mu(dy),$$

with  $\xi(x, y) := \nabla \tau(x) - \nabla \tau(y)$  and

$$J_{V,0}(\nu | \mu) := \frac{\sigma^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left( \Delta \tau(x) + \Delta \tau^*(\nabla \tau(x)) - 2d \right) \mu(dx) + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \langle \nabla V(\nabla \tau(x)) - \nabla V(x); \nabla \tau(x) - x \rangle \mu(dx),$$

where  $\tau^*$  denotes the Legendre transform of  $\tau$ . Here, we have  $\nu = \nabla \tau \# \mu$ . We now present the transportation inequality, already used in [AGS08, BGG12, BGG13, SvR05], on which the article is based.

**Definition 3.3.** *Let  $\mu$  be a probability measure on  $\mathbb{R}^d$  absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure and  $C > 0$ . We say that  $\mu$  satisfies a  $WJ_{V,F}(C)$ -inequality if the inequality*

$$C \mathbb{W}_2^2(\nu; \mu) \leq J_{V,F}(\nu | \mu)$$

holds for any probability measure  $\nu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^d$ .

In the following, we aim to establish  $WJ$ -inequality for the invariant probability  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  of Diffusion (1). It is well known that  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Consequently, we can apply Brenier's theorem. So, the  $WJ_{V,F}$ -inequality reduces to an inequality on the convex functions  $\tau$  from  $\mathbb{R}^d$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

## 4 Main results

We here use the result from [BGG12]. We know that  $WJ_{V,0}$ -inequality holds with some constant  $C_{\sigma, N}$ . Therefore  $WJ_{V,F}$ -inequality holds with the constant  $C_{\sigma, N} - (\alpha + \theta)$ :

**Proposition 4.1.** *Under the assumptions (A-1)–(A-7), a  $WJ_{V,F}$  inequality holds for the measure  $\mu^{\sigma, N}$  with the constant  $C_{\sigma, N} - (\alpha + \theta)$ , where*

$$C_{\sigma, N} := \max_{R>0} C_{\sigma}(N, R)$$

and

$$C_{\sigma}(N, R) := \min \left\{ \frac{K(R)}{3}; \frac{\sigma^2}{72R^2} e^{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2} S(R)}; \frac{K(R)}{3} \frac{3^{dN} - 2^{dN}}{2^{dN}} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} (I(R) - S(R))} \right\}, \quad (3)$$

with

$$K(R) := \inf_{\|\chi\| \geq R} N \nabla^2 \Upsilon_0^N(\chi), \quad (4)$$

$$I(R) := \inf_{\|\chi\| \leq 2R} N \Upsilon^N(\chi), \quad (5)$$

$$S(R) := \sup_{\|\chi\| \leq 3R} N \Upsilon^N(\chi). \quad (6)$$

In definition of  $K(R)$ , the infimum is understood as the smallest eigenvalue of  $N \nabla^2 \Upsilon_0^N(\chi)$ . Furthermore,  $\Upsilon_0^N$  is defined as follows

$$N \Upsilon_0^N(\chi) := \sum_{i=1}^N V_0(\chi_i) + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N G(\|\chi_i - \chi_j\|).$$

The proof is omitted and consists in following carefully [BGG12].

However, nothing ensures us *a priori* that  $C_{\sigma, N} - (\alpha + \theta)$  is positive. This is the aim of next theorem:

**Theorem 4.2.** *There exists  $\hat{\sigma}_c$  such that  $C_{\sigma, N} > \alpha + \theta$  for any  $N$  if  $\sigma \geq \hat{\sigma}_c$ .*

*Proof.* We will use Proposition 4.1 and notation introduced therein. From (A2) and (A3),

$$\nabla^2 N \Upsilon_0^N(\chi) \geq (12\alpha + 12\theta + 12)\text{Id}, \quad \text{if } \|\chi\| \geq R := R_{12\alpha+11\theta+12}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{K(R)}{3} \geq 4(\alpha + \theta + 1). \quad (7)$$

From (A4) and (A5) it follows that

$$|V(x)| \leq C \left( \|x\| + \frac{\|x\|^{2m}}{2m} \right), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

which together with (A5) implies that

$$|V(x)| \leq c (\|x\|^2 + \|x\|^{2m}), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d. \quad (8)$$

On the other hand, from (A6),  $F(x) = G(\|x\|) - \frac{\alpha}{2} \|x\|^2 = \sum_{k=1}^n b_{2k} \|x\|^{2k}$  for some  $b_{2k} \in \mathbb{R}$ . This and (8) give

$$\begin{aligned} N \Upsilon^N(\chi) &= \sum_{i=1}^N V(\chi_i) + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N F(\chi_i - \chi_j) \\ &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^N (\|\chi_i\|^2 + \|\chi_i\|^{2m}) + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \sum_{k=1}^n b_{2k} \|\chi_i - \chi_j\|^{2k}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We continue using elementary inequality  $|a - b|^{2k} \leq 2^{2k-1}(|a|^{2k} + |b|^{2k})$

$$\begin{aligned} N\Upsilon^N(\chi) &\leq c \sum_{i=1}^N (\|\chi_i\|^2 + \|\chi_i\|^{2m}) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{2k} 2^{2k-1} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\chi_i\|^{2k} \\ &\leq c (9R^2 + 9^m R^{2m}) + \sum_{k=1}^n b_{2k} 2^{2k-1} 9^k R^{2k} \leq c' R^{2q}, \quad \text{if } \|\chi\| \leq 3R, \end{aligned}$$

here  $q = \max\{m, n\}$  and the constant  $c'$  depends only on  $V$  and  $F$ . Thus

$$S(R) \leq c' R^{2q}. \quad (10)$$

Finally, to estimate  $I(R)$ , we use (A3) and (A6). For  $\|\chi\| \leq 2R$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N\Upsilon^N(\chi) &\geq -\frac{\theta}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \|\chi_i\|^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N \|\chi_i - \chi_j\|^2 \\ &\geq -2\theta R^2 - \frac{\alpha}{4N} \sum_{i=1}^N \sum_{j=1}^N 2 (\|\chi_i\|^2 + \|\chi_j\|^2) \geq -(2\theta + 4\alpha)R^2, \end{aligned}$$

hence

$$I(R) \geq -(2\theta + 4\alpha)R^2. \quad (11)$$

We are ready to estimate  $C_\sigma(N, R)$ . The first term in the minimum on the right hand side of (3) is greater than  $4(\alpha + \theta + 1)$ , see (7). The second term is greater than

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{72R^2} e^{-\frac{2}{\sigma^2} c' R^{2q}},$$

see (10), which in turn is larger than  $\alpha + \theta + 1$  for  $\sigma$  large enough. Finally, the third term in the minimum in (3) is greater than

$$4(\alpha + \theta + 1) \frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{2}{\sigma^2} (-(2\theta + 4\alpha)R^2 - c' R^{2q})} \geq \alpha + \theta + 1,$$

for large  $\sigma$ . To summarise,  $C_\sigma(N, R) \geq \alpha + \theta + 1$  and, consequently,

$$C_{\sigma, N} \geq \alpha + \theta + 1$$

for every  $N \in \mathbb{N}$  and for large  $\sigma$ .  $\square$

Consequently, if  $\sigma$  is large enough,  $WJ_{V, F}$ -inequality holds with a constant which does not depend on the dimension.

**Acknowledgements (B.D.):** *Part of the research was done while I was visiting Université Jean Monnet Saint-Étienne, and I would like to thank Julian Tugaut for his hospitality.*

**Acknowledgements (J.T.):** *Velika hvala Marini za sve. Également, un très grand merci à Manue, à Sandra et à Virginie pour tout.*

## References

- [AGS08] L. Ambrosio, N. Gigli, and G. Savaré. *Gradient flows in metric spaces and in the space of probability measures*. Lectures in Math. ETH Zürich. Birkhäuser, Basel, 2008.
- [BBCG08] D. Bakry, F. Barthe, P. Cattiaux, and A. Guillin. A simple proof of the Poincaré inequality for a large class of probability measures including the log-concave case. *Electronic Communications in Probability.*, 13:60–66, 2008.
- [BGG12] F. Bolley, I. Gentil and A. Guillin Convergence to equilibrium in Wasserstein distance for Fokker-Planck equations *Journal of Functional Analysis*, 263, 8, pp. 2430–2457 (2012)
- [BGG13] F. Bolley, I. Gentil and A. Guillin Uniform convergence to equilibrium for granular media *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*, 208, 2, pp. 429–445 (2013)
- [BRTV98] S. Benachour, B. Roynette, D. Talay, and P. Vallois. Nonlinear self-stabilizing processes. I. Existence, invariant probability, propagation of chaos. *Stochastic Process. Appl.*, 75(2):173–201, 1998.
- [BAZ99] G. Ben Arous and O. Zeitouni. Increasing propagation of chaos for mean fields models. *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré Probab. Statist.*, 35(1):85–102, 1999.
- [Bre91] Y. Brenier. Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 44: 375–417,1991.
- [CDPS10] F. Collet, P. Dai Pra and E. Sartori A simple mean field model for social interactions: dynamics, fluctuations, criticality, *J. Stat. Phys.*, 139(5):820–858, 2010.
- [CX10] D. Crisan and J. Xiong Approximate McKean-Vlasov representations for a class of SPDEs, *Stochastics*, 82(1-3):53–68, 2010.
- [McK66] H. P. McKean, Jr. A class of Markov processes associated with nonlinear parabolic equations. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, 56:1907–1911, 1966.
- [McK67] H. P. McKean, Jr. Propagation of chaos for a class of non-linear parabolic equations. In *Stochastic Differential Equations (Lecture Series in Differential Equations, Session 7, Catholic Univ., 1967)*, pages 41–57. Air Force Office Sci. Res., Arlington, Va., 1967.
- [Mél96] S. Méléard, *Asymptotic behaviour of some interacting particle systems; McKean-Vlasov and Boltzmann models*, In *Probabilistic models for nonlinear partial differential equations (Montecatini Terme, 1995)*, volume 1627 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 42–95. Springer, Berlin, 1996.
- [SvR05] K.-T. Sturm, and M.-K. von Renesse. Transport inequalities, gradient estimates, entropy and Ricci curvature. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 68:923–940, 2005.
- [Szn91] A-S. Sznitman. Topics in propagation of chaos. In *École d’Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour XIX—1989*, volume 1464 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 165–251. Springer, Berlin, 1991.