

# Generic Hahn–Banach results

Emil Ernst, Michel Volle

## ▶ To cite this version:

Emil Ernst, Michel Volle. Generic Hahn–Banach results. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 2014, 420 (1), pp.137-144. 10.1016/j.jmaa.2014.05.075. hal-01118526

# HAL Id: hal-01118526 https://hal.science/hal-01118526

Submitted on 16 Jul 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

## Generic Hahn-Banach results

E. Ernst<sup>\*</sup>

Aix Marseille Université, CNRS, Centrale Marseille, I2M, UMR 7373, 13453 Marseille, France

M. Volle

Université d'Avignon et des Pays de Vaucluse, 74 rue Louis Pasteur - 84029 Avignon Cedex 1, France

#### Abstract

Given  $f: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  a convex and lower semi-continuous function defined on a reflexive Banach space X, and L, a closed linear manifold of X over which f takes at least a real value, the aim of this note is to prove the following Baire category result: in the Euclidean setting, the set of affine functions dominated by f on L for which there is no dominated extension to X is always of first Baire category, but this set can be as large as a residual set, provided that X is a reflexive Banach space of infinite dimension. *Keywords:* affine Hahn-Banach theorem, Baire category, basic sequence 2000 MSC: 46A22, 49A20

#### 1. Introduction

The dominated extension form of the Hahn-Banach theorem ("The Crown Jewel of Functional Analysis" as it is called in [7, Section 1]) proves that,

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

*Email addresses:* emil.ernst@univ-amu.fr (E. Ernst), Michel.Volle@univ-avignon.fr (M. Volle)

given X a linear vector space, L one of its linear subspaces, and  $p: X \to \mathbb{R}$  a sublinear function (that is a convex function which is also positively homogeneous of degree one), any linear function  $\ell: L \to \mathbb{R}$  dominated on L by p may be extended to a linear function  $\overline{\ell}: X \to \mathbb{R}$  dominated by p on the whole space X.

It is well-known<sup>1</sup> that the the conclusion of this theorem ceases to hold true when, instead of a sublinear, we address a hypolinear dominating function  $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  (that is a convex function which is positively homogeneous of degree one, and which is allowed to take the value  $+\infty$ ); this fact goes back at least to 1968, when Simons ([9, pages 113-114]) showed that, over any vector space X of infinite dimension, there is a hypolinear functional which dominates no linear functional.

In order to overcome this difficulty, some regularity conditions have to be imposed on the pair formed by the hypolinear function f and the linear subspace L. The qualification condition provided by the seminal duality theorem by Fenchel (originally published as result number 48, at page 109 in [5]; see also [8, Theorem 31.1, §31]) is at the origin of a very fruitful line of research, and an overview, even a concise one, of the large number of works providing generalizations of Fenchel theorem lies outside the scope of the present paper. The interested reader is referred to Corollary 2.8.5

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Maybe not as widely known as it should be, judging by the unusually large number of flawed Hahn-Banach type theorems for convex functions allowed to take the value  $+\infty$ which can be found in the mathematical literature; in the articles [1], [12], [13], and [14], the reader can find examples and criticism of as much as ten such incorrect results published between 1969 and 2012.

and Theorem 2.8.3 from [11], results which gather some of the most useful regularity condition ensuring the validity of the Fenchel - Rockafellar duality formula, and hence of the dominated extension property.

Our article addresses the domination form of the Hahn-Banach theorem from a different angle. Let X be a real reflexive Banach space,  $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a convex and lower semi-continuous function, and L be a closed linear manifold of X over which f takes at least a real value. Let  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ , and respectively  $\mathcal{A}(f, X)$ , denote the set of all the affine continuous functions defined on L, and respectively on X, which are dominated by f;  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is the set of all the functions from  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  which cannot be extended to functions from  $\mathcal{A}(f, X)$  (roughly speaking,  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is the set on which the Hahn-Banch dominated extension theorem fails).

Our main concern is the Baire category of  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  as a subset of the complete metric space obtained by endowing the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  with the standard metric. In point of fact, it is easy to see that the convex set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f, L)$ is dense in  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ . When X is of finite dimension, the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f, L)$ is then automatically a residual subset of  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ , so  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is always a meagre, and therefore small, subset of  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ .

The case of a reflexive Banach space X of infinite dimension is however less obvious, since in this setting, although there still exists convex sets which are residual subsets of their closure, it is not a very difficult task to construct a convex set which is of first Baire category in its closure (take for instance the linear span of a compact set containing the origin of X), or even a convex set which is neither residual, nor of first Baire category with respect of its closure (this is the case of a dense hyperplane). Using Proposition 4.2, a functional analysis result which states that any reflexive Banach space X with a basis contains two closed subspaces whose Minkowski sum is both dense, and of first Baire category in X, we are able to prove the main result of our study, as provided by Theorem 4.3: given X a reflexive Banach space, there are always some f and L such that  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$ is a residual, and thus very large, subset of  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ .

The article ends with a short section stating several open problems.

#### 2. Definitions and notation

Throughout the paper,  $(X, \|\cdot\|_X)$  will be a real reflexive Banach space, with a topological dual denoted by  $X^*$ , and a duality pairing denoted by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X : X^* \times X \to \mathbb{R}$ . Let us also pick  $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , a convex and lower semi-continuous function which is proper, meaning that dom  $(f) = \{x \in X :$  $f(x) < +\infty\}$ , its effective domain, is a non empty set (as customary, the class of all these functions is called  $\Gamma_0(X)$ ), together with L, a closed linear subspace of X over which f takes at least one finite value. Our notation is completed by setting  $L^*$  for the topological dual, and  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_L : L^* \times L \to \mathbb{R}$  for the duality pairing of the locally convex space obtained by endowing L with the induced topology from X.

Our study is concerned with affine continuous functions defined on L, that is functions  $\alpha: L \to \mathbb{R}$  such that relation

$$\alpha(x) = \langle v_{\alpha}^*, x \rangle_L - r_{\alpha}, \qquad \forall x \in L,$$

holds true for some  $v_{\alpha}^* \in L^*$  and  $r_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{R}$ . We systematically identify an affine continuous function  $\alpha$  defined on L with the element  $(v_{\alpha}^*, r_{\alpha})$  from  $L^* \times \mathbb{R}$ . Accordingly, the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ , of all the affine continuous functions dominated on L by f, will be identified with the set

$$\{(v^*, r) \in L^* \times \mathbb{R} : \langle v^*, x \rangle_L - r \le f(x) \ \forall x \in L\}.$$

Similarly, the set

$$\{(x^*,r)\in X^*\times\mathbb{R}:\; \langle x^*,x\rangle_X-r\leq f(x)\;\forall x\in X\}$$

will be identified with  $\mathcal{A}(f, X)$ , the class of all the affine continuous functions defined on X and dominated by f.

Moreover, all the standard convex analysis notations are in order; in particular, epi  $a = \{(x^*, r) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R} : a(x^*) \leq r\}$  denotes the epigraph of a function  $a : X^* \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ , while, for any closed subspaces  $V \subset X$  and respectively  $W \subset X^*$ , the notation  $V^{\perp}$  and  $W^{\perp}$  is used for the sets

$$V^{\perp} = \{ x^* \in X^* : \langle x^*, x \rangle_X = 0 \quad \forall x \in V \},$$

and respectively

$$W^{\perp} = \{ x \in X : \langle x^*, x \rangle_X = 0 \quad \forall x^* \in W \}$$

We also use the classical conjugacy operation from convex analysis. Let us recall from  $[6, \S4]$ , that relation

$$a^*(x^*) = \sup_{x \in X} \left( \langle x^*, x \rangle_X - a(x) \right) \qquad \forall x^* \in X^*$$

defines the Legendre-Fenchel conjugate of a function  $a: X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$ .

Finally, a subset of a complete metric space is called of first Baire category, or meagre, if it is included in a countable union of closed sets of empty interior; the complement of a meagre set is called residual.

### 3. A dual characterization of $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$

As a first step in establishing our main result, this section is concerned with the characterization of the set  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  in terms of the Fenchel-Legendre conjugates of f and  $\iota_L$ , the indicator function of L,

$$\iota_L(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in L \\ +\infty & x \notin L \end{cases} \quad \forall x \in X.$$

We define the linear and continuous operator

$$\Pi: X^* \times \mathbb{R} \to L^* \times \mathbb{R} \quad \Pi(x^*, r) = (\pi(x^*), r) \quad \forall (x^*, r) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R},$$

where  $\pi(x^*)$  is the restriction of  $x^*$  to L, or, equivalently, the only element from  $L^*$  satisfying the relation  $\langle x^*, x \rangle_X = \langle \pi(x^*), x \rangle_L$  for all  $x \in L$ . The very simple proof of the following lemma will be skipped.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $f : X \to \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$  be a proper function, and L be a closed subspace of X. Then:

$$\mathcal{A}(f,L) = \Pi(\operatorname{epi}(f+\iota_L)^*), \tag{1}$$

$$\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L) = \Pi(\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^*),$$
(2)

whence

$$\mathcal{N}(f,L) = \Pi \left( \operatorname{epi} \left( f + \iota_L \right)^* \setminus \left( \operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^* \right) \right).$$
(3)

The following statement addresses standard geometrical and topological properties of the nested pair of sets  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  and  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** Let f be a function from  $\Gamma_0(X)$ , and L be a closed linear subspace of X which meets dom f. Then  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  is a closed and convex subset of  $L^* \times \mathbb{R}$ , and  $\mathcal{A}(f, L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is a convex set dense in  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ .

Proof of Proposition 3.2: Both the sets  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  and  $\mathcal{A}(f, L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f, L)$  are convex, as they are the images of convex sets through a linear operator (see relations (1) and (2)).

In order to prove that  $\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}(f,L)$ , we need the following well-known fact (for this and other properties related to the notion of infimal convolution, see [6] and the survey [10]): given g and h two functions from  $\Gamma_0(X)$  such that dom  $g \cap \text{dom } h \neq \emptyset$ , then the set epi  $(h+g)^*$ amounts to  $\overline{\text{epi } g^* + \text{epi } h^*}$ , the closure of the set epi  $g^* + \text{epi } h^*$ . Applied to the functions f and  $\iota_L$ , the previous theorem implies that

$$\overline{\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^*} = \operatorname{epi} \left( f + \iota_L \right)^*.$$
(4)

Moreover, the mapping  $\Pi$  is continuous, so the closure of the image through  $\Pi$  of any set always contains the image through  $\Pi$  of the closure of the same set; in particular,

$$\Pi\left(\overline{\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^*}\right) \subset \overline{\Pi\left(\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^*\right)}.$$
(5)

By combining relations (1), (2), (4) and (5), we infer that

$$\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L) \subset \mathcal{A}(f,L), \quad \mathcal{A}(f,L) \subset \overline{\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L)};$$

thus  $\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}(f,L)$ .

Finally, let us show that the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  is closed. Since that for every  $y^* \in L^{\perp}$  it holds that

$$(f + \iota_L)^*(x^* + y^*) = \sup_{x \in X} (\langle x^* + y^*, x \rangle_X - (f + \iota_L)(x))$$
  
= 
$$\sup_{x \in L} (\langle x^* + y^*, x \rangle_X - f(x))$$
  
= 
$$\sup_{x \in L} (\langle x^*, x \rangle_X - f(x)) = (f + \iota_L)^*(x^*) \quad \forall x^* \in X^*,$$

we deduce that

$$epi (f + \iota_L)^* + L^{\perp} \times \{0\} = epi (f + \iota_L)^*.$$
 (6)

But Ker  $\Pi = L^{\perp} \times \{0\}$ , so relation (6) may be rephrased as

$$epi (f + \iota_L)^* + Ker \Pi = epi (f + \iota_L)^*.$$
(7)

The continuous linear operator  $\Pi$  between the Banach spaces  $X^* \times \mathbb{R}$  and  $L^* \times \mathbb{R}$  is onto; an obvious consequence of Banach-Schauder theorem says that  $\Pi(S)$  is closed for any closed subset S of  $X^* \times \mathbb{R}$  such that  $S + \text{Ker } \Pi = S$ . From relation (7) it follows that the previous theorem applies to the visibly closed set epi  $(f + \iota_L)^*$ , so  $\mathcal{A}(f, L) = \Pi(\text{epi}(f + \iota_L)^*)$  is closed.  $\Box$ 

#### 4. Baire category results

Proposition 3.2 proves that the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  is a closed subset of the reflexive Banach space  $L^* \times \mathbb{R}$ ; when endowed with the distance  $d_{L^* \times \mathbb{R}}$ , induced by the box norm from  $L^* \times \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$  became a complete metric space. Theorems 4.1 and 4.3 are concerned with the Baire category of the subset  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  of the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{A}(f, L), d_{L^* \times \mathbb{R}})$ .

**Theorem 4.1.** Let X an Euclidean space, f be a function from  $\Gamma_0(X)$ , and L be a closed linear subspace of X which meets dom f. Then the subset  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$ of the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{A}(f, L), d_{L^* \times \mathbb{R}})$  is of first Baire category.

Proof of Theorem 4.1: As the convex set  $\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L)$  is dense in  $\mathcal{A}(f,L)$ , Corollary 6.3.1 from [8] proves that  $\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L)$  contains the relative interior of  $\mathcal{A}(f,L)$ .

Accordingly, the set  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is contained in the relative boundary of  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ , which is a closed subset with empty interior of the complete metric space  $(\mathcal{A}(f, L), d_{L^* \times \mathbb{R}})$ . In particular,  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is of first Baire category.  $\Box$ 

The following result is a key point in achieving our main theorem.

**Proposition 4.2.** Any infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space X with a Schauder basis contains two closed subspaces such that their Minkowski sum is both dense, and of first Baire category in X.

Proof of Proposition 4.2: The proof is done in two steps. First, we prove that any infinite dimensional Banach space X with a Schauder basis contains two closed subspaces whose sum is dense and proper in X. To this respect, let the sequence  $(x_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset X$  of norm one vectors be a Schauder basis of X, meaning that for each vector  $x \in X$  there exists a unique sequence  $(\lambda_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ of scalars such that

$$x = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda_k x_k,$$

set  $V_1$  for the closed linear span of the vectors  $\{v_n = x_{2n-1} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , while the closed linear span of the vectors  $\{w_n = (x_{2n-1} + \frac{x_{2n}}{8^n}) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is denoted by  $V_2$ .

As  $\{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset V_1 + V_2$ , the set  $V_1 + V_2$  is clearly dense in X.

Let us consider the sequence  $z_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{x_{2k}}{2^k}$ ; for any two positive integers m < n, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_n - z_m\|_X &= \left\|\sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{x_{2k}}{2^k}\right\|_X \le \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{\|x_{2k}\|_X}{2^k} \\ &= \sum_{k=m+1}^n \frac{1}{2^k} \le \frac{1}{2^m}, \end{aligned}$$

so  $(z_n)_n$  is a Cauchy sequence. We claim that its limit,

$$\overline{z} = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2^k} x_{2k},\tag{8}$$

does not belong to  $V_1 + V_2$ .

To the end of achieving a contradiction, we assume that there exists  $v_1 \in V_1$  and  $v_2 \in V_2$  such that  $v_1 + v_2 = \overline{z}$ . Hence,

$$v_1 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k \, v_k, \qquad v_2 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \mu_k \, w_k, \tag{9}$$

for some sequences  $(\lambda_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$  and  $(\mu_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ . By computing the sum  $v_1 + v_2$ , one obtains that

$$\overline{z} = v_1 + v_2 = \lim_{n \to +\infty} \sum_{k=1}^n \left( (\lambda_k + \mu_k) x_{2k-1} + \frac{\mu_k}{8^k} x_{2k} \right);$$
(10)

relation

$$\lambda_n + \mu_n = 0, \qquad \frac{\mu_n}{8^n} = \frac{1}{2^n} \qquad \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$
 (11)

easily results when comparing statements (8) and (10).

It is an immediate consequence of relation (11) that  $\lambda_n = -4^n$ . Keeping in mind that the sequence  $\zeta_n = \sum_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k$  converges to  $v_1$ , let us compute its norm:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\zeta_n\|_X &= \|\Sigma_{k=1}^n \lambda_k v_k\|_X = \|\Sigma_{k=1}^n - 4^k x_{2k-1}\|_X \\ &\geq \|-4^n x_n\|_X - \left(\Sigma_{k=1}^{n-1}\| - 4^k x_k\|_X\right) \\ &= 4^n - \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} 4^k > 4^n - \frac{4^n - 4}{3} = \frac{2^{2n+1} - 2^2}{3}. \end{aligned}$$
(12)

Inequality (12) implies that the norm of the convergent sequence  $\zeta_n$  goes to infinity, a clear contradiction. Our initial assumption is therefore false; that is, the subspace  $V_1 + V_2$  does not contain the vector  $\overline{z}$ . In the second step of our proof, we show that, in the setting of a reflexive Banach space X, the sum of two closed subspaces is of first Baire category in X, provided that this sum is proper. Indeed, given any two closed subspaces of X, say  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , it is obvious that

$$V_1 + V_2 = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n B + V_2), \qquad (13)$$

where  $B = \{x \in V_1 : \|x\|_X \le 1\}.$ 

On one hand, for any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , the set  $n B + V_2$  is a subset of  $V_1 + V_2$ , which is a proper subspace of X; therefore, the interior of  $n B + V_2$  is empty. On the other hand, being closed and convex, the set  $V_2$  is automatically weakly closed. For its part, the set B is a bounded closed and convex subset of a reflexive Banach space, thus it is weakly compact. Accordingly, their sum,  $B + V_2$ , as well as any set of form  $n B + V_2$ , with  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , is a weakly closed, and, a fortiori, closed subset of X.

Relation (13) reads now that  $V_1 + V_2$  is the union of a countable family of closed sets, each one of them having an empty interior.

We are now in a position to prove the main result of this article.

**Theorem 4.3.** Let X be an infinite dimensional reflexive Banach space. Then there exists f, a function from  $\Gamma_0(X)$ , and L, a closed linear subspace of X which meets dom f, such that  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is a residual subset of the complete metric space  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ .

*Proof of Theorem 4.3*: A well-known theorem (originally stated by Banach without any proof at page 238 of his foundational treatise [2], this result was proved in [3, Corollary 3, page 157]; the reader may found a complete account

of this topic in the chapter V of the textbook [4]), says that any infinite dimensional Banach space contains an infinite dimensional closed subspace with a Schauder basis.

In particular, this means that there exists V, an infinite dimensional closed subspace of  $X^*$ , which possess such a basis. Being a closed subspace of a reflexive space, V is itself a reflexive Banach space, so Proposition 4.2 applies to V: there are two closed subspaces of V, say  $V_1$  et  $V_2$ , such that the set  $V_1 + V_2$  is both dense, and of first Baire category in V.

Let us set  $f(x) = \iota_{V_1^{\perp}}$ , and  $L = V_2^{\perp}$ ; we claim that  $\mathcal{N}(f, L)$  is a residual subset of the complete metric space  $\mathcal{A}(f, L)$ . Since X is a reflexive Banach space, it is easy to see that epi  $f^* = V_1 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , and epi  $\iota_L^* = V_2 \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , so

$$\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^* = (V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{14}$$

and from relations (2) and (14) it follows that

$$\mathcal{A}(f,L) \setminus \mathcal{N}(f,L) = \Pi \left( (V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+ \right)$$

Moreover, the closure of the set  $V_1 + V_2$  is V. Hence

$$\overline{\operatorname{epi} f^* + \operatorname{epi} \iota_L^*} = V \times \mathbb{R}_+, \tag{15}$$

and combining relations (1) and (15) we infer that

$$\mathcal{A}(f,L) = \Pi \left( V \times \mathbb{R}_+ \right) = \pi(V) \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

In order to prove that  $\Pi((V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  is of first Baire category in  $\pi(V) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ , let us notice the following obvious fact.

**Remark 4.4.** Let Y be a Banach space, A be a subset of X, and B be a subset of  $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$ . Then:

i)  $A \times \mathbb{R}_+$  is of first Baire category in  $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$  if and only if A is of first Baire category in X, and

*ii*) *B* is of first Baire category in  $X \times \mathbb{R}_+$  if and only if *B* is of first Baire category in  $X \times \mathbb{R}$ .

Thus  $(V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+$  is of first Baire category in its closure  $V \times \mathbb{R}$  (apply point *i*) in the previous statement for V = Y and  $V_1 + V_2 = A$ , followed by point *ii*) of the same statement for V = Y and  $B = (V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+$ ).

A standard application of the Banach-Schauder theorem for the surjective continuous linear operator  $\Pi$  says that the set  $\Pi(S)$  is meagre in  $\Pi(\overline{S})$ provided that S is itself meagre in  $\overline{S}$ , and  $S + \ker \Pi = S$ . In particular, this means that the set  $\Pi((V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  if of first Baire category in  $\pi(V) \times \mathbb{R}$ .

It remains to apply point *ii*) from Remark 4.4 for  $\pi(V) \times \mathbb{R} = Y$  and  $\Pi((V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+) = B$ , to deduce that  $\Pi((V_1 + V_2) \times \mathbb{R}_+)$  is meagre in  $\Pi(V \times \mathbb{R}_+)$ , completing in this way the proof of Theorem 4.3.

#### 5. Open problems

A natural question raised by our study concerns the validity of Proposition 4.2 and respectively Theorem 4.3 when the underlying space X is a Banach space, and respectively a real normed space. Another direction in which the result of Theorem 4.3 may be generalized is by addressing the more versatile sandwich theorem form of the Hahn-Banach theorem. In this setting, the main issue is the existence of functions  $f \in \Gamma_0(X)$  such that the set

$$\mathcal{N}(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(f) : L(f,g) = \emptyset\}$$

is a residual subset of the completed metrizable space obtained when endowing the set

$$\mathcal{A}(f) = \{g \in \Gamma_0(X) : -g \le f\}$$

with the Attouch-Wets topology; here

$$L(f,g) = \{ (x^*; a) \in X^* \times \mathbb{R} : -g \le x^* - a \le f \}$$

denotes the set of all the continuous affine functions sandwiched between the concave function -g an the convex function f.

#### Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful to the referees for constructive comments and valuable suggestions which have considerably contributed to the final preparation of the paper.

### References

- B. Anger, J. Lembcke, Hahn-Banach type theorems for hypolinear functionals, Math. Ann. 209 (1974) 127-151.
- [2] S. Banach, Théorie des opérations linéaires, Mathematical Seminar of the University of Warsaw, Warsaw, 1932.
- [3] C. Bessaga, A. Pełczyński, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, Studia Math. 17 (1958) 151-164.
- [4] J. Diestel, Sequences and series in a Banach space, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg-Berlin, 1984.

- [5] W. Fenchel, Convex Cones, Sets and Functions (Logistic Project Report), Princeton University, 1953.
- [6] J.-J. Moreau, Fonctionelles convexes, Edizioni del Dipartimento di Ingegneria Civile dell'Università di Roma Tor Vergata, Roma, 2003.
- [7] L. Narici, E. Beckenstein, The Hahn-Banach theorem: The life and times, Topology Appl. 77 (1997) 193-211.
- [8] R. T. Rockafellar, Convex Analysis, Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, 1970.
- [9] S. Simons, Extended and sandwich versions of the Hahn-Banach theorem, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 21 (1968) 112-122.
- [10] T. Strömberg, The operation of infimal convolution, Diss. Math. 352 (1996) 1-61.
- [11] C. Zălinescu, Convex Analysis in General Vector Spaces, Word Scientific, 2002.
- [12] C. Zălinescu, On zero duality gap and the Farkas lemma for conic programming, Math. Oper. Res. 33 (2008) 991-1001.
- [13] C. Zălinescu, Hahn-Banach extension theorems for multifunctions revisited, Math. Methods Oper. Res. 68 (2008) 493-508.
- [14] C. Zălinescu, On some extension theorems for multifunctions, arXiv : 1303.2203V2, 17 Mars 2013.