

# Singular solutions to conformal Hessian equations

Nikolai Nadirashvili, Serge Vlăduț

# ▶ To cite this version:

Nikolai Nadirashvili, Serge Vlăduţ. Singular solutions to conformal Hessian equations. Chinese Annals of Mathematics - Series B, 2017, 38 (2), pp.591 - 600. 10.1007/s11401-017-1085-6 . hal-01110355

HAL Id: hal-01110355

https://hal.science/hal-01110355

Submitted on 11 Jan 2024

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Singular solutions of conformal Hessian equation

Nikolai Nadirashvili, Serge Vlăduț

Abstract. We show that for any  $\varepsilon \in ]0,1[$  there exists an analytic outside zero solution to a uniformly elliptic conformal Hessian equation in a ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^5$  which belongs to  $C^{1,\varepsilon}(B) \setminus C^{1,\varepsilon+}(B)$ .

AMS 2000 Classification: 35J60, 53C38

Keywords: viscosity solutions, conformal Hessian equation, Cartan's cubic

# 1 Introduction

In this paper we study a class of fully nonlinear second-order elliptic equations of the form

$$F(D^2u, Du, u) = 0 (1)$$

defined in a domain of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Here  $D^2u$  denotes the Hessian of the function u, Du being its gradient. We assume that F is a Lipschitz function defined on a domain in the space  $\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n) \times \mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}$ ,  $\operatorname{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  being the space of  $n \times n$  symmetric matrices and that F satisfies the uniform ellipticity condition, i.e. there exists a constant  $C = C(F) \geq 1$  (called an *ellipticity constant*) such that

$$C^{-1}||N|| \le F(M+N) - F(M) \le C||N||$$

for any non-negative definite symmetric matrix N; if  $F \in C^1(\mathrm{Sym}_2(\mathbb{R}^n))$  then this condition is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{C'}|\xi|^2 \le F_{u_{ij}}\xi_i\xi_j \le C'|\xi|^2 , \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n .$$

Here,  $u_{ij}$  denotes the partial derivative  $\partial^2 u/\partial x_i \partial x_j$ . A function u is called a classical solution of (1) if  $u \in C^2(\Omega)$  and u satisfies (1). Actually, any classical solution of (1) is a smooth  $(C^{\alpha+3})$  solution, provided that F is a smooth  $(C^{\alpha})$  function of its arguments.

<sup>\*</sup>I2M, Aix-Marseille Université, 39, rue F. Joliot-Curie, 13453 Marseille FRANCE, nicolas@cmi.univ-mrs.fr

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>I2M, Aix-Marseille Université, Luminy, case 907, 13288 Marseille Cedex FRANCE and IITP RAS, B.Karetnyi,9, Moscow, RUSSIA, vladut@iml.univ-mrs.fr

More precisely, we are interested in conformal Hessian equations (see, e.g. [9], pp. 5-6) i.e. those of the form

$$F[u] := f(\lambda(A^u)) = \psi(u, x) \tag{2}$$

f being a Lipschitz function on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  invariant under permutations of the coordinates and

$$\lambda(A^u) = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$$

being the eigenvalues of the conformal Hessian in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ :

$$A^{u} := uD^{2}u - \frac{1}{2}|Du|^{2}I_{n} \tag{3}$$

where  $n \geq 3, u > 0$ .

In this case F is invariant under conformal mappings  $T: \mathbb{R}^n \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ , i.e. transformations which preserve angles between curves. In contrast to the case n=2, for  $n\geq 3$  any conformal transformation of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is decomposed into a finitely many Möbius transformations, that is mappings of the form

$$Tx = y + \frac{kA(x-z)}{|x-z|^a},$$

with  $x, z \in \mathbb{R}^n, k \in \mathbb{R}, a \in \{0, 2\}$  and an orthogonal matrix A. In other words, each T is a composition of a translation, a homothety, a rotation and (may be) an inversion. If T is a conformal mapping and  $v(x) = J_T^{-1/n}u(Tx)$ , where  $J_T$  denotes the Jacobian determinant of T then F[v] = F[u]. Note that this class of equations is very important in geometry, see [4] and references therein.

We are interested in the Dirichlet problem

$$\begin{cases} F(D^2u, Du, u) = 0, u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = \varphi & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}, \tag{4}$$

where  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary  $\partial \Omega$  and  $\varphi$  is a continuous function on  $\partial \Omega$ .

Consider the problem of existence and regularity of solutions to the Dirichlet problem (4) which has always a unique viscosity (weak) solution for fully nonlinear elliptic equations. The viscosity solutions satisfy the equation (1) in a weak sense, and the best known interior regularity ([1],[2],[8]) for them is  $C^{1+\varepsilon}$  for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . For more details see [2], [3]. Recall that in [5] the authors constructed a homogeneous singular viscosity solution in 5 dimensions for Hessian equations of order  $1 + \delta$  for any  $\delta \in ]0,1]$ , that is, of any order compatible with the mentioned interior regularity results. In fact we proved in [5] the following result.

## Theorem 1.1.

The function

$$w_{5,\delta}(x) = P_5(x)/|x|^{1+\delta}, \ \delta \in [0,1]$$

is a viscosity solution to a uniformly elliptic Hessian equation  $F(D^2w) = 0$  with a smooth functional F in a unit ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^5$  for the isoparametric Cartan cubic form

$$P_5(x) = x_1^3 + \frac{3x_1}{2} \left( z_1^2 + z_2^2 - 2z_3^2 - 2x_2^2 \right) + \frac{3\sqrt{3}}{2} \left( x_2 z_1^2 - x_2 z_2^2 + 2z_1 z_2 z_3 \right)$$

with  $x = (x_1, x_2, z_1, z_2, z_3)$ .

which proves the optimality of the interior  $C^{1+\varepsilon}$ -regularity of viscosity solutions to fully nonlinear equations in 5 and more dimensions.

In the present paper we show that the same singularity result remains true for conformal Hessian equations.

#### Theorem 1.2.

Let  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ . The function

$$u(x) := c + w_{5,\delta}(x) = c + \frac{P_5(x)}{|x|^{1+\delta}},$$

is a viscosity solution to a uniformly elliptic conformal Hessian equation (1) in a unit ball  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^5$  for a sufficiently large positive constant c (c = 240000 is sufficient for  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

Notice also that the result does *not* hold for  $\delta = 0$  and we do not know how to construct a non-classical  $C^{1,1}$ -solution to a uniformly elliptic conformal Hessian equation.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall some necessary preliminary results and we prove our main results in Section 3; to simplify the notation we suppose that  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$  in Section 3; for any  $\delta$  the proof is along the same line, but more cumbersome. The proof in Section 3 uses MAPLE to varify some algebraic identities but is completely rigorous (and is human-controlled for  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ ).

# 2 Preliminary results

*Notation*: for a real symmetric matrix A we denote by |A| the maximum of the absolute value of its eigenvalues.

Let u be a strictly positive function on  $B_1$ . Define the map

$$\Lambda: B_1 \longrightarrow \lambda(S) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$
.

 $\lambda(S)=\{\lambda_1\geq ...\geq \lambda_n\}\in \mathbb{R}^n$  being the (ordered) set of eigenvalues of the conformal Hessian

$$A^{u} := uD^{2}u - \frac{1}{2}|Du|^{2}I_{n}.$$

The following ellipticity criterion can proved similarly to Lemma 2.1 of [6].

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that the family

$$\{A^{u}(a) - O^{-1} \cdot A^{u}(b) \cdot O : a, b \in B_{1}, O \in SO(n)\} \setminus \{0\}$$

is uniformly hyperbolic, i.e. if  $\{\mu_1(a,b,O) \geq \ldots \geq \mu_n(a,b,O)\}$  is the ordered spectrum of  $A^u(a) - O^{-1} \cdot A^u(b) \cdot O \neq 0$  then

$$\forall a, b \in B_1, \forall O \in SO(n), \quad C^{-1} \le -\frac{\mu_1(a, b, O)}{\mu_n(a, b, O)} \le C$$

for some constant C > 1. Then u is a viscosity solution in  $B_1$  of a uniformly elliptic conformal Hessian equation (1).

We recall then some properties of the function  $w := w_{5,\delta}(x) = \frac{P_5(x)}{|x|^{1+\delta}}$ , and its Hessian  $D^2w$  proved in [5].

### Lemma 2.2.

There exists a 3-dimensional Lie subgroup  $G_P$  of SO(5) such that P is invarant under its natural action and the orbit  $G_P\mathbb{S}^1_1$  of the circle

$$\mathbb{S}_1^1 = \{(\cos(\chi), 0, \sin(\chi), 0, 0) : \chi \in \mathbb{R}\} \subset \mathbb{S}_1^4$$

under this action is the whole  $\mathbb{S}_1^4$ .

## Lemma 2.3.

(i) Let  $x \in \mathbb{S}_1^4$ , and let  $x \in G_P(p, 0, r, 0, 0)$  with  $p^2 + r^2 = 1$ . Then

$$Spec(D^2w_{5,\delta}(x)) = \{\mu_{1,\delta}, \mu_{2,\delta}, \mu_{3,\delta}, \mu_{4,\delta}, \mu_{5,\delta}\}$$

for

$$\mu_{1,\delta} = \frac{p(p^2\delta + 6 - 3\delta)}{2},$$

$$\mu_{2,\delta} = \frac{p(p^2\delta - 3 - 3\delta) + 3\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}}{2},$$

$$\mu_{3,\delta} = \frac{p(p^2\delta - 3 - 3\delta) - 3\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}}{2},$$

$$\mu_{4,\delta} = -\frac{p\delta(6 - \delta)(3 - p^2) + \sqrt{D(p,\delta)}}{4},$$

$$\mu_{5,\delta} = -\frac{p\delta(6 - \delta)(3 - p^2) - \sqrt{D(p,\delta)}}{4},$$

and

$$D(p,\delta) := (6-\delta)(4-\delta)(2-\delta)\delta(p^2-3)^2p^2 + 144(\delta-2)^2 > 0.$$

(ii) Let 
$$\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_5$$
 be the ordered eigenvalues of  $D^2w_{5,\delta}(x)$ . Then

$$\lambda_{1} = \mu_{2,\delta}, \quad \lambda_{5} = \mu_{3,\delta},$$

$$\lambda_{2} = \begin{cases} \mu_{4,\delta} & for \ p \in [-1, p_{0}(\delta)], \\ \mu_{1,\delta} & for \ p \in [p_{0}(\delta), 1], \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_{3} = \begin{cases} \mu_{5,\delta} & for \ p \in [-1, -p_{0}(\delta)], \\ \mu_{1,\delta} & for \ p \in [-p_{0}(\delta), p_{0}(\delta)], \\ \mu_{4,\delta} & for \ p \in [p_{0}(\delta), 1], \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_{4} = \begin{cases} \mu_{1,\delta} & for \ p \in [-1, -p_{0}(\delta)], \\ \mu_{5,\delta} & for \ p \in [-p_{0}(\delta), 1], \end{cases}$$

where

$$p_0(\delta) := \frac{3^{1/4}\sqrt{1-\delta}}{(3+2\delta-\delta^2)^{1/4}} = \frac{3^{1/4}\sqrt{\varepsilon}}{(4-\varepsilon^2)^{1/4}} \in ]0,1].$$

Note the oddness property of the spectrum:

$$\lambda_{1,\delta}(-p) = -\lambda_{5,\delta}(p), \ \lambda_{2,\delta}(-p) = -\lambda_{4,\delta}(p), \ \lambda_{3,\delta}(-p) = -\lambda_{3,\delta}(p).$$

#### Proposition 2.1.

Let  $N_{\delta}(x) = D^2 w_{\delta}(x)$ ,  $0 \le \delta < 1$ . Suppose that  $a \ne b \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}$  and let  $O \in O(5)$  be an orthogonal matrix s.t.

$$N_{\delta}(a,b,O) := N_{\delta}(a) - {}^{t}O \cdot N_{\delta}(b) \cdot O \neq 0.$$

Denote  $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \Lambda_5$  the eigenvalues of the matrix  $N_{\delta}(a,b,O)$ . Then

$$\frac{1}{C} \le -\frac{\Lambda_1}{\Lambda_5} \le C$$

for  $C := C(\delta) := \frac{1000(\delta+1)(3-\delta)}{3(1-\delta)^2}$ ; for  $k \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  one can choose C = 1000.

### Corollary 2.1.

$$\Lambda_1 \ge \frac{|N_\delta(a, b, O)|}{C(\delta)}, \ |\Lambda_5| \ge \frac{|N_\delta(a, b, O)|}{C(\delta)}.$$

We need also the following classical Weyl's result:

#### Lemma 2.4.

Let A, B be two real symmetric matrices with the eigenvalues  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n$  and  $\lambda_1' \geq \lambda_2' \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_n'$  respectively. Then for the eigenvalues  $\Lambda_1 \geq \Lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \Lambda_n$  of the matrix A - B we have

$$\Lambda_1 \ge \max_{i=1,\dots,n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_i'), \quad \Lambda_n \le \min_{i=1,\dots,n} (\lambda_i - \lambda_i').$$

# 3 Proofs

Let n = 5,  $u(x) = c + w_{5,\delta}(x)$ . We begin with  $\delta = 0$  and show that the result is false in this case. Indeed let  $a = (1,0,0,0,0), b = (\frac{1}{2},0,0,0,0), O = I_5$ . Then

$$w(a) = 1, w(b) = \frac{1}{2}, |Du(a)| = |Dw(a)| = 9, |Du(b)|^2 = |Dw(b)|^2 = \frac{9}{4},$$
$$D^2u(a) = D^2w(a) = D^2u(b) = D^2w(b).$$

and

$$A^{u}(a) - A^{u}(b) = \frac{1}{2}D^{2}w(a) - \frac{27}{4}I_{5}$$

which is negative since the spectrum of  $D^2w(a)$  is (2,2,2,-7,-7). The reason is clearly that  $D^2w(a)$  for  $\delta=0$  is homogeneous order 0 and depends only on the direction vector a/|a|.

Suppose now that  $\delta \in ]0,1[$ . As we mentioned before, we set  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ ; in this case c = 240000. First we spell out Lemma 2.3 for  $\delta = \frac{1}{2}$ .

#### Lemma 3.1.

(i) Let  $x \in \mathbb{S}_1^4$ , and let  $x \in G_P(p, 0, r, 0, 0)$  with  $p^2 + r^2 = 1$ . Then

$$Spec(D^2u(x)) = Spec(D^2w(x)) = \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \mu_3, \mu_4, \mu_5\}$$

for

$$\mu_1 = \frac{3p(p^2 + 1)}{4},$$

$$\mu_2 = \frac{3p(p^2 - 5) + 6\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}}{4},$$

$$\mu_3 = \frac{3p(p^2 - 5) - 6\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}}{4},$$

$$\mu_4 = \frac{27p(p^2 - 3) + 3\sqrt{105p^6 - 630p^4 + 945p^2 + 64}}{16},$$

$$\mu_5 = \frac{27p(p^2 - 3) - 3\sqrt{105p^6 - 630p^4 + 945p^2 + 64}}{16}.$$

(ii) Let  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \ldots \geq \lambda_5$  be the ordered eigenvalues of  $Spec(D^2u(x)) = Spec(D^2w(x))$ . Then

$$\lambda_1 = \mu_2, \quad \lambda_5 = \mu_3,$$
 
$$\lambda_2 = \begin{cases} \mu_4 & for \ p \in [-1, p_0], \\ \mu_1 & for \ p \in [p_0, 1], \end{cases}$$
 
$$\lambda_3 = \begin{cases} \mu_5 & for \ p \in [-1, -p_0], \\ \mu_1 & for \ p \in [-p_0, p_0], \\ \mu_4 & for \ p \in [p_0, 1], \end{cases}$$

$$\lambda_4 = \begin{cases} \mu_1 & \text{for } p \in [-1, -p_0], \\ \mu_5 & \text{for } p \in [-p_0, 1], \end{cases}$$

where

$$p_0 = 5^{-1/4} \simeq 0.6687403050.$$

We will need also the derivatives of the egenvalues.

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $d_i(p) := \frac{d(\mu_i)}{dp}$ . Then

$$d_1(p) = \frac{3(3p^2 + 1)}{4},$$
 
$$d_2(p) = -\frac{3(5 - 3p^2)}{4} + \frac{9p}{2\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}},$$
 
$$d_3(p) = -\frac{3(5 - 3p^2)}{4} - \frac{9p}{2\sqrt{12 - 3p^2}},$$
 
$$d_4(p) = \frac{81(1 - p^2)}{16} \left( \frac{35p(3 - p^2)}{3\sqrt{105p^6 - 630p^4 + 945p^2 + 64}} - 1 \right),$$
 
$$d_5(p) = -\frac{81(1 - p^2)}{16} \left( \frac{35p(3 - p^2)}{3\sqrt{105p^6 - 630p^4 + 945p^2 + 64}} + 1 \right).$$

Simple calculus gives

### Corollary 3.1.

$$D := \max\{|d_i(p)| : p \in [-1,1], i = 1,\dots,5\} < 10.$$

Below we denote  $D_i(p) := \frac{d(\lambda_i)}{dp}$ ; the relation of  $D_i(p)$  and  $d_i(p)$  is clear from Lemma 3.1 (ii); for example,  $D_1(p) = d_2(p)$ ,  $D_5(p) = d_3(p)$ .

The proof of Theorem 1.2 is based on the following lemmas. Let

$$a, b \in B_1 \setminus \{0\}, |a| = s \le 1, |b| = t \le 1, O \in O(5),$$
  
$$a' := \frac{a}{s} \in G_P(p, 0, r, 0, 0), b' := \frac{b}{t} \in G_P(q, 0, r', 0, 0).$$

Below we denote

$$K := K(p, q, s, t) = |s - t| + |p - q|,$$
  

$$M_1 := M_1(a, b, O) := D^2 u(a) - O^{-1} D^2 u(b) \cdot O,$$
  

$$M_2 := M_2(a, b, O) := w(a) D^2 u(a) - O^{-1} w(b) D^2 u(b) \cdot O.$$

## Lemma 3.3.

$$||Du(a)|^2 - |Du(b)|^2| \le 16K.$$

*Proof.* First,  $|Du(a)|^2 = |Dw(a)|^2$ ,  $|Du(b)|^2 = |Dw(b)|^2$ . Since  $P = P_5(x)$  can be represented as the generic traceless norm in the Jordan algebra  $\operatorname{Sym}_3(\mathbb{R})$  it verifies the eiconal equation  $|DP|^2 = |x|^4$ , see e.g. [7]. Therefore, an easy calculation gives

$$\begin{split} |Du(a)|^2 &= \frac{9s(16-3p^2(p^2-3)^2)}{32}, \ |Du(b)|^2 = \frac{9t(16-3q^2(q^2-3)^2)}{32}, \\ \left||Du(a)|^2 - |Du(b)|^2\right| &\leq \left|\frac{9s(16-3p^2(p^2-3)^2)}{32} - \frac{9t(16-3p^2(p^2-3)^2)}{32}\right| + \\ &+ \left|\frac{9t(16-3p^2(p^2-3)^2)}{32} - \frac{9t(16-3q^2(q^2-3)^2)}{32}\right| = \\ \left|\frac{9(s-t)(16-3p^2(p^2-3)^2)}{32}\right| + \left|\frac{27t(p-q)(p+q)((q^2-3)^2-(p^2-3)^2)}{32}\right| \leq \\ &\left|\frac{9(s-t)}{2}\right| + \left|\frac{243(p-q)}{16}\right| \leq 16K. \end{split}$$

**Lemma 3.4.** Let 
$$M := |M_1| = \left| D^2 u(a) - O^{-1} \cdot D^2 u(b) \cdot O \right|$$
. Then  $M \ge \frac{K}{8}$ .

*Proof.* If one replaces a by a' = a/s and b by b'' = b/s the quantity M gets bigger and K gets smaller. Therefore we can suppose that |a| = s = 1. Then we have

$$D^{2}u(a) - O^{-1} \cdot D^{2}u(b) \cdot O = D^{2}u(a) - \frac{O^{-1} \cdot D^{2}u(b') \cdot O}{\sqrt{t}}.$$

By Lemma 2.4 we have

$$M \ge \max \left\{ \lambda_i(p) - \frac{\lambda_i(q)}{\sqrt{t}} : i = 1, \dots, 5 \right\},$$
$$M \ge \left| \min \left\{ \lambda_i(p) - \frac{\lambda_i(q)}{\sqrt{t}} : i = 1, \dots, 5 \right\} \right|.$$

Suppose first  $p \ge q$ . If  $q \ge -\frac{24}{25} = -0.96$  then

$$\forall p' \in [q, p], \ D_1(p') < -1/4 = -0.25, \ \lambda_1(p) > \frac{3}{2}$$

(by a simple calculation using the explicit formulas for  $D_1, \lambda_1$ ). Therefore

$$\lambda_1(p) - \frac{\lambda_1(q)}{\sqrt{t}} = \lambda_1(p) - \lambda_1(q) + \lambda_1(q) - \frac{\lambda_1(q)}{\sqrt{t}} \le -\frac{p-q}{4} - \frac{3}{2} \left( \frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - 1 \right) < -\frac{K}{4}.$$

If q < -0.96 but  $p \ge -\frac{23}{25} = -0.92$  then

$$\lambda_1(p) - \frac{\lambda_1(q)}{\sqrt{t}} = \lambda_1(p) - \lambda_1(q) + \lambda_1(q) - \frac{\lambda_1(q)}{\sqrt{t}} \le \lambda_1(p) - \lambda_1\left(\frac{24}{25}\right) + \lambda_1(q) - \frac{\lambda_1(q)}{\sqrt{t}}$$
$$-\frac{p + 0.96}{4} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - 1\right) < -\frac{p - q}{8} - \frac{3}{2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - 1\right) < -\frac{K}{8}.$$

Suppose then that q < -0.96, p < -0.92. In this case we have

$$\forall p' \in [q, p], \ d_2(p') > \frac{5}{2}, \ \lambda_2(p') < -\frac{3}{2}$$

and thus

$$\lambda_2(p) - \frac{\lambda_2(q)}{\sqrt{t}} = \lambda_2(p) - \lambda_2(q) + \lambda_2(q) - \frac{\lambda_2(q)}{\sqrt{t}} \ge \frac{5(p-q)}{2} + \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{t}} - 1\right) \ge \frac{3K}{4}$$

which finishes the proof for  $p \ge q$ . The case  $q \ge p$  is treated similarly (replace  $\lambda_1$  by  $\lambda_5$  and  $\lambda_2$  by  $\lambda_4$ ).

#### Lemma 3.5.

$$|M_2| = |w(a)D^2u(a) - O^{-1}w(b)D^2u(b) \cdot O| \le 10K$$

*Proof.* Indeed, let a' := a/s, b' := b/s then by homogeneity

$$|w(a)D^{2}w(a) - O^{-1}w(b)D^{2}w(b) \cdot O| = |sD^{2}w(a') - O^{-1} \cdot tD^{2}w(b') \cdot O| \le$$

$$\le s |D^{2}w(a') - O^{-1} \cdot D^{2}w(b') \cdot O| + |s - t| \cdot |O^{-1} \cdot D^{2}w(b')| \le$$

$$\le \max_{p,i} \{|D_{i}(p)|\}|p - q| + 7|s - t| = \max_{p,i} \{|d_{i}(p)|\}|p - q| + 7|s - t| \le 10K.$$

Remark 3.1. These results remain true for any  $\delta \in ]0,1[$  if one replaces the respective constants 16, 1/8 and 10 in Lemmas 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 by appropriate positive constants depending on  $\delta$ . On the contrary, Lemma 3.4 is false for  $\delta = 0$ .

We can now prove the uniform hyperbolicity of M(a, b, O) and thus the theorem. In fact we show that one can take C = 6007 in Lemma 3.1. Indeed,

$$|M(a,b,O)| = |A^{u}(a) - O^{-1} \cdot A^{u}(b) \cdot O| = |cM_{1} + M_{2} - (|Du(a)|^{2} - |Du(b)|^{2}) I_{5}|.$$

Therefore,

$$|\Lambda_5| \ge c|\Lambda_5(M_1)| - 10K - 16K \ge \frac{c|M_1|}{1000} - 26K \ge 240|M_1| - 26K \ge 4K,$$
$$|\Lambda_1| \ge c\Lambda_1(M_1) - 10K - 16K \ge \frac{c|M_1|}{1000} - 26K \ge 240|M_1| - 26K \ge 4K,$$

$$|M(a,b,O)| \le c|M_1| + |M_2| + ||Du(a)|^2 - |Du(b)|^2| \le c|M_1| + 26K.$$

Thus

$$\frac{1}{C} < \frac{4}{240026} \le \frac{240|M_1| - 26K}{c|M_1| + 26K} \le \frac{|\Lambda_5|}{|\Lambda_1|} \le \frac{c|M_1| + 26K}{240|M_1| - 26K} \le \frac{240026}{4} < C$$

which finishes the proof. Notice that we can take  $C=1000+\varepsilon$  for  $\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}$  if c is sufficiently large; in the case  $\frac{1}{2}<\delta<1$  for sufficiently large c one gets  $C=C(\delta)+\varepsilon=\frac{1000(\delta+1)(3-\delta)}{3(1-\delta)^2}+\varepsilon$ .

# References

- [1] L. Caffarelli, Interior a priory estimates for solutions of fully nonlinear equations, Ann. Math. 130 (1989), 189–213.
- [2] L. Caffarelli, X. Cabre, Fully Nonlinear Elliptic Equations, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1995.
- [3] M.G. Crandall, H. Ishii, P-L. Lions, User's guide to viscosity solutions of second order partial differential equations, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.) 27 (1992), 1–67.
- [4] Y.Y. Li, On some conformally invariant fully nonlinear equations. Proc. Int. Congr. Math., Vol. III, 177–184, Beijing, 2002.
- [5] N. Nadirashvili, S. Vlăduţ, Singular solutions of Hessian elliptic equations in five dimensions. J. Math. Pures Appl. (9) 100 (2013) 769-784.
- [6] N. Nadirashvili, S. Vlăduţ, Singular solutions of Hessian fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Adv. Math. 228 (2011), 1718–1741.
- [7] V. G. Tkachev, A Jordan algebra approach to the eiconal, J. of Algebra 419 (2014), 34–51.
- [8] N. Trudinger, Hölder gradient estimates for fully nonlinear elliptic equations, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 108 (1988), 57–65.
- [9] N. Trudinger, Fully nonlinear elliptic equations in geometry. CBMS Lectures,
   Oct. 2004 draft, available at
   http://maths-people.anu.edu.au/neilt/RecentPapers/notes1.pdf