HAL
open science

Hardy-Littlewood first approximation theorem for quasi L-functions

R Balasubramanian, K Ramachandra

▶ To cite this version:

R Balasubramanian, K Ramachandra. Hardy-Littlewood first approximation theorem for quasi L-functions. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 2004, Volume 27 - 2004, pp.2 - 7. 10.46298/hrj.2004.148 . hal-01109899

HAL Id: hal-01109899
https://hal.science/hal-01109899v1

Submitted on 27 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal

Vol.27 (2004) 2-7

HARDY-LITTLEWOOD FIRST APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR QUASI L-FUNCTIONS

BY

R.BALASUBRAMANIAN and K.RAMACHANDRA

(DEDICATED WITH DEEPEST REGARDS TO
THE MEMORY OF LEONARD EULER)

(*accepted on 12-06-2005)*

§1. **INTRODUCTION**. We begin by stating the following theorem due to G.H.HARDY and J.E.LITTLEWOOD (see Theorem 4.11 of [ECT]).

THEOREM 1. *We have*

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n \le x} n^{-s} - \frac{x^{1-s}}{1-s} + O(x^{-\sigma}) \tag{1}$$

uniformly for $\sigma \ge \sigma_0 > 0, |t| \le \pi x C^{-1}$ *which* $C > 1$ *is any given constant.*

REMARK. We call (1) as the first approximation theorem of HARDY and LITTLEWOOD. In [RB, KR]$_1$ we proved (1) with the conditions $t > 2$ and $x > (\frac{1}{2} + \delta)t$, where $\delta > 0$ is any constant.

The object of the present note (which is an addendum to [RB, KR]$_1$) is to make a few remarks on the results of [RB, KR]$_1$ and prove the following theorem.

THEOREM 2. *We define (quasi L-functions) by*

$$L(s, \chi) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \chi(n)(n+\alpha)^{-s} F(n+\alpha) \tag{2}$$

where $\chi(n)$ *is any periodic sequence of complex numbers (not all zero) whose sum over any period is zero.* $\alpha > 0$ *is any constant and* $F(X)$ *is any complex valued function of* X *which is infinitely often continuously differentiable in* $X \ge 1$ *with* $F^{(k)}(X) = O(X^{-k+\epsilon})$ *for every* $k \ge 0$ *and every* $\epsilon > 0$. *As usual* $s = \sigma + it$, $\sigma \ge \sigma_0 > 0$. *Then* $L(s, \chi)$ *defined above is uniformly convergent in any compact sub-set. Moreover* $L(s, \chi)$ *can be continued as an entire*

function and

$$L(s,\chi) = \sum_{n\le x} \chi(n)(n+\alpha)^{-s}F(n+\alpha) + E \tag{3}$$

where

$$|E| \le C_\epsilon x^{-\sigma+\epsilon}, \sigma \ge -K, \tag{4}$$

provided only that $x \ge x_0 = D_\epsilon(|t|+2)^{1+\epsilon}, D_\epsilon > 0$ *being a certain constant depending on* $K(>0)$ *and* ϵ *(and of course on constants depending upon the quasi L function). In* (4)$C_\epsilon > 0$ *depends only on* $\epsilon(>0)$ *and* K *which are arbitrary.*

REMARK 1. In (2) and (3) we have written $F(n+\alpha)$ for some convenience. Certainly we can write $F(n)$ instead.

REMARK 2. The proof that certain functions are entire (see [RB, KR]$_2$) is a special case of theorem 2 obtained by treating $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}(-1)^n \int_0^1 f'(n+x)dx$.

REMARK 3. Examples of $F(n)$ are $exp(\sqrt{\log n})$ and so on.

§2. **PROOF OF THEOREM 2.** We begin by stating Theorem 2 of [RB, KR]$_1$ as a lemma.

LEMMA. Let a and b integers with $a < b, k$ a non-negative integer and $f(x)$, a function of x which is $k-$times continuously differentiable in $a \le x \le b-1+k$. Then

$$\sum_{a\le n<b} f(n) = \int_a^b f(x)dx + \Big\{-\frac{1}{2}\int_0^1\int_0^1 (f(b+u_1\ \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f(a+u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}))du_1d\nu_1$$

$$+\frac{1}{2^2}\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1\int_0^1 (f'(b+u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+u_2\ \nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}}) - f'(a+u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+u_2\nu_2^{\frac{1}{2}}))du_1d\nu_1du_2d\nu_2$$

$$\ldots + \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{2^{k-1}}\int_0^1\ldots\int_0^1 (f^{(k-2)}(b+u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+\ldots+u_{k-1}\ \nu_{k-1}^{\frac{1}{2}})$$

$$-f^{(k-2)}(a+u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+\ldots+u_{k-1}\nu_{k-1}^{\frac{1}{2}}))du_1d\nu_1\ldots du_{k-1}d\nu_{k-1}\Big\}$$

$$+\frac{(-1)^k}{2^k}\int_0^1\ldots\int_0^1 \sum_{a\le n<b} f^{(k)}(n+u_1\ \nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+\ldots+u_k\ \nu_k^{\frac{1}{2}})du_1d\nu_1\ldots du_kd\nu_k.$$

REMARK 1. The terms in the curly brackets are absent if $k=1$.

REMARK 2. We have corrected the following. In Theorem 2 of [RB, KR]$_1$ $a < n \le b$ is not correct. It should read $a \le n < b$.

REMARK 3. Actually the proof of the lemma is simple and runs as follows.

$$\sum_{a\le n<b} f(n) = \sum_{a\le n<b} (f(n) - \int_n^{n+1} f(u)du) + \int_a^b f(u)du$$

and here the first term on the RHS is

$$\sum_{a\le n<b} \int_0^1 (f(n) - f(n+u))du = -\sum_{a\le n<b} \int_0^1 \int_0^u f'(n+v)dvdu$$
$$= -\sum_{a\le n<b} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 uf'(n+uv)dudv$$
$$= -\frac{1}{2}\sum_{a\le n<b} \int_0^1 \int_0^1 f'(n+uv^{\frac{1}{2}})dudv$$

(by a change of variable). This gives the case $k=1$ of the lemma. The lemma follows by a k-fold application of this case.

We now resume the proof of theorem 2. We define $\chi(0)$ to be $\chi(p)$ where p is the length of the period. Let X be any positive integer. We have

$$\sum_{n\ge Xp} \chi(n)(n+\alpha)^{-s}\ F(n+\alpha)$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \chi(\nu) \sum_{n\equiv\nu(mod\ p), n\ge Xp} (n+\alpha)^{-s}\ F(n+\alpha)$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \chi(\nu) \left\{ \sum_{n\equiv\nu(mod\ p), n\ge Xp} (n+\alpha)^{-s} F(n+\alpha) - \sum_{n\equiv 0(mod\ p), n\ge Xp} (n+\alpha)^{-s} F(n+\alpha) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \chi(\nu) \left\{ \sum_{lp+\nu\ge Xp} (lp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s} F(lp+\nu+\alpha) - \sum_{l\ge X} (lp+\alpha)^{-s} F(lp+\alpha) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \chi(\nu) \left\{ \sum_{l\ge X} (lp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s} F(lp+\nu+\alpha) - \sum_{l\ge X} (lp+\alpha)^{-s} F(lp+\alpha) \right\}$$
$$= \sum_{\nu=0}^{p-1} \chi(\nu) \left[\left\{ \int_X^\infty (xp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s} F(xp+\nu+\alpha)dx - \int_X^\infty (xp+\alpha)^{-s} F(xp+\alpha)dx \right\} \right.$$
$$+\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dx} \left((xp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s} F(xp+\nu+\alpha) - (xp+\alpha)^{-s} F(xp+\alpha) \right)_{x=X}$$
$$-\frac{1}{2^2}\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \left((xp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s}\ F(xp+\nu+\alpha) - (xp+\alpha)^{-s} F(xp+\alpha) \right)_{x=X}$$
$$+\dots$$

$$-\frac{(-1)^{k-2}}{2^{k-2}}\ \frac{d^{(k-2)}}{dx^{k-2}}\ \Big((xp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s}\ F(xp+\nu+\alpha)-(xp+\alpha)^{-s}F(xp+\alpha)\Big)_{x=X}$$

$$+\frac{(-)^k}{2^k}\ \int_0^1\dots\int_0^1\left\{\frac{d^k}{dx^k}\left(\sum_{n\geq 1}((X+x+n+\alpha+y+\nu)^{-s}F(X+x+n+\alpha+y+\nu))\right.\right.$$

$$\left.\left.-\sum_{n\geq 1}\Big(\Big(X+x+n+\alpha+y)^{-s}F(X+x+n+\alpha+y)\Big)\Big)\right\}_{x=0}\ du_1d\nu_1\dots du_kd\nu_k\Bigg] \qquad (5)$$

where $y=u_1\nu_1^{\frac{1}{2}}+\ldots+u_k\ \nu_k^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

This follows by choosing $f(x)$ (in the lemma) suitably and letting $b\to\infty$ (provided $\sigma\geq 2$). Thus we get analytic continuation in $\sigma>0$. By choosing $k=1,2,3,\ldots,[K]+10$ (successively) we see that $L(s,\chi)$ is entire (since K is arbitrary). We have

$$\int_X^\infty (xp+\nu+\alpha)^{-s}F(xp+\nu+\alpha)dx=\int_{Xp+\nu+\alpha}^\infty\ W^{-s}F(W)\ \frac{dW}{p}$$

(by putting $xp+\nu+\alpha=W$) and

$$\int_X^\infty (xp+\alpha)^{-s}\ F(xp+\alpha)dx=\int_{Xp+\alpha}^\infty\ W^{-s}F(W)\frac{dW}{p}.$$

Hence their difference is entire and is $O(X^{-\sigma+\epsilon})$.

In (5) all terms except the last are entire and are $O(X^{-\sigma+\epsilon})$. We give some details. For $k\geq l\geq 0$ and $x\geq A\geq 10$ and $\beta(>0)$ bounded above by B we have

$$\frac{d^l}{dx^l}\Big((x+\beta)^{-s}f(x)\Big)\ \Big(=O(x^{-\sigma+\epsilon})\text{ if }l=0\Big)$$

and if $l\geq 1$ it is

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O\Bigg(\frac{|s|(|s|+1)\dots(|s|+l-1)}{x^{\sigma+l}}|f(x)|+l\frac{|s|(|s|+1)\dots(|s|+l-2)}{x^{\sigma+l-1}}|f'(x)|\\
&+\ \frac{l(l-1)}{2!}\ \frac{|s|(|s|+1)\dots(|s|+l-3)}{x^{\sigma+l-2}}\ |f''(x)|+\ldots to\ l+1\text{ terms})\\
&= O\left(2^l(l+1)\ max_{1\leq j\leq l+1}\ \frac{|s|(s)+1)\dots(|s|+l-j)}{x^{\sigma+l-j+1}}\ |f^{(j-1)}(x)|\right)\\
&= O\left(2^l(l+1)\ max_{1\leq j\leq l+1}\ \left\{\frac{(|s|+l-j+1)^{l-j+1}}{x^{l-j+1}}\ x^{-\sigma}\ x^{-(j-1)+\epsilon}\right\}\right)\\
&= O\left(2^l(l+1)\ max_{1\leq j\leq l+1}\left\{\frac{(|\sigma|+|t|+k)^l}{x^l}\ x^{-\sigma+\epsilon}\right\}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

$$= \quad O(x^{-\sigma+\epsilon}) \text{ if } \quad x \geq 4(|\sigma| + |\mathrm{t}| + \mathrm{k}).$$

On the other hand the last but one inequality shows us that the last multiple integral in (5) is

$$\begin{aligned} & O \left(\sum_{n \geq 1} 2^k (k+1) \max_{1 \leq j \leq k+1} \left\{ \frac{(|\sigma| + |t| + k)^k}{(X+n)^k} \, (X+n)^{-\sigma+\epsilon} \right\} \right) \\ = \; & O \left(2^k (k+1) \left(\frac{|\sigma| + |t| + k}{X} \right)^k \, X^{-\sigma+\epsilon+1} \right) \\ = \; & O \left(X^{-\sigma+\epsilon} \right) \end{aligned}$$

provided $k + \sigma \geq 3$ and $X \geq (|\sigma| + |t| + k + 200)^{1+\epsilon}$ and also $k = \left[\frac{100}{\epsilon} \right]$. This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The authors are thankful to Professor ROGER HEATH-BROWN, FRS, for constant encouragement. They are thankful to Professor P.G.VAIDYA and an ananimous referee for their interest in this work. Finally they are thankful to Smt. J.N.SANDHYA for technical assistance.

REFERENCES

[RB, KR]$_1$, R.BALASUBRAMANIAN and K.RAMACHANDRA, *On an analytic continuation of* $\zeta(s)$, Indian J. of Pure and Appl. Math. 18(7)(1987), 790-793.

[RB, KR]$_2$, R.BALASUBRAMANIAN and K.RAMACHANDRA, *The proof that certain functions are entire*, Maths. Teacher (India), Vol. 21 nos. 3 and 4, (1985), p 7.

[ECT], E.C.TITCHMARSH, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1951), Second edition (revised and edited by D.R. HEATH-BROWN), Clarendon Press, Oxford (1986)).

Address of the Authors

1. R.Balasubramanian, Director (MATSCIENCE), Tharamani P.O., 600113, Chennai, Tamil Nadu, India **e-mail**: balu@imsc.ernet.in

2. K. RAMACHANDRA, Hon. Vis. Professor, NIAS, IISc Campus, Bangalore- 560012, India. Also K.Ramachandra, Retd. Sr. Professor, TIFR centre P.O. Box 1234, IISc Campus, Bangalore-560 012, India. **email:** kram@math.tifrbng.res.in

P.S. Sharper results are known for $\zeta(s)$ and $L(s,\chi)$ ($\chi - a$ character *mod* k). See the booklet 'RIEMANN ZETA-FUNCTION' published by Ramanujan Institute, Chennai (1979).