

## On a problem of Ivić.

K Ramachandra

### ▶ To cite this version:

K Ramachandra. On a problem of Ivić.. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 2000, Volume 23 - 2000 (2), pp.10-19. 10.46298/hrj.2000.142. hal-01109635

HAL Id: hal-01109635

https://hal.science/hal-01109635

Submitted on 26 Jan 2015

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal Vol.23 (2000) 10-19

### ON A PROBLEM OF IVIĆ

# BY K.RAMACHANDRA TO DR. A. SANKARANARAYANAN ON HIS FORTIETH BIRTHDAY

§1. INTRODUCTION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS. In [A.I] A.IVIC has proved the following inequality. Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be any constant and let  $\gamma$  run over the ordinates of all the zeros (counted with multiplicity) of the Riemann zeta-function in the critical strip. Then

$$\sum_{T \le \gamma \le 2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + i\gamma)|^2 \ll_{\varepsilon} T(\log T)^2 (\log \log T)^{\frac{3}{2} + \varepsilon}$$
(1.1)

where the implied constant depends only on  $\epsilon$ . Here  $T \geq T_0$ , a large positive constant.

In the present paper it is our object to improve this inequality in two ways. First we replace the LHS by a bigger quantity and at the same time replace the RHS by a smaller quantity namely  $T(\log T)^2$  log log T (where now the implied constant is absolute). Also we consider further generalisations and sketch their proof. In place of  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+i\gamma)|^2$  our key function (associated with arbitrary (but fixed) constants A>0 and B>0) is

$$M(\gamma) = \max |\zeta(s)|^2, \tag{1.2}$$

where the maximum is taken over all  $s(=\sigma+it)$  in the rectangle

$$\frac{1}{2} - A(\log T)^{-1} \le \sigma \le 2, \ |t - \gamma| \le B(\log \log T)(\log T)^{-1}.$$
 (1.3)

Plainly the investigations go through for  $L(s, \times)$  in place of  $\zeta(s)$ . Accordingly our Theorem is

**THEOREM 1.** We have, for every fixed  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(i) 
$$\sum_{\gamma \in I} M(\gamma) \ll_{\varepsilon} H(\log T)^{2} \log \log T$$

where  $I(\subset [T+1,2T-1])$  is any interval of length  $H(\geq T^{\frac{2}{3}+\epsilon})$  and  $T\geq T_0(\epsilon)$ .

$$(ii) \qquad \sum_{\gamma \in I} (M(\gamma))^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll_{\varepsilon} H(\log T)^{\frac{5}{4}} \log \log T$$

where  $I(\subset [T+1,2T-1])$  is any interval of length  $H(\geq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon})$  and  $T\geq T_0(\varepsilon)$ .

**REMARK.** In (ii) we can replace  $\frac{1}{2}$  by any constant k > 0 for which  $k^{-1}$  is an integer and correspondingly the number  $\frac{5}{4}$  will have to be replaced by  $1 + k^2$ . (Of course we should have  $H \ge T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ ). In this connection we state the following Theorem 2.

**THEOREM 2.** If k(>0) is any constant, then

$$\sum_{\gamma \in I} (M(\gamma))^k \ll H(\log T)^{1+k^2} \log \log T$$

where  $I(\subset [T+1,2T-1])$  is any interval of length H(>0) satisfying the following two Hypotheses.

HYPOTHESIS 1. We should have

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \ll (\log T)^{k^2}.$$

**HYPOTHESIS 2.** For some constant  $k^* > k$ , we should have

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^{2k^*} \ll (\log T)^C$$

where C(>0) is some constant depending on  $k^*$ .

**REMARKS.** If k=2 we do not know whether Hypothesis 2 holds or not. However it holds if k<2 (namely we can take  $k^*=2$  and C=4 and  $H\geq T^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon}$ ). Naturally we have to restrict to k<2. Even here we do not know the truth of Hypothesis 1 unless  $k^{-1}$  is an integer and  $H\geq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$ . (Of course if k=1 we can take things like  $H\geq T^{\frac{1}{3}+\varepsilon}$  but the corresponding Hypothesis 2 forces us to take  $H\geq T^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon}$ ). It should be remarked that our method is completely different from that of A.IVIĆ.

Next we state Theorem 3 which gives lower bounds for

$$\sum_{\gamma \in I} (M(\gamma))^k$$
.

**THEOREM 3.** We have, for any k > 0

$$\sum_{\gamma \in I} (M(\gamma))^k \gg \left( \int_I |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt \right) (\log T) (\log \log T)^{-1}$$

where  $I(\subset [T,2T])$  is any interval of length H satisfying

$$H \ge 4B(\log T)^{-1}\log\log T$$

provided the intervals

$$|t - \gamma| \le B(\log T)^{-1} \log \log T$$

associated with two successive  $\gamma$ 's have at least one point in common.

Since the proof of theorem 3 is very simple we give it here itself.

PROOF OF THEOREM 3. Contribution (to the integral on the right) from each interval

$$|t - \gamma| \le B(\log T)^{-1} \, \log \, \log T$$

is clearly  $\ll (M_0(\gamma))^k (\log T)^{-1} \log \log T$ , where  $M_0(\gamma)$  is the maximum of  $|\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^2$  in the interval. Also these intervals cover I completely so that each  $\gamma$  is counted in  $\sum_{\gamma \in I} (M_0(\gamma))^k$ . Clearly  $M_0(\gamma) \leq M(\gamma)$  and this completes the proof of Theorem 3.

Next we state a Conjecture.

CONJECTURE:  $\sum_{T < \gamma < 2T} (M(\gamma))^2 \ll T(\log T)^5 \log \log T$ .

FURTHER REMARKS. Lower bounds (and sometimes upper bounds) for

$$\int_{I} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2k} dt$$

have been studied first by K.RAMACHANDRA and then by D.R.HEATH-BROWN and then by M.JUTILA (for these results see the book [K.R]<sub>1</sub> by K.RAMACHANDRA and also the famous classic [E.C.T, D.R.H-B] by E.C.TITCHMARSH and D.R.HEATH-BROWN). There have been some further work on this integral by K.RAMACHANDRA [K.R]<sub>2</sub>. Another typical result of K.RAMACHANDRA [K.R]<sub>2</sub> is

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2}+it)|^{2\sqrt{2}} dt \gg (\log H)^{2} (\log \log H)^{-1},$$

where H exceeds some positive constant times  $\log \log T$ . In particular

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^{2\sqrt{2}} dt \gg (\log T)^{2} (\log \log T)^{-1}.$$

It seems very difficult to knock off the factor (log  $\log T$ )<sup>-1</sup>.

§2. NOTATION. We adopt standard notation. The Vinogradov symbols  $\ll$  and  $\gg$  mean "less than a positive constant times" and "greater than a positive constant times"

respectively. Some times we use  $\ll ...$  and  $\gg ...$  to denote that these constants depend on .... (for example  $\ll_{\varepsilon}$ ). We use the Landau symbod O(...) to denote "less than a positive constant times ...". In §3 the letters A, B, C, D, E, F and G will denote positive constants. A and B need not necessarily be the same as in §1. T will exceed a large positive constant.  $\varepsilon(>0)$  will be an arbitrary constant. Some times we write  $T_0(\varepsilon)$  to denote that the positive constant  $T_0$  depends on  $\varepsilon$ .

§3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1. We divide the proof into seven steps for convenience. To illustrate our method we take H = T - 2. The general case in (i) follows from the deep result

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)|^4 dt \ll_{\varepsilon} (\log T)^4$$

where  $T \ge H \ge T^{\frac{2}{3}+\varepsilon}$  due to N.ZAVOROTNYI [N.Z] (see also [D.R.H-B], [H.I], [M.J] and [Y.M] for the history to date) and (ii) from the crucial result

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt \ll_{\varepsilon} (\log T)^{\frac{1}{4}}$$

where  $T \geq H \geq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon}$  due to K.RAMACHANDRA (see (4.3.2) of  $[K.R.]_1$ ).

STEP I. Consider the various rectangles

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{D\log\log T}{\log T} \le \sigma \le 2\right] \times \left[T + (\log T)^E \le t \le T + 2(\log T)^E, T + 2(\log T)^E \le t \le T + 3(\log T)^E, T + 2(\log T)^E \le T \le T + 3(\log T)^E\right]$$

where the right extremity of the last t-interval does not exceed  $2T - (\log T)^E$ . Consider the maximum

$$\max |\zeta(s)|^4$$

over a typical rectangle. We will prove that

$$\sum \max |\zeta(s)|^4 \ll T(\log T)^{6+8D}. \tag{3.1}$$

(We can improve RHS to  $T(\log T)^{5+8D}(\log \log T)^{-2}$ ; but we do not need this). Let  $s_1, s_2 \dots$  denote points where the maxima are attained. Then the required quantity is (see Theorem (1.7.1) of [K.R]<sub>1</sub>)

$$\leq |\zeta(s_1)|^4 + |\zeta(s_2)|^4 + \ldots \leq \left(\frac{\log T}{D \log \log T}\right)^2 \pi^{-1} \left\{ \int_{D_1} \int |\zeta(s)|^4 da + \int_{D_2} \int |\zeta(s)|^4 da + \ldots \right\}$$

(where  $D_j$  is the disc of radius  $D(\log \log T)(\log T)^{-1}$  with centre  $s_j$  and da the element of area)

$$\leq 10\pi^{-1}(\log T)^2(D\log\log T)^{-2}\int\int|\zeta(s)|^4d\sigma dt$$

where the last integration is over the rectangle

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{2D \log \log T}{\log T} \le \sigma \le 3\right] \times \left[T \le t \le 2T\right].$$

The last integral is easily seen to be  $\ll T(\log T)^{4+8D}$ 

STEP II. We now record a Corollary to step I. We have

$$\max |\zeta(s)| \le (\log T)^C$$

for all rectangles except N of them where

$$N(\log T)^{4C} \ll T(\log T)^{6+8D}$$

and so

$$N \ll T(\log T)^{6-4C+8D}. (3.2)$$

STEP III. We now write

$$M(\gamma) = \max |\zeta(s)|^2$$

where the maximum is taken over the rectangle

$$\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{\log T} \le \sigma \le 2\right] \times \left[|t - \gamma| \le \frac{B \log \log T}{\log T}\right].$$

Let the asterisk denote the sum over those  $\gamma$  for which  $M(\gamma) \leq (\log T)^{-F}$ . Then

$$\sum_{T+1 \le \gamma \le 2T-1}^{*} (M(\gamma)) \ll T(\log T)^{1-F}$$
 (3.3)

since the total number of  $\gamma$ 's is  $\ll T \log T$  (we may fix F to be 2).

STEP IV. Denote the rectangle in step III by  $R(\gamma)$  and by  $s_{\gamma}$  the point at which  $|\zeta(s)|$  attains its maximum in  $R(\gamma)$ . Consider those  $\gamma$ 's for which  $M(\gamma) > (\log T)^{-F}$ . Then the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in the disc with centre  $s_{\gamma}$  and radius  $G(\log \log T)(\log T)^{-1}$  is  $O(\log \log T)$  uniformly provided that this disc is inside any of the rectangles of step II. (Note that the number of exceptional rectangles is  $N \leq T(\log T)^{6-4C+8D}$ ). This follows by Jensen's Theorem (see page 150 of [K.R]<sub>1</sub>).

**STEP V.** Now consider all the  $M(\gamma)$  with  $T+1 \le \gamma \le 2T-1$ . We claim

$$\sum M(\gamma) \ll T(\log T)^2 \log \log T. \tag{3.4}$$

(this proves part (i) of Theorem 1). To see this we split up the sum on the LHS into  $\sum_1 + \sum_2 + \sum_3$ , where  $\sum_3$  is the sum in (3.3) and  $\sum_2$  is over all  $\gamma$  lying in all the exceptional N rectangles occurring in step II. We have

$$\sum_{2} \leq (\sum (M(\gamma))^{2})^{\frac{1}{2}} N^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

Note that  $\sum (M(\gamma))^2 \ll T(\log T)^{6+8D+E+40} \leq T(\log T)^{50+8D+E}$  since each of the  $M(\gamma)$ 's may be replaced by the maxima in step I. Also

$$N \ll T (\log T)^{6-4C+8D}.$$

Thus

$$\sum_{2} \ll T(\log T)^{25+4D+E+3-2C+4D} \le T(\log T)^{-1}$$

if C = 4D + E + 20.

We now look at the sum  $\Sigma_1$ . It consists of those  $\gamma$ 's for which

$$(\log T)^{-F} \le M(\gamma) \le (\log T)^C \tag{3.5}$$

and so by step IV the number of zeros of  $\zeta(s)$  in

$$|s_{\gamma} - s| \le G(\log \log T)(\log T)^{-1}$$
 is  $O(\log \log T)$ .

Here G is any constant such that this disc lies in

$$\left\lceil \frac{1}{2} - \frac{D \log \log T}{\log T} \le \sigma \le 3 \right\rceil \times J$$

where J is any of the non exceptional intervals of step II. This is so if D = 10G.

STEP VI. We are now in a position to complete the estimation of  $\sum_1$ . Divide the  $\sigma$ -range  $\left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{\log T} \le \sigma \le 2\right]$  into intervals

$$I_0 = \left[ \frac{1}{2} - \frac{A}{\log T} \le \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{\log T} \right],$$

$$I_1 = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{A}{\log T} \le \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2A}{\log T}\right],$$

$$I_2 = \left[ rac{1}{2} + rac{2A}{\log T} \le \sigma \le rac{1}{2} + rac{3A}{\log T} 
ight], \ldots$$

$$I_n = \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{nA}{\log T} \le \sigma \le \frac{1}{2} + \frac{(n+1)A}{\log T}\right], \dots$$

the last interval projecting a little beyond 2. First consider  $I_0$ . Look at the  $s_{\gamma}$  of the sum  $\sum_1$  for which  $Re\ s_{\gamma} \epsilon I_0$ . We have (see page 34 of  $[K.R]_1$ )

$$|\zeta(s_{\gamma})|^{2} \leq (\pi R_{0}^{2})^{-1} \int \int_{|s-s_{\gamma}| \leq R_{0}} |\zeta(s)|^{2} da$$

where da is the element of area and  $R_0 > 0$ . Choose  $R_0 = \frac{20A}{\log T}$ . We find

$$\sum_{\gamma,R \in \ s_{\gamma} \in I_0} |\zeta(s_{\gamma})|^2 \ll (\log \log T) (\log T)^2 \int \int_{T \leq t \leq 2T, |\sigma - \frac{1}{2}| \leq \frac{100A}{\log T}} |\zeta(s)|^2 d\sigma dt$$

 $\ll (\log \log T)\log T)^2 (T\log T)(\log T)^{-1}$ 

$$= T(\log T)^2 \log \log T$$

Now for any fixed n(n = 1, 2, 3, ...) consider the interval  $I_n$  for  $Re\ s_{\gamma}$ . We have (see page 34 of  $[K.R]_1$ )

$$|\zeta(s_{\gamma})|^{2} \leq (\pi R_{n}^{2})^{-1} \int \int_{|s-s_{\gamma}| \leq R_{n}} |\zeta(s)|^{2} da$$

where da is the element of area and  $R_n > 0$ . We choose  $R_n = \frac{nA}{2\log T}$ . We find

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\gamma, Re \ s_{\gamma} \in I_{n}} |\zeta(s_{\gamma})|^{2} \\ \ll (\log \log T)(n^{-1} \log T)^{2} \int \int_{T \leq t \leq 2T, \frac{1}{2} + \frac{vA}{2\log T} \leq \sigma \leq \frac{1}{2} + \frac{2vA}{\log T}} |\zeta(s)|^{2} \ d\sigma dt \\ \ll (\log \log T)(\log T)^{2} n^{-2} \int_{\sigma \in I_{n}} (T \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} m^{-2\sigma}) d\sigma \\ \ll T(\log \log T)(\log T)^{2} n^{-2} (n^{-1} \log T) n (\log T)^{-1} \\ \ll T(\log \log T)(\log T)^{2} n^{-2}. \end{split}$$

Summing up over  $n = 1, 2, ..., [\lambda \log T]$ , where  $\lambda > 0$  is a suitable constant (and square bracket denotes the integer part), we have

$$\sum_{1} \ll T(\log T)^2(\log \log T).$$

This proves part (i) of Theorem 1 in the case H = T - 2, (the case  $H \ge T^{\frac{2}{3} + \varepsilon}$  is similar).

STEP VII. To prove part (ii) we have to use

$$T^{-1} \int_{T}^{2T} |\zeta(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt \ll (\log T)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.6}$$

From (3.6) the following two Corollaries can be drawn (by the use of convexity principles (see  $[K.R]_2$ )).

**COROLLARY 1.** For  $|\sigma - \frac{1}{2}| \ll (\log T)^{-1}$ , we have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt \ll (\log T)^{\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.7}$$

**COROLLARY 2.** For  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \lambda$  with  $0 < \lambda \le 2, \lambda \gg (\log T)^{-1}$ , We have

$$\frac{1}{T} \int_{T}^{2T} |\zeta(\sigma + it)| dt \ll \lambda^{-\frac{1}{4}}. \tag{3.8}$$

The rest of the proof of part (ii) of Theorem 1 is similar to that of part(i). (In this case also the proof with  $H \geq T^{\frac{1}{2}+\varepsilon}$  is similar).

§4. PROOF OF THEOREM 2. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 1 (part (i)). We have simply to note that Hypothesis 1 implies (by convexity principles refered to already) the following two Corollaries.

**COROLLARY 1.** For  $|\sigma - \frac{1}{2}| \ll (\log T)^{-1}$ , we have,

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^{2k} dt \ll (\log T)^{k^2}. \tag{4.1}$$

**COROLLARY 2.** For  $\sigma = \frac{1}{2} + \lambda$ , with  $0 < \lambda \le 2$  and  $\lambda \gg (\log T)^{-1}$ , we have,

$$\frac{1}{H} \int_{T}^{T+H} |\zeta(\sigma + it)|^{2k} dt \ll \lambda^{-k^2}. \tag{4.2}$$

This completes the proof of all our assertions.

§5.REMARK. We have not computed the constants in Theorems 1 and 2. We will take it up on a different occasion if there are some important applications.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS: I am thankful to Professor Roger Heath-Brown for encouragement.

#### REFERENCES

- [D.R.H-B], D.R.Heath-Brown, The fourth power moment of the Riemann zeta-function, Proc. London, Math. Soc., (3) 38(1979), 385-422.
- [A.I]. A.IVIĆ, On certain sums over ordinates of zeta-zeros, (preprint).
- [H.I], H.IWANIEC, Fourier coefficients of cusp forms and the Riemann zeta-function, Expose No. 18, Semin. Theor. Nombres, Universite Bordeaux (1979/80).
- [M.J], M.JUTILA, The fourth power moment of the Riemann zeta-function over a short interval, "Coll. Math. Soc. J.Bolyai 54, Number Theory, Budapest (1987) North-Holland, Amsterdam (1989), 221-244.
- [Y.M.], Y.MOTOHASHI, An explicit formula for the fourth power mean of the Riemann zeta-function, Acta Math., 170(1993), 180-220.
- [K.R]<sub>1</sub>, K.RAMACHANDRA, On the mean value and Omega-theorems for the Riemann zeta-function, T.I.F.R. Lecture notes no. 85, Springer (1995).
- [K.R]<sub>2</sub>, K.RAMACHANDRA, Fractional moments of the Riemann zeta-function, Acta Arith., LXXVII. 3 (1997), 255-265.
- [K.R]<sub>3</sub>, K.RAMACHANDRA, Mean-value of the Riemann zeta-function and other remarks-III, Hardy-Ramanujan J., Vol 6 (1983), 1-21.
- [E.C.T, D.R.H-B], E.C.TITCHAMARSH, The theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Second edition (revised and edited by D.R.HEATH-BROWN), Clarendon press, Oxford (1986).
- [N.Z], N.ZAVOROTNYI, On the fourth moment of the Riemann zeta-function (Russian), Preprint, Vladivostok, Far Eastern Research Centre of the Academy of Sciences U.S.S.R., (1986). Published in Sci.Coll.Works, Vladivostok, (1989), 69-125.

### Author's addresses

K. Ramachandra Hon. Vis. Professor Nat. Inst. of Adv. Studies I. I. Sc. Campus Bangalore-560012 India

K. Ramachandra Retd. Professor TIFR Centre P.O.Box 1234 I. I. Sc. Campus Bangalore-560012 India

e-mail: kram@math.tifrbng.res.in

MANUSCRIPT COMPLETED ON 13-02-2001.