

On generalised Carmichael numbers.

L Halbeisen, N Hungerbühler

To cite this version:

L Halbeisen, N Hungerbühler. On generalised Carmichael numbers.. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1999, Volume 22 - 1999 (2), pp.8-22. 10.46298/hrj.1999.138. hal-01109575

HAL Id: hal-01109575 <https://hal.science/hal-01109575v1>

Submitted on 26 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON GENERALIZED CARMICHAEL NUMBERS

BY

Lorenz Halbeisen and Norbert Hungerbuhler

ABSTRACT. For arbitrary integers $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we investigate the set C_k of the generalized Carmichael numbers, i.e. the natural numbers $n > \max\{1, 1-k\}$ such that the equation $a^{n+k} \equiv a \mod n$ holds for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$. We give a characterization of these generalized Carmichael numbers and discuss several special cases. In particular, we prove that C_1 is nime and that C_k is innifie, whenever $1 - k > 1$ is square-free. We also discuss generalized Carmichael numbers which have one or two prime factors. Finally, we consider the Jeans numbers, i.e. the set of odd numbers n which satisfy the equation $a^n \equiv a \mod n$ only for $a = 2$, and the corresponding generalizations. We give a stochastic argument which supports the conjecture that infinitely many Jeans numbers exist which are squares.

$\mathbf{1}$ introduction-background-background-background-background-

on october th-exist wrote in a letter to Bernard French wrote in a letter to Besser to Bernard French that the If p is a prime number, then p divides $a_r = -1$ for all integers a not divisible by p, a result now known as "Fermat's Little Theorem". An equivalent formulation is the assertion that p divides $a_r - p$ for all integers a , whenever p is prime. Fermats remark to Frenicle proved one half of what has been called the Chinese hypothesis which dates from about 2000 years earlier), that an integer n is prime if and only if $2^{n}-2$ is divisible by n . The other half of this is false, since, for example, $2^{34} - 2$ is divisible by $341 = 11 \times 31$ (and this is the smallest counter example as noted by J H Jeans in the question arose whether the group of the question arose whether the group of t all integers n satisfying the stronger requirement of the Fermat congruence- namely

$$
a^n \equiv a \mod n \qquad \text{for all integers } a,\tag{1}
$$

are prime (this rules out at least the example $n = 341$, since $3^{3+1} \equiv 168$ mod **However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-However-Ho** also this implication turned out to be false This was noticed by R D Carmichael in who pointed out in [Ca1910] that $561 = 3 \times 11 \times 17$ divides $a^{301} - a$ for all integers a. In alter had noted in the could easily test for such integers by the could easily test for such a such integers by using (what is now called)

Korselt's Criterion: n divides $a^u - a$ for all numbers $a \in \mathbb{N}$, if and only if n is square-free and $p - 1$ divides $n - 1$ for all primes p dividing n.

In a series of papers around - Carmichael began an indepth study of compos ite numbers with this property- which have become known as Carmichael numbers In
Ca - Carmichael exhibited an algorithm to construct such numbers and stated- per matrix somewhat wished the this list of Carmichael numbers \mathbf{r}_i , with \mathbf{r}_i and \mathbf{r}_i and tended the this conjecture remained open during almost the whole century until it who conjecture to was positively proved in the contract of the c

Received on 04-01-2000

¹⁹⁹¹ MIWOHODIOWOOD SWOJOOO CAWOOOJOOWOOD AAIISA IIIIOT IIITAC

The first author wishes to thank the Swiss National Science Foundation for supporting him.

 † J. H. Jeans states in [Je1898]: "A paper fond among those of the late Sir Thomas Wade, and dating from the time of Confucius, contains the theorem, that 2 " $^+ - 1 \equiv 0 \mod n$ when n is prime, and also states that it does not hold if n is not a prime." Other sources impute this statement to an erroneous translation and point out that the ancient Chinese did never even formulate the concept of prime numbers (see [Ri1989]).

The other line we want to follow in this exposition is this For addition- multiplication and exponentiation, we have the well known reduction formulas^t:

$$
a + b \equiv \text{Mod}(a, n) + \text{Mod}(b, n) \mod n \tag{2}
$$

$$
a \cdot b \equiv \text{Mod}(a, n) \cdot \text{Mod}(b, n) \mod n \tag{3}
$$

$$
a^b \equiv \text{Mod}(a, n)^b \mod n \tag{4}
$$

It is much more difficult to find reduction formulas which allow to reduce the *exponent*. Of course in general

$$
a^b \not\equiv a^{\text{Mod}(b,n)} \mod n. \tag{5}
$$

It is easy to see as y that the numbers n formula \mathbf{h} is the reduction formula \mathbf{h} precisely the numbers n for which

$$
a^{n+1} \equiv a \mod n \qquad \text{for all integers } a. \tag{6}
$$

The set of numbers with the property (6) is surprisingly short (compared to the infinite list of the Carmichael numbers) and will be determined below.

 \mathcal{L} . The following generalization of the Carmichael numbers emergeneralization of the Carmichael numbers emerges emerges and very naturally

$$
C_k := \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \min\{n, n+k\} > 1 \text{ and } a^{n+k} \equiv a \mod n \text{ for all } a \in \mathbb{N} \}
$$

sol into cridinal carrieries to the original with all primes form the set C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-C-Cnumbers with the reduction property (6) are the numbers in C_1 .

It will turn out that it is useful to consider the following function[§] defined for natural numbers $n > 1$:

$$
g(n) := \begin{cases} \text{lcm}\{p-1 : p \text{ prime and } p \mid n\} & \text{if } n \text{ is square free} \\ \infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
$$

For $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}$ we say that $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is an ℓ -number, if $g(n) \mid n + \ell$ and $n > \max\{1, -\ell\}$.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we give a characterization of the generalized Carmichael numbers and establish contact with the function g . In Section 3 we discuss several special cases and prove in particular-box in particular-box in particularinning whenever $1 = w \geq 1$ is square-free. The Section 4 we discuss generalized Carmichael numbers which have one or two prime factors Finally-Industrial prime factors Finally-Industrial prime factors F numbers, i.e. the set of odd numbers n which satisfy the equation $a^n \equiv a \mod n$ only for a - \sim - and the corresponding generalizations we give a stochastic argument which supports \sim the conjecture that infinitely many Jeans numbers exist which are squares.

[‡]Here, by Mod (a, n) we mean the uniquely determined number $r \in \{1, ..., n\}$ such that $a = kn + r$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

³lcm denotes the least common multiple, and we write $a | b$ for $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\frac{a}{a} \in \mathbb{Z}$.

$\overline{2}$ Korselt's Criterion Generalized

korselts originale criterizes (set Moodlesse e) characterizes the set California the set Carmichael and primer numbers It turns out that a properly generalized version of Korselts criterion- as stated in The generalized carrier control Ω and Ω are generalized carrier control numbers Γ must control numbers Γ

Theorem 2.1 Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be any fixed integer. Then the natural number n belongs to C_k if and only if (α) $n > \max\{1, 1 - k\},\$ n is so and in the state of the s $\left(\gamma\right)$ $p-1 \mid n+k-1$ for all primes p dividing n.

It is sometimes useful to replace condition- by an equivalent condition- by an equivalent condition- \mathcal{U}

Lemma 2.2 For all fixed $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the following conditions are equivalent: $\begin{array}{c}\n(\gamma) \ p - 1 \mid n + k - 1 \ \textit{for all primes } p \ \textit{dividing } n. \\
(\gamma') \ p - 1 \mid \frac{n}{p} + k - 1 \ \textit{for all primes } p \ \textit{dividing } n.\n\end{array}$

Proof. Let p be a prime dividing n and let $m = \frac{n}{n}$. Now we get $p - 1 \mid mp + k - 1 \iff$ produced a contract of the con $p-1 \mid (pm+p(k-1)-(p-1)(k-1)) \iff p-1 \mid pm+p(k-1)$ and because p is prime, this is equivalent to $p-1 \mid m+k-1$. <u>, and the contract of the con</u>

So- our second formulation of the generalized Korselt criterion reads as follows

Theorem 2.3 Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ be any fixed integer. Then the natural number n belongs to C_k if and only if (α) $n > \max\{1, 1 - k\},\$ $\left(\gamma^{j}\right)$ $p-1$ $\left(\frac{n}{p}+k-1\right)$ for all primes p dividing n.

The proof of the Theorems 2.1 and 2.3—which are equivalent by Lemma 2.2—is given in the following lemmas. First, we address the implication which assumes $n \in \mathrm{C}_k$. (α) follows directly from the definition of C_k . (β) is proven in the next lemma:

Lemma 2.4 If $n \in C_k$, then n is square-free.

Proof. Assume the contrary, then there exists an $n \in C_k$ and a prime p such that $p^2 \mid n$ and for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $a^{n+\kappa} \equiv a \mod n$. Notice that $n \in \mathbb{C}_k$ implies $n + k > 1$. Now, for $a = p$ we get $p^{n+1} - p = p(p^{n+1} - 1)$ which implies that $p^{n} + p^{n+1} - p$ and therefore, $n \nmid p^{n-n} - p$, which is a contradiction to the requirement that for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ there holds $n \mid a^{n+k} - a.$ and the contract of the contr

To prepare the proof of \mathcal{L}_1 , the following lemma is the following lemma is

Lemma 2.5 If p is prime and $0 < r < p-1$, then there is an $a \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ such that $a^r \not\equiv 1$ mod p

Proof. Assume not, then the polynomial $x(x'-1) = x'$ $\rightarrow x \equiv 0 \mod p$ (for all x) is a non-trivial normed null-polynomial of degree less than p . But this is a contradiction to \mathbf{H} polynomial modulo provide a sequence of p-modulo p-modulo p-modulo p-modulo p-modulo p-modulo p-modulo p-modul

q-e-d-

Now- is proven in the next lemma

Lemma 2.6 If $n \in \mathbb{C}_k$, then $p-1 \mid n+k-1$ for all primes p dividing n.

Proof. Assume there exists an $n \in C_k$ for which we find a prime p dividing n such that $p-1 \nmid n+k-1$. Then it follows that $n \geq 3$ and that for a natural number r with $0 < r < p-1$ we have $n + k - 1 = (p - 1)h + r$ for an $h \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $a \not\equiv 0 \mod p$ be such that $a^r \not\equiv 1$ mod p (see Lemma Z.5). So, by Fermats Little Theorem (since p is prime and (a, p) = 1), we get $a^{n+k} = (a^{p-1})^h \cdot a^r \cdot a \equiv a^{r+1} \not\equiv a \mod p$. Hence, $n \nmid a^{n+k} - a$ which contradicts $n \in \mathrm{C}_k$ q-

Now- we prove the opposite implication in Theorem First- we state the following lemma

Lemma 2.7 Let $k, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and p a prime dividing n such that $p-1 \mid n+k-1$. Then, for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ with $(a, p) = 1$, we have $a^{n+n} \equiv a \mod p$.

Proof. By the premise we have $n + \kappa = (p - 1)n + 1$ for some h and therefore, $a^{n+\kappa} =$ $(a^{p-1})^n \cdot a \equiv a \mod p$ (by Fermat's Little Theorem). $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{q}.$

 \blacksquare . The state norder to conclude the state number of the state number of the state \blacksquare $n \in \mathcal{C}_k$, which is equivalent to $a^{n+\kappa} \equiv a \mod n$ (for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$), it suffices to show that for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all primes p dividing n we have $a^{n+n} \equiv a \mod p$. If $(a, p) = 1$, the congruence follows from Lemma - and otherwise it is trivial This completes the proof of the generalized version of Korselt's criterion.

For completeness- let us state the following lemma

Lemma 2.8 If $n \in \mathbb{C}_k$ and $(a, n) = 1$, then $a^{n+n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that the residues which are relatively prime to *n* are a multiplicative group modulo *n*.

Now- we formulate the connection between the generalized Carmichael numbers and the function g introduced at the end of Section 1.

 $\lceil a, b \rceil$ denotes the greatest common divisor of the natural numbers a and $b.$

The number of the number to construct the set of an international control in the construction of the set of th

Proof. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $n > \max\{1, -\ell\}$ be given. Since the statement of the theorem is trivial if a contains a square-iff is specificated and it same that n is spunt to the co-cording to Theorem It is the we simply have to check that $g(n) \mid n + \ell$ if and only if $p - 1 \mid n + \ell$ for all prime divisors p of n. But this follows directly from the definition of the function g .

The corresponding in particular the question to \mathbb{R} and \mathbb{R} given number numbe mannery precisely to an \cup_k with $\kappa = 1 - n + m \cdot g(n)$, where $m = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$ we should also remark explicitly that g can alternatively be defined by

 $g(n) = \min\{m > 1 : a^m = a \mod n \text{ for all } a\} - 1.$

3 Special Cases

In this section we consider the set C_k for certain k's.

3.1 The case $k=0$

Since, by Fermat's Little Theorem, for every prime p we have $a^p \equiv a \mod p$ (for all $a \in \mathbb{N}$), every prime belongs to C In the set C contains also in the set C in the set C contains also interested in μ many composite numbers (the Carmichael numbers).

3.2 The case $k=1$

It is shown in [HHL1999] that $\mathrm{C}_1 = \{2, 6, 42, 1806\}$. Here, we give a new and simpler proof of this result by using the generalized Korselt criterion for C_1 .

Theorem 3.1 $C_1 = \{2, 6, 42, 1806\}.$

 P rand member that each member of C is so P is so P is so P is so P is so $C-1$ is a prime p belongs to $C-1$ then by Theorem 2.1 we have $p-1 \mid p$, which implies $p = 2$. Hence, 2 is the only prime belonging to C_1 . If $p < q$ are two primes and $pq \in C_1$, then we get by Theorem 2.3 that $p-1 \mid q$ and $q-1 \mid p$. By $p-1 \mid q$ we get $p-1=1$ or $p=q+1$, but we assumed $p < q$, so $p = 2$; and by $q - 1 \mid 2$ we must have $q = 3$. Hence, 6 is the only member of C_1 which is a product of two primes. Now assume $p < q < r$ are three primes and $pqr \in C_1$. Because $p-1 \mid qr$ and both q and r are greater than p we get $p = 2$. By $q-1 \mid 2r$ we get, since $q < r, q = 3$. Finally, by $r - 1 \mid 6$ and because $r > 3$ we get $r = 7$. Hence, 42 is the only member of C which is a product of three product of three primes Assume now primes Assume now p is a reduction o primes such that $pqrs \in C_1$. By $p-1 | qrs$ we get again $p = 2$, by $q-1 | 2rs$ we get $q = 3$, by $r-1 \mid 6s$ we get $r=7$ and by $s-1 \mid 42$ we get $s=43$. Hence, 1806 is the only member of C which is a product of four primes For ver of the four primes part we get we get we get again $p = 2, q = 3, r = 7$ and $s = 43$. Thus, $t > 43$ and $t - 1 | 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 7 \cdot 43$, which implies

 $t \in \{87, 259, 603, 1807\}$, and therefore, t is not prime. This shows that there is no number in C which is a product of version is a product of version primes and α and α

Remark In AGP - page it is claimed that for b and b and for any xed nonzero integer a- there are innitely many squarefree- composite integers n such that $p - a \mid n - b$ for all primes p dividing n. However, as we have seen in Theorem 3.1, for $a = 1$ and $b = 0$ there are only finitely many such numbers. For b other than 0 or 1 the corresponding statement is open. Below we prove at least for $a = 1$ and several special b that there is the interest of the composite- $\frac{1}{2}$, $\frac{1}{2}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ are the corresponding the corresponding the corresponding to the corresponding the corresponding to the corresponding to the corresponding to property

$3.3\,$ The case ^k - squarefree

THEOREM 0.4 If $1 - \kappa > 1$ is square-free, then \cup_k is an infinite set. In particular

- (u) for each prime number $n + 1 = n$ of the form $n 1 \pm s$ $q+1 = n$ for s
- (b) for each composite number $n \in C_0$ with $g(1-k) | g(n)$ and $(1-k, n) = 1$,

the number $n(1 - \kappa)$ belongs to \cup_k .

Before we start with the proof of Theorem - we note the following property of the function g which follows in the interest denition μ from its denition μ is denoted that μ is a complete that μ

$$
g(mn) = \frac{g(m)g(n)}{(g(m), g(n))}
$$
\n⁽⁷⁾

whenever m and n are square-free numbers with $(m, n) = 1$. I floop. Let $m = 1 = k \geq 1$ be a square number more and a prime number of the form number of the form number of the form η (i.e., η (according to Dirichlet's result from 1857", there exist infinitely many such numbers n) or, more generally, let $n \in C_0$ be such that $g(m) | g(n)$ and $(m,n) = 1$. Then we have and the group of α is the contract of α and α and α and α and α

$$
g(mn) = g(n) | m(n-1).
$$

In view of Theorem In view of Th

Remark: We call the numbers $n(1 - k) \in C_k$ with n prime, which we found in Theorem - primitive Thus- these innitely many primitive numbers correspond to case a in theorem it are are guestions when the contracts in change there are arrived the second there are other are oth members of case if case booking if case if \mathcal{C} and controlly does occurrent if \mathcal{C}

From Theorem 3.2(a) we immediately obtain for $k = -1$, that $2p \in C_{-1}$ (where p is any odd primer, we can prove that we can prove the primitive numbers of proven the proven the proven the prove that α primes in the are in the continuum of α are interesting in Continuum of α and α

Proposition 3.3 If $n \in \mathbb{C}_0$ is odd, then $2n \in \mathbb{C}_{-1}$. In particular, there are infinitely many non-primitive elements in C_{-1} .

[&]quot; I nere are innifiely many primes in any arithmetic progression $b, b + a, b + 2a, \ldots$, where $(b, a) = 1$

Proof. If $n > 2$ is prime, then $2n \in C_{-1}$ by Theorem 3.2(a). If $n \in C_0$ is a composite if number-if not other words-innitely many care in the innitely many contents and hence the problem and hence t odd - then n C by Theorem b q-

From Theorem 3.2(a) we obtain for $k = -2$, that $3p \in C_{-1}$ (for any prime $p > 3$). \mathcal{A} . The following slightly stronger results the following slightly stronger results the following slightly stronger results to the following stronger results to the following stronger results to the following stron

Proposition 3.4 If $3 < n \in \mathbb{C}_0$, n not a multiple of 3, then $3n \in \mathbb{C}_{-2}$.

Proof. If $n > 3$ is prime, then $3n \in C_{-2}$ by Theorem 3.2(a). If $3 < n \in C_0$ is a composite number and not a multiple of 3, then $3n \in C_{-2}$ by Theorem 3.2(b). $\qquad \qquad \mathbf{q.}$

 β imilarly, for $\kappa = -\beta$, we obtain from Theorem β .

Proposition 3.5 If $n \in C_0$ is neither divisible by 2 nor by 3, then $6n \in C_{-5}$.

The cases $\kappa = -0, -12, -10, -00$ allow us to make contact to a construction for Carmichael numbers which goes back to Chernick He observed in
Ch that if the num bers p m-and r m-and r and r m-and r m \min . The first values for m such that the corresponding triplet consists of primes are m - - - - - It is with the there exist in the there exist in the there is a second there exist in the th ues of m with this property (usually it is accredited to an extended Hardy-Littlewood prime ktuple conjecture For these special Carmichael numbers- we have the following

hence $n = pqr \in C_0$, then $7n \in C_{-6}$ if $m > 1$, $13n \in C_{-12}$ if $m > 2$, $19n \in C_{-18}$ if $m > 3$, and $37n \in C_{-36}$ if $m > 6$.

Proof Again-Proof Assessment follows follow easily followed the complete of the given the control of the complete q-e-d-

Remark: It, in Proposition 3.6, $m > 5$ is a multiple of 5, then $31n \in \mathbb{C}_{-30}$.

The condition b in Theorem is certainly not optimal For illustration- consider the case $\kappa = -\nu$. Here we have the following

Proposition 3.7 If $n \in \mathbb{C}_0$ is neither divisible by 2 nor by 5, then $10n \in \mathbb{C}_{-9}$.

Proof. We have, according to (7), that $g(10n) | 2g(n)$ and since $g(n) | n-1, 2g(n) | 10(n-1)$. q-e-d-

A similar example is the case $k = -20$. Here we have the following

Proposition 3.8 If $n \in C_0$ is neither divisible by 2, nor by 3, nor by 7, then $21n \in C_{-20}$.

Proof. We have $g(21n) | 3g(n)$ and since $g(n) | n - 1, 3g(n) | 21(n - 1)$. q.e.c.

 $*$ Actually. Chernick's theorem is more general and the special case that we mention here follows immediately from Korselt's criterion.

Short products in C_k $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$

In this section, we investigate numbers $n \in \mathrm{C}_k$ which are the product of few (distinct) primes. To warm up, we consider the case of one prime factor $n = p \in C_k$. A necessary condition is that $p-1 \mid p+k-1$. Hence, $\alpha(p-1)=p+k-1$ for some $\alpha=1,2,3,\ldots$. In case $\alpha=1$, we conclude $k=0$, and we know, that C_0 contains all prime numbers. If $\alpha \neq 1$, we can solve for p and obtain $p = 1 + \frac{k}{\alpha - 1}$. If $k \ge 1$, this is maximal for $\alpha = 2$ and hence, we have

Proposition 4.1 If $k \geq 1$ and $p \in C_k$ is prime, then $p \leq 1 + k$. In particular, C_k contains only finitely many prime numbers.

For $k \leq -1$ we obtain

Proposition 4.2 C_k does not contain prime numbers for $k \leq -1$.

No we consider $n \in \mathbb{C}_k$ which are the product of two (distinct) primes, say $2 \leq p < q$. From the generalized Korselt criterion (Theorem 2.3) we infer that

$$
\begin{array}{c|cccc}\np-1 & & q+k-1 \\
q-1 & & p+k-1,\n\end{array}
$$

and hence,

$$
\begin{array}{rcl}\n\alpha(p-1) & = & q+k-1 \\
\beta(q-1) & = & p+k-1\n\end{array} \tag{8}
$$

for some $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us first discuss the case $\alpha\beta=1$:

- it follows that it follows that the subsequently we are the contract of α in the subsequently we are the subsequently well as a subsequently well as a subsequently well as a subsequently well as a subsequently well as α is a ground of α is case of α is case does not occur on α

 β econd case $\alpha - \beta - 1$. Here, (6) and (3) are equivalent and we have the condition $p + q = 2 - k$, and in particular, $k \leq -3$. Therefore, we get

Proposition 4.3 If $p < q$ are both prime, then $pq \in C_{2-p-q}$.

Of course- this follows also immediately from Theorem

From now on, we assume $\alpha\beta \neq 1$. Solving (8) and (9) for p and q, we obtain

$$
p = 1 + k \frac{\beta + 1}{\alpha \beta - 1}
$$

$$
q = 1 + k \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha \beta - 1}.
$$

Thus-the conditions of the conditions of t

$$
k \frac{\beta + 1}{\alpha \beta - 1} = 1 + r
$$

$$
k \frac{\alpha + 1}{\alpha \beta - 1} = k \frac{\beta + 1}{\alpha \beta - 1} + 1 + s
$$

for some real numbers $r, s \geq 0$. Now, for given k we ask what values of α and β (and subsequently for p and q and still possible Obviously-form in the set of the possible of and an is empty the case to the case α - α is the case α - α is the conclusion is that no conclusion is that α number in C can have precisely two precisely two precisely two prime factors in relations and service in relations of the contractors in relations of the contractors in relations of the contractors in relations of the cont have

$$
\alpha = 1 + \frac{1+k+s}{1+r}
$$

\n
$$
\beta = \frac{1+k+r}{2+r+s}
$$

\n
$$
p = 2+r
$$

\n
$$
q = 3+r+s
$$

If we consider first the case $k \ge 1$, we see, that p is maximal, if r is maximal, which happens
(since $\alpha \in \mathbb{N}$) for $\alpha = 2$, i.e., for $k + s = r$. Then, $\beta = \frac{1+2k+s}{2k+1} > 1$, or $s = k\frac{2-b}{2k-1} - 1$, $\frac{1+2k+8}{2+k+2s} \geq 1$, or $s = k\frac{2-6}{2b-1} - 1$, $\frac{2b-1}{2b-1}$ - 1, and hence $r = k \frac{r}{\log r} - 1$. $\frac{p+1}{2\beta-1} - 1$. This is maximal for $\beta = 1$ and we obtain $p \leq 2k + 1$. A similar reasoning gives $q \leq 3k + 1$. Hence, we obtain

Proposition 4.4 If $k \geq 1$ and $p < q$ prime such that $pq \in C_k$, then $p \leq 2k + 1$ and $q \leq 3k+1$. In particular, only finitely many members of C_k consist of precisely two prime factors.

For $k \leq -1$ we first observe, that $\beta \leq 0$. Now, if $\beta = 0$, then $r = -1 - k$, $\alpha = -\frac{s+1}{k}$ and hence $p = 1 - \kappa$ and $q = 1 - \kappa(\alpha + 1) = 1 + (p - 1)\alpha$ for $\alpha = 2, 3, \ldots$ This is precisely what we also have from Theorem $3.2(a)$ in case $1 - k$ prime.

Finally- we consider the case Similar arguments as above in the discussion of $k \geq 1$ lead to the following result: $p \leq 1-\frac{\kappa}{5}$ and $q \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}$. Summarizing, we have:

Proposition 4.5 Let $k \leq -1$ and $p < q$ both prime such that $pq \in C_k$. Then

 $\mu = 1 - k$ and $q = 1 - \mu(p - 1)$ for some $\mu = 2, 3, \ldots, 0$ (b) $p \leq 1 - \frac{\kappa}{5}$ and $q \leq \frac{1-\kappa}{2}$.

-

In particular, if $1 - k$ is not prime, then only juntely many elements of C_k have exactly two prime factors

When $a = 2$ 5

 $\tilde{}$

In this section we come back to the Chinese hypothesis and the observation of Jeans (see Section 1). We consider the set of odd numbers n for which the equation 2° \equiv 2 mod n holds. First we note the following

Fact 5.1 For an odd number n we have $2^{\circ} \equiv 2 \mod n$ if and only if $2^{\circ} \equiv 1 \mod n$.

Proof. This follows immediately from the fact that the residues which are relatively prime to *n* are a multiplicative group modulo *n*.

At the end of the th century- Jeans investigated the set of composite odd numbers nsuch that 2 $^{\prime\prime}$ $^{\prime}$ \equiv 1 mod n (see [Je1898]). Let us denote the set of all these numbers by J_{0} and if $n \in J_0$, then we call n a J_0 -number, or just a J-number. In general,

 $J_k := \left\{ n \in \mathbb{N} : \min\{n, n+k\} > 1 \text{ and } n+k \text{ is odd and } 2^{n+k} \equiv 2 \mod n \right\}.$ \sim . The contract of the co

5.1 On the set of J-numbers

To investigate the set \mathcal{L} -facts some notations and basic facts some notations and basic facts \mathcal{L}

The Euler ϕ function: For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\phi(n)$ is defined to be the number of integers between and it and if prime to note that $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$. If $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$, and if $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$, and if $\mathcal{I}(\mathcal{I})$ $\psi(p) - p = 1.$

Primitive roots and orders: Let $a, n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $(a, n) = 1$, then we say a has **order** h mod n, if h is the smallest positive integer such that $a^{\alpha} \equiv 1 \mod n$. This h is denoted by ordans is a set of the second common the are and a represented and common the processes of the second as a fin . It is the model was the form of prime number to the form primitive roots we have the form ρ primitive σ there are $\varphi(p-1)$ primitive roots for p . But on the other hand, there is no simple way of nding primitive roots for some p- even if p is prime- and for small primes trial and error is probably as good a method as any Hence- there is no simple function which calculates ord-p - for any odd prime pAt this point we like to mention Artins conjecture which states that if a α is not are innitely there in the innitely many primes for a innitely which a is a is a i primitive root

For odd numbers n we get by Euler's Theorem that $2^{\varphi(w)} \equiv 1 \mod n$, and therefore, $\mathrm{ord}_2(n) \mid \phi(n)$. Thus, for odd primes p we have $\mathrm{ord}_2(p) \mid p-1$.

Pseudoprimes: A composite integer *n* is called a **pseudoprime to the base** *a* if $a^{n-1} \equiv$ mod n So-and only if and only if and only if no issue to the pseudoprime to the pseudoprime to the pseudoprime to the base One can show that for each integer a - there are innitely many pseudoprimes n to the base a-namely

$$
n = \frac{a^p - 1}{a - 1} \frac{a^p + 1}{a + 1},
$$

where p is an odd prime not dividing $a(a^- - 1)$ (see e.g. [Re1990, p. 125]).

Thus- there are innitely many composite Jnumbers

Lemma 5.2 If n is odd and square-free, then $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$ if and only if for each prime p dividing n, $\operatorname{ord}_2(p) \mid n-1$.

Proof. Let p be a prime dividing n. If $\text{ord}_2(p) \mid n-1$, then, for some $h \in \mathbb{N}$, $2^{n-1} =$ $2^{h \cdot \text{ord}_2(p)} = (2^{\text{ord}_2(p)})^n \equiv 1 \mod p$. On the other hand, if $2^{n-1} \equiv 1 \mod n$, then $2^{n-1} \equiv 1$ mod p for each p dividing n, and hence, $\operatorname{ord}_2(p) \mid n-1$. and the contract of the contra

In the case of J -numbers it is not true that J -numbers are square-free. Moreover, there are J-numbers which *are* squares, like 1095° or 5511°. Furthermore, there are many J-numbers which are the product of exactly two different primes. To find such composite J numbers- the following fact is a useful tool

Fact 5.3 If p and q are odd primes such that $p < q$, $q \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$ and $\text{ord}_2(p) \mid q - 1$ (or $p-1 \mid q-1$), then pq is a J-number.

Proof. $q \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$ implies $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod q$ and thus, $\text{ord}_2(q) \mid p-1$, which implies $\text{ord}_2(q) \, \mid \, q(p-1) + (q-1)$, since $\text{ord}_2(q) \, \mid \, q-1$. Further, since $\text{ord}_2(p) \, \mid \, q-1$ we get $\operatorname{ord}_2(p) \mid p(q-1) + (p-1)$, because $\operatorname{ord}_2(p) \mid p-1$. **q.e.d.**

Some Examples: $p = 11$ and $q = 31$ (check that $31 | 2^{11-1} - 1$); $p = 17$ and $q = 257$; p and q ! p and q !

As a matter of fact we like to mention that if p is an odd prime, then $3p \mid 2^{p-1} - 1$.

Now we turn pack to J-numbers n of the form $n = p^-,$ with p prime. It is an open question whether there are innitely many Jnumbers which are square numbers Here- we give a heuristic argument which lends some support to the conjecture that there are in fact innitely many such numbers- but they are very likely to be extremely rare

Lemma 5.4 Let $n = p^2$ for some odd prime p, then n is a J-number if and only if $2^{p-1} \equiv 1$ $\text{mod } p^2$.

Proof. First notice that $\phi(p^2) = p(p-1)$ and therefore $2^{p^2-p}-1 \equiv 0 \mod p^2$, which implies that $\operatorname{ord}_2(p^2) \mid p^2 - p$.

If p^2 is a J-number, then $2^{p^2-1}-1 \equiv 0 \mod p^2$, which implies that $\text{ord}_2(p^2) | p^2-1$, and together with $\operatorname{ord}_2(p^2) \mid p^2 - p$ we get $\operatorname{ord}_2(p^2) \mid p-1$. So, $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p^2$.

If $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p^2$, then $\text{ord}_2(p^2) \mid p-1$, and therefore, $\text{ord}_2(p^2) \mid \phi(p^2)$ (since $\phi(p^2) =$ $p(p-1)$). Further, this implies that $\text{ord}_2(p^2) | p^2 - 1$, (since $p^2 - 1 = p^2 - p + (p-1)$), and hence, $2^{p^2-1} \equiv 1 \mod p^2$. q-

If p is prime and p^- is a J-number, then we say that p is a germ.

Since $2^{p-1} \equiv 1 \mod p$ for every odd prime p, we get $2^{p-1} \equiv bp+1 \mod p^2$ for some $0 \le$ $b \leq p-1$. Let $\mathbb P$ be the set of all odd prime numbers and consider the function $\xi : \mathbb P \to [0,1)$ defined as follows: For $p \in \mathbb{P}$ let $\xi(p) \in [0,1)$ be such that $2^{p-1} \equiv \xi(p)p^2+1 \mod p^2$, in other words, $\xi(p) := \frac{1}{n}$, where b is as above and p is odd. It is very likely that the distribution of $\xi(p)$ produced a contract of the con is uniform. To illustrate this, let p_i (for $i \in \mathbb{N}$) be an enumeration of $\mathbb F$ such that $p_i < p_{i+1}$. First we tested the first 60,000 odd primes and found that $\sum_{i \le 60,000} \xi(p_i) \approx 29,934$. Further, $\epsilon_{0,000 \leq i \leq 100,000} \xi(p_i) \cong 19,928 \text{ and } \sum \{ \xi(p_i) : \xi(p_i) < \frac{1}{10} \text{ and } 60,000 \leq i < 100,000 \} \cong 204.$ Another test (up to over 2 Million) gave us $\sum_{100,000 \le i \le 160,000} \xi(p_i) \cong 29880$ and $\sum \{\xi(p_i)$: $\frac{1}{10} < \xi(p_i) < \frac{3}{10}$ and 100, 000 $\leq i \leq 160,000$ ≥ 2426 .

The idea is now to replace the mapping $p \mapsto b$ by equidistributed independent random variables X_p which take values in $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, i.e., the probability that $X_p = i$ is $\frac{1}{p}$ for each $i \in \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. From X_p we construct a new random variable Y_p which takes, for

each prime if α and α if α is the value α of the value α otherwise in other wordslooking whether $b=0$ (and hence p is a germ), we throw a dice with p faces $\{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$. Therefore, a value p for which Γ random germs should have approximately the same distribution as the effective germs. The probability that p is not a random germ is

$$
P(p \tmtext{ is not a random germ}) = 1 - \frac{1}{p}.
$$

Thus- we have

$$
P(p_1, \ldots, p_k \text{ are all not random germs}) = \prod_{i=1}^k \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right)
$$

=
$$
\exp \sum_{i=1}^k \log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p_i}\right).
$$

Observe that $\log(1-x) \leq -x$ for $x \geq 0$ (and $|\log(1-x) + x| = O(x^2)$ for $x \to 0$). Thus, we can estimate

$$
P(p_1,\ldots,p_k \text{ are all not random germs}) \lesssim \exp\bigg(-\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{p_i}\bigg)\,.
$$

 \mathbf{N} is diverse primes is divergent-definition of inverse primes is divergent-definition of \mathbf{N}

$$
P(p_n, \ldots, p_k \text{ are all not random germs}) \to 0 \quad \text{ for } k \to \infty.
$$

In other words-dependent words-dependent of Γ is a certain odd prime number point Γ is a certain of Γ germ occurs is zero So- we should expect that innitely many Jnumbers exist which are the square of a prime

On the other hand- what can we say about the frequency of occurrence of random \mathbf{M} is an answer this distribution-to-answer this distribution-distributionbution function of random germs. In other words we ask: How many random germs may we expect in the set $\{p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_k\}$. This is simply

$$
E\bigg[\sum_{i=1}^k Y_{p_i}\bigg]=\sum_{i=1}^k E[Y_{p_i}]=\sum_{i=1}^k \frac{1}{p_i}.
$$

Example. The expected number of random germs $p \in \{3, 4, \ldots, 2000\}$ is 1.792448 (the actual number of germs in this interval is 1, namely $p=1093)$. In the interval $\{3,4,\ldots,10^6\}$ $\}$ the expected number of random germs is - the actual number of germs is - namely $p = 1093$ and $p = 3511$.

We can now state the following conjecture:

re the processes are the state in the state in the state of a prime Furthermore, the distribution function of the germs is asymptotically

$$
\left|\{p \leq n : p \text{ is a germ}\}\right| \sim \sum_{\substack{p \leq n \\ p \text{ prime}}} \frac{1}{p} \sim \log \log n .
$$

Remark: If we consider the random variable Z which takes the value p where p is the smallest random germ- these stammers then above shows the expected value of the expected value of the expected value of Z is $E[Z] = \infty$.

$\bf 5.2$ On the set of J_k -numbers

 Ω in the following we give Ω For this we rest denotes the set Δ Δ Δ

Theorem 5.6 Let $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then $n \in J_k$ if and only if $4 \nmid n$ and $\text{ord}_2(p^l) \mid p^{l-1}m + k - 1$, where $n = p \, m \,$ and $p \, \gamma \, m$.

The proof is given in the following two lemmas

Lemma 5.7 If k is even, then $n \in J_k$ if and only if $\text{ord}_2(p^l) \mid p^{l-1}m+k-1$, where $n = p^l m$ and p - matrix and p

Proof. Assume $p m = n$ and $p_1 m$, then

$$
2^{n+k} = 2^{p^l m+k} = 2^{p^{l-1}(p-1)m+p^{l-1}m+k} = (2^{p^{l-1}(p-1)})^m \cdot 2^{p^{l-1}m+k},
$$

and because $2^{p-(p-1)} \equiv 1 \mod p^i$ we get

$$
2^{n+k} \equiv 2^{p^{l-1}m+k} \mod p^l.
$$

Thus, $n \in J_k$ if and only if $2^{p^{i-1}m+k} \equiv 2 \mod p^l$, which is equivalent to $\text{ord}_2(p)^l \mid p^{l-1}m$ + $k-1$. q-

Notice that if *n* is square-free, Lemma 5.7 is equivalent to $\text{ord}_2(p) \mid m + k - 1$ and remember that $\operatorname{ord}_2(p)\mid p-1.$

Lemma 5.8 If k is odd, then $n \in J_k$ if and only if $4 \nmid n$ and for all odd primes p, where $n = p^tm$ and $p \nmid m$, we have $\text{ord}_2(p^t) \mid p^{t-1}m + k - 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Lemma 5.7. We only have to show that $n \in J_k$ implies n is even and $4 \nmid n$. Let $n \in J_k$, then by definition we have $n + k > 1$ and $n + k$ is odd, and hence *n* is even. Because $n+k>1$ we have $2^{n+k} \geq 4$ and therefore $4 \mid 2^{n+k}$, which implies $4 \nmid 2^{n+k} - 2$. Thus, $2^{n+k} \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$ and we get $4 \nmid n$. n q-an-dalah sebagai kecamatan dan berangan kecamatan dan berangsung dan berangsung dan berangsung dan berangs

Notice that if *n* is square-free, Lemma 5.8 is equivalent to $\text{ord}_2(p) \mid m + k - 1$ and $n = 2m$ for some m.

Some square-free elements of J_k 5.3

We have seen that there are only four numbers in the set C_1 . These numbers also appear in the set of the set is much bigger than σ is much bigger than σ if we restrict our attention to attention to square-free numbers.

Let $p \in J_1$ be a prime, then because each number belonging to J_1 is even, $p = 2$. Assume now $2q \in J_1$ for an odd prime q. By Lemma 5.8 we get ord $_2(q)$ | 2, which implies $q = 3$. Now assume $2qr \in J_1$ where $2 < q < r$ are primes. Again by Lemma 5.8 we get ord $_2(q) | 2r$, which is presented and greater than α is presented than α is the prime and α is the contract of α is the contract of α ord $_2(r) \mid 6, r > 3$ and r is a prime we get $r = 7$. If we assume $2qrs \in J_1$, where $2 < q < r < s$ are primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-primes-p and r For example-the s and s and s and s are possible values for s It is very likely likely likely li that the contrast to Cthe set \mathcal{L}_1 contains in a set \mathcal{L}_2 many squarefree elements in a set of \mathcal{L}_2

Acknowledgement: We like to thank Stephanie Halbeisen who wrote all the JAVA programs we used in this paper

References

- AGP W- R- Alford A- Granville and C- Pomerance There are innitely many Carmichael numbers Annals of Mathematics -
- Ca R- D- Carmichael Note on a new number theoretic function Bul letin of the \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A} and
- , care a research composite numbers of the Fermat component of the Fermat constant consequent the Fermat conditions ence a^* \Rightarrow \equiv 1 (mod P). The American Mathematical Monthly 19 (1912), 22–27.
- , chernical and chernical chernical chernical chernical mathematical mathematic theorem Bullion Mathematic Che ical Society  -
- ithere is a community that the second change into the second the second which polynomic control in the second o also in \mathcal{L}_{HR} , and also in the mathematic density of \mathcal{L}_{HR} , and also in the mathematic order
- Je J- H- Jeans The converse of Fermats theorem Messenger of Mathematics $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{X}$
- Ko A- Korselt Probl#eme chinois L intermediaire des mathematiciens -143.
- redmond Number Theory- International December of the Companion Marcel December 2020, Inc., Inc., Inc., Inc., Inc.,
- Ri P- Ribenboim The book of prime number records SpringerVerlag- Berlin-New York 1989, Inc. 19

Address of the Authors

Department of Mathematics- University of California at Berkeley-Evans Hall -Berkeley CA - USA

E-mail:- L. Halbeisen: halbeis@math.berkeley.edu

Prof. N. Hungerbühler Department of Mathematics, University of Alabama at Birmingham, 452 Campbell Hall, Birmingham AL  USA

E-mail:- N. Hungerbühler: buhler@math.uab.edu