

A Chebychev's type of prime number theorem in a short interval II.

Lou Shituo, Yao Qi

▶ To cite this version:

Lou Shituo, Yao Qi. A Chebychev's type of prime number theorem in a short interval II.. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1992, Volume 15 - 1992, pp.1 - 33. 10.46298/hrj.1992.124. hal-01108637

HAL Id: hal-01108637 https://hal.science/hal-01108637v1

Submitted on 23 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal Vol. 15 (1992) 1-33

A Chebychev's Type of Prime Number Theorem in a Short Interval-II

Shituo Lou and Qi Yao

§ 1. INTRODUCTION.

We shall investigate the number of primes in the interval (x - y, x] for $y = x^{\theta}$ with $1/2 < \theta \le 7/12$. In [1], we proved

Theorem A. Suppose x be a large number, then

$$1.01 \frac{y}{\log x} \ge \pi(x) - \pi(x - y) \ge 0.99 \frac{y}{\log x}$$
 (1.1)

with $y = x^{\theta}$, uniformly for

$$\frac{11}{20} < \theta \le \frac{7}{12}.\tag{1.2}$$

Denote $p(d_i)$ the smallest prime factor of d_i . We write

$$S_k := \{d : d = d_1 \cdots d_k, d \in I^p, p(d_i) \ge z, 1 \le i \le k\}. \tag{1.3}$$

Let

$$\sum_{n \in I^g} a_n(k) = \sum_{\substack{d_1 \cdots d_k = k \\ p(d_i) \ge n, i \le i \le k}} 1 = \sum_{a \in S_k} 1.$$
 (1.4)

Let the interval $I^y = (x - y, x]$ with

$$x^{1/2} < y \leq \frac{1}{2}x$$

and the parameter z satisfying

$$x^c < z < x^{1/5}$$

where c is a positive integer that will be chosen later.

Let $I_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$, be a set of integers, and $I_j \subseteq [2, x]$ and H be the "Direct Product" of sets I_j , for $1 \leq j \leq r$, it means $d \in H$ if and only if $d = d_1 \cdots d_r$ with $d_j \in I_j, 1 \leq j \leq r$, and $d \in I^y$. (1.5)

Suppose θ be fixed in the interval (1/2,1), $y \in [x^{\theta}, x \ exp(-(\log x)^{1/6})]$. Define the conditions (A_1) and (A_2) as following:

 (A_1) . Let k' be an integer. If there exist some sets H_k , $1 \le k < k'$, which are collections of direct products H's and constants c_H such that

$$\sum_{n \in I^y} a_n(k) = \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}_k} C_H \sum_{d \in H} 1 + O\left(\frac{y}{\log^2 x}\right), \tag{1.6}$$

then we call \mathbf{H}_k , $1 \leq k < k'$, satisfy (A_1) .

 (A_2) . If \mathbf{H}_k , $1 \le k < k'$, satisfy (A_1) , there exists a subset \mathbf{H}'_k , and a function $E_k(H,z)$ independent of y such that

$$\sum_{d \in H_k} 1 = y E_k(H, z) + O(y \ exp(-(\log x)^{1/7})), \tag{1.7}$$

uniformly for

$$x^{\theta} \leq y \leq x \ exp(-(\log x)^{1/6}),$$

then we call \mathbf{H}'_k , $1 \le k < k'$, satisfy (A_2) . We call \mathbf{H}'_k a 'good set' and call $\mathbf{H}''_k = \mathbf{H}_k \setminus \mathbf{H}'_k$, a 'bad set', for $1 \le k < k'$.

In [3], we proved:

THEOREM B. Let x be a sufficient large number, θ be fixed with $1/2 < \theta < 1, x^{\theta} \le y < (1/2)x, I^{y} = (x - y, x], k_{0}$ be an integer which is dependent on θ , and z be fixed in $(x^{1/k_{0}}, x^{1/5}]$. Let $\mathbf{H}_{k}, 1 \le k < k'$, such that (A_{1}) . If there exists a subset \mathbf{H}'_{k} of \mathbf{H}_{k} such that (A_{2}) , and writing $\mathbf{H}''_{k} = \mathbf{H}_{k} \setminus \mathbf{H}'_{k}$, then we have

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) = yE(x, z) + R(y) + O(y \exp(-(\log x)^{1/7}))$$
 (1.8)

uniformly for

$$x^{\theta} \leq y \leq x \, \exp(-(\log x)^{1/6}),$$

where E(x, z) independent of y, and

$$R(y) = \sum_{1 \le k \le k_0} (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} \sum_{H \in \mathcal{H}'_k} c_H \sum_{d \in H} 1.$$
 (1.9)

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) = \sum_{1 \le k \le k_0} (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} \sum_{s \in S_k} 1 + O(yx^{-\frac{1}{3}}). \tag{1.10}$$

Theorem B is a generalization of Theorem 1 of Heath-Brown [2]. Take $k' = 7, S_1, \dots, S_5$ as good sets and only S_6 as a bad set i.e. $H_1' = S_1, \dots, H_5' = S_5, H_6' = \phi$; and $H_1'' = \dots = H_5'' = \phi, H_6'' = S_6$; then Theorem B become Theorem 1 of [2]. We are not limited that the good set S_k' or that the bad set S_k'' should to be whole of S_k . In fact, R(y) is the contribution of all bad sets. In [1], we also proved:

THEOREM C. Suppose that θ is fixed in (1/2,1), $y_0 = x \exp(-(\log x)^{\frac{1}{7}})$. \mathcal{H}_k , $1 \le k'$, satisfy (A_1) and (A_2) . If there exists constants e_1'' , e_1' , e_2'' and e_2' such that

$$\frac{(e'_1 + \varepsilon)y_0}{\log x} < \sum_{1 \le k \le k'} (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} R_k(y_0) < \frac{(e'' - \varepsilon)y_0}{\log x}; \tag{1.11}$$

and

$$\frac{(e_2'+\varepsilon)y}{\log x} < \sum_{1 \le k \le k'} (-1)^{k-1} k^{-1} R_k(y) < \frac{(e''-\varepsilon)y}{\log x}; \tag{1.12}$$

where ε is a small positive constant. Then

$$\frac{(1-e_1''-e_2')y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x-y) < \frac{(1+e_1'+e_2'')y}{\log x}$$
 (1.13)

uniformly for $x^{\theta} \leq y \leq y_0$.

We will prove the main theorem of this paper:

Theorem 1. Let $y = x^{\theta}$, $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$, then

$$\frac{0.969y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x - y) < \frac{1.031y}{\log x}.$$
 (1.14)

Using Theorem B and Theorem C, we need to find H_k and H'_k . In [4] we gave some sufficient conditions that imply some kind of "direct product" be "good set". In § 2, we record those results from [4]. In § 3, we use those conditions to prove that H'_k which will be defined in § 6 below be "good t^* ".

A criterion for good sets is extracted. However, the technical work needed to choose good sets and to make the size of the bad sets as small as possible, is precisely the main difference between our method and Heath-Brown's. The new Theorem 1 will enable us to improve the results of Heath-Brown and Iwaniec [5]. Moreover, we can improve (1.14) further but only at the cost of much arduous computation.

§ 2 "GOOD SET"

Let c_0 be a constant that will be defined later on. Let I_0 be an interval $[a_0, b_0]$ which contains in [1, x] and $I_j (1 \le j \le r)$ be a subset of interval $[a_j, b_j]$ contains in $[x^{c_0}, x]$ also. Denote $D = I_0 \cdots I_r$ be a direct product of I_j . Let $i_j = \log a_j / \log x$ and $i'_j = \log b_j / \log x$ and let $d_j = x^{\theta_j}$ with $i_j \le \theta_j \le i'_j$ and $0 \le j \le r$. For convenience, we write $d = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\} \in D$, and a set

$$\mathbf{D} = \{ \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\} : 1/2 \ge 1 - \theta_1 - \dots - \theta_r = \theta_0 \ge \theta_1 \ge \dots \ge \theta_r \}.$$
 (2.1)

For short, we denote $\{\theta_j\} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\}$.

Let $D \cap I^y$ be a set of integers, $d \in D \cap I^y$ if and only if $d \in D$ and $d \in I^y \cdot d = d'$ with $d, d' \in D \cap I^y$ means $d = d_0 \cdots d_r$ and $d' = d'_0 \cdots d'_r$ with $d_j = d'_j$ for $0 \le j \le r$. We shall show the sufficient conditions for $D \cap I^y$ be a "good set", i.e. for a fixed z with $x^{1/5} > z = x^c$, there exists a function $E_D(x, z)$, independent of y, which satisfies that

$$\sum_{d \in D \cap I^{y}} 1 = y E_{D}(x, z) + O(y \ exp(-\log^{1/7} x)), \tag{2.2}$$

where $E_{\mathbf{D}}(x,z)$ and constant in "O" are uniformly for

$$x^{\theta} \leq y \leq x \ exp(-4(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log\log x)^{-\frac{1}{3}}).$$

We discuss those sequences $d = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\} = \{\theta_j\}$ in D. For such $\{\theta_j\}$, we define a corresponding set Θ of all of sequences $\{\theta'_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r, \theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_{r+r_1}\}$

with $\theta_0' \leq \theta_0, \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_r \geq \log z/\log z > \theta_{r+1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{r+r_1}$ and

$$\theta'_0 + \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_{r+r_1} = 1.$$
 (2.3)

By (2.3) and (2.1), we have that if $r_1 = 0$, then

$$\theta_0' = \theta_0 \ge \theta_1. \tag{2.4}$$

For short, write $\{\theta_j\}' = \{\theta_0', \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r, \theta_{r+1}, \cdots, \theta_{r+r_1}\} = \{\theta_j\}$, and $\{\theta_j\}' \in \Theta$. Let $\theta_0' = \log X/\log x$, $\theta_j = \log X_d(j)/\log x$ ($1 \le j \le r$) and $\theta_{r+j} = \log Z_j/\log x$ ($1 \le j \le r$). For each $\{\theta_0', \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r, \theta_{r+1}, \cdots, \theta_{r+r_1}\}$, we define a product of Dirichlet series:

$$W(s) = X(s) \prod_{i=1}^{r} X_d^{(j)}(s) Y(s) \prod_{i=1}^{r_1} Z_j(s)$$
 (2.5)

where

$$X(s) = \sum_{X < n \le 2X} n^{-s};$$

$$X_d^{(j)}(s) = \sum_{\substack{X_d^{(j)} < m \leq 2X_d^{(j)}}} f_m^{(j)} m^{-s}, |f_m^{(j)}| \leq 1;$$

$$Z_j(s) = \sum_{Z_j < I \leq 2Z_j} c_1 I^{-s}, |c_1| \leq 1;$$

$$Y(s) = \sum_{Y < t < 2Y} \mu(t) v_t t^{-s}, |v_t| \leq 1.$$

with $Y = O(x^{\delta})$, δ be a sufficient small number with $\delta \ll \varepsilon$. Each $\{\theta_j\} \in D$ corresponds all of $W(s, \{\theta_j\}')$'s for which $\{\theta_j\}' \in \Theta$. Define that W(D) is a set of all of such $W(s, \{\theta_j\}')$. For short, we write $W(s, \{\theta_j\}') = W(s)$. In [4], we proved that

Theorem A. If D satisfies one of following conditions

- (1) $a_0 \geq x^{1/2}$;
- (2) all of $W(s) \in W(D)$ such that

$$\int_{T}^{2T} |W(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} exp(-(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log\log x)^{-\frac{1}{3}})$$
 (2.6)

for

$$T_1 \leq T \leq \frac{x^{1-\Delta}}{y},$$

where A is any fixed positive constant, and

$$T_1 = exp((\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}}(\log\log x)^{-\frac{1}{3}}).$$

Then (1.2) holds i.e. D is a good set.

Let $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$ be positive numbers. In [4], we discussed the sequence $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with positive number k such that

$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_k = 1 \tag{2.7}$$

defined a set $E(\theta)$ of some $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$'s and acutely proved that $(4, \S 5]$).

Theorem B. Let $\{\theta_j\} \in D$. For each $\{\theta_j\}' \in \Theta$ define

$$W'(s) = X(s) \prod_{j=1}^{r} X_d^{(j)}(s) \prod_{j=1}^{r_1} Z_j(s)$$

If $\{\theta_j\}' \in E(\theta)$, then

$$\int_{T}^{2T} |W'(\frac{1}{2} + it)| dt \ll x^{1/2 - \epsilon}.$$
 (2.8)

Moreover, (3.9) holds.

We now describe the set $E(\theta)$.

Suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ be a complementary partial sum (it means that each θ_j belongs one and only one set and their sum in a set be σ or a_i) of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with $\sigma = \theta_0$ or $\sigma \le t_0/2$, then

$$a_1 + a_2 + \sigma = 1. (2.9)$$

or

$$a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + \sigma = 1. (2.10)$$

Later on, we only define two of a_1, a_2, σ if (2.9) holds; or define three of a_1, a_2, a_3, σ if (2.10) holds.

Let
$$\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$$
, $t_0 = 1 - \theta + \varepsilon/2$ and $z = x^c$ with $c = t_0/10$. Define

$$\mathbf{D} = \{ \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\} : \theta_1 \ge \dots \ge \theta_r > c, \theta_0 + \theta_1 + \dots + \theta_r = 1 \}. \quad (2.11)$$

Define $D_i^*(1 \le i \le 7)$ be the subsets of D and

$$\mathbf{D_1^*} = \{ \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_9\} : \theta_0 \ge \dots \ge \theta_9 > t_0/5 \text{ and } \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 \le 8t_0/9 \};$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{2}^{*} = \{\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{7}\} : 2t_{0}/7 \geq \theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{7} \geq t_{0}/5\}.$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{3}^{*} = \{\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{5}\} : 2t_{0}/5 \geq \theta_{0} \geq \cdots \geq \theta_{5} > t_{0}/5, \theta_{3} + \theta_{4} + \theta_{5} \geq t_{0}\};$$

$$\mathbf{D_{4}^{*}} = \{\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{5}\} : \theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2} \geq \theta_{3} \geq 1 - 20t_{0}/11, t_{0}/3 \geq \theta_{4} \geq t_{0}/4,$$

$$\theta_5 \geq t_0/5, \theta_0 + \theta_1 \leq 6t_0/7, \theta_2 + \theta_3 \geq 4 - 8t_0, \theta_0 \leq \theta_5/8 + 3t_0/8$$
;

$$\mathbf{D}_{5}^{*} = \{\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{5}\} : t_{0}/2 \geq \theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2} \geq \theta_{3} \geq \theta_{4} \geq 1 - 20t_{0}/11, \theta_{3} + \theta_{4} \geq 4 - 8t_{0}, t_{0}/5 \geq \theta_{5} \geq t_{0}/10, \theta_{0} + \theta_{1} \leq t_{0}/2\};$$

$$D_6^* = \{\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_4\} : t_0/2 \ge \theta_1 \ge \theta_2 \ge \theta_3 \ge \theta_4 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0\}$$

$$\mathbf{D}_{7}^{*} = \{\{\theta_{0}, \theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{6}\} : 2t_{0}/5 \geq \theta_{0} \geq \theta_{1} \geq \theta_{2} \geq \theta_{3} \geq \theta_{4} \geq 1 - 20t_{0}/11, t_{0}/5 \geq \theta_{5} \geq \theta_{6} \geq t_{0}/10, \theta_{4} + \theta_{6} > t_{0}/2\}$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}^* = \cup_{i=1}^7 \mathbf{D}_i^*. \tag{2.12}$$

In § 4, we shall prove:

Theorem 1. Suppose D' be a subset of D and

$$\mathbf{D}' \cap \mathbf{D} = \emptyset, \tag{2.13}$$

then D' satisfies (1.2), i.e. D' is a good set.

In [4], we gave that some sufficient conditions which imply that D is a good set. In this paper, in § 2, we record those conditions from [4]. In § 3, we use them to show that $D \setminus D^*$ which is defined in (2.11) and (2.12) is "good".

§ 3. THEOREM 2.

We discuss those sequences $d = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r\} = \{\theta_j\}$ in D. For such $\{\theta_j\}$, we define a corresponding set Θ of all sequences $\{\theta_0', \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r, \theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_{r+r_1}\}$ with $\theta_0' \leq \theta_0$,

$$1/2 > \theta_0' = \theta_{r+1} \ge \dots \ge \theta_{r+r_1} \ge \theta_1 \ge \dots \ge \theta_r \ge \log z / \log x > \theta_{r+1} \ge \dots \ge \theta_{r+r_1}$$
(3.1)

and

$$\theta_0' + \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1} = 1. \tag{3.2}$$

By (2.11) and (3.2), we have that if $r_1 = 0$, then

$$\theta_0' = \theta_0 \ge \theta_1. \tag{3.3}$$

For short, write $\{\theta_j\}' = \{\theta_0', \theta_1, \dots, \theta_r, \theta_{r+1}, \dots, \theta_{r+r_1}\}$, and $\{\theta_j\}' \in \Theta$. Write

$$r = r' + r''$$

with

$$\theta_1 \ge \cdots \ge \theta_{r'} \ge t_0/5 > \theta_{r'+1} \ge \cdots \ge \theta_{r'+r''}. \tag{3.4}$$

We now describe the set $E(\theta)$. Suppose $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$ and $t_0 = 5/11 + \varepsilon/2$. We define $E(\theta)$ be a set which contain all of sequence $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with (2.7) which satisfies one of following four properties:

- (I) There exists at least one complementary partial sum $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ which satisfies one of following conditions:
- (3.5) $a_1 \le t_0, a_2 < 4 8t_0$ (see Lemma 4.4 of [4]);
- (3.6) $1 20t_0/11 > \sigma > t_0/5$ and $t_0 \ge a_2 > 8t_0/9$ or $\sigma > t_0/5, a_1 \ge t_0$ and $a_2 > 8t_0/9$ (see (4.1.3) with i = 3 of [4]);

- (3.7) $a_2 \le t_0$, $a_1 \le t_0$ and $\sigma < 1 20t_0/11$ (see (4.6.1) of [4]);
- (3.8) $\sigma > t_0/2$ (see Lemma 4.3 of [4]);
- (3.9) $a_1 \ge t_0, a_2 \ge t_0$ (see (4.1.1) of [4]);
- (3.10) $a_1 \ge t_0, a_2 > 4t_0/5$, and $\sigma > t_0/3$ (see (4.1.3) with i = 1 of [4]);
- (3.11) $t_0 \ge a_2 > 4t_0/5$, and $1 20t_0/11 > \sigma > t_0/3$ (see (3.7) and (3.10) above);
- (3.12) $1 20t_0/11 > \sigma$ and $m_{\sigma} < a_1 < M_{\sigma}$ (see (4.4.4) and (4.6.1));
- (3.13) $1/2 \ge a_1 \ge t_0$, and $\sigma < 1/2 8t_0/9$ (see (4.5.6) of [4]);
- (3.14) $\sigma \ge t_0/4$, $a_1 \ge t_0$ and $a_2 > 6t_0/7$ (see (4.1.3) with i = 2 of [4]);
 - (II) There exists at least one complementary partial sum $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ which satisfies
- (3.15) $a_1 \ge t_0, a_2 \ge t_0/2, a_3 \ge t_0/4$ and $\sigma > 2t_0/7$ (see (4.2.1) of [4]);
- (3.16) $a_1 \ge t_0, a_2 \ge t_0/3, a_3 \ge t_0/3$ and $\sigma > 2t_0/5$ (see (4.2.2) of [4]).
 - (III) $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ satisfies one of the following conditions:
- (3.17) $k_0 = 6, \sigma = \theta_0 \le t_0/2, t_0/5 < \theta_0 \le \cdots \le \theta_1 \le 2t_0/7$ (see (4.7.4) of [4]);
- (3.18) There exists at least one complementary partial sum $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ which satisfies $\sigma = \theta_0, a_1 < t_0, a_2 < 1/3$ and $a_3 < t_0/5$ (see Lemma 3.10 with $t_0 = 5/11 \varepsilon$ of [4]).
- (3.19) $\sigma = \theta_0, a_1 \le 8t_0/9, a_2 \le 4t_0/9, a_3 \le t_0/4, \text{ and } a_4 = 1 \sigma a_1 a_2 a_3 \le t_0/4 \text{ (see (4.7.3) of [4])}.$
- (3.20) $\sigma = \theta_0, a_1 \le t_0/2, a_2 \le t_0/2, a_3 \le 4t_0/9, a_4 \le t_0/4, \text{ and } a_5 = 1 \sigma a_1 a_2 a_3 \le t_0/4 \text{ (see (4.7.7) of [4])}.$
- (3.21) $\sigma = \theta_0, \theta_i < t_0/5$, and $\sigma > 3t_0/8 + \theta_i/8$ (see (4.7.9) of [4]).

For a fixed $\sigma < 1 - 20t_0/11$, in [4] we proved that there exists a pair of numbers (m_{σ}, M_{σ}) with the properties

$$M_{\sigma} - m_{\sigma} > t_0/5 \text{ if } \sigma \ge t_0/5; \tag{3.22}$$

$$M_{\sigma} - m_{\sigma} < \sigma \text{ if } \sigma < t_0/5; \tag{3.23}$$

$$M_{\sigma} > t_0 > m_{\sigma}; \tag{3.24}$$

and

$$M_{\sigma} + m_{\sigma} + \sigma = 1 \tag{3.25}$$

(IV) Suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ be a complementary sum of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with

$$m_{\sigma} < a_i < M_{\sigma}, \quad (i = 1 \text{ or } 2),$$
 (3.26)

(See Lemma 4.5 of [2]).

Moreover, for $t_0/3 \ge \theta \ge t_0/4$, we have that

$$3m_{\theta}/2 + 3\theta < 1. \tag{3.27}$$

Applying Theorem A and Theorem B, Theorem 1 follows from

Theorem 2. Suppose $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$, and D' such that

$$\mathbf{D} \cap \mathbf{D}^* = \emptyset$$

then for every $\{\theta_j\} \in \mathbf{D}'$, the all of corresponding $\{\theta_j\}' \in \Theta$ contain in $E(\theta)$.

§ 4. LEMMAS.

Let $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$ and $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with (2.7), i.e.

$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_k = 1.$$

In this section, we shall show some sufficient conditions for $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$. By the definition of $E(\theta)$ we check that $\{\theta_j\}$ satisfies at least one of conditions (3.5) - (3.19), and (3.25). When $\theta'_0 > t_0/2$, $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ by (3.8).

When $t_0 \le \theta_1 \le 1/2$ and $\theta_0' \le t_0/2$, we have that $r_1 \ne 0$ by (3.3) and $\theta_{r+r_0} \le t_0/5$, let $a_1 = \theta_1, \sigma = \theta_{r+r_1}$ and $a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma$, then $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ by (3.13).

Lemma 4.1. Suppose there exist two elements θ' and θ'' of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with $\theta' \leq 1 - 20t_0/11$ and $\theta'' < t_0/5$. If there exists a partial sum s of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \setminus \{\theta', \theta''\}$ such that $s < t_0$ and $s + \theta' \geq t_0$, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$.

Proof. We discuss following three cases:

Case 1. $t_0 \le s + \theta' < M_{\theta''}$.

Let $\sigma = \theta''$ and $a_1 = s + \theta'$, we have that $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.24) and (3.26).

Case 2. $s + \theta' \ge M_{\theta''}$.

By (3.25), we have

$$1-s-\theta'-\theta'' < 1-M_{\theta''}-\theta'' = m_{\theta''}$$

and, by (3.23),

$$1-s-\theta'\leq\theta''+m_{\theta''}< M_{\theta''}.$$

Let $a_1 = 1 - s - \theta'$ and $\sigma = \theta''$, if $a_1 > m_{\theta''}$, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.26). If $a_1 \leq m_{\theta''} \leq t_0$, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.7) since $a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma \leq m_{\theta''} \leq t_0$ and $\sigma = \theta'' < 1 - 20t_0/11$.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ be a complementary partial sum of $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\}$ with $a_1 = \theta_1'' + \dots + \theta_k'', a_2 = \theta_1' + \dots + \theta_k', a_1 \ge a_2, 1 - 20t_0/11 > \sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 > 1/2 - 8t_0/9$ and

$$max\{\theta_1'', \dots, \theta_k''\} - max\{\theta_1', \dots, \theta_k'\} < t_0/5;$$
 (4.1)

then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$.

Proof. If $a_1 \leq t_0$, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.7); if $a_2 \geq t_0$, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.6); if $m_{\sigma} < a_1 < M_{\sigma}, \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.26).

Now we suppose $a_1 \geq M_{\sigma}$.

By (3.23), we have

$$\theta_1'' + \cdots + \theta_{k-1}'' + \theta_k = \theta_1'' + \cdots + \theta_k'' + (\theta_k' - \theta_k'')$$

$$> M_{\sigma} - \frac{t_0}{5} \ge m_{\sigma}.$$

If

$$\theta_1'' + \cdots + \theta_{k-1}'' + \theta_k' < M_{\sigma},$$

let
$$a_1 = \theta_1'' + \dots + \theta_{k-1}'' + \theta_k'$$
, then $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ by (3.25); if
$$\theta_1'' + \dots + \theta_{k-1}'' + \theta_k' \ge M_{\sigma},$$

and

$$\theta_1'' + \cdots + \theta_{k-2}'' + \theta_{k-1}' + \theta_k' < M_{\sigma},$$

repeating above process, let $a_1 = \theta_1'' + \cdots + \theta_{k-2}'' + \theta_{k-1}' + \theta_k'$ we also have $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$. And repeat it again, we have that, in all cases, $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_k\} \in E(\theta)$ since

$$\theta_1' + \cdots + \theta_k' < t_0 \leq M_{\sigma}$$

LEMMA 4.3. $k_0 = 3, \sigma > 2t_0/5, a_2 \ge t_0/3, a_3 \ge t_0/3, a_2 + a_3 < 4 - 8t_0$ and $a_1 = 1 - \sigma - a_2 - a_3$; then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$.

Proof. If $a_1 \ge t_0$, $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.16). If $a_1 < t_0$, $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.5).

§ 5. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.

We will prove that : if $\{\theta_j\} \in \mathbf{D} \setminus \mathbf{D}^*$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$. By Theorem 1, it is enough to show those $\{\theta_j\}'$ with $\{\theta_0' + \theta_{r+1} + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1}, \theta_1, \cdots \theta_r\} \notin \mathcal{D}^*$ belong to E.

Denote ko be the integer with

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k_0 - 1} \theta_j < t_0 \tag{5.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{1 \le j \le k_0} \theta_j \ge t_0. \tag{5.2}$$

By (2.14), $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $\theta_0' > t_0/2$. By (2.3), we only need to discuss the cases with

$$t_0/2 \ge \theta_0'. \tag{5.3}$$

If $r_1 = 0$, then

$$t_0/2 \ge \theta_0' = \theta_0 \ge \dots \ge \theta_r, \tag{5.4}$$

and

$$\{\theta_j\}'=\{\theta_j\}.$$

Lemma 5.1. Suppose $k_0 \ge 4$ and $r'' + r_1 > 0$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$.

Proof. By (5.1) and $k_0 \ge 4$, we have

$$\theta_3 \leq \frac{t_0}{3} < 1 - \frac{20}{11}t_0.$$

By Lemma 4.2 and $\theta_{r+r_1} < t_0/5$ if $r'' + r_1 > 0$, we have $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $k_0 < r + r_1$. If $k_0 = r + r_1$, let $a_1 + \cdots + \theta_{k_0-1} < t_0, a_2 = \theta'_0 < t_0$ and $\sigma = \theta_{k_0} < 1 - 20t_0/11$, we have $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.7).

Lemma 5.2. Suppose $r' \geq k_0 + 5$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$.

Proof. Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{k_0} > t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_{k_0+1} + \cdots \theta_{r'} > t_0$ and $\sigma = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.9).

Lemma 5.3. Suppose that $k_0 > r'$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$.

Proof. Let $\sigma = \theta_0$, $a_1 = \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{k_0-1}$ and $a_2 = \theta_{k_0} < t_0/2 < 4 - 8t_0$ if $k_0 = r + r_1$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.5). If $k_0 < r + r_1$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by Lemma 4.1.

Now may suppose that

$$k_0 \le r' \le k_0 + 4. \tag{5.5}$$

By (3.4), $\theta_j \ge t_0/5$ for $j \le k_0$ (since $k_0 \le r'$); then by (5.1), we have that $k_0 \le 5$. By (5.2) and $\theta_1 \le t_0$, then we have that $k_0 \ge 2$. Now we may suppose that

$$2 \le k_0 \le 5. \tag{5.6}$$

We discuss the following cases:

Case 1. $k_0 = 5$.

By Lemma 4.1, we may suppose that $r'' + r_1 = 0$. Then $\{\theta_j\} = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_r\}$. By (5.5) we may suppose that $5 \le r' \le 9$.

If $\underline{r'} \leq 7$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \cdots + \theta_{k_0 - 1} < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_{k_0} + \theta_{k_0 + 1} + \theta_{k_0 + 2} \leq 3t_0/4 < 4 - 8t_0$ and $\sigma = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.5).

If $\underline{r'} = \underline{8}$ let $s = \theta_0$, $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 < t_0/2$, $a_3 = \theta_5 + \theta_6 < t_0/2$, $a_4 = \theta_7 \le t_0/4$, $a_5 = \theta_7 \le t_0/4$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.20).

If r'=9, we have

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 > 8t_0/9$$

since $\{\theta_j\} \notin D_1^*$. Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4$ and $\sigma = \theta_5 > t_0/5$, we have that $\sigma < a_1/4 \le t_0/4 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.6).

Case 2. $k_0 = 4$.

By Lemma 4.1, we may suppose that $r'' + r_1 = 0$ again. By (5.4), we may suppose that $4 \le r' \le 8$.

If $\underline{r' \leq 5}$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_4 + \theta_5 \leq 2t_0/3 < 4 - 8t_0$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.5).

r' = 6.

When $\theta_0 > t_0/2$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.8).

When $\theta_0 \le t_0/2$, we discuss the following three cases:

(1) $\theta_0 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 < t_0$.

Let $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 < t_0$ by (5.1) and $k_0 = 4$ and $\sigma = \theta_4 \le \theta_3 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.7).

 $(2) \theta_0 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 \geq t_0.$

If $\theta_1 > 2t_0/7$, by $\theta_0 \le t_0/2$, we have $\theta_5 \ge t_0/4$. Let $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 \ge t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge t_0/2$, $a_3 = \theta_2 > t_0/4$ and $\sigma = \theta_0 > 2t_0/7$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.15). If $\theta_1 \le 2t_0/7$, $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.17).

r=r'=7.

If $\theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 \ge t_0$, we have

$$\theta_0 > 2t_0/7 \tag{5.7}$$

since $\{\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_7\} \notin \mathbf{D}_2^*$.

Let $a_1 = \theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 \ge t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_2 + \theta_3 \ge t_0/2$, $a_3 = \theta_1 > t_0/4$ and $\sigma = \theta_0 > 2t_0/7$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.15).

If $\theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 < t_0$, by Lemma 4.2 and $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge t_0$, $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $\theta_1 - \theta_7 < t_0/5$. If $\theta_1 - \theta_7 \ge t_0/5$, then $\theta_1 > 2t_0/5$, and, by (5.1) and $k_0 = 4$,

$$\theta_2 < t_0 - \theta_3 - \theta_1 < 2t_0/5$$
,

i.e. $\theta_2 - \theta_7 < t_0/5$. By Lemma 4.2 again, we may suppose that $\theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \le t_0$ then $\theta_4 + \theta_5 \le t_0/2$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 < t_0/2$, $a_2 = \theta_2 + \theta_3 < t_0/2$, $a_3 = \theta_4 + \theta_5 < t_0/2$, $a_4 = \theta_6 < t_0/4$, and $a_5 = \theta_7 < t_0/4$, we have that $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.20).

r' = 8.

If $\theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 + \theta_8 \ge t_0$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 + \theta_8 \ge t_0$, and $\sigma = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.9).

If $\theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 + \theta_8 < t_0$, by Lemma 4.1, $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $\theta_1 - \theta_8 < t_0/5$. Now may suppose $\theta_1 - \theta_8 \ge t_0/5$, then

$$\theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_8 < 1 - (\theta_0 + \theta_1 + \theta_2) \le 1 - 5(t_0/5) < 1 - 8t_0/9;$$

let $a_1 = \theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 + \theta_8 \ge t_0$, $\sigma = \theta_3 > t_0/5$, $a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma > 8t_0/9$ then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.6).

Case 3. $k_0 = 3$.

By (5.1) and (5.2), we have that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 < t_0 \tag{5.8}$$

and

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \ge t_0. \tag{5.9}$$

We discuss the following cases:

Case 3.1. $\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 > t_0$.

By (3.2), (3.3) and (3.4), we have that, if $r \ge 5$

$$\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \le 1/2;$$

and, if $r \leq 4$,

$$\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \le 0.4 + t_0/5 < 1/2$$
.

If $r'' + r_1 > 0$, let $\sigma = \theta_{r+r_1} < t_0/5$, $a_1 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \in [t_0, 1/2]$, and $a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma$, then $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ by (3.13).

If $r'' + r_1 = 0$, we have that $r' \ge 5$ since $\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 > t_0$ and $\theta_4 \le \theta_3 \le t_0/2$.

If $\underline{r'} = \underline{5}$, from $\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_5\} \notin D_3^*$, then $\theta_0 > 2t_0/5$. In this case we have $\theta_3 \ge t_0/3$. Let $a_1 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \ge t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_2 \ge t_0/3$, $a_3 = \theta_1 \ge t_0/3$, and $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.22).

If $\underline{r' > 5}$, $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 > \theta_0 + \theta_6 > 2t_0/5$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.16) again.

Case 3.2. $\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \le t_0$.

By Lemma 4.2, we only need to discuss the cases with

$$\theta_1 - \theta_5 > t_0/5. \tag{5.10}$$

By (3.1), we have that

$$\theta_0 \ge \theta_1 > 2t_0/5. \tag{5.11}$$

We discuss the following cases:

Case 3.2.1. $\theta_2 < t_0/3$.

By Lemma 4.1, we only need to discuss those cases with $r'' + r_1 = 0$. If $r' \le 5$, let $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_1 \le t_0$, $\sigma = \theta_2 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, and $\sigma = \theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 < t_0$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.7).

If $r' \geq 6$, by Lemma 4.2, we only need to discuss those cases with $\theta_1 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \geq t_0$ since $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \geq t_0$ and $\theta_2 - \theta_5 < t_0/5$. Let $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \geq t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \geq t_0$, $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $r' \geq 6$ by (3.9).

Case 3.2.2. $\theta_2 \ge t_0/3$ and $\theta_3 < 1 - 20t_0/11$.

Let $\sigma = \theta_0 > 2t_0/5$, $a_3 = \theta_2 \ge t_0/3$, $a_2 = \theta_1 \ge t_0/3$ and

$$a_1 = \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_r,$$

then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.16) if $\theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_r \ge t_0$. Now may suppose that

$$\theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_r < t_0$$
.

Let $\sigma = \theta_0$, $a_1 = \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_r$, and $a_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.5) if $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 4 - 8t_0$. Now may suppose that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 \ge 4 - 8t_0 \tag{5.12}$$

also. Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \ge 4 - 8t_0 > 4t_0/5$, $\sigma = \theta_4$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $t_0/3 \le \theta_3 < 1 - 20t_0/11$ by (3.11).

By Lemma 4.1 and $\theta_3 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, we may suppose that $r'' + r_1 = 0$. We discuss the following cases:

(1) $r' \geq 7$.

If $r' \ge 7$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3 \ge t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 + \theta_7 + \cdots + \theta_r > 4(t_0/5)$ and $\sigma = \theta_0 \ge \theta_1 \ge (\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_3)/3 \ge t_0/3$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.10).

(2) $\tau' = 6$.

If $\theta_3 + \theta_4 \le t_0/2$, in (3.20), take $a_1 = \theta_1$, $a_2 = \theta_2$, $a_3 = \theta_3 + \theta_4$, $a_4 = \theta_5$, $a_5 = \theta_6$ and $\sigma = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$. If $\theta_3 + \theta_4 > t_0/2$, let

$$a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 2 - 4t_0 + t_0/2 > 8t_0/9,$$

 $a_2 = \theta_0 + \theta_2 + \theta_5 \ge 4 - 8t_0 - t_0/5 \ge t_0,$

and $\sigma = \theta_6 \ge t_0/5$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.6).

(3) r' = 5.

Let $\sigma = \theta_3, a_2 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \ge 4 - 8t_0 > 4t_0/5$ and $a_1 = 1 - \sigma - a_2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$

by (3.10) if $\theta_3 \ge t_0/3$ and by (3.26) if $\theta_1 + \theta_2 > m_{\theta_3}$. Now may suppose that $\theta_3 < t_0/3$ and

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 \leq m_{\theta_2}$$
.

By (3.27), $\theta_3 \ge t_0/4$, thus

$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 = 1 - \theta_2 - \cdots - \theta_5 \ge 1 - m_{\theta_3}/2 - 3\theta_3 > m_{\theta_3}$$

Let $\sigma = \theta_3$, $a_2 = \theta_0 + \theta_1$ and $a_1 = 1 - \sigma - a_2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.26) since $\theta_0 + \theta_1 < t_0 < M_{\theta_3}$.

(4) $r' \le 4$, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 < t_0$ by (5.3) $a_2 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 < 2(1 - 20t_0/11) < 4 - 8t_0$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (2.5).

Case 3.2.3. $\theta_3 \ge 1-20t_0/11$ and $\theta_4 < 1-20t_0/11$. By Lemma 4.2, $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ if $r'' + r_1 > 0$ and

$$a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1-1} \ge t_0$$
.

Now we discuss the following cases

(1) $r'' + r_1 = 0$.

We know that r' > 3.

When r' = 3, let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_3 < 1/3 < 4 - 8t_0$, and $\sigma = \theta_0$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.5).

When r' = 4, let $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_1 < t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_2 + \theta_3 < t_0$, and $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 = \theta_4 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$ by (3.7).

When r' > 5,

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 > 2(1 - 20t_0/11) + t_0/5 > 8t_0/9.$$

Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 > 8t_0/9$ and $\sigma = \theta_5 > t_0/5$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ if $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 < M_{\theta_5}$ by (3.25). Now we may suppose that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 \ge M_{\theta_8}$$

When $r' \geq 6$, we have that

$$\theta_0 + \theta_3 + \theta_6 > 2(1 - 20t_0/11) + t_0/5 > 8t_0/9$$

also Let $a_i = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 > t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_0 + \theta_3 + \theta_0 > 8t_0/9$ and $\sigma = \theta_5 > t_0/5$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.6). Now we discuss those cases with r' = 5. When $\theta_0 + \theta_1 > 6t_0/7$ and $\theta_4 > t_0/4$, let $a_2 = \theta_0 + \theta_3$, $\sigma = \theta_4$ and $a_1 = 1 - a_2 - \sigma$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.14). Now we may suppose that

$$\theta_0 + \theta_1 \leq 6t_0/7.$$

If $\theta_2 + \theta_3 < 4 - 8t_0$. Since $\theta_1 > 2t_0/5$ (see (5.11) above), let $\sigma = \theta_1, a_2 = \theta_2 > t_0/s$, $a_3 = \theta_3 > t_0/3$, and $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_4 + \theta_5$ if $\theta_0 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 > t_0$ by (3.6); let $\sigma = \theta_1, a_2 = \theta_2 + \theta_3$, and $a_1 = \theta_0 + \theta_4 + \theta_5$ if $\theta_0 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 \le t_0$ by (3.5). Now may suppose that

$$\theta_2+\theta_3\geq 4-8t_0.$$

Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 \ge 4 - 8t_0 > 4t_0/5$, $\sigma = \theta_4$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.11) if $t_0/3 \le \theta_4 < 1 - 20t_0/11$. Now may suppose that

$$\theta_4 < t_0/3$$

also. If $\theta_0 > \theta_5/8 + 3t_0/8$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.18). Thus $\{\theta_j\} \notin \mathbf{D}_5^*$ implies $\theta_4 < t_0/4$, we have that $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.20).

(2)
$$r' + r_1 > 0$$
 and $\theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1-1} < t_0$.

By (3.4), $r'+r_1 > 0$ implies $\theta_{r+r_1} \le \frac{t_0}{5}$. By (3.4) $\theta_4 + \theta_{r+r_1} < \frac{t_0}{2} + \frac{t_0}{5} < 4 - 8t_0$.

Let $\sigma = \theta_0$, $a_2 = \theta_4 + \theta_{\tau + \tau_1}$ and $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_4 + \cdots + \theta_{\tau + \tau_1 - 1} < t_0$, then $\{\theta_i\}' \in E$ by (3.5).

Case 3.2.4. $\theta_4 \geq 1 - 20t_0/11$.

If $\theta_1 > t_0/2$, by (3.1), we have that

$$\theta_0'+\theta_{r+1}+\cdots+\theta_{r+r_1}\geq\theta_1\geq\theta_2\geq\theta_3\geq\theta_4,$$

and

$$\theta_0' + \sum_{i=1}^{r+r_1} \theta_i = 1.$$

Thus

$$\theta_2 + \theta_3 + \theta_4 \le 1 - 2\theta_1 < 1 - t_0,$$

and

$$\theta_3 + \theta_4 < \frac{2}{3}(1-t_0) < 4-8t_0.$$

By Lemma 4.3, we have that then $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ if there exists a partial sum of $\{\theta_0', \theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_5, \dots \theta_{r+r_1}\}$ belong to $(2t_0/5, t_0/2]$. Now we only need to discuss those cases with $\theta_0' \leq 2t_0/5$. By (3.1), we have that

$$\theta_2 + \theta_{r+1} + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1} \ge 1 - 20t_0/11 + \theta_1 - \theta_0' > 2t_0/5.$$

Then there exists a partial sum of $\{\theta_2, \theta_{r+1}, \cdots, \theta_{r+r_1}\}$ belong to $\{2t_0/5, t_0/2\}$ since $\theta_2 < t_0/5$ and $\theta_{r+1} < t_0/10 = t_0/2 - 2t_0/5$. Thus $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ in this case.

Now we discuss the case : $\theta_1 \le t_0/2$.

When $\theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0$,

Since we only need to discuss those $\{\theta_j\}'$ which corresponding $\{\theta_j\} \notin \mathbf{D}_5^*$, we may suppose $r \geq 5$. Thus

$$\theta_5 < t_0 - \theta_3 - \theta_4 < t_0/5$$
.

Since we only need to discuss those $\{\theta_j\}'$ which corresponding $\{\theta_j\} \notin \mathbf{D}_{6}^*$, we may suppose $\tau \geq 6$. Let $a_1 = \theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 + \theta_6 \geq 4 - 8t_0 + t_0/5 > t_0$, $a_2 = \theta_2 > t_0/3$, $a_3 = \theta_2 > t_0/3$, $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 \geq \theta_1 > 2t_0/5$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.24).

When $\theta_3 + \theta_4 < 4 - 8t_0$, by Lemma 4.3, we only need to discuss those cases with

$$\theta_2 \leq \theta_1 \leq 2t_0/5,$$

$$\theta_0' \leq 2t_0/5,$$

and

$$\theta_0' + \theta_{r+1} + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1} \le 2t_0/5$$

since $\theta_{r+1} \le t_0/10 = t_0/2 - 2t_0/5$. Thus

$$\theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_r = 1 - \theta_0' - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_{r+1} - \cdots - \theta_{r+r_1} > t_0$$

and $r \ge 6$ since $\theta_3 + \theta_4 + \theta_5 < t_0$. By (3.1),

$$\theta_5 = 1 - \theta_0' - \dots - \theta_4 - \theta_5 - \dots - \theta_{r+r_1} < 1 - 5(1 - 20t_0/11) - t_0/10 < t_0/5.$$

Since we only need to discuss those $\{\theta_j\}'$ which corresponding $\{\theta_j\} \notin D_6^*$, we may suppose that $\theta_4 + \theta_6 < t_0/2$. By Lemma 4.3 again, we have that then $\{\theta_i\} \in E$.

Case 4. $k_0 = 2$.

We have $\theta_1 \ge (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2 > t_0/2$.

If $\theta'_0 > t_0/2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (3.4).

Now we may suppose that $\theta'_0 \leq t_0/2$, thus by $\theta'_0 < \theta_1$, we have that $r_1 > 0$. Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2$, $\sigma = \theta_{r+r_1}$ and $a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma$, then $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ by (3.13) if $\theta_1 + \theta_2 < 1/2$. Now may suppose that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_2 \geq 1/2$$
.

By (3.2), we have that $\theta_2 < 1/3$. Let $\sigma = \theta_0$, $a_2 = \theta_2$ and $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1}$, then $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ by (3.5) if $a_1 < t_0$. Now may suppose that

$$\theta_1 + \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1} > t_0. \tag{5.14}$$

If $\theta_3 < 1 - 20t_0/11$, by Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to discuss that case with

$$\theta_1 + \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1-1} < t_0.$$
 (5.15)

Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1-1}, a_2 = \theta_2, a_3 = \theta_{r+r_1}$ and $\sigma = \theta_0$, by (3.18) we have that $\{\theta_i\}' \in E$.

If $\theta_3 \ge 1-20t_0/11$, by (3.2), $\theta_1+\theta_3 \le 1/2$. By (3.19), $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ if $\theta_1+\theta_3 \ge t_0$. Now may suppose that $\theta_1+\theta_3 < t_0$. If $r \ge 4$,

$$\theta_4$$
 < $1 - (\theta'_0 + \theta_{r+1} + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1}) - \theta_1 - \theta_2 - \theta_3$
 < $1 - 3/4 - (1 - 20t_0/11) < 1 - 20t_0/11$.

By (5.14) and Lemma 4.2, we only need to discuss those case with (5.15) again. Let $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_3 + \cdots + \theta_{r+r_1-1}$, $a_2 = \theta_2$, $a_3 = \theta_{r+r_1}$ and $\sigma = \theta_0$, by (3.18) we have that $\{\theta_j\}' \in E$ again.

Theorem is complete already.

§ 6. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 1.

Take $c = t_0/10$.

Define a direct product $I = \{\theta_0, \dots, \theta_r\}$ be

$$I = \{d : d = d_0 \cdots d_r, d_i \in I_i, I_i = [x^{\theta_i}, 2x^{\theta_i}] \text{ and } p(d_i) \ge x^c 1 \le i \le r\}.$$
(6.1)

For I, we define, for $1 \le k \le r$,

$$I(k) = \{d : d = d_0 \cdots d_k p_{k+1} \cdots p_r, d_i \in I_i (1 \le i \le k), p_j \in I_j, (k+1 \le j \le r) \text{ and } d \in I\}.$$
(6.2)

In this section we will choose \mathbf{H}'_k and to make it as large as possible.

First we write S'_k to be a sum of some disjoint direct product of I which is defined in (6.1). Set

$$\mathbf{H}'_{k,1} = \cup_{I \cap \mathbf{D} = \emptyset} \{I\}.$$

By Theorem 3, $H'_{k,1}$ satisfies (2.2) write

$$\mathbf{H}_{k,1}'' = S_k' \setminus \mathbf{H}_{k,1}'.$$

Thus

$$\mathbf{H}_{k,1}''\subseteq\mathbf{D}^*$$
.

We want to choose some "good set" from D^* . We write

$$\mathbf{D}_{1,1}^* = \{I(9) : I \in \mathbf{D}_1^*\}.$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{D}_1^* = \mathbf{D}_{1,1}^* \cup (\mathbf{D}_1' \setminus \mathbf{D}_1''),$$

where D'_1 is a collection of direct product I's with $I = I_0 \cdots I_r$, $r \ge 10$,

$$I = \{\theta_0, \cdots, \theta_r\},\$$

where $(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_8)$ satisfy the conditions same as in $\mathbf{D}_1^*, \theta_9 + \dots + \theta_r \in (t_0/5, \theta_8)$, and $d \in I$ if and only if $d = d_0 \cdots d_8 p_9 \cdots p_r, d_i \in Ii$ and $p_j \in I_j$ and

$$\mathbf{D}_1'' = \mathbf{D}_1' \setminus \mathbf{D}_1^*.$$

By Theorem 3, we have that I in D' satisfies (2.2).

By the method that we will use in § 7 (see (7.4)), we have

$$\mid \mathbf{D_1''}\mid = O\left(\frac{y}{log^2x}\right).$$

Thus we can replace D_1^* by $D_{1,1}^*$ in H_k'' . Repeat it again, we might change D_1^* to

$$\mathbf{D}_{1}^{**} = \{d: d = d_{0}p_{1}\cdots p_{9} \in \mathbf{D}_{1}^{*}\};$$

For $D_i^*(1 \le i \le 2)$, or $r \le i \le 7$, we can change D_i^* to D_i^{**} as well. We only need change 9 to 8 (i = 2), $5(4 \le i \le 5)$, 4(i = 6) or 6(i = 7). For D_3^* , we only can change it to

$$D_3^{**} \cup D_7^{**}$$
.

Now take

$$\mathbf{H}_{10}'' = \mathbf{D}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{H}_{8}^{*} = \mathbf{D}_{2}^{*}, \mathbf{H}_{6}^{*} = \mathbf{D}_{3}^{*} \cup \mathbf{D}_{4}^{*} \cup \mathbf{D}_{5}^{*} \cup \mathbf{D}_{7}^{*}, \mathbf{H}_{5}'' = \mathbf{D}_{6}^{*} \text{ and } \mathbf{H}_{7}'' = \mathbf{D}_{7}^{*}.$$

Otherwise

$$\mathbf{H}_{\mathbf{k}}^{\prime\prime}=\emptyset$$
.

In (1.9), take $c_H = 1$, then

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x - y) = yE(x, z) - \sum_{1 \le i \le 5} (f(i) - 1)! \sum_{D \in \mathbf{D}_1^* d \in D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} 1 + 4! \sum_{D \in \mathbf{D}_2^* d \in D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} 1 + (6! - 5!) \sum_{D \in \mathbf{D}_1^* d \in D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} 1 + (6! - 5!) \sum_{D \in \mathbf{D}_1^* d \in D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} \sum_{1 \le D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} \sum_{1 \le i \le D} \sum_{1 \le D} \sum_$$

where f(1) = 10, f(2) = 8, and f(3) = f(4) = f(5) = 6.

Suppose $e_i (1 \le i \le 7)$ and e_0 be constants which satisfy:

$$(f(i)-1)! \sum_{D \in D} \sum_{i \in D} 1 \le (f(i)-1)! \frac{e_i y}{\log x}, 1 \le i \le 5;$$

$$4! \sum_{D \in D} \sum_{i d \in D} 1 \le \frac{e_6 y}{\log x};$$

$$(6!-5!)\sum_{D\in\mathbf{D}_{7}^{*}d\in D}\sum_{log\ x}1\leq\frac{e_{7}y}{log\ x},$$

and

$$e_0 = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 7} e_i.$$

By (1.13), we have that

$$\frac{(1-e_0)y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x-y) < \frac{(1+e_0)y}{\log x}.$$

We now estimate $e_i (1 \le i \le 7)$. First, we estimate e_3 . We have $d \in \mathbf{D}_3^*$ implies $d = d_0 p_1 \cdots p_5$ with $d_0 \ge p_1 \ge \cdots \ge p_5, x - y \le d_0 p_1 \cdots p_5 \le x, p(d_0) \ge x^{t_0/10}$, and

$$x^{\frac{2t_0}{5}} > d_0 > p_1 > \cdots > p_5 > x^{\frac{t_0}{5}}$$

Define

$$\begin{split} \Delta_3 &= \{(p_1, \cdots, p_5) : \left(\frac{x}{x^{\left(\frac{2 \cdot n}{5}\right)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{5}} \leq p_1 \leq x^{\frac{2 \cdot n}{5}}, \left(\frac{x}{p_1^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \leq p_2 \leq p_1, \left(\frac{x}{p_2^3}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \leq p_3 \leq p_2, \\ \left(\frac{x}{p_3^4}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq p_4 \leq p_3, \left(\frac{x}{p_4^5}\right) \leq p_5 \leq p_4 \}. \end{split}$$

Then

$$|\mathbf{D}_{3}^{*}| \leq \sum_{(p_{1}, \dots, p_{5}) \in \Delta_{3}} \frac{2y}{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}p_{5} \log \frac{y}{p_{1}p_{2}p_{3}p_{4}p_{5}}}$$

$$\leq \frac{2y}{\log x} \int \dots \int_{\Delta'} \frac{dt_{1}dt_{2}dt_{3}dt_{4}dt_{5}}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}t_{4}t_{5}(1-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}-t_{4}-t_{5})}, \tag{6.2}$$

where

$$\Delta' = \{(t_1, \dots, t_5) : \frac{1 - \frac{2t_0}{5}}{5} \le t_1 \le \frac{2t_0}{5}, \frac{1 - 2t_1}{4} \le t_2 \le t_1, \frac{1 - 3t_2}{3} \le t_3 \le t_2, \frac{1 - 4t_3}{2} \le t_4 \le t_3, 1 - 5t_4 \le t_5 \le t_4\}.$$

Estimate the integration of right hand-side of (6.2) (see Appendix), we have

$$5! \mid \mathbf{D}_3^* \mid \leq \frac{e_3 y}{\log x},$$

where

$$e_3 < 0.00625$$
.

Define:

 A^1 is a set of $(t_1, \dots t_2)$ with the following conditions:

$$(1) \ \ \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{8t_0}{9} - \frac{t_0}{5} \right) \ge \theta_1 \ge \dots \ge \theta_9 \ge \frac{t_9}{5};$$

(2)
$$1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_9 \ge \frac{1}{10}$$
;

 Δ^2 is a set of (t_1, \dots, t_7) with the following conditions:

$$(1) \ \tfrac{2}{7}t_0 \geq \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_4 \geq \tfrac{t_0}{4};$$

(2)
$$\theta_4 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_7 \geq \frac{\epsilon_0}{\epsilon}$$
;

(3)
$$1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_7 \ge \frac{1}{8}$$
;

 Δ^4 is a set of (t_1, \dots, t_5) with the following conditions:

(1)
$$\frac{3}{7}t_0 \geq t_1 \geq 2 - 4t_0$$
;

(2)
$$t_1 \geq t_2 \geq 2 - 4t_0$$
;

(3)
$$t_2 \geq t_3 \geq \max\left\{1 - \frac{20t_0}{11}, 4 - 8t_0 - t_2\right\}$$
;

(4)
$$min\left\{\frac{t_0}{3}, \frac{9t_0}{10} - 2t_1 - t_2 - t_3\right\} \ge t_4 \ge max\left\{\frac{t_0}{4}, \frac{1}{2}\left(1 - \frac{6t_0}{7} - t_2 - t_3\right)\right\}$$

(5)
$$min\{t_4, 1-2t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4\} \ge t_5 \ge max\{1-\frac{6t_0}{7}-t_2-t_3-t_4\};$$

(6)
$$t_1 \leq t_5/8 - 3t_0/8$$
;

 Δ^5 is a set of (t_1, \dots, t_5) with the following conditions:

(1)
$$1 - \frac{3}{2}(4 - 8t_0) - \frac{t_0}{10} \ge t_1 \ge 2 - 4t_0$$
;

(2)
$$min\{1-4+8t_0-\frac{t_0}{10}-2t_1,t_1\}\geq t_2\geq 2-4t_0;$$

(3)
$$min\{1-(1-\frac{20t_0}{11})-\frac{t_0}{10}-2t_1-t_2,t_2\}\geq t_3\geq 2-4t_0;$$

(4)
$$min\{1-\frac{t_0}{10}-2t_1-t_2-t_3,t_3\} \geq t_4 \geq max\{4-8t_0-t_3,1-\frac{20t_0}{11}\};$$

(5)
$$min\{1-2t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4,\frac{t_0}{5}\} \ge t_5 \ge \frac{t_0}{10}$$
;

 Δ^6 is a set of (t_1, \dots, t_4) with the following conditions:

(2)
$$min\{\theta_1, 1 - (4 - 8t_0) - 2\theta_1\} \ge \theta_2 \ge 2 - 4t_0$$
;

(3)
$$min\{\theta_2, 1-\left(1-\frac{20t_0}{11}\right)-2\theta_1-\theta_2\} \ge \theta_3 \ge 2-4t_0;$$

(4)
$$min\{\theta_3, 1-2\theta_1-\theta_2-\theta_3\} \ge \theta_4 \ge max\{1-\frac{20t_0}{11}, 4-8t_0-\theta_3\}$$
;

 Δ^7 is a set of (t_1, \dots, t_6) with the following conditions:

(1)
$$\frac{2t_0}{5} \ge t_1 \ge t_2 \ge t_3 \ge t_4 \ge 1 - \frac{20t_0}{11}$$
;

(2)
$$min\{1-\frac{t_0}{2}-2t_1-t_2-t_3,\frac{t_0}{5}\}\geq t_5\geq \frac{t_0}{2}-t_4;$$

(3)
$$min\{1-2t_1-t_2-t_3-t_4-t_5,t_5\} \ge t_6 \ge \frac{t_0}{2}-t_4;$$

With same reason we have

$$e_i < (f(i)-1)!(2) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta^i} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_r}{t_1 \cdots t_r (1-t_1-\cdots-t_r)}$$

where r = r(i), r(1) = 9, r(2) = 7, r(3) = r(5) = r(6) = 5 and r(7) = 6. We have that (see Appendix)

$$e_1 \leq 2 \left(\text{In } \frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{8t_0}{9} - \frac{2t_0}{5} \right)}{\frac{t_0}{5}} \right)^9 (10) < 1.1(10)^{-5};$$

$$e_2 < 2\left(\left(\ln \frac{8}{7}\right)^7(8) + \left(\ln \frac{8}{7}\right)^4\left(\ln \frac{5}{4}\right)^3 \frac{7!}{3!(4!)}\right) < 0.002.$$

 $e_4 < 0.00276$;

 $e_5 < 0.007817;$

 $e_6 < 0.01351$

and

$$e_7 < 0.0002071$$
.

In Theorem C, take

$$e_1'' = e_2'' = \sum_{i=1}^5 e_i \le 0.0171.$$

and

$$e_1' = e_2' = e_6 + e_7 < 0.01372$$

then we have that

$$\frac{0.969y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x - y) < \frac{1.031y}{\log x}.$$

Theorem 3 follows.

REFERENCES

- [1] Lou, S. and Qi Yao, A Chebychev's Type of Prime Number Theorem in a Short Interval, I (to appear).
- [2] Heath-Brown, D.R. The Number of Primes in a Short Interval, J. Reine Angew, Math 389 (1988) 22-63.
- [3] Lou, S. and Qi Yao, The Number of Primes in a Short Interval, Hardy-Ramanujan J., vol. 16 (1993), (to appear).
- [4] Lou, S. and Qi Yao, Estimate of sums of Dirichlet's series, Hardy-Ramanujan J., vol. 17 (1994), (to appear).
- [5] Heath-Brown, D.R., and Iwaniec, H., On the difference between consecutive primes, Invent. Math. 55 (1979), 49-69.

Lou, S. and Qi Yao Department of Math. Dalhousie University Halifax, N.S. B3H 3J5 Canada

APPENDIX

Estimate of e1:

Define

$$\Delta = \left\{ (t_1, \cdots, t_9) : \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{4t_0}{9} - \frac{2t_0}{5} \right) \geq t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_9 \geq \frac{t_0}{5} \right\}.$$

Then

$$e_1 \leq \frac{9!(2)}{9!} \int \cdots \int_{\Delta} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_9}{t_1 \cdots t_9 (1 - t_1 - \cdots - t_9)} \leq 20 \left(\operatorname{In} \left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{40}{99} - \frac{2}{11} \right)}{\frac{1}{11}} \right) \right)^9 < 1.1(10)^{-5}.$$

Estimate of e2:

Define

$$\Delta_2 = \left\{ (t_1, \cdots, t_7) : \frac{2t_0}{7} \ge t_1 \ge \cdots \ge t_7 \ge \frac{t_0}{5} \right\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} e_2 & \leq & 2(7!) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta_2} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_7}{t_1 \cdots t_7 (1 - t_1 - \cdots - t_7)} \\ & \leq & 7! (2) \left(\left(\ln \frac{8}{7} \right)^7 \frac{8}{7!} + \frac{7!}{3!4!} \left(\ln \frac{8}{7} \right)^4 \left(\ln \frac{5}{4} \right)^3 \right) < 2.6(10)^{-4}. \end{array}$$

Estimate of e3:

Define

$$\Delta_3 = \{(t_1, \dots, t_5) : \frac{1 - \frac{2t_0}{5}}{5} \le t_1 \le \frac{2t_0}{5}, \frac{1 - 2t_1}{4} \le t_2 \le t_1, \frac{1 - 3t_2}{3} \le t_3 \le t_2,$$
$$\frac{1 - 4t_3}{2} \le t_4 \le t_3, 1 - 5t_4 \le t_5 \le t_4\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{lll} e_{3} & \leq & 5!(2)(6) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta_{3}} \frac{dt_{1} \cdot dt_{3}}{t_{1} \cdots t_{k}} \\ & \leq & 1440 \int_{\frac{1}{11}}^{\frac{2}{11}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{9/11-t_{1}}{1}}^{\frac{t_{1}}{t_{1}} - t_{1}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{9/11-t_{1}-t_{2}}{2}}^{\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}} - t_{1}} dt_{3} \int_{\frac{9/11-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}}^{\frac{t_{3}}{t_{1}}} dt_{4} \int_{\frac{9/11-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}}^{\frac{t_{4}}{t_{1}} - t_{2}} dt_{4} \int_{\frac{9/11-t_{1}-t_{2}-t_{3}}{2}}^{\frac{t_{4}}{t_{1}} - t_{2}} dt_{4} \int_{\frac{1}{1}}^{\frac{t_{4}}{t_{1}} - t_{2}-t_{3}-t_{4}} \frac{dt_{5}}{t_{1} \cdots t_{b}}} \\ & \leq & 1440 \int_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\frac{2}{9}} da_{1} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{1}{1-a_{1}}} da_{2} \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{2}}} da_{3} \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{3}}} \frac{1}{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}a_{4}} \left(\frac{a_{4}}{1-a_{4}}-1\right) da_{4}} \\ & \leq & 1440 \int_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\frac{2}{9}} da_{1} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}} da_{2} \int_{\frac{1}{3}}^{\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{2}}} \frac{1}{a_{1}a_{2}a_{3}} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{3}}\right)}\left(\frac{1-\frac{a_{3}}{1-a_{3}}}{1-a_{3}}\right)\right) \frac{1}{4} \left(\frac{2a_{3}}{1-a_{3}}-1\right)^{2} da_{3}} \\ & \leq & 360 \int_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\frac{2}{9}} da_{1} \int_{\frac{1}{4}}^{\frac{a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}} \frac{1}{a_{1}a_{2}} \left(\frac{1}{\left(\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{2}}\right)\left(1-\frac{a_{2}}{1-a_{2}}\right)}\right) \left(\frac{1}{9}\right) \left(\frac{3a_{2}}{1-a_{2}}-1\right) da_{2}} \\ & \leq & 40 \int_{\frac{1}{8}}^{\frac{2}{9}} \frac{1}{a_{1}} \left(\frac{a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}\right)^{-3} \left(1-\frac{3a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{16}\right) \left(\frac{4a_{1}}{1-a_{1}}-1\right)^{4} da_{1}} \\ & \leq & (\frac{5}{8}) \left(\frac{9}{3}\right)^{4} \left(\frac{9}{38}\right) \left(\frac{1}{6}\right)^{5} = 0.00625. \end{array}$$

Estimation of e4.

We have, for $\{\theta_i\} \in \mathbf{D}_{i}^*$

$$1 = \theta_0 + \cdots + \theta_5 \le 4\left(\frac{3t_0}{8} + \frac{\theta_5}{8}\right) + \theta_4 + \theta_5.$$

Thus

$$\theta_4 \geq \frac{2}{5} - \frac{3t_0}{5},$$

and

$$\theta_4+\theta_5\geq \frac{4}{5}-\frac{6t_0}{5}.$$

Moreover

$$heta_0 \leq 1 - 2\left(rac{2t_0}{5} - rac{3t_0}{5}
ight) - 3(2 - 4t_0) = rac{66t_0}{5} - rac{29}{5} < rac{1}{5},$$

and

$$\theta_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - 2 \left(\frac{2}{5} - \frac{3t_0}{5} \right) - 2(2 - 4t_0) \right) \leq \frac{23t_0}{5} - \frac{19}{10} < \frac{21}{110}.$$

Then

$$\begin{array}{ll} e_4 & \leq & (5!)(5)(2) \int_{\frac{1}{11}}^{\frac{21}{110}} d\theta_1 \int_{\frac{1}{11}}^{\theta_1} d\theta_2 \int_{\frac{1}{121}}^{\theta_2} d\theta_3 \int_{\frac{1}{35}}^{\frac{1}{35}} d\theta_4 \int_{\frac{1}{35}}^{\theta_4} \frac{d\theta_5}{\theta_1 \cdots \theta_5} \\ & \leq & 1200 \int_{\frac{1}{11}}^{\frac{21}{110}} d\theta_1 \int_{\frac{1}{11}}^{\theta_1} d\theta_2 \int_{\frac{21}{211}}^{\theta_2} d\theta_3 \int_{\frac{5}{55}}^{\frac{5}{35}} \frac{(\frac{5\theta_4}{33} - \frac{2\theta_4}{33}) d\theta_4}{(\frac{7}{33} - \frac{2\theta_4}{3}) \theta_4} \\ & \leq & 1200 \left(\frac{8}{275}\right) \left((5.5)^3 \frac{1}{2(3)} \left(\frac{21}{110} - \frac{2}{11}\right)^3 + \left(\ln \frac{2(121)}{11(21)} \right) \frac{(5.5)^2}{2} \left(\frac{1}{110}\right)^2 \right) \\ & < & 0.00276. \end{array}$$

Estimation of es

$$\mathbf{D}_5^* = \{(\theta_0, \dots, \theta_5) : t_0/2 \ge \theta_0 \ge \theta_1 \ge \dots \ge \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0/10, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0/10, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0/10, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/11, \theta_3 + \theta_4 \ge 4 - 8t_0/10, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/10, \theta_3 \ge 1 - 20t_0/10,$$

$$t_0/5 \ge \theta_5 \ge t_0/10, \theta_0 + \theta_5 \le t_0/2$$
.

We have that

$$\theta_0 < 5/22 - \theta_5/2 < 9/44$$

and

$$1/22 < \theta_5 < 5/11 - 2\theta_0 \le 5/11 - 2\theta_1.$$

Thus

$$e_5 \leq (5!)(2)(5.5) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta_k} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_5}{t_1 \cdots t_5}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{ll} \Delta_5 &=& \left\{ (t_1,\cdots,t_5) : \frac{2}{11} \leq t_1 \leq \frac{9}{44}, \frac{2}{11} \leq t_2 \leq \min\left\{\frac{13}{22} - 2t_1, t_1\right\}, \\ & \qquad \qquad \frac{2}{11} \leq t_3 \leq \min\left\{t_2, \frac{189}{242} - 2t_1 - t_2\right\}, \max\left\{\frac{4}{11} - t_3, \frac{21}{121}\right\} \leq t_4 \leq t_3, \\ & \qquad \qquad \frac{1}{22} \leq t_5 \leq \min\left\{\frac{5}{11} - 2t_1, \frac{1}{11}\right\}. \end{array}$$

We have

$$\begin{array}{lll} e_{5} & \leq & (5!)(2)(5.5) \int_{\frac{21}{1}}^{\frac{21}{4}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{21}{1}}^{\min\left\{\frac{13}{22}-2t_{1},t_{1}\right\}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{21}{1}}^{t_{3}} dt_{3} \int_{\frac{21}{11}}^{t_{3}} \frac{\ln(10-44t_{1})}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}t_{4}} dt_{4} \\ & \leq & 1320 \int_{\frac{2}{1}}^{\frac{24}{4}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{21}{11}}^{\min\left\{\frac{13}{22}-2t_{1},t_{1}\right\}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{21}{11}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}} \left((5.5)\left(t_{3}-\frac{2}{11}\right)+\ln\frac{22}{21}\right)\left(9-44t_{1}\right) dt_{3} \\ & \leq & 1320(5.5)^{3} \int_{\frac{2}{11}}^{\frac{24}{4}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{21}{11}}^{\min\left\{\frac{13}{22}-2t_{1},t_{1}\right\}} \left(\frac{5.5}{2}\left(t_{2}-\frac{2}{11}\right)^{2}+\left(\ln\frac{22}{21}\right)\left(t_{2}-\frac{2}{11}\right)\right)\left(9-44t_{1}\right) dt_{2} \\ & \leq & 1320(5.5)^{3} \left[\int_{\frac{2}{11}}^{\frac{13}{66}} \left(\frac{5.5}{6}\left(t_{1}-\frac{2}{11}\right)^{3}+\frac{\ln\frac{22}{21}}{2}\left(t_{1}-\frac{2}{11}\right)^{2}\right)\left(9-44t_{1}\right) dt_{1} \\ & + & \int_{\frac{13}{66}}^{\frac{2}{4}} \left(\frac{5.5}{6}\left(\frac{9}{22}-2t_{1}\right)^{3}+\frac{\ln\frac{22}{21}}{2}\left(\frac{9}{22}-2t_{1}\right)^{2}\right)\left(9-44t_{1}\right) dt_{1} \right] \\ & \leq & 219625 \left[\frac{5.5}{6}\left(\frac{1}{12}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{4}+\frac{11}{5}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{5}\right)+\frac{\ln\frac{22}{21}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{9}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{3}+\frac{44}{8}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{4}\right) \\ & + & \frac{5.5}{6}\left(\frac{1}{24}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{4}+\frac{44}{10}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{5}\right)+\frac{\ln\frac{22}{21}}{2}\left(\frac{1}{18}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{3}+\frac{44}{8}\left(\frac{1}{66}\right)^{4}\right) \right] \\ & \leq & 0.007817. \end{array}$$

We estimate e_6 now.

We have

$$\mathbf{D}_{6}^{\star} = \left\{ (\theta_{0}, \dots, \theta_{4}) : \frac{t_{0}}{2} \geq \theta_{0} \geq \dots \geq \theta_{4} \geq 1 - \frac{20}{11} t_{0}, \theta_{3} + \theta_{4} \geq 4 - 8t_{0} \right\}.$$

Then $1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_4 = \theta_0 \ge 1/5$, and

$$e_6 \leq (4!)(2)(5) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta_5} \frac{dt_1 dt_2 dt_3 dt_4}{t_1 t_2 t_3 t_4},$$

where

$$\Delta_{6} = \{(t_{1}, \cdots, t_{4}): 5/22 \geq t_{1} \geq \cdots \geq t_{4} \geq 21/121, t_{3} + t_{4} \geq 4/11, 2t_{1} + t_{2} + t_{3} + t_{4} \leq 1\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{array}{lll} e_{6} & \leq & 240 \int_{\frac{17}{88}}^{\frac{5}{27}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{86}-\frac{1}{3}}^{\min\{\frac{7}{11}-2t_{1},t_{1}\}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{27}{11}}^{t_{2}} dt_{3} \int_{\frac{17}{11}}^{t_{3}} \frac{dt_{4}}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}t_{4}} \\ & \leq & 240 \int_{\frac{17}{88}}^{\frac{5}{22}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{16}-\frac{1}{3}}^{\min\{\frac{7}{11}-2t_{1},t_{1}\}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{7}{11}}^{t_{3}} \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}} \left(\left(\ln \frac{11t_{3}}{2} \right) + \ln \frac{22}{21} \right) dt_{3} \\ & \leq & 240(5.5) \int_{\frac{17}{18}}^{\frac{5}{22}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{165}-\frac{1}{3}}^{\min\{\frac{7}{11}-2t_{1},t_{1}\}} dt_{2} \int_{\frac{7}{11}-2t_{1}}^{t_{2}} \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}t_{3}} \left(\frac{11t_{3}-2}{2} + \ln \frac{22}{21} \right) dt_{3} \\ & \leq & 240(5.5) \int_{\frac{17}{18}}^{\frac{5}{22}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{11}-2t_{1}}^{\min\{\frac{7}{11}-2t_{1},t_{1}\}} \frac{1}{t_{1}t_{2}} \left(\frac{5.5}{2} \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right)^{2} + \left(\ln \frac{22}{21} \right) \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right) \right) dt_{2} \\ & \leq & 1320 \int_{\frac{5}{20}}^{\frac{5}{22}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{11}-2t_{1}}^{\frac{1}{11}-2t_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1}\left(\frac{17}{16}-\frac{1}{3}\right)} \left(\frac{5.5}{2} \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right)^{2} + \left(\ln \frac{22}{21} \right) \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right) \right) dt_{2} \\ & + 1320 \int_{\frac{5}{86}}^{\frac{7}{33}} dt_{1} \int_{\frac{17}{17}-2t_{1}}^{\frac{1}{11}-2t_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1}\left(\frac{17}{16}-\frac{1}{3}\right)} \left(\frac{5.5}{2} \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right)^{2} + \left(\ln \frac{22}{21} \right) \left(t_{2} - \frac{2}{11} \right) \right) dt_{2} \\ & \leq & 1320 \left(\frac{22}{5} \right) \left(\frac{66}{15} \right) \int_{\frac{7}{33}}^{\frac{7}{33}} \left(\frac{5.5}{6} \left(\frac{1}{15} - 2t_{1} \right)^{3} - \frac{5.5}{6} \left(\frac{5}{66} - \frac{t_{1}}{3} \right)^{3} \right) \\ & + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{5}{11} - 2t_{1} \right)^{2} \left(\ln \frac{22}{21} \right) - \frac{\ln \frac{22}{21}}{2} \left(\frac{5}{66} - \frac{t_{1}}{3} \right) \right] dt_{1} \\ & \leq & 31944 \left(\frac{5.5}{48} \left(\frac{1}{133} \right)^{4} - \frac{5.5}{6} \left(\frac{3}{4} \right) \left(\frac{1}{168} \right)^{4} + \frac{11}{12} \left(\ln \frac{22}{21} \right) \left(\frac{1}{33} \right)^{3} - \frac{\ln \frac{22}{21}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{66} - \frac{t_{1}}{3} \right)^{2} \right) dt_{1} \\ & \leq & 31944 \left(\frac{5.5}{48} \left(\frac{1}{133} \right)^{4} - \frac{5.5}{6} \left(\frac{1}{48} \right)^{4} + \frac{16.5}{24} \left(\frac{1}{198} \right)^{4} - \frac{16.5}{24} \left(\frac{1}{88} \right)^{4} + \frac{16.5}{6} \left(\frac{1}{198} \right)^{4} - \frac{16.5}{24} \left(\frac{1}{88} \right)^{4} + \frac{\ln \frac{22}{12}}{6} \left(\frac{1}{33} \right)^{3} - \frac{\ln \frac{22}{21}}{6} \left(\frac{1}{68} \right)^{3} \\ & + \frac{\ln \frac{22}{21}}{2} \left(\frac{1}{108} \right)^{3} - \frac{\ln \frac{22}{21}}{2}$$

Finally, we estimate e_7 . We have

$$e_7 \leq (5!)(5)(2)(\frac{121}{21}) \int \cdots \int_{\Delta_7} \frac{dt_1 \cdots dt_6}{t_1 \cdots t_6}$$

where

$$\Delta_7 = \{(t_1, \cdots, t_6): 2/11 \geq t_1 \geq \cdots \geq t_4 \geq 21/121, 1/11 \geq t_5 \geq t_6 \geq 1/22\}.$$

Thus

$$e_7 \le \frac{1}{4!} (\ln \frac{22}{21})^4 (1 - \ln 2) < 0.0002071.$$

EDITORIAL NOTE. Editors came to know (by private communication) that Theorem A of this paper was proved independently by Professor D.R. HEATH-BROWN long ago. He had a lot of unpublished material dating back to 1983 regarding Theorem A.