

A trivial remark on Goldbach conjecture K Ramachandra

▶ To cite this version:

K Ramachandra. A trivial remark on Goldbach conjecture. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1989, Volume 12 - 1989, pp.14 - 19. 10.46298/hrj.1989.111 . hal-01104351

HAL Id: hal-01104351 https://hal.science/hal-01104351v1

Submitted on 16 Jan 2015 $\,$

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal Vol.12 (1989) 14-19

A TRIVIAL REMARK ON GOLDBACH CONJECTURE BY K. RAMACHANDRA

§ 1. INTRODUCTION. In [3] S. Srinivasan has proved the following conditional theorem. (We write $e(x) = exp(2\pi ix)$).

THEOREM. Let B > 10 be arbitrary. Suppose there is a function δ_B tending to zero as $B \to \infty$, such that

$$|\int_{\mathcal{M}_3} S^2(\alpha) e(-N \ \alpha) d \ \alpha | < \delta_B \frac{N}{(\log N)^2} (\frac{N}{\varphi(N)}),$$

where $S(\alpha) = \sum_{p \leq X} e(p\alpha)(p : primes)$, N = [X] and \mathcal{M}_3 is the part of the minor arcs corresponding to denominators q,

$$Q(\log X)^{-2} < q < Q,$$

with $Q = X(\log X)^{-B}$. Then the number r(N) of representations of N as a sum of two odd primes satisfies

$$r(N) = (1 + o(1))S_0(N)T(N)$$

as $N \to \infty$, where $T(N) = \sum (\log m_1 \log m_2)^{-1}$ the summation being over integers $m_1 > 1, m_2 > 1$ and $m_1 + m_2 = N$, and

$$S_0(N) = \prod_{p \not \mid N} (1 - \frac{1}{(p-1)^2}) \prod_{p \mid N} (1 + \frac{1}{p-1}).$$

The analogous statement holds good for the twin-primes conjecture also.

In this note we prove the theorem above with $Q(\log X)^{-2} < q < Q$ replaced by $Q(\log X)^{-1-\epsilon} < q < Q$ where $\epsilon > 0$ is any small constant. (In conversation with Srinivasan I came to know that he also knew this result. In fact it follows by his conditions on A, B, C. However my treatment is different.)

We now describe his basic and supplementary intervals. Let

$$L = \log X, Q = X L^{-B}, Q_1 = L^A, Q_0 = X L^{-(B+C)}$$

where the constants A, B, C satisfy

$$A > 3(B + C), B > 1, C > 1.$$

Let \mathcal{M}_1 , be the union of α -intervals defined by

$$| \alpha - \frac{h}{q} | < \frac{1}{Q}, 0 \le h < q, (h,q) = 1, \text{ and } q \le Q_1.$$

These intervals are disjoint and

$$\int_{\mathcal{M}_1} S^2(\alpha) e(-N \ \alpha) d \ \alpha = T(N) S_0(N) + O(\frac{1}{A} \ \frac{N}{Q(N)} \ \frac{N}{(\log N)^2}).$$

 \mathcal{M}_2 is in union of the α -intervals defined by

$$\mathcal{M}_2 = \bigcup_{Q_1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \bigcup_{\substack{h=0\\(h,q)=1}}^{q-1} \mathcal{M}(h,q)$$

where $\mathcal{M}(h q) = [\frac{h}{q} - (q Q)^{-1}, \frac{h}{q} + (q Q)^{-1}].$

$$M = [-Q^{-1}, 1 - Q^{-1}] \text{ and } \mathcal{M} = \bigcup_{\substack{1 \le q \le Q \\ (h,q)=1}} \bigcup_{\substack{h=0 \\ (h,q)=1}}^{q-1} \mathcal{M}(h,q).$$

We know that $\mathcal{M} = I$ and we have to get an asymptotic formula for

$$\int_I S^2(\alpha) e(-N \ \alpha) d \ \alpha.$$

We put $\mathcal{M}_3 = I - (\mathcal{M}_1 \cup \mathcal{M}_2)$ and we get the result of Srinivasan. (To sum up, the basic intervals treatment of Srinivasan follows Prachar (see [2] p.182) and that of Gailagher (see [1], Lemma 7) and his supplementary intervals treatment involves a simple but new idea). We give in the next section our new treatment of supplementary intervals.

§ 2. NEW TREATMENT OF SUPPLEMENTARY INTERVALS. In Lemmas 2 to 5 constants implied by the Vinogradov symbol \ll depend on the integer constant k.

LEMMA 1. Let v and γ be any two real numbers and f(x) a k times continuously differentiable function defined in $v \le x \le v + k\gamma$. Then

$$\int_0^{\gamma} \cdots \int_0^{\gamma} f^k (v + u_1 + \cdots + u_k) du_1 \cdots du_k$$

= $f(v + k\gamma) - {k \choose 1} f(v + (k - 1)\gamma) + \cdots + (-1)^k f(v).$

PROOF. The lemma follows by induction on k.

LEMMA 2. Let Q_0, Q_1, Q and q be positive integers with

$$Q_1\leq q\leq Q_0\leq Q.$$

Let a be an integer satisfying $1 \le a \le q$, $(a,q) = 1, \gamma$ a real number satisfying $|\gamma| \le \frac{1}{q Q_0}, k$ a fixed natural number, $v = \frac{a}{q} + \beta$ with $0 \le |\beta| \le \frac{1}{q Q}$. Put $F(x) = \sum_{p \le N} e(p x)$ where N is any natural number and $f(x) = |F(x)|^2$.

$$f(v) \ll \frac{N^{k+2}}{(\log N)^2 (q Q_0)^k} + \sum_{j=1}^k f(v+j \gamma).$$

Let

PROOF. The first term on the RHS comes from a trivial estimation of the multiple integral in Lemma 1 and the second term is obvious.

LEMMA 3. We have,

$$\frac{2f(\upsilon)}{q Q_0} = \int_{|\gamma| \le \frac{1}{q Q_0}} f(\upsilon) d\gamma$$
$$\ll \frac{N^{k+2}}{(\log N)^2 (q Q_0)^{k+1}} + \int_{|w| \le \frac{k+1}{q Q_0}} f(\frac{a}{q} + w) dw.$$

PROOF. Follows from Lemma 2 on integration with respect to γ since for $1 \leq j \leq k$ we have

$$\int_{|\gamma| \leq \frac{1}{q Q_0}} f(\frac{a}{q} + \beta + j\gamma) d\gamma \ll \int_{|w| \leq \frac{k+1}{q Q_0}} f(\frac{a}{q} + w) dw$$

(on putting $\beta + j \gamma = w$).

LEMMA 4. Any fixed interval

$$\frac{a}{q} \pm \frac{k+1}{q Q_0} (1 \le a \le q, (a,q) = 1, 1 \le q \le Q_0)$$

intersects at most O(1) other intervals and so

$$\sum_{q \leq Q_0} \sum_a \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q \cdot Q}} \int_{|w| \leq \frac{k+1}{q \cdot Q_0}} f(\frac{a}{q} + w) dw$$
$$\ll (\int_0^1 f(x) dx) \frac{Q_0}{Q} \ll \frac{N}{\log N} (\frac{Q_0}{Q}).$$

PROOF. Follows by the remark that the innermost integral on the LHS is independent of β .

LEMMA 5. We have

$$\sum_{Q_1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{a} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q \cdot Q}} f(\frac{a}{q} + \beta) d\beta$$

$$\ll \frac{N^2}{Q(\log N)^2} \left(\frac{N}{Q_0 Q_1}\right)^k + \frac{N}{\log N} \left(\frac{Q_0}{Q}\right).$$

PROOF. By Lemma 3, we have,

$$\sum_{a} f(\frac{a}{q} + \beta) \ll \frac{N^{k+2}q Q_0}{(\log N)^2 (q Q_0)^{k+1}} + q Q_0 \sum_{a} \int_{|\gamma| \le \frac{k+1}{q Q_0}} f(\frac{a}{q} + w) dw.$$

Integrating with respect to β in $|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{qQ}$ and summing over q in

 $Q_1 \leq q \leq Q_0$

we obtain

$$\begin{split} \sum_{Q_1 \leq q \leq Q_0} \sum_{a} \int_{|\beta| \leq \frac{1}{q} Q} f(\frac{a}{q} + \beta) d\beta \\ \frac{N^{k+2}}{(\log N)^2} \sum_{q \geq Q_1} \frac{q Q_0}{(q Q_0)^{k+1}} (\frac{1}{q Q}) + (\frac{Q_0}{Q}) (\frac{N}{\log N}) \\ \ll \frac{N^2}{Q(\log N)^2} (\frac{N}{Q_0 Q_1})^k + (\frac{Q_0}{Q}) (\frac{N}{\log N}). \end{split}$$

This proves the lemma.

§ 3. REMARKS. The basic intervals are already investigated by S. Srinivasan [3]. The application of the results of §2 to estimation of a trivial portion of supplementary intervals is clear. For instance we can take

$$Q = \frac{N}{(\log N)^A}, Q_0 = N(\log N)^{-A-1-\varepsilon}, Q_1 = (\log N)^{A+1+2\varepsilon}, k \geq k_0(\varepsilon, A).$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. The author wishes to thank Dr. S. SRINI-VASAN for going through an earlier draft of this note.

18

REFERENCES

- 1. P.X. GALLAGHER, Primes and powers of 2, Invent Math. 29 (1975), 125-142.
- 2. K. PRACHAR, Primzahlverteilung, Berlin/New York, (1957).
- 3. S. SRINIVASAN, A remark on Goldbach problem, J. Number Theory 12 (1980), 116-121.

ADDRESS OF THE AUTHOR

PROFESSOR K. RAMACHANDRA SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS TATA INSTITUTE OF FUNDAMENTAL RESEARCH HOMI BHABHA ROAD BOMBAY 400 005 INDIA