

On series integrals and continued fractions I K Ramachandra

To cite this version:

K Ramachandra. On series integrals and continued fractions I. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1981, supplement, Volume 4 - 1981, pp.1 - 11. 10.46298/hrj.1981.93. hal-01104072

HAL Id: hal-01104072 <https://hal.science/hal-01104072>

Submitted on 16 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hordy-Ramonujon Journal Supplement to Vol. 4 (1981) $1 - 11$.

ON SERIES INTEGRALS AND CONTINUED FRACTIONS- I

By K. RAMACHANDRA

(To my Teachers at School and College on the occasion of the EIGHTIETH BIRTHDAY of Professor B.S. M4DH4VA RAO. D.Sc., F.A.,Sc., F.N.I., Retired Principal. Central College. Bangalore J

§ I. Introduction

I had not thought of publishing my researches in my school and college days. Now I believe that a few of them deserve to be printed. One of them is the evaluation

$$
\frac{1}{z} \left(\frac{z}{z+1}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{z+2} \left(\frac{z \cdot z + 2}{z+1 \cdot z+3}\right)^2 + \frac{1}{z+4} \left(\frac{z \cdot z + 2 \cdot z + 4}{z+1 \cdot z+3 \cdot z+5}\right)^2 + \dots
$$

$$
= z - \frac{\pi}{2} \frac{\Gamma^2(z)}{\Gamma(\frac{z}{2})^4}.
$$
 (1)

My earlier proof of this depended on relating the series to a b_1 b_2 b_3 suitable continued fraction $a + \frac{1}{a} + \frac{2}{a} + \frac{3}{a} + \dots$ and then evaluating the latter. The evaluation of this continued fraction was done independently by me in an attempt to prove formula (1.8) (page 8 of [2]) of S. Ramanujan. But (1) is related to the continued fraction whose evaluation seems to be known to

L. Euler; see (1]. I have now forgotten my earlier proof of (1). I give another proof which lends itself to further generalizations. These results seem to be new. As a corollary to our method many results follow of which 1 state one at once.

Theorem

Let a_i , β_i (i = 1 to k) be complex constants and z *a complex variable. Let* $\leq \beta$; $-1 + \leq a$; and $\phi(z)$ $k \times z + a_i$ –1 $=\frac{\kappa}{\pi} \left(\frac{i}{z+\beta_i} \right), \lambda(z) = -1 - z + z \left(\phi(z) \right)^{-1}$. *Then, we* $i - 1$ i *have,* λ (z) ϕ (z) + λ (z + 1) ϕ (z) ϕ (z + 1) + λ (z + 2) φ (z) ϕ (z+1) ϕ (z+2) + ... = $z - \frac{k}{\pi} \frac{\Gamma(z+\beta_i)}{\Gamma(z+a)}$ (2) $i=1$ $\qquad \qquad$

for all values of the parameters for which both sides make sense. Also, we have a trivial summation.

$$
b_0 = \left(\frac{b_0}{a_0} - b_1\right) a_0 + \left(\frac{b_1}{a_1} - b_2\right) a_0 a_1 + \left(\frac{b_2}{a_2} - b_3\right) a_0 a_1 a_2 + \cdots \qquad (3)
$$

Hence

$$
1 = \left(\frac{1}{\phi(z)} - 1\right) \phi(z) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi(z+1)} - 1\right) \phi(z) \phi(z+1) + \left(\frac{1}{\phi(z+2)} - 1\right) \phi(z) \phi(z+1) \phi(z+2) + \dots \qquad (4)
$$

Remark 1

If we put $k = 2$, $a_1 = a_2 = 0$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \frac{1}{2}$ in (2) we get $\phi(z) = \left(\frac{2z}{2z+1} \right)^2$ and $\lambda(z) = -1 - z + z^{-1} (z + z)^2$ $=\frac{1}{4z}$. If we now write z/2 in place of z and use the dupli-

cation formula for Γ (2z) we get (1). We record the special case $z = 1$ of (1) namely

$$
-\frac{1}{1}(\frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{3}(\frac{1.3}{2.4})^2 + \frac{1}{5}(\frac{1.3.5}{2.4.6})^2 + \dots = 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}.
$$

Note that $\frac{1}{\phi(z)} - 1 = \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{(2z)^2}$ and so from (4) with $z = 1$
we get $\left(\text{on using the expression for } 1 - \frac{2}{\pi}\right)$

$$
\frac{1}{1^2} (\frac{1}{2})^2 + \frac{1}{3^2}(\frac{1.3}{2.4})^2 + \frac{1}{5^2}(\frac{1.3.5}{2.4.6})^2 + \dots = \frac{4}{\pi} - 1.
$$

But these are not new. The second was first discovered by Euler [1].

Remark 2. If in (2) we put
$$
k = 2m
$$
, $a_1 = ... = a_m = \frac{1}{2}$,
\n $a_{m+1} = ... = a_{2m} = -\frac{1}{2}$, $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = ... = \beta_{2m} = -1 = 0$,
\n $\beta_{2m} = 1$, we get $\phi(z) = \left(z^2 - \frac{1}{4}\right)^m z^{1-2m} (z+1)^{-1}$ and
\n $\lambda(z) = -1 - z + (z+1) z^{2m} (z^2 - \frac{1}{4})^{-m}$
\n $= \frac{z^{2m} (z+1)}{(z^2 - \frac{1}{4})^m} (1 - (1 - \frac{1}{4z^2})^m)$, and
\n $\phi(z) \phi(z+1)... \phi(z+n)$
\n $= \left(\frac{(z-\frac{1}{2}) (z-\frac{1}{2}+1) ... (z-\frac{1}{2}+n)}{z (z+1) ... (z+n)}\right)^{2m}$
\n $\times \left(\frac{z (z+n+\frac{1}{2})^m}{(z+n+1) (z-\frac{1}{2})^m}\right)$.

Remark 3. By linear combinations of (2) and (4) we can get some nice formulae for $\left(\frac{1}{\pi}\right)^m$ ($m = 1, 2, 3,...$) which are, 1 believe, new. For this purpose a convenient choice is $k=2m$, $a_i = 0$ (*i* = 1 to *k*), $\beta_k = \beta_{k-1} = 1, \beta_1 = \beta_2 = \dots$

$$
B_{m-1} = 1 = -B_{m} = -B_{m-1} = ... = -B_{k} = 2
$$

\nHence $\phi(z) = z^{2m}((z + 1)^{m+1} (z - 1)^{m-1})^{-1}$,
\n
$$
\frac{1}{\phi(z)} - 1 = \left(1 + \frac{1}{2z}\right)^{m+1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2z}\right)^{m-1} - 1
$$
\n
$$
= \left(1 + \frac{1}{z} + \frac{1}{4z^{2}}\right)^{m-1} \left(\frac{1}{z}\right)^{m-1} - 1
$$
\n
$$
\lambda(z) = -1 + z \left(\frac{1}{\phi(z)} - 1\right)
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{4z} + z \left(1 + \frac{1}{2z}\right)^{2} \left(\frac{m-1}{z} \left(\frac{m}{z}\right)\right) \left(-\frac{1}{4z^{2}}\right)^{y},
$$
\n
$$
\phi(z) \phi(z + 1) \dots \phi(z + n)
$$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{z(z + 1) \dots (z + n)}{(z + 1) \dots (z + 1 - n)}\right)^{2m} \left(\frac{z + n + 1}{z - 1}\right)^{m - 1}
$$
\nWith this choice we get the formula
\n
$$
z - \left(\frac{\Gamma(z + 1) \Gamma(z - 1)}{(\Gamma(z))^{2}}\right)^{m} \left(\frac{\Gamma(z + 1)}{\Gamma(z - 1)}\right) =
$$
\n
$$
\frac{\infty}{2} \lambda(z + \gamma) \phi(z) \phi(z + 1) \dots \phi(z + \gamma) \dots
$$
\n
$$
\gamma = 0
$$
\nPutting $z = 3/2$ we get $\phi\left(\frac{3}{2}\right) \phi\left(\frac{5}{2}\right) \dots \phi\left(\frac{3}{2} + n\right)$
\n
$$
= \left(\frac{3 \dots (2n + 3)}{4.6 \dots (2n + 4)}\right)^{2m} \left(\frac{n + 2}{n + 1}\right)^{m - 1}
$$
\n
$$
\frac{3}{2} - \left(\frac{4}{\pi}\right)^{m} = 2^{2m} \left(\frac{\infty}{n + 1}\right)^{m - 1} [1 + (
$$

Remark 4. It is possible to generalise theorem 1 further by relaxing the restrictions like $\sum \beta_i = 1 + \sum \alpha_i$. This will be apparent on examining our proof of theorem 1. However we reserve these for another paper.

§ **2. Proof of Theorem I**

The proof can be split up into a few easy lemmas.

Lemma I *A solution of the equation*

$$
\psi(z) = \lambda(z) \phi(z) + \phi(z) \psi(z+1) \text{ is } \psi(z) = z.
$$

Proof: We choose λ (z) in this way.

Lemma 2 *A solution of the equation*

$$
\psi(z) = \phi(z) \psi(z+1) \text{ is}
$$

$$
\psi(z) = \frac{\kappa}{\mu} \left(\frac{\Gamma(z+\beta_i)}{\Gamma(z+\beta_i)} \right).
$$

Proof: Trivial since Γ (z + 1) = z Γ (z).

Lemma 3 *Let* F (z) *dmote the series on the* LHS of (2). Then F (z) converges uniformly over compact subsets in the whole of *the complex plane except in the mighbourhoods of* $z = - \beta_1$, $- \beta_2$, ..., $- \beta_k$. Also $\psi(z) = F(z)$ is another *solution of the equation mentioned in Lemma* 1.

Proof: Follows from the facts that as $|z| \rightarrow \infty$ we have $\varphi(z) = 1 - \frac{1}{z} + O\left(\frac{1}{z^2}\right)$ and $\lambda(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{|z|}\right)$. Because

the nth term of the series for F *(z)* is

$$
O\left(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{2\leq m\leq n}\left(1-\frac{1}{m}+O\left(\frac{1}{m^2}\right)\right)\right)
$$
 uniformly in z so

long as z is in any compact set not containing the points $- \beta_1$, $- \beta_2$, \ldots , $- \beta_k$. The last expression is

$$
O\left(\frac{1}{n}\operatorname{Exp}\left(-\frac{1}{2\leq m\leq n}\frac{1}{m}\right)\right)=O\left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right).
$$

Lemma 4 A *solution of the equation*

$$
\psi(z) = \phi(z) \psi(z+1) \text{ is}
$$
\n
$$
\psi(z) = F(z) - z + \frac{k}{i-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(z+\beta_i)}{\Gamma(z+a_i)} \right) \text{. Moreover as}
$$

 $z \rightarrow \infty$ through positive real values this solution is $O(z^{\epsilon})$ *for every fixed* $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} > 0$.

Proof: The first part follows from the fact that $\psi(z) = z$ and F(z) are two solutions of the equation mentioned in lemma 1. If A_n denotes the *n*th term in the series for $F(z)$, then

$$
A_n = O\left(\lambda\left(z+n\right)\phi\left(z\right)\phi\left(z+1\right)\dots\phi\left(z+n\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{1}{z+n}\exp\left(-\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq n}\frac{1}{z+\gamma}\right)\right).
$$
\nsince\n
$$
\sum_{0\leq\gamma\leq n}\frac{1}{\left(z+\gamma\right)^2} = O\left(1\right),
$$
\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{1}{z+n}\exp\left(-\sum_{1\leq\gamma\leq n+2}\frac{1}{\gamma} + \sum_{1\leq\gamma\leq z+1}\frac{1}{\gamma}\right)\right)
$$
\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{z}{\left(z+n\right)^2}\right).
$$

and so

$$
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n = O\left(z \sum_{\gamma > 2-1}^{\infty} \gamma \right) = O\left(1\right).
$$

It now remains to check
$$
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(\frac{\Gamma\left(z + \beta_{i}\right)}{\Gamma\left(z + \alpha_{i}\right)} \right) - z = O\left(z^{\epsilon}\right) \text{ as}
$$

 $z \rightarrow \infty$. For this it suffices to prove that if b; and d; (i = 1) to *k*) are complex numbers with $\mathbf{X} \mathbf{b}_i = \mathbf{X} \mathbf{d}_i$ then as $z \to \infty$,

$$
\frac{k}{n-1} \left(\frac{\Gamma(z+b_i)}{\Gamma(z+d_i)} \right) = 1 + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \text{ i.e. } \sum_{i=1}^k \log \left(\frac{\Gamma(z+b_i)}{\Gamma(z+d_i)} \right)
$$
\n
$$
= O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right). \text{ This follows from the well-known asymptotic formula log } \Gamma(z) = (z-\frac{1}{2}) \log z - z + \frac{1}{2} \log (2\pi) + O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \text{ (as } z \to \infty).
$$

Lemma 5

Let z belong to some fired sub-interval of length $\frac{1}{10}$ of $(0, \infty)$ to the right of which $-\beta_i + 1$ do not lie. Then the solution ψ (z) of the equation in lemma 4 *vanishes for all z in the interval under question and hence identically for all z.*

Prouf: If *z* belongs to the interval we have by lemma 4, ψ (z) = ϕ (z) ψ (z + 1) $= \oint (z) \oint (z + 1) \dots \oint (z + n) \oint (z + n + 1)$ $= O((\text{Exp }(- \sum \frac{1}{2})) n^{\frac{1}{2}})$ $1 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant n^{\gamma}$

since ϕ (z) ϕ (z+1) ... ϕ (z+n) = 0 (Exp (- $\sum_{\mathbf{y}} \frac{1}{\mathbf{y}}$)) $1 \leqslant \gamma \leqslant n$

and $(z + n + 1) = O(n^{\frac{1}{2}})$. Hence(*n* being arbitrary) ψ (*z*) is zero in the interval under question and hence for all *z* by analytic continuation.

Lemma *5* completes the proof of Theorem 1.

§ 3. **Further Remarks**

We can allow $\sum \beta_i = j + \sum a_i$, where j is any integer in Theorem 1 and state more general theorems. These and further

8 K. RAMACHANDRA

generalizations will be reserved for another paper. Next we remark that it is perhaps possible to put all these in the more general set up of *q* series, *q-* products and their limiting processes. With this in view we state the following theorem oa basic q-series amd products like

$$
f(x) = \frac{\infty}{n} (1 - xq^{n})
$$
 where $0 < q < 1$, and x is a complex

variable such that $f(x)$ and $(f(x))$ ¹ are both regular.

Theorem 2.

Let i and j run through numbers i = 1, 2, ..., h_1 , $j = 1, 2, ...$ $h_1 h_2$ where $h_1 \geq 0$, $h_2 > 0$ and $h_1 + h_2 > 1$. *Put* $F_1(x) = [(\sum_i f(x_i)) (\pi f(xy_j))^{-1}]$ where $x_{\boldsymbol i}$ and $y_{\boldsymbol j}$ are some fixed complex numbers. Then we have *trivially* $F_1(x) = g(x) F_1(xq)$ *where* $g(x) = (\frac{\pi}{i} (1 - x x_i) (\frac{\pi}{i} (1 - xy_j))^{-1}$ *Further if* μ *(x)* = (*g (x)*)⁻¹ - 1 *then* $1 - F_1(x) = \mu(x) g(x) + \mu(xq) g(x) g(xq)$ $+ \mu(xq^2) g(x) g(xq) g(xq^2) +$

whenever both sides make sense.

Proof: Denote the RHS in the second assertion by $F_2(x)$. It is easy to check that both $1 - F_1(x)$ and $F_2(x)$ satisfy the equation $J(x) = \mu(x) g(x) + J(xq) g(x)$ so that their difference W (x) = 1 - F₁ (x) - F₂ (x) satisfies,

$$
W(x) = g(x) W(xq).
$$

But since $g(x) g(xq) g(xq^2)$... is convergent and W (xq^m) . tends to zero as $n \to \infty$ the only solution of this equation is $W(x) \equiv 0$, and this proves the theorem.

Remark 1. Let
$$
x_1 - x_2 = ... x_{h_1} = 1
$$
. Then
\n
$$
g(x) = \left(\frac{i}{(1-x)^{h_1}}\right)^{-1}
$$
 and
\n
$$
\mu(x) = (g(x))^{-1} - 1 = \frac{\pi(1-x_1) - (1-x)^{h_1}}{(1-x)^{h_1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{(-x \sigma_1 + x^2 \sigma_2 - ...) - (-\binom{h_1}{1}x + \binom{h_1}{2}x^2 - ...)}{(1-x)^{h_1}}
$$
\n
$$
= \sum (1-x)^{y} a'_{y}
$$
\n
$$
= h_1 < y < |h_1 - h_2|
$$
\nwhere a'_{y} are polynomials in y_j . We thus obtain an expression

for
$$
1 - {n \choose n} \left(\frac{n}{\pi} \prod_{j=0}^{h_1} \left(\frac{h_2}{\pi} \prod_{j=0}^{k} \frac{1}{n-1} (1 - xy_j q^n)\right)^{-1}
$$

in terms of $g(x)$, $g(xq)$, ... where $g(x)$ is already described.

§ 4. Concluding Remarks.

I have other results on series integrals and continued fractions. (I hope to publish them in a continuation of the present series). Here I take the opportunity of mentioning some of the results (for some of them see [3]) which I read at the Indian Science Congress 45th Session, Madras (1958), when the then President (of the Mathematics Section) Professor B. S. Madhava Rao asked me to present my results.

Theorem 3. Let $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{3} + ... + \frac{1}{n}$ (*n* > 1). Then ~ . $\sum_{1}^{8} 2^{-n}$ H_n³ = ζ (3) + $\frac{1}{2}$ { π^2 log 2 + (log 2)³ }, $n=1$ $n \rightarrow 3$ $\sum_{n=1}^{n}$ (π 10g 2) $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (-1)ⁿ $\frac{H_n^3}{n} = \frac{9}{8}$ (3) log 2 + $\frac{1}{2}$ $\left(\ln 2 \right)^4 - \frac{1}{2}$ (π $\ln 2 \right)^2 - \frac{\pi^4}{2}$ $\frac{1}{4}$ (log 2)^{$4 - \frac{1}{8}$ (π log 2) $\frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{144}$,} $\frac{\infty}{2}$ (-1)ⁿ (3n+1) 2^{- n} H_n³ = (log 3 - log 2)², $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{H_n^3}{n(n+1)}$ - $\frac{\pi^4}{9}$ 00 $\boldsymbol{\pi}$ ~ 9 $\frac{\infty}{2}$ $\frac{-n-1.4}{H}$ 15 ∞ 13 2 7 3 $\frac{2}{n} n^2$ H = $\frac{13}{4} \zeta$ (3) + $\frac{13}{6} \pi^2 \log 2 + \frac{7}{3} (\log 2)^3$. The proofs of these and others involving higher powers

k H $(k = 1, 2, 3, ...)$ will appear elsewhere. *n*

Professor B.S. Madhava Rao will be 80 years old in 1980. On the occasion of his eightieth birthday I take the opportunity of paying my regards to him and expressing my indebtedness to him.

MANUSCRIPT COMPLETED ON 14 FEBRUARY 1980. *School of Mathematics Tala Institute of Fundamental Research Homi bhabha Road, Bombay 400 OOS. INDIA.*

References

- (1) L. Euler, *De Fractionibus continuis* OPERA OMNIA, Volume VIII, p. 371 (362- 390); *Plenior expositfo urierum i/larum memorabi/ium quae ex unciis potestatum binonii formantur,* ibid Volume XVI, Sectio Prima p. 233 (193 - 234).
- (2) **G. H. Hardy, Ramanujan** tTwelve lectures on subjects suggested by his life and work), Chelsea Publishing Company, New York, N.Y. (1940).
- (3) **K. Ramachandra,** *On the summation of certain serier, involving* $H_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{n}$; Proceedings of the Forty-fifth Indian Science Congress, Madras (1958), Maths abstract no. 3, page 1.