

On a theorem of Erdos and Szemeredi

Mangala J Narlikar

▶ To cite this version:

Mangala J
 Narlikar. On a theorem of Erdos and Szemeredi. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1980, Volume
 3 - 1980, pp.41 - $47.\;$
 10.46298/hrj.1980.90 . hal-01103868

HAL Id: hal-01103868

https://hal.science/hal-01103868

Submitted on 15 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON A THEOREM OF ERDÖS AND SZEMEREDI

By M. J. NARLIKAR

§ 1. Introduction

In 1951, K. F. Roth [7] proved that if $1 = q_1 < q_2 < ...$ is the sequence of all square - free integers, then

$$q_{n+1} - q_n = O\left(n^{\frac{3}{13}} (\log n)^{\frac{4}{13}}\right)$$

and this was improved to $O\left(n^{\frac{2}{9}}\right)$ by H. E. Richert [6]. In

an attempt to put these in more general setting P. Erdős [1] introduced with any sequence $B: 2 < b_1 < b_2 < \dots$, the sequence $Q: 1-q_1 < q_2$ of all integers q_i not divisible by any b_j and proved (subject only to $(b_i, b_j) = 1$ unless i = j

and
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{b_i} < \infty$$
) that

$$q_{n+1} - q_n = O\left(q_n^{\Theta}\right)$$
,

with some 0 < 1, where 0 is independent of B. His 0 was close to 1. E. Szemeredi made an important progress and showed that this is true for every fixed $0 > \frac{1}{2}$. As in all previous results of this kind, he showed that if $Q(x) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1$,

then $Q(x+h)-Q(x)\gg h$, where $h>x^{0}$.

Using the ideas of Szemeredi with some refinements, we prove

Theorem I

Let p be any prime and r_p denote the number of b_i divisible by p and suppose that as p varies, r_p does not exceed p^A where A is any positive constant. Let $z_i \frac{1}{b_i} < \infty$. Then

$$Q(x + h) - Q(x) \gg h$$
, where $x > h > x^{\Theta}$ and $\theta > \frac{1}{2}$ is any constant.

Further if for some
$$A < 1$$
, we have $E_i b_i^{-A} < \infty$, then $Q(x + h) - Q(x) \gg h$.

where $h > x^0$, and $0 > \frac{A}{1+A} = \beta$ say.

Next using the ideas of Jutila [4], the results of Brun [1] and the zero-free region for ζ (s) due to I M. Vinogradov [9] we prove

Theorem 2

Let
$$r_p \leqslant p^4$$
 as before and in place of

$$\sum b_i^{-1} < \infty \text{ let } \lim_{y \to \infty} \sum_{y \leqslant b_i \leqslant y^2} b_i^{-1} = 0 \text{ Then}$$

$$Q(x+h) - Q(x) \gg h/(5) \times 1$$
where $h > x^0$, with $0 > \frac{1}{2}$.

The improvement Theorem 2 of Theorem 1 was suggested by Professor K. Ramachandra and I am thankful to him for explaining the same. Also I express my gratitude to him for encouragement and useful suggestions. He and I, in a joint paper to appear have improved Theorem 2 in several ways These researches will appear in Acta Arithmetica in due course.

§ 2. Proofs of Theorems I & 2

We begin with some notations.

(1) We can assume without loss of generality that $b_1, b_2, \dots b_k$ are primes (because we can replace them by their greatest prime factor and select distinct ones amongst them). Next we assume

$$b_i = 1$$
 $k = 1$ and define j_0 by $k = 1$ $k = 1$ where $k = 1$ is sufficiently small.

(2) Let n > 10 be any large integer constant and for i = 1, 2, ..., n put

$$C_{i} = \{ p \mid x^{(2n)^{-1}} + (i-1)(8n^{4})^{-1}
$$< x^{(2n)^{-1}} + i(8n^{4})^{-1} \},$$

$$C_{i}' = \{ p \mid x^{\beta(2n)^{-1}} + (i-1)(8n^{4})^{-1}
$$< x^{\beta(2n)^{-1}} + i(8n^{4})^{-1} \}.$$$$$$

Let g run over integers of the form πp , where p are chosen one from each C_i . Let g_i' be defined in the same way with respect to C_i' . For any fixed integer g the number of integers in [x, x + h] which are divisible by g (respectively g b_i), $k < i \le j_0$ but coprime to $b_1, b_2, ..., b_k$ is

$$\frac{h}{g} \prod_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_i} \right) + O(2^k).$$

$$\left(\text{respectively } \frac{h}{g b_i} \prod_{i = k}^{m} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_i} \right) + O(2^k) \right)$$

Also the number of integers in [x, x+h] divisible by b_i for any fixed $i > j_0$ such that $b_i < h$ is $< \frac{2h}{b_i}$. Hence the number of integers (counted with certain multiplicities) in [x, x+h] which are coprime to b_1 , b_2 , ... b_k , but divisible by some g or other, but not divisible by any b_i ($i > j_0$, $b_i < h$)

$$\geq \sum_{g} \left\{ \frac{h}{g} \sum_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_{i}} \right) - \frac{1}{k < i < j_{0}} \left(\frac{h}{g b_{i}} \prod_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_{i}} \right) + O(2^{k}) \right) \right\}$$

$$- \sum_{b_{j_{0}} < b_{j} < h} \frac{2h}{b_{i}}$$

$$\geq \sum_{g} \left(\frac{h}{2g} \prod_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_{i}} \right) \right) - \sum_{b_{j_{0}} < b_{i}} \frac{2h}{b_{i}}$$

$$+ O\left(2^{k} j_{0} \sum_{g} 1 \right)$$

$$= h \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \left(\pi \left(\sum_{g \in C_{i}} \frac{1}{p} \right) \right) \prod_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_{i}} \right) - 2\eta \right\}$$

$$+ O(2^{k} j_{0} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8n^{2}})$$

$$\geq h \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{1000n} \right)^{10n} \prod_{i \leq k} \left(1 - \frac{1}{b_{i}} \right) - 2\eta \right\}$$

$$+ O(2^{k} j_{0} x^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{1}{8n^{2}})$$

The multiplicities are $\leq (4n)!$ since the number of prime

factors $> x^{2n}$ is < 4n for the integers counted. Now let us look at integers in [x, x + h] which are of the form mg but divisible by some b_i or the other with $b_i > h$. Now a given b_i can divide at most one integer in the interval and so it suffices to count the number of b_i to get an upper bound for the number of integers in question. If $(b_i, g) = 1$, then $b_i g < 2x$ and hence hg < 2x. This is impossible since $h > x^0$. Hence $(b_i, g) > 1$ and the number of possible b_i 's is therefore

A large choice of n now completes the proof of the first part of Theorem 1. The second part can be proved similarly using C_i .

The proof of Theorem 2 to put it briefly starts with

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \int \left(-\sum_{p} \left(p^{-s} \log p\right)\right) \left(\sum_{X$$

where the line of integration is $\sigma = 1 + (\log x)^{-1}$, |t| < T. We then move the line of integration to $-\frac{99}{100}$. Rough estimations are enough to

show that the number of numbers of the form $p p_1 p_2 \dots p_N$ $(X \le p_i \le 2X)$ lying in [x, x + h] is $\gg \frac{h}{\log x}$ (provided

 $\frac{1}{N} = \frac{1}{n} \text{ and } N = n - 1 \text{ and } h = x \qquad \text{where } \eta > 0 \text{ is small provided } n \text{ is large} \text{ } \text{). If } b_i \text{ divides a number of the}$

counted type then $x^{\frac{1}{2n}} \le b_i \le x^2$ and by Brun's sieve the number of counted numbers divisible by such b_i is

$$\ll \sum_{x^{\frac{1}{2n}} < b_i < \frac{h}{(\log x)^2}} \left(\frac{h}{b_i \log x} + 1\right) + \frac{1}{x^{\frac{1}{2n}}} < b_i < \frac{h}{(\log x)^2}$$

where the second sum is over those b_i which divide a number of the counted type. This proves Theorem 2.

Renark: In the joint paper [5] to appear, the present paper has been referred to under the title "An Analytic Approach to Szemeredi's Theorem". The interested readers will please note this change of title. The paper was written in 1977 and could not be published earlier because of some reasons.

References

- 1. P. Erdos, On the difference of consecutive terms of sequences defined by divisibility properties, Acta Arith., 19 (1966), 175-182.
- 2. H. Halberstam and H. E. Richert, Sieve methods, Academia Press (1974)
- 3. H. Halberstam and K. F. Roth, On the gaps between consecutive square free integers, J. London Math. Soc., 26 (1951), 268 273.
- 4. M. Jutila, On numbers with a large prime factor, J. Indian Math. Soc., 37 (1973), 43-53.
- 5. M. J. Narlikar and K. Ramachandra, Contributions to the Erdos Szemeredi theory of sieved integers, Acta Arith., (to appear)
- 6. H. E. Richert, on the difference between consecutive square-free numbers, J. London Math Soc., 29 (1954), 16-20.
- 7. K F. Roth, On the gaps between square-free numbers, J. London Math. Soc, 26 (1951), 263-268.
- 8. E. Szemeredi, on the difference of consecutive terms of sequences defined by divisibility properties II, Acta Arith., 23 (1973), 359 361.
- 9. E C. Titchmarsh. The theory of the Riemann zetafunction, Clarendon Press, Oxford (1951).

701, Colaba Housing Colony, Homi Bhabha Road, Bombay 400 005 India.