HAL
open science

A one-to-one correspondence between potential solutions of the cluster deletion problem and the minimum sum coloring problem, and its application to P4 -sparse graphs

Flavia Bonomo, Guillermo Duran, Amedeo Napoli, Mario Valencia-Pabon

To cite this version:

Flavia Bonomo, Guillermo Duran, Amedeo Napoli, Mario Valencia-Pabon. A one-to-one correspondence between potential solutions of the cluster deletion problem and the minimum sum coloring problem, and its application to P4 -sparse graphs. Information Processing Letters, 2015, 115 (6-8), pp.600-603. hal-01102515

HAL Id: hal-01102515

https://hal.science/hal-01102515v1

Submitted on 13 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A one-to-one correspondence between potential solutions of the cluster deletion problem and the minimum sum coloring problem, and its application to P_4-sparse graphs*

Flavia Bonomo† Guillermo Duran‡ Amedeo Napoli§ Mario Valencia-Pabon¶

Abstract

In this note we show a one-to-one correspondence between potentially optimal solutions to the cluster deletion problem in a graph G and potentially optimal solutions for the minimum sum coloring problem in $\overline{G}$ (i.e. the complement graph of G). We apply this correspondence to polynomially solve the cluster deletion problem in a subclass of P_4-sparse graphs that strictly includes P_4-reducible graphs.

Keywords: Cliques, Edge-deletion, Cluster deletion, Sum coloring, P_4-sparse, Integer sequences.

1 Introduction

A *cluster graph* is a graph in which every connected component is a clique (i.e. a complete subgraph). Cluster graphs have been used in a variety of applications whenever clustering of objects is studied or when consistent data is sought among noisy or error-prone data [1]. The *cluster deletion* problem asks for the minimum number of edges that can be removed from an input graph to make the resulting graph a cluster graph. There exist several results for the cluster deletion problem (see for example [3, 14, 12] and references therein). The cluster deletion problem is known to be NP-complete [14]. Recently, Gao et al. [6] have shown that the greedy algorithm that finds iteratively maximum cliques, gives an optimal solution for the class of graphs known as *cographs*. It implies that the cluster deletion problem is polynomial-time solvable on cographs.

A *vertex coloring* of a graph is an assignment of positive integers to the vertices of the graph such that adjacent vertices receive different integers. The *sum* of a vertex coloring of a graph is the sum of the integers assigned to the vertices. The *minimum sum coloring* problem ask for the

*Partially supported by UBACyT Grant 20020100100980, CONICET PIP 112-200901-00178 and 112-201201-00450CO and ANPCyT PICT 2012-1324 (Argentina), FONDECyT Grant 1140787 and Millennium Science Institute "Complex Engineering Systems" (Chile), and MathAmSud Project 13MATH-07 (Argentina–Brazil–Chile–France).

†CONICET and Dep. de Computación, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina. e-mail: fbonomo@dc.uba.ar

‡CONICET and Dep. de Matemática and Instituto de Cálculo, FCEN, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Argentina, and Dep. de Ingeniería Industrial, FCFM, Universidad de Chile, Santiago, Chile. e-mail: gduran@dm.uba.ar

§LORIA (CNRS - Inria Nancy Grand Est - Université de Lorraine) Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy, France. e-mail: amedeo.napoli@loria.fr

¶Université Paris-13, Sorbonne Paris Cité LIPN, CNRS UMR7030, Villetaneuse, France. Currently visiting the INRIA Nancy - Grand Est, 2013-2014. e-mail: valencia@lipn.univ-paris13.fr

smallest sum that can be achieved by any vertex coloring of an input graph. The minimum sum coloring problem is motivated by applications in scheduling [2, 7] and VLSI design [15]. In [13] it is shown that the problem is NP-hard in general, but polynomial time solvable for trees. The dynamic programming algorithm for trees can be extended to partial k-trees, block graphs and cographs [8]. Recently, Bonomo and Valencia-Pabon [4, 5] have shown that the minimum sum coloring problem can be solved in polynomial time on a wide subclass of P_4-sparse graphs.

A graph is P_4-sparse if every 5-vertex subset contains at most one P_4. The family of P_4-sparse graphs generalize the family of cographs (i.e. P_4-free graphs) and they can be recognized in linear time [10].

If G_1 and G_2 are two vertex disjoint graphs, then their *union* $G_1 \cup G_2$ is the graph with vertex set $V(G_1 \cup G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and edge set $E(G_1 \cup G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2)$. Similarly, their *join* $G_1 \vee G_2$ is the graph with $V(G_1 \vee G_2) = V(G_1) \cup V(G_2)$ and $E(G_1 \vee G_2) = E(G_1) \cup E(G_2) \cup \{(x, y) : x \in V(G_1),\ y \in V(G_2)\}$.

A *spider* is a graph whose vertex set can be partitioned into S, C and R, where $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_k\}$ ($k \geq 2$) is an independent set; $C = \{c_1, \ldots, c_k\}$ is a complete set; s_i is adjacent to c_j if and only if $i = j$ (a *thin spider*), or s_i is adjacent to c_j if and only if $i \neq j$ (a *thick spider*); R is allowed to be empty and if it is not, then all the vertices in R are adjacent to all the vertices in C and non-adjacent to all the vertices in S. Clearly, the complement of a thin spider is a thick spider, and vice-versa. The triple (S, C, R) is called the *spider partition*, and can be found in linear time [10]. The sets S, C and R are called the *legs*, *body* and *head* of the spider, respectively. The *size* of the spider will be $|C|$. P_4-sparse graphs have a nice decomposition theorem as follows.

Theorem 1. [11] *If G is a non-trivial P_4-sparse graph, then either G or $\overline{G}$ is not connected, or G is a spider.*

To each P_4-sparse graph G one can associate a corresponding decomposition rooted tree T in the following way. Each non-leaf node in the tree is labeled with either "$\cup$" (union-nodes), or "$\vee$" (join-nodes) or "SP" (spider-partition-nodes), and each leaf is labeled with a vertex of G. Each non-leaf node has two or more children. Let T_x be the subtree of T rooted at node x and let V_x be the set of vertices corresponding to the leaves in T_x. Then, each node x of the tree corresponds to the graph $G_x = (V_x, E_x)$. An union-node (join-node) corresponds to the disjoint union (join) of the P_4-sparse graphs associated with its children. A spider-partition-node corresponds to the spider with spider-partition (S, C, R) where $G[S]$, $G[C]$, and $G[R]$ are its children. Finally, the P_4-sparse graph associated with the root of the tree is just G, the P_4-sparse graph represented by this decomposition tree. The decomposition tree associated to a P_4-sparse graph can be computed in linear time [11].

2 Maximal sequences and optimal solutions

The following approach was used by Bonomo and Valencia-Pabon [4, 5] in order to deal with the minimum sum coloring (MSC) problem on P_4-sparse graphs. A k-coloring of a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a partition of the vertex set V into k independent sets $S_1, \ldots, S_k$, where each vertex in S_i is colored with color i, for $1 \leq i \leq k$. So, for any such k-partition of V into independent sets, we can associate a non-negative *sequence* p such that $p[i] = |S_i|$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ and $p[i] = 0$ for $i > k$. In the sequel, we deal with finite-support non-negative integer sequences only. Let $|p| = \max\{i : p[i] > 0\}$.

Definition 1. *Let p and q be two integer sequences. We say that p* dominates *q, denoted by $p \succeq q$, if for all $t \geq 1$ it holds that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} p[i] \geq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq t} q[i]$.*

Let p be a sequence. We denote by $\widetilde{p}$ the sequence that results from p when we order it in a non-decreasing way. Clearly, $\widetilde{p} \succeq p$.

The following lemma is the key for study the MSC problem on graphs.

Lemma 1 (Lemma 3 in [5])**.** *Let p and q be two sequences and let $n = \max\{|p|, |q|\}$. If $p \succeq q$ and $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} p[i] = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} q[i]$, then it holds that $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i.p[i] \leq \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} i.q[i]$.*

Notice that if the sequences represent partitions of the vertex set of a graph into independent sets, where the value of the i-th element of the sequence represents the size of the i-th independent set in the partition, then for the sum-coloring problem on graphs we can restrict us to study maximal sequences w.r.t. the partial order $\succeq$.

A similar approach has been used by Gao et al. [6] in order to deal with the cluster deletion problem on cographs. In fact, notice that an optimal solution of the cluster deletion problem in a graph G is a partition of the vertex set V into cliques $M_1, \ldots, M_t$. So, for any partition of V into t cliques, we can associate a *sequence* p such that $p[i] = |M_i|$ for $i = 1, \ldots, t$ and $p[i] = 0$ for $i > t$. Notice that if $M = (|M_1|, \ldots, |M_t|)$ is an integer sequence associate to a partition into cliques of the set V, such that $|M_1| \geq |M_2| \geq \ldots \geq |M_t|$, then M is an integer partition of the integer $|V|$. Gao et al. [6] show the following result.

Theorem 2 (Theorem 4 in [6])**.** *Let p and q be two integer partitions of some positive integer n, with $p \neq q$. If $p \succeq q$, then $|p| \leq |q|$, and $\sum_{i=1}^{|p|} \binom{p[i]}{2} > \sum_{i=1}^{|q|} \binom{q[i]}{2}$.*

By Theorem 2, we can also restrict us to study maximal sequences w.r.t. the partial order $\succeq$ in order to solve the cluster deletion problem on graphs. Notice also that maximal sequences for both problems (MSC and cluster deletion) are non-increasing sequences.

Clearly, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the maximal sequences corresponding to partitions of the vertex set of G into cliques and the maximal sequences corresponding to partitions of the vertex set of $\overline{G}$ into independent sets. Thus, by Theorem 2 and Lemma 1, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the potentially optimal solutions for the cluster deletion problem of a graph G and the potentially optimal solutions for the minimum sum coloring of the complement graph $\overline{G}$.

Nevertheless, when a graph G has more than one maximal sequence corresponding to partitions of its vertex set into cliques, the optimal solution for the cluster deletion problem of G and the optimal solution for the minimum sum coloring of its complement $\overline{G}$ may not correspond to the same sequence. We will provide an example of this in the next section.

This suggest that the computational complexity of the cluster deletion problem on a graph class $\mathcal{G}$ and the computational complexity of the minimum sum coloring problem on the class co-$\mathcal{G}$ (the class of complement graphs of graphs in $\mathcal{G}$) can be different. But, to the best of our knowledge, there are not known examples of this behaviour.

3 Maximal sequences in P_4-sparse graphs

The following results can be obtained directly from the definitions of union and join of graphs, and from the definition of a spider graph (see Section 1).

Lemma 2. *Let G_1 and G_2 be graphs. Then, $\overline{G_1 \cup G_2} = \overline{G_1} \vee \overline{G_2}$ and $\overline{G_1 \vee G_2} = \overline{G_1} \cup \overline{G_2}$.*

Lemma 3. *Let SP be a spider and (S, C, R) its spider partition. If SP is a thin (resp. thick) spider then, $\overline{SP}$ is a thick (resp. thin) spider with partition (C, S, R).*

By Lemmas 2 and 3 we have that if G is a P_4-sparse graph, then the complement graph $\overline{G}$ is also a P_4-sparse graph. In fact, by using the tree decomposition of these graphs, we can deduce that union-nodes (resp. join-nodes) in G correspond to a join-nodes (resp. union-nodes) in $\overline{G}$, and that thin spiders (resp. thick spiders) in G correspond to thick spiders (resp. thin spiders) in $\overline{G}$.

The following operations between sequences were defined in [4, 5]: Let p and q be two sequences.

- The *join* of p and q, denoted by $p \star q$, is the sequence that results by ordering in a non-increasing way the concatenation of sequences p and q.
- The *sum* of p and q, denoted by $p + q$, is the sequence such that its i-th value is equal to $p[i] + q[i]$, for $i \geq 1$. Notice that $|p + q| = \max\{|p|, |q|\}$.
- p and q are *non-comparable*, denoted by $p||q$, if $p \not\succeq q$ and $q \not\succeq p$.

Moreover, in [4, 5] the following two lemmas have been obtained.

Lemma 4 (Lemma 4 in [5])**.** *Let p, p' and q be sequences. If $\widetilde{p} \succeq \widetilde{p'}$ then $p \star q \succeq p' \star q$.*

Lemma 5 (Lemma 6 in [5])**.** *Let p, p' and q be sequences. Then, $p \succeq p'$ if and only if $p + q \succeq p' + q$.*

In the sequel, maximal sequences of a graph G will correspond to potential solutions of the cluster deletion problem on G.

Lemma 6. *Let G_1, G_2 be two vertex disjoints graphs, and let $G = G_1 \vee G_2$. Then, every maximal sequence p of G can be expressed as $p = p_1 + p_2$, where p_i is a maximal sequence of G_i, for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. The results follows by induction on $|G|$, by Lemma 2 on $\overline{G}$, and by Lemma 7 in [5] concerning maximal sequences for the minimum sum coloring of the union of two graphs. □

Lemma 7. *Let G_1, G_2 be two vertex disjoints graphs, and let $G = G_1 \cup G_2$. Then, every maximal sequence p of G can be expressed as $p = p_1 \star p_2$, where p_i is a maximal sequence of G_i, for $i = 1, 2$.*

Proof. The results follows by induction on $|G|$, by Lemma 2 on $\overline{G}$, and by Lemma 8 in [5] concerning maximal sequences for the minimum sum coloring of the join of two graphs. □

Lemma 8. *Let $G = (S, C, R)$ be a spider such that $R \neq \emptyset$. Then, the number of maximal sequences of G is equal to the number of maximal sequences of $G[R]$. Moreover, for each maximal sequence q of $G[R]$ there exists only one maximal sequence q' of G with $|q'| = |q| + |S|$ and where $q'[1] = q[1] + |C|$, $q'[i] = q[i]$ for $2 \leq i \leq |q|$ (if $|q| \geq 2$), and $q'[i] = 1$ for $|q| + 1 \leq i \leq |q| + |S|$.*

Proof. Notice that the spider G is a P_4-sparse graph and so, $G[R]$ (i.e. the subgraph of G induced by R) is also a P_4-parse graph. Then, the result follows by induction on $|G|$, by Lemma 3 on $\overline{G}$, and by Lemma 11 in [5] concerning maximal sequences for the minimum sum coloring of spiders. □

Lemma 9. *Let $G = (S, C, R)$ be a thick spider such that $R = \emptyset$. Then, G has only one maximal sequence p, with $|p| = |C|$, where $p[1] = |C|$, $p[2] = 2$, and $p[i] = 1$ for $3 \leq i \leq |C|$.*

Proof. The results follows by Lemma 3 and by Lemma 12 in [5] concerning maximal sequences for the minimum sum coloring of thin spiders without head. □

Lemma 10. *Let $G = (S, C, R)$ be a thin spider such that $|C| \geq 3$ and $R = \emptyset$. Then, G has only two maximal sequences p_1 and p_2, with $|p_1| = |C|$ and $|p_2| = |C| + 1$, where $p_1[i] = 2$ for $1 \leq i \leq |C|$, and $p_2[1] = |C|$ and $p_2[i] = 1$ for $2 \leq i \leq |C| + 1$.*

Proof. The results follows by Lemma 3 and by Lemma 13 in [5] concerning maximal sequences for the minimum sum coloring of thick spiders without head. □

Notice also that the trivial graph has only one maximal sequence p, with $|p| = 1$, where $p[1] = 1$. Therefore, we have the following two theorems whose proofs are similar to the ones of Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 in [5], respectively.

Theorem 3. *Let G be a P_4-sparse graph such that in its modular decomposition there are no thin spiders (S, C, R) with $|C| \geq 3$ and $R = \emptyset$. Then,*

1. *G has a unique maximal sequence and an optimal solution for the cluster deletion problem of G can be computed from its modular decomposition in polynomial time.*
2. *In such an optimal solution, each M_i is a maximum clique of $G \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j < i} M_j$.*

Theorem 4. *Let G be a P_4-sparse graph on n vertices. Let t be the number of thin spiders (S, C, R) with $|C| \geq 3$ and $R = \emptyset$ in the modular decomposition of G. Then, the number of maximal sequences of G is at most 2^t, and an optimal solution for the cluster deletion problem of G can be computed in $2^t P(n)$ time, where $P(n)$ is a polynomial in n.*

Notice that a cograph is a P_4-sparse graph without spiders. Moreover, P_4-sparse graphs having only spiders whose spider partition (S, C, R) is of size equal to 2 (i.e. $|S| = |C| = 2$) are known as P_4-reducible graphs. The class of P_4-reducible graphs was introduced by Jamison and Olariu [9] as a generalization of cographs: a graph is P_4-reducible if every vertex belongs to at most one P_4. Finally, notice that thin spiders with size 2 are isomorphic to thick spiders with size 2. Therefore, by Theorem 3, we have the following corollary which generalizes the result by Gao et al. [6].

Corollary 1. *Let G be a P_4-reducible graph. Then,*

1. *G has a unique maximal sequence and an optimal solution for the cluster deletion problem of G can be computed from its modular decomposition in polynomial time.*
2. *In such an optimal solution, each M_i is a maximum clique of $G \setminus \bigcup_{1 \leq j < i} M_j$.*

Finally, we provide an example of a P_4-sparse graph G having more than one maximal sequence and such that the optimal solution for the cluster deletion problem of G and the optimal solution for the minimum sum coloring of its complement $\overline{G}$ do not correspond to the same sequence.

Let N_3 be a thin spider of size 3 with no head (also known as *net*), and let $G = (N_3 \cup N_3) \vee (K_1 \cup K_1)$. By the theorems above, G has three different maximal sequences, namely $p_1 = [3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2]$, $p_2 = [4, 3, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1]$, and $p_3 = [4, 4, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1]$. The internal edges in the partition into cliques induced by each maximal sequence are, respectively, 10, 11 and 12. So, the number of removed edges are, respectively, 26, 25 and 24, being p_3 the sequence realizing the optimal solution. As for $\overline{G}$, the sums of the colorings induced by each maximal sequence are, respectively, 45, 42 and 45, being in this case p_2 the sequence realizing the optimal solution.

References

[1] N. Bansal, A. Blum, S. Chawla. Correlation clustering. *Machine Learning*, 56(1-3):89-113, 2004.

[2] A. Bar-Noy, M. Bellare, M. M. Halldórsson, H. Shachnai, T. Tamir. On chromatic sums and distributed resource allocation. *Information and Computation*, 140:183-202, 1998.

[3] S. Böcker, P. Damaschke. Even faster parametrized cluster deletion and cluster editing. *Information Processing Letters*, 111:717-721, 2011.

[4] F. Bonomo, M. Valencia-Pabon. Minimum Sum Coloring of P_4-sparse graphs. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Mathematics*, 35:293-298, 2009.

[5] F. Bonomo, M. Valencia-Pabon. On the Minimum Sum Coloring of P_4-sparse graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 30(2):303-314, 2014.

[6] Y. Gao, D. R. Hare, J. Nastos. The cluster deletion problem for cographs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 313:2763-2771, 2013.

[7] M. M. Halldórsson, G. Kortsarz, H. Shachnai. Sum coloring interval and k-claw free graphs with application to scheduling dependent jobs. *Algorithmica*, 37:187-209, 2003.

[8] K. Jansen. Complexity results for the optimum cost chromatic partition problem. In *Proc. 24th ICALP*, vol. 1256 of Lecture Notes in Computer Science, pp. 727-737, 1997.

[9] B. Jamison and S. Olariu. P_4-reducible graphs – a class of uniquely tree-representable graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 51:35–39, 1984.

[10] B. Jamison and S. Olariu. Recognizing P_4-sparse graphs in linear time. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 21:381–406, 1992.

[11] B. Jamison and S. Olariu. A tree representation for P_4-sparse graphs. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 35:115–129, 1992.

[12] C. Komusiewicz, J. Uhlmann. Cluster editing with locally bounded modifications. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 160(15):2259-2270, 2012.

[13] E. Kubicka, A. J. Schwenk. An introduction to chromatic sums. In *Proc. 17th ACM Annual Computer Science Conference*, pp. 39–45, 1989.

[14] R. Shamir, R. Sharan, D. Tsur. Cluster graph modification problems. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 144(1-2):173-182, 2004.

[15] T. Szkaliczki. Routing with minimum wire length in the dogleg-free Manhattan model is NP-complete. *SIAM Journal on Computing*, 29:274-287, 1999.