

Constructive approximation in de Branges-Rovnyak spaces

O El-Fallah, E Fricain, K Kellay, J Mashreghi, T. Ransford

► To cite this version:

O El-Fallah, E Fricain, K Kellay, J Mashreghi, T. Ransford. Constructive approximation in de Branges-Rovnyak spaces. 2015. hal-01102509v1

HAL Id: hal-01102509 https://hal.science/hal-01102509v1

Preprint submitted on 12 Jan 2015 (v1), last revised 15 Jul 2015 (v2)

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

CONSTRUCTIVE APPROXIMATION IN DE BRANGES-ROVNYAK SPACES

O. EL-FALLAH, E. FRICAIN, K. KELLAY, J. MASHREGHI, AND T. RANSFORD

ABSTRACT. In most classical holomorphic function spaces on the unit disk, a function f can be approximated in the norm of the space by its dilates $f_r(z) := f(rz)$ (r < 1). We show that this is not the case for the de Branges–Rovnyak spaces $\mathcal{H}(b)$. More precisely, we give an example of a nonextreme point b of the unit ball of H^{∞} and a function $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $\lim_{r \to 1^-} ||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = \infty$. It is known that, if b is a non-extreme point of the unit ball of H^{∞} , then polynomials are dense

in $\mathcal{H}(b)$. We give the first constructive proof of this fact.

1. INTRODUCTION

The de Branges–Rovnyak spaces are a family of subspaces $\mathcal{H}(b)$ of the Hardy space H^2 , parametrized by elements b of the closed unit ball of H^{∞} . We shall give the precise definition in §2. In general $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is not closed in H^2 , but it carries its own norm $\|\cdot\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$ making it a Hilbert space.

The spaces $\mathcal{H}(b)$ were introduced by de Branges and Rovnyak in the appendix of [2] and further studied in their book [3]. The initial motivation was to provide canonical model spaces for certain types of contractions on Hilbert spaces. Subsequently it was realized that these spaces have several interesting connections with other topics in complex analysis and operator theory. For background information we refer to the books of de Branges and Rovnyak [3], Sarason [6], and the forthcoming monograph of Fricain and Mashreghi [5].

The general theory of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ -spaces splits into two cases, according to whether b is an extreme point or a non-extreme point of the unit ball of H^{∞} . For example, if b is non-extreme, then $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains all functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of the closed unit disk $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, whereas if b is extreme, then $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains very few such functions. In particular, $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains the polynomials if and only if b is non-extreme, and in this case, the polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$. Proofs of all these facts can be found in Sarason's book [6].

The density of polynomials is proved in [6] by showing that their orthogonal complement in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is zero. The proof is non-constructive in the sense that it gives no clue how to find polynomial approximants to a given function. We know of no published work describing constructive methods of polynomial approximation in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, and it is surely of interest to have such methods available.

Perhaps the most natural approach is to try using dilations. Writing $f_r(z) := f(rz)$, the idea is to approximate f by f_r for some r < 1, and then f_r by the partial sums of its Taylor series. This idea works in many function spaces, but, as we shall see, it fails dismally in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, at least for certain choices of b. Indeed, it can happen that $\lim_{r\to 1^-} ||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = \infty$, even though $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. We shall prove this in §3, thereby answering a question posed in [1].

Date: 6 January 2015.

Research of OE supported by CNRST (URAC/03) and Académie Hassan II des sciences et techniques.

Research of EF supported by CEMPI.

Research of KK supported by UMI-CRM.

Research of JM supported by NSERC.

Research of TR supported by NSERC and the Canada research chairs program.

This phenomenon has other negative consequences, among them the surprising fact that the formula for $||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$ in terms of the Taylor coefficients of f, previously known to hold for f holomorphic on a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, actually breaks down for general $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. It also shows that, in general, neither the Taylor partial sums of f, nor their Cesàro means need converge to f in $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

So, to construct polynomial approximants to functions in $\mathcal{H}(b)$, a different idea is needed. In §4 we shall describe a scheme that achieves this based on Toeplitz operators. The method presupposes that b is non-extreme (as it must), but one of its consequences is an approximation theorem for Toeplitz operators that extends even to the case when b is extreme. We shall give a proof of this extension in §5.

2. Background on $\mathcal{H}(b)$ -spaces

Given $\psi \in L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})$, the corresponding Toeplitz operator $T_{\psi}: H^2 \to H^2$ is defined by

$$T_{\psi}f := P_+(\psi f) \qquad (f \in H^2),$$

where $P_+ : L^2(\mathbb{T}) \to H^2$ denotes the othogonal projection of $L^2(\mathbb{T})$ onto H^2 . Clearly T_{ψ} is a bounded operator on H^2 with $||T_{\psi}|| \leq ||\psi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}$ (in fact, by a theorem of Brown and Halmos, $||T_{\psi}|| = ||\psi||_{L^{\infty}(\mathbb{T})}$, but we do not need this). If $h \in H^{\infty}$, then T_h is simply the operator of multiplication by h and its adjoint is $T_{\overline{h}}$. Consequently, if $h, k \in H^{\infty}$, then $T_{\overline{h}}T_{\overline{k}} = T_{\overline{k}}T_{\overline{h}}$, a useful fact that we shall exploit frequently in what follows.

Definition 2.1. Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $||b||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$. The associated *de Branges–Rovnyak space* $\mathcal{H}(b)$ is the image of H^2 under the operator $(I - T_b T_{\overline{b}})^{1/2}$. We define a norm on $\mathcal{H}(b)$ making $(I - T_b T_{\overline{b}})^{1/2}$ a partial isometry from H^2 onto $\mathcal{H}(b)$, namely

$$\|(I - T_b T_{\overline{b}})^{1/2} f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} := \|f\|_{H^2} \qquad (f \in H^2 \ominus \ker(I - T_b T_{\overline{b}})^{1/2})).$$

This is the definition of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ as given in [6]. The original definition of de Branges and Rovnyak, based on the notion of complementary space, is different but equivalent. An explanation of the equivalence can be found in [6, pp.7–8]. A third approach is to start from the positive kernel

$$k_w^b(z) := \frac{1 - b(w)b(z)}{1 - \overline{w}z} \qquad (z, w \in \mathbb{D}),$$

and to define $\mathcal{H}(b)$ as the reproducing kernel Hilbert space associated with this kernel.

As mentioned in the introduction, the theory of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ -spaces is pervaded by a fundamental dichotomy, namely whether b is or is not an extreme point of the unit ball of H^{∞} . This dichotomy is illustrated by following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $||b||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$. The following statements are equivalent:

- (i) b is a non-extreme point of the unit ball of H^{∞} ;
- (ii) $\log(1-|b|^2) \in L^1(\mathbb{T});$
- (iii) $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains all functions holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$;
- (iv) $\mathcal{H}(b)$ contains all polynomials.

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) is proved in [4, Theorem 7.9]. That (i) implies (iii) is proved in [6, \S IV-6]. Clearly (iii) implies (iv). That (iv) implies (i) follows from [6, \S V-1].

Henceforth we shall simply say that b is 'extreme' or 'non-extreme', it being understood that this relative to the unit ball of H^{∞} .

From the equivalence between (i) and (ii), it follows that, if b is non-extreme, then there is an outer function a such that a(0) > 0 and $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} (see [6, §IV-1]). The function a is uniquely determined by b. We shall call (b, a) a pair. The following result gives a useful characterization of $\mathcal{H}(b)$ in this case.

Theorem 2.3 ([6, §IV-1]). Let b be non-extreme, let (b, a) be a pair and let $f \in H^2$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ if and only if $T_{\overline{b}}f \in T_{\overline{a}}(H^2)$. In this case, there exists a unique function $f^+ \in H^2$ such that $T_{\overline{b}}f = T_{\overline{a}}f^+$, and

(1)
$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^2 = ||f||_{H^2}^2 + ||f^+||_{H^2}^2$$

We end this section with an example that was studied in [7]. Let

(2)
$$b_0(z) := \frac{\tau z}{1 - \tau^2 z},$$

where $\tau := (\sqrt{5} - 1)/2$. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) in Theorem 2.2 shows that b_0 is non-extreme, and a calculation shows that the function a_0 making (b_0, a_0) a pair is given by

$$a_0(z) = \frac{\tau(1-z)}{1-\tau^2 z}.$$

It was shown in [7] that b_0 has the special property that $||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b_0)} \leq ||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b_0)}$ for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(b_0)$ and all r < 1. Using a standard argument of reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, it is easy to see that this implies that $\lim_{r\to 1^-} ||f_r - f||_{\mathcal{H}(b_0)} = 0$. As we shall see in the next section, this property is not shared by general b.

3. DILATION IN $\mathcal{H}(b)$

Our principal goal in this section is to prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $b := b_0 B^2$, where b_0 is the function given by (2), and B is the Blaschke product with zeros at $w_n := 1 - 4^{-n}$ $(n \ge 1)$. Let $f(z) := \sum_{n \ge 1} 2^{-n}/(1 - w_n z)$. Then b is non-extreme, $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, and we have

(3)
$$\lim_{r \to 1^{-}} |(f_r)^+(0)| = \infty \quad and \quad \lim_{r \to 1^{-}} ||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = \infty.$$

Notice that, by Theorem 2.3, if b is non-extreme and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, then

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \ge ||f^+||_{H^2} \ge |f^+(0)|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$$

Thus the second conclusion in (3) is actually a consequence of the first. We shall therefore concentrate our attention on the first conclusion.

To simplify the notation in what follows, we shall write $k_w(z) := 1/(1 - \overline{w}z)$, the Cauchy kernel. It is the reproducing kernel for H^2 in the sense that $f(w) = \langle f, k_w \rangle_{H^2}$ for all $f \in H^2$ and $w \in \mathbb{D}$. In particular, $||k_w||_{H^2}^2 = \langle k_w, k_w \rangle_{H^2} = k_w(w) = 1/(1 - |w|^2)$. We remark that k_w has the useful property that $T_{\overline{h}}(k_w) = \overline{h(w)}k_w$ for all $h \in H^\infty$. Indeed, given $g \in H^2$, we have

$$\langle g, T_{\overline{h}}(k_w) \rangle_{H^2} = \langle hg, k_w, \rangle_{H^2} = h(w)g(w) = h(w)\langle g, k_w \rangle_{H^2} = \langle g, \overline{h(w)}k_w, \rangle_{H^2}.$$

The proof of Theorem 3.1 depends on two lemmas. The first lemma provides a class of functions f for which $(f_r)^+(0)$ is readily identifiable.

Lemma 3.2. Let b be non-extreme, let (b, a) be a pair and let $\phi := b/a$. Let

$$f := \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n k_{w_n}$$

where $(w_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are zeros of b and $(c_n)_{n\geq 1}$ are complex numbers with $\sum_n |c_n|(1-|w_n|)^{-1/2} < \infty$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and

(4)
$$(f_r)^+(0) = \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n \overline{\phi(rw_n)} \qquad (0 < r < 1).$$

Proof. The series defining f clearly converges absolutely in H^2 . Also, since $T_{\overline{b}}k_{w_n} = \overline{b(w_n)}k_{w_n} = 0$ for all n, we have $T_{\overline{b}}f = 0$, and consequently $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ by Theorem 2.3.

Now fix $r \in (0, 1)$ and consider

$$g := \sum_{n \ge 1} c_n \overline{\phi(rw_n)} k_{w_n}$$

As $(\phi(rw_n))_{n\geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence, this series also converges absolutely in H^2 , and a simple calculation gives $T_{\overline{b}}(f_r) = T_{\overline{a}}(g_r)$. Thus $f_r \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and $(f_r)^+ = g_r$. In particular (4) holds.

The second lemma is a technical result about Blaschke products.

Lemma 3.3. Let B be an infinite Blaschke product whose zeros $(w_n)_{n\geq 1}$ lie in (0,1) and satisfy

(5)
$$0 < \alpha \le \frac{1 - w_{n+1}}{1 - w_n} \le \beta < \frac{1}{2} \qquad (n \ge 1).$$

Then there exists a constant C > 0 such that

$$|B(rw_n)| \ge C \qquad (w_n \le r \le w_{n+1}, \ n \ge 1).$$

Proof. We have

$$|B(rw_n)| = \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \rho(w_k, rw_n),$$

where ρ denotes the pseudo-hyperbolic metric on \mathbb{D} , namely $\rho(z, w) := |z - w|/|1 - \overline{w}z|$. The condition (5) implies that $w_{n-1} < w_n$ for all n, and even that $w_{n-1} < w_n^2$. Indeed, we have

(6)
$$1 - w_n^2 \le 2(1 - w_n) \le 2\beta(1 - w_{n-1}) < 1 - w_{n-1}$$

It follows that, if $r \in [w_n, w_{n+1}]$, then $rw_n \in [w_n^2, w_n w_{n+1}] \subset (w_{n-1}, w_n)$, and consequently

(7)
$$|B(rw_n)| \ge \left(\prod_{k=1}^{n-2} \rho(w_k, w_{n-1})\right) \times \rho(w_{n-1}, w_n^2) \times \rho(w_n, w_n w_{n+1}) \times \left(\prod_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \rho(w_k, w_n)\right).$$

Thus the lemma will be proved if we can show that each of the four terms on the right-hand side of (7) is bounded below by a positive constant independent of n.

By [4, Theorem 9.2], the condition (5) implies that the sequence (w_n) is uniformly separated, in other words, there exists a constant C' > 0 such that

$$\prod_{k \neq j} \rho(w_k, w_j) \ge C' \qquad (j \ge 1).$$

Applying this with j = n - 1 and j = n takes care of the first and fourth terms in (7).

For the second term in (7), note that (6) gives $w_n^2 - w_{n-1} \ge (1 - 2\beta)(1 - w_{n-1})$, and clearly also $1 - w_n^2 w_{n-1} \le 1 - w_{n-1}^2 \le 2(1 - w_{n-1})$, whence

$$\rho(w_{n-1}, w_n^2) = \frac{w_n^2 - w_{n-1}}{1 - w_n^2 w_{n-1}} \ge \frac{1 - 2\beta}{2}$$

Finally, for the third term in (7), we observe that $w_n - w_n w_{n+1} \ge w_1(1 - w_{n+1})$ and also $1 - w_n^2 w_{n+1} = (1 - w_n) + (w_n - w_n^2) + (w_n^2 - w_n^2 w_{n+1}) \le 3(1 - w_n)$, whence

$$\rho(w_n, w_n w_{n+1}) = \frac{w_n - w_n w_{n+1}}{1 - w_n^2 w_{n+1}} \ge \frac{w_1}{3} \frac{1 - w_{n+1}}{1 - w_n} \ge \frac{w_1}{3} \alpha.$$

Proof of Theorem 3.1. As remarked in §2, the function b_0 is non-extreme and the function a_0 making (b_0, a_0) a pair satisfies $\phi_0 := b_0/a_0 = z/(1-z)$. As b and b_0 have the same outer factors, it follows that b is non-extreme and the function a making (b, a) a pair is just a_0 . Hence $\phi := b/a = B^2 b_0/a_0 = B^2 \phi_0$.

By Lemma 3.2, we have $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. The lemma also gives that

$$(f_r)^+(0) = \sum_{n \ge 1} 2^{-n} \overline{\phi(rw_n)} = \sum_{n \ge 1} 2^{-n} B(rw_n)^2 \frac{rw_n}{1 - rw_n}.$$

As the terms in this series are non-negative, each one of them provides a lower bound for the sum. Given $r \in [w_1, 1)$, we choose n so that $w_n \leq r \leq w_{n+1}$. By Lemma 3.3 we have $|B(rw_n)| \geq C > 0$, where C is a constant independent of r and n. Thus

$$(f_r)^+(0) \ge 2^{-n}C^2 \frac{rw_n}{1-rw_n} \ge 2^{-n}C^2 \frac{w_n^2}{1-w_n^2} \asymp 2^n \asymp (1-r)^{-1/2}.$$

In particular $(f_r)^+(0) \to \infty$ as $r \to 1^-$, as claimed. Finally, as already remarked, this implies that $||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \to \infty$ as $r \to 1^-$.

We now present some consequences of this result.

Corollary 3.4. Let b, f be as in Theorem 3.1. Then the Taylor partial sums $s_n(f)$ of f and their Cesàro means $\sigma_n(f)$ satisfy

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \|s_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = \infty \quad and \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \|\sigma_n(f)\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = \infty$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 3.1 and the elementary identities

$$f_r = \sum_{n \ge 0} (1-r)r^n s_n(f)$$
 and $f_r = \sum_{n \ge 0} (n+1)(1-r)^2 r^n \sigma_n(f).$

Let b be non-extreme, let (b, a) be a pair and let $\phi := b/a$, say $\phi(z) = \sum_{j \ge 0} \widehat{\phi}(j) z^j$. It was shown in [1, Theorem 4.1] that, if f is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, say $f(z) = \sum_{k \ge 0} \widehat{f}(k) z^k$, then the series $\sum_{j \ge 0} \widehat{f}(j+k) \overline{\phi(j)}$ converges absolutely for each k, and

(8)
$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^2 = \sum_{k\geq 0} |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 + \sum_{k\geq 0} \left|\sum_{j\geq 0} \widehat{f}(j+k)\overline{\widehat{\phi}(j)}\right|^2.$$

It was left open whether the same formula holds for all $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Using Theorem 3.1, we can now show that it does not.

Corollary 3.5. Let b, f be as in Theorem 3.1. Then $\sum_{i>0} \widehat{f}(j)\overline{\phi(j)}$ diverges.

Proof. For $r \in (0,1)$, the dilated function f_r is holomorphic in a neighborhood of $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$, and the argument in [1] that establishes the formula (8) shows that $(f_r)^+(0) = \sum_{j\geq 0} r^j \widehat{f}(j) \overline{\widehat{\phi}(j)}$. If b, f are as in Theorem 3.1 then $(f_r)^+(0) \to \infty$ as $r \to 1^-$, in other words, $\lim_{r\to 1^-} \sum_{j\geq 0} r^j \widehat{f}(j) \overline{\widehat{\phi}(j)} = \infty$. By Abel's theorem, it follows that the series $\sum_{j\geq 0} \widehat{f}(j) \overline{\widehat{\phi}(j)}$ diverges.

In Theorem 3.1, we chose b_0 so as to have a simple concrete example. With a more astute choice, we can prove more, obtaining examples where $||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$ grows 'fast'. There is a limit on how fast it can grow: it was shown in [1, Theorem 5.2] that, if b is non-extreme and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, then $\log^+ ||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = o((1-r)^{-1})$ as $r \to 1^-$. We now prove that this estimate is sharp.

Theorem 3.6. Let $\gamma : (0,1) \to (1,\infty)$ be a function such that $\log \gamma(r) = o((1-r)^{-1})$. Then there exist b non-extreme and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that $\|f_r\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \ge \gamma(r)$ for all r in some interval $(r_0, 1)$.

Proof. Let ϕ_1 be any function in the Smirnov class N^+ that is positive and increasing on (0, 1). To say that $\phi_1 \in N^+$ means we can write $\phi_1 = b_1/a_1$, where $a_1, b_1 \in H^\infty$ and a_1 is outer. Multiplying a_1 and b_1 by an appropriately chosen outer function, we may further ensure that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} and that $a_1(0) > 0$, in other words, that (b_1, a_1) is a pair. Repeating the proof of Theorem 3.1 with b_0 replaced by b_1 (but with the same B), we obtain $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ such that

$$(f_r)^+(0) \ge C^2(1-r)^{1/2}\phi_1(8r-7)$$
 (3/4 < r < 1).

Since $\log \gamma(r) = o((1-r)^{-1})$, it is possible to choose ϕ_1 so that right-hand side exceeds $\gamma(r)$ for all r sufficiently close to 1. For these r, we therefore have $||f_r||_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \ge (f_r)^+(0) \ge \gamma(r)$.

4. Polynomial approximation in $\mathcal{H}(b)$

In this section we present a recipe for polynomial approximation in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ when b is non-extreme. It is based upon three lemmas.

Lemma 4.1. Let $h \in H^{\infty}$ and let p be a polynomial. Then $T_{\overline{h}}p$ is a polynomial.

Proof. If k is strictly larger than the degree of p, then $\langle T_{\overline{h}}p, z^k \rangle_{H^2} = \langle p, z^k h \rangle_{H^2} = 0.$

Lemma 4.2. Let $h \in H^{\infty}$ with $||h||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$. Then, for all $g \in H^{\infty}$, we have

 $||T_{\overline{h}}g - g||_{H^2}^2 \le 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} h(0))||g||_{\infty}^2.$

Proof. Expanding the left-hand side, we obtain

$$\begin{split} |T_{\overline{h}}g - g||_{H^{2}}^{2} &= \|T_{\overline{h}}g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle T_{\overline{h}}g, g\rangle_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq 2\|g\|_{H^{2}}^{2} - 2\operatorname{Re}\langle g, hg\rangle_{H^{2}} \\ &= 2\int_{0}^{2\pi} |g(e^{i\theta})|^{2} (1 - \operatorname{Re}h(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &\leq 2\|g\|_{H^{\infty}}^{2} \int_{0}^{2\pi} (1 - \operatorname{Re}h(e^{i\theta})) \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \\ &= 2\|g\|_{H^{\infty}}^{2} (1 - \operatorname{Re}h(0)). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.3. Let b be non-extreme and let (b, a) be a pair. If $h \in aH^{\infty}$, then $T_{\overline{h}}$ is a bounded operator from H^2 into $\mathcal{H}(b)$ and $\|T_{\overline{h}}\|_{H^2 \to \mathcal{H}(b)} \leq \|h/a\|_{H^{\infty}}$.

Proof. Let $h = ah_0$, where $h_0 \in H^\infty$. For $f \in H^2$, we have

$$T_{\overline{b}}T_{\overline{h}}f = T_{\overline{b}}T_{\overline{a}}T_{\overline{h}_0}f = T_{\overline{a}}T_{\overline{h}_0}T_{\overline{b}}f$$

so, by Theorem 2.3, $T_{\overline{h}}f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and $(T_{\overline{h}}f)^+ = T_{\overline{h}_0}T_{\overline{b}}f$. Consequently

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^{2} &= \|T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|T_{\overline{h}_{0}}T_{\overline{b}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\ &= \|T_{\overline{h}_{0}}T_{\overline{a}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|T_{\overline{h}_{0}}T_{\overline{b}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} \\ &\leq \|h_{0}\|_{H^{\infty}}^{2} (\|T_{\overline{a}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2} + \|T_{\overline{b}}f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}) \\ &\leq \|h_{0}\|_{H^{\infty}}^{2} \|f\|_{H^{2}}^{2}, \end{aligned}$$

the last inequality coming from the fact that $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$ a.e. on \mathbb{T} , since (b, a) is a pair.

We now put these results together to produce a new, constructive proof of the following result. **Theorem 4.4.** If b is non-extreme, then polynomials are dense in $\mathcal{H}(b)$. Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and let $\epsilon > 0$. Pick $g_1, g_2 \in H^{\infty}$ such that $\|f - g_1\|_{H^2} \leq \epsilon$ and $\|f^+ - g_2\|_{H^2} \leq \epsilon$. Then pick $h \in aH^{\infty}$ such that $\|h\|_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$ and $2(1 - \operatorname{Re} h(0)) \leq \epsilon^2/(\|g_1\|_{H^{\infty}}^2 + \|g_2\|_{H^{\infty}}^2)$. (For example, let h be the outer function satisfying h(0) > 0 and $|h| = \min\{1, n|a|\}$, where n is chosen sufficiently large.) Finally, pick a polynomial p such that $\|f - p\|_{H^2} \leq \epsilon/\|h/a\|_{H^{\infty}}$. Then, by Lemma 4.1 $T_{\overline{h}}p$ is a polynomial, and we shall show that $\|f - T_{\overline{h}}p\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \leq 6\epsilon$.

First of all, using Lemma 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{H^{2}} &\leq \|f - g_{1}\|_{H^{2}} + \|g_{1} - T_{\overline{h}}g_{1}\|_{H^{2}} + \|T_{\overline{h}}g_{1} - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq \|f - g_{1}\|_{H^{2}} + \sqrt{2}(1 - \operatorname{Re}h(0))^{1/2}\|g_{1}\|_{H^{\infty}} + \|g_{1} - f\|_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq 3\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Likewise,

$$\begin{split} \|f^{+} - T_{\overline{h}}f^{+}\|_{H^{2}} &\leq \|f^{+} - g_{2}\|_{H^{2}} + \|g_{2} - T_{\overline{h}}g_{2}\|_{H^{2}} + \|T_{\overline{h}}g_{2} - T_{\overline{h}}f^{+}\|_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq \|f^{+} - g_{2}\|_{H^{2}} + \sqrt{2}(1 - \operatorname{Re}h(0))^{1/2}\|g_{2}\|_{H^{\infty}} + \|g_{2} - f^{+}\|_{H^{2}} \\ &\leq 3\epsilon. \end{split}$$

Now $T_{\overline{b}}(T_{\overline{h}}f) = T_{\overline{h}}(T_{\overline{b}}f) = T_{\overline{h}}(T_{\overline{a}}(f^+)) = T_{\overline{a}}(T_{\overline{h}}(f^+))$, so by Theorem 2.3 we have $T_{\overline{h}}f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ and $(T_{\overline{h}}f)^+ = T_{\overline{h}}(f^+)$, and

$$\|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^2 = \|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{H^2}^2 + \|f^+ - T_{\overline{h}}f^+\|_{H^2}^2 \le 9\epsilon^2 + 9\epsilon^2 \le (5\epsilon)^2.$$

Finally, using Lemma 4.3, we have

$$\begin{split} \|f - T_{\overline{h}}p\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} &\leq \|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} + \|T_{\overline{h}}f - T_{\overline{h}}p\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \\ &\leq \|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} + \|h/a\|_{H^{\infty}}\|f - p\|_{H^{2}} \\ &< 5\epsilon + \epsilon = 6\epsilon, \end{split}$$

as claimed.

5. Toeplitz Approximation in $\mathcal{H}(b)$

Recapitulating the proof of Theorem 4.4, given $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, we can approximate it in $\mathcal{H}(b)$ by a polynomial of the form $T_{\overline{h}}p$, where $h \in H^{\infty}$ and p is a polynomial. Indeed, by the triangle inequality,

$$\|f - T_{\overline{h}}p\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} \le \|f - T_{\overline{h}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} + \|T_{\overline{h}}f - T_{\overline{h}}p\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$$

The first term on the right-hand can be made small by choosing h with $||h||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$ and h(0) sufficiently close to 1. If, in addition, $h \in aH^{\infty}$, then the second term can be made small by choosing p sufficiently close to f in H^2 , for example a Taylor partial sum of f.

This construction presupposes that b is non-extreme. Indeed it must, since otherwise $\mathcal{H}(b)$ may well contain no non-zero polynomials. However, the fact that $||f - T_{\overline{h}}f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}$ can be made small remains true even in the case when b is extreme. We isolate the idea in the following approximation theorem, valid for all b, extreme and non-extreme.

Theorem 5.1. Let $(h_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in H^{∞} such that $||h_n||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(0) = 1$. Then, given b in the unit ball of H^{∞} and $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$, we have $T_{\overline{h}_n}f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ for all n and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{\overline{h}_n} f - f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)} = 0.$$

The proof of this result requires a little more background on de Branges–Rovnyak spaces, which we now briefly summarize.

Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $||b||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$. We define the space $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ in the same way as $\mathcal{H}(b)$, but with the roles of b and \overline{b} interchanged. Thus $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ is the image of H^2 under the operator $(I - T_{\overline{b}}T_b)^{1/2}$, with norm defined by

 $\|(I - T_{\overline{b}}T_b)^{1/2}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})} := \|f\|_{H^2} \qquad (f \in H^2 \ominus \ker(I - T_{\overline{b}}T_b)^{1/2})).$

The spaces $\mathcal{H}(b)$ and $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ are related through the following theorem.

Theorem 5.2 ([6, §II-4]). Let b be an element of the unit ball of H^{∞} and let $f \in H^2$. Then $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$ if and only $T_{\overline{b}}f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$, and in this case

$$||f||_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^2 = ||f||_{H^2}^2 + ||T_{\overline{b}}f||_{\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})}^2.$$

The advantage of $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ over $\mathcal{H}(b)$, for our purposes at least, is that it has another description making it a little more amenable.

Theorem 5.3 ([6, §III-2]). Let $b \in H^{\infty}$ with $||b||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$. Let $\rho := 1 - |b|^2$ on \mathbb{T} , let $H^2(\rho)$ be the closure of the polynomials in $L^2(\mathbb{T}, \rho d\theta/2\pi)$ and let $J_{\rho} : H^2 \to H^2(\rho)$ be the natural inclusion. Then J_{ρ}^* is an isometry of $H^2(\rho)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$.

Using this result, we can prove a version of Theorem 5.1 for $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $(h_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in H^{∞} such that $||h_n||_{H^{\infty}} \leq 1$ and $\lim_{n\to\infty} h_n(0) = 1$. Then, given b in the unit ball of H^{∞} and $f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$, we have $T_{\overline{h}_n} f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ for all n and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_{\overline{h}_n} f - f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\rho := 1 - |b|^2$ on \mathbb{T} and define $H^2(\rho)$ and J_{ρ} as in the preceding theorem. Given $h \in H^{\infty}$, let $M_h : H^2(\rho) \to H^2(\rho)$ be the operator of multiplication by h, namely $M_h g := hg \ (g \in H^2(\rho))$. Note that $M_h J_{\rho} = J_{\rho} T_h$, so, taking adjoints, we have

(9)
$$J_{\rho}^* M_h^* = T_{\overline{h}} J_{\rho}^*.$$

Now let $f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$. By Theorem 5.3, there exists $g \in H^2(\rho)$ such that $f = J_{\rho}^* g$. Using (9), we have $T_{\overline{h}_n} f = T_{\overline{h}_n} J_{\rho}^* g = J_{\rho}^* M_{h_n}^* g$. As J_{ρ}^* is an isometry of $H^2(\rho)$ onto $\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$, it follows that $T_{\overline{h}_n} f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$ and that

$$||T_{\overline{h}_n}f - f||_{\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})} = ||M_{h_n}^*g - g||_{H^2(\rho)}.$$

It therefore remains to show that $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||M_{h_n}^*g - g||_{H^2(\rho)} = 0$ for all $g \in H^2(\rho)$. It suffices to prove this when $g \in H^\infty$, because H^∞ is dense in $H^2(\rho)$ and the operators $M_{h_n}^*$ are uniformly bounded in norm (by 1). For $g \in H^\infty$, the same proof as that of Lemma 4.2 gives that

$$||M_{h_n}^*g - g||_{H^2(\rho)}^2 \le 2(1 - \operatorname{Re} h_n(0))||g||_{H^\infty}^2,$$

and as the right-hand side tends to zero, the proof is complete.

Finally, we deduce the corresponding result for $\mathcal{H}(b)$.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. By Theorem 5.2 we have $T_{\overline{b}}f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$. For each n, we have $T_{\overline{b}}T_{\overline{h}_n}f = T_{\overline{h}_n}T_{\overline{b}}f \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{b})$, and hence $T_{\overline{h}_n}f \in \mathcal{H}(b)$. Also, by Theorem 5.2 again,

$$\|T_{\overline{h}_n}f - f\|_{\mathcal{H}(b)}^2 = \|T_{\overline{h}_n}f - f\|_{H^2}^2 + \|T_{\overline{h}_n}T_{\overline{b}}f - T_{\overline{b}}f\|_{\mathcal{H}(\overline{b})}^2$$

The second term on the right-hand side tends to zero by Theorem 5.4. The first term tends to zero by Lemma 4.2 and the density of H^{∞} in H^2 .

Acknowledgement

Part of this research was carried out during a Research-in-Teams meeting at the Banff International Research Station (BIRS). We thank BIRS for its hospitality.

References

- N. Chevrot, D. Guillot, T. Ransford, De Branges-Rovnyak spaces and Dirichlet spaces, J. Funct. Anal. 259 (2010), 2366–2383.
- [2] L. de Branges, J. Rovnyak, Canonical models in quantum scattering theory, in *Perturbation Theory and its Applications in Quantum Mechanics (Proc. Adv. Sem. Math. Res. Center, U.S. Army, Theoret. Chem. Inst., Univ. of Wisconsin, Madison, Wis., 1965)*, pp. 295–392, Wiley, New York, 1966.
- [3] L. de Branges, J. Rovnyak, Square Summable Power Series, Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, 1966.
- [4] P. Duren, Theory of H^p Spaces, Dover, Mineola NY, 2000.
- [5] E. Fricain, J. Mashreghi, *Theory of* $\mathcal{H}(b)$ *Spaces*, New Mathematical Monographs vols 20 and 21, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, to appear.
- [6] D. Sarason, Sub-Hardy Hilbert Spaces in the Unit Disk, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1994.
- [7] D. Sarason, Local Dirichlet spaces as de Branges-Rovnyak spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1997), 2133–2139.

Laboratoire Analyse et Applications (URAC/03), Université Mohamed V, B.P. 1014 Rabat, Morocco

E-mail address: elfallah@fsr.ac.ma

LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, UFR DES MATHÉMATIQUES, UNIVERSITÉ DES SCIENCES ET TECHNOLOGIES LILLE 1, 59655 VILLENEUVE D'ASCQ CEDEX, FRANCE.

E-mail address: emmanuel.fricain@math.univ-lille1.fr

Institut de Mathématiques de Bordeaux, Université de Bordeaux, 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France

E-mail address: karim.kellay@math.u-bordeaux1.fr

Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université Laval, 1045 avenue de la Médecine, Québec (QC), G1V 0A6, Canada

E-mail address: javad.mashreghi@mat.ulaval.ca

Département de mathématiques et de statistique, Université Laval, 1045 avenue de la Médecine, Québec (QC), G1V 0A6, Canada

E-mail address: ransford@mat.ulaval.ca