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#### Abstract

The tonal paradigm of verbs in Tlatepuzco Chinantec counts as one of the most complex and opaque ever described, with five tone values distributed over twelve cells (distinguishing person/number and aspect) to yield c. 70 distinct paradigm types, with no consistent mapping between morphological form and morphosyntactic function. We suggest that useful generalizations will emerge only when we consider units of analysis larger than the individual inflected form, which we dub inflectional series. For Tlatepuzco Chinantec this means concatenating the three aspectual forms for each person/number value. The resulting units allow us to see structural relationships between the elements of the paradigm which were previously inaccessible.


## 1 Introduction ${ }^{1}$

Chinantec (or Chinantecan) is a language family spoken in Mexico which is a branch of Oto-Manguean, which in turn is one of the most diverse linguistic phyla in the Americas. Chinantec verb paradigms have served as the poster child for complex inflectional systems (e.g. Finkel \& Stump 2009), mainly because there is no clear form-function mapping and little predictability of inflection classes. In this paper, we attempt a step in the right direction, looking at the person marking of the verbs of Tlatepuzco Chinantec (henceforth TlCh), a Central Chinantec language. Our analysis is based on

[^0]the study of the data and the grammatical description in the large dictionary by Merrifield \& Anderson (2007).

### 1.1 Some preliminaries about Tlatepuzco Chinantec.

Like the other Chinantec languages, TlCh uses tone as an exponent of inflection. Tonal inflection consists of three level tones (1, 2, $3=$ high, mid, low) and two contour tones ( $31,32=$ high rising, mid rising). Verbs mark subject person/number and aspect. While the pronominal system distinguishes six person/number values, verbs distinguish just four, namely first person singular, first person plural, second person and third person. This can be seen in (1).
(1) 'I bent it'?
'we (INCL) bent it'
'we (EXCL) bent it'
'you (SG) bent it'
'you (PL) bent it'
'she, he, they bent it'

| ka ${ }^{3}$-hú ${ }^{3}$ | hní ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\mathrm{ka}^{3}$-hú ${ }^{31}$ | hnian ${ }^{1}$ |
| ka ${ }^{3}$-hú ${ }^{31}$ | hnie? ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\mathrm{ka}^{3}$-hú ${ }^{3}$ | Pniy ${ }^{2}$ |
| $\mathrm{ka}^{3}-\mathrm{hú}{ }^{3}$ | Pnia ${ }^{32}$ |
| $\mathrm{ka}^{3}$-hú ${ }^{2}$ | dsa ${ }^{2}$ |

There are three aspectual stems (PRS, FUT, CPL), ${ }^{2}$ from which additional TA values can be derived through simple prefixation, as shown in (1). For example, the PRS stem on its own expresses incompletive and habitual aspectual values, while the addition of the prefixes $m i^{I}$ - and $m a^{2}$ - yield the so-called "Imperfect tense" and the "Perfect tense". For the purposes of this paper, it is sufficient to focus just on the bare stems in (3).
(1)


[^1](3)

|  | 1SG | 1PL | 2 | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PRS | hú ${ }^{32}$ | hú ${ }^{32}$ | hú ${ }^{32}$ | hú? ${ }^{2}$ |
| FUT | hú ${ }^{31}$ | húf ${ }^{31}$ | hú ${ }^{31}$ | húf ${ }^{2}$ |
| CPL | hú ${ }^{3}$ | hú ${ }^{31}$ | hú ${ }^{3}$ | hú? ${ }^{2}$ |

### 1.2 The nature of the problem.

In a maximally transparent inflectional system, we would expect a one-to-one mapping between form and function. That TlCh is not like this is already patently obvious from the repertoire of inflectional formatives: given that there are five tonal formatives distributed across twelve functions (four person/number values $x$ three aspects), a one-to-many mapping (syncretism) is inevitable. And in fact, it works in the other direction as well, with the multiple tonal formatives mapping onto the same function (allomorphy), depending on the lexeme. For a concise illustration, compare the tonal paradigms of $2 n a i^{32}$ 'sell something' and kieg $^{2}$ 'lie down' in (4a) and (4b): both employ the same set of tones, but in an almost completely different distribution.


| b. [ $\mathrm{kieg}^{2}$ 'lie down', Class 51f] |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG | 1pL | 2 | 3 |
| PRS | 2 | 2 | 32 | 2 |
| T | 1 | 31 | 31 | 3 |
| PL | 2 | 31 | 3 | 2 |

While such many-to-many mappings are a familiar feature of inflectional systems, TlCh - and indeed all Chinantec languages -takes this to an extreme. An impression of the full extent can be gleaned from Table 1, which charts the tone formatives found in the inflected forms of 613 verbs of TlCh for each person/number value and each TA stem. ${ }^{3}$ There is an almost complete lack of form-function mapping, e.g. all tone units are used for all possible values.

[^2]| Tone | 1SG |  |  | 1PL |  |  | 2 |  |  | 3 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | PRS | FUT | CPL | PRS | FUT | CPL | PRS | FUT | CPL | PRS | FUT | CPL |
| 1 | 149 | 198 | 149 | 146 | 265 | 264 | 151 | 289 | 225 | 10 | 7 | 10 |
| 2 | 96 | 21 | 92 | 172 | 20 | 43 | 184 | 20 | 85 | 242 | 200 | 240 |
| 3 | 11 | 67 | 287 | 11 | 68 | 56 | 11 | 67 | 176 | 31 | 335 | 300 |
| 31 | 70 | 315 | 71 | 74 | 248 | 237 | 70 | 226 | 86 | 12 | 22 | 12 |
| 32 | 287 | 12 | 14 | 210 | 12 | 13 | 197 | 11 | 41 | 318 | 49 | 51 |
| Total | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 | 613 |

Table 1: Tone formatives in the inflected forms of 613 verbs of TlCh
At first glance one might believe this is an almost random distribution of forms, but the fact that they fall into 'only' around 70 distinct paradigm types suggests that there is some kind of order to them. ${ }^{4}$ But what? As a first step, consider the entropy measures in Table 2, generated using Raphael Finkel and Gregory Stump's Analyzing Principal Parts software. ${ }^{5}$ The table illustrates the predictability of the forms shown in columns, given the forms shown in the rows (measured in bits x 100), factoring in type frequency. The higher the number, the less predictable the form; thus the correct form of the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person present for a given verb can relatively easily be predicted from the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person completive (value of 19 ), while the correct $2^{\text {nd }}$ person completive is very difficult to predict if one knows just the 1PL future.

|  |  | ssgP | 1sgF | 1sgC | 1plP | 1plF | 1plC | 2P | 2F | 2C | 3P | 3F |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 3C |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1sgP | --- | 72 | 70 | 121 | 139 | 140 | 40 | 98 | 95 | 53 | 103 | 65 |
| 1sgF | 106 | --- | 34 | 136 | 127 | 142 | 133 | 38 | 131 | 135 | 70 | 134 |
| 1sgC | 95 | 25 | --- | 128 | 140 | 131 | 123 | 63 | 110 | 123 | 94 | 113 |
| 1plP | 177 | 158 | 158 | --- | 75 | 64 | 177 | 156 | 190 | 160 | 153 | 170 |
| 1plF | 186 | 140 | 161 | 66 | --- | 54 | 188 | 139 | 194 | 178 | 139 | 173 |
| 1plC | 186 | 155 | 152 | 54 | 54 | --- | 186 | 153 | 185 | 174 | 152 | 165 |
| 2P | 40 | 99 | 97 | 121 | 142 | 140 | --- | 66 | 90 | 43 | 98 | 56 |
| 2F | 134 | 40 | 73 | 135 | 128 | 142 | 101 | --- | 126 | 131 | 58 | 129 |
| 2C | 87 | 89 | 76 | 126 | 139 | 130 | 81 | 83 | --- | 90 | 119 | 84 |
| 3P | 65 | 113 | 109 | 116 | 144 | 139 | 55 | 107 | 110 | --- | 63 | 21 |
| 3F | 139 | 72 | 105 | 133 | 128 | 142 | 134 | 59 | 163 | 87 | --- | 84 |
| 3C | 75 | 110 | 98 | 125 | 137 | 129 | 66 | 104 | 103 | 19 | 58 | --- |

Table 2: Conditional entropy of the tone formatives
What is striking about these figures is that, overall, the entropy measures divide the paradigm into cross-cutting distinctions of person and aspect, as broken down in Table 3.

[^3]| $3^{\text {rd }}$ person: | 55 |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1PL: | 61 |
| 1SG: | 67 |
| 2 |  |
| Pr person: | 91 |
| Present: | 94 |
| Future: | 108 |
| Completive: | 123 |
| Differing in both person and aspect: | 129 |

Table 3: Average entropy within restricted domains of the paradigm
That is, within a given value for person, it is easier to predict the different aspectual forms for a lexeme than it is to predict the different person forms within a given aspect, and both are easier than predicting between forms that differ in both person and aspect. In this way person and, to a lesser extent, aspect, are organizing parameters of the paradigm, in that they define domains of relative interpredictability. This suggests that, in spite of the apparent amorphousness of the tonal paradigm, it is in some sense built on conventional featural distinctions, with person playing a dominant role. We propose here to pursue this idea further by providing an account of the TlCh tonal paradigm as a person-marking system, in which the properties of and relationships between morphological exponents can be described in relatively simple terms. This allows us to demystify, at least somewhat, the otherwise puzzling complexity of the system.

The crucial point to be gleaned from comparing the figures in Tables 1 and 3 is that the discernible role of person in the organization of the paradigm only begins to emerge when we look at its exponence across multiple aspect values. We propose therefore to treat as our basic unit of form not the individual exponents of person and aspect, but rather the combination of all aspect values for a given person. But before we attempt to characterize the paradigm in such terms, we need to dispense with other possible explanations for the tone patterns and the resulting wealth of inflection classes.

## 2 Rejected explanations

We need to discard first a set of possible alternative explanations for the variation we observe.

### 2.1 Semantic explanations

Verbs fall into a few different syntactic/semantic classes based on transitivity and animacy. These are relevant for valence increasing mechanisms, for the syntax of agreement and for the syntax of voice (inverse, passive), but have no observable relationship to the tonal formatives. For example, the verbal lexicon is largely split according to the animacy of the ABS argument (object
of transitive or subject of intransitive). The members of animate/inanimate pairs differ along various morphological parameters, including tone, but there are no dedicated animate or inanimate tone patterns. Consider for this purpose the tone of the CPL stem of three gender pairs in Table 4.

| CPL | inanimate |  |  |  | animate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1SG | 1PL | 2 | 3 | 1SG | 1PL | 2 | 3 |
| 'buy' | lá ${ }^{2}$ | $1{ }^{31}$ | $1{ }^{3}$ | $1{ }^{3}$ | lán ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{lan}^{31}$ | lán ${ }^{3}$ | $\mathrm{lan}^{2}$ |
| 'grab' | gúu ${ }^{2}$ | $\mathrm{gux}^{131}$ | $\mathrm{gux}^{1}$ | guu ${ }^{3}$ | guén ${ }^{2}$ | guen $^{131}$ | guén ${ }^{3}$ | guen ${ }^{3}$ |
| 'hit' | bá $^{2}$ | bá ${ }^{31}$ | bá $^{3}$ | bá ${ }^{2}$ | bán ${ }^{2}$ | bán ${ }^{31}$ | bán ${ }^{3}$ | bán ${ }^{2}$ |

Table 4: Completive tonal paradigm of three animate/inanimate pairs
The inanimate verb 'buy (something inanimate)' has the same tonal inflection as the animate verb 'grab (somebody)', but its animate counterpart 'buy (something animate)' has the same tone pattern as the pair of verbs meaning 'hit', which do not mark animacy through tone. This lack of correlation between tone patterns (or indeed any individual tone value) and animacy is typical of the verbal lexicon as a whole. ${ }^{6}$

### 2.2 Resegmentation

Besides tone, there is an additional prosodic feature, involving the contrast between the so-called 'ballistic stress' ("characterized by an initial surge and rapid decay of intensity, with a resultant fortis articulation of the consonantal onset" (Foris 2000: 16) and 'controlled stress' (characterized by a longer duration and medium intensity) (see Foris 2000 or Pace 1990; for an alternative phonological oriented account as a glottis phonation feature, see Silverman 1994). If we combine this with tone, it doubles the number of morphological exponents, but it does not bring any noticeable clarity.

### 2.3 Stem phonology

Tonal alternations do not obviously correlate with any other phonological properties of stems, e.g. nasalization, nucleus quality, etc.

### 2.4 Morphological correlations

Around a fifth of the verbs (137/613) display stem alternations. While these show some interesting interactions with prosodic alternations there is again no clear predictability in either direction (cf. Baerman, forthcoming).

[^4]
## 3 The proposal: redefinition of the morphological unit

Following Palancar (forthcoming), and the reasoning outlined in §1.2, we suggest dividing the paradigm up not into twelve cells marking person and aspect, as in (5a), but into four cells marking person, as in (5b). Palancar calls the resulting units 'inflectional series'.
a. The 12 -cell paradigm

b. The 4-cell paradigm


This is the concept of morphological unit we will adopt in this paper, and it will have the shape [\# \# \#], consisting of present-future-completive tone. In the following sections, we will show that this new segmentation of the cell reveals a certain degree of regularity both in inflection class assignment and in form-function mapping.

This notion of inflectional series should be distinguished from other types of subparadigmatic unit. For example, Finkel and Stump (2007) employ the concept of segregated inflection classes. As an example they take the Sanskrit verb paradigm, which can be divided into the present system and the aorist system. Each of these constitutes a self-contained system, with its own stem and its own set of inflection classes. By contrast, the mapping between the two systems is arbitrary and unsystematic. The Chinantec inflectional series is in effect the mirror image of this. That is, while the individual inflectional series do not themselves constitute morphologically coherent units, once this grouping is made, there is a certain degree of predictability between the resulting units. By analogy, we can think of the inflectional series as phonemes, and the individual tone values as constitutive, distinctive features.

### 3.1 Inflection class assignment

Applying the concept of an inflectional series to inflectional allomorphy makes it possible to obtain two interesting generalizations. We treat inflectional series as principal parts, employing a maximum of two: one for the $3^{\text {rd }}$ and another for the 1 SG (the 1 PL and the $2^{\text {nd }}$ would serve equally well, with very minor changes in the numbers):

- As shown in (6), if the 1 SG form is the same as the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person, then the forms for the 1 PL and $2^{\text {nd }}$ person are the same too. This accounts for 123 lexemes, with only one exception.

- As shown in (7), if the 1SG form consists of three identical tones, the 1PL and $2^{\text {nd }}$ person are identical to it. This accounts for 198 lexemes, with five only exceptions.


Overall these two generalizations cover $321 / 613=52 \%$ of the lexicon, mapping onto what Merrifield \& Anderson (2007) classify as types B \& C (there are five exceptional lexemes). Everything else belongs to their class A. This is shown in (8).


The lines in (8) represent the maximal permitted distinctions, but often there will be conflation within that, e.g. the following represents what would count as a class B paradigm (treated as B1f in Merrifield \& Anderson, 2007):

[^5]

The remainder of the lexicon (class A) still needs another account. One option is to construe one of the inflectional series as the default exponent for each person value. We have chosen the most frequent ones, and they account for $55 \%$ ( $129 / 285$ ) of the remaining data-points.


Note that the figures in (9) represent the frequency for each form in isolation, not a default paradigm as such; only 16 lexemes (class A11a) actually show all four at once.

### 3.2 Form-function mapping

### 3.2.1 The marking of person

The individual inflectional series can be seen as exponents of person, at least to a certain extent. This is shown in Table 5 below (in the table, everything with a token count under $6(1 \%)$ is not highlighted). If we set aside the forms which do not distinguish person at all (C paradigms), the following two generalizations hold:
(i) The $3^{\text {rd }}$ person form is distinct from $1^{\text {st }}(\mathrm{SG} / \mathrm{PL})$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ person.
(ii) While 1 SG is always distinct from 1PL, $2^{\text {nd }}$ person is usually syncretic with 1 SG or 1PL. ${ }^{9}$

Considering now the C paradigms, we can make a third observation:
(iii) C paradigm forms typically coincide with the distinct $3^{\text {rd }}$ person forms found in the A and B paradigms (88/117).

[^6]The broad generalization that emerges is that the system potentially distinguishes $1 \mathrm{SG}, 1 \mathrm{PL}, 2$ and 3 , but that (i) $2^{\text {nd }}$ person often takes the form of either 1 SG or 1 PL, (ii) all $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ person values may conflated in a dedicated non- $3^{\text {rd }}$ person form (B paradigms), and (iii) forms that do not distinguish person at all often coincide with $3^{\text {rd }}$ person form.

### 3.2.2 The marking of aspect

The inflectional series are made up of tonal alternations for aspect. By looking now at the system from the perspective of aspectual marking, we gain some insight into how the series are constituted. Consider again the figures from Table 1 above. If we now divide them by paradigm type (A, B, C), we see there are some striking regularities in the distribution of the tonal components. Take first the A paradigm verbs illustrated in Table 6 (in the tables, figures under 12 lexemes ( $2 \%$ ) are not highlighted).

The patterns emerge most clearly if we group the individual tonal exponents into three tone types:

- HIGH, made up of high tone (1) and ascending to high (31)
- MID, made up of mid tone (2) and ascending to mid (32)
- MID/LOW, made up of mid (2) and low (3)


Table 5: Inflectional series as exponents of person

|  | PRS |  |  |  |  | FUT |  |  | CPL |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Tong | 1PL | 2 | 3 | 1SG | 1PL | 2 | 3 | 1SG | 1PL | 2 | 3 |
| 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 1 | 53 | 120 | 144 | 1 | 3 | 118 | 79 | 1 |
| 2 | 51 | 127 | 139 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 104 | 51 | 2 | 44 | 131 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 168 | 234 | 3 | 123 | 141 |
| 31 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 235 | 168 | 146 | 1 | 1 | 167 | 16 | 1 |
| 32 | 236 | 159 | 146 | 163 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 28 | 11 |

Table 6: Tones and aspect in A paradigm verbs

The tone/aspect mappings that result are the following:
(i) The PRS stem is MID tone.
(ii) The FUT of non- $3^{\text {rd }}$ person is HIGH tone and MID/LOW for $3^{\text {rd }}$, keeping the marking of $1 / 2$ vs. $3^{\text {rd }}$ distinct.
(iii) The marking of the CPL stem is complex: 1PL is syncretic with FUT; $2^{\text {nd }}$ person can be realized by almost anything; and 1 SG and $3^{\text {rd }}$ person have MID/Low tone. In the $3{ }^{\text {rd }}$ person, this means that the same tone profile as in the FUT, but the forms are not necessarily syncretic.

All this can give us a perspective on the syncretism of person values seen above in Table 5. For example, the distinct $3^{\text {rd }}$ person FUT tone profile ensures that the $3^{\text {rd }}$ person form will never be syncretic with the $1^{\text {st }}$ and $2^{\text {nd }}$ person forms. Other person values have distinct tone profiles only in the CPL. The fact that $2^{\text {nd }}$ person CPL can have any tone means that sometimes it will be distinct from the others, sometimes coincide with them, accounting for the variable syncretic behaviour of the $2^{\text {nd }}$ person seen in Table 5 . Now let us see what happens in B and C paradigms.

| Tone | B |  |  |  | C |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1/2 | 3 |  |  | (TA inflecting) |  |  | (uninflecting) |
|  |  | PRS | FUT | CPL | PRS | fut | CPL |  |
| 1 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 2 | 0 | 76 | 73 | 74 | 29 | 6 | 18 | 14 |
| 3 | 1 | 21 | 100 | 99 | 0 |  | 49 | 10 |
| 31 | 60 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |  | 0 | 10 |
| 32 | 0 | 100 | 24 | 24 | 40 | 0 | 2 | 11 |

Table 7: Tones and aspect in $B$ and $C$ paradigm verbs
The aspectless $1 / 2$ form of the $B$ paradigms has the same tone profile (MID) as the $1 / 2$ FUT of the A paradigms. Whereas C paradigm verbs that inflect for TA behave more or less like $3^{\text {rd }}$ person forms, the tone of uninflecting verbs does not show any particular tendency, suggesting that tone assignment here is given in the lexical entry.

## 4 Conclusion

In this paper, we have argued that the notoriously opaque tonal inflection of TlCh can be at least partially tamed by a judicious choice of analytic units. First, we showed that person can be taken as the dominant organizing principle, in that person values define the most reliable domains of interpredictability within the paradigm. From this observation we extracted a
morphological unit that we have labelled inflectional series, made up of the three aspectual forms for each person value. By thus construing the tonal paradigm as a four-cell paradigm marking person, we were able to describe the distribution of forms in terms of a few patterns of syncretism, and concisely characterize the inflection classes in terms of implicational relationships between these forms. We then examined the nature of the inflectional series more closely by looking at aspectual marking in isolation, consolidating tonal marking into three major types. This showed that, given the person/number value and aspect, tonal inflection is highly constrained, the complexity of the system emerging at certain discrete points (e.g. the completive of A class verbs).

For obvious reasons, our analysis in terms of inflectional series cross-cuts an analysis in terms of optimal principal parts. Because of the relative interpredictability of individual tones within each inflectional series, optimal principal parts will tend to be spread across the series. For example, as Olivier Bonami (personal communication) has shown, if we limit ourselves to the four cells that are the best predictors of the entire inflectional paradigm, they will be drawn from each of the four inflectional series, as shown in (11). (These reduce the average conditional entropy of the remaining cells to 0.138 bits, or 138 in terms of the scale used in Table 2.) ${ }^{10}$ Significantly, these optimal principle parts are drawn from each of the three aspects as well; recall from Table 3 that aspect also defines a zone of interpredictability (though less reliable than person), so this is what we would expect. Thus the selection of principle parts is complementary to the parameters of paradigmatic organization that we have claimed operate in TlCh , namely person and aspect.
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ This paper was written under the auspices of the ESRC/AHRC grant RES-062-233126 "Endangered Complexity: Inflectional classes in Oto-Manguean languages" and the ERC grant ERC-2008-AdG-230268 MORPHOLOGY. We thank Olivier Bonami for his invaluable feedback and an anonymous reviewer for his/her very insightful comments.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Following the practical system employed by Merrifield and Anderson (2007) we employ tense labels 'present' and 'future', for the first two stems, when in reality they could be alternatively characterized as 'incompletive' and 'irrealis'.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ Verbs from Merrifield \& Anderson's (2007) dictionary that have complete paradigms, i.e. omitting intransitive inanimates that lack first and second person subject forms, as well as a few items with incomplete or contradictory information.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ As pointed out by an anonymous referee, five allomorphs over twelve cells yields 248,832 possible paradigm types, so we would expect closer to 613 types.
    ${ }^{5}$ http://www.cs.uky.edu/~raphael/linguistics/analyze.html

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ The relation between animacy and inflection class is a conceivable one which is for example observed in the distribution of the subject suffixes of another Chinantec language such as Lealao Chinantec (for more details, see Palancar, submitted).

[^5]:    ${ }^{7}$ Future/Completive syncretism in the 1PL is almost exceptionless.
    ${ }^{8}$ Merrifield \& Anderson (2007) characterize class B simply in terms of person syncretism, but since $97 \%$ (198/205) of the lexemes also display aspectual syncretism, we treat both as characteristics of this (revised) class.

[^6]:    ${ }^{9} 2^{\text {nd }}$ person is [ $\left.\begin{array}{lll}2 & 1 & 1\end{array}\right]$ or [ 323131 ] only if 1 PL is too ( $65 / 67$ and $15 / 15$ ); $2^{\text {nd }}$ person is [32 31 $3]$ only if 1 SG is too (102/105).

[^7]:    ${ }^{10}$ Though note that this measure does not factor in type frequency; if it did, the figure would be lower.

