



# Chrysogorgia from the New England and Corner Seamounts: Atlantic – Pacific connections

Eric Pante, Les Watling

## ► To cite this version:

Eric Pante, Les Watling. Chrysogorgia from the New England and Corner Seamounts: Atlantic – Pacific connections. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UK, 2012, 95 (5), pp.911-927. 10.1017/S0025315411001354 . hal-01097682

**HAL Id: hal-01097682**

**<https://hal.science/hal-01097682>**

Submitted on 20 Dec 2014

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Running head: *Chrysogorgia* from the NW Atlantic

***Chrysogorgia* from the New England and Corner Seamounts: Atlantic –  
Pacific connections**

Eric Pante<sup>1</sup> and Les Watling<sup>2,3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, University of Louisiana at Lafayette, Lafayette, LA  
70504, USA; <sup>2</sup>Department of Zoology, University of Hawaii at Manoa, Honolulu,  
HI 96822, USA ; <sup>3</sup>Corresponding author, [watling@hawaii.edu](mailto:watling@hawaii.edu)

**Abstract**

*Recent exploration of the New England and Corner Seamounts revealed four new species of Chrysogorgia, described here using a combination of molecular and morphological data. These four species are characterized by a sinistral spiral, a character that, with one known exception, has only been reported for Pacific species. In addition, two species have a sclerite composition typical of the Pacific (“squamosae typicae”). This faunal connection between the Atlantic and the Pacific is confirmed by analysis of the mitochondrial msh1 gene. The exceptional preservation of specimens collected with remotely operated vehicles allows us to discuss the effect of growth on some morphological characters.*

**Keywords:**

*Chrysogorgia*, morphometrics, allometric growth; *msh1*, connectivity, sclerite, growth, barcode; benthic survey; ROV

## INTRODUCTION

*Chrysogorgia* is a relatively speciose genus, with 62 currently recognized species (Cairns, 2001, 2002, 2007). Presently, only nine species are known from the northwestern Atlantic, all of which were described from environments associated with the continental slope and the lee of Caribbean islands, and from relatively shallow waters (over 40% of the observations reported in Cairns, 2001 have an average depth < 500 m). Recently, a series of cruises on the New England and Corner Seamounts (northwestern Atlantic) revealed a wealth of octocorals (Thoma *et al.*, 2009; Shank, 2010), including chrysogorgiids (Watling, 2007; Mosher & Watling, 2009; Thoma *et al.*, 2009), from much greater depths (for *Chrysogorgia*: 1533-3860 m). Among the specimens collected during these cruises, colonies of *Chrysogorgia* are of particular interest as they are large and are characterized by a sinistral spiral, a feature that until now was almost exclusively observed from Pacific specimens. In this contribution we describe the material collected on the New England and Corner Seamounts based on genetic and morphological data, and discuss their affinities with previously described species from the Atlantic and Pacific oceans.

Octocorals are relatively simple animals, with, in some groups, few characters available to taxonomists (e.g. McFadden *et al.*, 2010). Unfortunately, recent comparative analyses revealed incongruence between several morphological traits (such as colony branching, polyp and sclerite morphology) and molecular phylogenetic information at multiple taxonomic levels (France, 2007; Dueñas & Sánchez, 2009; Pante & France, 2010). These results imply that morphological variation does not necessarily reflect evolutionary history, and it is therefore prudent to combine morphological information with other lines of evidence when describing new octocoral taxa. In *Chrysogorgia*, as in many other octocoral groups, attention is focused on branching patterns as well as sclerite morphology and arrangement (e.g. Cairns, 2001). No statistical analysis has been conducted in this group to estimate the amount of intra-specific variability, or validate the

57 phylogenetic usefulness of these characters by comparing them with genetic  
58 data. Here, we present a multivariate analysis of the morphological variation  
59 among 23 *Chrysogorgia* colonies, and investigate the correlation between  
60 morphology and genetic variation at the mitochondrial locus *msh1*. We chose this  
61 marker because it may be the most variable mitochondrial gene in octocorals  
62 (France and Hoover 2001; Wirshing *et al.*, 2005; McFadden *et al.*, 2011; van der  
63 Ham *et al.*, 2009; Herrera *et al.*, 2010) and was useful in genetically  
64 distinguishing morphotypes of some deep-sea octocorals (e.g. France, 2007).

65  
66 Technological advances and the relative availability of remotely-operated  
67 vehicles (ROVs) catalyzed studies on the community ecology of deep, benthic  
68 ecosystems (e.g. Lundsten *et al.*, 2009; McClain *et al.*, 2009), and many  
69 research groups now use *in situ* video footage and photographs to make faunal  
70 inventories. In fact, the resolution of the video equipment on ROVs allows  
71 researchers to observe individual coral polyps on live colonies, and even see  
72 minute details such as pinnules on tentacles. Such capabilities have led  
73 researchers to try to identify octocorals colonies to the species level. In this paper  
74 we will discuss the limits of using these technologies to identify *Chrysogorgia*  
75 colonies.

76

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### **Collection and preservation**

Most of the material described here was collected during three expeditions to the New England (NES) and Corner Seamounts (CS). The first two expeditions, conducted in 2003 and 2004, were named Mountains-in-the-Sea I and II, respectively, and the 2005 expedition was named Deep Atlantic Stepping Stones. L. Watling was Chief Scientist on all three expeditions and can be contacted for details. Summaries of all expeditions can be found on the web site of NOAA's Ocean Exploration Program (currently [www.oceanexplorer.noaa.gov](http://www.oceanexplorer.noaa.gov)), and a map of the area with sampling locations can be found in Thoma *et al.* (2009). Specimens were obtained by use of the deep submergence vehicle (DSV) 'Alvin', in 2003 and the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) 'Hercules', in 2004 and 2005. In all cases, specimens were collected by use of a manipulator equipped with a claw that could break (in the case of 'Alvin') or cut off (in the case of 'Hercules') pieces of large colonies, or remove entire small colonies from the substrate. All collected colonies or pieces of colonies were put in an insulated collection box on the vehicle for return to the research vessel. On board, the specimens were kept in cold seawater until they could be fixed and preserved. After removal of colony pieces for genetic and reproductive studies (herein referred as "isolates"), all specimens were fixed in a dilute formalin solution (4%) for 12 hours and then rinsed and stored in 70% ethanol. Specimens for molecular genetic analysis were preserved in 90-100% ethanol or frozen at -80°C. All specimens used for morphological analysis were deposited in the Yale Peabody Museum (YPM).

### **Microscopy**

Polyps from preserved colonies were individually photographed using light microscopy, and one or more polyps per colony were examined for sclerite composition and morphology. Sclerites were dissolved from the surrounding polyp and branch tissue using household bleach. Following several washings in

deionized water, individual sclerites from select specimens were mounted on standard scanning electron microscope (SEM) stubs with blackened double-sided tape. Individual polyps to be examined with SEM were removed from a branch, dehydrated to 100% ethanol, and dried using a Samdri Critical Point Dryer (except for the partially digested polyp of NAS201-2, Figure 7E, which was only air-dried). Digital images of sclerites and polyps were obtained using Hitachi S-800 SEM, S-3000N, and S-4800 SEMs. Sclerite and polyp images were isolated from the original image background, and their contrast and brightness were adjusted in Adobe Photoshop. Images were otherwise unaltered in accordance with current scientific image processing guidelines.

### **Branching sequence**

Branching sequence was determined for all colonies based on the following parameters: the direction of the spiral, the number of branches that one needs to travel up the stem to recover the plane of a reference branch, and the number of revolutions necessary to do so (Versluys, 1902; Cairns, 2001, 2002, 2007). Traveling up the stem from the base to the tip of the colony (i.e., direction of colony growth), the spiral can be clockwise (dextral, abbreviated R) or counter-clockwise (sinistral, abbreviated L). A three-dimensional model is presented in Figure 1 to explicitly show how to determine the branching sequence.

### **Measurements**

Polyp height was measured from the base (on branch) to the mouth. Polyp width was measured at the neck (*sensu* Bayer *et al.*, 1983; plate 2, p 39). Measurements (e.g. colony height) were taken directly on the preserved colony when possible, or from photographs using the program ImageJ (Abramoff *et al.*, 2004; Rasband, 1997-2008) when measuring directly was not practical (e.g. measurement of angles). The orthostiche distance, defined by Versluys (1902) as the distance separating aligned branches along the axial skeleton (and see Cairns, 2001), was determined using standard calipers. The angle between the stem and the branch was measured so that it is obtuse if the branches are

oriented upwards. All measurements of sclerites were done from light microscope imagery using ImageJ. Measurements are reported as mean  $\pm$  one standard deviation, range, and sample size.

### **Genetic analysis**

DNA was extracted from frozen (-80°C) or ethanol-preserved (95%) tissue using a modified CTAB protocol (France *et al.*, 1996) or using the MasterPure DNA purification kit (Epicenter). The first 697 bp of the protein-coding, mitochondrial *msh1* gene were amplified and sequenced as described in Thoma *et al.* (2009). DNA sequences of specimens representing each haplotype, for each seamount peak, were submitted to GenBank. The phylogenetic relationship between the species presented here and other *Chrysogorgia* species will be presented in a forthcoming publication.

### **Statistical analysis**

Variation in sclerite length across haplotypes and polyp body compartments was characterized using frequency histograms. The effect of growth on continuous variables related to colony morphology was assessed by plotting ordered measures (taken from the base to the tip of the colony) for the two specimens that were sampled with their holdfast (KEL407-2 and NAS201-2) and a third, tall colony (KEL619-1) that was sampled very close to its base. The interbranch distance is used as an example. Eight continuous and two discrete (presence / absence, coded as 0 and 1) variables were used in a Principal Components Analysis (PCA; characters listed in Table 1). Measures from individual specimens were used, and continuous variables were summarized as means. Specimen LYM201-2 (YPM 38593, Haplotype A) from Yakutat Seamount was removed from the analysis as the colony was highly damaged and all characters could not be scored with confidence. All statistical analyses and plotting were done in R (R Development Core Team, 2010).

## **RESULTS**

### **Correspondence between *msh1* haplotypes and morphotypes**

Four *msh1* haplotypes (A, B, C, E) were found among the 23 colonies sampled on the NES and CS. Haplotypes A and B differ by one base pair only. The mutation is unique to A among our samples, and appears to be the derived state, when compared to haplotypes B, C and E, the chrysogorgiid *Radicipes gracilis* (Verrill, 1884) (Genbank ID DQ297424) and the primnoid *Narella dichotoma* Versluys, 1906 (Genbank ID EF060048). Haplotypes C and E differ from A by 3 and 7 bp, respectively.

Groupings based on *msh1* haplotypes were congruent with the morphological characters measured (Figures 2 and 3). The first two axes of the PCA explained 59% of the overall variance (component loadings: Table 1). Based on the ten characters used in the PCA, Haplotypes A and B were most distinguishable from each other based on the presence / absence of polyps on the main stem, the presence / absence of sclerites in the branch coenenchyme, and distances between (1) branches on the stem and (2) stem and first branch internode. Haplotype E fell intermediate between these two groups. Haplotype C, represented by a single specimen, was most distinguishable by its tall polyps, its large orthostiche distance (driven by its unusual 3/8L branching sequence), and the angle between bifurcating branches. This last character was greatly influenced by the arched morphology of branchlets (see description). Each species described below is characterized by a discrete *msh1* DNA sequence (haplotype). A list of diagnostic characters for each group is provided in Table 2.

### **Effect of growth on continuous morphological characters**

There is a clear increase in measures pertaining to branching morphology along the stem. Moving distally, branches are more widely spaced (Figure 4). The same observation was made for the orthostiche interval and the distance from the stem to the first internode on a branch. This pattern was more or less important, depending on the colony. For KEL407-2, the interbranch distance is

about 2 mm toward the base, and between 5 and 6 mm toward the tip. These allometric differences also appeared between the different colonies of haplotype E (Figure 3). Only a juvenile (VER202-1) and an adult (NAS204-1) were successfully sampled for this haplotype (Figure 12, and comments in the Systematics section). All morphological measures (except the angle between branches) were larger for the adult, even the height and width of polyps (Figure 3).

### **Sclerite size distribution**

A total of 11,385 sclerites from polyps of all available colonies were measured. The range of sclerite lengths did not vary significantly among the four haplotypes, and among the three polyp body compartments considered (branch coenenchyme, body wall and tentacles). There were some more substantial differences among haplotypes in the mean and skew of the sclerite lengths distributions (Figure 5). Not all haplotypes possessed sclerites in the branch coenenchyme (see taxonomic description). The distributions were highly right-skewed for sclerites from the polyp body wall in haplotypes C and E. These two haplotypes are characterized by a mix of sclerite types in different proportions: scales are small but abundant, and rods are much larger but more scarce. Despite these differences, there is no indication that sclerite length per se can be used as a diagnostic character.

There were significant differences between the sclerite length distributions from different individuals of haplotype A. Some polyps, for example, had smaller sclerites, regardless of the polyp body compartment. Examples are GOO110-2 and MIL101-5. Differences are particularly strong in the coenenchyme. BAL211-1, for instance, is characterized by a bimodal distribution, with a group averaging 80  $\mu\text{m}$  length, and another averaging 190  $\mu\text{m}$  length. While no sclerite  $> 150 \mu\text{m}$  was measured in the coenenchyme of GOO110-2, LYM310-1 had sclerites as long as 330  $\mu\text{m}$  in this compartment.

233

234

## SYSTEMATICS

235

Subclass OCTOCORALLIA Haeckel, 1866

236

Order ALCYONACEA Lamouroux, 1816

237

Sub-order CALCAXONIA Grasshoff, 1999

238

Family CHRYSOGORGIIIDAE Verrill, 1883

239

Genus *Chrysogorgia* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864

240

241 *Chrysogorgia* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864: 13 (107). Verrill, 1883: 21; Studer,

242 1887: 41; Wright & Studer, 1889: xli, 23; Versluys, 1902: 17-33; Nutting, 1908:

243 588; Kükenthal, 1919: 505-511, 1924: 388-390; Deichmann, 1936: 227-228;

244 Hickson, 1940: 307; Madsen, 1944: 49; Bayer, 1956: F216; Bayer & Stefani,

245 1988: 259; Williams, 1992: 252; Cairns, 2001: 754, 2007: 512.

246 *Dasygorgia* Verrill, 1883: 21; Studer, 1887: 41; Wright & Studer, 1889: xli, 6-9,

247 278.

248

249 Type species

250 *Chrysogorgia desbonni* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864, by monotypy.

251

252 Diagnosis

253 Colony branching is sympodial, in the form of an ascending spiral (clockwise or  
254 counterclockwise), a fan (planar colony), or two fans emerging from a short main  
255 stem (biflabellate colony). Axis with a metallic shine, dark to golden in color.

256 Branches divide dichotomously or pinnately. Most polyps large relative to the size  
257 of the branches they sit on. Sclerites in the form of spindles, rods and scales with  
258 little ornamentation.

259

260 Discussion

261 Wright & Studer (1889) proposed to place *Chrysogorgia* species into two  
262 categories based on the zonation of sclerite types (scales and rods / spindles) in  
263 the polyp. The "Spiculosae" (also called Group A) consists of species that have

264 rods and or spindles in the polyp body wall and tentacles. The “Squamosae  
265 typicae” (Group C) consists of species with scales in the polyp body wall and  
266 tentacles. Versluys (1902) defined the “Squamosae aberrantes,” a group  
267 intermediate between groups A and C. This Group B is defined by species with  
268 scales in the polyp body wall and rods / spindles in the tentacles. Groups were  
269 further divided based on branching sequence.

#### 270 271 Remarks

272 Cairns (2001) provided a detailed diagnosis of the genus, and listed the 59 valid  
273 species of *Chrysogorgia* and reviewed the North Atlantic species. He later  
274 described one additional species from Antarctica (Cairns, 2002) and two new  
275 species from northeastern Pacific seamounts (Cairns, 2007), with *Chrysogorgia*  
276 *pinnata* being the first described pinnately-branching species. Pante & France  
277 (2010) recently described *Pseudochrysogorgia*, a new chrysogorgiid genus that  
278 closely resembles *Chrysogorgia* morphologically, but is most closely related to  
279 *Metallogorgia* based on a multi-gene phylogeny.

#### 280 281 Distribution

282 Known from all ocean basins between 100 and 3375 m depth (Cairns, 2001); the  
283 depth limit of *Chrysogorgia* colonies from this study is 3860 m and extends  
284 Cairns’ range by almost 500 m. Recently, ROV-based exploration revealed to  
285 presence of *Chrysogorgia* between 4163 and 4492 m depth on Derickson  
286 Seamount in the Gulf of Alaska (specimen deposited at the Smithsonian  
287 Institution, catalogue number USNM 1081181).

288  
289 *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov.

290 (Figures 6-7)

#### 291 292 TYPE MATERIAL

293 Holotype: Kelvin Seamount, New England Seamount Chain, Station 613,  
294 38°45.93N 64°05.43W, 2132 m depth, 31-Aug-2005, YPM 38607, Isolate  
295 KEL613-1, Genbank ID GQ180126.  
296 Paratypes: Goode Peak, CS, Station 108, 35°23.58'N 51°16.02'W, 2068 m  
297 depth, 21-Aug-2005, YPM 38590, Isolate GOO108-1, Genbank ID GQ180128;  
298 Kelvin Seamount, NES, Station 202, 38°51.59'N 63°54.85'W, 2158 m depth, 16-  
299 Jul-2003, YPM 28606, Isolate KEL202-2; Kükenthal Peak, Corner Seamount,  
300 CS, Station 203, 35°33.40'N 51°48.87'W, 1859 m depth, 22-Aug-2005, YPM  
301 38589, Isolate KUK203-1, Genbank ID GQ180129; Nashville Seamount, NES,  
302 Station 201, 34°28.19'N 56°43.77'W, 2529 m depth, 25-Aug-2005, YPM 38598,  
303 Isolate NAS201-2.

304

#### 305 COMPARATIVE MATERIAL EXAMINED

306 Balanus Seamount, NES, Station 211, 39°24.76'N 65°24.48'W, 1689 m depth,  
307 01-Sep-2005, YPM 38602, Isolate BAL211-1, Genbank ID GQ180125; Bear  
308 Seamount, NES, Station 401, 39°57.1'N 67°24.78'W, 1559 m depth, 11-May-  
309 2004, YPM 38608, Isolate BEA401-1, Genbank ID GQ180123; Goode Peak, CS,  
310 Station 110, 35°23.58'N 51°16.12'W, 2000 m depth, 21-Aug-2005, YPM 38601,  
311 Isolate GOO110-2; Kelvin Seamount, NES, Station 601, 38°45.45'N 64°05.46'W,  
312 2593 m depth, 31-Aug-2005, YPM 38603, Isolate KEL601-4; Yakutat Seamount,  
313 CS, Station 201, 35°11.5N 47°40.3W, 2379 m depth, 14-Aug-2005, YPM 38593,  
314 Isolate LYM201-2, Genbank ID GQ180131; Yakutat Seamount, CS, Station 310,  
315 35°22.16'N 48°09.54'W, 1533 m depth, 15-Aug-2005, YPM 38595, Isolate  
316 LYM310-1; Milne Edwards Peak, Caloosahatchee Seamount, CS, Station 101,  
317 34°49.08'N 50°30.37'W, 1689 m depth, 17-Aug-2005, YPM 38591, Isolate  
318 MIL101-5, Genbank ID GQ180130; Nashville Seamount, NES, Station 103,  
319 34°34.98'N 56°50.59'W, 2250 m depth, 24-Aug-2005, YPM 38592, Isolate  
320 NAS103-2 Genbank ID GQ180127; Nashville Seamount, NES, Station 201,  
321 34°28.19'N 56°43.77'W, 2529 m depth, 25-Aug-2005, YPM 38597, Isolate  
322 NAS201-5; Retriever Seamount, NES, Station 101, only small fragments;

39°45.06'N 66°14.94'W, 3860 m depth, 22-May-2004, Isolate RET101-1,  
Genbank ID EU268056.

## DIAGNOSIS

Wide bottlebrush colony with a 1/3L (counterclockwise) spiral and a large orthostiche interval. Branches stiff and straight. Pitcher-shaped polyps on the main stem and branches, placed on internodes and nodes. Sclerites in the form of scales in the branch coenenchyme (abundant), polyp body wall and tentacles, diagnostic of the *Squamosae typicae*. *msh1* haplotype A.

## DESCRIPTION

The holotype is 42 cm tall and 19 cm wide. It was collected from a hard substrate, and cut by the ROV manipulator arm very close from its base. *In situ* photographs show a white / yellow discoidal holdfast for this specimen. Some colonies (NAS201-2, GOO108-1) were collected with their discoidal holdfast. The colony consists of a wide 1/3L spiral, and when viewed from below shows three large passageways produced by the regularity of the branching. The stem has a deep golden color and a metallic luster. It is stiff and significantly thicker than the base of branches along most of the colony (proximal area: stem at least twice as thick as branches; distal area: stem and branches almost equally thick). Every time it gives off a branch, the stem deviates from the main axis, producing a zigzag pattern typical of *Chrysogorgia*. On the holotype, the interbranch distance varies between 6 and 15 mm (average 11 mm  $\pm$  2; all specimens: 10  $\pm$  3 mm, 4-30 mm, n=219), and the orthostiche interval is about three times as much (holotype: 30.7  $\pm$  5.6 mm, 16.7-38.9 mm, n=23; all specimens: 27  $\pm$  7 mm, 14-42 mm, n=124). Branches are as stiff as the stem at their base (diameter, holotype: 1.1  $\pm$  0.2 mm, 0.8-1.4 mm, n=12; all specimens: 0.7  $\pm$  0.3 mm, 0.1-1.6 mm, n=148), and thinner and more flexible toward their tip. They emerge from the main stem at an obtuse angle (holotype: 121.8  $\pm$  11.6°, 106.3-135.9°, n=12; all specimens: 114  $\pm$  11°, 93-144°, n=95) at regular intervals. Branches are straight, some (the most distal) having a slight curvature. Branching occurs in

multiple planes, and branching order varies along the colony, the middle being the bushier part of the colony (10<sup>th</sup> order of branching). Among the rest of the specimens, branching order varies between 3 (small colony KEL601-4) and 19 (NAS201-2). Anastomosing of branches was never observed. The angle between subdividing branches is regularly acute (holotype:  $76.2 \pm 10.2^\circ$ ,  $59.3\text{-}95.5^\circ$ ,  $n=15$ ; all specimens:  $77 \pm 14^\circ$ ,  $47\text{-}121^\circ$ ,  $n=250$ ). The distance between the stem and first branch node (holotype:  $12.1 \pm 2.9$  mm,  $8.6\text{-}18.3$  mm,  $n=11$ ; all specimens:  $13 \pm 3$  mm,  $5\text{-}21$  mm,  $n=117$ ) is similar to the interbranch and the internode distances, although the internode distance may slightly decrease toward the tip of the branch. The size of the terminal branchlet directly correlates with the number of polyps that sit on it.

Polyps are orange while alive and turn white in ethanol. All colonies are characterized by axial polyps placed on the interbranch. In most cases, one polyp is placed on branch nodes, and one is placed on branch internodes. The number of polyps per internode most commonly is one, but two or three polyps can be observed, in which case they are equally spaced. Branch tips carry one to four polyps, but most have one or two. On average, polyps are oriented upwards. Most are in the shape of pitchers, and are almost as wide (holotype:  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  mm,  $0.5\text{-}1.2$  mm,  $n=15$ ; all specimens:  $1 \pm 0.3$  mm,  $0.4\text{-}2.4$  mm,  $n=309$ ) as they are tall (holotype:  $1.2 \pm 0.2$  mm,  $1\text{-}1.6$  mm,  $n=17$ ; all specimens:  $1.1 \pm 0.3$  mm,  $0.5\text{-}2.3$  mm,  $n=320$ ). Cnidal papillae are present on the polyp body. On some polyps, the back of tentacles is almost entirely covered by sclerite-free tissue resembling a gland (Figures 7D, 7E, 7F). To date, these features are of unknown origin and function, although they do not appear to be larvae or parasites (Watling and Simpson, unpublished histological examination).

Sclerites of the polyp body are in the form of smooth scales. In the coenenchyme, they are elongated, with a slight constriction in the middle, and run parallel to the branch (all specimens:  $126 \pm 57$   $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $17\text{-}334$   $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=2686$ ). Sclerites In the polyp body wall can be rounded on their distal ends with a strong constriction in the middle (*e.g.* Figure 7, 3rd sclerite of the polyp body wall; all specimens:  $154 \pm 56$   $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $26\text{-}441$   $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=3082$ ). They are densely packed and

arranged parallel to the branch (as in Madsen's 1944 description of *C. campanula*). The sclerites are found stacked horizontally in the back of the tentacle (perpendicular to the axis of the tentacle), and tend to be smaller and more longitudinally arranged toward the tip of the tentacle (all specimens:  $143 \pm 60 \mu\text{m}$ , 19-352  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=3489$ ). Sclerites rarely extend into the pinnules, which are otherwise sclerite-free. Tentacle sclerites are smooth, flat scales or idiosyncratic shapes.

#### ETYMOLOGY

Species epithet is Latin for "three passages" ("tri" and "caulus" in combination) in reference to the large passageways visible when the colony is viewed from below.

#### DISTRIBUTION

This species was found throughout the NES and CS chains, from Bear Seamount (NW) to Yakutat Seamount (SE), from 1533 m to 3860 m depth. With fifteen colonies, this is the most abundant species collected on the NES and CS.

#### REMARKS

Paratypes were chosen to cover the range of variation revealed by the PCA. Colony silhouette varies across specimens. While most are tall bottlebrushes, much taller than they are wide, some are as wide as they are tall. For example, NAS201-2 is 27 cm tall and 30 cm wide, while KEL613-1 (holotype) is 42 cm tall and 19 cm wide. Ten of the 14 colonies examined have a very regular 1/3L branching pattern. However, variation was observed in four specimens (GOO108-1, LYM201-2, KEL202-2, NAS103-2). One of them is particularly noteworthy: ten centimeters from the holdfast, the axis of the GOO108-1 shifts by  $43^\circ$ , perhaps indicative of a response to the overall position of the colony relative to environmental conditions such as current flow. Also, most of the branches of LYM201-2 fell off shortly after fixation. The size frequency of sclerites was variable between polyps from different colonies (see results section). Multiple

colonies were found with egg cases of an unknown “dumbo” octopus (KEL613-1, GOO110-2, BAL211-1, LYM310-1) and the shrimp *Bathypalaemonella serratipalma* Pequegnat, 1970 (KEL613-1, BAL211-1). While fourteen colonies were examined, we obtained a few branch fragments from a fifteenth one (RET101-1), which corresponds to the deepest occurrence of *msh1* haplotype A, and is the deepest recorded occurrence of any species in the genus.

## COMPARISONS

There are eight species of *Squamosae typicae* (Group C) with a 1/3L branching sequence, none of which fit the description of *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov. *C. axillaris* (Wright & Studer, 1889) and *C. geniculata* (Wright & Studer, 1889) are short, flexible colonies with interbranch and orthostiche distances that are significantly shorter than documented here. According to Versluys (1902), *C. rigida* Versluys, 1902 is almost identical to *C. geniculata*, and these could belong to the same species. *C. cavea* Kinoshita, 1913 is a very robust, short bush. Its mode of colony growth is strikingly different from that of *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov. (photo in Kinoshita, 1913). *C. sibogae* Versluys, 1902 (described from one specimen) is a short colony with very tightly-spaced branches (1-3 mm), few branch internodes and a significant disparity between the lengths of the proximal and distal branch internodes. *C. excavata* Kükenthal, 1908 has very particular tentacular sclerites, in the form of elongated, curved needles with a serrated edge (Kükenthal, 1908, Figures 45 and 47). The branches of that species are not fully bifurcating, producing a lyrate appearance in places (Figure 44). The description of *C. delicata* Nutting, 1908 is very succinct, and based on 5 cm of a single, damaged colony. It differs from *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov. by a short interbranch distance (4 mm), longitudinally arranged sclerites in the polyp body wall, and curved, transversally-arranged tentacular sclerites. *C. fruticosa* (Studer, 1894) is a short colony with a short interbranch distance, and the mode of branch ramification (“*Cyma helicoidea unipara*”) is different from that observed in *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov.

*Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov.

(Figures 8-9)

TYPE MATERIAL

Holotype: Kelvin Seamount, NES, Station 407, 38°46.98'N 63°57.77'W, 2253 m depth, 19-May-2004, YPM 38596, Isolate KEL407-2, Genbank ID GQ180132.

Paratypes: Kelvin Seamount, NES, Station 619, 38°46.23'N 64°05.56'W, 1965 m depth, 31-Aug-2005, YPM 38605, Isolate KEL619-1, Genbank ID GQ868346; Kükenthal Peak, Corner Seamount, CS, Station 205, 35°33.41'N 51°48.89'W, 1846 m depth, 22-Aug-2005, YPM 38604, Isolate KUK205-1, Genbank ID GQ180133; Milne Edwards Peak, Caloosahatchee Seamount, NES, Station 102, 34°48.99'N 50°30.36'W, 1650 m depth, 17-Aug-2005, YPM 38599, Isolate MIL102-3, Genbank ID GQ180134.

DIAGNOSIS

Elongated bottlebrush colony with a 2/5L (counterclockwise) spiral and a short orthostiche interval. Branches straight and stiff. Pitcher-shaped polyps on the main stem and branches, placed on internodes and nodes. Sclerites in the form of scales in the polyp body wall and tentacles, diagnostic of the *Squamosae typicae*. Sclerites rare or absent from the branch coenenchyme. *msh1* haplotype B.

DESCRIPTION

The holotype is a narrow bottlebrush colony, 25 cm tall and 11 cm wide. It was collected with its discoidal holdfast. The colony consists of a narrow 2/5L spiral. The stem has a brown-golden color and the metallic luster typical of *Chrysogorgia*. Every time it gives off a branch, the stem deviates from the main axis, producing a zigzag pattern. The stems of older colonies have a darker color. About 4 cm from the holdfast, a side branch forms a secondary stem, itself characterized by a regular, narrow 2/5L spiral. It is stiff and significantly thicker than the basal branches along most of the colony (proximal area: stem over twice

as thick as branches; distal area: stem and branches almost equally thick). The interbranch distance is short (holotype:  $3.3 \pm 1.3$  mm, 1.8-6.2 mm,  $n=27$ ; all specimens:  $4 \pm 1$  mm, 2-10 mm,  $n=122$ ). Moving distad along the stem, the interbranch distance progressively increases from about 2 mm to almost 6 mm (Figure 5). The orthostiche distance is about five times the interbranch distance (holotype:  $18.8 \pm 2.4$  mm, 15-21 mm,  $n=8$ , all specimens:  $20 \pm 4$  mm, 11-30 mm,  $n=74$ ). Branches are as stiff as the stem at their base (diameter, holotype:  $0.7 \pm 0.2$  mm, 0.4-1.2 mm,  $n=24$ ; all specimens:  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  mm, 0-1.3 mm,  $n=55$ ), and thinner and more flexible toward their tip. They emerge from the main stem at an obtuse angle (holotype:  $121 \pm 10.8^\circ$ ,  $102.7$ - $134.8^\circ$ ,  $n=10$ ; all specimens:  $121 \pm 9^\circ$ ,  $97$ - $138^\circ$ ,  $n=45$ ) at regular intervals. On average, the internodes are as short as the interbranch distance (distance between the stem and the first internode, holotype:  $4.7 \pm 1.6$  mm, 2.4-6.5 mm,  $n=10$ ; all specimens:  $6 \pm 1$  mm, 2-9 mm,  $n=56$ ). Branching occurs in multiple planes, and branching order is stable along the colony, varying between the 6<sup>th</sup> and the 8<sup>th</sup> order. There is no evidence of anastomosing between the branches of the holotype, but two of the four colonies examined exhibited fused branches (KUK205-1 and MIL102-3). The angle between subdividing branches is regularly acute (holotype:  $76.3 \pm 10.5^\circ$ ,  $60.3$ - $89.4^\circ$ ,  $n=7$ ; all specimens:  $87 \pm 12^\circ$ ,  $60$ - $117^\circ$ ,  $n=59$ ), and the internode length tends to decrease from the first node on.

Polyps are orange while alive and turn white in ethanol. Axial polyps were observed on none of the colonies examined. There is one polyp per branch node (or just next to the node) on the holotype, and other examined colonies can carry between zero to two polyps on the internode in addition to the polyp situated directly on or next to the node. Branch tips carry one or two polyps. This is the general case, but up to five polyps can be observed on the terminal branchlet. Polyps overall are oriented upward, with many exceptions. They are on average as wide (holotype:  $1.1 \pm 0.3$  mm, 0.6-1.4 mm,  $n=20$ ; all specimens:  $0.9 \pm 0.3$  mm, 0.6-1.7 mm,  $n=76$ ) as they are tall (holotype:  $1.3 \pm 0.3$  mm, 0.8-1.9 mm,  $n=40$ ; all specimens:  $1.1 \pm 0.3$  mm, 0.4-1.9 mm,  $n=100$ ), and can be slightly constricted at

the neck. No cnidal papillae were observed on the polyp or the branch coenenchyme.

Throughout the polyp body, sclerites are in the form of smooth scales (all specimens:  $174 \pm 48 \mu\text{m}$ , 62-338  $\mu\text{m}$ , n=458). They are absent from the branch coenenchyme. In the polyp body wall, sclerites are densely packed and arranged parallel to the branch in a way that is very similar to what is observed in *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov. They are rounded on their distal ends with a constriction in the middle. Some short rods (some curved) can occasionally be observed (seen in KEL407-2 and KUK205-1). Sclerites are found stacked laterally in the back of the tentacle (perpendicular to the axis of the tentacle; all specimens:  $161 \pm 42 \mu\text{m}$ , 40-309  $\mu\text{m}$ , n=514). These are smooth, flat scales or idiosyncratic shapes. Some rare sclerites partially extend into the pinnules, which are otherwise sclerite-free. These sclerites do not appear any different from the other sclerites found in the tentacles.

#### ETYMOLOGY

Species epithet is Latin for “tight spiral” (“arto” and “spira” combined) in reference to the 2/5 branching sequence, the tightest spiral for any colony of *Chrysogorgia* observed on the New England and Corner seamounts.

#### DISTRIBUTION

Species known from Kelvin Seamount, located approximately in the middle of the NES, as well as Corner and Caloosahatchee Seamounts on the CS. Depth distribution extends from 1650 to 2253 m.

#### REMARKS

This species was found in association with egg cases of an unknown “dumbo” octopus (KEL619-1 and MIL102-3), fish eggs (MIL102-3), a comatulid crinoid (MIL102-3), scale worms (KEL407-2, KEL619-1), and a cladorhizid carnivorous sponge (KEL619-1).

## COMPARISONS

Based on the list of valid species compiled by Cairns (2001), only three species of the Squamosae typicae are characterized by a 2/5L spiral: *C. acanthella* (Wright & Studer, 1889), *C. pendula* Versluys, 1902 and *C. campanula* Madsen, 1944. While the first two were described from the southwestern Pacific (Kermadec, 1097 m and Banda Sea, 1595 m, respectively), *C. campanula* was described from Icelandic waters (2448 m), and might therefore be closely related to *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. Madsen (1944) noted that this species seems “more closely related to *C. acanthella* (Wright & Studer), from which it may, however, be distinguished by its larger zooids, the absence of cnidal papillae, and its much closer layer of scales in the coenenchyme.” The polyps of *C. campanula* are indeed stout and densely packed with sclerites. They have a “trumpet” shape (the width of the polyp increases from its base upwards) that *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. clearly lacks. The tentacles of *C. campanula* are thicker than those of *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. Although the interbranch distances (3-3.5 mm) and internodal distances (2.5-9 mm) reported in Madsen (1944) are similar to ours, the colony examined by Madsen was 8.5 tall, while the specimens we examined were no smaller than 23 cm in height (this height difference may simply reflect an age difference). Both *C. campanula* and *C. acanthella* differ from *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. by the presence of polyps on the stem and sclerites in the branch coenenchyme. *C. acanthella* has abundant verrucae in the coenenchyme (giving it a rugged appearance) and an interbranch distance of 1.5 mm. *C. pendula* was described from part of a highly damaged colony characterized by unusual descending size branches. This species has an abundance of sclerites in the branch coenenchyme.

*Chrysogorgia averta* sp. nov.

(Figures 10-11)

## TYPE MATERIAL

Holotype: Lyman Peak on Yakutat Seamount, Station 201, 35°11.5N 47°40.3W, 2379 m depth, 14 August 2005, YPM 38594, Isolate LYM201-1, Genbank ID GQ180136.

#### DIAGNOSIS

Wide bottlebrush colony with a 3/8L (counterclockwise) spiral and a very wide orthostiche interval. Branches slightly curved, forming “U”-shaped bifurcations. Polyps long and narrow, placed on the internodes, not on the nodes. Sclerites in the form of flat rods and scales in the branch coenenchyme, rods and scales in polyp body wall, and rods in tentacles (diagnostic of the Spiculosa). *msh1* haplotype C.

#### DESCRIPTION

This species is described from a single specimen. The holotype is 42 cm tall and 25 cm wide. It was collected from a tall colony, the main stem having been cut by the ROV manipulator claw near the base of the colony. Based on extrapolation from lab and in-situ photographs, the colony was about 45 cm tall. The holdfast is a very small disk, about 1-2 cm diameter. The colony consists of a wide 3/8L spiral. The stem has a golden color with a faint green tinge and a metallic luster. Every time it gives off a branch, the stem deviates from the main axis, producing a zigzag pattern. The stem is stiff and significantly thicker than the base of branches along most of the colony (proximal area: stem twice as thick as branches; distal area: stem and branches almost equally thick). The interbranch distance varies between 9 and 13 mm (average  $11 \pm 1$  mm), and the orthostiche interval is particularly long ( $76 \pm 1$  mm, 75-78 mm, n=4). Branches are as stiff as the stem at their base (diameter:  $1.1 \pm 0.2$  mm, 0.8-1.6 mm, n=14), and thinner and more flexible toward their tip. They emerge from the main stem at an obtuse angle ( $104 \pm 10^\circ$ , 97-122°, n=10) at regular intervals. Internodes are characterized by a slight curvature; bifurcations are “U”-shaped as a result (this curvature makes the angle between bifurcating branches particularly obtuse:  $108 \pm 10^\circ$ , 91-124°, n=15). Branching occurs in multiple planes, and branching order

varies along the colony (base: 6th – 8th order, middle: 9th – 12th order, top: 10th order). There is no evidence of anastomoses between branches. The distance between the stem and the first branch node is  $17 \pm 2$  mm (13-19 mm, n=6), and the internodal distance progressively decreases moving distad (size of branchlet:  $8 \pm 4$  mm).

Polyps are yellow / orange while alive and turn white in ethanol. Axial polyps were not observed, and polyp occurrence most often starts on the first branch internode. Most internodes carry zero to two polyps, most commonly one to two. Polyps are equally spaced on the internodes, and are not found on the nodes. Terminal branchlets carry one to three polyps, but most have one. Most polyps are oriented up and outwards. They are on average longer ( $1.5 \pm 0.3$  mm, 1.1-1.9 mm, n=13) than they are wide ( $0.7 \pm 0.1$  mm, 0.5-1 mm, n=20), and are slightly constricted at the neck. Cnidal papillae are present on the polyp body wall and the branch coenenchyme (Figure 11E). Egg-bearing polyps are characterized by two pouches that sit on each side of the branch, as if they were saddle bags (Figure 11D; arrow pointing to an egg: Figure 11 F).

The branch coenenchyme contains few, small sclerites in the form of rods ( $122 \pm 30$   $\mu$ m, 43-182  $\mu$ m, n=100). The polyp body wall contains scales (most abundant;  $96 \pm 23$   $\mu$ m, 41-196  $\mu$ m, n=150) and rods (more or less blunt-ended;  $181 \pm 60$   $\mu$ m, 79-334  $\mu$ m, n=35). Sclerites are longitudinally arranged in the polyp body. Scales and rods are particularly abundant at the base. Moving distad along the polyp body wall, rods become larger and more aggregated in the grooves between the tentacles. At the oral disc, rows of sclerites from adjacent grooves meet at the tentacle base and form longitudinal rows, four to five sclerites wide, along the back of the tentacle ( $186 \pm 53$   $\mu$ m, 62-301  $\mu$ m, n=150). Tentacles contain rods only, and pinnules are free of sclerites.

## ETYMOLOGY

Species epithet is Latin for “saddle bag,” in reference to the morphology of mature polyps, with their two “pockets,” containing eggs and extending below each side of the branch.

631

632 DISTRIBUTION

633 Only known from the northwestern Atlantic at the type location, namely Lyman  
634 Peak, Yakutat Seamount in the Corner seamount chain, 2379 m depth.

635

636 REMARKS

637 The zonation of sclerite types (rods present in the tentacles and polyp body wall)  
638 places this species in the “Spiculosae” (Group A). The holotype was collected  
639 with the shrimp *Bathypalaemonella serratipalma* Pequegnat, 1970.

640

641 COMPARISONS

642 This species represents the first recorded occurrence of the 3/8L branching  
643 sequence. *C. herdendorfi* Cairns, 2001, described from deep waters off the coast  
644 of South Carolina, is characterized by a 2-5R-3/8R spiral. This later is  
645 characterized by significantly shorter interbranch (2.5-3 mm), orthostiche (14-17  
646 mm) and stem-to-first branch node (4-7 mm) distances. Also, the branches of *C.*  
647 *herdendorfi* emerge from the main stem with a more obtuse angle (i.e. they are  
648 more pointed toward the top of the colony), and the colony is significantly more  
649 slender than *Chrysogorgia averta*. Finally, branches are not nearly as stout, and  
650 do not arc as the branches of *C. averta*. These differences, however, could be  
651 attributed to growth, as the maximum height for *C. herdendorfi* is 22 cm, half the  
652 size of *C. averta*. Other parameters (sclerite composition, number of polyps on  
653 first internode) are congruent, and these species may be closely related. Both  
654 species were collected from the northwestern Atlantic from similar depths, and  
655 while *C. averta* was collected on a seamount (on the upper edge of a wall), *C.*  
656 *herdendorfi* was collected from a wreck.

657

658 *Chrysogorgia abludo* sp. nov.

659 (Figures 12-13)

660

661 TYPE MATERIAL

Holotype: Verrill Peak, Caloosahatchee Seamount, CS, Station 202, 34°31.84'N 49°47.39'W, 2110 m depth, 19-Aug-2005, YPM 38606, Isolate VER202-1, Genbank ID GQ180139. Paratype: Nashville Seamount, NES, Station 204, 34°28.73'N 56°44.04'W, 2121 m depth, 25-Aug-2005, YPM 38600, Isolate NAS204-1.

#### COMPARATIVE MATERIAL EXAMINED

Nashville Seamount, NES, Station 102, fragments only; 34°34.97'N 56°50.60'W, 2246 m depth, 24-Aug-2005, Isolate NAS102-3, Genbank ID GQ180138.

#### DIAGNOSIS

Wide bottlebrush colony with a 1/3L, 1/4L or irregular (counterclockwise) spiral. Polyps with a strong constriction at the neck, placed on internodes and nodes. Polyps absent from the main stem. Sclerites in the form of scales in the coenenchyme, scales and rods in the polyp body wall, and rods in the tentacles (diagnostic of the Spiculosaes). *msh1* haplotype E.

#### DESCRIPTION

The holotype is a small, narrow, sparsely branched colony with a bottlebrush shape. It is 16 cm tall and 7 cm wide. The paratype NAS204-1 is much taller (29 cm) and wider (24), but in very poor condition, most branches having fallen off the stem after fixation of the specimen. It is not bottlebrush-shaped, but rather a bush of branches perched on top of a long stem. From *in situ* photos, it can be estimated that the colony was about 50 cm tall, 20 cm wide, and started branching about 20 cm from its base. The holdfast of the holotype is only slightly visible in the *in situ* photos, and appears to be a small disk attached to some exposed basalt with a veneer of biogenic sand. In the paratype the holdfast is a small disk about 1 cm diameter. Colonies are golden with a metallic luster and have a counter-clockwise (L) spiral. The branching sequence is irregular, 1/3 or 1/4 in places. The holotype is slender, but the paratype is stiff, with thick axis (diameter 2.2 mm at the base) and branches (diameter at the base: 0.9-1 mm,

n=2). In both specimens, the axis is thicker than the branches near the base, but gets thinner when moving distad. Towards the tip of the colonies, the stem is as thick as the side branches. Every time it gives off a branch, the stem deviates from the main axis, producing a zigzag pattern typical of *Chrysogorgia*. The interbranch distance is short on the holotype ( $5.1 \pm 0.6$  mm, 4.3-6.8, n=20) and twice as long on the paratype ( $10.7 \pm 3$  mm, 7.5-15, n=9). Branches emerge from the main stem at an obtuse angle (holotype:  $98.4 \pm 5.9^\circ$ , 92.3-109.3°, n=9; paratype:  $112.4 \pm 2.7^\circ$ , 110.5-114.3°, n=2) at regular intervals. The distance from the stem to the first interbranch is  $8.5 \pm 2.1$  mm (6.1-11 mm, n=6) on the holotype. This parameter could only be measured on two instances on the paratype, and is about 16 mm in both cases. The angle between subdividing branches is acute (holotype:  $92.2 \pm 8.5^\circ$ , 83.3-102.2°, n=4, n=15; paratype:  $72.3 \pm 11.6^\circ$ , 54.7-86.3°, n=10). They bifurcate only once or twice on the holotype. Branching order is much higher in the paratype, although this parameter is hard to assess due to the poor preservation of the specimen. While anastomoses were not observed on the holotype, fused polyps (different polyps attached at their base) were observed on the paratype. On the holotype, internodes bear one or two polyps, one being close to the node. This character cannot be assessed from the paratype. Terminal branchlets bear one to three polyps on the holotype, and one to six polyps on the paratype.

Polyps are orange while alive and turn white in ethanol. Axial polyps were not observed. Polyps are mostly oriented upward. They are on average longer (both specimens:  $1.5 \pm 0.4$  mm, 0.8-2.2 mm, n=27) than they are wide (both specimens:  $0.9 \pm 0.2$  mm, 0.6-1.4 mm, n=27), and are strongly constricted at the neck. No cnidal papillae were observed on the polyp or the branch coenenchyme.

The branch coenenchyme bears small, rugged scales (both specimens:  $135 \pm 40$   $\mu$ m, 19-238  $\mu$ m, n=80). In the polyp body wall, sclerites are in the form of rods (both specimens:  $227 \pm 78$   $\mu$ m, 60-399  $\mu$ m, n=160) and smooth, elongated scales of idiosyncratic shapes (both specimens:  $120 \pm 43$   $\mu$ m, 38-251  $\mu$ m, n=250). They are mostly found transversally and longitudinally arranged (i.e.

parallel to the polyp axis). The tentacles contain rods exclusively (both specimens:  $154 \pm 67 \mu\text{m}$ , 42-356  $\mu\text{m}$ ,  $n=231$ ), and pinnules are free of sclerites. Rods form longitudinal rows, four to five sclerites wide along the back of the tentacle.

#### ETYMOLOGY

Species epithet is Latin for “dissimilar,” in reference to the different overall morphologies of the colonies examined, including the branching sequence.

#### DISTRIBUTION

Known from the CS (Caloosahatchee Seamount) and the Southern tip of the NES (Nashville Seamount), between 2110 and 2246 m depth.

#### REMARKS

While VER202-1 is the smallest colony of this species (and the smallest *Chrysogorgia* collected on the NES and CS), and therefore probably a juvenile colony, it was chosen over NAS204-1 as the holotype for its better preservation. A third colony (NAS102-3) corresponding to haplotype E was collected. However, everything but a few branches was lost during ROV maneuver. Based on *in situ* photographs, this colony was bottlebrush-shaped, and much taller (about 60 cm) than it is wide (about 13 cm). It had a discoidal holdfast, and was bifurcating about 21 cm from its holdfast, in a manner very similar to what as described for KEL407-2. This colony started branching 15 cm from the holdfast. Both specimens collected were host to the shrimp *Bathypalaemonella serratipalma* Pequegnat, 1970.

#### COMPARISONS

Only one species of Spiculosae (group A) was described with an irregular counter-clockwise spiral (Cairns, 2001): *C. dichotoma* Thomson & Henderson, 1906. This specimen, described from the Bay of Bengal at 165 m depth, is among the shallowest *Chrysogorgia* reported to date. *Chrysogorgia abludo* sp.

nov. differs from this species in its mode of branching (internode distance 10+ mm, and see Plate 6, Figure 3 of Thomson & Henderson, 1906), polyp arrangement (polyps are aligned, and do not form a spiral) and morphology of polyps (long, narrow with strong constriction; not short and conical). The Spiculosae group does not contain species with a 1/3L spiral. However, it contains 12 1/4L turners (some parts of VER202-1 conform to a 1/4L sequence), all from the Indo-Pacific, mostly from depths much shallower than what was observed for *C. abludo*. (146 – 1901 m). None of those species conform to the morphology of *C. abludo* sp. nov.; most are small bushes with a very short interbranch distance (*C. cupressa* (Wright & Studer, 1889), *C. lata* Versluys, 1902, *C. tetrasticha* Versluys, 1902, *C. pusilla* Versluys, 1902, *C. dispersa* Kükenthal, 1908, *C. minuta* Kinoshita, 1913 and *C. sphaerica* Aurivillius, 1931). Others are small bushes with a larger interbranch distance (*C. rotunda* Kükenthal, 1908, *C. okinensis* Kinoshita, 1913), or have other inconsistent characters (*C. pyramidalis* Kükenthal, 1908, *C. comans* Kinoshita, 1913: bottlebrush, short interbranch, very long, whip-like branches; *C. papillosa* Kükenthal, 1908: profusion of papillae).

## DISCUSSION

### **Species delimitation and identification**

The four *msh1* haplotypes were matched to four, non-overlapping morphotypes (PCA, Figure 2), suggesting that the species described here are true evolutionary units rather than artificial groupings. These results underline the usefulness of *msh1* as a barcode for *Chrysogorgia* on the NES and CS chains. As well, the use of PCA was shown to be particularly useful to assign *Chrysogorgia* specimens to a particular species. Many of the characters used to separate *Chrysogorgia* species are continuous, and in many cases, there can be significant overlap between character ranges for different species. For example, interbranch distance was shown to be a useful character to separate haplotypes A and B, as the interbranch distance of A was significantly larger than that of B (Kruskal-Wallis rank sum test:  $X^2 = 204$ ,  $df = 1$ ,  $p\text{-value} < 0.001$ ). However, the two distributions still overlap, as the minimum interbranch distance is 4 mm for *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov., while the maximum distance is 10 mm for *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. The PCA might prove particularly valuable to examine such overlap between groups of individuals from different species (i.e., overlap between clouds of points from different species on Figure 3), should intermediate morphotypes or haplotypes be collected.

There was a clear trend towards increasing spacing between branches along the stem in the direction of growth (Figure 5). In the case of KEL407-2, the interbranch distance almost triples from its base to its tip. The effect of growth on colony morphometrics has previously been reported (Kinoshita, 1913; description of *C. aurea*), and has strong implications for species identification. It should be taken into account when diagnosing juvenile or older but fragmentary colonies.

This set of specimens exemplifies the difficulty of identifying colonies to the species-level based on *in situ* images and videos. There is a rapid increase in the number of studies using remotely-operated vehicles to survey deep benthic

communities and make faunal inventories (e.g. Mortensen *et al.*, 2008, Lundsten, 2007, Lundsten *et al.*, 2009, McClain *et al.*, 2009; 13 abstracts presented at the 12<sup>th</sup> Deep-sea Biology Symposium in Reykjavík, Iceland, 2010). While this might be an achievable goal at the genus level (in select biogeographic regions), is it impracticable at the species level within the Chrysogorgiidae. *Chrysogorgia* is one of the most speciose of the alcyonacean genera (Cairns, 2002). Groups of species can be distinguished using the zonation of sclerite types within the polyp (groups A, B, C – Wright & Studer, 1889; Versluys, 1902; Cairns, 2001) and branching sequence. While sclerite zonation is impossible to assess from videos, branching sequence is impracticable to assess, because of lack of perspective from videos and the profusion of branches in some species (masking the stem and its branching pattern). Differences between species within a set defined by sclerite zonation and branching sequence are subtle, and include characters such as the number of internodes and placement of polyps on branches, orientation of sclerites on the polyp, presence / absence of specialized sclerites, all of which are impracticable or impossible to get from photographs or videos. One tempting solution is to identify specimens based on the known distributional range of a particular species. However, most deep-sea octocorals have very poorly known distributional ranges (except for many pennatulaceans, which have relatively well-established bathymetric ranges – G.C. Williams, pers. comm.), and our genetics-based studies are suggest that many taxa have distributions far wider than previously known. Finally using video for faunal inventories is likely to (1) severely reduce estimates of diversity, as the rate of species discovery is still very high for this group of organisms and (2) bias estimates of distributional ranges, as the rate of species misidentification could be high.

### **The limits of barcoding with *msh1***

All four *msh1* haplotypes were recently found on the Bahama Escarpment during the 2009 Bahamas Deep Coral cruise. While the morphology of specimens (branching sequence and sclerites) from two haplotypes (A and E) conforms well to the morphological profile based on the NES and CS samples, morphology of

two other haplotypes (B and C) could not be easily predicted based on *msh1*. Haplotype B, consistently characterized by a 2/5L and a short interbranch distance on the NES and CS, was represented in the Bahamas by 1/3L-1/4L colonies with a long interbranch interval. These colonies, however, belong the *Squamosae typicae* (group C; rods in the polyp body wall and tentacles), as would be expected for haplotype B. Because more extensive genetic sampling suggests that haplotype A is derived from haplotype B, we can hypothesize that morphological characters such as branching sequence can evolve faster than *msh1*. As a result, *Chrysogorgia* specimens with identical *msh1* sequences may not necessarily belong to the same species, but rather belong to different, recently-diverged sister species. Indeed, this pattern is not uncommon among octocorals (e.g. McFadden et al., 2011). We must caution that only about 700 bp of *msh1* were used in this study, and additional sequencing will be required to reliably separate specimens from closely-related species. In addition, morphological analysis of the Bahamas material is preliminary, and a more thorough analysis will be required.

#### **Comparisons with NW Atlantic *Chrysogorgia***

There is a sharp contrast between the previously-described NW Atlantic fauna (reviewed in Cairns, 2001) and the specimens collected on the NES and CS. Most species reported by Cairns (2001) are small (less than 25 cm tall), slender colonies, with closely-spaced branches (interbranch 0.5 – 6 mm). Three of the nine described species have a rhizoidal holdfast, adapted to soft sediments. Overall, these specimens were sampled from significantly shallower depths, on slope environments (Figure 14). Only 10% of the specimens reported in Cairns (2001) were collected within the depth range reported in this study. Those specimens (belonging to *C. agassizii*, *C. elegans*, *C. fewkesii*, *C. herdendorfi* and *C. spiculosa*) are all directly associated with the continental slope (from New England to northern Mexico, Columbia and Guyana), and three of the five species represented have a rhizoidal holdfast.

In contrast, the specimens presented in this paper were sampled from the summit and flanks of old seamounts of volcanic origin (Sleep, 1990). While the species reported in Cairns (2001) and the ones described here seem to be sympatrically distributed (Figure 14), they are in fact found in disjunct habitats, as our NW-most samples were collected on seamounts impinging on the continental margin (e.g. Bear Seamount), and not on the slope itself. *In situ* photographs confirm that all colonies reported here were sampled directly on hard substrates or lightly sedimented areas, and all four species have encrusting, discoidal holdfasts adapted to attachment to hard surfaces. Previously described NW Atlantic species may therefore be adapted to different environments, characterized by more sedimented substrates, higher primary productivity and different hydrological regimes.

This hypothesis is supported by our recent observations from the Bahamas Escarpment: all four *msh1* haplotypes from the NES and CS were sampled in the Bahamas from deep waters (between 1073 and 2258 m depth) and from hard substrates. The distribution of NW Atlantic species might, therefore, not be directly affected by the geological origin of the substrate (i.e. continental vs. oceanic), but rather its nature (i.e. hard vs. soft). Indeed, *Metallogorgia melanotrichos* (Wright & Studer, 1889), previously thought to be a seamount specialist, was also recently collected from the Bahamas Escarpment.

Finally, sampling strategy might explain why the species described herein were apparently never sampled before. Hard substrates from escarpments and walls were targeted during the NES, CS and Bahamas cruises. These areas, chosen because they are most often associated with accelerated currents preferred by filter-feeding invertebrates such as corals, are difficult or impossible to sample using dredges or trawls.

## **Atlantic-Pacific connections**

All *Chrysogorgia* colonies collected on the NES and CS have a sinistral (L) spiral. With the exception of *C. campanula* from the Denmark Strait, off Iceland, all other species from the Atlantic were described with a dextral (R) spiral. Species characterized by a sinistral spiral have been described from the Coral Triangle, Japan, Hawaii and the Gulf of Panama. Two of the four species found on the NES and CS have smooth scales in their polyp body wall and tentacles (Squamosae typicae, group C). Again, with the exception of *C. campanula*, this group has previously been described exclusively from Pacific locations. Finally, one species (*Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov.) is represented on the NES and CS by the *msh1* haplotype B, which has been sampled twice from Hawaii (e.g. specimen LAD23; Thoma *et al.*, 2009). There is no evidence at present that all specimens characterized by haplotype B belong to the same species (see discussion of material from the Bahama Escarpment above). However, these specimens are likely very closely related. These three lines of evidence (direction of the spiral, zonation of sclerite types and *msh1* haplotyping) all suggest a faunal connection between the Atlantic and Pacific. This is further supported by the fact that *Iridogorgia*, *Radicipes* and *Metallogorgia* (Chrysogorgiidae) all possess *msh1* haplotypes that are shared between Atlantic and Pacific locations (Thoma *et al.*, 2009). While the phylogenetic extent and the age of the connection between Atlantic and Pacific will need further investigation, we can hypothesize that this connection was sustained for the major part of the morphological diversification of *Chrysogorgia*, as all major morphological characters (spiral direction, major branching groups such as 1/3, 1/4 and 2/5, and sclerite groups A, B and C) are present in both ocean basins.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was made possible by generous funding provided by the NOAA Ocean Exploration program (NA66RU0186, NA03OAR4600116, NA05OAR4301001, NA05OAR4601061, NA08OAR4600756). We would like to thank LW's co-principal investigators, P. Auster, S. France, I. Babb, L. Mullineaux, J. Moore and

K. Scanlon for their help in securing the necessary funding to allow us to explore NW Atlantic seamount communities. The comparative *Chrysogorgia* material from the Bahamas was recently collected during the NOAA-funded Bahamas Deep Coral cruise (PI S. France). Our sincere thanks to the captains and crew of the RV *Atlantis*, the RV *Ronald H. Brown*, and the RV *F.G. Walton Smith*, who together with the skillful pilots of the DSV *Alvin* and ROVs *Hercules* (NW Atlantic) and *Global Explorer* (Bahamas) made it possible to collect high quality imagery and specimens from great depths. We are very grateful to S. D. Cairns (Smithsonian Natural History Museum) for sharing his expertise on the taxonomy of *Chrysogorgia*. O. S. Tendal (Natural History Museum of Denmark) provided comparative material and photographs of *Chrysogorgia campanula* Madsen 1944. We are also indebted to T. Carvalho (University of Hawaii PBRC Electron Microscope Facility), H. Ylitalo-Ward (University of Hawaii), M. Purpera and T. Pesacreta (Microscopy Center, UL Lafayette) for their assistance with scanning electron microscopy. Members of the France Lab at UL Lafayette (M. R. Brugler, J. N. Thoma, E. N. Heestand, J. van der Ham) provided support and help throughout the study. We warmly thank S. France, who provided assistance and advice in all stages of the study, from material collection to manuscript preparation. Funding for genetic analyses was provided by NSF (OCE-0624601; Cnidarian Tree of Life EF-0531570, EF-0531779).

## REFERENCES

- Abramoff M., Magelhaes P. and Ram S.** (2004) Image processing with ImageJ. *Biophotonics International* 11, 36 – 42.
- Bayer F.M., Grasshoff M. and Verseveldt J.** (1983) Illustrated trilingual glossary of morphological and anatomical terms applied to Octocorallia. E. J. Brill, Leiden.
- Cairns S.D.** (2001) Studies on western Atlantic Octocorallia (Coelenterata : Anthozoa). Part 1: The genus *Chrysogorgia* Duchassaing & Michelotti, 1864. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 114, 746 – 787.

- Cairns S.D.** (2002) A new species of *Chrysogorgia* (Anthozoa : Octocorallia) from the Antarctic. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 115, 217 – 222.
- Cairns S.D.** (2007) Calcaxonian octocorals (Cnidaria; Anthozoa) from Eastern Pacific Seamounts. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* 58, 511 – 541.
- Dueñas L. and Sánchez J.** (2009) Character lability in deep-sea bamboo corals (Octocorallia, Isididae, Keratoisidinae). *Marine Ecology - Progress Series* 397, 11 – 23.
- France S.C.** (2007) Genetic analysis of bamboo corals (Cnidaria: Octocorallia: Isididae): does lack of colony branching distinguish *Lepidisis* from *Keratoisis* ? *Bulletin of Marine Science* 81, 323 – 333.
- France S.C. and Hoover L.L.** (2001) Analysis of variation in mitochondrial DNA sequences (ND3, ND4L, MSH) among Octocorallia (=Alcyonaria)(Cnidaria: Anthozoa). *Bulletin of the Biological Society of Washington* 10, 110 – 118.
- France S.C., Rosel P.E., Agenbroad J.E., Mullineaux L.S. and Kocher T.D.** (1996) DNA sequence variation of mitochondrial large-subunit rRNA provides support for a two-subclass organization of the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). *Molecular Marine Biology and Biotechnology* 5, 15 – 28.
- Herrera S., Baco A. and Sánchez J.A.** (2010) Molecular systematics of the bubblegum coral genera (Paragorgiidae, Octocorallia) and description of a new deep-sea species. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 55, 123 – 35.
- Kinoshita K.** (1913) Studien uber einige Chrysogorgiiden Japans. *Journal of the College of Science, Tokyo Imperial University* 33, 1 – 47.
- Lundsten L.** (2007) *The biogeography and distribution of megafauna at three California seamounts*. Master thesis, California State University Monterey Bay, USA.
- Lundsten L., Barry J.P., Cailliet G.M., Clague D.A., DeVogelaere A.P. and Geller J.B.** (2009) Benthic invertebrate communities on three seamounts off southern and central California, USA. *Marine Ecology - Progress Series* 374, 23 – 32.

991 **Madsen F.J.** (1944) Octocorallia. *The Danish Ingolf-Expedition* 5, 1 – 65.

992 **McFadden C.S., Sánchez J.A. and France S.C.** (2010) Molecular phylogenetic  
 993 insights into the evolution of Octocorallia: A review. *Integrative and*  
 994 *Comparative Biology* 50, 389 – 410.

995 **McFadden C.S., Benayahu Y., Pante E., Thoma J.N., Nevarez P. A. and**  
 996 **France S.C.** (2011) Limitations of mitochondrial gene barcoding in  
 997 Octocorallia. *Molecular Ecology Resources* 11, 19 – 31.

998 **McClain C.R., Lundsten L., Ream M., Barry J. and DeVogelaere A.** (2009)  
 999 Endemicity, biogeography, composition, and community structure on a  
 1000 northeast Pacific seamount. *PLoS ONE* 4, e4141.

1001 **Mortensen P., Buhl-Mortensen L., Gebruk A. and Krylova E.** (2008)  
 1002 Occurrence of deep-water corals on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge based on MAR-  
 1003 ECO data. *Deep-Sea Research Part II-Topical Studies In Oceanography* 55,  
 1004 142 – 152.

1005 **Mosher C. and Watling L.** (2009) Partners for life: a brittle star and its octocoral  
 1006 host. *Marine Ecology - Progress Series* 397, 81 – 88.

1007 **Pante E. and France S.** (2010) *Pseudochrysogorgia bellona* n. gen., n. sp.: a  
 1008 new genus and species of chrysogorgiid octocoral (Coelenterata: Anthozoa)  
 1009 from the Coral Sea. *Zoosystema*. 32: 595 – 612.

1010 **R Development Core Team** (2010) *R: A Language and Environment for*  
 1011 *Statistical Computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna,  
 1012 Austria. URL <http://www.R-project.org>. ISBN 3-900051-07-0.

1013 **Rasband W.** (1997-2008) *ImageJ*. Technical report, U. S. National Institutes of  
 1014 Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA. URL <http://rsb.info.nih.gov/ij/>.

1015 **Sleep N.H.** (1990) Montereyan hotspot track: a long-lived mantle plume. *Journal*  
 1016 *of Geophysical Research* 95, 21983 – 21990.

1017 **Thoma J.N., Pante E., Brugler M.R. and France S.C.** (2009) Deep-sea  
 1018 octocorals and antipatharians show no evidence of seamount-scale  
 1019 endemism in the NW Atlantic. *Marine Ecology - Progress Series* 397, 25 –  
 1020 35.

1021 **Thomson J. and Henderson W.** (1906) *An account of the alcyonarians*  
1022 *collected by the Royal Indian Marine Survey Ship Investigator in the Indian*  
1023 *Ocean. Part 1. The Alcyonarians of the deep sea.* Indian Museum, Calcutta.  
1024 **Shank T.** (2010) Spotlight 4: New England and Corner Rise Seamounts.  
1025 *Oceanography* 23, 104 – 105.  
1026 **van der Ham J., Brugler M.R. and France S.C. (2009)** Exploring the utility of  
1027 an indel-rich, mitochondrial intergenic region as a molecular barcode for  
1028 bamboo corals (Octocorallia: Isididae). *Marine Genomics* 2, 183 – 192.  
1029 **Versluys J.** (1902) Die Gorgoniden der Siboga-Expedition. I. Die  
1030 Chrysogorgiiden, volume 13. Monographie Siboga-Expedition, 120 pp.  
1031 **Watling L.** (2007) A review of the genus *Iridogorgia* (Octocorallia :  
1032 Chrysogorgiidae) and its relatives, chiefly from the North Atlantic Ocean.  
1033 *Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the United Kingdom* 87, 393 –  
1034 402.  
1035 **Wright E. and Studer T.** (1889) Report on the Alcyonaria collected by the  
1036 H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1873-76 - Report on the scientific results  
1037 of the voyage of H.M.S. Challenger during the years 1872-76, volume  
1038 Zoology 31. 1 – 314, pls 1 – 43 pp.  
1039

## Figure legends

Figure 1. On the left: model representing the main stem and some branches of a hypothetical *Chrysogorgia* colony. To determine branching sequence, one counts the number of branches required to be in the same plane as a reference branch (white). In this example, the 6<sup>th</sup> branch (black) is in the same plane as the reference branch, and only one revolution is necessary to spiral back to the reference plane. Also, in this case the spiral is clockwise when looking upward (in the direction of colony growth). This hypothetical colony therefore has a 1/6R sequence. On the right: original (Figure 21 page 21) from Versluys (1902), representing the branching sequence of a 1/3L spiral.

Figure 2. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) based on eight continuous and two discrete morphological characters coded as 0/1. The proportion of variance explained by each axis is given in parentheses (cumulative variance: 59%). Specimen identifiers are the genetic isolate names.

Figure 3. Box-and-whisker plots of the eight continuous variables used in the PCA, for each *msh1* haplotype. The number of measurements is given above each plot. Black triangles represent mean values for each individual specimen.

Figure 4. Plot of the distance between branches along the stem, ordered from near the base to near the tip of the colony. NAS201-2 belongs to *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov. (haplotype A). KEL619-1 and KEL407-2 belong to *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov. (haplotype B).

Figure 5. Distribution of sclerite length (µm) from the coenenchyme, polyp body wall and tentacles of the four *msh1* haplotypes (Hap). Sample size is given in parentheses for each group.

1071

1072 Figure 6. *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov (haplotype A). *In situ* photographs of  
1073 holotype KEL613-1 (A) and paratype GOO108-1 (B) before and during  
1074 collection, respectively.

1075

1076 Figure 7. *Chrysogorgia tricaulis* sp. nov (haplotype A; A-D: KEL613-1, holotype;  
1077 E: NAS201-2, paratype; F: GOO108-1, paratype). (A) Sclerites from the  
1078 polyp body wall; (B) sclerites from the branch coenenchyme; (C)  
1079 sclerites from tentacles; (D) light microscopy of an entire polyp; (E)  
1080 SEM of a partially digested polyp; (F) SEM of the tentacles and their  
1081 uncharacterized features (arrows).

1082

1083 Figure 8. *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov (haplotype B). *In situ* photographs of (A)  
1084 holotype KEL407-2 and (B) paratype MIL102-3 during collection. (C)  
1085 Paratype KEL619-1 photographed in the laboratory aboard ship.

1086

1087 Figure 9. *Chrysogorgia artospira* sp. nov (haplotype B; KEL407-2, holotype). (A)  
1088 Sclerites from the polyp body wall; (B) sclerites from tentacles; (C) LM  
1089 of a polyp; (D) SEM of a polyp; (E) ) LM of a polyp cleared with clove oil  
1090 to reveal the arrangement of sclerites (photograph inverted).

1091

1092 Figure 10. *Chrysogorgia averta* sp. nov. (haplotype C; LYM201-1, holotype). (A)  
1093 *In situ* and laboratory photographs.

1094

1095 Figure 11. *Chrysogorgia averta* sp. nov. (haplotype C; LYM201-1, holotype). (A)  
1096 Sclerites from the polyp body wall; (B) sclerites from the branch  
1097 coenenchyme; (C) sclerites from tentacles; (D) SEM of an mature  
1098 polyp; (E) LM of a mature polyp, arrow points to cnidal papillae; (F) LM  
1099 of a mature polyp treated with clove oil to reveal position of sclerites,  
1100 arrow points to an egg; (F) LM of an immature polyp treated with clove  
1101 oil.

1102

1103 Figure 12. *Chrysogorgia abludo* sp. nov. (haplotype E). Photographs of (A)  
1104 holotype VER202-1 (laboratory) and (B) paratype NAS204-1 (*in situ*).  
1105 (C) Close-up of the polyps and associate of NAS204-1.

1106

1107 Figure 13. *Chrysogorgia abludo* sp. nov. (haplotype E; VER202-1, holotype). (A)  
1108 Sclerites from the polyp body wall; (B) sclerites from the branch  
1109 coenenchyme; (C) sclerites from tentacles; (D) LM of polyp; (E) LM of  
1110 polyp treated with clove oil to reveal position of sclerites.

1111

1112 Figure 14. Map of the northwestern Atlantic, with the specimens described in  
1113 Cairns (2001) and in this study represented by filled circles and open  
1114 triangles, respectively. The continental slope is made evident by plotting  
1115 contour lines between 0 and 1000 m depth (200 m intervals).  
1116 Seamounts are made apparent using contour lines between 1500 and  
1117 4000 m depth (1000 m intervals). The depth distribution of both groups  
1118 of specimens is presented as an insert (sample size under each  
1119 boxplot).

1120

1121

1122

1123

1124 Table 1. Proportion of variance and loadings for the first four components of the  
 1125 PCA.  
 1126

	<b>Comp. 1</b>	<b>Comp. 2</b>	<b>Comp. 3</b>	<b>Comp. 4</b>
Proportion of variance	36.0%	22.7%	15.0%	11.5%
Cumulative proportion of variance	36.0%	58.6%	73.6%	85.1%
Angle between branches	-0.083	0.520	-0.274	0.226
Angle between stem and branches	-0.205	-0.181	0.591	-0.119
Branch diameter at its base	0.160	0.219	0.330	-0.675
Distance from stem to first internode	0.491	0.048	0.053	0.157
Interbranch distance	0.491	-0.050	0.019	-0.010
Presence of polyps on the stem	0.284	-0.502	-0.113	-0.170
Orthostiche interval	0.346	0.418	0.054	0.090
Polyp height	0.191	0.338	0.494	0.126
Polyp width	0.033	-0.259	0.412	0.634
Presence of sclerites in coenenchyme	0.453	-0.190	-0.187	0.005

1127  
 1128

1129 Table 2. Diagnostic morphological and molecular characters for each species.  
 1130 Continuous measures are means  $\pm$  standard deviation and range, in mm.

1131

	<i>C. tricaulis</i>	<i>C. artospira</i>	<i>C. averta</i>	<i>C. abludo</i>
<i>msh1</i> haplotype	A	B	C	E
Branching sequence	1/3L	2/5L	3/8L	1/3-1/4-irreg L
Sclerite arrangement	group C	group C	group A	group A
Polyps on the stem?	yes	no	no	no
Interbranch distance	9.8 $\pm$ 3.1	4.2 $\pm$ 1.3	10.7 $\pm$ 1	6.9 $\pm$ 3.1
	4.1-30	1.8-10.1	9.1-12.8	4.3-15
Orthostiche interval	26.7 $\pm$ 6.7	20.5 $\pm$ 3.9	76.2 $\pm$ 1.3	NA
	13.8-42	11.2-29.6	75-78	NA
Shape of polyps	pitcher	pitcher	long, narrow	long, narrow, constriction at neck
Shape of branches	straight	straight	arched	straight
Position of polyps	on nodes	on nodes	on internodes	on nodes
Sclerites in branch coenenchyme?	yes	no	yes	yes

1132

1133